Minimal *in vivo* requirements for developmentally regulated

cardiac long intergenic non-coding RNAs

Matthew R. George^{1,2,3,9}, Qiming Duan¹, Abigail Nagle¹, Irfan S. Kathiriya^{1,2,4}, Yu Huang¹,
Kavitha Rao¹, Saptarsi M. Halder^{1,5,6,8}, Benoit G. Bruneau^{1,2,3,6,7}

1. Gladstone Institutes, San Francisco, CA, 94158 USA

2. Roddenberry Center for Stem Cell Biology and Medicine at Gladstone, San Francisco, CA
94158, USA

3. Program in Developmental and Stem Cell Biology, University of California, San Francisco, CA
94143 USA

4. Department of Anesthesia and Perioperative Care, University of California, San Francisco,
CA 94158

5. Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA
94143 USA

6. Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94158 USA

7. Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143 USA

8. Present address: Amgen Research, Cardiometabolic Disorders, South San Francisco, CA
94080 USA

9. Present address: Vascugen Inc., Madison, WI, 53719

Correspondence to B.G.B (benoit.bruneau@gladstone.ucsf.edu)

Abstract

Long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs) have been implicated in aspects of gene regulation, but their requirement for development needs empirical interrogation. To begin to understand the roles lincRNAs might play in heart development, we computationally identified nine murine lincRNAs that have developmentally regulated transcriptional and epigenomic profiles specific to early heart differentiation. Six of the nine lincRNAs had in vivo expression patterns supporting a potential function in heart development, including a transcript downstream of the cardiac transcription factor *Hand2* that we named *Handlr* (*Hand2*-associated lincRNA), *Rubie*, and *Atcayos*. We genetically ablated these six lincRNAs in mouse, which implicated genomic regulatory roles to four of the cohort. However, none of the lincRNA deletions led to severe cardiac phenotypes. Thus, we stressed the hearts of adult *Handlr* and *Atcayos* mutant mice by transverse aortic banding and found that absence of these lincRNAs did not affect cardiac hypertrophy or left ventricular function post-stress. Our results support roles for lincRNA transcripts and/or transcription to regulation of topologically associated genes. However, the individual importance of developmentally-specific lincRNAs is yet to be established. Their status as either gene-like entities or epigenetic components of the nucleus should be further considered.

Keywords: heart development, long non-coding RNA, gene regulation

Introduction

A substantial portion of the mammalian genome is transcribed throughout development, while only a small fraction of this yields functional protein (Wong, Passey et al. 2001, 2012, Hon, Ramilowski et al. 2017). The remaining noncoding RNA is arbitrarily classified into long (lncRNA) and short transcripts based upon length greater or less than 200nt. A few lncRNAs have been implicated to be important for cardiac development (Grote, Wittler et al. 2013, Han, Li et al. 2014, Kurian, Aguirre et al. 2015, Anderson, Anderson et al. 2016). However, these RNA molecules are often products of the pervasive bidirectional transcription taking place at most genes (Katayama, Tomaru et al. 2005), which makes independently dissecting their function difficult. On the other hand, thousands of putative intergenic lncRNAs (lincRNAs) with little protein coding potential exist as stand-alone units (Carninci, Kasukawa et al. 2005). They can exhibit characteristics indicative of epigenetic control, such as histone H3 trimethylation at lysine 4 (H3K4me3) and acetylation of lysine 27 (H3K27Ac) at promoters and trimethylation at lysine 36 (H3K36me3) throughout their gene body, splicing, 5' m7G capping, and polyadenylation (Derrien, Johnson et al. 2012, Sati, Ghosh et al. 2012, Quinn and Chang 2016). LincRNAs also can display considerable sequence conservation and are dynamically expressed in specific tissues at developmentally discrete times (Diederichs 2014, Perry and Ulitsky 2016, Mattioli, Volders et al. 2019). For example, the lincRNAs *Braveheart*, *Meteor*, and *Carmen* seem to play significant roles in precardiac mesodermal differentiation, at least in cellular differentiation systems *in vitro* (Klattenhoff, Scheuermann et al. 2013, Ounzain, Micheletti et al. 2015,

Alexanian, Maric et al. 2017, Hou, Long et al. 2017). However, *Meteor* knockout *in vivo* resulted in milder phenotypes than observed *in vitro* (Guo, Xu et al. 2018), while *Braveheart* and *Carmen* have not been tested in embryos. Thus, few lincRNAs have been shown to be required for cardiac development *in vivo*. The energy investment a cell puts toward the processing and maintenance of these transcripts suggests their putative importance to the cell and organism. Therefore, efforts must be taken to interrogate specific lincRNA requirements for proper embryogenesis.

We were most interested in lincRNAs that might act to influence the early commitment of nascent mesoderm into the cardiac lineage. We hypothesized that as-yet unstudied transcripts were important for this most fundamental stage of cardiac development. Therefore, we screened for the expression of candidates during mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) *in vitro* differentiation into cardiomyocytes (CM) through nascent mesoderm (MES), cardiac mesoderm (cMES), and cardiac progenitor (CP) intermediates. Of more than 114,000 long noncoding RNA annotations, we identified a small cohort of lincRNAs with epigenetic regulation, clear splice structure, and cardiac progenitor specificity, which we then validated *in vivo* in the early embryo. Ablation of these noncoding genes revealed regulatory roles within their topologically associated domains (TADs) but very mild or undetectable phenotypes in heart development or postnatal function.

Results

LincRNAs with cardiac-specific expression and epigenetic regulation in vitro.

We hypothesized that, like many canonical genes, a subset of lincRNAs would be specifically expressed in the cardiac lineage. We also predicted that those most critical for heart formation would function early in its development. To find candidate lincRNAs, we re-mapped stranded raw RNA-seq reads from differentiations of mouse ESCs into cardiomyocytes (Figure 1A/B; (Wamstad, Alexander et al. 2012, Devine, Wythe et al. 2014) against Noncode version 4.0-annotated transcripts (Xie, Yuan et al. 2014). Additionally, we integrated parallel histone modification ChIP-seq data (Wamstad, Alexander et al. 2012) to discriminate loci under epigenetic regulation. To screen for cardiac developmental specificity, we chose to focus on elements that were lowly expressed in ESCs (FPKM < 0.5), while strongly upregulated in cardiac mesoderm or cardiac progenitors (FPKM >1.0). The majority of protein coding genes display antisense transcription from their promoters (Carninci, Kasukawa et al. 2005), including numerous studied lncRNAs (Kino, Hurt et al. 2010, Grote, Wittler et al. 2013, Han, Li et al. 2014, Kurian, Aguirre et al. 2015, Ramos, Andersen et al. 2015, Anderson, Anderson et al. 2016, Daneshvar, Pondick et al. 2016, Gore-Panter, Hsu et al. 2016, Xu, Zhang et al. 2016). We focused instead on genomic elements that could be altered independently from their nearby protein-coding genes. Therefore, we filtered for RNA annotations whose transcriptional start site (TSS) began more than 1 kilobase (kb) from the TSS of known protein-coding genes. To avoid spurious transcripts, we required candidates be spliced and then further refined the list to those displaying histone H3 lysine-4

trimethylation (H3K4me3) and H3 lysine-27 acetylation (H3K27Ac) at their promoters.

After removing annotated transcripts that splice into nearby protein coding genes (i.e. A930006K02Rik into *Ifnar1*), we found that these criteria narrowed candidates to only nine total lincRNAs out of 114,104 considered transcripts (Figure 1B).

The lincRNA *Rubie* (**R**na **U**pstream **B**mp4 in the **I**nnar **E**ar, Gm15219) was known to co-express with *Bmp4* after E15.0 in the mouse inner ear, and its perturbed splicing was previously implicated in ear vestibule malformation and consequent circling behavior (Roberts, Abaira et al. 2012). However, our candidate screen revealed it to be expressed much earlier in the developing cardiac mesoderm (Figure 2A). As in the inner ear, its expression *in vitro* overlapped the TGF- β signaling protein *Bmp4* (Wozney, Rosen et al. 1988) and these genes, separated by approximately 176kb, co-occupied a strongly interacting region within the same topologically associated domain (TAD; Figure 2C) (Dixon, Selvaraj et al. 2012, Nora, Lajoie et al. 2012).

Hand2, a transcription factor critical for heart development (Srivastava, Thomas et al. 1997), was previously shown to be regulated by antisense transcription of the noncoding RNA *Upperhand* (*Uph*) locus 5' from its promoter (Anderson, Anderson et al. 2016). Our search identified 5033428I22Rik as a candidate lincRNA approximately 8 kb downstream of *Hand2*, which we named *Handlr* (Hand2-Associated lincRNA). During the course of our study, others also studied this gene in the cardiac lineage, which they named *Handsdwn* (*Hdn*) (Ritter, Ali et al. 2019). This region displayed numerous transcribed splice forms, but 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) of E9.5 cDNA revealed a single predominant 5-exon, polyadenylated isoform that varied from its

Ensembl- and RefSeq-annotated structures (Figure 1D, Supplemental figure S1A, S1B).

Handlr's expression overlapped *Hand2* *in vitro* (Figure 2E), but these genes sat near a TAD border (Figure 2F) and were separated by a CTCF insulation site (Martin, Pantoja et al. 2011), suggesting a potential topological division between the two.

Seven additional annotated lincRNAs met the criteria for subsequent analyses.

We discovered *Atcayos* (*2310050B05Rik*) transcription to span the important cardiomyocyte metabolic regulator *Nmrk2* (Diguët, Trammell et al. 2018) and precede its expression in differentiating cardiac progenitors and cardiomyocytes (Supplemental figure S2A, S2B). *Gm12829*, named *HrtLincR4* (heart lincRNA of chromosome 4) was correlatively expressed within a genomic domain in frequent contact with *Trabd2b*, a Wnt protein-binding metalloprotease (Zhang, Abreu et al. 2012). In addition, its expression was only transiently detected within an 18-hour window at the cardiac mesoderm (cMES) stage of differentiation (Supplemental figure S2C-S2E). Also, *E130006D01Rik*, named *HrtLincR5* (heart lincRNA of chromosome 5), was expressed within a *Mn1*-interacting DNA domain approximately 275kb downstream of this transcriptional coactivator (van Wely, Molijn et al. 2003). This transcript displayed highly stereotypic splicing and was only detected at the cardiac progenitor stage of differentiation (Supplemental figure S3A-S3C). *C430049B03Rik*, named *HrtLincRX* (heart lincRNA of X chromosome) was highly expressed early in our differentiation model and contained a miRNA cluster in its 3' tail that had previously been shown to drive cardiomyocyte specification (Shen, Soibam et al. 2016). This lincRNA also lies approximately 12.5kb downstream of- and overlapped expression with- the essential

placental gene *Plac1* (Jackman, Kong et al. 2012) not normally expressed in most

somatic tissues (Fant, Farina et al. 2010) (Supplemental figure S2D-S2F). Finally, *5033406O09Rik*, *9630002D21Rik*, and *2810410L24Rik* also fulfilled the criteria of our screen (Supplemental figure S4A-S4C).

