Vegetation and soil seedbank dynamics in *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. invaded subtropical grassland in Nepal

Maan Bahadur Rokaya^{1,2}, Jyoti Khatri-Chettri³, Shiba Raj Ghimire⁴ and Bharat Babu Shrestha^{5,*}

¹Institute of Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences, Zámek 1, 252 43 Průhonice, Czech Republic

²Department of Biodiversity Research, Global Change Research Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, Bělidla 4a, 603 00 Brno, Czech Republic

³Trichandra Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

⁴Central Campus of Science and Technology, Mid-Western University, Surkhet, Nepal

⁵Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal

*Corresponding author: Bharat Babu Shrestha, Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal. Tel.: +977-14331322. Email address: shresthabb@gmail.com, bb.shrestha@cdbtu.edu.np. ORCID: 0000-0002-9457-2637

Running title: Impacts of *Parthenium hysterophorus* invasions

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by Nepal Academy of Science and Technology (NAST) for data collection of 2009 and 2010 for all except MBR. For MBR, the study was supported by the Czech Science Foundation (project no. 17-10280S) and partly by institutional support RVO 67985939. We are thankful to Binu Timsina for arranging data in proper format for analysis. We are thankful to Hetauda Cement Factory for allowing us to carry out our field surveys and also locals who highly cooperated during our entire study period. Ambika Poudel helped in data collection during 2009 and 2010. We also thank the Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Nepal for allowing us to use greenhouse facility.

Abstract

Parthenium hysterophorus is a noxious invasive weed and is ever expanding in its introduced range including Nepal. Understanding vegetation dynamics including soil seedbank in *Parthenium* invaded communities and the growth pattern of the weed itself is essential for effective management of *Parthenium*. We monitored growth of *Parthenium* (height, density, cover and soil seedbank) and plant species composition of associated species for 5-year period from 2009 in a grassland invaded by *Parthenium* in south-central Nepal. We found that *Parthenium* cover and height decreased from 2009 to 2010 and then slightly increased in 2013. *Parthenium* density decreased from 2009 to 2010 and then was variable until 2013. Year $\times$ grazing interactions had significant effect on *Parthenium* cover and density. *Parthenium* soil seedbank was eight times higher near the soil surface than in deep soil. It increased from 2009 to 2012 but decreased in 2013. Seedbank was also affected by interactions of year $\times$ depth, depth $\times$ grazing, and year $\times$ depth $\times$ grazing. Altogether, 87 plant species were recorded in *Parthenium* invaded sites and their species richness decreased until 2012 but slightly increased in 2013. The composition of associated plant species was affected by animal grazing intensity, *Parthenium* density, cover, and their interactions. *Parthenium* invasion has been ever increasing in our study site and many palatable plant species are under potential threat. Thus, there is an urgent need to carry out awareness campaign, formulate proper management plans, and implement such plans properly to manage *Parthenium* weed in Nepal.

Key words:

Ecological threats; Invasive species; Species composition; Species richness; Temporal changes

Introduction

In terrestrial ecosystems, impacts of biological invasions on associated plant community structure is observed high when the invader is producer and the recipient ecosystem is grassland [1]. This condition leads to the loss of native species and economic damages [2]. Invasiveness of an invader depends on persistence of seeds in the soil [3]. Once the status of seedbank is known it would be easy to formulate the control and management measures for invasive species. Though seedbank is difficult to destroy but reducing seed output could be achieved by using biological control agents [4] or destroying it mechanically, or by using chemicals [5]. In Nepal, seed bank of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. (Asteraceae) (hereafter named as *Parthenium*) was investigated [6] but the long-term patterns are not well documented. Thus, we have investigated how the seedbank of *Parthenium* changes over a 5-year period in a same locality in Nepal.

Invasive species are known to have a wide range of ecological impacts to recipient ecosystems; these includes changes in nutrient cycling and soil properties, productivity, fire regime, habitat structure, and species composition and their relative abundance [7,8]. Among them change in nutrient cycling is the most commonly reported example of ecosystem impacts of invasive species [7]. However, community structure (such as species richness and composition) changes long before the impact of invasive species on nutrient cycling is detected [8]. Decline in species richness due to invasion has been reported in several studies [1]. Similarly, change in species composition without significant change in species richness has been also reported [9]. The impact of invasion on community structure depends on trophic position of invader, taxonomic position of invader as well as the organism in the recipient community being considered, the ecosystem/habitat type, and disturbance regimes [1,10]. It is important to understand changes in species composition of the invaded community and growth dynamics of the invasive species itself over the time so that it would be possible to devise proper management strategies for the control of invasive plant species.

In Nepal, out of 179 naturalized plant species (Shrestha et al. 2017), *Parthenium* is arguably one of the most damaging invasive weeds in grassland [6,14]. It is also problematic in agroecosystems of Asia, Africa and Oceania [15,16]. In Nepal, *Parthenium* was first reported in 1967 [13]. Since then, the weed has been spreading continuously and become widespread

throughout Nepal upto 2000 m elevation due absence of a rigorous control program [6,14]. Although several environmental impacts of *Parthenium* are widely studied, the temporal growth pattern of this plant species has been merely studied [15–17]. Soil nutrients, habitat quality, seed dispersal and disturbances are some of the important factors that contribute to the growth of invasive species during one of the four stages of invasion process: introduction, existence as casual aliens, naturalization and spread [18]. Out of many factors, grazing is also considered as important that contributes in plant invasions [19] and is important to see how it helps invasive plant growth during certain time period.

