1 Programmed Cell Death in the Developing Brachypodium distachyon Grain

2 Safia Saada¹, Charles Ugochukwu Solomon^{1,2*} and Sinéad Drea¹

¹Department of Genetics and Genome Biology, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK

Author for correspondence; Charles Ugochukwu Solomon, Phone: +447835298029, Email: cus2@le.ac.uk

Total word count: 4875

Section word count; Introduction: 1497

Materials and Methods: 1035

Results: 1071 Discussion: 1138

Number of figures: 6 (All should be published in colour)

Number of tables: 1

Number of supplementary figures: 5

Number of supplementary tables: 3

² Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Abia State University, PMB 2000 Uturu, Nigeria

Programmed Cell Death in Developing Brachypodium distachyon Grain

Summary

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2526

- The normal developmental sequence in a grass grain entails the death of several maternal and filial tissues in a genetically regulated process termed programmed cell death (PCD). The progression and molecular aspects of PCD in developing grain have been reported for domesticated species like barley, rice, maize and wheat. Here, we report a detailed investigation of PCD in the developing grain of a wild model species, *Brachypodium distachyon*.
- We detected PCD in developing *Brachypodium* grains using molecular and histological approaches. We also identified and surveyed the expression of *Brachypodium* orthologs of protease genes known to contribute to grain PCD.
- We found that *Brachypodium* nucellus degenerates by PCD in a centrifugal
 pattern following anthesis, although at a slower rate compared to cultivated
 cereals. Mesocarp PCD was not coordinated with endosperm development.

 Brachypodium lacks an expansion of vacuolar processing enzymes known for
 their roles in nucellar PCD.
 - Combined with existing knowledge on grain PCD, our study suggests the importance of rapid nucellar PCD for grain size and that the pattern of mesocarp PCD affects grain shape.
- Keywords: *Brachypodium* distachyon, cereal, grains, MADS29, metacaspases, programmed cell death, proteases, vacuolar processing enzymes.

Introduction

- 27 Ordered and finely regulated elimination of cells in living organisms is called
- 28 programmed cell death (PCD). PCD is a hallmark of numerous plant developmental
- 29 processes including cereal endosperm development (Daneva et al., 2016; Domínguez &
- 30 Cejudo, 2014; Kacprzyk et al., 2011). At fertilization, the fusion of a sperm nucleus
- 31 with two polar nuclei gives rise to a triploid endosperm. At this point the nascent cereal

32 endosperm is embedded within the nucellus, enclosed by two integuments, surrounded 33 by the pericarp. However, by the time the grain is mature, these maternal tissues, as well 34 as starchy endosperm cells have undergone PCD. 35 The post-fertilization series of PCD events during cereal grain development is well 36 reported in barley, maize, rice and wheat. Generally, the nucellus rapidly undergoes 37 PCD within the first five days after fertilization (Domínguez & Cejudo, 2014). PCD-38 degenerated nucellus cells provide growth space and resources for the incipient 39 endosperm at this stage (Domínguez & Cejudo, 2014; Morrison et al., 1978; Norstog, 40 1974). In maize, nucellus PCD proceeds acropetally while in barley, rice and wheat, 41 nucellus PCD is centrifugal (Chen et al., 2015; Radchuk et al., 2010; Yin & Xue, 2012). 42 All that remains of the nucellar tissue at about 6 days post anthesis (DPA) are; 1. 43 nucellar epidermis; bordered on the outside by the inner integument, 2. nucellar lysate; 44 debris of lysed nucellus cells, which is sandwiched between the nucellar epidermis and 45 the expanding endosperm, and 3. nucellar projection; located at the chalazal region 46 which acts as transport route for nutrient supply to the endosperm (Evers & Reed, 1988; 47 Freeman & Palmer, 1984; Morrison *et al.*, 1978; Norstog, 1974; Oparka & Gates, 1981; Wang et al., 1994). In barley and wheat the nucellar epidermis persists for a longer 48 49 period during grain development, retaining cytological integrity and metabolic activity 50 until about halfway through grain development (Domínguez & Cejudo, 1998; Norstog, 51 1974). In rice the nucellar epidermis serves as transport route for nutrients into the 52 endosperm and eventually collapses by 21 DPA, terminating grain filling (Ellis & 53 Chaffey., 1987; Oparka & Gates, 1981; Wu et al., 2016). Although the nucellar 54 epidermis is dead and crushed between aleurone and inner integument in mature 55 temperate cereals (Hands & Drea, 2012), the time point of its PCD during grain 56 development has not been reported. 57 Barley, rice and wheat nucellar projection are functional equivalents of placento-chalazal 58 layer of maize and sorghum. Both tissues are located between the main vascular bundle 59 and the developing endosperm. They differentiate into transfer cells that conduct 60 nutrients towards the endosperm (Domínguez & Cejudo., 2014). PCD in nucellar 61 projection/placento-chalazal region proceeds from cells proximal to the endosperm 62 towards cells at the chalazal region (Kladnik et al., 2004; Thiel et al., 2008; Wang et al., 63 1994). PCD was detected in rice nucellar projection at about 3 DPA, evident in barley, 64 maize and sorghum nucellar projection/placento-chalazal cells at about 8 DPA, and was 65 detected in wheat at 13 DPA (Dominguez et al., 2001; Kladnik et al., 2004; Radchuk et al., 2010; Thiel et al., 2008; Yin & Xue, 2012). The degeneration of nucellar 66 67 projection/placento-chalazal region creates transient sinks called endosperm cavity in 68 barley and wheat, and placental sac in sorghum and some varieties of maize (Wang et al., 69 1994). 70 At anthesis, the cereal pericarp, from outside to inside, is composed of a layer of 71 cutinous epicarp, several layers of parenchymal mesocarp and 2-3 layers of 72 chlorenchymal endocarp. PCD occurred in barley and rice mesocarp cells closer to the 73 integuments at 6 DPA and proceeded in an outward pattern. By 15 DPA, the mesocarp 74 and endocarp have undergone PCD leaving behind two to three layers of empty cells 75 that are crushed between the outer cuticle and the integument (Domínguez & Cejudo, 76 2014; Freeman & Palmer, 1984; Wu et al., 2016). While PCD in maternal nucellar and 77 pericarp tissues of cereals generally results in post mortem disintegration, and 78 remobilization of the cellular remains by filial endosperm and embryo, PCD leaves the 79 starchy endosperm cells intact (albeit dead) until germination, when they are degraded 80 and their contents used to fuel the growth of the embryo. Endosperm PCD was detected 81 at about 8 DPA in rice, and 16 DPA in maize and wheat. While the progression of 82 endosperm PCD is centrifugal in rice, basipetal in maize, wheat endosperm PCD 83 proceeds randomly (Young et al., 1997; Kobayashi et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2016). 84 Progress has been made in elucidating molecular mechanisms underlying PCD in 85 developing cereal grains. A number of proteolytic genes belonging to different protease 86 families have been linked with PCD of specific tissues in developing cereal grains. The 87 expression profile of nucellin, an aspartic protease that belongs to Clan AA Family A1 88 (http://merops.sanger.ac.uk), highly correlates with the degeneration of the nucellus in 89 barley and rice, prompting the conclusion that it has a role in nucellus PCD (Bi et al., 90 2005; F. Chen & Foolad, 1997). Another known aspartic protease Oryzasin 91 (Os05g0567100), was found to be expressed during rice seed ripening and germination 92 (Asakura et al., 1995). Notably, cysteine peptidases belonging to the legumain family 93 (C13), also known as vacuolar processing enzymes (VPEs) have been shown to be 94 expressed in timely and tissue specific manner, that coincides with caspase-like activities 95 in developing barley grains (Borén et al., 2006; Julián et al., 2013; Linnestad et al., 96 1998; Radchuk et al., 2010; Sreenivasulu et al., 2006; Tran et al., 2014). The mRNA of 97 barley nucellain orthologs was shown to localize in situ on nucellar cells undergoing PCD