All nine lincRNAs contained regions with highly homologous sequence to human and/or mammalian genomes (Figure 2, Supplemental figures S2-S4). To assess the protein coding potential of these candidates, we employed multiple tests. First, we evaluated PhyloCSF (Lin, Jungreis et al. 2011) codon scores in all three frames for each transcript. Whereas this algorithm readily detected stretches with coding potential in known genes such as *Bmp4* and the micropeptide-containing *Apela/Toddler* (Pauli, Norris et al. 2014) and *Dwarf* (Nelson, Makarewich et al. 2016), we found no evidence for protein coding potential in our lincRNA cohort, with one exception. A 28 amino acid reading frame in the second exon of *HrtLincR4* was predicted to represent a possible conserved coding region (Supplemental figure S5A), though *HrtLincR4*, as well as each additional member of the cohort displayed negative coding-non-coding indices (CNCI, Supplemental figure S5B) (Sun, Luo et al. 2013) similar to the known paraspeckle-associated lincRNA *Neat1* (Hutchinson, Ensminger et al. 2007). However, CPAT (Wang, Park et al. 2013) calculation of hexamer usage bias (Wang, Park et al. 2013) and Fickett nucleotide composition and codon usage bias (Fickett 1982) could not differentiate our lincRNA group from *Apela* or *Dwarf* (Supplemental figure S5C). We next tested *Rubie*, *Handlr*, *Atcayos*, *HrtLincR4*, *HrtLincR5*, and *HrtLincRX* localization in fractionated cardiac progenitor cells. These lincRNAs were biased to the nucleus, where

Rubie and *Handlr* were enriched even more so than *Neat1* (Supplemental figure S5D).

176 Additionally, these six lincRNAs could generate cDNA using oligo dT primers at least as
efficiently as *Actb* and *Neat1*, known to be polyadenylated (Sasaki, Ideue et al. 2009)
178 (Supplemental figure S5E, Supplemental table S1). Taken together, we classified these
lincRNAs as nuclear-enriched, polyadenylated, transcripts with little translational
180 capacity. However, we could not rule out the coding potential of the minority *HrtLincR4*
fraction that reaches the cytoplasm.

182
A cohort of screened cardiac lincRNAs display dynamic expression in vivo in the
184 *developing mouse heart.*

We examined the spatiotemporal expression patterns in the developing embryo
186 for each of the nine candidate lincRNAs by whole mount *in situ* hybridization from E7.25
through E10.5 using transcript-specific probes (Supplemental table S2). The expression
188 patterns observed *in vitro* were largely predictive of those observed *in vivo*. *Rubie* was
first observed in the E7.75 embryo, where, similar to *Bmp4* (Perea-Gomez, Shawlot et
190 al. 1999), it strongly demarcated the extraembryonic boundary and flanked the eventual
heart field. From E8.0 to E8.5, its expression became less focused, spreading
192 throughout the developing cardiac crescent and heart tube, respectively. *Rubie*
transcription at E8.75 was largely non-cardiac, and by E9.5, it was strongly localized to
194 posterior mesoderm and the otic vesicle (Figure 3A). This patterning overlapped a
somewhat refined subset of what had previously been established for *Bmp4* (Danesh,
196 Villasenor et al. 2009).

From E8.5 through E9.5, *Handlr* was transcribed in the developing heart tube, posterior cardiac progenitors, branchial arches, and lateral plate mesoderm (Figure 3B). These patterns overlapped what was also shown for *Hand2* at this developmental stage (Charite, McFadden et al. 2000), suggesting common regulation between *Hand2* and *Handlr*. *Atcayos*, as predicted by *in vitro* expression patterns, was weakly expressed during early stages of heart tube formation, while it was dramatically upregulated after E9.5 in the developing ventricles, as well as cranial structures and somitic mesenchyme (Figure 3C).

From E8.25 through E9.5, *HrtLincR4* displayed strong expression in developing pharyngeal mesoderm, just dorsal to the developing cardiac crescent (Figure 3D). Given its highly transient expression within differentiating cardiac mesoderm *in vitro*, these data suggested *HrtLincR4* to be quickly specified to the secondary heart field and/or adjacent tissues during the onset of cardiac lineage commitment. *HrtLincR5* was broadly expressed throughout the mesoderm, including the nascent cardiac crescent, at E8.25. As expected by its short-lived *in vitro* expression pattern, *HrtLincR5* was predominantly lost *in vivo* by E9.5 (Figure 3E).

HrtLincRX was strongly expressed by E7.5 during cardiac lineage formation in anterior mesoderm at the extraembryonic boarder, as well as in extraembryonic tissues. At E8.25, it was strongly expressed in the cardiac crescent, amniotic membranes, and the developing allantois. While expression of the adjacent *miR322/503* cluster was previously shown to be cardiac-specific (Shen, Soibam et al. 2016), this lincRNA was widely expressed throughout the heart, forelimb, and somitic mesoderm at E9.5 and

E10.5 (Figure 3F). This suggested divergent regulation and/or compounding roles for

HrtLincRX versus its miRNA components. We could not effectively validate the expression of *5033406O09Rik*, *9630002D21Rik*, or *2810410L24Rik* beyond diffuse, low levels in the developing mouse embryo (Supplemental figure S6A-S6C). These experiments established the striking expression patterns of numerous tissue-specific lincRNAs identified from our screen of *in vitro* cardiac differentiation. Therefore, we aimed to test developmental importance of *Rubie*, *Handlr*, *Atcayos*, *HrtLincR4*, *HrtLincR5*, and *HrtLincRX* expression during embryonic development.

Cas9 ablation of lincRNA promoter regions in vivo identifies local gene regulatory roles.

To determine the requirement for the six lincRNAs that displayed compelling *in vivo* expression, we generated knockout mouse lines through pronuclear Cas9 mRNA and tru-sgRNA (Fu, Sander et al. 2014) injections. For each knockout, paired tru-sgRNAs were co-injected to induce 2-3kb deletions flanking the respective lincRNA transcriptional start site (TSS) (Figure 4A, Supplemental table S3), which successfully generated heritable alleles for all six target regions. After substantial outbreeding (> 3 backcrosses into C57Bl/6j background), we crossed heterozygotes and harvested the anterior half of E8.25 embryos for RT-qPCR (Figure 4B). We found that these deletions ablated downstream transcription of each lincRNA (Figure 4C-4H). As these lincRNAs were nuclear-enriched, we hypothesized they might be involved in transcriptional regulation within their local genomic environments. To test this, we measured expression of neighboring protein-coding genes sharing the same respective TADs

(Supplemental table S1). While *Rubie* was previously associated with BMP4 signaling in

the inner ear (Roberts, Abraira et al. 2012), its requirement for *Bmp4* expression had

not been established. We found that loss of *Rubie* resulted in significant reduction of

Bmp4 expression during cardiac specification. Furthermore, the amount of transcribed

Rubie was directly correlated with *Bmp4* levels in this region at the same time point.

This effect was maintained even within equivalent underlying genotypes, whereby *Rubie*

and *Bmp4* transcript levels were still significantly correlated among *Rubie*^{+/-} offspring

only (Figure 4C). These data strongly suggested that either the act of *Rubie*

transcription and/or its physical RNA molecule were responsible for quantitative

regulation of *Bmp4* expression.

Despite proximity to and co-expression with *Handlr*, *Hand2* activation was not

dependent on *Handlr* lincRNA (or its underlying promoter DNA sequence, Figure 4D).

We speculated that the TAD architecture and CTCF boundary between these genes

may introduce complex dynamics within the region. We also could not find a correlation

between *Mn1*'s expression to *HrtLincR5* (Figure 4E). In contrast, *Nmrk2* and *Trabd2b*

expression was dependent on *Atcayos* (Figure 4F) and *HrtLincR4* (Figure 4G),

respectively. Furthermore, *Plac1* transcription was significantly and inversely correlated

to *HrtLincRX* levels, whereby loss of *HrtLincRX* resulted in approximately a 2-fold

increased expression of *Plac1* (Figure 4H). However, using IntaRNA (Mann, Wright et

al. 2017) analysis, we calculated stable RNA-RNA interactions between all three

miRNAs constituents of its 3' tail (miRNA-322, miRNA-351, miRNA-503) and the 5'- and

3'-untranslated regions (UTRs) of *Plac1* (Figure 4I). Therefore, this relationship could

likely be explained by the loss of inhibitory miRNA binding to *Plac1* primary transcript.

Nonetheless, these data indicated a potential role for *HrtLincRX* and/or its miRNAs in demarcating embryonic from extraembryonic mesoderm during gastrulation and early cardiogenesis.

Cardiac lincRNAs are not required for viable mouse development.

To determine the requirement of our lincRNA cohort for viable embryonic development *in vivo*, we bred heterozygotes for each gene and examined ratios of expected offspring that survived to weaning (Supplemental table S4). We could not establish any reduction in viability within null progeny for any tested lincRNA (Figure 5A, 5C, 5D, Supplemental figure S7A-S7C). Furthermore, homozygous offspring for these loci lived to adulthood and were fertile. However, the *Rubie* null genotype did sporadically recapitulate the circling behavior described by Roberts et al, which they observed as a result of aberrant *Rubie* splicing in the SWR/J genetic background (Roberts, Abaira et al. 2012). While rarely observed, circling was only present in *Rubie*^{-/-} mice during >2 years of colony breeding (Figure 5B). Nonetheless, despite their clear expression within the developing heart, we concluded that none of the lincRNAs were individually required for viable development or fertility in the FVBn; C57BL/6j mixed background.

Neither Handlr nor Atcayos play important roles in the cardiac stress response.