Parthenium weed has been reported to reduce biodiversity in natural ecosystems including rangelands and protected areas [15]. However, only few studies examined plant species diversity in *Parthenium* invaded sites using appropriate experimental designs and there was lack of consistent pattern in the impacts of *Parthenium* on plant species diversity of the recipient community [20–22]. Nigatu et al. [21] reported a decline in species diversity (Shannon’s index) with increasing cover of *Parthenium* in grazing lands of Ethiopia. A similar decline in species diversity (Shannon’s index; aboveground as well as seedbank) in quadrats with high *Parthenium* density was reported in a grazing grassland of Queensland, Australia [20]. But, Timsina et al. [22] showed higher species richness (number of species per 1 m² quadrat) in intermediately invaded quadrats than in the adjacent non-invaded quadrats of the grazing grasslands in Nepal. In addition to the lack of consistent patterns of the impact, the previous studies did not examine how species diversity in *Parthenium* invaded sites varies over time. It is highly likely that abundance of *Parthenium* weed as well as the species diversity of the invaded community change over time and understanding of this pattern is important for planning management of *Parthenium* weed. There are cases when abundance of invasive species declines (‘bust’ phase), even in absence of management interventions, after a period of high abundance (‘boom’ phase) due to processes mainly related to invasion and environmental changes [23]. To understand dynamics of *Parthenium* weed abundance and plant community structure in the invaded grazing grassland, we specifically addressed the following research questions: (1) How does growth of *Parthenium* (height, density, cover and soil seedbank density) vary between years in central Nepal? (2) How does soil seedbank of *Parthenium* change over a time period and vary according

to soil depth? (3) How species richness and composition of different plant species change over certain time period in a grassland invaded by *Parthenium*? To answer above-mentioned questions, we collected the data on *Parthenium* density, cover, and height in different quadrats, associated plant species, grazing intensity, and soil seedbank of *Parthenium* from 2009 to 2013 in Hetauda, south-central Nepal.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study was undertaken at Lamsure Danda of Hetauda Municipality in Makawanpur district, south-central Nepal. The study site (27° 25' N latitude, 85° 03' E longitude, 500 m a.s.l.) lies in a dun valley of Siwalik region. The region has four distinct seasons: cold and dry winter (December-February), hot and dry summer (March-May), hot and humid monsoon (June-August) and moist and warm fall (September-November). Analysis of weather data between 1976 and 2005 revealed that annual precipitation was 2430 mm with monsoon rain contributing to about 82% [24]. Mean minimum and maximum temperatures were 16.3° and 29.2° C, respectively. *Shorea robusta* Gaertn. is the dominant tree species in forests of the region. The area lies in Tarai-Duar Savanna and Grassland eco-region of the world [25].

The study grassland is a property of government owned Hetauda Cement Factory but local communities have been using the grassland for grazing livestock and harvesting forage. The area was used for farming by local communities until 1977. In 1978, the area was purchased by Hetauda Cement Factory for mining soil and since then the site remained abandoned. This 'secondary' grassland has the highest species richness of the invasive alien plants among the natural vegetation in the region [26]. *Parthenium*, *Lantana camara* L., *Senna tora* (L.) Roxb., *Xanthium strumarium* L., *Spermacoce alata* Aubl. are the common invasive alien plants in this grassland while *Clerodendrum viscosum* Vent., *Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Beauv., *Chrysopogon aciculatus* (Retz.) Trin. are the common native species. The area was not subjected to any weed management. A few instances of slashing, uprooting and firing of *Parthenium* weed were observed but these activities were not common and less likely to have significant impacts on abundance of *Parthenium* weed in the study site.

Parthenium survey and associated plant sampling

Sampling was carried out during August-September from 2009 to 2013. In the study area, three sites were selected that were about 150m apart with relatively high cover (>50%) of *Parthenium*. At each site, 10 square quadrats each of 1m² with >50% cover of *Parthenium* were defined within big ca. 20m × 20m plot. Altogether, there were 30 quadrats sampled each year. Vascular plants growing in each quadrat, *Parthenium* growth (cover, density, and height of the tallest plant) were noted down. *Parthenium* cover was estimated visually in percentages, *Parthenium* density was counted in number (plant individuals within 1m² quadrat) and height was measured in centimeter. Grazing intensity in each quadrat was also estimated in the scale of 0 (no sign of livestock grazing) to 3 (vegetation removal by livestock in >50% area of the quadrat). Each quadrat was marked by fixing a green colored polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe (length, 40 cm).

Associated plant species were recorded in each quadrat and specimens were collected for herbarium. Identification was carried out by comparing specimens deposited at National Herbarium and Plant Laboratories (KATH, Godawari, Lalitpur, Nepal) and referring 'Plant Diversity of Eastern Nepal: Flora of Plains of Eastern Nepal' [27]. Nomenclature was followed from Press et al. ([28] and The Plant List [29].

Soil sampling and seedbank

Each quadrat was relocated in November with the help of fixed PVC pipe for soil sampling when most of the plants including *Parthenium* dispersed their seed. Soil samples were collected with the help of soil core sampler of ten centimeters diameter from the center of each quadrat after removal of ground vegetation and plant litter (but not seeds). Soil was collected in two steps: i) from surface up to 5cm depth, and ii) from 5cm up to 10cm depths. Altogether there were 60 soil samples collected from 30 quadrats each year. Soil samples were kept in plastic bag and brought to laboratory at Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu for further analysis.

In each collected soil sample, seedling emergence method was used to assess the germinable soil seedbank (hereafter *Parthenium* seedbank) as it was difficult to extract seeds

from the soil and count them [30]. Collected soil samples were kept into germination for two months in the greenhouse without any controlled environment to determine *Parthenium* seedbank. For this, earthen pots each of 15cm in diameter were taken and in each pot 2/3rd parts were filled with heat sterilized sand and then soil sample was spread over it, uniformly such that depth of the soil sample was less than 2cm. Sand and soil sample was separated by a layer of newspaper to prevent their mixing. All the pots were watered regularly with 100ml of water for each pot. They were observed regularly for newly emerging seedlings. Number of seedlings *Parthenium* that emerged in each pot were recorded weekly until the end of the experiment (i.e. two months). In each observation, seedlings were removed to avoid crowding.

