1 Long-Term Potentiation Induces Extrasynaptic Exocytosis of Glun2A-containing 2 NMDA Receptors that is Mainly Controlled by SNAP23

3 **Abbreviated title:** LTP induces extrasynaptic NMDAR insertion

4

5 Xiaojun Yu^{1,*}, Wei Li^{1,2,*} and Tong Wang^{1,2,#}

6 ¹ Clem Jones Centre for Ageing Dementia Research, Queensland Brain Institute, The
7 University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, 4072

8 ²Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology, The University of Queensland,
9 Brisbane, QLD, Australia, 4072

10 * These authors contribute equally to this work.

11 # Correspondence to: Tong Wang (t.wang4@uq.edu.au)

12 Number of pages: 42; Number of figures: 10; Number of words for abstract: 227; Number of
13 words for introduction: 785; Number of words for discussion: 931

14

15 **Conflict of interest statement:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.

16 **Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by grants from the Australian Research
17 Council (ARC) DE170100546 to TW; XY were recipients of University of Queensland
18 Research Training Scholarships. We would like to thank Nela Durisic for consistent support
19 and help with this project. We thank the Advanced Microscopy Facility and Animal Facility
20 of QBI for technical support. We are grateful to Victor Anggono, Frederic Meunier for
21 generously providing their lab resources for this study. We would like to thank Men chee Tan,
22 Se-Eun (Joanne) Jang, He Huang, Christopher Small, Dejan Gagoski and Xuanling Hillary
23 Yong for their work in preparing plasmids and neurons for this study. We are grateful to Brett
24 Collins for his constructive discussion in the initial stage of the study. We thank Russell
25 Wilson for proof reading and Jane Mooney for critical reading of the manuscript.

26

27 **Abstract**

28 NMDA receptors (NMDAR) are key players in the initiation of synaptic plasticity that
 29 underlies learning and memory. Long-term potentiation (LTP) of synapses require an
 30 increased calcium current via NMDA channels to trigger modifications in postsynaptic
 31 density (PSD). It is generally believed that the amount of NMDARs on the postsynaptic
 32 surface remains stationary, whereas their subunit composition is dynamically fluctuated
 33 during this plasticity process. However, the molecular machinery underlying this subunit-
 34 specific regulation remains largely elusive. Here, by detecting the time-lapse changes of
 35 surface GluN2A and GluN2B subunit levels using biochemical approaches, surface
 36 immunostaining, live-imaging and super-resolution microscopy, we uncovered a transient
 37 increase of surface GluN2A-type NMDARs shortly after the induction of chemical long term
 38 potentiation (cLTP). These augmented sub-diffraction-limited GluN2A clusters
 39 predominantly exist in extrasynaptic domains. We also showed that the spine-enriched
 40 SNARE associated protein SNAP-23, and to a minor extent its homologue SNAP-25, control
 41 both the basal and regulated surface level of GluN2A receptors. Using a total internal
 42 reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM) based live-imaging assay, we resolved and
 43 analyzed individual exocytic events of NMDARs in live neurons and found that cLTP raised
 44 the frequency of NMDAR exocytosis at extrasynaptic regions, without altering the duration or
 45 the package size of these events. Our study thereby provides direct evidence that synaptic
 46 plasticity controls the postsynaptic exocytosis machinery, which induces the insertion of more
 47 GluN2A receptors into the extrasynaptic area.

48

49

50 **Significance Statement**

51 Memory formation involves the long-term modification of synapses, which is called synaptic
 52 plasticity. In the postsynaptic density (PSD) of excited neurons, this modification process
 53 occurs on a minute timescale, initiated by the opening of NMDARs that trigger downstream
 54 cascades to fix the potentiation (LTP) at specific synapses for longer timescales. Here, using a
 55 novel live-imaging assay we resolved the dynamic delivery of NMDARs to the cell surface,
 56 and found that only the insertion frequency, not the duration of individual insertion or number
 57 of GluN2A subunits each of these NMDAR vesicles contains, was altered during the synaptic
 58 potentiation process. We also identified SNAP-23 as the key molecule mediating this activity-
 59 dependent NMDAR surface delivery. This study provides a novel mechanism of how
 60 NMDARs are regulated in the short window to initiate the long-lasting synaptic
 61 modifications.

62

63 **Introduction**

64 The N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-type glutamate receptors (NMDARs) are ionotropic
65 glutamate receptors that allow calcium (Ca^{2+}) influx into the postsynaptic compartment and
66 subsequently trigger a variety of intracellular signaling cascades underlying synaptic plasticity,
67 including long-term potentiation (LTP), which has long been postulated as the cellular basis
68 for learning and memory (Tsien et al., 1996; Yashiro and Philpot, 2008). NMDAR channel
69 properties are determined by subunit composition, which governs both their physiological
70 characteristics as well as synaptic distribution and downstream intracellular signalling (Sanz-
71 Clemente et al., 2013). In the forebrain, NMDARs predominantly comprise of two GluN1
72 subunits and two GluN2A or GluN2B subunits (Kutsuwada et al., 1992). The presence of
73 GluN2A-containing channels (but not GluN2B) is dynamically up-regulated by sensory
74 activation and brain development (Monyer et al., 1994; Barth and Malenka, 2001), and this
75 relative enrichment of GluN2A-containing receptors plays a critical role in synaptic
76 maturation and circuit formation (Yashiro and Philpot, 2008). Recent studies found a
77 significant difference in the surface delivery rate between NMDARs with GluN2A and
78 GluN2B subunits shortly after chemical LTP (cLTP). They established that there is an
79 increase in the surface distribution of GluN2A subunits but not GluN2B (Zhang et al., 2015).
80 The independent regulation of GluN2 subunits underlying synaptic plasticity is further
81 supported by different behavior of their surface nanoclusters, which show distinct patterns in
82 their lateral mobility, distribution and function in live neurons (Bard and Groc, 2011; Ferreira
83 et al., 2017; Kellermayer et al., 2018). However, how neuronal activity shapes distinct
84 distributions of GluN2A- and GluN2B-containing NMDARs between extrasynaptic and
85 synaptic regions is still largely unknown. Therefore, it is critical to investigate activity-
86 regulated machinery underlying surface insertion of NMDARs during synaptic plasticity in

87 order to address the critical question of whether and how is surface addition of these two
88 subunits independently regulated.

89

90 All GluN subunits of NMDARs are assembled in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) then
91 processed and packaged into vesicles throughout the Golgi apparatus. These vesicles carry
92 NMDARs to dendritic spines via long-range intracellular trafficking (Horak et al., 2014).

93 These NMDARs are eventually inserted into the postsynaptic plasma membrane via SNARE
94 (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment receptor) complex-mediated
95 exocytosis (Lledo et al., 1998; Barth and Malenka, 2001; Malinow and Malenka, 2002;

96 Jurado et al., 2013; Horak et al., 2014). SNARE complex is comprised of one copy of vesicle
97 SNARE (*v*-SNARE), such as synaptobrevin/VAMP2, that binds to two counterparts of target
98 SNARE (*t*-SNARE), such as syntaxins and SNAPs, to form a ternary bundle mediating the

99 fusion between vesicles and the plasma membrane (Osborn and Castro, 1977). SNAP-25, a
100 neuron-specific *t*-SNARE, plays a critical role in synaptic vesicle release in presynaptic nerve
101 terminals (Rizo and Sudhof, 2002). SNAP-23 is more ubiquitously-expressed than the SNAP-

102 25 homologue, which mediates vesicle release in non-neuronal cells (Foster et al., 1999;
103 Abonyo et al., 2004; Hepp et al., 2005; Cocucci et al., 2008; Naskar and Puri, 2017).

104 Recently, SNAP-25 was also found to mediate the consecutive insertion of NMDARs in
105 postsynaptic densities (Suh et al., 2010; Jurado et al., 2013; Gu and Huganir, 2016). SNAP-
106 25, but not SNAP-23, is known to be involved in regulated exocytosis of α -amino-3-hydroxy-

107 5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptors (AMPA) via SNARE-mediated fusion
108 (Jurado et al., 2013), while both SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 have been found to play specific
109 roles in the consecutive trafficking of NMDARs to postsynaptic regions (Lau et al., 2010; Suh

110 et al., 2010; Gu and Huganir, 2016). However, the role of SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 in the
111 activity-regulated exocytosis of GluN2 subunits in postsynaptic neurons is still unknown.

112

113 In this study, we addressed these questions by exploring the function of SNAP-23 and SNAP-
 114 25 in the activity-dependent insertion of GluN2 subunits. We first identified the activity-
 115 dependent surface fluctuations of GluN2A and GluN2B levels by measuring their time-lapse
 116 surface intensities using both surface biotinylation and antibody feeding assays in live
 117 neurons, and found a transient increase in surface GluN2A-type NMDARs shortly after the
 118 induction of cLTP. We next identified that these surface clusters of GluN2A receptors
 119 colocalize extensively with SNAP-23 and these augmented GluN2A clusters exist in the
 120 extrasynaptic domains of postsynaptic neurons. Then, using botulinum neurotoxin type A
 121 (BoNT/A) cleavage as well as their specific shRNAs, we specifically down-regulated the
 122 endogenous level of SNAP-23, SNAP-25 or both, and found SNAP-23 is the major regulator
 123 underlying both the basal (consecutive) and activity-dependent (regulated) insertion of
 124 GluN2A receptors into the plasma membrane following cLTP. This was achieved
 125 predominantly by controlling the fusion frequency of vesicles containing GluN2A subunits
 126 onto the extrasynaptic sites, which was revealed using a TIRF-based live-imaging method that
 127 captures and identifies the properties of individual NMDAR exocytotic events. Our study
 128 provides the first direct evidence that synaptic plasticity controls SNAP-23 and hence the
 129 postsynaptic exocytosis machinery, which promotes the insertion of GluN2A-containing
 130 NMDARs into extrasynaptic regions.

