CRISPR-Cas off-target detection using Oxford Nanopore sequencing - is the mitochondrial genome more vulnerable to off-targets?

Sandeep Chakraborty,

R - 44/ 1, Celia Engineers, T. T. C Industrial Area, Rabale, Navi Mumbai, 400701, India.

Abstract

Oxford Nanopore sequencing of DNA molecules is fast gaining popularity for generating longer reads, albeit with higher error rates, in much lesser time, and without the error introduced by PCR-amplification. Recently, CRISPR-Cas9 has been used to enrich genomic regions (nCATS [1]). This was applied on 10 genomic loci (median length=18kb). Here, using the sequencing data (Accid:PRJNA531320), it is shown that the same flow can be used to identify CRISPR-Cas9 off-target edits (OTE). OTEs are an important, but unfortunately underestimated, aspect of CRISPR-Cas gene-editing. An OTE in the mitochondrial genome is shown having 7 mismatches with one of the 10 gRNAs used (GPX1), having as much enrichment as the targeted genomic loci in some samples. Previous study has shown that Cas9 bind to off-targets having as many as 10 mismatches in the PAM-distal region. This OTE has not been reported in the original study (still a pre-print), which states that sequences from parts other than the target locations arise 'from ligation of nanopore adaptors to random breakage points, with no clear evidence of off-target cleavage by Cas9' [1]. Furthermore, a lot of reads aligning to the mitochondrial genome (sometimes full length) are inverted after the edit. It remains to be seen if these are bona fide translocations after the Cas9 edit, or ONP sequencing artifacts. This also raises the question whether the mitochondrial genome is more prone to off-targets by virtue of being non-nuclear. Another locus in ChrX (13121412) has only 1 mismatch with the second BRAF gRNA (GACCAAGGATTTCGTGGTGA). Although the number of reads for this OTE is less, its very unlikely this is random since it happens 8 out of 11 samples. With the increasing use of (TALEN/ZFN/CRISPR-Cas9) on human subjects, this provides a fast method to quickly query gRNAs for off-targets in cells obtained from the patient, which will have their own unique off-targets due to single nucleotide polymorphism or other variants.

Introduction

Fluctuations in electrical conductivity generated as DNA strands pass through a biological pore, like a protein embedded in a membrane has been commercialized by Oxford Nanopore (ONP) (Nanopore sequencing - minION flow cell) [2]. ONP sequencing is gaining popularity due to the fact that it quickly generates long reads, preserving nucleotide modifications, albeit at higher error rates [3]. Due to higher error rates, enrichment is critical to have proper consensus. Recently, CRISPR-Cas9 [4–6] has been used to enrich genomic regions (nCATS [1]. In this method all DNA ends are first dephosphorylated, then the Cas9/guide RNA ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP) is added resulting in DSBs, thereby introducing an DNA end with a 5-phosphate group, wherein nanopore sequencing adaptors are ligated, and then sequenced. Note, that PCR amplification is not needed, thus preserving nucleotide modifications. This was applied on 10 genomic loci (median length=18kb), and the sequencing data were submitted (Accessionid:PRJNA531320).

Off-targets edits (OTE) are an important aspect of CRISPR-Cas gene-editing [7–10]. There are several techniques for the unbiased detection of CRISPR-Cas9 off-targets [11–13]. The presence of the ONP adapters in the above study enable the identification of OTEs, aided by the existence of 11 such "repeated experiments".

As an example, an OTE in the mitochondrial genome is provided which has the ONP adapter, and has 7 mismatches with one of the 10 gRNAs used. Insertions or deletions between target DNA and gRNA also constitute valid off-targets [14], a feature that is included in *in silico* off-target predictors [15]. Another OTE is ChrX for the BRAF gRNA with only one mismatch. While it stated that sequences from parts other than the target locations arise 'from ligation of nanopore adaptors to random breakage points, with no clear evidence of off-target cleavage by Cas9' [1], it is very unlikely that all samples will have the breakage at the same point.

With the increasing use of (TALEN/ZFN/CRISPR-Cas9) on human subjects, this provides a fast method to quickly query gRNAs for off-targets in cells obtained from the patient, which will have their own unique off-targets due to single nucleotide polymorphism or other variants.

Results and discussion

Reads matching to the 10 edited-genomic loci

Previous work [1] used 2 gRNAs for each of 10 genomic loci (Table 1). The reads matching to one such loci (SLC12A4) with high significance were analyzed (Fig 1). This corroborated two things:

1. The error rate is $> 10\%$ - since most alignments were about 90% or less.
2. The Cas9 mostly edits about 2 bases to the left of the PAM site.

The ONP adapter was prefixed to the Cas9 edit site, deduced to be TCAGTATTGCTTCGTTTCAGT-TAC. Next, reads that matched to the targeted genomic loci (SI:TARGET.fa) were ignored. The remaining reads were then selected only if they had the ONP adapter, and aligned to the human genome.

