***In-vitro* and *in-vivo* Characterization of a Multi-Stage Enzyme-Responsive Nanoparticle-in-Microgel Pulmonary Drug Delivery System**

Joscelyn C. Mejías, Krishnendu Roy*, PhD.

The Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering at Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, Atlanta, GA, 30332

*Corresponding author

Krishnendu Roy, PhD

Director, Center for ImmunoEngineering

Director, NSF Engineering Research Center for Cell Manufacturing Technologies (CMaT)

Director, Marcus Center for Therapeutic Cell Characterization and Manufacturing

EBB 3018, 950 Atlantic Dr.

Atlanta, GA, 30332 USA.

krish.roy@gatech.edu

Graphical Abstract:

34 **Abstract:**

35

36 Although the lung is an obvious target for site-specific delivery of many therapeutics for respiratory
 37 airway diseases such as asthma, COPD, and cystic fibrosis, novel strategies are needed to avoid key
 38 physiologic barriers for efficient delivery and controlled release of therapeutics to the lungs. Specifically,
 39 deposition into the deep lung requires particles with a 1-5 μm aerodynamic diameter; however, particles
 40 with a geometric diameter less than 6 μm are rapidly cleared by alveolar macrophages. Additionally,
 41 epithelial, endothelial, and fibroblast cells prefer smaller ($< 300 \text{ nm}$) nanoparticles for efficient
 42 endocytosis. Here we address these contradictory design requirements by using a nanoparticle-inside-
 43 microgel system (Nano-in-Microgel). Using an improved maleimide-thiol based Michael Addition during
 44 (water-in-oil) Emulsion (MADE) method, we fabricated both trypsin-responsive and neutrophil elastase-
 45 responsive polymeric Nano-in-Microgel to show the versatility of the system in easily exchanging
 46 enzyme-responsive crosslinkers for disease-specific proteases. By varying the initial macromer
 47 concentration, from 20-50 % w/v, the size distribution means ranged from 4-8 μm , enzymatic
 48 degradation of the microgels is within 30 minutes, and *in vitro* macrophage phagocytosis is lower for the
 49 higher % w/v. We further demonstrated that *in vivo* lung delivery of the multi-stage carriers through the
 50 pulmonary route yields particle retention up to several hours and followed by clearance within in naïve
 51 mice. Our results provide a further understanding of how enzymatically-degradable multi-stage
 52 polymeric carriers can be used for pulmonary drug delivery.

53

54 **Keywords:** Pulmonary delivery, nanoparticle, microparticle, phagocytosis, controlled release, multi-
 55 stage carriers, nano-in-microgel, microgel

56

57 **Introduction:**

58 Asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD), and cystic fibrosis (CF) are chronic
 59 inflammatory diseases characterized by an influx of innate immune cells to the lungs, including
 60 macrophages[1-3], neutrophils[4, 5], and eosinophils[2]. These cells impose a heavy enzymatic burden
 61 through proteases such as matrix metalloproteinases and elastases that promote significant tissue
 62 damage. Pulmonary delivery is a potentially non-invasive administration route for treating these chronic
 63 inflammatory disorders; however, the anatomy and physiology of the lung present significant design
 64 challenges for achieving efficient and effective drug delivery. Nanoparticles can inherently provide
 65 protection for encapsulated biologic therapeutics (proteins, RNAs) from high levels of exogenous
 66 proteases present in lung diseases, but they must be smaller than 300 nm to provide efficient cellular
 67 endocytosis[6-8] and transport across the mucosal barrier to target epithelial cells[9-12]. However,
 68 nanoparticles alone cannot efficiently aerosolize and deposit within the lung via inhalation; particles with
 69 aerodynamic diameters less than 1 μm are predominantly exhaled, while those between 1-5 μm are
 70 deposited more efficiently into the deep lung, and those greater than 5 μm are impacted in the mouth or
 71 throat[13]. At the same time, alveolar macrophages rapidly phagocytose particles with geometric
 72 diameters within the 1-5 μm range[14, 15], making efficient delivery difficult.

73
 74 Current strategies for addressing this conflicting design issues include the use of porous polymers and
 75 swellable microparticles. By lowering the density of the microparticles, large porous particles provide an
 76 aerodynamic diameter between 1-5 μm and a geometric diameter greater than 6 μm [16-18] thus
 77 increasing residence time after deposition in the lungs. Swellable microparticles in their relaxed, dry
 78 state provide the correct aerodynamic size for lung delivery, and swell in the humid lung environments
 79 to a size capable of avoiding rapid macrophage clearance[19-22]. However, once these microscale
 80 carriers reach the deep lung, transport across mucosal surfaces and intracellular delivery to target cells,
 81 remain significant challenges.

82

The goal of this research was to synthesize and characterize a multi-stage, Nano-in-Microgel pulmonary delivery system for treating chronic inflammatory lung diseases that addresses the following complex design challenges - (1) appropriate aerodynamic size to achieve deep lung delivery, (2) protease-triggered release of encapsulated nanoparticles, and (3) avoiding rapid alveolar macrophage clearance. Nano-in-Micro particles have been previously reported for intestinal[23, 24] and lung delivery[19-22]. Our group have previously reported an *in vitro* proof-of-concept Nano-in-Microgel formulation using a trypsin-responsive crosslinker with 60% w/v 4-arm-PEG acrylate[19]. Also, poly(ethylene glycol) based hydrogels with protease responsive backbones have been used to respond and degrade to the cellular microenvironment[25-27].

Here we report detailed *in vivo* characterization of a neutrophil elastase responsive Nano-in-Microgel formulation and evaluate whether such a delivery system can achieve the three critical design criteria outlined above. The neutrophil elastase responsive peptide was chosen as this protease is well known to be involved in various acute and chronic pulmonary inflammatory diseases[4, 28-30]. Specifically we hypothesized that microgels crosslinked with elastase-responsive peptides would rapidly degrade under clinically-relevant conditions – i.e. in elastase-rich bronchial fluid from cystic fibrosis patients (design criterion 2), and when delivered *in vivo* in naïve animals they will reach the deep lung space (i.e. show appropriate aerodynamic properties, design criterion 1) without being rapidly cleared by alveolar macrophages (design criterion 3).

Rapid alveolar clearance has been shown to occur within 30 minutes of microparticle administration to the lung in animal models and hinders drug delivery[16]. Here we evaluated the impact of different starting polymer concentrations on avoiding rapid macrophage clearance using two different peptide crosslinkers, trypsin-responsive CGRGGC, and neutrophil elastase-responsive CGAAPVRGGGGC (adapted from [31]). We also developed an improved Michael-Addition-During-(water-in-oil)-Emulsion (MADE) method which provides faster reaction kinetics during fabrication while avoiding degradative conditions such as UV light, high temperatures, and organic solvents that could denature biologic drugs

or sensitive therapeutics. We report *in vivo* performance of these Nano-in-Microgels following pulmonary delivery in naïve mice, including addressing their pulmonary biodistribution, cellular uptake, and clearance kinetics. Our results show that multi-stage Nano-in-Microgels are a promising delivery system for efficient intracellular delivery to the lung.