Data analysis

The analyses were carried out in three steps. First, we explored the determinants of *Parthenium* growth (cover, density and height). Here, we used *Parthenium* height, cover and density as response variables, and year, grazing and their interactions were used as explanatory variables. Second, to find out determinants of *Parthenium* seedbank, we used number of emerged seedlings as response variable and year, depth of soil, grazing, and their interactions as explanatory variables. Third, we assessed determinants of species richness of associated species. Here, number of different plant species growing in each quadrat (1 m²) was termed as species richness. In this analysis species richness was used as response variable, and explanatory variables used were year, grazing, *Parthenium* cover/density/height and their interactions.

All analyses were carried out by using the generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) with Poisson distribution using lme4 function in lmerTest package in R 3.3.2 [31]. We used Poisson distribution because data were normally distributed. In all tests, the effect of each quadrat was also taken into account by using them as random factor in above mentioned models. To derive the p-value, we first used drop1 function implemented in R and then again used Chi-square test [31]. All the figures were drawn by using STATISTICA 12 [32]. To find out variation in significant values from one another, we used Tukey's post-hoc Test in Statistica 12.

Multivariate test was used to see the effect of *Parthenium* cover, density and height and also grazing on composition of associated plant species recorded in different quadrats. We used

Redundancy Analysis (RDA) because of a short gradient length of 2.01 [33] by using Canoco 5.12 [34]. In RDA, model was built in the same way as it was done in GLMM. First, we tested significance of year and grazing intensity. If they were significant then the significant factor was taken as co-variate. In the final model, we tested the effect of year, grazing intensity (only significant variables), *Parthenium* cover, height, density and their interactions on species composition of associated plant species. The significance was tested by performing Monte Carlo permutation test (n=4999). To make the test possible, we added fictive species which was present in all the sampling quadrats. In RDA, we down weighted the rare species to reduce their effect on the results.

Results

The correlations test showed that *Parthenium* cover was positively correlated with *Parthenium* density ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.414$) and plant height ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.598$). From the year 2009, *Parthenium* cover and height significantly decreased in 2010 but increased afterward (Figure 1a, b, Table 1). *Parthenium* density decreased from 2009 to 2010 and then the pattern was variable in remaining years (Figure 1c, Table 1). *Parthenium* density ranged from 15-703 stem m^{-2} whereas height ranged from 26-188cm. All these growth parameters of *Parthenium* decreased along with increasing grazing intensity. There was significant effect of interactions of year $\times$ grazing on *Parthenium* cover and density in our study area (Table 1).

Seedling emergence showed that *Parthenium* seedbank was eight times higher in shallow soil depth than the deep soil (Figure 2a). There were 127 to 42,675 seeds m^{-2} in shallow soil and no seeds to 16,815 seeds m^{-2} in deep soil. There was significant increase in *Parthenium* seedbank from 2009 to 2012 but a sharp decline in 2013 (Figure 2b). Though the seedbank was affected by year, soil depth, grazing intensity, and their interactions, the soil depth was the most important factor in maintaining seedbank (Table 2). Interactions of year $\times$ depth is the second most important factor in maintain soil seedbank whereas other significant factors have less contributions.

Out of all 87 plant species recorded in *Parthenium* invaded sites, 81 species (12 species identified up to genera level) belonging to 62 genera and 21 family were identified

(Supplementary Table 1); 6 species could not be identified due to absence of reproductive parts in the specimens collected during field sampling. It was found that species richness of plant species significantly decreased until 2012 but slightly increased in 2013 (Table 3, Figure 3). Species richness of associated plant species decreased with increasing *Parthenium* height (Table 3, Figure 4). There was a significant effect of year $\times$ *Parthenium* density on the plant species richness (Table 3).

The multivariate analysis of species composition of associated species was significantly affected by grazing intensity, *Parthenium* density and cover ($p=0.039$). Similarly, all two ways interactions of different factors affected species composition (Table 3).

Associated plant species that were found in quadrats with high grazing intensity and low *Parthenium* cover were *Sida rhombifolia* L., *Oxalis corniculata* L., *Crotalaria prostrata* Rottler ex Willd., *Phyllanthus urinaria* L. and *Lindernia parviflora* (Roxb). Haines. Similarly, associated species which grew in areas with high *Parthenium* density were none but in areas with medium density of *Parthenium* were *Oplismenus burmannii* (Retz.) P. Beauv, *Setaria glauca* (L.) P. Beauv and *Ageratum conyzoides* L.. *Axonopus compressus* (Sw.) P. Beauv., *Ophioglossum* sp., *Leucas indica* (L.) Sm. and *Desmodium triflorum* (L.) DC were found in quadrats where there was high *Parthenium* cover (Table 3, Figure 5).

Discussion

Long-term planning for effective management of invasive alien plants require an understanding of how species composition of the invaded community and abundance of the invasive species itself change over time. Using data collected for five years in a *Parthenium* invaded grazing grassland of south-central Nepal, we showed that despite annual variation the abundance of *Parthenium* has in general increased over time with a significant shift in species composition. The results indicate that the persistence of many plant species will be threatened seriously with direct negative impacts on biodiversity, ecosystem processes and livestock farming if management of *Parthenium* is not initiated immediately.