Material and Methods

Antibodies, molecular reagents and DNA constructs

Rabbit anti-GluN2A N-terminus polyclonal antibody was obtained from Invitrogen (#480031) and mouse anti-GluN2B N-terminal monoclonal antibodies from NeuroMab (#75-101). Sheep anti-SNAP-23 was obtained from R&D (#AF6306) and mouse anti-SNAP-25 from Synaptic System (#111-011). Mouse anti-Homer1 C-terminal antibody was from NeuroMab (#73-492). Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies were purchased from Life Technologies. DNA constructs encoding BoNT/A-Lc and BoNT/E-Lc were kindly provided by Professor Min Dong (Harvard Medical School, Boston). shRNA sequences against rat SNAP-23 (5'-AATGATGCCAGAGAAGATGAG-3') as reported (Suzuki and Verma, 2008), and shRNA construct against rat SNAP-25 (5'-GGACGCAGACATGCGTAAT-3') as reported (Wang et al., 2014) were used. The remaining reagents were obtained from Electron Microscopy Sciences or Sigma Aldrich unless otherwise specified.

Neuronal cultures and immuno-surface labeling of GluN2 receptors

Hippocampal neurons were cultured from embryonic day 18 (E18) embryos from Sprague Dawley rats of either sex. Animal handling procedures were approved by The University of Queensland Animal Ethics Committee and were conducted in accordance with guidelines set by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council. Hippocampal neurons were prepared as described previously (Wang et al., 2016) and were plated on either glass coverslips (for confocal microscopy), plastic 12-well plates (for biotinylation) or in glass bottom dishes (Cellvis, #D29-10-1.5-N). For cLTP stimulation, DIV22 rat hippocampal neurons were first incubated in Buffer A (25 mM HEPES, 120mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 2mM CaCl₂, 2mM MgCl₂, 30mM Glucose, pH 7.4, freshly supplemented with 1 μM Strychnine, 0.5 μM TTX) for 1h-2h at 37°C, then treated with prewarmed Buffer B (25 mM HEPES, 120mM

NaCl, 5mM KCl, 2mM CaCl₂, 30mM Glucose, pH 7.4, freshly supplemented with 1 μM Strychnine, 20 μM Bicuculine and 200 μM Glycine) for indicated time. For selective NMDAR antagonist APV treatment, neurons were preincubated in Buffer A supplemented with APV (50 μM) for 30 min and then stimulated with Buffer B in the presence of APV (50 μM) for the indicated amount of time. For GluN2A and GluN2B surface immunofluorescence staining, antibodies against the extracellular N-terminus of both subunits were diluted at 1:200 dilution using cold buffer A. Neurons blocked with antibody solution were kept on ice for 30 min, then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and 4% sucrose in PBS for 20 min at room temperature, and incubated with Alexa-647 conjugated secondary antibodies for 1h in room temperature. Neurons were next washed and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, incubated with other primary antibodies, then with fluorescence-conjugated secondary antibodies, and mounted in liquid mounting medium (Vectashield, H-1200) for imaging using either confocal or structured illumination microscope (SIM) . Image analysis was carried out using Zen (Carl Zeiss), Image J (NIH) or Imaris (Bitplane) software, with subsequent quantification performed using Prism (Graphpad). Colocalization Coefficient R_{coloc} (Zinchuk et al., 2007), is described by the equation (1), using the ImageJ plugin JACoP (Bolte and Cordelieres, 2006).

$$G_{coloc} = \frac{\sum_i (G_{i,coloc})}{\sum_i (G_i)} \quad (1)$$

where G_i refers to the intensity values of the GFP channels of pixel i . $G_{i,coloc}$ is the pixel Colocalized with Homer1c-DsRed channel. The ratio of extrasynaptic GluN2A receptors was calculated using the following equation:

$$R_{extra,i} = (1 - G_{coloc,i}) \times 100\% \quad i \in [1, m] \quad (2)$$

where i refers to the i^{th} analysed image out of the total of m images.

Biotinylation labeling and western detection of GluN2 receptors

DIV22 rat cortical neurons cultured in 12-well plates were treated with cLTP as described above, then incubated with 0.5 mg/ml nonpermeable biotin (NHS-biotin, Sigma-Aldrich) in cold PBS for 30 min under gentle agitation. After incubation, cells were washed with TBS three times, total wash time 30 min. Cell samples were then centrifuged at 14,000g for 15 min, and the supernatant collected and added to streptavidin-agarose beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and rotated overnight at 4°C. The beads were washed twice with wash buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPES, 0.1% Triton X-100, then boiled at 70°C for 5 min using sample loading buffer (250 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 8% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 0.2% (w/v) bromophenol blue, 40% (v/v) glycerol, 20% (v/v) β -mercaptoethanol), and the supernatant was collected for western blot detection of the surface GluN2 subunit levels.

Bleaching assay for GluN2A receptor exocytosis

For all live-imaging experiments, DIV21-35 rat hippocampal neurons were used. Time-lapse imaging of super-ecliptic pHluorin-tagged GluN2A (SEP-GluN2A) exocytosis was performed at 37°C in live-imaging buffer (25 mM HEPES, 120mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 2mM CaCl₂, 2mM MgCl₂, 30mM Glucose, 0.5 μ M Strychnine and 0.5 μ M TTX, pH 7.4). We employed Elyra SIM/PALM/STORM Microscope equipped with Andor 897 EMCCD camera suitable for single-molecule imaging to visualize insertion of individual vesicles containing NMDARs and detect single SEP-GluN2A subunits. The microscope was used in total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) mode. Before imaging of exocytic fusion events, dual-color image of SEP-GluN2A and Homer 1c-DsRed was first acquired in transfected neurons by sequentially imaging SEP and DsRed channels using 488 nm and 561 nm laser excitations, respectively. Then, SEP-GluN2A was bleached using 488 nm laser at 20 mW laser intensity for 1 min. In the bleached regions, time-lapse imaging of SEP-GluN2A exocytosis was captured for 5 min, using 488 nm laser excitation at 1 mW laser intensity. Measurement of the

fusion events induced by cLTP in cultured neurons was performed after bleaching. The number, duration and package size of these exocytotic events was analyzed using methods established in this study, as illustrated in Fig. 5C and described in the next section.

Analysis of SEP-GluN2A exocytosis

Exocytosis frequency of SEP-GluN2A was analyzed using the TrackMate plugin (Version 3.4.2) of Image J (NIH). To optimize the signal to noise ratio, time-lapse images were first processed in Image J using the following steps. First, regions of interest (ROI) of SEP-GluN2A transfected neurons were manually traced from the images acquired before bleaching. Then in the post-bleaching movies, signal to noise ratio of time-lapse images was further optimized using the filter based on the standard deviation of 4 consecutive images, using the following equation:

$$SD_i = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i |G_i - \bar{G}|}{3}} \quad (3)$$

where $\bar{G}$ refers to the averaged intensity values of the green channel, i refers to the number of pixels. The resultant movies was then subjected to Gaussian blur filter ($\delta=2$ pixel), with background noise removed using the ‘subtract background’ function (Rolling ball radius = 10 pixel). Finally, the movies were traced with TrackMate, and only fusion events with track duration over 1 s quantified.

Structured illumination microscopy and Imaris Analysis

Imaging of fixed samples was performed using the Elyra SIM/PALM/STORM Microscope (Carl Zeiss) equipped with a 100x objective (α Plan-Apochromat 100 $\times$ /1.46 oil-immersion DIC M27) and a PCO sCMOS camera (PCO Scientific). Images were obtained by acquiring z-stacks of 10-16 slices with spacing of 0.101 μ m and exposure time of 100 ms, SIM grating size of 42-51 μ m, and using five rotations. 3D structured illumination images

were then aligned and processed using the Zen software. The resultant 3D-SIM images were then analysed using surface function of Imaris software (Bitplane).

Counting of the number of GluN2A subunits in exocytic vesicles

For single-step photobleaching analysis, time-lapse images of discrete exocytic fusion events were analysed using a custom written Python routine as previously described (Durisic et al., 2014). Briefly, a square region of 3x3 pixels around each fusion event was used to extract an intensity-time profile. The background was calculated locally from a 1 pixel thick frame around the region and subtracted from the signal. Spots with signal to noise ratios less than 2, those that were fluorescent in the first frame of the image sequence and spots that lasted less than five frames were excluded from the analysis as we couldn't reliably count the number of steps from their intensity-time traces (Li et al., 2016). The steps in the traces were counted manually. In less than 1% of traces, two fluorescent proteins photobleached simultaneously (missed events) and we corrected for those (Durisic et al., 2012).

Experimental design and statistical analysis

We used GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Inc.) for statistical analysis. Results are reported as mean $\pm$ s.e.m. For group comparisons, two-tailed nonparametric *t*-tests or paired *t*-tests were executed. P values < 0.05 indicated statistical significance. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes. There was no formal randomization. Data collection and analysis were performed by different operators, who were blind to the conditions of the experiments.