Gene knockout models often require external stressors to materialize overt

phenotypes. *Handlr* and *Atcayos* were the only cohort lincRNAs expressed in adult

286 hearts, and *Atcayos*' very high expression was reduced approximately 50% after

transverse aortic constriction (TAC)-induced cardiac hypertrophy (Supplemental figure

288 S7D, S7E) (Duan, McMahon et al. 2017). Therefore, we performed TAC experiments on

Handlr- and *Atcayos*-null mice and compared their responses to wild type (WT)

290 littermates. At baseline, calculated left ventricular (LV) masses (from echocardiographic

measurements) were modestly reduced in *Handlr*^{-/-} and *Atcayos*^{-/-} adults (-18.4%, $p <$

292 0.05; -22.4%, $p < 0.01$, respectively; Figure 5E; Supplemental table S5 and S6).

Additionally, *Handlr*-null adults had slightly but significantly increased fractional area

294 contractility (FAC) over *Handlr*^{+/+}, *Atcayos*^{+/+}, and *Atcayos*^{-/-} genotypes (46.5%, versus

37.8%, $p < 0.01$; 37.4%, $p < 0.005$; and 40.1%, $p < 0.05$, respectively; Figure 5F,

296 Supplemental table S5/S6). However, neither loss of *Handlr* nor *Atcayos* could invoke a

significant alteration to LV mass increase or LV fractional shortening decrease after

298 TAC-mediated stress. Of note, the severity of the TAC-response in *Handlr*-related

experiments was greater than that for *Atcayos* due to genetic background and/or

300 surgical procedure differences for each cohort. This resulted in sharper fractional

shortening decrease and longer duration under cardiac failure (%FAC $< 30\%$) for these

302 mice. Consequently, *Handlr*^{+/+} males displayed greater downstream increase in lung

mass versus *Handlr*^{-/-} individuals (whom began the experiment with greater contractile

304 function), while only sporadic lung hypertrophy arose in the *Atcayos* groups (Figure 5G-

5J). This result was not interpreted to indicate an altered cardiac hypertrophic response

306 in *Handlr*-null individuals but further supports their increased LV FAC measured at

baseline. We also could not detect any noticeable changes versus WT in the expression
 308 of canonical hypertrophic response genes *Nppa*, *Nppb*, or *Acta1* due to *Handlr* nor
Atcayos knockout (data not shown). Therefore, despite strong *Atcayos* expression in the
 310 adult heart and the known hypertrophic involvement of *Handlr*'s neighbor *Hand2*, loss of
 these transcripts did not induce an altered response to LV pressure overload.

312 Therefore, we concluded that neither of these lincRNAs played important roles in the
 physiological response to heart failure.

314
Compound heterozygosity reveals genetic interaction between Rubie and Bmp4 but not
 316 *between Handlr and Hand2.*

Despite the lack of overt lethality in lincRNA-deficient offspring, we carefully
 318 examined morphological heart development in *Handlr* and *Rubie* null embryos, the only
 conditions that produced noticeable physiological effects. We harvested E15.5 hearts
 320 and examined transverse histological sections to establish any change to chamber
 septation, myocardial trabeculation and/or compaction, or ventricular outflow tract (OFT)
 322 development. *Handlr*^{-/-} adults exhibited increased left ventricular fractional shortening
 over WT controls, but we could not associate this functionality with overt changes in
 324 cardiac anatomy (Supplemental figure S8A, S8B). Due to overlapping expression
 patterns between *Hand2* and *Handlr* in the developing heart, we next tested embryos
 326 from *Hand2*^{+/-} x *Handlr*^{+/-} crosses to eliminate one allele of either *Hand2* or *Handlr* per
 chromosome. However, neither *Hand2* heterozygosity nor *Hand2*^{+/-}; *Handlr*^{+/-}
 328 compound heterozygosity resulted in any clear effects on heart morphogenesis

(Supplemental figure S8C, S8D). In addition, we did not notice any elevated lethality in

Hand2^{+/-};*Handlr*^{+/-} offspring (data not shown, n = 63).

Bmp4 expression in the embryo was correlated to the amount of *Rubie* transcript.

Numerous studies have established the requirement of proper BMP4 dosage for normal septation of the atria, ventricles, and outflow tract (OFT), as well as viable embryo

development (Dunn, Winnier et al. 1997, Jiao, Kulesa et al. 2003, Goldman, Donley et al. 2009). Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that compound haploidy of *Bmp4* and

Rubie together would result in an exacerbated onset of resulting phenotypes. For this, we bred *Bmp4*^{fl/fl} x *Rubie*^{+/-}; *Actb-Cre*⁺ (single transgene integration) in the FVB/n;

C57BL/6j mixed genetic background. When we examined E15.5 hearts, neither the *Rubie*^{-/-} background nor loss of a single *Bmp4* allele could induce an abnormal cardiac

phenotype. We also recovered these offspring in expected ratios at weaning (Figure 6A-6D). However, we did find a sustained approximately 20% reduction in recovered pups

carrying the *Bmp4*^{+/-}/*Rubie*^{+/-} compound genotype (Figure 6D; n = 186). In addition, these offspring exhibited incidences of OFT distortion out of the right ventricle beyond

its typical boundary. In these cases, the origins of the pulmonary artery skewed toward the left ventricular OFT and aortic valve (Figure 6E). While we were unable to clearly

establish communication between the pulmonary and aortic outflow systems in these instances, the data point toward a modest genetic interaction between *Rubie* and *Bmp4*

in cardiac morphogenesis.

Discussion

With thousands of uncharacterized noncoding transcriptional elements expressed throughout the genome, efforts must be taken to better understand the functional relevance of unstudied lincRNAs. Towards this need, these experiments were meant to identify and test the requirement for cardiac progenitor-specific lincRNAs in the developing embryo. Out of numerous considered annotated lincRNAs that we initially surveyed, our selection criteria led to a highly restricted set that contained epigenetically-regulated promoter signatures and cardiac-specific expression *in vivo*. Ablation of these transcripts in the developing mouse revealed modulatory roles of *Rubie*, *Atcayos*, *HrtLincR4*, and *HrtLincRX* within their local genomic environments. In particular, we found a requirement for the *Rubie* locus for normal *Bmp4* dosage. Furthermore, loss of *Handlr/Hdn* and *Atcayos* led to minor reduction in LV mass in adult mice, along with modestly increased contractility among *Handlr*^{-/-} individuals. Loss of either of these two transcripts did not influence the hypertrophic or LV contractile response to pressure overload. Most importantly, despite clear transcription in the developing heart, none of the tested lincRNAs alone were required for embryo viability. However, when we generated compound heterozygotes for *Rubie* and *Bmp4*, we observed a slight yet consistent reduction in recovered offspring and a modest perturbation of right ventricular outflow tract orientation. Of course, this cohort of lincRNAs that we ablated does not include the entirety of all relevant cardiac transcripts. Nonetheless, we argue this set of transcripts represents a sufficient consideration of the early cardiac lincRNA landscape to predict modest individual roles for most noncoding

transcripts of this type.

Similar to our observations, an independent study (Ritter, Ali et al. 2019) reported that the *Handlr/Hdn* locus produced multiple transcripts. Namely, *Hdn* was described as originating from the same TSS but splicing approximately 15kb 3' beyond *Handlr*, along with *Hdn α* , which shares TSS and splice patterning (albeit 1 fewer exon) with *Handlr*. *Hdn/Hdn α* was described as localized to the cytoplasm near the nuclear envelope. Our results clearly supported *Handlr* enrichment in the nucleus, though we did not interrogate to which subnuclear component. Most importantly, they showed that complete deletion of the >20 kilobase *Hdn* locus produced embryonic-lethal cardiac and extraembryonic phenotypes. This accompanied perturbation of a cardiac gene expression program, including hyper-expression of *Hand2*. They attributed the act of transcription from the *Hdn/Hdn α* TSS as an important regulatory component of this phenotype, whereas *Hdn(α)*-specific RNA molecules were dispensable. In our work, ablation of the *Handlr/Hdn/Hdn α* TSS, which terminated downstream transcription, resulted in no lethality, dramatic cardiac phenotype, or altered *Hand2* expression. Therefore, both sets of experiments agree on the lack of requirement for *Handlr/Hdn α* as a functional lincRNA during cardiogenesis, but disagree on a link between *Handlr/Hdn/Hdn α* transcription and *Hand2* expression. Importantly, a clear regulatory mechanism/effect for transcription per se from the *Handlr/Hdn/Hdn α* TSS has yet to be established. We predict the large genomic deletion reported in Ritter *et al.* 2019 affects critical cardiac enhancer elements within the *Hdn* locus. Therefore, future work must more definitively assign a mechanistic role for RNA synthesis, splicing, and/or molecular

function at this locus before attributing them to the mild or severe phenotypes observed
394 by either study.

The subtle effects created by ablation of our collection of cardiac lincRNAs are
396 consistent with the results most often obtained by others' efforts to knockout
developmentally-specific lincRNAs (Nakagawa, Naganuma et al. 2011, Nakagawa, Ip et
398 al. 2012, Zhang, Arun et al. 2012, Sauvageau, Goff et al. 2013, Goff, Groff et al. 2015,
Lai, Gong et al. 2015, Amandio, Necsulea et al. 2016, Goudarzi, Berg et al. 2019). In
400 most instances where strong phenotypes have been observed, conflicting regulatory
mechanisms, *in vivo* versus *in vitro* effects, lack of *in vivo* reproducibility, and/or
402 conflated DNA-/RNA-/transcription-/splicing-based mechanisms prevent clean parsing
of the underlying importance of lincRNA molecules. However, several lincRNAs in our
404 cohort did seem to function within the nucleus to impact gene expression in their local
environments, including *Rubie's* influence on *Bmp4*. Consequently, future experiments
406 are needed to dissect the physical mechanisms that underlie these effects. We
speculate that the vast majority of singular lincRNAs fit as cogs into the multifaceted
408 regulatory architecture of the nucleus, which individually can be compensated for during
organogenesis *in vivo*. More so we hypothesize that overt phenotypic impacts in most
410 lincRNA-centric experiments will be observed only after additional contextual molecular
components are also manipulated. It may prove more beneficial to interpret most
412 nuclear lincRNAs as collective epigenomic components analogous to histone/DNA
modifications- with potential capabilities to alter the physical properties and orientation
414 of the genome and recruit regulatory machinery, more so than as individual gene-like

elements.