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

in wheat and Brachypodium distachyon (Drea et al., 2005; Opanowicz et al., 2011). Rice nucellain, OsVPE1 was significantly down-regulated in OsMADS29-knock-down transgenic seeds which may indicate direct regulation of OsVPE1 by OsMADS29 in rice (Yang et al., 2012). Recent functional characterization of HvVPE4 by RNA interference revealed that pericarp PCD is inhibited by downregulation of HvVPE4 leading to reduced size and storage capacity in the embryo and endosperm (Radchuk et al., 2018). The expression of a cathepsin B-like protease gene belonging to the papain family (C1), overlaps with PCD in nucellus, nucellar epidermis and nucellar projection of developing wheat grains (Domínguez & Cejudo, 1998). A rice Cys protease gene, Os02g48450, also belonging to the papain (C1) family is severely downregulated in the nucellar projection of A-OsMADS29 (OsMADS29-knockdown) lines. Promoter analysis of Os02g48450 upstream sequence revealed clusters of CArG-box motifs that was experimentally shown to be bound by OsMADS29 (Yin & Xue, 2012). The rice MADS box family transcription factor OsMADS29, an ortholog of Arabidopsis thaliana TRANSPARENT TESTA 16 (TT16), regulates PCD in the pericarp, ovular vascular trace, integuments, nucellar epidermis and nucellar projection, during rice grain development. Suppression of OsMADS29 expression either by antisense constructs or RNA interference resulted partially filled grains that were small in size and shrunken in shape. The knockdown mutant grains also had smaller endosperm cells, reduced starch synthesis and abnormally shaped starch granules (Nayar et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2012; Yin & Xue, 2012). Brachypodium distachyon (subsequently Brachypodium) recently became established as a model system for grasses. It is phylogenetically related to wheat, barley and rye. Mature Brachypdoium grains have less starch in thick walled endosperm cells compared to cereal grains. This is unusual because Brachypodium expresses the full set of genes required for starch synthesis in its endosperm (Trafford et al., 2013). Other aspects of grain development in B. distachyon have been reported, furnishing evidence for the suitability of Brachypodium grains as a system for comparative grain evolution and development relevant to tropical and temperate cereals (Francin-Allami et al., 2019; Francin-Allami et al., 2016; Guillon et al., 2011; Hands et al., 2012; Hands & Drea, 2012; Kourmpetli & Drea, 2014; Opanowicz et al., 2011; Trafford et al., 2013). However, details of PCD events, patterns and progression in developing Brachypodium grains to the best of our knowledge has not yet been reported.

From a previous study (Hands et al., 2012), we learned that Brachypodium grains have enlarged persistent nucellar epidermis at maturity. This contrasts other cereal grains where degeneration of nucellar epidermis and other maternal tissues leaves room and provision for the expanding endosperm. We therefore hypothesized that the pattern and progression of PCD in *Brachypodium* grains may be different from cereals. Here, we undertook a systematic histochemical and molecular study of PCD in developing Brachypodium grains. In addition, we surveyed and validated the expression profiles of B. distachyon genes belonging to protease families; A1, C1 (papain), C13 (VPEs) and C14 (Metacaspases) in an RNA-Seq dataset of developing Brachypodium grains. Protease genes belonging to these selected families have been linked to PCD events in developing grains of other species as reviewed above. In this study, our results indicate that the rate of nucellar PCD is slow in *Brachypodium* compared to cereals. On the other hand, post mortem clearance of the mesocarp cells proceeds more rapidly in *Brachypodium* compared to cereals. Gene expression analysis suggests conserved roles of *Brachypodium* orthologs of proteases previously known to be involved in PCD and also yielded new candidate genes that may be part of the Brachypodium grain PCD molecular machinery.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

- 150 Brachypodium grains (Bd 21), were imbibed on moist filter paper in a Petri dish and left
- at 5°C for two days to vernalize. They were transferred to room temperature (about 25-
- 152 27°C) and left to germinate. After 7 days, the most virile seedlings were transferred to 9:1
- 153 Levington M2 Pot and Bedding Compost: Levington Fine Vermiculite mix
- 154 (http://dejex.co.uk), in Vacapot 15 on plastic seed trays (www.plantcell.co.uk). They
- were grown in the greenhouse at 16hr daylight and 25°C temperature. The plants were
- regularly watered manually. Pre-anthesis ovary samples were collected at yellow (intact)
- anther stage. Grain samples were collected from spikes staged at anthesis.

TUNEL staining

159

169

173

180

- DNA fragmentation was detected using Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT)
- 161 dUTP Nick-End Labeling (TUNEL). The assay was performed on dewaxed and
- rehydrated sample slides treated with proteinase K, according to the manufacture's
- protocol of the *in situ* Cell Death Detection kit (Roche Diagnostics, Germany). Imaging
- was done with Nikon ECLIPSE 80i fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Japan), having an
- LED-based excitation source (CoolLED, presicExcite), using Nikon Plan Fluor 10x
- 166 /0.30 DIC L/N1 objective lens. Fluorescence images were captured with a DS-QiMc
- 167 cooled CCD camera (Nikon, Japan). Images were previewed, captured and saved using
- NIS-Elements Basic Research v3.0 software (Nikon, Japan) in JPEG2000 format.

DNA isolation and electrophoresis

- 170 Genomic DNA was isolated from grains according to CTAB protocol. DNA extracts
- were quantified with nanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A 15 μl
- aliquot of 300 ng/µl of DNA was separated on 1% agarose gel at 100 V for 1.50 hr.