Results

cLTP induces a transient up-regulation of surface GluN2A-NMDA receptors in cultured mature hippocampal neurons

To observe the effect of synaptic plasticity on the surface levels of NMDA receptors, we potentiated the postsynaptic neuron using a Glycine-induced cLTP protocol. This protocol creates NMDA-dependent LTP (Lu et al., 2001). As shown in the schematic diagram (Fig1. A), mature rat hippocampal neurons (DIV21-28) were first pretreated with inhibitory Buffer A for 1 hour to suppress the intrinsic synaptic activity, then briefly stimulated with 10 min treatment of Buffer B, a Mg^{2+} -free buffer that contains 200 nM Glycine to activate the synaptic NMDARs which are receiving spontaneous release of glutamate (Fortin et al., 2010). The efficacy of this protocol was further validated by imaging the subcellular Ca^{2+} influx in neurons expressing the genetically-encoded Ca^{2+} indicator, GCaMP6-fast variant, which has previously been used to label physiological levels of fast Ca^{2+} fluctuations (Chen et al., 2013; Lock et al., 2015). Neurons treated with Buffer A showed a low level of fluctuation in Ca^{2+} within postsynaptic spines, whereas the addition of Buffer B significantly boosted the intensity of this signal (Fig. 1B-D; sMovie 1). This surge in Ca^{2+} signal was totally abolished by the addition of specific NMDA channel antagonist APV (Fig. 1E). These results suggest the cLTP protocol outlined in Figure 1A was able to induce cLTP in cultured rat hippocampal neurons.

We next used the cLTP protocol to investigate the dynamic change in surface NMDARs. Surface levels of both GluN2A and GluN2B subunits were examined at four different time points before or after cLTP stimulation, designated as control (0'), 10', 20' and 40' in Fig. 1A. The levels of surface NMDA receptors were examined using biotinylation labelling followed by western blot detection with specific antibodies against GluN2A or GluN2B, respectively

(Tan et al., 2017). The surface level of GluN2A subunits was increased following 10 min of cLTP (Fig. 1F-G, 10') but was reduced to basal level 30 min post cLTP (Fig. 1F-G, 40'). This transient up-regulation of GluN2A receptors was abolished by APV treatment (Fig. 1F-G, +APV). In contrast, the surface level of GluN2B was not significantly up-regulated following the 10 min cLTP induction (sFig 1A-B). These results show that cLTP differentially controls the synaptic GluN2A and GluN2B subunits by selectively increasing the GluN2A-containing NMDARs insertion following cLTP.

A series of new studies has revealed that NMDARs cycle rapidly into and out of synapses, through either lateral diffusion or new vesicle insertion (Newpher and Ehlers, 2008; Bard and Groc, 2011). To further investigate the dynamic changes in surface levels of GluN2A receptors during LTP, we used an antibody against the N-terminal extracellular domain of GluN2A receptors to examine their surface distribution in live neurons at various time points following cLTP induction. We found that postsynaptic GluN2A receptors colocalized with postsynaptic density (PSD) scaffold protein Homer-1c (Fig. 1H; quantified in Fig. 1I) (Hayashi et al., 2009). This was consistent with the trend shown in Figure 1F, where the surface staining intensity of postsynaptic GluN2A receptors was significantly increased following 10 min cLTP induction, and then reduced to basal level at 40 min. As in our surface biotinylation experiments, total GluN2A level was not significantly increased after 10 min cLTP (Fig. 1J). Similarly, the normalized surface-to-total GluN2A level showed a transient increase at 10 min, which returned to basal level at 40 min (Fig. 1K). This cLTP-induced transient surface expression of GluN2A was also dependent on the opening of NMDA channels, as inhibition with APV was able to totally abolish the surface SEP-GluN2A containing NMDAR increase induced by 10 min cLTP (Fig. 2A, B). The similar cLTP-dependent increase of extrasynaptic surface GluN2A receptors was also observed using

antibodies against endogenous GluN2A and Homer-1c (sFig. 2A, B). These results suggest that a local mechanism mediating receptor surface expression was activated by the cLTP treatment.

cLTP raises the ratio of small GluN2A receptor clusters in the extrasynaptic area

By comparing the distribution of surface GluN2A receptors before and after 10 min cLTP, we also noticed that many of these newly increased GluN2A receptors were in the extrasynaptic regions, and not overlapping with Homer-1c (Fig. 2A, arrows). It has been shown that both the mobility and clustering of surface GluN2A receptors are affected by cLTP, and that clustering of GluN2A receptors in micro- and/or nano-domains of PSD is critical for the function of synaptic plasticity (Bard and Groc, 2011; Ferreira et al., 2017; Kellermayer et al., 2018). We therefore used sub-diffraction structured illumination microscopy (SIM) to characterize the relative distribution of surface GluN2A receptors with respect to Homer-1c, which marks the synapses in postsynaptic neurons, before and after 10 min of cLTP treatment, as shown in Fig. 2C. To describe the distribution of surface GluN2A micro- and nano-clusters, we first compared the distribution of GluN2A cluster size in $50\ \mu\text{m} \times 50\ \mu\text{m}$ ROIs. We found that the ratio of GluN2A receptor clusters with smaller size ($< 0.1\ \mu\text{m}^2$; Fig. 3D, 'small') was significantly increased after 10 min of cLTP treatment (Fig. 2D, '40 min') and reduced to basal level at 30 min after stimulation (Fig. 2D, '40 min'). Consistently, the absolute number of small GluN2A clusters was also transiently increased after 10 min of cLTP treatment (Fig. 2E).

To further define whether cLTP treatment differently impacts endogenous GluN2A receptor clusters in synaptic and extrasynaptic areas, we extracted and analyzed clusters of synaptic and extrasynaptic GluN2A receptors, respectively. To achieve this, we marked the GluN2A

clusters that overlapped the PSD marker Homer-1c as synaptic, whereas the non-Homer-1c-overlapping clusters were defined as the extrasynaptic GluN2A receptors (Fig. 2F-G). The cumulative frequency plot of both extrasynaptic and synaptic GluN2A receptors were compared in control or 10 min cLTP treated neurons. As expected, a significantly increased ratio of extrasynaptic GluN2A receptors was found in small-sized fractions, as reflected by the cumulative frequency distribution (Fig. 2H). This is consistent with the increased frequency distribution of small-sized GluN2A clusters in the total population. Moreover, we also detected an increase in the number of very large ($\sim 1 \mu\text{m}^2$) synaptic GluN2A clusters (Fig. 2I). This is likely to be caused by the increased spine number / size which signifies the structural plasticity caused by the cLTP treatment (Hruska et al., 2018). In agreement with this, the cluster size of the synaptic GluN2A clusters is significantly increased, whereas the size of the extrasynaptic GluN2A clusters exhibit is reduced (Fig. 2J). These results suggest that 10 min of cLTP treatment causes an increase in the amount of GluN2A receptors in the form of small clusters ($< 0.1 \mu\text{m}^2$ in area) in the extrasynaptic regions of postsynaptic neurons, in addition there is an increase in the frequency of large clusters in the synaptic regions.

The cLTP-induced transient increase in GluN2A-NMDAR surface levels is dependent on activity-dependent exocytosis machinery

Insertion of NMDARs to the postsynaptic membrane are mediated by SNARE complexes (Suh et al., 2010; Jurado et al., 2013), in which SNAP23 and SNAP-25 are core components (Osborn and Castro, 1977; Rizo and Sudhof, 2002). Both SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 have been implicated in LTP triggered AMPA receptor surface expression (Jurado et al., 2013). SNAP-23, but not SNAP-25, is required for maintaining basal levels of GluN2A NMDA receptor surface expression in the mouse brain (Suh et al., 2010). We therefore sought to investigate the role of SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 in LTP induced GluN2A receptor surface expression. We

analyzed the relative location between GluN2A receptors and SNAP-23 or SNAP-25 in the postsynaptic compartment of neurons and found that both SNAP-25 and SNAP-23 are distributed in the spines, with SNAP-25 more broadly distributed both pre- and post-synaptically, suggesting its function in both presynaptic vesicle recycling (Osborn and Castro, 1977; Rizo and Sudhof, 2002) and postsynaptic glutamate receptor exocytosis (Suh et al., 2010; Jurado et al., 2013). In contrast, SNAP-23 localized specifically to the tips of the postsynaptic spines, which are also enriched with GluN2A receptors (Fig. 3A). These observations are similar to previous studies (Suh et al., 2010; Jurado et al., 2013), suggesting a possible role for SNAP-23 in regulating postsynaptic exocytosis. To further explore the distribution of endogenous SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 in postsynaptic densities, we used subdiffractional SIM to dissect the nano-scale localization of SNAP-23, SNAP-25 and surface clustering of GluN2A receptors simultaneously. As shown in Fig. 3B, we found SNAP-23 (red) more closely correlated to clusters of surface GluN-2A receptors than SNAP-25 (magenta). A larger fraction of SNAP-25 did not overlap with GluN2A receptor patch (Fig. 3C). The overall higher colocalization between GluN2A receptor and SNAP-23 was confirmed using the Pearson's colocalization coefficient (Fig. 3D). These results suggest that SNAP-23, which has higher postsynaptic specificity, is more likely to regulate the surface expression of GluN2A receptors in postsynaptic spines than the more broadly distributed SNAP-25.