Materials and Methods

Microparticle Formulation: Microgels were fabricated using Michael Addition During Water-in-Oil Emulsion (MADE). Briefly, equimolar amounts of a di-sulfhydryl peptide (CGRGGC, CGAAPVRGGGGC; CHI Scientific, Maynard, USA) and a 4-arm PEG Maleimide (Mal, 10kDa, Laysan Bio, Arab, USA) were dissolved separately in PBS to the desired combined concentration (e.g., 20 % w/v is 20 mg of molar ratio thiol:Mal in 100µL of PBS, Supplemental Table 1). To physically entrap the nanoparticles within the PEG network during crosslinking, the nanoparticles were resuspended in the PEG macromere solution. The PEG-nanoparticle-peptide mixture was added to 15 mL of mineral oil (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) with 1% v/v surfactant (Span 80/Tween 80, HLB = 5, Sigma Aldrich) and homogenized on a PRO Scientific D Series homogenizer (Oxford, USA) for three minutes at 4000 rpm in a 35-40°C water bath. The emulsion was then left rotating for a minimum of two hours at 37°C. Microgels were removed from the surfactant and residual unreacted material by multiple centrifuge washes in water. To make non-enzyme responsive microgels, a 1 kDa HS-PEG-SH (Creative PEGWorks, Chapel Hill, USA) was used in place of the peptide, and the solution pH lowered to increase the crosslinking time. Microgels were filtered through a 40 µm cell filter before use to prevent clogging of the flow cytometers and the Penn-Century Inc. MicroSprayer (Wyndmoor, USA). Nanoparticles encapsulated include: 0.1 ± 0.012 µm Blue FluoSpheres (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, USA, ex/em 350/440) or 60 nm Carboxylated Dragon Green polystyrene beads (Bang's Laboratories, Fishers, USA, ex/em 480/520). Microgels were labeled for microscopy or flow cytometry with 0.01 mg of DyLight 650-4xPEG-mal, DyLight 650-mal or DyLight 488-mal (ThermoFisher, dissolved at 10 mg/mL in DMSO). Encapsulation efficiency of Blue FluoSpheres was measured by fluorescence of nanoparticles against a standard curve with a BioTek (Winooski, USA) plate reader.

137

138 **Microscopy and Analysis:** Fluorescent images were collected either on a Zeiss (Oberkochen,
139 Germany) 710 Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope with a 63X NA-1.4 oil Plan Apochromat objective
140 or on a PerkinElmer (Waltham, USA) UltraVIEW VoX spinning disk confocal microscope with a
141 Hamamatsu C9100-23b back-thinned EM-CCD and Nikon 100x NA-1.45 oil objective. Sizing of swollen
142 particles was performed with the Matlab function “imfindcircles”. Other image processing, linear
143 contrasts, particle-size in oil, calculations, stitching, and three-dimensional reconstruction were
144 performed in Volocity (PerkinElmer).

145

146 **Rheometry:** Hydrogel storage and loss moduli were measured by dynamic oscillatory strain, and
147 frequency sweeps using an MCR 302 Rheometer (Anton Paar, Graz, Austria) with a 9 mm diameter 2°
148 cone and plate set at 25°C. Bulk hydrogels were made in 4.5 mm diameter silicone isolators,
149 crosslinked, then removed from the isolators and allowed to swell in PBS overnight. One gel per
150 formulation was used to determine the linear viscoelastic range of the hydrogel using a strain amplitude
151 sweep with an angular frequency of 10 rad/s; strain from the linear portion was then used for the
152 oscillatory frequency sweeps. Frequency sweeps were run with the constant strain from $\omega = 0.1$ -100
153 rad/s or until at least six points were linear.

154

155 **In-vitro Degradation:** Microgels were studied in both the absence and presence of enzyme using time-
156 lapse imaging of a loaded cell trap provided by the Lu lab [32]. The use of a cell trap allows for the
157 imaging of Nano-in-Microgel degradation over time with a high n ($n > 100$) per run. Once traps were
158 loaded DyLight 650 labeled microgels, enzyme solutions were flown into the trap, and the trap was
159 fluorescently imaged every minute for 30 minutes on a BioTek Lionheart using a 4x objective. Images
160 were stitched and analyzed in Gen 5.02 (BioTek). De-identified CF pooled patient sputum was collected
161 by the Emory Biospecimens Repository under protocols approved by the Institutional Review Boards at
162 Emory University and Georgia Institute of Technology.

163

164 **Macrophage Uptake Study:** RAW 264.7 Macrophage cells (ATCC, Manassas, USA) were cultured in
 165 DMEM medium supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) 100 U/mL/100 µg/mL Penicillin-
 166 Streptomycin (HyClone, Logan, USA) in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO₂. RAW cells were detached by
 167 scraping and stained with CellTrace Yellow (ThermoFisher) following manufacturer instructions, then
 168 incubated with 20-50% w/v Nano-in-Microgel at a 1:1 ratio in a 96 well V-bottom plate. At 0.5, 1, 2, 6,
 169 and 12 hours the plates were moved to 4°C, and the cells stained with Zombie Green (BioLegend, San
 170 Diego, USA) and fixed with Cytfix (BD Biosciences, San Jose, USA). Fluorescence was evaluated
 171 using a BD LSRFortessa with a high-throughput system.

172

173 **Pulmonary Administration and whole organ imaging:** All studies were performed according to
 174 protocols approved by the IACUC at the Georgia Institute of Technology and all methods were
 175 performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. C57BL/6 and 8-12 weeks old
 176 female Balb/c mice (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, USA) were maintained in pathogen-free
 177 facilities, given sterile water, and food (low alfalfa if used for imaging) ad libitum. Using a 1A-1C
 178 MicroSprayer Aerosolizer and FMJ-250 high pressure syringe assembly (Penn-Century, Inc) for
 179 aerosolized delivery, mice were anesthetized with a Ketamine/Xylazine 80-100 mg/kg/ 10-20 mg/kg
 180 cocktail, positioned on an intubation table, endotracheally intubated with a 1.22 mm ET Tube (Hallowell
 181 EMC, Pittsfield, USA), and swollen Nano-in-Microgel formulations (1e6 microgels in 50 µL) were
 182 injected. If there was injection failure, the mouse was marked for follow up and removed from data sets;
 183 all samples removed are marked within the relevant supplemental figures. For oropharyngeal
 184 aspirations, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, placed on an intubation table, then the tongue was
 185 pulled and held with atraumatic forceps as the formulation was administered into the mouth, and the
 186 nose gently covered until the liquid was inhaled. Within experiments, the concentration of microgels
 187 was equal between formulations. After sacrificing the mice with sodium pentobarbital, perfusing the
 188 lungs with PBS through the right ventricle then excising the lungs, the fluorescence intensity of the
 189 excised lungs was measured by imaging via IVIS Spectrum µCT (Perkin Elmer).