The invasion of *Parthenium* has increased over the time in our study site, and a similar situation could be expected in other regions invaded by *Parthenium* in Nepal. This fact is

illustrated by our observations showing increase of *Parthenium* cover and height of the plant individuals from 2010-2013. Rate of increase of invasive plant with time is also found in different studies in Nepal and around the world [6,15,35,36]. The decrease in *Parthenium* cover, density and height from the year 2009 to 2010 might be due to the herbivore damage caused by a biological control agent *Zygogramma bicolorata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) that was first reported from Hetauda in Nepal in 2009 [37]. This beetle is considered an effective biological control agent that feed on leaves of *Parthenium* and significantly reduce growth, vigor and seed production of this plant [5]. However, there may be year-to-year variation in the damage caused by *Z. bicolorata* on *Parthenium* weed due to variation in weather conditions [38].

The positive correlation between cover with density and height of *Parthenium* in our study shows that either cover or density could be used to represent one another. *Parthenium* density in our study (15-703 stem m⁻²) is very high compared to data available from Pakistan [39]. However, previous study by Karki [40] in the same region showed a mean density of 118 stem m⁻² showing a sharp increase in abundance of *Parthenium* over a time period. The plant height in our study (26-188cm) was less than in highly invaded areas in Australia where they are up to 2.5m in height although most plants do not exceed 1.5m [41]. Mean height estimated from different parts of Nepal is 128cm, with maximum value reaching up to 240cm [14]. It appears that the maximum height reported from Nepal and Australia are similar but it was lower in the present study site. Either edaphic or climatic factors might have prevented the individuals of the present study site to grow taller. In addition, negative impacts of defoliation by the biological control agent could not be ruled out but this was not evaluated in the present study.

Parthenium is normally avoided by cattle [6] but grazing intensity varied with the abundance of *Parthenium*. Low grazing intensity in highly invaded quadrats (i.e. with high abundance of *Parthenium*) suggests low visitation by cattle, probably due to low availability of forage in such quadrats. Reduction of native plant biomass nearly to 1/3rd has been reported due to dense growth of *Parthenium* in three dun valleys of Nepal including Hetauda [14].

Prevalence of more seeds in shallow top soil compared to deep soil is an well-established phenomenon [30,42]. These seeds remain viable for a very long time period and eradication of *Parthenium* is difficult once it starts growing in some places. Adkins and Shabbir [15] have found

that more than 70% of seeds below 5cm remain viable for 2 year, with a half-life of 7 years. Similarly, White ([43] found seeds to be viable for 4 to 6 years and other studies [44,45] also reported that buried seeds remain viable for much longer period than seeds on the soil surface. The impact of interactions of year $\times$ depth means that there is variability of seed bank according to the soil depth and is variable in different years. This is probably because of the plant growth and seed production that vary from one year to next.

There was high number of seed production (up to 42675 seeds m^{-2} accumulated in shallow soil and 16815 seeds m^{-2} in deep soil) by *Parthenium* in our study site. This is similar to other studies [20,45] but far less than the report by Joshi (1991) in India, who reported about 200000 seeds m^{-2} . The increase in seedbank size could be attributed to the addition of seed to the persistent soil seed bank of *Parthenium* [15,43–45]. Increasing soil seedbank from 2009-2012 was alarming, which suggests the need of immediate management to prevent further degradation of the grassland due to *Parthenium* invasion. Sharp drop in seed bank from 2012 to 2013 might be due to low production of seeds as the year 2013 was exceptionally dry due to low precipitation [47].

In Hetuda, we found high number ($n=87$) of plant species in *Parthenium* invaded sites and many of them are palatable meaning that the site is rich in plant community. This number in our study is higher compared to other studies in Nepal [22,48], 72 species in Ethiopia [21], 30 associated species in Pakistan [49] and in different part of the world [15]. The sharp decrease in plant species richness from 2009 to 2012 have shown that invasion of *Parthenium* is ever increasing with serious adverse effect on many native species. This adversity will in near future may lead to severe degradation of habitat with loss of biodiversity [22] and brings about changes in existing ecosystem in larger scale [6,15]. A significant effect of year $\times$ *Parthenium* density on the plant species richness means that the density of *Parthenium* is variable in different years and its' growth probably depends on favorable temperature or precipitation and disturbance in a year.

Effects of different environmental factors on species composition of the *Parthenium* invaded community is in line with other studies [6,15,21,22]. Each environmental factor acts differently and thus result in differences in associated plant species composition in a given space.

Taxonomic identity of plant species growing in *Parthenium* invaded sites differ from place to place as shown in Nepal [22] and elsewhere in the world [15,21]. Our multivariate analysis shows that high number of associated plant species do not prefer *Parthenium* invaded quadrats resulting in variation in species composition of associated plant species. However, there was no effect of time period on associated plant composition meaning that despite *Parthenium* invasion most of the plant species still can persist at quadrats where the abundance of *Parthenium* is low. This is also in agreement with our previous report that plant species richness can be higher in plots that are intermediately invaded by *Parthenium* than in the heavily invaded, or non-invaded quadrats [22].

The results show that *Parthenium* is ever increasing in our study site at Hetauda. In addition to habitat degradation and changes in community structure, the increase in invasion severity may in future cause serious problems in health for both livestock and human due to its toxic nature [20,21]. A wide range of management plans have been reported recently by Adkins and Shabbir ([15]. However, depending on human and economic resources available, the locally most appropriate and cost-effective methods should be used for *Parthenium* management. Further, as there are many important species in *Parthenium* invaded sites a proper competition experiment should be conducted to identify the vulnerable and competitive species in the communities. The competitive species can potentially be used as suppressive plants as a part of integrated management of *Parthenium* weed [50].