416

Author Contributions

418

Project design and direction: B.G.B. and M.R.G. All experiments: M.R.G., except mouse
 420 echocardiography and surgery: Q.D., Y.H. under supervision of S.M.H, QRT-PCR: A.N.,
 and interpretation of cardiac anatomy: I.S.K and K.R. Manuscript writing: M.R.G. and
 422 B.G.B with contribution from all authors.

Acknowledgements

426 We thank Junli Zhang (Gladstone Transgenic Core) for pronuclear injection, Hazel
 Salunga for help with echocardiography, and the Gladstone Histology and Microscopy
 428 Core for embryo heart sectioning and histology. We are also grateful to Judy Morgan,
 Leslie Goodwin, and Laura Reinholdt (JAX) for mouse production.

430

Funding

432

This work was funded by NIH/NHLBI (R01HL114948 and Bench to Bassinet Program
 434 UM1HL098179) to B.G.B., NIH/NHLBI HL127240 to S.M.H, and a Graduate Scholarship
 co-sponsored by the American Heart Association and the Lawrence J. and Florence A.
 436 DeGeorge Charitable Trust (ID: 15PRE24470159) to M.R.G. I.S.K. was funded by the

Society of Pediatric Anesthesia, UCSF Research Allocation Program, the Hellman

438 Family Fund, and the Department of Anesthesia at UCSF. This work was also
supported by an NIH/NCRR grant (C06 RR018928) to the J. David Gladstone Institutes
440 and by The Younger Family Fund to B.G.B.

442 **Competing Interests:** B.G.B is a co-founder of and owns equity in Tenaya
Therapeutics. M.R.G. is an employee of and owns equity in Vascugen Inc. S.M.H. is an
444 executive and shareholder of Amgen, Inc. and a co-founder with equity stake in Tenaya
Therapeutics. These interests are not related to the work described here.
446

Materials and Methods

Informatic search for cardiac lincRNAs

Raw stranded, total RNA-seq reads from ESC (day 0), MES (day 4), CP (day 5.3), and CM (day 10) stages (Wamstad, Alexander et al. 2012) and cMES (day 4.75) stage (Devine, Wythe et al. 2014) of mESC *in vitro* differentiation into cardiomyocytes were mapped to the mouse genome (mm9) and aligned to Noncode v4 (Xie, Yuan et al. 2014) annotated lncRNAs using the Cufflinks suite of software (Trapnell, Williams et al. 2010). ChIP-seq domains positive for trimethylation of histone 3 lysine 4 (H3K4me3), acetylation of histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27Ac), and trimethylation of histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3) for ESC, MES, CP, and CM stages were obtained from Wamstad, Alexander et al. The following criteria were used to generate a candidate list of lincRNAs. 1.) Less than 0.5 fragments per kilobase per million reads (FPKM) in mESCs. 2.) Greater than 1.0 FPKM at CP or cMES stage of differentiation (expression at other time points was not factored into selection). 3.) Positive H3K4me3 ChIP-seq signal at TSS during CP stage. 4.) Positive H3K27Ac at TSS during CP stage. 5.) At least 1 exon splice in transcript. 6.) No splice events into neighboring protein coding genes 7.) TSS at least 1kb from nearest protein-coding gene TSS. Screened candidates tracks were then visually inspected via UCSC Genome Browser (Kent 2002) to filter for lincRNAs with expression patterns that matched the assigned lincRNA structure and not simply spurious reads at the general locus.

Analysis of lincRNA coding potential

PhyloCSF (Lin, Jungreis et al. 2011) browser tracks were uploaded to the UCSC

Genome Browser for interrogation. Fickett and hexamer scores were calculated with CPAT software (Wang, Park et al. 2013) and visualized with the 'ggplot2' package (Wickham 2016) in R version 3.4.0 (R-Core-Team 2017). CNCI scores were obtained from NONCODEv4 annotations (Xie, Yuan et al. 2014).

mESC differentiation and cardiac progenitor cell fractionation

Directed cardiomyocyte differentiations were performed as previously described (Wamstad, Alexander et al. 2012) using the *Smarcd3-F6nlEGFP* mESC line (Devine, Wythe et al. 2014) with minor modifications to improve differentiation efficiency. Briefly, three days before differentiation induction (day -3), mESCs were split into 2i + LIF media on gelatin. The following day (day -2), 2i + LIF was replaced with 15% FBS (HiClone) in DMEM + 1X non-essential amino acids + 1X sodium pyruvate + 1X GlutaMAX + 1X βmercaptoethanol + 1X penicillin/streptomycin + 1000U/ml LIF (ESGRO, EMD). The following day (day -1), cells were fed again with the same 15% FBS-LIF media to complete their conversion to epiblast-like stem cells. One day later (day 0), cardiac differentiation was initiated as per Wamstad, Alexander et al. On day 5.3, CP cells were dissociated with TrypLE (Gibco) and quenched in DMEM:F12 (Gibco) + 10% FBS (HiClone). After washing in D-PBS, nuclei were isolated using the Nuclei EZ Prep kit (SigmaAldrich), pelleted, and supernatant was collected as cytoplasmic fraction. Nuclei were washed again, and pellet was harvested with Trizol (Invitrogen) as nuclear fraction in subsequent qPCR quantification experiments.

Cytoplasmic fractions were processed equivalently.

492

Whole mount in situ hybridization

494 Primers were designed to amplify *in situ* probe templates between 440bp and
1.5kb for each candidate lincRNA off cDNA from CP stage of *in vitro* differentiation
496 (Supplemental table S2). Templates were electrophoresed in 1.0% agarose gel and
purified using QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen). These templates were then TOPO
498 TA cloned into pCR4-TOPO using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen) and Sanger
sequenced to validate orientation in plasmid and proper composition. 2μg linearized
500 vector for each lincRNA template were then input into digoxigenin (DIG) RNA synthesis
kit reactions (Roche) in 40μL total volume using either T7 or T3 primers, depending on
502 template orientation. Transcription was carried out for 2 hours at 37°C. Afterward, 8U
DNase I (NEB) were added to each reaction and incubated for 15 min at 37°C to
504 degrade DNA. DNase reactions were quenched with 1.5μL EDTA, and DIG-RNA probes
were cleaned and concentrated with RNeasy Mini Columns (Qiagen), EtOH precipitated
506 and washed, and resuspended in 20μL H₂O. DIG probes were then diluted to 100μg/mL
in HYB buffer (50% formamide + 5X SSC pH 4.5 + 50μg/mL yeast tRNA + 75μg/mL
508 heparin + 0.2% Tween-20 + 0.5% CHAPS + 5mM EDTA). E7.5 through E12.5, mouse
embryos were liberated from the uterus and dissected from extraembryonic tissues and
510 membranes. Embryos were washed with D-PBS and fixed overnight in 4%
paraformaldehyde and then washed 3x in PBT (PBS + 0.1% Tween-20) on ice.
512 Embryos were dehydrated in MeOH series (25%, 50%, 75%, 2x 100%, 5 min each).

Then, samples were rehydrated by reversing this series including 2 extra PBT washes.

514 Embryos were bleached in 6% H₂O₂ in PBT for 15 minutes at RT with rocking. Embryos
were washed 3 x 5 min in PBT and treated with 10ug/mL proteinase K for 5 min (E7.5),
516 10 min (E8.5), 20 min (E9.5), or 30 min (E10.5+) rocking at RT and then quenched 2x
with 2mg/mL glycine in PBT followed by 3 x 5min washes in PBTw. Embryos were re-
518 fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde + 0.2% glutaraldehyde for 20 min with rocking and
washed an additional 5x 5min with PBT. Embryos were then rinsed 2x in 65°C HYB
520 buffer and incubated in HYB buffer for 3 hours at 65°C. Then, lincRNA-specific probes
(in HYB) were added, respectively, to final concentration of 1µg/mL and hybridized
522 overnight at 65°C. Embryos were rinsed 3 x 5 min in 65°C WASH1 buffer (50%
formamide + 5X SSC pH 4.5 + 1% SDS) and then incubated 2 x 30 min again in 65°C
524 WASH1 buffer. Next, embryos were washed 2 x 30 min in 65°C WASH2 (50%
formamide + 2X SSC pH 4.5 + 0.1% Tween-20), followed by 3 x 5 min RT washes in
526 TTBS (25mM Tris HCl pH 7.4 + 135mM NaCl + 2.5mM KCl + 0.1% Tween-20).
Embryos were then blocked in TTBS containing 20% sheep serum for 3 hours at RT
528 and stained overnight with alkaline phosphatase (AP) conjugated anti-DIG Fab
fragments in TTBS + 1% sheep serum (1:5000, Roche). Embryos were then rinsed 3x
530 5min in RT TTBS, followed by 6x 1hr TTBS washes at RT. A final TTBS wash was then
performed overnight at 4°C. Embryos were then washed 2 x 30 min in AP buffer
532 (100mM Tris pH 9.5 + 50mM MgCl₂ + 100mM NaCl + 0.1% Tween-20) at RT. Then,
Boehringer Purple AP substrate was added to embryos to initiate staining reactions.
534 Reactions were allowed to progress in the dark until suitable contrast was observed. AP

reactions were quenched with 3x PBT washes containing 1mM EDTA, followed by multiple PBT pH5.5 washes. A final fixation was then performed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% glutaraldehyde at 4°C. Finally, embryos were dehydrated again in methanol series and stored in 100% MeOH at -20°C. Embryos were imaged on an upright microscope, and images were white balanced with Adobe Photoshop.