We next explored the role of SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 using botulinum neurotoxins, which cleave and inactivate components of SNARE complex at different sites (Schiavo et al., 2000; Meunier et al., 2003). We used the catalytic light chain of botulinum neurotoxin type A (BoNT/A-Lc), which inactivates both SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 by cleaving their last 9 amino acid residues, as well as the light chain of botulinum neurotoxin type E (BoNT/E-Lc), which

at nanomolar concentration only cleaves SNAP-25 (but not SNAP-23) at the last 26 C-terminal residues (Vaidyanathan et al., 1999). We first examined the cleavage of BoNT/A-Lc on both SNAP-25 and SNAP-23 by co-expressing GFP-tagged rat SNAP-23 or SNAP-25 with either BoNT/A-Lc or BoNT/E-Lc in HEK293 cells respectively, and found that both SNAP-25 and SNAP-23 are cleaved by BoNT/A-Lc as reported (Vaidyanathan et al., 1999) (Fig. 4A). We also showed the cleavage of SNAP-25 but not SNAP-23 by BoNT/E-Lc, as previously described (Peng et al., 2013) (Fig. 4A). These results confirmed the effectiveness of both BoNT/A-Lc and BoNT/E-Lc as a tool to inactivate endogenous SNAP-25 and SNAP-23. We then used this tool to manipulate the level of endogenous SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 to observe the impact on cLTP-dependent surface expression of GluN2A receptors in cultured hippocampal neurons. Levels of endogenous GluN2A receptors before and after 10 min of cLTP was examined in neurons co-transfected with BoNT/A-Lc or BoNT/E-Lc and Homer1c-DsRed. BoNT/A-Lc significantly decreased the surface level of GluN2A at resting conditions, and also abolished the cLTP-dependent increase in surface GluN2A expression (Fig. 4B, C). However, the over-expression of BoNT/E-Lc, which truncates a larger fragment from SNAP-25 (Vaidyanathan et al., 1999; Meunier et al., 2003; Peng et al., 2013), caused the degeneration of transfected neurons as previously reported (sFig. 3A) (Peng et al., 2013). We therefore used BoNT/A-Lc as the tool to suppress the activity of endogenous SNAP-25 and SNAP-23 in mature neurons. To explore whether the activity of SNAP-23 or SNAP-25 is relevant to the surface expression of GluN2A receptors, we also used shRNA to knock down (KD) endogenous SNAP-23 or SNAP-25 to investigate their impact on the cLTP-dependent surface expression of GluN2A receptors. The KD efficiency of specific shRNAs against endogenous SNAP-23 or SNAP-25 was tested first as shown in Fig. 4D. We found that KD of either SNAP-25 or SNAP-23 significantly decreased the basal level of GluN2A at resting conditions and abolished the cLTP-dependent increase in surface GluN2A expression (Fig.

4E, F). In contrast, in shSNAP-25 transfected neurons, the surface expression of GluN2A still showed an up-regulated trend in response to cLTP treatment. Its basal level was reduced to $56.54 \pm 6.09\%$, compared to $35.18 \pm 3.01\%$ in the shSNAP-23 group (Fig. 4F). This is indicating that in SNAP-25 down-regulated neurons, both basal- and cLTP-induced GluN2A receptor exocytosis was partially preserved. These data suggest that SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 are both required for constitutive GluN2A receptor delivery to the plasma membrane and further support the notion that the cLTP-induced increase in GluN2A receptor level is more likely to be dependent on SNAP-23 mediated exocytosis than on exocytosis mediated by SNAP-25.

Exocytosis of GluN2A receptors to the extrasynaptic regions is specifically increased by cLTP

To analyze individual exocytosis of GluN2A-containing NMDARs in postsynaptic neurons, we fused superecliptic pHluorin to the extracellular region of GluN2A (SEP-GluN2A) (Kopec et al., 2006) (Fig. 5A). Using this construct, we were able to detect a >20 fold increase in fluorescence intensity when the acidified intracellular vesicles (pH5.5) fused with the plasma membrane and exposed their SEP units to the neutral extracellular medium (pH>7.0) (Fig. 5B). We further combined this with TIRF microscopy, which only detects GluN2A receptor exocytosis near the plasma membrane (~100 nm), with a time resolution of ~10 Hz (Lin et al., 2009; Gu and Huganir, 2016; Joensuu et al., 2017). To precisely examine whether the frequency, duration or location of the regulated GluN2A receptor exocytosis is regulated by cLTP, we employed an additional bleaching step in TIRF mode to remove the pre-existing SEP-GluN2A fluorescence on the plasma membrane. This bleaching step was carried on immediately before the time-lapse acquisition, which was accompanied with the 5 to 10 min of cLTP perfusion (Fig. 5C-D, step ⑦ - ⑧; sFig. 4A). After bleaching, individual

exocytic fusion events of vesicles containing SEP-GluN2A receptors could be easily detected near the Homer1c-DsRed-positive postsynaptic compartments (Fig. 5C-D, step 2; sFig. 4A; sMovie 2). The projection of time-lapse images of these events on the Homer1c-DsRed channel demonstrated the extrasynaptic location of vesicle fusion in postsynaptic neurons (Fig. 5E), which is similar to previous findings (Newpher and Ehlers, 2008). Next, the time-lapse images of the newly inserted SEP-GluN2A-containing vesicles were automatically traced and both the duration and frequency of these identified trajectories were analyzed (Fig. 5F; see also sFig. 4B-D). As duration of the SEP-GluN2A events reflects the pertinent properties of the underlying exocytotic machinery (Gu and Huganir, 2016), we first compared the average duration of SEP-GluN2A in both control and cLTP conditions and found that they are both around two seconds (Fig. 5G; control: 1.98 ± 0.169 s; cLTP: 1.96 ± 0.093 s; see also sFig. 3E), with no significant difference between the two treatments (Fig. 5G). This dynamic property of NMDA receptor exocytosis is consistent with previous studies (Gu and Huganir, 2016). However, in contrast to their unchanged duration, the fusion frequency of vesicles contains SEP-GluN2A receptors was significantly increased by the cLTP treatment (Fig. 6A-B). Moreover, the cLTP-induced frequency increase of the SEP-GluN2A insertion was totally blocked by the specific NMDA channel antagonist APV (Fig. 6B). Importantly, we also found that co-expression of BoNT/A-Lc completely abolished cLTP-induced increase of SEP-GluN2A insertion (Fig. 6B), indicating this increased GluN2A insertion was indeed caused by the cLTP-dependent regulation of postsynaptic exocytotic machinery. These results suggest that during the early stage of cLTP, an NMDAR-mediated response up-regulates the frequency of SEP-GluN2A exocytotic insertion into the postsynaptic compartments, without affecting the dynamic properties pertinent to these events.

As these events were detected after bleaching of pre-existing surface NMDARs, they represent only the newly inserted GluN2A-containing NMDARs occurring upon cLTP perfusion. To further characterize where these cLTP-induced NMDARs were inserted to, we compared the locations of these events with those of co-transfected postsynaptic marker Homer1c-DsRed, which labelled the synaptic areas (Fig. 6A). Using projection of time-lapse images acquired during 5-10 min of cLTP perfusion (Fig. 6A), we found the majority of these newly inserted NMDARs were in the extrasynaptic areas, which were void of Homer1c-DsRed (Fig. 6A, insets). Both the absolute number (Fig. 6C) and the relative percentage (Fig. 6D) of the insertion events in extrasynaptic areas were up-regulated with the cLTP perfusion. The extrasynaptic insertion of GluN2A-containing NMDARs is similar to that of activity-dependent AMPARs, which occurs exclusively in the extrasynaptic region (Yudowski et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2009).

To evaluate whether the increase in insertion frequency is also followed by a change in the number of GluN2A receptors per fusion event, we examined how the fluorescence intensity of each spot changed over time. We observed steps in the intensity-time trace typical for bleaching of single fluorescent proteins (Ulbrich and Isacoff, 2007). Thus, we applied single-step photobleaching, a technique that allowed us to directly count the number of SEP-GluN2A subunits which were inserted into the plasma membrane in each exocytic event. Fig. 6E shows an example of a typical intensity-time trace with one (upper) and two (lower) bleaching steps. We analysed a total of 274 fusion events, 163 of which were in the control neurons and 111 in the neurons in which cLTP was induced. The majority of traces (66%) had only one bleaching step with a fluorescence intensity centred around 303 counts and 32% had two bleaching steps with a total intensity centered at 630 counts as shown in Fig. 6F. In only 2 % of traces, we counted three steps. When we compared the number of traces with one, two

or three bleaching steps in control neurons to those in neurons where cLTP was induced, we did not see any statistical difference (Fig. 6G). These results indicate that the number of SEP-GluN2A subunits inserted per fusion event did not change when cLTP was induced. Since this type of analysis allows us to visualize only labelled GluN2A subunits and not the endogenous ones and because NMDA receptors can contain one or two GluN2A subunits, we were unable to determine the absolute number of receptors that were inserted into the plasma membrane per fusion event. However, we can speculate that there are at least one and at most three NMDA receptors with one labelled GluN2A subunit present in each fusion event and that the number of GluN2A-containing NMDA receptors per endocytic vesicle does not change after cLTP is induced. Furthermore, the data suggests that the cLTP induces a transient increase in extrasynaptic GluN2A-containing receptors which occurs primarily through up-regulation of the SEP-GluN2A receptor insertion frequency into postsynaptic neurons.