190

Flow Cytometry to study *in vivo* cellular targeting and clearance: Single cell suspensions were prepared using a gentleMACS tissue dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) with 1 mg/mL of Collagenase D (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and 0.1 mg/mL DNase I (Roche) in Opti-MEM (ThermoFisher), then straining through a 40 μ m cell strainer. Red blood cells (RBC) were lysed using 1x RBC Lysis Buffer (eBioscience, San Diego, USA). The single cell suspension was then washed and stained with Zombie Aqua (BioLegend, San Diego, USA), incubated with Fc Block (BioLegend) then with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies (BioLegend unless noted; clone used), and fixed with Cytofix (BD Bioscience). Antibodies used were: Ly-6G-BV711 (1A8), CD45-BV605 (30-F11), SiglecF-PE (BD Bioscience; E50-2440), CD11c-APC/Fire750 (N418), F4/80-PE/Dazzle™ 594 (BM8), CD11b-PE/Cy7 (M1/70). Cells were collected on an untreated littermate to set the gates with noise below 1% by FMOs (fluorescence minus one). The gating scheme is illustrated in Supplemental Figure 1. Data were acquired on a BD LSRFortessa flow cytometer; compensation and data analyses were performed using FlowJo (TreeStar, Ashland USA).

Statistical Analysis: Data was analyzed using GraphPad Prism (San Diego, CA) with a Shapiro-Wilk test for normality ($\alpha=0.05$). A one-way Anova ($\alpha=0.01$) with post-hoc Tukey's test with an adjusted P value for multiple comparisons, a two-way Anova ($\alpha = 0.01$) with Sidak post hoc analysis was used with data that passed Shapiro-Wilk. Where applicable, a Mann-Whitney ($\alpha = 0.01$) or Kruskal-Wallis ($\alpha = 0.01$) with Dunn's test was used for data that failed Shapiro-Wilk.

Results and Discussion

Design and Synthesis of Nano-in-Microgel Particles for aerodynamic and geometric size:

Microgel formulations were made at 20, 30, 40, 50% w/v starting polymer concentration to assess the effect on microgel size, degradation, and macrophage phagocytosis. 4-arm PEG Maleimide was crosslinked with a trypsin-responsive (CGRGGC) or neutrophil elastase-responsive (CGAAPVRGGGGC) peptide backbone via crosslinking of the thiols on the cysteine residues. A maleimide-thiol reaction was chosen for the Michael Addition During water-in-oil Emulsion because of

the faster kinetics relative to an acrylate-thiol[33]. By using both trypsin- and elastase-degradable crosslinker, we show the versatility of the multi-stage system, whereby the crosslinker can be exchanged for different disease-related protease responsive sequences. These peptides allow for the controlled degradation of the microgels by proteases to release their nanoparticle cargo, schematic representation in Figure 1A. To fluorescently label the microgel for imaging and flow cytometry, a DyLight 650-4x-PEG-Maleimide was added to the 4x-PEG-Maleimide precursor solution. Representative images of a DyLight-650 labeled microgel with 60 nm carboxylated polystyrene beads can be seen in Figure 1B. Carboxylated beads (60 nm) can be seen in more than 89% of the microgels by flow cytometry, Supplemental Figure 2. Encapsulation efficiency of the 100 nm Blue FluoSpheres for each formulation was between 30-40% with total nanoparticles encapsulated ($\sim 1 \times 10^{11}$) in the microgels within the same order of magnitude as theoretical maximum (3.6×10^{11}), Supplemental Table 2.

Figure 1: Synthesis of the Nanoparticle-in-Microgel (N-in-M) System. (A) Schematic of the synthesis and degradation process for the Nano-in-Microgel formulation. To fabricate the Nano-in-Microgels, a Michael Addition reaction During water-in-oil Emulsion (MADE) was used. 4-arm PEG Maleimide and DyLight 650 4-arm PEG Mal is crosslinked by a protease-responsive di-thiol peptide in emulsion. During microgel fabrication, nanoparticles are physically entrapped into the microgels. Following delivery, protease dependent degradation at tissue or disease sites leads to nanoparticle release (B) Representative image of a 20% trypsin-responsive DyLight 650 labeled microgel encapsulating 60 nm Dragon Green polystyrene beads. Scale bars = 5 μm . Contrast linearly adjusted for clarity.

The mean geometric diameter of the microgels for each starting polymer concentration were between 4.5-8.5 μm , Figure 2A. Mean size generally showed an increasing trend with the increase of starting polymer concentrations; however, they were not statistically different. The lowest size is limited by the imaging technique used for the measurement. 60% w/v microgels were not included in the studies as their yield was low and the viscosity of the solution was difficult to transfer to the homogenizer efficiently.

Aerodynamic diameter (D_a) is dependent on the geometric diameter (D_g), shape factor (1 for spheres) and density of the particle through the following relationship: $D_a = D_g \cdot \rho^{0.5}$ [14]. Using the density of PEG as 1.13 g/mL, aerodynamic diameters of various microgel formulations were calculated and shown in Table 1 (Figure 2B). Aerodynamic diameters of 0.5 to 5 μm are most suitable for deep lung deposition [13, 14]; which corresponds to a theoretical geometric size range of 0.94 and 4.7 μm for PEG based particles. This range is represented by dashed red lines on Figure 2B and suggests that the design criteria for optimal aerodynamic diameter for deep lung delivery is met. In the future, the actual aerodynamic diameter measured by a next generation impactor, after developing a dry powder formulation, would be necessary to account for microgel aggregation, density, and shape factor.

Figure 2: Size distribution of the trypsin- and elastase-responsive Nano-in-Microgel formulations in relaxed vs swollen states. (A) Microgels fabricated with a trypsin responsive (CGRGGC) peptide sequence or a neutrophil-elastase responsive (CGAAPVRGGGCG) peptide with varying starting polymer concentrations 20% (green), 30% (blue), 40% (cyan), 50% (purple) were sized via microscopy in their relaxed polymer state (in oil) and swollen state (in water). The dashed red lines on the relaxed state graphs represent the theoretical range of geometric diameters that correspond to 0.5-5 μm aerodynamic range, which is thought to be appropriate for deep lung delivery [13, 14]. These were calculated by the relationship: $D_a = D_g \cdot \rho^{0.5}$ for $Da = 0.5$ and 5. Each violin plot is from max to min with median (black line) and quartiles labeled, $n > 200$ for each measurement. (B) The table shows the mean, standard deviation (Std Dev.) and 75th percentile aerodynamic diameters for the trypsin- and

268 *elastase-responsive microgels from 20-50% w/v starting polymer concentrations, calculated from the*
 269 *geometric diameters using $D_a = D_g \cdot p^{0.5}$.*