Cas9 lincRNA knockout, mouse husbandry, and genotyping

All mouse experiments were carried out in accordance with IACUC protocols and cared for by the UCSF LARC. For each lincRNA, two cut sites were targeted to induce a 2-3kb deletion flanking the TSS/promoter. Two sequence-specific truncated single guide RNA (tru-gRNA, Supplemental table S3) (Fu, Sander et al. 2014) regions were separately cloned into pX330 (Addgene). After generating T7 promoter-containing sgRNA templates by PCR using Phusion TAC polymerase (NEB), tru-sgRNAs were transcribed using the Hiscribe T7 High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit (NEB). Tru-sgRNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) and dual chloroform purifications before immunoprecipitating with isopropanol. Each tru-gRNA pair was then resuspended in sterile 5mM Tris-HCl before pronuclear injection by the Gladstone transgenic mouse core. Injections were carried out as previously described (Yang, Wang et al. 2014). To increase efficiency of obtaining deletions for each target site, all pairs were co-injected into each of 70 FVB/n pronuclei. All genotyping was performed on tail clips stored at -20°C. To extract gDNA, tail clips were suspended in 100μL 50mM NaOH in H₂O and incubated at 95°C for 40 minutes. Tubes were agitated to break up tissue, and

remaining solids were allowed to settle before use. pH was normalized by addition of

7.0 μ L of 1M Tris HCl pH 7.4. 1.5 μ L was then input into PCR reactions using Q5 2X

master mix (NEB) and 3 gene-specific primers for simultaneous WT and KO product

amplification (Supplemental table S4). Reactions were carried out according to

manufacturer-specified recommendations. F0 founders for single lincRNA deletions

were first identified and bred into C57BL/6j to establish germline transmission (F1).

Separate F1 heterozygotes for each individual lincRNA deletion were then outbred into

the C57BL/6j background for multiple generations to reduce off-target effects. *Handlr*

and *Atcayos* null alleles were generated in collaboration with Jackson Laboratory using

the same targeting strategy but in a homogenous C57BL/6j background.

Transverse aortic constriction cardiac hypertrophy models

Surgery was performed under IACUC protocols and monitored by the UCSF

LARC. Experiments were performed as described (Duan, McMahon et al. 2017). For

transverse aortic constriction (TAC), 12-20 week-old male mice were anaesthetized with

ketamine/xylazine and mechanically ventilated. After thoracotomy, TAC was executed

between the left common carotid and the brachiocephalic arteries using a 7-0 silk suture

and 27-gauge needle. After surgery, pressure overload was confirmed by Doppler probe

measurement of flow velocity at the carotid artery. Echocardiography was performed at

baseline, 1 week, 4 weeks, 6 weeks, and 8 weeks after operation to measure left

ventricle (LV) fractional area change (%FAC). LV areas were obtained from two-

dimensional measurements at the end-diastole and end-systole. At baseline, non-

biological echocardiography variability required outlier removal. Week 0 (pre-TAC) mice

measured to be in cardiac failure (%FAC < 30.0%) with increased %FAC week 1 after

TAC were deemed failed measurements. 1-2 instances of this were observed in all

groups. From remaining data, '1.5X interquartile range rule' was used to eliminate

outliers. The LV mass was estimated by M-mode measurements and the equation

$$M_{LV} = ((IVS_D + LVID_D + LVPW_D)^3 - LVID_D^3) \times 1.053 \text{ (Marwick, Gillebert et al. 2015)}$$

M_{LV} , left ventricular mass; IVS_D , diastolic interventricular septum width; $LVID_D$, diastolic

left ventricular internal diameter; $LVPW_D$, diastolic left ventricular posterior wall

thickness.

At 8 weeks post-surgery, mice were sacrificed for analysis. First, left ventricle, lung, and body weights were measured. Subsequently, a 10-20mg concentric short axis

slice of the left ventricle was collected and preserved in RNAlater reagent

(ThermoFisher). Heart sections were disrupted in PureZOL (Bio-Rad) on a TissueLyser

II (Qiagen). RNA was then purified with Aurum purification kit (BioRad). qRT-PCR was

performed using TaqMan chemistry including FastStart Universal Probe Master

(Roche), labeled probes from the Universal Probe Library (Roche), and gene-specific

oligonucleotide primers run on a 7900HT (ThermoFisher) cycler with absolute

quantification. Gene expression levels were normalized to *cycloB* and *Actb* internal

controls using the ΔC_t method.

E8.25 RNA isolation and qPCR analysis

At E8.25, embryos were removed from the uterus and dissected from

extraembryonic tissues and membranes. Only embryos displaying late cardiac crescent formation before heart tube expansion and cavitation were kept and deemed to be at E8.25. The anterior half of each embryo was washed twice in cold PBS and transferred into Trizol (Invitrogen), while the posterior half was washed in PBS and stored at -20°C for genotyping. RNA from Trizol samples was precipitated using standard protocols and further purified/ condensed using Qiagen RNeasy MinElute columns. 250ng RNA was reverse transcribed using the AffinityScript Reverse Transcription kit (Agilent) using 200ng random hexamer and/or 100ng dT₂₀ primers, where appropriate. RT-qPCR was subsequently performed with 5.0ng cDNA and 500nM gene-specific primers (Supplemental table S1) in PowerUP SYBR Green master mix (Thermo Fisher). Reactions were run on a 7900HT (ThermoFisher) cyclor with absolute quantification. Gene expression levels were normalized to *Actb* internal controls using the Δ Ct method.

E15.5 Histology

At E15.5, embryos were liberated from the uterus and dissected from extraembryonic tissues and membranes. Whole hearts were removed, rinsed twice in D-PBS, and fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde. Each heart was then paraffin embedded and sectioned at an oblique transverse plane for four chamber visualization. Hematoxylin and eosin staining and imaging were performed by the Gladstone Histology Core (UCSF).

Description of Figures

Figure 1. Epigenetically regulated cardiac lincRNAs. A. Differentiation progression of

mESCs into cardiomyocytes used for lincRNA candidate selection; ESC, embryonic stem cell, MES, mesoderm, CP, cardiac progenitor, CM, cardiomyocyte. B. Criteria for lincRNA identification and resulting 9 candidates; *, name assigned by Bruneau lab.

Figure 2. Genomic characterization of *Rubie* and *Handlr* *in vitro*. A. UCSC Genome

Browser tracks of *Rubie* RNA-seq and overlaid histone H3 ChIP-seq at ESC, MES, CP, and CM stages of *in vitro* differentiation; RefSeq annotation in blue. B. Quantified expression of *Rubie* and *Bmp4* at each differentiation stage. C. 3D Genome Browser Hi-C heatmap of chromosome interactions around *Bmp4* and *Rubie* loci. D. UCSC Genome Browser tracks of *Handlr* RNA-seq and overlaid histone H3 ChIP-seq at ESC, MES, CP, and CM stages of *in vitro* differentiation. Ensembl annotation in red; actual exon structure of predominant *Handlr* transcript in black with blue stars. E. Quantified expression of *Handlr* and *Hand2* at each differentiation stage. F. 3D Genome Browser Hi-C heatmap of chromosome interactions around *Handlr* and *Hand2* loci; TAD, topologically associated domain. ESC, embryonic stem cell, MES, mesoderm, CP, cardiac progenitor, CM, cardiomyocyte; blue, ESC, green, MES, orange, CP, red, CM; K4me3, histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation; K27me3, histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation; K27Ac, histone H3 lysine 27 acetylation; TAD, topologically associated domain.

Figure 3. lincRNA expression patterns *in vivo*. A. *in situ* hybridization staining for *Rubie*

from E7.5 through E9.5. B. *in situ* hybridization staining for *Handlr* at E8.5 and E9.5. C.

in situ hybridization staining for *Atcayos* at E9.5 and E10.5. D. *in situ* hybridization staining for *HrtLincR4* at E8.25, E8.5, and E9.5. E. *in situ* hybridization staining for *HrtLincR5* at E8.0 and E9.5. F. *in situ* hybridization staining for *HrtLincRX* from E7.5 through E10.5. A, anterior; P, posterior; Em, embryonic region; Ex, extraembryonic region; CC, cardiac crescent; Ht, heart tube; H, heart; OV, otic vesicle.

Figure 4. Cas9 ablation of cardiac lincRNAs *in vivo* and effects on local gene

expression. A. lincRNA TSS/promoter ablation strategy; TSS, transcriptional start site; tru-sgRNA, truncated single guide RNA. B. Schematic for RT-qPCR on anterior half of E8.25 embryo; A, anterior, P, posterior, red line, bisection point. C. Left: Electrophoresed gDNA PCR genotyping products of *Rubie* alleles and resulting *Rubie* and *Bmp4* expression in anterior E8.25 embryos; Right: correlation between *Rubie* expression and *Bmp4* expression for all genotypes or *Rubie*^{+/-} only, respectively. D. Electrophoresed gDNA PCR genotyping products of *Handlr* alleles and resulting *Handlr* and *Hand2* expression in anterior E8.25 embryos. E. Electrophoresed gDNA PCR genotyping products of *Atcayos* alleles and resulting *Atcayos* and *Nmrk2* expression in anterior E8.25 embryo. F. Electrophoresed gDNA PCR genotyping products of *HrtLincR5* alleles and resulting *HrtLincR5* and *Mn1* expression in anterior E8.25 embryos. G. Electrophoresed gDNA PCR genotyping products of *HrtLincR4* alleles and resulting *HrtLincR4* and *Trabd2b* expression in anterior E8.25 embryos. H. Left: Electrophoresed gDNA PCR genotyping products of *HrtLincRX* alleles and resulting *HrtLincRX* and *Plac1* expression in anterior E8.25 embryos; Right: IntaRNA 2.0 binding

prediction between *HrtLincRX* 3' miRNAs and Plac1. *, $p < 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.005$; n.s., not
 668 significant; Student's 2-tailed t-test. Data presented as mean $\pm$ SEM.

670 **Figure 5.** Viability and phenotypic effects after lincRNA knockout. A. Offspring
 recovered at weaning from *Rubie*^{+/-} x *Rubie*^{+/-} cross vs expected Mendelian ratios. B.
 672 Representative sporadic circling behavior in *Rubie*^{-/-} offspring; #, only observed in
Rubie^{-/-} genotype over 2+ years of observation. C. Offspring recovered at weaning from
 674 *Handlr*^{+/-} x *Handlr*^{+/-} cross vs expected Mendelian ratios. D. Offspring recovered at
 weaning from *Atcayos*^{+/-} x *Atcayos*^{+/-} cross vs expected Mendelian ratios. E. LV mass
 676 calculated by echocardiographic measurements in *Handlr* WT versus NULL and
Atcayos WT versus NULL litter-matched adult males, respectively. F. LV contractility
 678 measured by echocardiography in *Handlr* WT versus NULL and *Atcayos* WT versus
 NULL litter-matched adult males, respectively. G. Time course of cardiac contractility
 680 after TAC in *Handlr* WT versus NULL litter-matched adult males. H. Time course of
 cardiac contractility after TAC in *Atcayos* WT versus NULL litter-matched adult males. I.
 682 Week 8 post-TAC LV and lung weights in *Handlr* WT versus NULL litter-matched adult
 males. J. Week 8 post-TAC LV and lung weights in *Atcayos* WT versus NULL litter-
 684 matched adult males. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.005$, Student's 2-tailed t-test,
 except panel I, Z-test; LV, left ventricle; FAC, fractional area shortening; TAC,
 686 transverse aortic constriction; WT, wild type.