Discussion

NMDARs are dynamically regulated during synaptic plasticity

The number and subunit composition of NMDA receptor channels at synapses is tightly controlled to ensure synaptic plasticity. Defects in the surface expression of NMDARs disrupt the hippocampal LTP, impairing spatial memory in mice (Sheng and Kim, 2002; Rezvani, 2006), and have also been identified in a range of human cognitive disorders (McQuail et al., 2016; Tannenholz et al., 2016; Avila et al., 2017; Hopf, 2017). Compared to the highly activity-regulated surface AMPARs, NMDARs are regarded as relatively stationary components in the process of synaptic plasticity as the variations in both current amplitude and number of synaptic NMDA channels caused by *in vivo* activation is small (Hestrin, 1992; Petralia et al., 1999) and the absolute number of GluN1 subunits within individual synapses remain relatively constant during the crucial postnatal developmental stages (Petralia et al., 1999). However, accumulating evidence suggests that synaptic NMDARs levels undergo consecutive and regulated synaptic trafficking and retrieval during synaptic remodeling (Lan et al., 2001; Perez-Otano and Ehlers, 2005; Lau and Zukin, 2007; Lau et al., 2010). Moreover, NMDARs are highly dynamic in altering their subunit composition (Bellone and Nicoll, 2007) via regulated lateral movement of existing GluN2A or GluN2B subunits between synaptic and extrasynaptic regions in an activity-dependent manner (Lau and Zukin, 2007; Newpher and Ehlers, 2008; von Engelhardt et al., 2009; Bard and Groc, 2011; Ferreira et al., 2017). Recently, exocytosis of GluN2A and GluN2B receptors was implicated as a possible mechanism underlying GluN2A and GluN2B ratio swap. One study showed that the supply of GluN2A-containing NMDARs to the postsynaptic region is selectively up-regulated by a mechanism dependent on the endoplasmic reticulum chaperon Bip (Zhang et al., 2015). Interestingly, during this process the surface expression of GluN2B-containing NMDARs shows a decline in response to LTP, suggesting that the two subtypes are regulated separately.

We also observed that LTP induces a rapid and different change in the amount of surface GluN2A and GluN2B subunits. We further demonstrated that the up-regulated GluN2A-containing NMDARs inserted in extrasynaptic regions, which are known to be the predominant insertion sites for other ligand-gated ion channels such as AMPARs (Ulbrich and Isacoff, 2007; Lin et al., 2009) and GABARs (Gu et al., 2016). Similar to these channels, activity-dependent delivery of NMDAR may also be achieved through a two-step mechanism, comprising of: (1) the extrasynaptic receptor exocytosis and (2) the subsequent lateral diffusion of surface receptors between the extrasynaptic and synaptic pools that eventually leads to the balanced proportion of GluN2A and GluN2B in synaptic NMDAR clusters.

SNARE proteins mediate the rapid extrasynaptic insertion of NMDARs

NMDAR delivery to the plasma membrane can be rapidly enhanced via up-regulation of the SNARE-dependent exocytosis mechanism (Lan et al., 2001). This effect is likely mediated by phosphorylation of proteins associated with NMDARs (Lan et al., 2001). SNAP-25 and its postsynaptically enriched homologue SNAP-23 are such candidate proteins. Both can be phosphorylated in an activity-dependent manner (Hepp et al., 2005; Lau et al., 2010), and it is likely that the rapid LTP-triggered insertion of NMDARs onto the neuronal surface, as observed in acute brain slices (Grosshans et al., 2002), is driven by SNAP-25 or SNAP-23. Indeed, SNAP-25 was found to be required for the activity-dependent insertion of both NMDARs and AMPARs (Jurado et al., 2013). SNAP-23 is required for the consecutive (basal) surface delivery of NMDARs in mice brain (Suh et al., 2010). However, one recent study found that the insertion of NMDARs, as reflected by the exocytosis of GluN1 subunits, is not regulated by synaptic activation/inhibition paradigms (Gu and Huganir, 2016). Therefore, whether SNAP-23 and SNAP25 directly control the exocytosis of NMDARs during the synaptic modification process is still arguable.

541

542 This debate is partially due to the fact that, in most studies, exocytosis of NMDARs was
 543 estimated using the surface level of GluN1 subunits, which is the relatively stationary
 544 component of NMDARs (Perez-Otano and Ehlers, 2005; Newpher and Ehlers, 2008). Other
 545 technical difficulties to overcome include the small number of NMDARs within each
 546 exocytosis package, which limits their precise detection in live neurons. We circumvented
 547 these limitations by using the TIRF-based bleaching assay to remove most of the preexisting
 548 surface GluN2A-containing NMDARs, therefore boosting the detection of newly inserted
 549 ones. We further paired this detection with diverse perfusion conditions to examine the impact
 550 of synaptic plasticity on this exocytosis process. By combining the bleaching assay with live-
 551 cell surface staining, we quantified the cLTP-induced vesicle insertion of GluN2A-containing
 552 NMDARs and noticed that the exocytosis frequency of GluN2A-containing postsynaptic
 553 vesicles was significantly increased following LTP. We therefore found that postsynaptic
 554 exocytosis machinery directly regulates the surface expression of NMDARs in an activity-
 555 dependent manner. This selective insertion of GluN2A-containing NMDARs together with
 556 their lateral diffusion that happens on longer timescales, controls the number and subunit
 557 composition of synaptic NMDARs and thereby orchestrates the synaptic plasticity underlying
 558 learning and memory formation.

559

560 In conclusion, our study provides direct evidence that NMDAR-mediated LTP induces a
 561 transient up-regulation of SEP-GluN2A-containing NMDARs in extrasynaptic sites of
 562 postsynaptic neurons. SNAP-23, and also to a minor extent SNAP-25, are required to control
 563 regulated surface insertion of GluN2A receptors by controlling their exocytotic frequency at
 564 extrasynaptic regions. Either SNAP-23 or SNAP-25 also mediates the consecutive GluN2A
 565 membrane delivery. By directly visualizing cLTP-induced SEP-GluN2A exocytotic fusion

events, we found that cLTP specifically raised the frequency of GluN2A exocytosis into the extrasynaptic area, without affecting duration or package size of individual insertion events. Our work reveals a novel mechanism underlying the initial NMDAR-mediated postsynaptic response, providing potential methods to specifically abolish regulated NMDA receptor trafficking by interfering with its fusion machinery during LTP.

References

- Abonyo BO, Gou D, Wang P, Narasaraaju T, Wang Z, Liu L (2004) Syntaxin 2 and snap-23 are required for regulated surfactant secretion. *Biochemistry* 43:3499-3506.
- Avila J, Llorens-Martin M, Pallas-Bazarra N, Bolos M, Perea JR, Rodriguez-Matellan A, Hernandez F (2017) Cognitive decline in neuronal aging and alzheimer's disease: Role of nmda receptors and associated proteins. *Front Neurosci* 11:626.
- Bard L, Groc L (2011) Glutamate receptor dynamics and protein interaction: Lessons from the nmda receptor. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 48:298-307.
- Barth AL, Malenka RC (2001) Nmdar epsc kinetics do not regulate the critical period for ltp at thalamocortical synapses. *Nat Neurosci* 4:235-236.
- Bellone C, Nicoll RA (2007) Rapid bidirectional switching of synaptic nmda receptors. *Neuron* 55:779-785.
- Bolte S, Cordelieres FP (2006) A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light microscopy. *J Microsc* 224:213-232.
- Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, Schreiter ER, Kerr RA, Orger MB, Jayaraman V, Looger LL, Svoboda K, Kim DS (2013) Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. *Nature* 499:295-300.
- Cocucci E, Racchetti G, Rupnik M, Meldolesi J (2008) The regulated exocytosis of enlargeosomes is mediated by a snare machinery that includes vamp4. *J Cell Sci* 121:2983-2991.
- Durisic N, Laparra-Cuervo L, Sandoval-Alvarez A, Borbely JS, Lakadamyali M (2014) Single-molecule evaluation of fluorescent protein photoactivation efficiency using an in vivo nanotemplate. *Nat Methods* 11:156-162.

594 Durisic N, Godin AG, Wever CM, Heyes CD, Lakadamyali M, Dent JA (2012) Stoichiometry
595 of the human glycine receptor revealed by direct subunit counting. *J Neurosci*
596 32:12915-12920.

597 Ferreira JS, Papouin T, Ladepeche L, Yao A, Langlais VC, Bouchet D, Dulong J, Mothet JP,
598 Sacchi S, Pollegioni L, Paoletti P, Oliet SHR, Groc L (2017) Co-agonists
599 differentially tune glun2b-nmda receptor trafficking at hippocampal synapses. *Elife* 6.

600 Fortin DA, Davare MA, Srivastava T, Brady JD, Nygaard S, Derkach VA, Soderling TR
601 (2010) Long-term potentiation-dependent spine enlargement requires synaptic ca^{2+} -
602 permeable ampa receptors recruited by cam-kinase i. *J Neurosci* 30:11565-11575.

603 Foster LJ, Yaworsky K, Trimble WS, Klip A (1999) Snap23 promotes insulin-dependent
604 glucose uptake in 3t3-l1 adipocytes: Possible interaction with cytoskeleton. *Am J*
605 *Physiol* 276:C1108-1114.

606 Grosshans DR, Clayton DA, Coultrap SJ, Browning MD (2002) Ltp leads to rapid surface
607 expression of nmda but not ampa receptors in adult rat ca1. *Nat Neurosci* 5:27-33.