270

271 **More than 95% of Microgels degrade within 30 minutes in vitro:** In order to confirm the design
 272 criteria for proteolytic degradation of the microgels by the incorporated peptide backbone, Nano-in-
 273 Microgels were loaded into microfluidic, PDMS single cell traps [32] then exposed to the relevant
 274 proteases and imaged over time (Figure 3A). Trypsin-responsive microgels were exposed to 0.01
 275 mg/mL of trypsin (≥ 90 u/mL). For all formulations, 95% of the microgels degraded within 20 minutes.
 276 These studies were repeated with the elastase-responsive microgels, which were exposed to pooled
 277 sputum from cystic fibrosis patients (courtesy of the Emory Cystic Fibrosis Center, Atlanta, GA). For all
 278 elastase-responsive microgel formulations, 95% of the microgels degraded within 25 minutes of
 279 exposure to the sputum. It is possible that the protease-responsive crosslinkers in these microgels
 280 could non-specifically degraded by other proteases present in the disease state; e.g., matriptase for the
 281 trypsin-responsive peptide or cathepsin S for the elastase-responsive peptide (identified using
 282 PACMANs[34]), and this *in vivo* degradation rate in disease states could be faster – an aspect we plan
 283 to evaluate in future studies. Non-enzyme degradable 20% w/v microgels were fabricated by
 284 crosslinking with a dithiol PEG and exposed to 0.01 mg/mL of trypsin (≥ 900 u/mL) as a control to show
 285 the peptide crosslinker is necessary for enzyme-responsive degradation. The fluorescence change of
 286 the non-enzymatically degradable PEG microgels followed similar trend as several sets of trypsin and
 287 elastase crosslinked microgels that were imaged without exposure to the enzyme to confirm limited
 288 bleaching of the microgels; the mean at 25 minutes is 91%, Figure 3B – indicating minimal background
 289 degradation.

290

291 Interestingly, we did not observe a difference in degradation when varying polymer concentrations. This
 292 could be due to either the limits of the measurement method (1-minute intervals) or the polydispersity of
 293 the microgels and overlap in size of the different groups. It is also possible that the enzyme
 294 concentration is high and the kinetics of degradation is much faster than the increase in number of

additional crosslinks. It should be noted that the release of the 100 nm nanoparticles should follow the degradation curve, since the theoretical pore size calculated[35] is smaller than the size of the nanoparticles (10-20 nm) i.e., the nanoparticles can only be released as the microgels degrade, Supplemental Table 3.

Figure 3: Degradation of trypsin- and elastase-responsive microgels by their respective proteases (A) Representative phase contrast, Cy5 overlaid images of cell traps at 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20 minutes holding microgels crosslinked with PEG (non-protease responsive), trypsin-, or elastase-responsive microgels. Whole cell traps were imaged before protease addition and once every minute for 30 minutes after addition. PEG was imaged with and without exposure to 0.01 mg/mL of trypsin to measure photobleaching and show the necessity of the protease-responsive peptide for the microgel degradation. Trypsin-responsive microgels were exposed to 0.01 mg/mL trypsin and elastase-

responsive were exposed to pooled CF patient-derived airway supernatant; scale bar = 10 μ m. (B) Mean $\pm$ SEM plots of the percent of non-degraded microgels remaining in the trap over 30 minutes for PEG microgels (N = 5), trypsin- (N = 5) and elastase- (N = 3) responsive microgels. Trypsin- and elastase- responsive microgels were tested for each 20-50% w/v starting polymer concentration with 95% of trypsin-responsive microgels degrading in 20 minutes and 95% of elastase-responsive degrading in 25 minutes.

Bulk hydrogels from 20-50% are relatively soft: Since macrophage phagocytosis (the final design criteria) has been shown to be dependent on size and mechanical properties of the particles [36, 37], we next measured storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli of the bulk hydrogels (Figure 4). For the trypsin-responsive crosslinker, the 20% w/v microgels had significantly lower loss modulus than the 40 and 50% w/v ones. Furthermore, both the trypsin and elastase-responsive 20% w/v formulation had lower storage modulus than the 40 and 50% w/v bulk gels. However, across all formulations, the hydrogels are relatively soft (10kPa).

Figure 4: Storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli for trypsin- and elastase-responsive crosslinked bulk hydrogels. Trypsin- and elastase- responsive bulk hydrogels with varying starting polymer concentrations from 20-50% w/v (n = 8). Bars within formulation are group mean $\pm$ SD. Horizontal bars represent statistical significance, Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison test ($\alpha < 0.01$)

Higher polymer concentration results in lower phagocytosis of microgels by macrophages in vitro: To study macrophage phagocytosis *in vitro*, DyLight 650-4xPEG mal labeled Nano-in-Microgel

330 formulation (20-50% w/v) loaded with 100 nm Blue FluoSpheres were mixed and incubated with RAW
 331 264.7 cells at a 1:1 cell:particle ratio. At 0.5, 1, 2, 6, and 12 hours of incubation, the cells were fixed and
 332 analyzed using flow cytometry for Nano-in-Microgels positive macrophages (double positive DyLight
 333 650 and Blue FluoSpheres) or nanoparticle only macrophages (Blue FluoSpheres), Supplemental
 334 Figure 3A. After fixing, a small sample of cells from each treatment group was placed on a slide and
 335 imaged on a spinning disc confocal. A representative image (Figure 5A) showed that macrophages
 336 appear to phagocytose multiple particles. Over time, the percentage of macrophages that were positive
 337 for the Nano-in-Microgels increased for all formulations; however, Nano-in-Microgels with a higher
 338 polymer concentrations exhibited lower uptake by macrophages *in vitro*. This trend was observed for
 339 both the trypsin- and elastase-responsive microgels, Figure 5B, and suggests that to avoid rapid
 340 clearance by phagocytes a higher starting polymer concentration may potentially be helpful *in vivo*. The
 341 size and rheological properties of the microgels may have a combined effect resulting in differential
 342 phagocytosis. The RAW 264.7 macrophages were not activated with any immune-stimulatory signals,
 343 nor were additional proteases added into the media to degrade the microgels. Thus, nanoparticle
 344 release should primarily happen inside the macrophages following microgel degradation by intracellular
 345 enzymes. Therefore, as expected, macrophages positive for nanoparticles only (in flow cytometry
 346 plots), appear at later time points, presumably after the macrophages have phagocytosed the Nano-in-
 347 Microgels and the DyLight 650 fluorescence has either degraded inside the cells or is not stable in the
 348 endosomal pH – thus shifting the flow population to the Blue Fluosphere only (i.e. nanoparticle only)
 349 flow quadrant (see Supplemental Figure 3).