Conclusion

Present multi-year study in a grazing grassland at Hetauda in south-central Nepal has provided in-depth knowledge on dynamics of *Parthenium* weed invasion. It has been found that the weed is a serious threat to the associated plant species that are palatable and important to maintain existing ecosystem. Variation of species richness of plant species over 5-year time period and also increasing size of soil seedbank shows that *Parthenium* is currently a potential threat to biodiversity that is also increasing with time due to accumulation of seeds in seed bank. Thus, awareness campaign, formulation of proper management plans and their implementation is needed to avoid serious threats posed by *Parthenium* weed invasion in different parts of Nepal.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Author Contribution

BBS and MBR conceived and designed the study. JKC, BBS, SG and MBR collected data. MBR analyzed data. MBR and BBS wrote the manuscript and all other authors commented and approved the final version of manuscript.

References

1. Mollot G, Pantel JH, Romanuk TN. The effects of invasive species on the decline in species richness: A Global meta-analysis. In: Bohan DA, Dumbrell AJ, Massol F, editors. *Advances in Ecological Research*. Academic Press; 2017. pp. 61–83. doi:10.1016/bs.aecr.2016.10.002
2. Theoharides KA, Dukes JS. Plant invasion across space and time: factors affecting nonindigenous species success during four stages of invasion. *New Phytol*. 2007;176: 256–273. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02207.x
3. Richardson DM, Kluge RL. Seed banks of invasive Australian *Acacia* species in South Africa: Role in invasiveness and options for management. *Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst*. 2008;10: 161–177. doi:10.1016/j.ppees.2008.03.001
4. Kluge RL, Neser S. Biological control of *Hakea sericea* (Proteaceae) in South Africa. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*. 1991;37: 91–113. doi:10.1016/0167-8809(91)90141-J
5. Dhileepan K, Callander J, Shi B, Osunkoya OO. Biological control of parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorus*): the Australian experience. *Biocontrol Sci Technol*. 2018;28: 970–988. doi:10.1080/09583157.2018.1525486
6. Shrestha BB, Shabbir A, Adkins SW. *Parthenium hysterophorus* in Nepal: a review of its weed status and possibilities for management. Bourdôt G, editor. *Weed Res*. 2015;55: 132–144. doi:10.1111/wre.12133

7. Simberloff D. How common are invasion-induced ecosystem impacts? *Biol Invasions*. 2011;13: 1255–1268. doi:10.1007/s10530-011-9956-3
8. Vilà M, Espinar JL, Hejda M, Hulme PE, Jarošík V, Maron JL, et al. Ecological impacts of invasive alien plants: a meta-analysis of their effects on species, communities and ecosystems. *Ecol Lett*. 2011;14: 702–708. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01628.x
9. Hejda M, Hanzelka J, Kadlec T, Štrobl M, Pyšek P, Reif J. Impacts of an invasive tree across trophic levels: Species richness, community composition and resident species' traits. *Divers Distrib*. 2017;23: 997–1007. doi:10.1111/ddi.12596
10. Bulleri F, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Jaklin A, Iveša L. Linking disturbance and resistance to invasion via changes in biodiversity: a conceptual model and an experimental test on rocky reefs. *Ecol Evol*. 2016;6: 2010–2021. doi:10.1002/ece3.1956
11. Shrestha BB, Joshi S, Bisht N, Yi S, Kotru R, Chaudhary RP, et al. Inventory and impact assessment of invasive alien plant species in Kailash Sacred Landscape [Internet]. Kathmandu, Nepal: International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD); 2018. Available: http://lib.icimod.org/record/33744/files/WP_2018_2.pdf
12. Shrestha BB, Budha PB, Wang LJ, Pagad S. Global Register of Introduced and Invasive Species- Nepal. v2.4. [Internet]. 2017 [cited 29 May 2019]. Available: <http://ipt.ala.org.au/resource?r=nepal-griis-gbif>
13. Tiwari S, Siwakoti M, Adhikari B, Subedi K. An Inventory and Assessment of Invasive Alien Plant Species of Nepal. IUCN-The World Conservation Union, Nepal; 2005.
14. Shrestha BB. Impact of parthenium weed on plant species composition and forage productivity of pastures in Nepal. Unpublished report submitted to International Foundation for Science, Sweden; 2019.
15. Adkins S, Shabbir A. Biology, ecology and management of the invasive parthenium weed (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.): Management of parthenium weed. *Pest Manag Sci*. 2014;70: 1023–1029. doi:10.1002/ps.3708
16. Bajwa AA, Chauhan BS, Farooq M, Shabbir A, Adkins SW. What do we really know about alien plant invasion? A review of the invasion mechanism of one of the world's worst weeds. *Planta*. 2016;244: 39–57. doi:10.1007/s00425-016-2510-x

17. Navie SC, McFadyen RE, Panetta FD. The biology of Australian weeds 27. *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. *Plant Prot Q.* 1996;11: 76–88.
18. Milbau A, Stout JC. Factors associated with alien plants transitioning from casual, to naturalized, to invasive. *Conserv Biol.* 2008;22: 308–317. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00877.x
19. Keeley JE, Lubin D, Fotheringham CJ. Fire and grazing impacts on plant diversity and alien plant invasions in the Southern Sierra Nevada. *Ecol Appl.* 2003;13: 1355–1374. doi:10.1890/02-5002
20. Nguyen TLT, Navie SC, Adkins SW. The effect of parthenium weed (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.) on plant diversity in pastures in Queensland, Australia. 17th Australasian weeds conference papers & proceedings: New frontiers in New Zealand, together we can beat the weeds. Christchurch, New Zealand: New Zealand Plant Protection Society; 2010. p. 135. Available: <https://espace.library.uq.edu.au/view/UQ:236762>
21. Nigatu L, Hassen A, Sharma J, Adkins SW. Impact of *Parthenium hysterophorus* on grazing land communities in north-eastern Ethiopia. *Weed Biol Manag.* 2010;10: 143–152. doi:10.1111/j.1445-6664.2010.00378.x
22. Timsina B, Shrestha BB, Rokaya MB, Münzbergová Z. Impact of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. invasion on plant species composition and soil properties of grassland communities in Nepal. *Flora.* 2011;206: 233–240. doi:10.1016/j.flora.2010.09.004
23. Strayer DL, D’Antonio CM, Essl F, Fowler MS, Geist J, Hilt S, et al. Boom-bust dynamics in biological invasions: towards an improved application of the concept. *Ecol Lett.* 2017;20: 1337–1350. doi:10.1111/ele.12822
24. Practical Action Nepal. Temporal and spatial variability of climate change over Nepal (1976-2005). Kathmandu, Nepal: Practical Action Nepal Office; 2009.
25. MFSC. Nepal National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan 2014-2020. Kathmandu, Nepal: Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation (MFSC); 2014.
26. Dhakal S. Diversity of naturalized plant species across vegetation types in Tarai and Siwalik regions of central Nepal. M.Sc. Thesis, Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu. 2017.