Evans Blue staining

- 174 Freehand sections (10 30 μm) of freshly sampled *Brachypodium* grains were
- immersed in 2 ml Evans Blue solution (0.05% in water) for 3 min and rinsed in several
- changes of distilled water with gentle agitation for mortal staining. Stained sections
- were mounted in 50% glycerol, viewed and photographed with Zeiss Stereo Microscope
- equipped with a GT-vision GXCAM-5MP digital USB camera and GXCAPTURE
- 179 software.

Thin section and light microscopy

- 181 About 1 mm middle grain transverse sections were obtained from 5 DPA grains of
- 182 Brachypodium and barley (cv. Bowman) (grown in same conditions as Brachypodium),
- under a dissecting microscope. Sections were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M
- sodium cacodylate buffer pH 7.4, for 3 days at 4°C with constant gentle agitation and
- then washed in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer. Further fixation in 1% aqueous
- 186 osmium tetroxide was followed by dehydration in series of increasing Ethanol
- concentrations followed by propylene oxide. The sections were embedded in Spurr's

188 hard resin and polymerised for 16 hours at 60°C. 400 nm thick sections were obtained 189 with ultramicrotome, stained for 30s with 0.01% toluidine blue, mounted in resin, and 190 imaged with GX L3200B compound microscope (http://gtvision.co.uk) equipped with a 191 CMEX-5000 USB2 Microscope ImageFocus software camera and 192 (http://euromex.com).

Source and phylogenetic analysis of selected protease family genes

193

194

218

195 Brachypodium protein sequences belonging to Brachypodium protease families A1, C1, 196 C13 and C14 were retrieved using INTERPRO (Mitchell et al., 2018) and PANTHER 197 (Thomas et al., 2003) accession number specific for each domain (A1: pepsin; 198 IPR001461, PTHR13683), (C1: papain; IPR000668, PTHR12411), (C13: 199 legumain; IPR001096, PTHR12000), (C14: caspase; PTHR31773, PTHR31810) from 200 the peptidase database MEROPS 12.1 (Rawlings et al., 2011). These accession numbers 201 were also used to blast Phytozome v.12 (https://www.phytozome.jgi.doe.gov) and 202 EnsemblePlants (http://plants.ensembl.org). The protein sequences were uploaded into 203 Geneious R10 (https://www.geneious.com) and filtered by selecting only the unique 204 longest version of the protein sequences. The same approach was used to obtain A1 and C1 protein sequences of Arabidopsis, barley, rice and wheat. Protein sequences of C13 205 and C14 of Arabidopsis, barley and rice were gathered from published reports on these 206 207 proteins for each species (Bostancioglu et al., 2018; Julián et al., 2013; Rocha et al., 208 2013; Vercammen et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2018). Further information on sequences 209 used in phylogenetic analysis is provided in the Table S2. Phylogenetic analysis was 210 performed in MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013) and Geneious R10. Alignment was 211 performed using MAFFT v7.308 (Katoh & Standley, 2013) under the following 212 parameters: BLOSUM62 as scoring matrix, Gap open penalty of 1.53 and an offset 213 value of 0.123. Parameters used to construct A1 and C1 phylogenetic trees are provided 214 in the appendix. Phylogenetic tree of C13 was constructed using Maximum Likelihood 215 method based on the Le_Gascuel_2008 model (Le & Gascuel, 2008). Phylogenetic tree 216 of C14 was constructed using Maximum Likelihood method based on the Whelan and 217 Goldman model (Whelan & Goldman, 2001).

RNA-seq data source, processing and expression analysis of protease genes

- 220 RNA-Seq data of developing *Brachypodium* grain generated in our lab and publicly
- available at E-MTAB-7607 (http://ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) was used. Data quality was
- 222 checked with FastQC. Reads were mapped with STAR v2.5.2b (Dobin et al., 2013), to
- 223 Brachypodium distachyon v3.0.dna.toplevel.fa downloaded from Phytozome v.12.
- 224 Mapped reads were counted with featureCount function from Rsubread v 1.22.2
- package (Liao et al., 2019). Raw read counts were normalized with DEseq2 v1.6.3 (Love
- 226 et al., 2014). Reads were scaled using unit variance scaling method. The
- 227 expression profile of protease gene families in the RNA-Seq data was visualized with
- 228 the package ComplexHeatmap v1.99.8 (Gu et al., 2016).

mRNA In situ Hybridisation

- 231 Samples from five stages of grain development were harvested, processed and prepared for
- 232 mRNA in situ hybridization as described previously (Drea, Corsar et al., 2005; Opanowicz et
- 233 al., 2010). The probe template consisted of BdMADS29 cDNA fragment amplified with gene
- specific primers (see Table S3 for primer sequences) and transcribed in vitro with T7 RNA
- polymerase.

219

229230

236

247

Real-time quantitative PCR

- 237 Primer sequences are provided in Table S2. Quantitative RT-PCR reactions were carried out
- using SYBR Green (SensiMix SYBR Low-ROX Kit) (BIOLINE) on 7500 MicroAmp Fast
- 239 Optical 96-Well Reaction Plate (Applied Biosystems), with the following reaction mix
- 240 components (5 μl of 2x SensiMix SYBR Low-ROX, 0.1 μl of 25 μM Forward/reverse
- 241 Primers, 3.8 μl of DNase-free H₂0, 1 μl of cDNA template). The thermal cycling conditions
- started by polymerase activation at 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15
- seconds then the annealing temperature of 65°C for 15 seconds flowed by 72°C extension for
- 244 15 seconds. Samples were normalized using BdACT7 expression and relative expression
- 245 levels were determined using the 2^{-4} analysis method described in (Livak &
- Schmittgen, 2001) with 7500 Software v2.0.6 Applied Biosystems.