Figure 6. Effect of *Rubie* ablation on heart development at E15.5. A-C,E. Oblique transverse hematoxylin and eosin histological sections of cardiac ventricular and OFT morphogenesis, respectively, at E15.5. A. Representative wild type WT morphology. B. Representative *Rubie*^{-/-} morphology. C. Representative *Bmp4*^{+/-} (*Actb-Cre*⁺) morphology. D. Left: Electrophoresed gDNA PCR genotyping products of *Rubie* and *Actb-Cre* transgene alleles, respectively. Right: Offspring recovered at weaning from *Rubie*^{+/-}; *Actb-Cre*⁺ x *Bmp4*^{fl/fl} mating vs expected Mendelian ratios G. H. Representative *Bmp4*^{+/-}; *Rubie*^{+/-} (*Actb-Cre*⁺) morphology in 2 separate individuals. RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle; OFT, outflow tract; WT, wild type; scale bar, 300μm; arrow, distorted OFT orientation.

Supplemental Figure S1. Predominant *Handlr* transcript *in vivo*. A. Electrophoresed *Handlr* 3' RACE PCR products from E9.5 mouse cDNA. B. Sanger sequence of predominant RNA transcript, polyA tail highlighted; T in place of U due to DNA sequencing; arrow, location of 3'RACE *Handlr*-specific primer.

Supplemental Figure S2. Genomic characterization of *Atcayos* and *HrtLincR4* *in vitro*. A. UCSC Genome Browser tracks of *Atcayos* and *Nmrk2* RNA-seq and overlaid histone H3 ChIP-seq at ESC, MES, CP, and CM stages of *in vitro* differentiation. B. Quantified expression of *Atcayos* and *Nmrk2* at each differentiation stage. C. UCSC Genome Browser tracks of *HrtLincR4* RNA-seq and overlaid histone H3 ChIP-seq during cardiac differentiation *in vitro*; D. Quantified expression of *HrtlincR4* and *Trabd2b* at each

differentiation stage. E. 3D Genome Browser Hi-C heatmap of chromosome interactions around *HrtlincR4* and *Trabd2b* loci. ESC, embryonic stem cell, MES, mesoderm, CP, cardiac progenitor, CM, cardiomyocyte; blue, ESC, green, MES, orange, CP, red, CM; K4me3, histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation; K27me3, histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation; K27Ac, histone H3 lysine 27 acetylation; TAD, topologically associated domain. Ensembl annotations in red.

Supplemental Figure S3. Genomic characterization of *HrtLincR5* and *HrtLincRX* in

vitro. A. UCSC Genome Browser tracks of *HrtLincR5* RNA-seq and overlaid histone H3 ChIP-seq during cardiac differentiation *in vitro*. Ensembl annotation in red. B. Quantified expression of *HrtlincR5* and *Mn1* at each differentiation stage. C. 3D Genome Browser Hi-C heatmap (of chromosome interactions around *HrtlincR5* and *Mn1* loci. D. Genome Browser tracks of *HrtLincRX* RNA-seq and overlaid histone H3 ChIP-seq during cardiac differentiation *in vitro*; E. Quantified expression of *HrtLincRX* and *Plac1* at each differentiation stage. F. 3D Genome Browser Hi-C heatmap of chromosome interactions around *HrtlincRX* and *Plac1* loci. ESC, embryonic stem cell, MES, mesoderm, cMES, cardiac mesoderm; CP, cardiac progenitor, CM, cardiomyocyte; blue, ESC; green, MES; orange, CP; red, CM; K4me3, histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation; K27me3, histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation; K27Ac, histone H3 lysine 27 acetylation; RefSeq annotation in blue. RefSeq annotations, including 3' miRNA cluster, in blue.

Supplemental Figure S4. Genomic characterization of *5033406O09Rik*,

732 *9630002D21Rik*, and *2810410L24Rik* *in vitro*. A. UCSC Genome Browser tracks of
 5033406O09Rik RNA-seq and overlaid histone H3 ChIP-seq during cardiac
 734 differentiation *in vitro*, as well as quantified expression at each differentiation stage. B.
 UCSC Genome Browser tracks of *9630002D21Rik* RNA-seq and overlaid histone H3
 736 ChIP-seq during cardiac differentiation *in vitro*, as well as quantified expression at each
 differentiation stage. C. UCSC Genome Browser tracks of *2810410L24Rik* RNA-seq
 738 and overlaid histone H3 ChIP-seq during cardiac differentiation *in vitro*, as well as
 quantified expression at each differentiation stage. ESC, embryonic stem cell, MES,
 740 mesoderm, cMES, cardiac mesoderm; CP, cardiac progenitor, CM, cardiomyocyte;
 blue, ESC; green, MES; orange, CP; red, CM; K4me3, histone H3 lysine 4
 742 trimethylation; K27me3, histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation; K27Ac, histone H3 lysine
 27 acetylation; Ensembl annotation in red, RefSeq annotations in blue.

744

Supplemental Figure S5. Molecular characterization of lincRNA cohort. A. UCSC

746 Genome Browser tracks of PhyloCSF codon scores for all three frames of known
 protein coding gene *Bmp4*, micropeptide coding genes *Apela* and *Dworf*, and lincRNA
 748 cohort; red box, potential 28 amino acid-coding open reading frame in *HrtLincR4*; scale,
 -15 to +15; positive score indicates higher coding potential; green, (+) strand; red, (-)
 750 strand. B. Coding-non-Coding-Index (CNCI) scores for lincRNA cohort compared to
 known lincRNA Neat1. C. Comparison of CPAT algorithm calculations of Fickett and
 752 Hexamer scores for known protein coding genes, micropeptide coding genes, and our
 lincRNA cohort. D. Nuclear vs cytoplasmic enrichment of lincRNA cohort compared to

Actb and known nuclear-enriched lincRNA Neat1; *, $p < 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.005$; n.s., not significant; Student's 2-tailed t-test. E. Efficiency of RT-qPCR amplification from dT₂₀- or random hexamer-primed cDNA for lincRNA cohort compared to *Actb* and known polyadenylated lincRNA *Neat1*. Data presented as mean +/- SEM.

Supplemental Figure S6. lincRNA expression patterns *in vivo*. A. *in situ* hybridization staining for *5033406O09Rik* at E7.25 and E7.5. B. *in situ* hybridization staining for *9630002D21Rik* at E8.5. C. *in situ* hybridization staining for *2810410L24Rik* at E8.25 and E9.5. A, anterior; Em, embryonic region; Ex, extraembryonic region; P, posterior; CC, cardiac crescent; Ht, heart tube; H, heart.

Supplemental Figure S7. Viability and phenotypic effects after lincRNA knockout. A. Offspring recovered at weaning from *HrtLincR4*^{+/-} x *HrtLincR4*^{+/-} cross vs expected Mendelian ratios. B. Offspring recovered at weaning from *HrtLincR5*^{+/-} x *HrtLincR5*^{+/-} cross vs expected Mendelian ratios. C. Male offspring recovered at weaning from *HrtLincRX*^{+/-} x *HrtLincRX*^{+/-} cross vs expected Mendelian ratios. D. RNA-seq expression of *Handlr* in adult heart before and after TAC. E. RNA-seq expression of *Atcayos* in adult heart before and after TAC from Duan et al, 2017. **, $p < 0.01$, Student's 2-tailed t-test; TAC, transverse aortic constriction; WT, wild type.

Supplemental Figure S8. Effect of *Handlr* ablation on heart development at E15.5. A-D. Oblique transverse hematoxylin and eosin histological sections of cardiac ventricular

776 and OFT morphogenesis, respectively, at E15.5. A. Representative WT morphology.
 Representative *Handlr*^{-/-} morphology. C. Representative *Hand2*^{+/-} morphology. D.
 778 Representative *Hand2*^{+/-}; *Handlr*^{+/-} morphology. RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle;
 OFT, outflow tract; WT, wild type; scale bar, 300μm.

780

References

- 782 (2012). "An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome." Nature
784 **489**(7414): 57-74.
- Alexanian, M., D. Maric, S. P. Jenkinson, M. Mina, C. E. Friedman, C.-C. Ting, R.
786 Micheletti, I. Plaisance, M. Nemir, D. Maison, J. Kernén, I. Pezzuto, D. Villeneuve, F.
Burdet, M. Ibberson, S. L. Leib, N. J. Palpant, N. Hernandez, S. Ounzain and T.
788 Pedrazzini (2017). "A transcribed enhancer dictates mesendoderm specification in
pluripotency." Nature Communications **8**(1): 1806.
- 790 Amandio, A. R., A. Necseulea, E. Joye, B. Mascres and D. Duboule (2016). "Hotair Is
Dispensable for Mouse Development." PLoS Genet **12**(12): e1006232.
- 792 Anderson, K. M., D. M. Anderson, J. R. McAnally, J. M. Shelton, R. Bassel-Duby and E.
N. Olson (2016). "Transcription of the non-coding RNA upperhand controls Hand2
794 expression and heart development." Nature **539**(7629): 433-436.
- Carninci, P., T. Kasukawa, S. Katayama, J. Gough, M. C. Frith, N. Maeda, R. Oyama,
796 T. Ravasi, B. Lenhard, C. Wells, R. Kodzius, K. Shimokawa, V. B. Bajic, S. E. Brenner,
S. Batalov, A. R. Forrest, M. Zavolan, M. J. Davis, L. G. Wilming, V. Aidinis, J. E. Allen,
798 A. Ambesi-Impimbato, R. Apweiler, R. N. Aturaliya, T. L. Bailey, M. Bansal, L. Baxter,
K. W. Beisel, T. Bersano, H. Bono, A. M. Chalk, K. P. Chiu, V. Choudhary, A.
800 Christoffels, D. R. Clutterbuck, M. L. Crowe, E. Dalla, B. P. Dalrymple, B. de Bono, G.
Della Gatta, D. di Bernardo, T. Down, P. Engstrom, M. Fagiolini, G. Faulkner, C. F.
802 Fletcher, T. Fukushima, M. Furuno, S. Futaki, M. Gariboldi, P. Georgii-Hemming, T. R.
Gingeras, T. Gojobori, R. E. Green, S. Gustincich, M. Harbers, Y. Hayashi, T. K.