608 Gu Y, Huganir RL (2016) Identification of the snare complex mediating the exocytosis of
609 nmda receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113:12280-12285.

610 Gu Y, Chiu SL, Liu B, Wu PH, Delannoy M, Lin DT, Wirtz D, Huganir RL (2016)
611 Differential vesicular sorting of ampa and gabaa receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
612 113:E922-931.

613 Hayashi MK, Tang C, Verpelli C, Narayanan R, Stearns MH, Xu RM, Li H, Sala C, Hayashi
614 Y (2009) The postsynaptic density proteins homer and shank form a polymeric
615 network structure. *Cell* 137:159-171.

616 Hepp R, Puri N, Hohenstein AC, Crawford GL, Whiteheart SW, Roche PA (2005)
617 Phosphorylation of snap-23 regulates exocytosis from mast cells. *J Biol Chem*
618 280:6610-6620.

- Hestrin S (1992) Developmental regulation of nmda receptor-mediated synaptic currents at a central synapse. *Nature* 357:686-689.
- Hopf FW (2017) Do specific nmda receptor subunits act as gateways for addictive behaviors? *Genes Brain Behav* 16:118-138.
- Horak M, Petralia RS, Kaniakova M, Sans N (2014) Er to synapse trafficking of nmda receptors. *Front Cell Neurosci* 8:394.
- Hruska M, Henderson N, Le Marchand SJ, Jafri H, Dalva MB (2018) Synaptic nanomodules underlie the organization and plasticity of spine synapses. *Nat Neurosci* 21:671-682.
- Joensuu M, Martinez-Marmol R, Padmanabhan P, Glass NR, Durisic N, Pelekanos M, Mollazade M, Balistreri G, Amor R, Cooper-White JJ, Goodhill GJ, Meunier FA (2017) Visualizing endocytic recycling and trafficking in live neurons by subdiffractional tracking of internalized molecules. *Nat Protoc* 12:2590-2622.
- Jurado S, Goswami D, Zhang Y, Molina AJ, Sudhof TC, Malenka RC (2013) Ltp requires a unique postsynaptic snare fusion machinery. *Neuron* 77:542-558.
- Kellermayer B, Ferreira JS, Dupuis J, Levet F, Grillo-Bosch D, Bard L, Linares-Loyez J, Bouchet D, Choquet D, Rusakov DA, Bon P, Sibarita JB, Cognet L, Sainlos M, Carvalho AL, Groc L (2018) Differential nanoscale topography and functional role of glun2-nmda receptor subtypes at glutamatergic synapses. *Neuron* 100:106-119 e107.
- Kopec CD, Li B, Wei W, Boehm J, Malinow R (2006) Glutamate receptor exocytosis and spine enlargement during chemically induced long-term potentiation. *J Neurosci* 26:2000-2009.
- Kutsuwada T, Kashiwabuchi N, Mori H, Sakimura K, Kushiya E, Araki K, Meguro H, Masaki H, Kumanishi T, Arakawa M, et al. (1992) Molecular diversity of the nmda receptor channel. *Nature* 358:36-41.

643 Lan JY, Skeberdis VA, Jover T, Grooms SY, Lin Y, Araneda RC, Zheng X, Bennett MV,
644 Zukin RS (2001) Protein kinase c modulates nmda receptor trafficking and gating. *Nat*
645 *Neurosci* 4:382-390.

646 Lau CG, Zukin RS (2007) Nmda receptor trafficking in synaptic plasticity and
647 neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 8:413-426.

648 Lau CG, Takayasu Y, Rodenas-Ruano A, Paternain AV, Lerma J, Bennett MV, Zukin RS
649 (2010) Snap-25 is a target of protein kinase c phosphorylation critical to nmda
650 receptor trafficking. *J Neurosci* 30:242-254.

651 Li P, Miao Y, Dani A, Vig M (2016) Alpha-snap regulates dynamic, on-site assembly and
652 calcium selectivity of orai1 channels. *Mol Biol Cell* 27:2542-2553.

653 Lin DT, Makino Y, Sharma K, Hayashi T, Neve R, Takamiya K, Huganir RL (2009)
654 Regulation of ampa receptor extrasynaptic insertion by 4.1n, phosphorylation and
655 palmitoylation. *Nat Neurosci* 12:879-887.

656 Lledo PM, Zhang X, Sudhof TC, Malenka RC, Nicoll RA (1998) Postsynaptic membrane
657 fusion and long-term potentiation. *Science* 279:399-403.

658 Lock JT, Parker I, Smith IF (2015) A comparison of fluorescent $ca(2)(+)$ indicators for
659 imaging local $ca(2)(+)$ signals in cultured cells. *Cell Calcium* 58:638-648.

660 Lu W, Man H, Ju W, Trimble WS, MacDonald JF, Wang YT (2001) Activation of synaptic
661 nmda receptors induces membrane insertion of new ampa receptors and ltp in cultured
662 hippocampal neurons. *Neuron* 29:243-254.

663 Malinow R, Malenka RC (2002) Ampa receptor trafficking and synaptic plasticity. *Annu Rev*
664 *Neurosci* 25:103-126.

665 McQuail JA, Beas BS, Kelly KB, Simpson KL, Frazier CJ, Setlow B, Bizon JL (2016) Nr2a-
666 containing nmdars in the prefrontal cortex are required for working memory and
667 associated with age-related cognitive decline. *J Neurosci* 36:12537-12548.

668 Meunier FA, Lisk G, Sesardic D, Dolly JO (2003) Dynamics of motor nerve terminal
669 remodeling unveiled using snare-cleaving botulinum toxins: The extent and duration
670 are dictated by the sites of snap-25 truncation. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 22:454-466.

671 Monyer H, Burnashev N, Laurie DJ, Sakmann B, Seeburg PH (1994) Developmental and
672 regional expression in the rat brain and functional properties of four nmda receptors.
673 *Neuron* 12:529-540.

674 Naskar P, Puri N (2017) Phosphorylation of snap-23 regulates its dynamic membrane
675 association during mast cell exocytosis. *Biol Open* 6:1257-1269.

676 Newpher TM, Ehlers MD (2008) Glutamate receptor dynamics in dendritic microdomains.
677 *Neuron* 58:472-497.

678 Osborn DE, Castro JE (1977) Immunological response in patients receiving corynebacterium
679 parvum therapy. *Clin Oncol* 3:155-164.

680 Peng L, Liu H, Ruan H, Tepp WH, Stoothoff WH, Brown RH, Johnson EA, Yao WD, Zhang
681 SC, Dong M (2013) Cytotoxicity of botulinum neurotoxins reveals a direct role of
682 syntaxin 1 and snap-25 in neuron survival. *Nat Commun* 4:1472.

683 Perez-Otano I, Ehlers MD (2005) Homeostatic plasticity and nmda receptor trafficking.
684 *Trends Neurosci* 28:229-238.

685 Petralia RS, Esteban JA, Wang YX, Partridge JG, Zhao HM, Wenthold RJ, Malinow R (1999)
686 Selective acquisition of ampa receptors over postnatal development suggests a
687 molecular basis for silent synapses. *Nat Neurosci* 2:31-36.

688 Rezvani AH (2006) Involvement of the nmda system in learning and memory. In: *Animal*
689 *models of cognitive impairment* (Levin ED, Buccafusco JJ, eds). Boca Raton (FL).

690 Rizo J, Sudhof TC (2002) Snares and munc18 in synaptic vesicle fusion. *Nat Rev Neurosci*
691 3:641-653.

692 Sanz-Clemente A, Nicoll RA, Roche KW (2013) Diversity in nmda receptor composition:
693 Many regulators, many consequences. *Neuroscientist* 19:62-75.

694 Schiavo G, Matteoli M, Montecucco C (2000) Neurotoxins affecting neuroexocytosis.
695 *Physiological reviews* 80:717-766.

696 Sheng M, Kim MJ (2002) Postsynaptic signaling and plasticity mechanisms. *Science*
697 298:776-780.

698 Suh YH, Terashima A, Petralia RS, Wenthold RJ, Isaac JT, Roche KW, Roche PA (2010) A
699 neuronal role for snap-23 in postsynaptic glutamate receptor trafficking. *Nat Neurosci*
700 13:338-343.

701 Suzuki K, Verma IM (2008) Phosphorylation of snap-23 by ikappab kinase 2 regulates mast
702 cell degranulation. *Cell* 134:485-495.

703 Tan MC, Widagdo J, Chau YQ, Zhu T, Wong JJ, Cheung A, Anggono V (2017) The activity-
704 induced long non-coding rna meg3 modulates ampa receptor surface expression in
705 primary cortical neurons. *Front Cell Neurosci* 11:124.

706 Tannenholz L, Hen R, Kheirbek MA (2016) Glun2b-containing nmda receptors on adult-born
707 granule cells contribute to the antidepressant action of fluoxetine. *Front Neurosci*
708 10:242.

709 Tsien JZ, Huerta PT, Tonegawa S (1996) The essential role of hippocampal cal nmda
710 receptor-dependent synaptic plasticity in spatial memory. *Cell* 87:1327-1338.

711 Ulbrich MH, Isacoff EY (2007) Subunit counting in membrane-bound proteins. *Nat Methods*
712 4:319-321.

713 Vaidyanathan VV, Yoshino K, Jahnz M, Dorries C, Bade S, Nauenburg S, Niemann H, Binz
714 T (1999) Proteolysis of snap-25 isoforms by botulinum neurotoxin types a, c, and e:
715 Domains and amino acid residues controlling the formation of enzyme-substrate
716 complexes and cleavage. *J Neurochem* 72:327-337.