Results

248

249250

251

252253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

TUNEL and vital staining reveal pattern and progression of PCD in developing

Brachypodium grain

One feature of PCD is the disintegration of genomic DNA to fragments less than 200 nt. The 3' OH end of these fragments can be labelled in situ by the TUNEL assay. These fragments can also be detected as laddering patterns when DNA from tissues undergoing PCD is analysed by gel electrophoresis. Evans blue is a vital stain that is excluded from living cells by intact cellular membrane but stains dead and dying cells that have lost membrane integrity. Evans Blue have previously been used to study PCD in cereals (Young & Gallie, 1999; Young et al., 1997). TUNEL-positive signals and Evans blue staining of sections made from unfertilized *Brachypodium* ovary (pre-anthesis ovary) (Fig. 1a,b; Fig. S1) suggests that PCD of nucellar and mesocarp cells precedes fertilization. Nucellus PCD progressed in a centrifugal pattern post-fertilization. Although, TUNEL-positive signal and Evans blue staining suggest rapid PCD of the nucellus, post-mortem clearance of dead nucellus cells seems to progress slowly. Whereas we observed complete clearance of nucellus cells at 5 DPA in barley, four to six layers of nucellus cells is still present in 5 DPA Brachypodium grain (Fig. 2). The nucellar epidermis of Brachypodium grains serves as an assimilate transport route during grain filling and is greatly enlarged by 5 DPA (Fig. 2a). TUNEL and Evans blue staining indicated nucellar epidermis PCD at 10 DPA (Fig. 1g,h; Fig. S1d). Integument PCD was detected as early as 2 DPA appears to continue up to 6 DPA (Fig. 1c-f). Differentiation of transfer cells (pigment strand and nucellar projection) that channel assimilates from the grain vascular bundle to the endosperm is evident in young grain sections because they exclude Evans Blue stain (Fig. S1b). The transfer cells are not stained by Evans blue until 25 DPA (Fig. S1b-g), consistent with their role of assimilate transport to the developing endosperm. DNA fragmentation is uniformly detected in the mesocarp of Brachypodium pre-anthesis ovary (Fig. 1a). However, mesocarp cell disintegration proceeded most rapidly in lateral cells (Fig. 2a; Fig. S1c). Endosperm PCD indicated by positive Evans

blue stain was detected by 15 DPA in a random pattern and increased in intensity thereafter

(Fig. 1i,j; Fig. S1d). At 25 DPA, the entire endosperm was deeply stained except the aleurone

layers. The progression of DNA fragmentation from pre-anthesis ovary to grain maturity is also shown by DNA laddering using gel electrophoresis (Fig. S2). There was an increase in the amount of less than 200 nt DNA fragments between from to pre-anthesis to 20 DPA. This suggests that DNA cleavage is happening throughout grain development though in different tissues. Less DNA fragments were detected at 25 DPA by which time the grain is mature and the tissues that remain viable; the embryo and aleurone, are not undergoing PCD.

Potential involvement of MADS29 in Brachypodium PCD

287288

289

290

291

292

293

294295

296

297298

299

300

301

302

303

304305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

Due to the importance of *OsMADS29* during rice grain PCD (Yin & Xue, 2012), we selected its ortholog in *Brachypodium* and analysed its localisation in developing *Brachypodium* grain using mRNA *in situ* hybridisation (Fig. 3). *BdMADS29* expression was detected in the endocarp and mesocarp cells above the ovule at pre-anthesis ovary (Fig. 3a-f). We found that *BdMADS29* generally localizes in cells undergoing PCD in developing *Brachypodium* grain and other tissues. Importantly, *BdMADS29* is also localized in *Brachypodium* endosperm cells undergoing PCD (Fig. 3g,h), in contrast, *OsMADS29* was not associated with rice endosperm PCD (Yin and Xue, 2012).

Brachypodium lacks expansion of VPEs found in Triticeae

Our sequence search identified 93, 48, 5, and 10 genes belonging to *Brachypodium* A1, C1, C13 and C14 protease family genes respectively (Table S1). Phylogenetic analyses incorporating protein sequences of these gene families from Arabidopsis, barley, rice and wheat enabled the identification and naming of putative orthologs in Brachypodium. Phylogenetic trees for A1, C1 and C14 families are presented in Figure S3, S4 and S5 respectively. Phylogenetic tree for C13 (Fig. 4) shows that monocot VPE protein sequences included in the analysis clusters into five clades with one *Brachypodium* VPE protein in each clade. Interestingly, we observed an expansion of *Triticeae* (represented by barley and wheat in the tree) sequences in VPE2, VPE3 and VPE5 clusters that is absent in *Brachypodium* and rice. Specifically, previous analysis by Radchuk et al 2010 leads us to suspect that the expansion of *Triticeae* protein sequences in VPE2 cluster may underlie differences in nucellus and nucellar epidermis PCD between barley and Brachypodium. Barley and wheat have by 4 and 14 sequences in the VPE2 clade respectively (Fig. 4). Three of the barley VPEs in that clade; HvVPE2a, HvVPE2b and HvVPE2d are most highly expressed at 4 days after flowering which coincides with nucellus PCD in barley endosperm fractions (Radchuk et al 2010). BdVPE2 on the other hand clusters more closely to HvVPE2c whose expression was hardly detected in developing barley grains. We confirmed the expansion of VPE2 cluster by examining the ensembl Plants gene tree that contains *BdVPE2* (data not shown), which showed that the species in *Triticeae* tribe has more sequences in this clade than species in *Brachypodeae*, *Oryzinae*, and *Panicoideae*. In addition, *BdVPE2* (BRADI5g16960) expression in our transcriptome data was highest in mid-length and full-length grains (Fig. 5), a period that coincides with mesocarp and endosperm PCD and not nucellus, therefore suggesting a functional difference with barley HvVPE2 genes.

Expression analysis identifies putative grain specific proteases

Figure 5 shows DESeq2 normalized, autoscaled RNA-Seq expression profile of protease genes belonging to A1, C1, C13 and C14 families. The samples can be broadly divided into vegetative tissues (seedling) and grain tissues (germinating grain, pre-anthesis ovary, young grain, mid-length grain, full-length grain and mature grain). The hierarchically clustered expression profile revealed clusters of A1, C1 and C13 proteases that are specifically expressed in developing *Brachypodium* grain tissues. Although, proteases belonging to the C14 family are expressed in grain tissues, they were also highly expressed in vegetative tissue and none was judged to be grain specific. However, clusters of proteases that are highly expressed during the period of nucellus and mesocarp PCD were identified in A1, C1 and C13 families (highlighted yellow in Fig. 5a,b,c). Also clusters of genes that are highly expressed in the endosperm can distinguished in A1 and C1 (highlighted green in Fig. 5a,b). We selected one representative gene from each protease family and validated their expression using quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 6). The results agree with the RNA-Seq expression profile.