804 Hensch, N. Hirokawa, D. Hill, L. Huminiecki, M. Iacono, K. Ikeo, A. Iwama, T. Ishikawa,
M. Jakt, A. Kanapin, M. Katoh, Y. Kawasawa, J. Kelso, H. Kitamura, H. Kitano, G.

806 Kollias, S. P. Krishnan, A. Kruger, S. K. Kummerfeld, I. V. Kurochkin, L. F. Lareau, D.
Lazarevic, L. Lipovich, J. Liu, S. Liuni, S. McWilliam, M. Madan Babu, M. Madera, L.

808 Marchionni, H. Matsuda, S. Matsuzawa, H. Miki, F. Mignone, S. Miyake, K. Morris, S.
Mottagui-Tabar, N. Mulder, N. Nakano, H. Nakauchi, P. Ng, R. Nilsson, S. Nishiguchi,

810 S. Nishikawa, F. Nori, O. Ohara, Y. Okazaki, V. Orlando, K. C. Pang, W. J. Pavan, G.
Pavesi, G. Pesole, N. Petrovsky, S. Piazza, J. Reed, J. F. Reid, B. Z. Ring, M.

812 Ringwald, B. Rost, Y. Ruan, S. L. Salzberg, A. Sandelin, C. Schneider, C. Schonbach,
K. Sekiguchi, C. A. Semple, S. Seno, L. Sessa, Y. Sheng, Y. Shibata, H. Shimada, K.

814 Shimada, D. Silva, B. Sinclair, S. Sperling, E. Stupka, K. Sugiura, R. Sultana, Y.
Takenaka, K. Taki, K. Tammoja, S. L. Tan, S. Tang, M. S. Taylor, J. Tegner, S. A.

816 Teichmann, H. R. Ueda, E. van Nimwegen, R. Verardo, C. L. Wei, K. Yagi, H.
Yamanishi, E. Zabarovsky, S. Zhu, A. Zimmer, W. Hide, C. Bult, S. M. Grimmond, R. D.

818 Teasdale, E. T. Liu, V. Brusic, J. Quackenbush, C. Wahlestedt, J. S. Mattick, D. A.
Hume, C. Kai, D. Sasaki, Y. Tomaru, S. Fukuda, M. Kanamori-Katayama, M. Suzuki, J.

820 Aoki, T. Arakawa, J. Iida, K. Imamura, M. Itoh, T. Kato, H. Kawaji, N. Kawagashira, T.
Kawashima, M. Kojima, S. Kondo, H. Konno, K. Nakano, N. Ninomiya, T. Nishio, M.

822 Okada, C. Plessy, K. Shibata, T. Shiraki, S. Suzuki, M. Tagami, K. Waki, A. Watahiki, Y.
Okamura-Oho, H. Suzuki, J. Kawai and Y. Hayashizaki (2005). "The transcriptional

824 landscape of the mammalian genome." Science **309**(5740): 1559-1563.

Charite, J., D. G. McFadden and E. N. Olson (2000). "The bHLH transcription factor

dHAND controls Sonic hedgehog expression and establishment of the zone of polarizing activity during limb development." Development **127**(11): 2461-2470.

Danesh, S. M., A. Villasenor, D. Chong, C. Soukup and O. Cleaver (2009). "BMP and BMP receptor expression during murine organogenesis." Gene Expr Patterns **9**(5): 255-265.

Daneshvar, K., J. V. Pondick, B. M. Kim, C. Zhou, S. R. York, J. A. Macklin, A.

Abualteen, B. Tan, A. A. Sigova, C. Marcho, K. D. Tremblay, J. Mager, M. Y. Choi and A. C. Mullen (2016). "DIGIT Is a Conserved Long Noncoding RNA that Regulates GSC Expression to Control Definitive Endoderm Differentiation of Embryonic Stem Cells." Cell Rep **17**(2): 353-365.

Derrien, T., R. Johnson, G. Bussotti, A. Tanzer, S. Djebali, H. Tilgner, G. Guernec, D. Martin, A. Merkel, D. G. Knowles, J. Lagarde, L. Veeravalli, X. Ruan, Y. Ruan, T.

Lassmann, P. Carninci, J. B. Brown, L. Lipovich, J. M. Gonzalez, M. Thomas, C. A. Davis, R. Shiekhata, T. R. Gingeras, T. J. Hubbard, C. Notredame, J. Harrow and R.

Guigo (2012). "The GENCODE v7 catalog of human long noncoding RNAs: analysis of their gene structure, evolution, and expression." Genome Res **22**(9): 1775-1789.

Devine, W. P., J. D. Wythe, M. George, K. Koshiba-Takeuchi and B. G. Bruneau (2014). "Early patterning and specification of cardiac progenitors in gastrulating mesoderm."

Elife **3**.

Diederichs, S. (2014). "The four dimensions of noncoding RNA conservation." Trends

Genet **30**(4): 121-123.

Diguet, N., S. A. J. Trammell, C. Tannous, R. Deloux, J. Piquereau, N. Mougenot, A.

848 Gouge, M. Gressette, B. Manoury, J. Blanc, M. Breton, J. F. Decaux, G. G. Lavery, I.

Baczko, J. Zoll, A. Garnier, Z. Li, C. Brenner and M. Mericskay (2018). "Nicotinamide

850 Riboside Preserves Cardiac Function in a Mouse Model of Dilated Cardiomyopathy."

Circulation **137**(21): 2256-2273.

852 Dixon, J. R., S. Selvaraj, F. Yue, A. Kim, Y. Li, Y. Shen, M. Hu, J. S. Liu and B. Ren

(2012). "Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of

854 chromatin interactions." Nature **485**(7398): 376-380.

Duan, Q., S. McMahon, P. Anand, H. Shah, S. Thomas, H. T. Salunga, Y. Huang, R.

856 Zhang, A. Sahadevan, M. E. Lemieux, J. D. Brown, D. Srivastava, J. E. Bradner, T. A.

McKinsey and S. M. Haldar (2017). "BET bromodomain inhibition suppresses innate

858 inflammatory and profibrotic transcriptional networks in heart failure." Science

translational medicine **9**(390): eaah5084.

860 Dunn, N. R., G. E. Winnier, L. K. Hargett, J. J. Schrick, A. B. Fogo and B. L. Hogan

(1997). "Haploinsufficient phenotypes in Bmp4 heterozygous null mice and modification

862 by mutations in Gli3 and Alx4." Dev Biol **188**(2): 235-247.

Fant, M., A. Farina, R. Nagaraja and D. Schlessinger (2010). "PLAC1 (Placenta-specific

864 1): a novel, X-linked gene with roles in reproductive and cancer biology." Prenat Diagn

30(6): 497-502.

866 Fickett, J. W. (1982). "Recognition of protein coding regions in DNA sequences."

Nucleic Acids Res **10**(17): 5303-5318.

868 Fu, Y., J. D. Sander, D. Reyon, V. M. Cascio and J. K. Joung (2014). "Improving
CRISPR-Cas nuclease specificity using truncated guide RNAs." Nat Biotechnol **32**(3):
870 279-284.

Goff, L. A., A. F. Groff, M. Sauvageau, Z. Traves-Gibson, D. B. Sanchez-Gomez, M.
872 Morse, R. D. Martin, L. E. Elcavage, S. C. Liapis, M. Gonzalez-Celeiro, O. Plana, E. Li,
C. Gerhardinger, G. S. Tomassy, P. Arlotta and J. L. Rinn (2015). "Spatiotemporal
874 expression and transcriptional perturbations by long noncoding RNAs in the mouse
brain." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **112**(22): 6855-6862.

876 Goldman, D. C., N. Donley and J. L. Christian (2009). "Genetic interaction between
Bmp2 and Bmp4 reveals shared functions during multiple aspects of mouse
878 organogenesis." Mech Dev **126**(3-4): 117-127.

Gore-Panter, S. R., J. Hsu, J. Barnard, C. S. Moravec, D. R. Van Wagoner, M. K.
880 Chung and J. D. Smith (2016). "PANCR, the PITX2 Adjacent Noncoding RNA, Is
Expressed in Human Left Atria and Regulates PITX2c Expression." Circ Arrhythm
882 Electrophysiol **9**(1): e003197.

Goudarzi, M., K. Berg, L. M. Pieper and A. F. Schier (2019). "Individual long non-coding
884 RNAs have no overt functions in zebrafish embryogenesis, viability and fertility." Elife **8**.

Grote, P., L. Wittler, D. Hendrix, F. Koch, S. Wahrisch, A. Beisaw, K. Macura, G. Blass,
886 M. Kellis, M. Werber and B. G. Herrmann (2013). "The tissue-specific lncRNA Fendrr is
an essential regulator of heart and body wall development in the mouse." Dev Cell
888 **24**(2): 206-214.

Guo, X., Y. Xu, Z. Wang, Y. Wu, J. Chen, G. Wang, C. Lu, W. Jia, J. Xi, S. Zhu, Z.

890 Jiapaer, X. Wan, Z. Liu, S. Gao and J. Kang (2018). "A Linc1405/Eomes Complex
Promotes Cardiac Mesoderm Specification and Cardiogenesis." Cell Stem Cell **22**(6):
892 893-908.e896.

Han, P., W. Li, C. H. Lin, J. Yang, C. Shang, S. T. Nuernberg, K. K. Jin, W. Xu, C. Y.

894 Lin, C. J. Lin, Y. Xiong, H. Chien, B. Zhou, E. Ashley, D. Bernstein, P. S. Chen, H. V.
Chen, T. Quertermous and C. P. Chang (2014). "A long noncoding RNA protects the
896 heart from pathological hypertrophy." Nature **514**(7520): 102-106.

Hon, C. C., J. A. Ramilowski, J. Harshbarger, N. Bertin, O. J. Rackham, J. Gough, E.

898 Denisenko, S. Schmeier, T. M. Poulsen, J. Severin, M. Lizio, H. Kawaji, T. Kasukawa,
M. Itoh, A. M. Burroughs, S. Noma, S. Djebali, T. Alam, Y. A. Medvedeva, A. C. Testa,
900 L. Lipovich, C. W. Yip, I. Abugessaisa, M. Mendez, A. Hasegawa, D. Tang, T.