Figure 5: Trypsin- and elastase-responsive microgel-uptake by RAW 264.7 macrophages. Microgels were delivered at a 1:1 ratio to RAW 264.7 macrophages and run on flow cytometry to measure microgel positive macrophages at 30 minutes, 1, 2, 6, and 12 hours. (A) Representative images of a CellTrace Yellow labeled macrophage with 100 nm Blue Fluospheres, and DyLight 650 labeled microgels; scale bar = 15 μ m, images linearly contrasted for clarity. (B) Trypsin- and elastase-responsive microgel uptake over time measured by the percentage of DyLight 650 positive RAW 264.7 macrophages; trypsin- (n=6) and elastase- responsive (n=12), Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison test, bars represent statistical significance, $\alpha < 0.01$.

Microgels avoid rapid clearance following intratracheal delivery and are detectable up to 24h in naïve mice: The final design criteria was to avoid rapid phagocytic clearance by alveolar macrophages, a major barrier in pulmonary drug delivery. We chose the formulation with the fastest phagocytosis *in vitro* (20% w/v, Figure 5) to evaluate what the shortest residence time of these formulations would be *in vivo*. To understand the baseline clearance by phagocytosis or the mucociliary elevator, we chose to evaluate this in naïve animals in the absence of any disease-specific proteases which would otherwise

convolute the results. We also tested clearance with the trypsin-responsive microgel formulations as trypsin is a protease primarily found in the GI system – and not in the lungs.

Specifically, Balb/c mice were treated with saline or 20% w/v trypsin-responsive DyLight 650-mal Microgels by a Penn-Century MicroSprayer aerosolizer. Lungs were harvested within 30 minutes after microgel instillation, and processed for crysectioning. Histologically, we observed that microgels were present in all 5 lobes of the lungs. Few sections were also stained for Siglec F, an alveolar macrophage and eosinophil marker. Our results show that some microgels are associated with SiglecF+ cells immediately after delivery (Supplemental Figure 4).

For longitudinal evaluation of microgel retention two Nano-in-Micro formulations were tested, a 20% w/v trypsin-responsive and a 20% w/v non-protease responsive PEG-PEG Nano-in-Microgel formulation; both formulations had the microgels labeled with DyLight 650 and encapsulated 100 nm Blue FluoSpheres (Blue FS). These Nano-in-Micro formulations were instilled naïve Balb/c lungs which were excised at 0.5, 6, and 24 hours, and the radiant efficiency of the whole lung was measured using IVIS. Fluorescence intensity decreased with time but was still visible at 6 and 24 hours after injection suggesting a slower clearance rate (Figure 6A-B and Supplemental Figure 5A). We expect that the levels of proteases present in these naïve lungs were relatively low, and thus the measured decrease in fluorescence should not be due to enzymatic degradation of the peptide backbone on the microgels, which was confirmed by the similar rates of clearance for both the protease- and non-protease degradable (PEG-PEG) Nano-in-Microgel formulations.

A secondary goal of these experiments was to ensure that nanoparticles are not being released in normal, disease-free lungs – a key criterion to minimize side effects. To evaluate this we looked for the presence of the 100 nm Blue FluoSphere (ex/em 350/440; DAPI equivalent) in various cell types in the lungs of naïve mice following delivery using flow cytometry. In the absence of significant proteolytic degradation (in naïve animals we do not expect disease-related proteolytic enzymes to be present in

the lung), few Blue FluoSpheres should be released from the microgels (labeled with DyLight 650). This was confirmed by flow cytometry showing that alveolar macrophages (Live CD45+Ly6G-SiglecF+CD11c+CD11b-) and neutrophils (Live CD45+Ly6G+) were not positive for free nanoparticles (i.e. nanoparticles released out of microgels; 100 nm Blue FluoSphere+ and DyLight 650-), Figure 6C. Additionally epithelial cells (Live CD45-EpCAM+) were also negative for free nanoparticles (Supplemental Figure 5B). Importantly this also showed little to no uptake by neutrophils and minimal uptake of the Nano-in-Microgel by alveolar macrophages (lack of macrophages positive for DyLight 650) suggesting avoidance of rapid macrophage phagocytosis within the early timepoint.

Without degradation the entrapped nanoparticles or partially degraded microgels are not expected to be found in other organs, except potentially in lung draining lymph nodes (carried by lung phagocytic cells). However, IVIS images showed no detectable radiant efficiency in the lymph nodes (Supplemental Figure 5C), indicating minimal or no transport of the microgels outside of the lung. This suggests that without relevant proteases to degrade the microgels, and with minimal macrophage-phagocytosis as discussed above, they are most likely cleared by normal mucociliary clearance of the lung.

Figure 6: Trypsin- and non-protease(PEG)-degradable microgel residence time in naïve mice.

Saline, DyLight 650 trypsin-responsive microgels, or DyLight 650 PEG (non-protease responsive) microgels were instilled in naïve mice airways using a MicroSprayer then lungs were excised and radiant efficiency measured by IVIS at 0.5, 6, and 24 hours post delivery. (A) Representative IVIS images for lung background control (saline), and DyLight 650 emission from trypsin-responsive and PEG-crosslinked microgels at 0.5, 6, and 24 hours after instillation. (B) IVIS measured radiant efficiency decreased for both formulations over time with similar rates ($n = 3 - 4$). (C) Flow cytometry for Alveolar Macrophages and Neutrophil populations showed no significant changes in cell populations across treatments and no uptake of free nanoparticle (DyLight 650- Blue FS+) within the macrophage or

419 *neutrophil populations across all timepoints. Limited Nano-in-Micro (DyLight 650+) uptake in the*
 420 *macrophages is seen after 6 hours within some individual mice but no group significance. Kruskal-*
 421 *Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison test within each time point is not statistically significant, $\alpha <$*
 422 *0.01.*

423

424 The radiant efficiency observed in 20 and 30% w/v formulations were similar (Supplemental Figure 6A-
 425 B), clearance also remained similar between the formulations, and additional flow cytometry showed no
 426 alveolar macrophages or neutrophils positive for either the Nano-in-Microgel formulations or the
 427 nanoparticles alone (Supplemental Figure 6C) suggesting limited rapid clearance of the Nano-in-Micro
 428 system for the final design criterion. Fluorescence of the Nano-in-Microgel formulation was maintained
 429 at 6 hours, showing that microgels avoid rapid clearance by phagocytosis and when designed to be
 430 used in diseased states should degrade within this time frame (< 6 h) leading to effective release of the
 431 nanoparticles.