Discussion

322323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

- Plant PCD research in the past three decades have demonstrated the importance of
- 340 timely death of certain cells for the normal development of plants. Current knowledge
- 341 of PCD in developing grass grain are mainly derived from studies of domesticated
- species. Disruption of normal grain developmental PCD have been shown to adversely
- affect grain filling in barley, maize and rice (Young et al., 1997; Yin & Xue, 2012;
- 344 Radchuk et al., 2018).
- In this study, we investigated grain PCD in a wild species, *Brachypodium*. While the
- 346 general features of PCD are similar between developing cereals and *Brachypodium*

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

grains, we also observed subtle differences in timing, pattern and progression of PCD between cereals and Brachypodium grains. For example, we detected PCD in preanthesis ovary of *Brachypodium* (Fig. 1a). PCD in pre-anthesis ovary have not been previously reported in other species. A possible reason is that most studies focus on PCD post fertilization. Our results suggest that PCD is activated in *Brachypodium* nucellar and mesocarp cells before fertilization. Radchuk et al, (2010), detected PCD in barley nucellus and mesocarp at anthesis (0 DPA) and 6 DPA respectively. Their results does not exclude the possibility of nucellar PCD already taking place before the sampled time point. However, it does suggest that barley mesocarp PCD is initiated between 2 and 6 DPA. Compared to *Brachypodium* therefore, it appears that initiation of mesocarp PCD occurs later in barley compared to Brachypodium. Brachypodium nucellar disintegration occurs in a centrifugal pattern similar to barley, rice and wheat. However, the rate of nucellar cells disintegration is slow compared to barley. Rapid disintegration of the nucellus is thought to provide growth space and resources for the incipient endosperm in cereals (Domínguez & Cejudo, 2014). In maize, early endosperm development around the period of nucellar PCD influences final grain size (Leroux et al., 2014). It is therefore possible that slow nucellar disintegration in Brachypodium impedes its early endosperm development because of reduced space and poor resource supply from degenerated cells. Moreover, Brachypodium nucellar epidermis enlarges greatly before undergoing PCD ca. 6 DPA. Interestingly, the nucellar epidermis does not collapse or disintegrate throughout grain development. We have previously shown that the nucellar epidermis serves as assimilate transport channel towards the endosperm (Solomon & Drea, 2019). A similar role is played by rice nucellar epidermis (Oparka & Gates, 1981), which in contrast to Brachypodium nucellar epidermis collapses during grain development. Conversely, mesocarp disintegration occurs at a faster rate in *Brachypodium* compared to barley. This agrees with the earlier observation that mesocarp PCD is initiated earlier in Brachypodium compared to barley. Because barley mesocarp PCD is only detected after endosperm cellularisation, Radchuk et al (2010), proposed that barley mesocarp PCD is coordinated with endosperm development. In maize, PCD in nucellar placentochalazal region is coordinated with endosperm cellularisation and is completed before the commencement of major grain filling (Kladnik et al., 2004). Okada et al, 2017, confirmed coordination between endosperm development and mesocarp PCD in wheat

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

and barley. They showed that mesocarp cells of unfertilized ovary do not disintegrate. Instead mesocarp cells swell laterally and force the lemma and palea apart in an apparent attempt to increase the chances of cross pollination and fertilization. Our results suggests that Brachypodium lacks this coordination of endosperm development with mesocarp PCD. Furthermore, the rate of degeneration of Brachypodium grain mesocarp cells is most rapid at the lateral regions (Fig. 2a). This contrasts with the centrifugal mesocarp degeneration pattern reported in barley, rice and wheat (Domínguez and Cejudo, 2014; Dominguez et al., 2001; Radchuk et al., 2010; Yin and Xue, 2012). Because the endosperm subsequently expands laterally to fill the space left by disintegrated mesocarp cells, we speculate that the pattern of mesocarp disintegration may contribute to the flat shape of *Brachypodium* grains. Brachypodium endosperm PCD was detected at 15 DPA (Fig. S1g) and did not progress in any discernible pattern. Random progression of endosperm PCD has also been observed in wheat, whereas the maize endosperm PCD proceeds in an organized top-tobase fashion. The difference in the pattern of endosperm PCD has been attributed to grain size. It has been suggested that while PCD can proceed randomly in the comparatively smaller endosperm of wheat, larger of endosperm of maize require PCD to be executed in organized manner (Young and Gallie, 2000, 1999; Young et al., 1997). Proteases are known to contribute to the execution of PCD. Although the details of the contribution of individual genes are yet to be elucidated, the expression profile of several protease genes strongly coincide with PCD in different grain tissues (Buono et al., 2019). Such correlative evidence have been used to implicate a number of protease genes as actors during PCD of one or more cereal grain tissues. To gain a comprehensive view, we identified all *Brachypodium* genes that belongs to protease families whose member have been implicated in PCD of developing grains. The families comprise A1, C1, and C13. No reports exist yet that links family C14 (metacaspases) to grain PCD. However, we included family C14 in our analyses because members of the family have been characterised in detail in Arabidopsis (Tsiatsiani et al., 2011). Furthermore, the expression of metacaspase genes were reduced in Brachypodium callus treated with 5 and 50 µM 5-azacitidine (Betekhtin et al., 2018). Phylogenetic analyses enabled the identification of *Brachypodium* orthologs of the selected families. An RNA-Seq survey of the expression profile of genes in the selected families revealed that majority of genes in A1 and C1 are lowly expressed in the vegetative and grain tissues sampled. The analyses also revealed novel candidate genes that may be further explored for their roles in grain PCD (Table 1). Nevertheless, mRNA expression of proteases may not always mean activity because proteases are known to be inhibited by cystatins (Subburaj *et al.*, 2017).

The protease family C13 (VPEs) remarkably has genes that are the most highly expressed in the four families surveyed. Detailed expression analysis of VPEs in barley grain fractions revealed identical expression profile of *HvVPE2a*, *HvVPE2b* and *HvVPE2a* in nucellar and endosperm fractions (Radchuk *et al.*, 2010). *HvVPE2a* (nucellain) localizes in degenerating nucellar cells (Linnestad *et al.*, 1998). Therefore, these three genes are hypothesized to play major roles in barley grain nucellar PCD. The three genes and their wheat orthologs, form a distinct subclade (Fig. 4). However, *BdVPE2* is more similar to *HvVPE2c*, which is barely expressed in barley grains. We speculate that the combined activities of barley *HvVPE2a*, *HvVPE2b* and *HvVPE2d* facilitates the rapid disintegration of the barley nucellus. *Brachypodium* on the other hand lacks direct ortholog of these genes and shows slow nucellar degeneration rate. In addition, *BdVPE2* expression does not overlap nucellar PCD. This prompts us to suggest that the slow progression of *Brachypodium* nucellar PCD and the persistence of the nucellar epidermis may be partly due to lack of VPEs that facilitate nucellus disintegration.