Figure 2: **Off-target in the mitochondrial genome:** (a):The genomic loci has 7 mismatches with one of the gRNAs (GPX1 on chr3), and only 2 in the 12bp proximal to the PAM. Note, previous work shows that Cas9 can bind ‘with as many as nine consecutive mismatches in the sgRNA guiding’ [9]. Also, Cas9 cleaves for a wide range of PAMs [16], including the NGA PAM in this case. (b): Frequency distribution of the start of the reads with respect to the mitochondrial genome (16570bp), reveals a significant number of reads begin around 9639. These reads also have the ONP adapter pre-fixed to them.

Table 1: **Ten gRNAs used in previous study [1]:** The sequences within these coordinates are in SI:TARGET.fa

Gene/Chr	Accid	start	end	size
GSTP1CHR11	GI.568815271.REF.NT_167190.2.	13051355	13069174	17819
KRT19CHR17	GI.568802181.REF.NT_010783.16.	14581543	14599732	18189
TPM2CHR9	GI.568815299.REF.NT_008413.19.	35667526	35687167	19641
GPX1CHR3	GI.568815345.REF.NT_022517.19.	49342526	49356170	13644
SLC12A4CHR16	GI.568802190.REF.NT_010498.16.	21571688	21596077	24389
CHR5DELETION	GI.568815317.REF.NT_034772.7.	8268286	8286975	18689
CHR7DELETION	GI.806527423.REF.NW_012132919.1.	170963	92107200	91936237
BRAFCHR7	GI.568815306.REF.NT_007933.16.	78268436	78280774	12338
KRASCHR12	GI.568815268.REF.NT_009714.18.	18153329	18170070	16741
TP53CHR17	GI.568802188.REF.NT_010718.17.	7177042	7193140	16098

Reads matching to the mitochondrial genome - off-target common to many samples

The alignment revealed that a significant number of reads matched to the mitochondrial genome with high significance in many cell lines (Table 2). Interestingly, many of these reads started around loci 9639, which has 7 mismatches (Fig 2) with one of the used gRNAs (Table {tableinfo,GPX1}). Only 2 of the 7 mismatches are located in the 12bp proximal to the PAM. Note, previous work shows that Cas9 can bind ‘*with as many as nine consecutive mismatches in the sgRNA guiding*’ [9]. Also, Cas9 cleaves for a wide range of PAMs [16], including the NGA PAM in this case. In fact, for one gRNA (gP), *in vitro* studies showed that Cas9 was targeting several sites with as many as seven mismatches [16]. Also, a lot of reads aligning to the mitochondrial genome (sometimes full length) are inverted after the edit. It remains to be seen if these are bona fide translocations after the Cas9 edit, or ONP sequencing artifacts. The reads aligning to the mitochondrial genome can be found at SI:ALLMITO.fa.

Off-target on the X chromosome:

Some of the gRNAs have OTEs with low mismatches. In fact, it would be surprising not to find OTEs for such gRNA. As an example, BRAF (gRNA=GACCAAGGATTCGTGGTGA) on chr7 has 19 nt exact match to the X-chromosome at 13121412. 8 out of 11 samples have reads matching to this coordinate. This cannot be random (Table 2). The read ids are in SI:OTEXchrX.list.

Conclusion:

The method (nCATS) suggested recently [1] to enrich genomic regions of interest also provides an easy method to quickly query off-target edits. With the increasing use of (TALEN/ZFN/CRISPR-Cas9) on human subjects, this provides a fast method to quickly query gRNAs for off-targets in cells obtained from the patient, which will have their own unique off-targets due to single nucleotide polymorphism [17] and other variants.

Table 2: ONP sequencing data from 11 samples: The data is from Accid:PRJNA531320. Number of reads matching to a targeted loci (GPX1) of length 13644bp is compared to reads that match with the mitochondrial genome (MITOGENO,16570bp). The cutoff values is set to Blastscore=1000 to choose reasonably large reads. There are significant reads which start at 9639 in the MITOGENO, which has 7 mismatches with one of the gRNAs used to edit the GPX1 loci, indicating that these are Cas9 off-targets. Another locus in ChrX (13121412) has only 1 mismatch with the second BRAF gRNA (GACCAAGGATTTTCGTG-GTGA). These reads all have the ONP adaptor. epithelial fibrocystic disease=EPIFIB, epithelial adenocarcinoma=EPIADE, caucasian,mammary gland=CMG