432

433 **Limited phagocytosis *in vivo* within the first hour of administration:** To show that the third design
 434 criterion was not dependent to a specific delivery method, or a specific proteolytically-responsive
 435 peptide, or a mouse strain, a 1:1 ratio of trypsin-responsive microgels and elastase-responsive
 436 microgels (labeled with DyLight 650-4xPEG mal and DyLight 488-mal, respectively) loaded with 100 nm
 437 Blue FluoSpheres were co-delivered to the airways of Balb/c or C57BL6 naïve mice. Microgels were
 438 administered with the aerosolizer (PC) or by the commonly used oropharyngeal aspiration method
 439 between Balb/c littermates. Additionally, microgels were delivered by oropharyngeal aspiration to
 440 C57BL/6 mice from two age group (5-6 and 16-17 week old) since this strain and delivery method is
 441 often used in LPS models of neutrophilic infiltration. One hour after delivery (Figure 7) the mice were
 442 sacrificed, the lungs collected, and digested into single cell suspensions. Stained samples were then
 443 run on a flow cytometer to determine the phagocytic cells positive for the different microgel
 444 formulations. Cell populations were defined as follows: 1) polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN):
 445 CD45+Ly6G+, 2) alveolar macrophages (AM): CD45+Ly6G-SiglecF+CD11c+CD11b-, 3) eosinophils

446 (Eo): CD45+Ly6G-SiglecF+CD11c-CD11b+, and 4) non-alveolar F4/80+ macrophages (M ϕ):
 447 CD45+Ly6G-SiglecF-F4/80+. The MicroSprayer appears to deliver microgels in the vicinity of
 448 eosinophils and alveolar macrophages, Supplemental Figure 4; however, the extent and dose with
 449 which these particles reach the lower airways could be low, and with oropharyngeal aspirations may be
 450 even lower since the velocity added by the MicroSprayer is absent, this could result in lower
 451 percentages of particle uptake. Additionally, the F4/80+ macrophage populations can include both non-
 452 alveolar macrophages as well as interstitial macrophages [38] that may or may not come in contact with
 453 the microgel formulations, also skewing the percentages low.

454

455 The neutrophil populations for the two Balb/c delivery methods were significantly different and the
 456 alveolar macrophage population between the two C57BL/6 groups were also significantly different
 457 using a two-way Anova ($\alpha = 0.01$) with Sidak post hoc test, Figure 7A. Statistical analysis comparisons
 458 were kept within species for each cell type. While the microgel+ populations within each of the cell
 459 populations have median values below 5% for each type, (Figure 7B-C), the elastase-responsive group
 460 has a few between 5-10%; the grey dashed line marks the 1% threshold used to set the gates on
 461 FMOs. This data suggests limited rapid phagocytosis within this short timepoint, achieving the final
 462 design criterion. Due to the low number of cells within each of the sub-populations the nanoparticles
 463 (Figure 7D) were gated directly off the AM, PMN, Eo, or M ϕ cell population rather than from the
 464 microgel (DyLight 650 or DyLight 488) positive populations with only the Balb/c F4/80+ macrophage
 465 populations showing cells positive for nanoparticles.

Figure 7: 20% w/v trypsin- and elastase- responsive microgel uptake in naïve Balb/c or C57BL/6 phagocytic populations one hour post-delivery. 20% w/v DyLight 650 trypsin-responsive microgels encapsulating 100 nm Blue FluoSpheres and 20% w/v DyLight 488 elastase-responsive microgels encapsulating 100 nm Blue FluoSpheres were instilled at a 1:1 ratio in mice airways by either Penn-Century MicroSprayer (PC) or oropharyngeal aspirations in naïve Balb/c littermates (PC-green, purple) or by oropharyngeal aspirations to 5-6 week-old (light blue) or 16-17 week-old (dark blue) C57BL/6 naïve mice. Single cell suspensions were stained and run on flow cytometry (A) Percentage of neutrophils (PMN; CD45+Ly6G+), eosinophils (EO; CD45+Ly6G-SiglecF+CD11c-CD11b+), alveolar macrophage (AM; CD45+Ly6G-SiglecF+CD11c+CD11b-), and F4/80+ macrophage (Mφ; CD45+Ly6G-SiglecF-F4/80+) of the CD45+ population; two-way Anova ($\alpha = 0.01$) with Sidak post hoc. Percentage of neutrophils, eosinophils, alveolar macrophages, and F4/80 macrophages positive for (B) trypsin-responsive microgels (DyLight 650+) or (C) elastase-responsive microgels (DyLight 488+) or (D) Blue

479 *FluoSpheres (ex/em 350/440; DAPI channel); Mann-Whitney ($\alpha = 0.01$). Grey dashed line marks the*
 480 *1% threshold used to set the gates on FMOs.*

481

482 While particle size is an important factor for phagocytosis and endocytosis, recent work looking at the
 483 relationship between particle elasticity and cellular uptake has shown that softer particles are often
 484 more difficult for cells to consume. This is because particle deformation leads to a greater extent of
 485 spreading between the elastic particle and the cell; specifically, phagocytosis by immune cells for
 486 particles in the softer (kPa) range having slower uptake than stiffer (MPa) particles[37, 39]. We
 487 observed that with the 20% w/v trypsin- and elastase-responsive microgels, there was minimal rapid
 488 clearance by phagocytosis in the first hour by neutrophils, eosinophils, alveolar macrophages, and
 489 F4/80+ macrophages for both Balb/c and C57BL/6 mice regardless of delivery method (aerosolizer vs.
 490 oropharyngeal aspiration); we believe the larger size of the microgels in the swelled state and their low
 491 mechanical stiffness leads to lower phagocytic clearance.

492

493 Furthermore, that *in vitro* elastase-responsive microgels degraded in CF patient sputum within 30
 494 minutes, which suggested that the elastase-responsive microgels should degrade and release
 495 nanoparticles before most of the microgels are cleared from diseased lungs. This microgel
 496 phagocytosis and clearance from Figures 6-7 was observed in naïve animals, however, under severe
 497 disease burdens (such as asthma, COPD, and CF) there is reduced phagocytic ability of these innate
 498 cells[40-43] which may create a longer window for the microgels to degrade—releasing their cargo.

499

500 **Conclusions**

501 The Nano-in-Microgel system was designed for (1) appropriate aerodynamic size to ensure deep lung
 502 delivery (2) protease-triggered release of encapsulated nanoparticles in diseased lung
 503 microenvironments and (3) avoiding rapid clearance by alveolar macrophages. The purpose of these
 504 studies was to understand two issues: 1) how the starting polymer concentration of our Nano-in-
 505 Microgel multistage particles influenced the size and degradation *in vitro* and 2) the cellular-

biodistribution, phagocytosis, and clearance of the Nano-in-Microgel system in naïve *in vivo* settings following pulmonary delivery. The trypsin peptide crosslinker was used to understand how the Nano-in-Microgel system without specific enzymatic degradation cleared *in vivo*, while the neutrophil-elastase responsive microgels demonstrated that we could incorporate a pulmonary disease-relevant crosslinker into the system and study nanoparticle release. We have further improved the MADE method by changing the chemistry from an acrylate-thiol to maleimide-thiol for faster reaction kinetics and lowering the necessary % w/v for the microgel formulations while maintaining the size ranges needed for deposition and avoiding rapid clearance by phagocytosis within the first 24 hours. While the work used representative fluorescent nanoparticles, these nanoparticles can be easily exchanged for target-specific nanoparticles as they are physically entrapped in the microgel network during fabrication.