Conclusion

Our study provides details of grain PCD in a wild species, *Brachypodium*. The results and discussion, presented in a comparative perspective to what is already known of cereal grain PCD highlights similarities and crucially, differences in the timing, pattern and progression of grain PCD between *Brachypodium* and cereals. It appears that the rapid degeneration of the nucellar after fertilization in cereals stimulates rapid endosperm expansion, resulting in large grains. We suspect that reduced expansion stimulus offered by slow degenerating *Brachypodium* nucellus contribute to its small grain size. Our suspicion is supported by *Brachypodium* having just one (*BdVPE2*) ortholog of nucellus degenerating VPE, whereas barley and wheat has four and fourteen

- 444 respectively. The lateral pattern of *Brachypodium* mesocarp degeneration appears to
- contribute to its dorsi-ventrally flattened grain shape.
- 446 Acknowledgements
- This research was initiated as part of BBSRC grant BBI01215X/1 previously held by SD
- 448 CUS is supported by TETFUND, Nigeria.
- 449 **Author Contribution**
- 450 CUS and SS designed the study and performed all experiments and analyses under the
- 451 supervision of SD. CUS and SS wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the
- 452 final manuscript.
- 453 **References**
- 454 Asakura T, Watanabe H, Abe K, and Arai S. 1995. Rice aspartic proteinase, oryzasin,
- 455 expressed during seed ripening and germination, has a gene organization distinct from those
- of animal and microbial aspartic proteinases. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **232**: 77-83.
- 457 Betekhtin A, Milewska-Hendel A, Chajec L, Rojek M, Nowak K, Kwasniewska J, Wolny
- 458 E, Kurczynska E, and Hasterok R. 2018. 5-Azacitidine induces cell death in a tissue
- culture of Brachypodium distachyon. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **19**: 1806.
- 460 Bi X, Khush GS, and Bennett J. 2005. The rice nucellin gene ortholog OsAsp1 encodes an
- 461 active aspartic protease without a plant-specific insert and is strongly expressed in early
- embryo. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **46**: 87-98.
- 463 Borén M, Höglund A, Bozhkov P, and Jansson C. 2006. Developmental regulation of a
- 464 VEIDase caspase-like proteolytic activity in barley caryopsis. *J. Exp. Bot.* **57**: 3747-3753.
- 465 Bostancioglu SM, Tombuloglu G, and Tombuloglu H. 2018. Genome-wide identification of
- barley MCs (metacaspases) and their possible roles in boron-induced programmed cell death.
- 467 *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **45**: 211-225.
- 468 Buono RA, Hudecek R, and Nowack MK. 2019. Plant proteases during developmental
- 469 programmed cell death. *J. Exp. Bot.* **70**: 2097-2112.
- 470 Chen F, and Foolad MR. 1997. Molecular organization of a gene in barley which encodes a
- 471 protein similar to aspartic protease and its specific expression in nucellar cells during
- 472 degeneration. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **35**: 821-831.
- 473 Chen J, Yi Q, Song Q, Gu Y, Zhang J, Hu Y, Liu H, Liu Y, Yu G, and Huang Y. 2015. A
- 474 highly efficient maize nucellus protoplast system for transient gene expression and studying
- programmed cell death-related processes. *Plant Cell Rep.* **34**: 1239-1251.

- 476 Daneva A, Gao Z, Van Durme M, and Nowack MK. 2016. Functions and regulation of
- 477 programmed cell death in plant development. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 32: 441-468.
- 478 Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, and
- 479 **Gingeras TR. 2013.** STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15-21.
- 480 **Domínguez F, and Cejudo FJ. 2014.** Programmed cell death (PCD): an essential process of
- 481 cereal seed development and germination. Frontiers in Plant Science 5: 366.
- 482 **Domínguez F, and Cejudo FJ. 1998.** Germination □ related genes encoding proteolytic
- 483 enzymes are expressed in the nucellus of developing wheat grains. *The Plant Journal* 15:
- 484 569-574.
- 485 **Dominguez F, Moreno J, and Cejudo FJ. 2001.** The nucellus degenerates by a process of
- 486 programmed cell death during the early stages of wheat grain development. *Planta* 213: 352-
- 487 360.
- 488 Drea S, Corsar J, Crawford B, Shaw P, Dolan L, and Doonan JH. 2005. A streamlined
- method for systematic, high resolution in situ analysis of mRNA distribution in plants. *Plant*
- 490 *Methods* **1**: 8.
- 491 Drea S, Leader DJ, Arnold BC, Shaw P, Dolan L, and Doonan JH. 2005. Systematic spatial
- analysis of gene expression during wheat caryopsis development. *Plant Cell* **17**: 2172-2185.
- 493 Ellis JR, and Chaffey NJ. 1987. Structural differentiation of the nucellar epidermis in the
- 494 caryopsis of rice (Oryza sativa). *Annals of Botany* **60**: 671-675.
- 495 Evers AD, and Reed M. 1988. Some novel observations by scanning electron microscopy on
- 496 the seed coat and nucellus of the mature wheat grain. Cereal Chem.
- 497 Francin-Allami M, Alvarado C, Daniel S, Geairon A, Saulnier L, and Guillon F. 2019.
- 498 Spatial and temporal distribution of cell wall polysaccharides during grain development of
- 499 Brachypodium distachyon. *Plant Science* **280**: 367-382.
- 500 Francin-Allami M, Lollier V, Pavlovic M, San Clemente H, Rogniaux H, Jamet E, Guillon
- 501 **F, and Larré C. 2016.** Understanding the remodelling of cell walls during Brachypodium
- distachyon grain development through a sub-cellular quantitative proteomic approach.
- 503 *Proteomes* **4**: 21.
- 504 Freeman PL, and Palmer GH. 1984. The structure of the pericarp and testa of barley. J. Inst.
- 505 Brewing 90: 88-94.
- 506 Gu Z, Eils R, and Schlesner M. 2016. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in
- multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics* **32**: 2847-2849.
- 508 Guillon F, Larre C, Petipas F, Berger A, Moussawi J, Rogniaux H, Santoni A, Saulnier L,
- 509 **Jamme F, and Miquel M. 2011.** A comprehensive overview of grain development in
- Brachypodium distachyon variety Bd21. J. Exp. Bot. 63: 739-755.