27. Siwakoti M, Verma SK. Plant Diversity of Eastern Nepal: Flora of Plains of Eastern Nepal. Dehra Dun, India: MS Bishen Singh, Mahendra Pal Singh; 1999.
28. Press JR, Shrestha KK, Sutton DA. Annotated Checklist of the Flowering Plants of Nepal. Natural History Museum, London; 2000.
29. Roskov Y, Ower G, Orrell T, Nicolson D, Bailly N, Kirk PM, et al. Species 2000 & ITIS Catalogue of Life, 2019 Annual Checklist. In: The Plant List [Internet]. 2019 [cited 17 Jul 2019]. Available: www.catalogueoflife.org/annual-checklist/2019
30. Leck MA, Parker VT, Simpson RL, editors. Ecology of Soil Seed Banks. Academic Press; 1989.
31. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. [Internet]. 2018.
32. StatSoft Inc. STATISTICA Data Analysis Software System. StatSoft, Inc.; 2015.
33. Lepš J, Šmilauer P. Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data Using CANOCO 5. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2014.
34. ter Braak CJF, Šmilauer P. Canoco 5, Windows release (5.04) [Internet]. Biometris, Plant Research International, The Netherlands and Czech Republic; 2012. Available: <http://www.canoco5.com>
35. Huang D, Haack RA, Zhang R. Does global warming increase establishment rates of invasive alien species? A centurial time series analysis. Browman H, editor. PLoS ONE. 2011;6: e24733. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024733
36. Russell JC, Meyer J-Y, Holmes ND, Pagad S. Invasive alien species on islands: impacts, distribution, interactions and management. Environ Conserv. 2017;44: 359–370. doi:10.1017/S0376892917000297
37. Shrestha BB, Poudel A, Khattri-Chettri J, Karki D, Gautam RD, Jha PK. Fortuitous biological control of *Parthenium hysterophorus* by *Zygogramma bicolorata* in Nepal. J Nat Hist Mus Nepal. 2010;25: 332–337.
38. Dhileepan K. Seasonal variation in the effectiveness of the leaf-feeding beetle *Zygogramma bicolorata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) and stem-galling moth *Epiblema strenuana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) as biocontrol agents on the weed *Parthenium hysterophorus* (Asteraceae). Bull Entomol Res. 2003;93: 393–401.

39. Javaid A, Riaz T. *Parthenium hysterophorus* L., an alien invasive weed threatening natural vegetations in Punjab, Pakistan. *Pak J Bot.* 2012;44: 123–126.
40. Karki D. Ecological and socio-economic impacts of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. invasion in two urban cities of south-central Nepal. M.Sc. Thesis, Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu. 2009.
41. Haseler WH. *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. in Australia. *PANS.* 1976;22: 515–517. doi:10.1080/09670877609414342
42. Fenner M. *Seeds: The Ecology of Regeneration in Plant Communities.* CABI Publishing, Wallingford, Oxon, UK; 2000.
43. White GG. *Parthenium Weed.* Brisbane, Australia: Corporative Research for Tropical Pest Manangement; 1994.
44. Adkins SW, O'Donnell C, Khan N, Nguyen T, Shabbir A, Dhileepan K, et al. *Parthenium weed (Parthenium hysterophorus L.) research in Australia: new management possibilities.* 17th Australasian weeds conference papers & proceedings: New frontiers in New Zealand, together we can beat the weeds. Christchurch Convention Centre, Christchurch, New Zealand: New Zealand Plant Protection Society; 2010. pp. 120–123.
45. Navie SC, Panetta FD, McFadyen RE, Adkins SW. Behaviour of buried and surface-sown seeds of *Parthenium hysterophorus*. *Weed Res.* 1998;38: 335–341. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3180.1998.00104.x
46. Joshi S. Biocontrol of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. *Crop Prot.* 1991;10: 429–431. doi:10.1016/S0261-2194(91)80129-4
47. DoHM. Department of Hydrology and Meteorology. Kathmandu, Nepal: Ministry of Science, Technology & Environment; 2015.
48. Khatri-Chettri J. Plant species composition and soil seedbanks in *Parthenium hysterophorus* L. invaded grassland of Hetauda, Central Nepal. M.Sc. Thesis, Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu. 2012.
49. Shabbir A, Bajwa R. Distribution of parthenium weed (*Parthenium hysterophorus* L.), an alien invasive weed species threatening the biodiversity of Islamabad. *Weed Biol Manag.* 2006;6: 89–95. doi:10.1111/j.1445-6664.2006.00202.x

- 490 50. Khan N, George D, Shabbir A, Adkins SW. Suppressive plants as weed management tool:
 491 Managing Parthenium hysterophorus under simulated grazing in Australian grasslands. J
 492 Environ Manage. 2019;247: 224–233.
 493
 494

Tables

Table 1. Relationship between *Parthenium* cover, density and height with year, grazing intensity and their interactions obtained from generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) test.