Future studies with the elastase-responsive peptide in disease models with therapeutic nanoparticles should be completed to determine this optimal clearance vs. degradation kinetics and to understand the efficacy of the Nano-in-Microgel system for drug delivery under various disease conditions.

The results of these studies show that the MADE method for fabricating multi-stage particles produces enzyme-responsive microgels without exposure to degradative conditions for biologics that allow for quick release of nanoparticles for targeting pulmonary disease. Future work in optimizing a dry powder formulation for existing pulmonary delivery devices (e.g., DPIs, nebulizers) is needed to translate the system into clinical usage.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by funding from the Marcus Center for Therapeutic Cell Characterization and Manufacturing (MC3M) at Georgia Tech, Georgia Tech Foundation (KR), Georgia Tech Research Alliance (KR), Center for Cystic Fibrosis Airways Disease Research and Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, NIH/NIGMS-sponsored Cell and Tissue Engineering (CTEng) Biotechnology Training Program T32GM008433 (JCM) and NSF Graduate Research Fellowship DGE-1148903 (JCM). The authors would like to thank the Prof. Hang Lu and her lab for the use of their cell traps,

534 Prof. Andrés García's lab for the use of their Rheometer, Dr. Randall Toy for help during animal
535 experiments, and Prof. Rabin Tirouvanziam for help with Emory's Cystic Fibrosis Biospecimen Registry.
536 We also thank the Parker H. Petit Institute for Bioengineering and Bioscience at the Georgia Institute of
537 Technology for the use of their core facilities.

538

539 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

540

541 **Supplemental Data:** Supplementary data related to this article can be found online

542

543 References:

- 544 [1] J. Balhara, A.S. Gounni, The alveolar macrophages in asthma: a double-edged sword, *Mucosal*
545 *Immunol*, 5 (2012) 605-609.
- 546 [2] B.N. Lambrecht, H. Hammad, The immunology of asthma, *Nature Immunology*, 16 (2015) 45-56.
- 547 [3] P.J. Barnes, Inflammatory mechanisms in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease,
548 *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 138 (2016) 16-27.
- 549 [4] C. Castellani, B.M. Assael, Cystic fibrosis: a clinical view, *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 74
550 (2016) 1-12.
- 551 [5] F. Ratjen, S.C. Bell, S.M. Rowe, C.H. Goss, A.L. Quittner, A. Bush, Cystic fibrosis, *Nature Reviews*
552 *Disease Primers*, 1 (2015) 15010-15010.
- 553 [6] T.W. Shen, C.A. Fromen, M.P. Kai, J.C. Luft, T.B. Rahhal, G.R. Robbins, J.M. DeSimone,
554 *Distribution and Cellular Uptake of PEGylated Polymeric Particles in the Lung Towards Cell-Specific*
555 *Targeted Delivery*, *Pharmaceutical Research*, 32 (2015) 3248-3260.
- 556 [7] A. Popov, L. Schopf, J. Bourassa, H. Chen, Enhanced pulmonary delivery of fluticasone propionate
557 in rodents by mucus-penetrating nanoparticles, *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 502 (2016) 188-
558 197.
- 559 [8] N. Nafee, A. Husari, C.K. Maurer, C. Lu, C. De Rossi, A. Steinbach, R.W. Hartmann, C.M. Lehr, M.
560 Schneider, Antibiotic-free nanotherapeutics: Ultra-small, mucus-penetrating solid lipid nanoparticles
561 enhance the pulmonary delivery and anti-virulence efficacy of novel quorum sensing inhibitors, *Journal*
562 *of Controlled Release*, 192 (2014) 131-140.
- 563 [9] C.S. Schneider, Q. Xu, N.J. Boylan, J. Chisholm, B.C. Tang, B.S. Schuster, A. Henning, L.M.
564 Ensign, E. Lee, P. Adstamangkongkul, B.W. Simons, S.-Y.S. Wang, X. Gong, T. Yu, M.P. Boyle, J.S.
565 Suk, J. Hanes, Nanoparticles that do not adhere to mucus provide uniform and long-lasting drug
566 delivery to airways following inhalation, *Science Advances*, 3 (2017).
- 567 [10] X. Murgia, P. Pawelzyk, U.F. Schaefer, C. Wagner, N. Willenbacher, C.-M. Lehr, Size-Limited
568 Penetration of Nanoparticles into Porcine Respiratory Mucus after Aerosol Deposition,
569 *Biomacromolecules*, 17 (2016) 1536-1542.
- 570 [11] A. Kolte, S. Patil, P. Lesimple, J.W. Hanrahan, A. Misra, PEGylated composite nanoparticles of
571 PLGA and polyethylenimine for safe and efficient delivery of pDNA to lungs, *International Journal of*
572 *Pharmaceutics*, 524 (2017) 382-396.
- 573 [12] O. Gartzandia, S.P. Egusquiguirre, J. Bianco, J.L. Pedraz, M. Igartua, R.M. Hernandez, V. Prat,
574 A. Belouqui, Nanoparticle transport across in vitro olfactory cell monolayers, *International Journal of*
575 *Pharmaceutics*, 499 (2016) 81-89.
- 576 [13] J. Heyder, J. Gebhart, G. Rudolf, C.F. Schiller, W. Stahlhofen, Deposition of particles in the human
577 respiratory tract in the size range 0.005-15 μm , *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 17 (1986) 811-825.
- 578 [14] D.A. Edwards, C. Dunbar, Bioengineering of Therapeutic Aerosols, *Annual Review of Biomedical*
579 *Engineering*, 4 (2002) 93-107.
- 580 [15] B. Patel, N. Gupta, F. Ahsan, Particle engineering to enhance or lessen particle uptake by alveolar
581 macrophages and to influence the therapeutic outcome, *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and*
582 *Biopharmaceutics*, 89 (2015) 163-174.
- 583 [16] D.A. Edwards, J. Hanes, G. Caponetti, J. Hrkach, A. BenJebria, M.L. Eskew, J. Mintzes, D.
584 Deaver, N. Lotan, R. Langer, A. Ben-jebria, M.L. Eskew, J. Mintzes, D. Deaver, N. Lotan, R. Langer,
585 *Large Porous Particles for Pulmonary Drug Delivery*, *Science*, 276 (1997) 1868-1871.
- 586 [17] I. D'Angelo, B. Perfetto, G. Costabile, V. Ambrosini, P. Caputo, A. Miro, R. D'Emmanuele Di Villa
587 Bianca, R. Sorrentino, G. Donnarumma, F. Quaglia, F. Ungaro, Large Porous Particles for Sustained
588 Release of a Decoy Oligonucleotide and Poly(ethylenimine): Potential for Combined Therapy of
589 Chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Lung Infections, *Biomacromolecules*, 17 (2016) 1561-1571.
- 590 [18] S. Nishimura, T. Takami, Y. Murakami, Porous PLGA microparticles formed by "one-step"
591 emulsification for pulmonary drug delivery: The surface morphology and the aerodynamic properties,
592 *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 159 (2017) 318-326.
- 593 [19] P. Wanakule, G.W. Liu, A.T. Fleury, K. Roy, Nano-inside-micro: Disease-responsive microgels with
594 encapsulated nanoparticles for intracellular drug delivery to the deep lung, *Journal of Controlled*
595 *Release*, 162 (2012) 429-437.