- 511 Hands P, and Drea S. 2012. A comparative view of grain development in Brachypodium
- 512 distachyon. J. Cereal Sci. 56: 2-8.
- 513 Hands P, Kourmpetli S, Sharples D, Harris RG, and Drea S. 2012. Analysis of grain
- 514 characters in temperate grasses reveals distinctive patterns of endosperm organization
- associated with grain shape. *J. Exp. Bot.* **63**: 6253-6266.
- 516 Julián I, Gandullo J, Santos-Silva LK, Diaz I, and Martinez M. 2013. Phylogenetically
- distant barley legumains have a role in both seed and vegetative tissues. J. Exp. Bot. 64:
- 518 2929-2941.
- 519 Kacprzyk J, Daly CT, and McCabe PF. 2011. The botanical dance of death: Programmed cell
- death in plants.169-261 Advances in botanical research. Elsevier, 169-261.
- 521 Katoh K, and Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
- improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**: 772-780.
- 523 Kladnik A, Chamusco K, Dermastia M, and Chourey P. 2004. Evidence of programmed cell
- death in post-phloem transport cells of the maternal pedicel tissue in developing caryopsis of
- 525 maize. *Plant Physiol.* **136**: 3572-3581.
- 526 Kobayashi H, Ikeda TM, and Nagata K. 2013. Spatial and temporal progress of programmed
- 527 cell death in the developing starchy endosperm of rice. *Planta* **237**: 1393-1400.
- 528 **Kourmpetli S, and Drea S. 2014.** The fruit, the whole fruit, and everything about the fruit. J.
- 529 Exp. Bot. **65**: 4491-4503.
- 530 Le SQ, and Gascuel O. 2008. An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. Mol. Biol.
- 531 Evol. **25**: 1307-1320.
- 532 Leroux BM, Goodyke AJ, Schumacher KI, Abbott CP, Clore AM, Yadegari R, Larkins
- 533 **BA, and Dannenhoffer JM. 2014.** Maize early endosperm growth and development: from
- fertilization through cell type differentiation. *Am. J. Bot.* **101**: 1259-1274.
- 535 Liao Y, Smyth GK, and Shi W. 2019. The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and
- better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**: e47.
- 537 Linnestad C, Doan DN, Brown RC, Lemmon BE, Meyer DJ, Jung R, and Olsen O. 1998.
- Nucellain, a barley homolog of the dicot vacuolar-processing protease, is localized in nucellar
- 539 cell walls. *Plant Physiol.* **118**: 1169-1180.
- 540 Livak KJ, and Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
- quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta$ CT method. *Methods* **25**: 402-408.
- 542 Love MI, Huber W, and Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
- for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**: 550.
- 544 Mitchell AL, Attwood TK, Babbitt PC, Blum M, Bork P, Bridge A, Brown SD, Chang H,
- 545 **El-Gebali S, and Fraser MI. 2018.** InterPro in 2019: improving coverage, classification and
- access to protein sequence annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**: D35-D360.

- 547 Morrison IN, O'brien TP, and Kuo J. 1978. Initial cellularization and differentiation of the
- aleurone cells in the ventral region of the developing wheat grain. *Planta* **140**: 19-30.
- 549 Nayar S, Sharma R, Tyagi AK, and Kapoor S. 2013. Functional delineation of rice MADS29
- reveals its role in embryo and endosperm development by affecting hormone homeostasis. J.
- 551 Exp. Bot. **64**: 4239-4253.
- 552 Norstog K. 1974. Nucellus during early embryogeny in barley: fine structure. Botanical Gazette
- 553 **135**: 97-103.
- 554 Okada T, Jayasinghe JRM, Nansamba M, Baes M, Warner P, Kouidri A, Correia D,
- 555 **Nguyen V, Whitford R, and Baumann U. 2017.** Unfertilized ovary pushes wheat flower
- open for cross-pollination. J. Exp. Bot. 69: 399-412.
- 557 Opanowicz, Philip Hands, Donna Betts, Mary L. Parker, Geraldine A. Toole, E. N. Clare
- Mills, John H. Doonan, and Sinéad Drea. 2011. Endosperm development in Brachypodium
- distachyon. Journal of Experimental Botany 62: 735-748. 10.1093/jxb/erq309.
- 560 Oparka KJ, and Gates P. 1981. Transport of assimilates in the developing caryopsis of rice
- 561 (Oryza sativa L.). *Planta* **151**: 561-573.
- 562 Radchuk V, Tran V, Radchuk R, Diaz ☐ Mendoza M, Weier D, Fuchs J, Riewe D, Hensel
- **G, Kumlehn J, and Munz E. 2018.** Vacuolar processing enzyme 4 contributes to maternal
- control of grain size in barley by executing programmed cell death in the pericarp. *New*
- 565 Phytol. 218: 1127-1142.
- 566 Radchuk V, Weier D, Radchuk R, Weschke W, and Weber H. 2010. Development of
- 567 maternal seed tissue in barley is mediated by regulated cell expansion and cell disintegration
- and coordinated with endosperm growth. *J. Exp. Bot.* **62**: 1217-1227.
- 569 Rawlings ND, Barrett AJ, and Bateman A. 2011. MEROPS: the database of proteolytic
- enzymes, their substrates and inhibitors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**: D34-D350.
- 571 Rocha AJ, Soares EL, Costa JH, Costa WL, Soares AA, Nogueira FC, Domont GB, and
- 572 **Campos FA. 2013.** Differential expression of cysteine peptidase genes in the inner
- 573 integument and endosperm of developing seeds of Jatropha curcas L.(Euphorbiaceae). *Plant*
- 574 Science 213: 30-37.
- 575 **Solomon CU, and Drea S. 2019.** Delineation of post-phloem assimilate transport pathway into
- developing caryopsis of Brachypodium distachyon. *BioRxiv*: 718569.
- 577 Sreenivasulu N, Radchuk V, Strickert M, Miersch O, Weschke W, and Wobus U. 2006.
- 578 Gene expression patterns reveal tissue specific signaling networks controlling programmed
- 579 cell death and ABA regulated maturation in developing barley seeds. *The Plant Journal* 47:
- 580 310-327.
- 581 Subburaj S, Zhu D, Li X, Hu Y, and Yan Y. 2017. Molecular characterization and expression
- profiling of Brachypodium distachyon L. cystatin genes reveal high evolutionary
- 583 conservation and functional divergence in response to abiotic stress. Frontiers in Plant
- 584 *Science* **8**: 743.