		<i>Parthenium</i> cover		<i>Parthenium</i> density		<i>Parthenium</i> height	
	Df	p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²
Year	1	<0.001	0.474	<0.001	0.754	<0.001	0.437
Grazing	1	<0.001	0.457	<0.001	0.232	<0.001	0.555
Year × Grazing	1	0.0023	0.069	<0.001	0.013	0.2167	-

Table 2 Determinants of *Parthenium* soil seedbank obtained from generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) test.

	Df	p-value	R ²
Year	1	<0.001	0.002
Soil depth	1	<0.001	0.832
Grazing	1	<0.001	0.002
Year × soil depth	1	<0.001	0.114
Year × grazing	1	<0.001	0.048
Soil depth × grazing	1	<0.001	0.001
Year × soil depth × grazing	1	<0.001	0.001

Table 3 Determinants of species richness of plant species in *Parthenium* invaded quadrats obtained from generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) test and determinants of species composition obtained from Redundancy Analysis (RDA) test.

	Df	Species richness		Species composition	
		p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²
Year	1	<0.001	0.627	0.434	-
Grazing	1	0.506	-	0.030	0.008
<i>Parthenium</i> cover (cover)	1	0.055	-	0.002	0.027
<i>Parthenium</i> height (height)	1	0.001	0.146	0.264	-
<i>Parthenium</i> density	1	0.218	-	0.002	0.019
Year × grazing	1	0.121	-	0.006	0.024
Year × cover	1	0.252	-	<0.001	0.013
Year × height	1	0.95	-	0.022	0.013
Year × density	1	0.022	0.089	0.006	0.024
Grazing × cover	1	0.133	-	0.006	0.045
Grazing × height	1	0.497	-	0.009	0.033
Grazing × density	1	0.118	-	0.032	0.032
Cover × height	1	0.473	-	0.013	0.034
Cover × density	1	0.886	-	0.043	0.033
Height × density	1	0.738	-	0.046	0.022

List of Figures

Figure 1a Variation of *Parthenium* cover over the study period.

Figure 1b Variation of height of *Parthenium* over the study years.

Figure 1c Variation of *Parthenium* density over the stud years.

Figure 2a Change in *Parthenium* soil seedbank with soil depth.

Figure 2b Change in *Parthenium* soil seedbank over the study years.

Figure 3 Variation of species richness of the plant species in *Parthenium* invaded quadrats over the study years.

Figure 4 Relationship between species richness and *Parthenium* plant height.

Figure 5 Relationship between *Parthenium* associated plant species with grazing and *Parthenium* plant density. The 1st canonical axis explained 6.12% and the 2nd 2.56% of the total variation in the data set.

Figures

Figure 1a Variation of *Parthenium* cover over the study period.

Figure 1b Variation of height of *Parthenium* over the study years.

Figure 1c Variation of *Parthenium* density over the stud years.

Figure 2a Change in *Parthenium* seed bank with soil depth.

Figure 2b Change in *Parthenium* seed bank over the study years.

Figure 3 Variation of species richness of the associated plant species in *Parthenium* invaded quadrats over the study years.

578 **Figure 4** Relationship between species richness and *Parthenium* plant height.

579

580

Figure 5 Relationship between *Parthenium* associated plant species with grazing and *Parthenium* plant density. The 1st canonical axis explained 6.12% and the 2nd 2.56% of the total variation in the data set. Abbreviations for plant species are given in Supplementary Table 1.

587 **Supplementary File**

588 **Supplementary Table 1** List of plant species recorded in *Parthenium* invaded quadrats.