- 717 von Engelhardt J, Doganci B, Seeburg PH, Monyer H (2009) Synaptic nr2a- but not nr2b-
718 containing nmda receptors increase with blockade of ionotropic glutamate receptors.
719 Front Mol Neurosci 2:19.
- 720 Wang T, Martin S, Nguyen TH, Harper CB, Gormal RS, Martinez-Marmol R, Karunanithi S,
721 Coulson EJ, Glass NR, Cooper-White JJ, van Swinderen B, Meunier FA (2016) Flux
722 of signalling endosomes undergoing axonal retrograde transport is encoded by
723 presynaptic activity and trkb. Nat Commun 7:12976.
- 724 Wang W, Wang F, Liu J, Zhao W, Zhao Q, He M, Qian BJ, Xu Y, Liu R, Liu SJ, Liu W, Liu
725 J, Zhou XF, Wang TH (2014) Snap25 ameliorates sensory deficit in rats with spinal
726 cord transection. Mol Neurobiol 50:290-304.
- 727 Yashiro K, Philpot BD (2008) Regulation of nmda receptor subunit expression and its
728 implications for ltd, ltp, and metaplasticity. Neuropharmacology 55:1081-1094.
- 729 Yudowski GA, Puthenveedu MA, Leonoudakis D, Panicker S, Thorn KS, Beattie EC, von
730 Zastrow M (2007) Real-time imaging of discrete exocytic events mediating surface
731 delivery of ampa receptors. J Neurosci 27:11112-11121.
- 732 Zhang XM, Yan XY, Zhang B, Yang Q, Ye M, Cao W, Qiang WB, Zhu LJ, Du YL, Xu XX,
733 Wang JS, Xu F, Lu W, Qiu S, Yang W, Luo JH (2015) Activity-induced synaptic
734 delivery of the glun2a-containing nmda receptor is dependent on endoplasmic
735 reticulum chaperone bip and involved in fear memory. Cell Res 25:818-836.
- 736 Zinchuk V, Zinchuk O, Okada T (2007) Quantitative colocalization analysis of multicolor
737 confocal immunofluorescence microscopy images: Pushing pixels to explore
738 biological phenomena. Acta Histochem Cytochem 40:101-111.

Legends

Figure 1. cLTP induces a transient increase in surface GluN2A NMDA receptors in hippocampal neurons.

(A) Schematic diagram of the cLTP model used in this study. Mature hippocampal neurons (DIV>21) were pre-incubated in Buffer A for 60 min and then flushed with 200 nM of Glycine (Buffer B) for 10 min to induce glycine-dependent cLTP. They were then returned to resting condition in Buffer A for 10 to 30 min. 50 μ M APV was added to the bathing medium as indicated. Surface levels of GluN2 receptors were detected at indicated time points. (B) Calcium fluctuations of dendritic spine regions visualized in GCaMP6-expressing hippocampal neurons, which were treated with the above cLTP protocol. Fluorescence intensity of bracketed area is amplified in lower left boxes. Bar=20 μ m. Kymograph (C) and intensity profile (D) of the Ca^{2+} intensity in individual dendritic spines before (control) and during the 10 min of cLTP treatment (+cLTP). (E) Quantification of Ca^{2+} fluctuations induced by the cLTP protocol. $\Delta F/F$ of the GCaMP6-expressing neurons was used to show the Ca^{2+} intensity change before (control), and during the cLTP treatment without (-) or with (+APV) the existence of 50 μ M APV. Results are shown in scatter plot with mean $\pm$ SEM, * p <0.05. n=6 (control), 7 (cLTP), 6 (+APV) cells from two independent cultures, two tailed student's t -test. (F) Representative western blots showing the levels of biotin labeled surface GluN2A receptors in cultured rat cortical neurons treated as indicated. (G) Quantification of results in (F). Results are shown in scatter plot with mean $\pm$ SEM, * p <0.05. n=9 (ctrl), 7 (cLTP) repeats from at least seven independent preparations, two tailed student's t -test. (H) Confocal images showing surface immunostaining for GluN2A receptors in cultured rat hippocampal neurons treated as indicated. Bar=20 μ m. (I-J) Quantification of immunostaining of surface GluN2A receptor levels (I), total GluN2A receptor levels, which is the GluN2A immunostaining signal after cell membrane permeabilization. (J) and the ratio of surface to total GluN2A receptor

levels (**K**) before or after cLTP treatment for indicated time. Results are shown in mean±SEM, $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$. Results were from n=39 (ctrl), 51 (cLTP), 42 (+APV) cells of three independent preparations, two tailed student's *t*-test.

Figure 2. Extrasynaptic GluN2A-NMDARs are up-regulated shortly following the cLTP induction.

(A) Representative confocal images of surface GluN2A immunostaining in cultured rat hippocampal neurons co-transfected with SEP-GluN2A and Homer1c-DsRed, which were treated as indicated. Boxed regions were amplified in the bottom rows. Extrasynaptic NMDARs were indicated with arrowheads. Bar=1 μ m. (B) Quantification of immunostaining for surface GluN2A receptor levels. Data represent mean±SEM, n=17 (0'), 19 (-) and 22 (+APV) neurons. $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, two-tailed student's *t*-test, data were derived from three independent cultures. (C) Representative 3D-SIM images of endogenous surface GluN2A and Homer immunostaining in cultured rat hippocampal neurons treated as indicated. Wide-field images are shown in the upper left insets. Boxed regions of interest (ROIs) are shown in lower panels. Extrasynaptic NMDARs were indicated with arrowheads. Bar=1 μ m. (D) Cluster size of Surface GluN2A receptor were determined using the 'surface' function of Imaris. The frequency distribution of cluster size were shown in control, cLTP 10 min and cLTP 40 min neurons. Clusters with a diameter of 0.01 to 0.1 μ m² were characterized as 'small' clusters, whereas all others were characterized as 'big' clusters. Data represent mean±SEM, n=6 (control), 5 (10 min) and 7 (40 min) cells were analyzed. $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, two-tailed student's *t*-test, data were derived from three independent cultures. (E) Quantification of the average density of small GluN2A clusters. Data represent mean±SEM, n=12 (0'), 10 (cLTP 10') and 14 (cLTP 40') neurons. $*p<0.05$, two-tailed student's *t*-test, data were derived from three independent cultures. (F) The dual-colour SIM images were analyzed

using Imaris software, and the representative Imaris-rendered surfaces were shown. GluN2A and Homer were extracted as separate surfaces to detect the overlap between surface of GluN2A receptors and that of the postsynaptic marker Homer. Boxed regions are amplified in lower panels. Bar=1 μ m. **(G)** Representative spatial separation efficiency of the Imaris surface method in extracting the synaptic and extrasynaptic GluN2A receptors. Non-Homer1c overlapping (Homer-) GluN2A receptors were characterized as extrasynaptic, and Homer1c overlapping (Homer+) GluN2A receptors were characterized as synaptic. Boxed regions are amplified in the bottom panels with extrasynaptic or synaptic GluN2A receptors marked using red and green surfaces, respectively. **(H-I)** Cumulative frequency distribution of the size of extrasynaptic **(H)** and synaptic **(I)** GluN2A receptors surfaces in cultured hippocampal neurons before (control) or after 10 min of cLTP treatment (cLTP). **(J)** Average cluster sizes of the synaptic and extrasynaptic surface GluN2A receptors, in cultured hippocampal neurons before (control) or after 10 min of cLTP treatment (cLTP). Data represent mean $\pm$ SEM, n=606, 800, 981 and 1323 clusters from at least five neurons were compared. ** p <0.01, *** p <0.001, two-tailed student's t -test, data were derived from three independent cultures.

Figure 3. Surface GluN2A clusters are more closely correlated with the postsynaptic SNARE component SNAP-23 than SNAP-25.

(A) DIV21 rat hippocampal neurons were fixed and stained with specific antibodies against endogenous GluN2A, SNAP-23 and SNAP-25. Confocal microscopy was used to detect the distribution of signals from three different fluorescent channels. To the right boxed region is amplified with channels separated. Bracketed regions are further amplified. Spine annotated by arrows were positive for GluN2A, both SNAP-23 and SNAP-25, whereas spines annotated by arrowheads shows the overlapping between GluN2A and only SNAP-23. Scale bar as indicated on the panels. **(B)** DIV21 rat hippocampal neurons were live-stained for surface

GluN2A expression, followed by fixation and staining with specific antibodies against endogenous SNAP-23 and SNAP-25. 3D-SIM was used to visualize the distribution of fluorescent staining signals at dendritic spines. ROIs were amplified in top and right panels, with 3-color line-profile performed across the single synapse shown in right panel. Scale bar as indicated. (C) Line profiles showing the colocalization of GluN2A (green), SNAP-23 (red) and SNAP-25 (magenta). (D) Colocalization level between GluN2A and SNAP25 or SNAP23 were quantified with Pearson's coefficient. Data represent mean $\pm$ SEM, n=43 ROIs with SNAP-25 and SNAP-23, respectively. *** p <0.001, two-tailed student's t -test, data were measured from two independent cultures.

Figure 4. Activity-dependent surface increase in GluN2A receptors is dependent on SNAP-23 and SNAP-25.