Accid	Description	Total reads	SLC12A4	GPX1	Mito	9639 loci	ChrX
SRR8863250	GM12878 BLOODlymphocyte	188570	0	0	498	0	0
SRR9167335	GM12878 BLOODlymphocyte	14522	55	76	32	0	0
SRR9167337	GM12878 BLOODlymphocyte	188570	0	0	507	0	0
SRR9167338	GM12878 BLOODlymphocyte	89433	245	160	339	17	1
SRR9167340	MCF-7 69y EPIADE CMG	84201	406	122	563	22	1
SRR8863251	GM12878 BLOODlymphocyte	89432	239	156	333	43	1
SRR9167339	MDA-MB-231 51y1 EPIADE CMG	236363	536	488	1943	62	2
SRR8863247	MCF-7 69y EPIADE CMG	84198	398	119	552	100	2
SRR8863249	MDA-MB-231 51y EPIADE CMG	229208	503	455	1829	145	2
SRR9167336	MCF-10A 36y A EPIFIBe CMG	70542	740	754	3912	168	4
SRR8863248	MCF-10A 36y EPIFIBe CMG	70542	703	729	3819	645	5

Materials and methods

The sequencing data (11 samples) were downloaded from NCBI (PRJNA531320). The genomic coordinates encapsulated between the 2 gRNAs for each of 10 genomic loci were extracted (SI:TARGET.fa). In order to search for off-targets, reads matching to the targeted genomic coordinates were first discarded. Next, reads were clustered based on their homology to each other - this was to look for enriched loci, and avoid random breaks (since off-targets are being identified). The mitochondrial genome was obtained from Accid:GI.251831106.REF.NC_012920.1.(16570bp). MSA figures were generated using the ENDscript server [18].

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

References

1. Gilpatrick T, Lee I, Graham JE, Raimondeau E, Bowen R, et al. (2019) Targeted nanopore sequencing with cas9 for studies of methylation, structural variants and mutations. BioRxiv : 604173.
2. Jain M, Olsen HE, Paten B, Akeson M (2016) The oxford nanoporeminION: delivery of nanopore sequencing to the genomics community. Genome biology 17: 239.
3. Lu H, Giordano F, Ning Z (2016) Oxford nanoporeminION sequencing and genome assembly. Genomics, proteomics & bioinformatics 14: 265-279.

4. Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, et al. (2007) CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. *Science* 315: 1709–1712.
5. Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, et al. (2012) A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science* 337: 816–821.
6. Mali P, Yang L, Esvelt KM, Aach J, Guell M, et al. (2013) RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science* 339: 823–826.
7. Fu Y, Foden JA, Khayter C, Maeder ML, Reyon D, et al. (2013) High-frequency off-target mutagenesis induced by CRISPR-cas nucleases in human cells. *Nature biotechnology* 31: 822–826.
8. Pattanayak V, Lin S, Guilinger JP, Ma E, Doudna JA, et al. (2013) High-throughput profiling of off-target DNA cleavage reveals rna-programmed Cas9 nuclease specificity. *Nature biotechnology* 31: 839.
9. Kuscu C, Arslan S, Singh R, Thorpe J, Adli M (2014) Genome-wide analysis reveals characteristics of off-target sites bound by the Cas9 endonuclease. *Nature biotechnology* 32: 677.
10. Chakraborty S (2018) Inconclusive studies on possible CRISPR-cas off-targets should moderate expectations about enzymes that have evolved to be non-specific. *Journal of Biosciences* 43: 225–228.
11. Wienert B, Wyman SK, Richardson CD, Yeh CD, Akcakaya P, et al. (2019) Unbiased detection of CRISPR off-targets *in vivo* using DISCOVER-Seq. *Science* 364: 286–289.
12. Tsai SQ, Nguyen NT, Malagon-Lopez J, Topkar VV, Aryee MJ, et al. (2017) CIRCLE-seq: a highly sensitive in vitro screen for genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease off-targets. *Nature Methods* 14: 607.
13. Tsai SQ, Zheng Z, Nguyen NT, Liebers M, Topkar VV, et al. (2015) GUIDE-seq enables genome-wide profiling of off-target cleavage by CRISPR-Cas nucleases. *Nature biotechnology* 33: 187.
14. Lin Y, Cradick TJ, Brown MT, Deshmukh H, Ranjan P, et al. (2014) CRISPR/Cas9 systems have off-target activity with insertions or deletions between target DNA and guide RNA sequences. *Nucleic acids research* 42: 7473–7485.
15. Bae S, Park J, Kim JS (2014) Cas-offinder: a fast and versatile algorithm that searches for potential off-target sites of Cas9 rna-guided endonucleases. *Bioinformatics* 30: 1473–1475.
16. Akcakaya P, Bobbin ML, Guo JA, Lopez JM, Clement MK, et al. (2018) In vivo CRISPR-cas gene editing with no detectable genome-wide off-target mutations. *bioRxiv* : 272724.
17. Lessard S, Francioli L, Alfoldi J, Tardif JC, Ellinor PT, et al. (2017) Human genetic variation alters CRISPR-Cas9 on-and off-targeting specificity at therapeutically implicated loci. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* : 201714640.
18. Robert X, Gouet P (2014) Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new endscript server. *Nucleic acids research* 42: W320–W324.