596 [20] R. Ni, J. Zhao, Q. Liu, Z. Liang, U. Muenster, S. Mao, Nanocrystals embedded in chitosan-based
597 respirable swellable microparticles as dry powder for sustained pulmonary drug delivery, *European*
598 *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 99 (2017) 137-146.

599 [21] I.M. El-Sherbiny, H.D.C. Smyth, Biodegradable nano-micro carrier systems for sustained
600 pulmonary drug delivery: (I) Self-assembled nanoparticles encapsulated in respirable/swellable semi-
601 IPN microspheres, *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 395 (2010) 132-141.

602 [22] E. Secret, S.J. Kelly, K.E. Crannell, J.S. Andrew, Enzyme-Responsive Hydrogel Microparticles for
603 Pulmonary Drug Delivery, *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 6 (2014) 10313-10321.

604 [23] M.D. Bhavsar, M.M. Amiji, Gastrointestinal distribution and in vivo gene transfection studies with
605 nanoparticles-in-microsphere oral system (NiMOS), 119 (2007) 339-348.

606 [24] J.C. Imperiale, P. Nejamkin, J. Maria, C.E. Lanusse, A. Sosnik, Biomaterials Novel protease
607 inhibitor-loaded Nanoparticle-in-Microparticle Delivery System leads to a dramatic improvement of the
608 oral pharmacokinetics in dogs, 37 (2015) 383-394.

609 [25] M.P. Lutolf, J.L. Lauer-Fields, H.G. Schmoekel, A.T. Metters, F.E. Weber, G.B. Fields, J.A.
610 Hubbell, Synthetic matrix metalloproteinase-sensitive hydrogels for the conduction of tissue
611 regeneration: Engineering cell-invasion characteristics, *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
612 *Sciences*, 100 (2003) 5413.

613 [26] J. Patterson, J.A. Hubbell, Enhanced proteolytic degradation of molecularly engineered PEG
614 hydrogels in response to MMP-1 and MMP-2, *Biomaterials*, 31 (2010) 7836-7845.

615 [27] G.A. Foster, D.M. Headen, C. González-García, M. Salmerón-Sánchez, H. Shirwan, A.J. García,
616 Protease-degradable microgels for protein delivery for vascularization, *Biomaterials*, 113 (2017) 170-
617 175.

618 [28] S. Kolahian, I.E. Fernandez, O. Eickelberg, D. Hartl, Immune mechanisms in pulmonary fibrosis,
619 *American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology*, 55 (2016) 309-322.

620 [29] K. Hoenderdos, A. Condliffe, The neutrophil in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, *Am J Respir*
621 *Cell Mol Biol*, 48 (2013) 531-539.

622 [30] C.J. Wagner, C. Schultz, M.A. Mall, Neutrophil elastase and matrix metalloproteinase 12 in cystic
623 fibrosis lung disease, *Molecular and cellular pediatrics*, 3 (2016) 25-25.

624 [31] A.A. Aimetti, M.W. Tibbitt, K.S. Anseth, A.A. Aimetti, M.W. Tibbitt, K.S. Anseth, Human Neutrophil
625 Elastase Responsive Delivery from Poly (ethylene glycol) Hydrogels, *Biomacromolecules*, 10 (2009)
626 1484-1489.

627 [32] K. Chung, C.A. Rivet, M.L. Kemp, H. Lu, Imaging Single-Cell Signaling Dynamics with a
628 Deterministic High-Density Single-Cell Trap Array, *Analytical Chemistry*, 83 (2011) 7044-7052.

629 [33] E.A. Phelps, N.O. Enemchukwu, V.F. Fiore, J.C. Sy, N. Murthy, T.A. Sulchek, T.H. Barker, A.J.
630 García, Maleimide cross-linked bioactive PEG hydrogel exhibits improved reaction kinetics and cross-
631 linking for cell encapsulation and in situ delivery, *Advanced Materials*, 24 (2012) 64-70.

632 [34] M.C. Ferrall-Fairbanks, Z.T. Barry, M. Affer, M.A. Shuler, E.W. Moomaw, M.O. Platt, PACMANS: A
633 bioinformatically informed algorithm to predict, design, and disrupt protease-on-protease hydrolysis,
634 *Protein Science*, 26 (2017) 880-890.

635 [35] T. Canal, N.A. Peppas, Correlation between mesh size and equilibrium degree of swelling of
636 polymeric networks, *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*, 23 1183-1193.

637 [36] J.A. Champion, A. Walker, S. Mitragotri, Role of Particle Size in Phagocytosis of Polymeric
638 Microspheres, *Pharmaceutical Research*, 25 (2008) 1815-1821.

639 [37] A.C. Anselmo, S. Mitragotri, Impact of particle elasticity on particle-based drug delivery systems,
640 *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*, 108 (2017) 51-67.

641 [38] A.V. Misharin, L. Morales-Nebreda, G.M. Mutlu, G.R.S. Budinger, H. Perlman, Flow cytometric
642 analysis of macrophages and dendritic cell subsets in the mouse lung, *American Journal of Respiratory*
643 *Cell and Molecular Biology*, 49 (2013) 503-510.

644 [39] N. Doshi, A.S. Zahr, S. Bhaskar, J. Lahann, S. Mitragotri, Red blood cell-mimicking synthetic
645 biomaterial particles, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106 (2009) 21495-21499.

646 [40] A.M. Fitzpatrick, F. Holguin, W.G. Teague, L.A. Brown, Alveolar macrophage phagocytosis is
647 impaired in children with poorly controlled asthma, *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 121 (2008) 1372-1378, 1378
648 e1371-1373.

- 649 [41] N.E. Alexis, M.S. Muhlebach, D.B. Peden, T.L. Noah, Attenuation of host defense function of lung
650 phagocytes in young cystic fibrosis patients, *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis*, 5 (2006) 17-25.
- 651 [42] M. Leveque, S. Le Trionnaire, P. Del Porto, C. Martin-Chouly, The impact of impaired macrophage
652 functions in cystic fibrosis disease progression, *J Cyst Fibros*, 16 (2017) 443-453.
- 653 [43] Z. Liang, Q. Zhang, C.M. Thomas, K.K. Chana, D. Gibeon, P.J. Barnes, K.F. Chung, P.K. Bhavsar,
654 L.E. Donnelly, Impaired macrophage phagocytosis of bacteria in severe asthma, *Respiratory Research*,
655 15 (2014) 72.
656