(A) PC12 cells were transfected with plasmids expressing the catalytic light-chains (Lc) of BoNT/A or BoNT/E, respectively. Cleavage of SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 was detected using their specific antibodies, full-length of SNAP-25 and SNAP-23 are indicated with arrows. (B) Representative images showing the surface level of endogenous GluN2A following 10 min cLTP stimulation in DIV21-28 rat hippocampal neurons, which were co-transfected with Homer-DsRed and BoNT/A-Lc. Boxed regions showing the level of surface GluN2A subunits were amplified in the bottom panels. Scale bar=20 μ m. (C) Quantification of the surface level of endogenous GluN2A in BoNT/A-Lc transfected groups. (D) PC12 cells were transfected with shSNAP-23 or shSNAP-25 plasmids to verify knock down efficiencies. Endogenous SNAP-23 and SNAP-25 was detected using their specific antibodies. (E) Representative images showing the surface level of endogenous GluN2A following 10 min cLTP stimulation in DIV21-28 rat hippocampal neurons co-transfected with Homer-DsRed and shSNAP-23, shSNAP-25 plasmids. Boxed regions showing the level of surface GluN2A

subunits were amplified in the bottom panels. Scale bar=20 μ m. **(F)** Quantification of the surface level of endogenous GluN2A in shSNAP-23, shSNAP-25 transfected groups. Results are shown in mean $\pm$ SEM, *** p <0.001, n.s. no significant difference. For E, n=47, 41, 26 and 21 cells from three independent preparations, two tailed student's t -test. For F, n=60, 82, 25, 28, 32 and 39 cells from three independent preparations, two tailed student's t -test.

Figure 5. Detection of newly added GluN2A-containing NMDA receptors in dendritic areas of cultured hippocampal neurons.

(A) Schematic diagram of the mechanism underlying detection of exocytotic pH-sensitive SEP-GluN2 receptors at the neuronal surface. **(B)** Acidification test of SEP-GluN2A subunits expressed in DIV21 hippocampal neurons. pH values of the imaging solution are ~7.4 and ~5.5, respectively. Fluorescence intensity is color coded, bar=10 μ m. **(C)** Schematic diagram showing bleaching assay in TIRF mode to detect SEP-GluN2 exocytosis. Individual exocytotic events are indicated with red arrow. **(D)** Representative time-lapse images of hippocampal neurons transfected with Homer1c-DsRed and SEP-GluN2A are shown to illustrate the actual detection steps of the bleaching assay for SEP-GluN2 exocytosis (step 1 and 2). Boxed regions are amplified in the upper right corner. The neurons after bleaching are outlined in yellow (step 3). An exocytosis event is annotated with red arrow in step 4. Bar=5 μ m. **(E)** Representative maximum projection of the time-lapse image stacks showing exocytic events at 5 min. Bracketed area is amplified in upper right box. Bar=5 μ m. **(F)** y-t projection of the region bracketed in **(E)**, with time-lapse frames of an exocytotic event shown in bottom panels. Scale bar as indicated. **(G)** Quantification of average duration of GluN2A exocytic fusion events in neurons before (control) and after 5 to 10 min of cLTP treatment (cLTP). Results are shown in mean $\pm$ SEM, n.s. no significant difference, n as indicated. Neurons were from three independent preparations, two tailed student's t -test.

Figure 6. Exocytosis frequency of the GluN2A-containing NMDA receptors in extrasynaptic regions is up-regulated by cLTP.

(A) Representative figures of cultured hippocampal neurons with indicated treatments showing sites of GluN2A exocytosis. Postsynaptic regions are labelled with Homer-DsRed. Boxed regions showing the exocytic events near the spine are amplified in the upper right corner. Bar=5 μ m. (B) Quantification of the relative number and (C) extrasynaptic/synaptic ratio of SEP-GluN2A exocytosis in neurons before (control) and after 5 to 10 min of cLTP treatment (cLTP). (D) Quantification of exocytosis frequency in neurons before (control) and after 5-10 min of cLTP treatment (cLTP), which were compared to those of APV (50 μ M)-treated or BoNT/A-Lc (A/Lc) transfected neurons. Results are shown in mean $\pm$ SEM, ** p <0.01, *** p <0.001, n as indicated. Neurons were from at least three independent preparations, two tailed student's t -test. (E) Fluorescence intensity recorded from each exocytic fusion event decayed in step-like manner. Each step corresponds to one inserted and bleached SEP-GluN2A subunit. Most intensity-time traces showed one bleaching step (left graph) and smaller number of traces had two bleaching steps (right graph). The steps are indicated with arrows. (F) A histogram showing fluorescence intensity distribution of fusion events before step bleaching has occurred. The two peaks are due to traces with one (light gray Gaussian fit) and two (dark gray Gaussian fit) bleaching steps. (G) A histogram showing the number of traces that had one, two or three bleaching steps in control (white) and cLTP treated neurons (black). Maximum number of steps observed was three. 163 (control) and 111 (cLTP) exocytic fusion events were analyzed.

Supplementary Figure 1. cLTP induces a transient increase in surface GluN2A (but not GluN2B) subunits of NMDA receptors.

(A) Representative western blots showing the levels of biotin labeled surface and total GluN2B receptors in cultured rat cortical neurons, treated as indicated. The relative level of phosphorylated ERK (pERK) is used as the marker for cLTP induction. (B) Quantification of (A). Results are shown in scatter plot with mean±SEM, n.s. $p>0.05$. n=8 repeats from eight independent preparations, two tailed student's t -test. (C) Representative surface immunostaining of extracellular GFP tag in GFP-GluN2B expressing hippocampal neurons treated as indicated. Boxed regions are amplified in lower panels. Bar=5 μ m. (D) Quantification of (C). Results are shown in mean±SEM, n.s. $p>0.05$. N represents the number of cells analyzed and are shown on the bar. Data were from three independent cultures, two tailed student's t -test.

Supplementary Figure 2. cLTP up-regulates the ratio of surface GluN2A receptors in the extrasynaptic region.

(A) In DIV21 rat hippocampal neurons, surface GluN2A NMDA receptors were stained with specific antibodies against their extracellular domain before fixation, followed by immunostaining using Homer-1c antibody. Confocal microscopy was used to visualize the distribution of fluorescent signals at dendritic spines. Scale bar= 5 μ m. (B) The ratio of GluN2A receptors localized in the extrasynaptic region was defined as the R_{extra} , which was calculated from the ratio of GluN2A not overlapping with Homer-1c (See Methods). Data represent mean±SEM, * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$. N represents the number of cells analyzed and are shown on the bar. Data were measured from two independent cultures, two-tailed student's t -test.

Supplementary Figure 3. Neuronal degeneration caused by the expression of BoNT/E-Lc in postsynaptic neurons.

Mature rat hippocampal neurons were transfected with BoNT/E-Lc-GFP on DIV14-17, treated with cLTP stimulation on DIV21, followed by surface GluN2A immunostaining before fixation. Representative confocal images show the typical neurodegeneration phenotype of beading axons and round cell bodies in all BoNT/E-Lc-GFP expressing neurons treated as indicated. Bar = 20 μ m.

Supplementary Figure 4. Automatic detection of SEP-GluN2A exocytosis events in the dendritic regions of cultured hippocampal neurons.

(A) Representative images showing each step of the bleaching assay for the automatic analysis of exocytosis. Boxed regions are amplified in the lower left corner. Bar= 10 μ m. Inset Bar = 1 μ m. (B) The last step (Step 4) of the image preparation of the boxed region in (A) shows effects of standard deviation filter (see Methods). For comparison, time-lapse images of unfiltered (top panels) and SD-filtered (bottom panels) are shown. (C) Comparison of improvement in signal to noise ratio averaging of image sequence (red) or when SD-filter was applied (black). (D) The trajectories detected using TrackMate plugin of Image J. One exocytosis event is marked out with red arrow. Bar as indicated on the figures. (E) Histogram of the average duration of SEP-GluN2A exocytotic trajectories detected by automatic method. The average duration of control condition is shown as mean $\pm$ SEM, from n=50 neurons of three different preparations. $R^2=0.983$ with the least squares Gaussian curve fitting method.

Supplementary Movie 1. cLTP treatment increases the intensity of Calcium current in the dendritic spines of cultured hippocampal neurons.

Movies showing the calcium signal fluctuation in the dendritic spines of dendritic spine regions visualized in GCaMP6-expressing hippocampal neurons, which were treated before

(control) or during the cLTP (+cLTP). Fluorescence intensity of boxed area is amplified with
fluorescent intensity colour-coded in lower boxes. Bar=20 μ m.

**Supplementary Movie 2. GluN2A containing NMDAR Exocytosis detected using SEP-
GluN2A transfected neurons.**

Representative movie of hippocampal neuron transfected with Homer1c-DsRed and SEP-
GluN2A are shown to illustrate the actual SEP-GluN2 exocytosis. Boxed regions are
amplified in the lower pannels. The neurons were imaged following a bleaching step are
overlayed with the Homer-DsRed signal in the right pannels. Bar=5 μ m.

Author contributions:

X.Y. prepared primary neuronal cultures, conducted most of the biotinylation and western experiments. T.W. performed TIRF and SIM microscopy assays, analyzed the results and drafted the manuscript. W.L. performed and analyzed live-imaging and surface staining data.

Materials & correspondence

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Dr Tong Wang (t.wang4@uq.edu.au).

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author Tong Wang.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Supplementary Figure 1

Supplementary Figure 2

Supplementary Figure 3

Supplementary Figure 4