- 585 Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, and Kumar S. 2013. Molecular evolutionary
- 586 genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**: 2725-2729.
- 587 Thiel J, Weier D, Sreenivasulu N, Strickert M, Weichert N, Melzer M, Czauderna T,
- Wobus U, Weber H, and Weschke W. 2008. Different hormonal regulation of cellular
- differentiation and function in nucellar projection and endosperm transfer cells: a
- 590 microdissection-based transcriptome study of young barley grains. *Plant Physiol.* **148**: 1436-
- 591 1452.
- 592 Thomas PD, Campbell MJ, Kejariwal A, Mi H, Karlak B, Daverman R, Diemer K,
- 593 Muruganujan A, and Narechania A. 2003. PANTHER: a library of protein families and
- subfamilies indexed by function. *Genome Res.* **13**: 2129-2141.
- 595 Trafford K, Haleux P, Henderson M, Parker M, Shirley NJ, Tucker MR, Fincher GB, and
- 596 **Burton RA. 2013.** Grain development in Brachypodium and other grasses: possible
- 597 interactions between cell expansion, starch deposition, and cell-wall synthesis. J. Exp. Bot.
- 598 **64**: 5033-5047.
- 599 Tran V, Weier D, Radchuk R, Thiel J, and Radchuk V. 2014. Caspase-like activities
- accompany programmed cell death events in developing barley grains. *PloS One* **9**: e109426.
- 601 Tsiatsiani L, Van Breusegem F, Gallois P, Zavialov A, Lam E, and Bozhkov PV. 2011.
- 602 Metacaspases. *Cell Death Differ.* **18**: 1279.
- 603 Vercammen D, Van De Cotte B, De Jaeger G, Eeckhout D, Casteels P, Vandepoele K,
- Vandenberghe I, Van Beeumen J, Inzé D, and Van Breusegem F. 2004. Type II
- 605 metacaspases Atmc4 and Atmc9 of Arabidopsis thaliana cleave substrates after arginine and
- 606 lysine. J. Biol. Chem. **279**: 45329-45336.
- 607 Wang HL, Offler CE, and Patrick JW. 1994. Nucellar projection transfer cells in the
- developing wheat grain. *Protoplasma* **182**: 39-52.
- 609 Wang W, Zhou X, Xiong H, Mao W, Zhao P, and Sun M. 2018. Papain-like and legumain-
- 610 like proteases in rice: genome-wide identification, comprehensive gene feature
- characterization and expression analysis. *BMC Plant Biology* **18**: 87.
- 612 Whelan S, and Goldman N. 2001. A general empirical model of protein evolution derived
- from multiple protein families using a maximum-likelihood approach. Mol. Biol. Evol. 18:
- 614 691-699.
- 615 Wu X, Liu J, Li D, and Liu C. 2016. Rice caryopsis development I: Dynamic changes in
- different cell layers. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* **58**: 772-785.
- 617 Yang X, Wu F, Lin X, Du X, Chong K, Gramzow L, Schilling S, Becker A, Theißen G, and
- Meng Z. 2012. Live and let die-the Bsister MADS-box gene OsMADS29 controls the
- degeneration of cells in maternal tissues during seed development of rice (Oryza sativa). *PloS*
- 620 One 7: e51435.
- 621 Yin L, and Xue H. 2012. The MADS29 transcription factor regulates the degradation of the
- nucellus and the nucellar projection during rice seed development. *Plant Cell* **24**: 1049-1065.

623 Young TE, and Gallie DR. 1999. Analysis of programmed cell death in wheat endosperm reveals differences in endosperm development between cereals. Plant Mol. Biol. 39: 915-926. 624 625 Young TE, Gallie DR, and DeMason DA. 1997a. Ethylene-mediated programmed cell death 626 during maize endosperm development of wild-type and shrunken2 genotypes. Plant Physiol. **115**: 737-751. 627 628 Young TE, Gallie DR, and DeMason DA. 1997b. Ethylene-mediated programmed cell death during maize endosperm development of wild-type and shrunken2 genotypes. *Plant Physiol*. **115**: 737-751. 630 631 632 633 634 **Figures** 635 636 **Figure 1.** DNA fragmentation detected in *Brachypodium* grain section in five developmental 637 stages,(a) Pr As Ov: pre-anthesis ovary, (c) young grain at 2 days post anthesis (2 DPA), (e) mid-638 length grain at 6 DPA, (g) full-length grain at 10 DPA, (i) mature grain at 20 DPA, (b-d-f-h-j) 639 negative control, NE: nucellar epidermis, Nu: nucellus paremchyma cells, Mc: mesocarp, Pa: palea, 640 In: integument, En: endosperm, Scale bar 100 µm. 641 642 Figure 2. Comparison of grain development in *Brachypodium* and barley. At 5 DPA, 643 (a) Brachypodium endosperm is barely differentiated and is surrounded by several layers of nucellar 644 cells. Also, mesocarp cells have degraded by PCD. (b) Barley endosperm is fully differentiated and 645 set for filling. Nucellar cells are absent and mesocarp cells appear intact. Scale bars: 0.2 mm. EN, 646 endosperm; MS, mesocarp; NE, nucellar epidermis, NU, nucellus. 647 648 **Figure 3.** Localisation of BdMADS29 developing grain sections of *Brachypodium distachyon*. (a-d) 649 Pr As Ov: pre-anthesis ovary, (e) mid-length grain at 6 DPA, (g) mature grain at 20 DPA, (a-c-e-g) 650 antisense (b-d-f-h) sense. Arrows indicate the expression. An: anther, Fi: filament, NE: nucellar 651 epidermis, Nu: nucellus paremchyma cells, Lo: lodicule, Mc: mesocarp, Pa: palea, Ec: endocarp, In: 652 integument, En: endosperm, NL: nucellar lysate, Scale bar 100 µm. 653 **Figure 4.** Phylogenetic Tree of Vacuolar Processing Enzymes C13 family. It illustrate the five 654 groups VPE1-5 and includes VPEs proteins from Arabidopsis thaliana, Oryza sativa, Brachypodium 655 distachyon, Hordeum vulgare and Triticum aestivum. JTT+I was used as protein model and the 656 sequences were aligned with ClustalW, then inferred using Maximum Likelihood method with a 657 bootstrap of 1,000 replicates. The tree was generated using MEGA10.

Figure 5. Hierarchically clustered expression profile of protease genes from A1, C1, C13 and C14 families in vegetative and grain tissues of *Brachypodium*. Heatmap was plotted with DESeq2 normalized RNA-Seq reads centred with unit variance scaling. Genes in clusters highlighted are active in nucellar and mesocarp (yellow), and endosperm (green). PrAnOv, pre-anthesis ovaries; YG, young grain (1-3 DPA); ML, mid-length grain (3-8 DPA); FL, full-length grain (8-15 DPA); MG, mature grain (15-20 DPA); MGE, mature grain without embryo; Germ, germinating grain; SDL, seedling 3-4 days after germination.

Figure 6. Normalised relative RT-qPCR expression of selected peptidase candidates, in seedling, pre-anthesis ovary (Pr As Ov), full-length grain (FL), mature grain (MG) and mature grain without embryo (MG-E). Seedling was used as calibrator. Error bars indicate \pm SD (n = 3). ANOVA showed significant expression difference in between samples for all genes tested at P < 0.05.

Tables

Family	Family	Nucellar	Mesocarp	Endosperm
A1	BRADI1g42930	-	-	YES
A1	BRADI2g45800	-	-	YES
A1	BRADI1g49410	YES	YES	-
A1	BRADI5g26620	YES	YES	-
A1	BRADI2g02140	-	-	YES
C1	BRADI2g08300	-	YES	YES
C13	BRADI5g16960 (BdVPE2)	-	YES	YES

Table 1. Candidate protease genes for *Brachypodium* grain PCD