S.N.	Latin names	Family	Abbreviations
1	<i>Achyranthes aspera</i> L.	Amaranthaceae	Ach asp
2	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> L.	Asteraceae	Age con
3	<i>Ageratum houstonianum</i> Mill.	Asteraceae	Age hou
4	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i> (L.) R.Br. ex DC.	Amaranthaceae	Alt ses
5	<i>Alysicarpus</i> sp.	Fabaceae	Aly sp.
6	<i>Axonopus compressus</i> (Sw.) P. Beauv.	Poaceae	Axo com
7	<i>Bidens pilosa</i> L.	Asteraceae	Bid pil
8	<i>Blumea</i> sp.	Asteraceae	Blu sp.
9	<i>Borreria alata</i> (Aubl.) DC.	Rubiaceae	Bor ala
10	<i>Borreria articularis</i> (L.f) F.N. Williams	Rubiaceae	Bor art
11	<i>Bothriospermus tenellum</i> (Hornem.) Fisch. & C.A.Mey	Boraginaceae	Bot ten
12	<i>Bothriospermus zeylanicum</i> (J.Jacq.) Druce	Boraginaceae	Bot zey
13	<i>Brachiaria ramosa</i> (L.) Stapf	Poaceae	Bra ram
16	<i>Centella asiatica</i> (L.) Urb.	Oxalidaceae	Cen asi
17	<i>Chromolaena odorata</i> (L.) R.M. King & H. Rob.	Asteraceae	Chr odo
18	<i>Chrysopogon aciculatus</i> (Retz.) Trin	Poaceae	Chr aci
19	<i>Clerodendrum</i> sp.	Verbenaceae	Cle sp.
20	<i>Corchorus aestuans</i> L.	Tiliaceae	Cor aes
21	<i>Crotalaria prostrata</i> Rottler ex Willd.	Fabaceae	Cro pro
22	<i>Crotalaria</i> sp.	Fabaceae	Cro sp.
23	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	Poaceae	Cya dac
24	<i>Cynodon</i> sp.	Poaceae	Cyn sp.
	<i>Cynoglossum zeylanicum</i> (Sw. ex Lehm.) Thunb.ex		
25	Brand	Boraginaceae	Cyn zey
26	<i>Cyperus</i> sp.	Cyperaceae	Cyp sp.
27	<i>Desmodium triflorum</i> (L.) DC	Fabaceae	Dic tri
28	<i>Dichanthium annulatum</i> (Forssk.) Stapf	Poaceae	Dic ann
29	<i>Digitaria</i> sp.	Poaceae	Dig sp.
30	<i>Echinochloa colona</i> (L.) Link	Poaceae	Ech col
31	<i>Eleusine indica</i> (L.) Gaertn	Poaceae	Ele ind
32	<i>Emilia sonchifolia</i> (L.) DC	Asteraceae	Emi son
33	<i>Eragrostis</i> sp.	Poaceae	Era sp.
34	<i>Eragrostis tenella</i> (L.) P. Beauv ex Roem. & Schult	Poaceae	Era ten
35	<i>Eragrostis unioides</i> (Retz) Nees ex Steud.	Poaceae	Era uni
36	<i>Eupatorium odoratum</i> L.	Asteraceae	Eup odo
37	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i> L.	Euphorbiaceae	Eup hir
38	<i>Evolvulus nummularius</i> (L.) L.	Convolvulaceae	Evo num
39	<i>Fimbristylis dichotoma</i> (L.) Vahl	Cyperaceae	Fim dic
40	<i>Fimbristylis</i> sp.	Cyperaceae	Fim sp.
41	<i>Hackelochloa granularis</i> (L.) Kuntze	Poaceae	Hac gra
82	Climber (HT 526)	-	Fab sp.
42	<i>Hygrophila polysperma</i> (Roxb.) T. Anderson	Acanthaceae	Hyd pol
43	<i>Hyptis suaveolens</i> (L.) Poit.	Lamiaceae	Hyp sua
44	<i>Imperata cylindrica</i> (L.) P. Beauv	Cyperaceae	Imp cyl
45	<i>Ixeris polycephala</i> Cass.	Asteraceae	Ixe pol

46	<i>Justicia</i> sp.	Acanthaceae	Jus sp.
47	<i>Kyllinga brevifolia</i> Rottb.	Cyperaceae	Kyl bre
48	<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	Verbenaceae	Lan cam
49	<i>Leucas indica</i> (L.) Sm.	Lamiaceae	Leu ind
50	<i>Lindernia parviflora</i> (Roxb.) Haines	Scrophulariaceae	Lin par
51	<i>Lindernia</i> sp.	Scrophulariaceae	Lin sp.
52	<i>Mecardonia procumbens</i> (Mill.) Small.	Scrophulariaceae	Mec pro
53	<i>Melochia corchorifolia</i> L.	Sterculiaceae	Mel cor
54	<i>Mimosa pudica</i> L.	Fabaceae	Mim pud
55	<i>Ophioglossum</i> sp.	Ophioglossaceae	Oph sp.
56	<i>Oplismenus burmannii</i> (Retz.) P. Beauv	Poaceae	Opl bur
57	<i>Oxalis corniculata</i> L.	Oxalidaceae	Oxa con
58	<i>Panicum</i> sp1	Poaceae	Pan sp1
59	<i>Panicum</i> sp2	Poaceae	Pan sp2
60	<i>Panicum</i> sp3	Poaceae	Pan sp3
61	<i>Panicum</i> sp4	Poaceae	Pan sp4
62	<i>Paspalidium flavidum</i> (Retz.) A Camus	Poaceae	Pas fla
63	<i>Paspalum distichum</i> Houtt.	Poaceae	Pas dis
64	<i>Paspalum</i> sp.	Poaceae	Pas sp.
65	<i>Phyllanthus urinaria</i> L.	Euphorbiaceae	Phy uri
66	<i>Physalis divaricata</i> D. Don	Solanaceae	Phy div
67	<i>Polygala arvensis</i> Willd.	Polygalaceae	Pol arv
68	<i>Polygala</i> sp.	Polygalaceae	Pol sp.
69	<i>Pycerus</i> sp.	Cyperaceae	Pyc sp.
70	<i>Rungia pectinata</i> (L.) Nees	Acanthaceae	Run pect
14	<i>Senna occidentalis</i> (L.) Link	Fabaceae	Sen occ
15	<i>Senna tora</i> (L.) Roxb.	Fabaceae	Sen tor
71	<i>Setaria glauca</i> (L.) P.Beauv.	Poaceae	Set gla
72	<i>Setaria</i> sp.	Poaceae	Set sp.
73	<i>Sida acuta</i> Burm. F	Malvaceae	Sid acu
74	<i>Sida cordata</i> (Burm.f.) Borss. Waalk	Malvaceae	Sid cor
75	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i> L.	Malvaceae	Sid rho
76	<i>Sida</i> sp.	Malvaceae	Sid sp.
77	<i>Smithia sensitiva</i> Aiton	Fabaceae	Smi sen
78	<i>Sporobolus fertilis</i> (Steud.) Clayton	Poaceae	Spo fer
79	<i>Tagetes patula</i> L.	Asteraceae	Tag pat
80	<i>Vernonia cinerea</i> (L.) Less.	Asteraceae	Ver cin
81	<i>Zornia gibbosa</i> Span.	Fabaceae	Zor gib
83	Climber (HT 67)	-	Clim1
84	Fabaceae (HT 31)	-	Sp1
85	HT 525	-	Sp2
86	HT 527	-	Sp3
87	HT 534	-	Sp4

589

590