Lassmann, P. Heutink, M. Babina, C. A. Wells, S. Kojima, Y. Nakamura, H. Suzuki, C.

902 O. Daub, M. J. de Hoon, E. Arner, Y. Hayashizaki, P. Carninci and A. R. Forrest (2017).
"An atlas of human long non-coding RNAs with accurate 5' ends." Nature **543**(7644):
904 199-204.

Hou, J., H. Long, C. Zhou, S. Zheng, H. Wu, T. Guo, Q. Wu, T. Zhong and T. Wang

906 (2017). "Long noncoding RNA Braveheart promotes cardiogenic differentiation of
mesenchymal stem cells in vitro." Stem Cell Res Ther **8**(1): 4.

908 Hutchinson, J. N., A. W. Ensminger, C. M. Clemson, C. R. Lynch, J. B. Lawrence and A.
Chess (2007). "A screen for nuclear transcripts identifies two linked noncoding RNAs

910 associated with SC35 splicing domains." BMC Genomics **8**: 39.

Jackman, S. M., X. Kong and M. E. Fant (2012). "Plac1 (placenta-specific 1) is essential
912 for normal placental and embryonic development." Mol Reprod Dev **79**(8): 564-572.

Jiao, K., H. Kulesa, K. Tompkins, Y. Zhou, L. Batts, H. S. Baldwin and B. L. M. Hogan
914 (2003). "An essential role of Bmp4 in the atrioventricular septation of the mouse heart."
Genes & Development **17**(19): 2362-2367.

Katayama, S., Y. Tomaru, T. Kasukawa, K. Waki, M. Nakanishi, M. Nakamura, H.
Nishida, C. C. Yap, M. Suzuki, J. Kawai, H. Suzuki, P. Carninci, Y. Hayashizaki, C.
918 Wells, M. Frith, T. Ravasi, K. C. Pang, J. Hallinan, J. Mattick, D. A. Hume, L. Lipovich,
S. Batalov, P. G. Engstrom, Y. Mizuno, M. A. Faghihi, A. Sandelin, A. M. Chalk, S.
920 Mottagui-Tabar, Z. Liang, B. Lenhard and C. Wahlestedt (2005). "Antisense
transcription in the mammalian transcriptome." Science **309**(5740): 1564-1566.

922 Kent, W. J. (2002). "BLAT--the BLAST-like alignment tool." Genome Res **12**(4): 656-
664.

924 Kino, T., D. E. Hurt, T. Ichijo, N. Nader and G. P. Chrousos (2010). "Noncoding RNA
gas5 is a growth arrest- and starvation-associated repressor of the glucocorticoid
926 receptor." Sci Signal **3**(107): ra8.

Klattenhoff, C. A., J. C. Scheuermann, L. E. Surface, R. K. Bradley, P. A. Fields, M. L.
928 Steinhauser, H. Ding, V. L. Butty, L. Torrey, S. Haas, R. Abo, M. Tabebordbar, R. T.
Lee, C. B. Burge and L. A. Boyer (2013). "Braveheart, a long noncoding RNA required
930 for cardiovascular lineage commitment." Cell **152**(3): 570-583.

Kurian, L., A. Aguirre, I. Sancho-Martinez, C. Benner, T. Hishida, T. B. Nguyen, P.

932 Reddy, E. Nivet, M. N. Krause, D. A. Nelles, C. R. Esteban, J. M. Campistol, G. W. Yeo

and J. C. I. Belmonte (2015). "Identification of novel long noncoding RNAs underlying
934 vertebrate cardiovascular development." Circulation **131**(14): 1278-1290.

Lai, K. M., G. Gong, A. Atanasio, J. Rojas, J. Quispe, J. Posca, D. White, M. Huang, D.
936 Fedorova, C. Grant, L. Miloscio, G. Droguett, W. T. Poueymirou, W. Auerbach, G. D.
Yancopoulos, D. Friendewey, J. Rinn and D. M. Valenzuela (2015). "Diverse
938 Phenotypes and Specific Transcription Patterns in Twenty Mouse Lines with Ablated
LincRNAs." PLoS One **10**(4): e0125522.

940 Lin, M. F., I. Jungreis and M. Kellis (2011). "PhyloCSF: a comparative genomics method
to distinguish protein coding and non-coding regions." Bioinformatics **27**(13): i275-i282.

942 Mann, M., P. R. Wright and R. Backofen (2017). "IntaRNA 2.0: enhanced and
customizable prediction of RNA-RNA interactions." Nucleic Acids Res **45**(W1): W435-
944 w439.

Martin, D., C. Pantoja, A. Fernandez Minan, C. Valdes-Quezada, E. Molto, F. Matesanz,
946 O. Bogdanovic, E. de la Calle-Mustienes, O. Dominguez, L. Taher, M. Furlan-Magaril,
A. Alcina, S. Canon, M. Fedetz, M. A. Blasco, P. S. Pereira, I. Ovcharenko, F. Recillas-
948 Targa, L. Montoliu, M. Manzanares, R. Guigo, M. Serrano, F. Casares and J. L. Gomez-
Skarmeta (2011). "Genome-wide CTCF distribution in vertebrates defines equivalent
950 sites that aid the identification of disease-associated genes." Nat Struct Mol Biol **18**(6):
708-714.

952 Marwick, T. H., T. C. Gillebert, G. Aurigemma, J. Chirinos, G. Derumeaux, M. Galderisi,
J. Gottdiener, B. Haluska, E. Ofili, P. Segers, R. Senior, R. J. Tapp and J. L. Zamorano
954 (2015). "Recommendations on the use of echocardiography in adult hypertension: a

report from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) and the

956 American Society of Echocardiography (ASE)dagger." Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging
16(6): 577-605.

958 Mattioli, K., P. J. Volders, C. Gerhardinger, J. C. Lee, P. G. Maass, M. Mele and J. L.
Rinn (2019). "High-throughput functional analysis of lncRNA core promoters elucidates
960 rules governing tissue specificity." Genome Res **29**(3): 344-355.

Nakagawa, S., J. Y. Ip, G. Shioi, V. Tripathi, X. Zong, T. Hirose and K. V. Prasanth
962 (2012). "Malat1 is not an essential component of nuclear speckles in mice." Rna **18**(8):
1487-1499.

964 Nakagawa, S., T. Naganuma, G. Shioi and T. Hirose (2011). "Paraspeckles are
subpopulation-specific nuclear bodies that are not essential in mice." J Cell Biol **193**(1):
966 31-39.

Nelson, B. R., C. A. Makarewich, D. M. Anderson, B. R. Winders, C. D. Troupes, F. Wu,
968 A. L. Reese, J. R. McAnally, X. Chen, E. T. Kavalali, S. C. Cannon, S. R. Houser, R.
Bassel-Duby and E. N. Olson (2016). "A peptide encoded by a transcript annotated as
970 long noncoding RNA enhances SERCA activity in muscle." Science **351**(6270): 271-
275.

972 Nora, E. P., B. R. Lajoie, E. G. Schulz, L. Giorgetti, I. Okamoto, N. Servant, T. Piolot, N.
L. van Berkum, J. Meisig, J. Sedat, J. Gribnau, E. Barillot, N. Bluthgen, J. Dekker and E.
974 Heard (2012). "Spatial partitioning of the regulatory landscape of the X-inactivation
centre." Nature **485**(7398): 381-385.

976 Ounzain, S., R. Micheletti, C. Arnan, I. Plaisance, D. Cecchi, B. Schroen, F. Reverter,
M. Alexanian, C. Gonzales, S. Y. Ng, G. Bussotti, I. Pezzuto, C. Notredame, S.

978 Heymans, R. Guigo, R. Johnson and T. Pedrazzini (2015). "CARMEN, a human super
enhancer-associated long noncoding RNA controlling cardiac specification,
980 differentiation and homeostasis." J Mol Cell Cardiol **89**(Pt A): 98-112.

Pauli, A., M. L. Norris, E. Valen, G.-L. Chew, J. A. Gagnon, S. Zimmerman, A. Mitchell,
982 J. Ma, J. Dubrulle, D. Reyon, S. Q. Tsai, J. K. Joung, A. Saghatelian and A. F. Schier
(2014). "Toddler: An Embryonic Signal That Promotes Cell Movement via Apelin
984 Receptors." Science (New York, N.Y.) **343**(6172): 1248636-1248636.

Perea-Gomez, A., W. Shawlot, H. Sasaki, R. R. Behringer and S. Ang (1999).

986 "HNF3beta and Lim1 interact in the visceral endoderm to regulate primitive streak
formation and anterior-posterior polarity in the mouse embryo." Development **126**(20):
988 4499-4511.

Perry, R. B. and I. Ulitsky (2016). "The functions of long noncoding RNAs in
990 development and stem cells." Development **143**(21): 3882-3894.

Quinn, J. J. and H. Y. Chang (2016). "Unique features of long non-coding RNA
992 biogenesis and function." Nat Rev Genet **17**(1): 47-62.

R-Core-Team (2017) "R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
994 Foundation for Statistical Computing."

Ramos, A. D., R. E. Andersen, S. J. Liu, T. J. Nowakowski, S. J. Hong, C. Gertz, R. D.

996 Salinas, H. Zarabi, A. R. Kriegstein and D. A. Lim (2015). "The long noncoding RNA

Pnky regulates neuronal differentiation of embryonic and postnatal neural stem cells."

998 Cell Stem Cell **16**(4): 439-447.

Ritter, N., T. Ali, N. Kopitchinski, P. Schuster, A. Beisaw, D. A. Hendrix, M. H. Schulz,

1000 M. Muller-McNicoll, S. Dimmeler and P. Grote (2019). "The lncRNA Locus Handsdown
Regulates Cardiac Gene Programs and Is Essential for Early Mouse Development."

1002 Dev Cell.

Roberts, K. A., V. E. Abaira, A. F. Tucker, L. V. Goodrich and N. C. Andrews (2012).

1004 "Mutation of Rubie, a novel long non-coding RNA located upstream of Bmp4, causes
vestibular malformation in mice." PLoS One **7**(1): e29495.

1006 Sasaki, Y. T., T. Ideue, M. Sano, T. Mituyama and T. Hirose (2009). "MENepsilon/beta
noncoding RNAs are essential for structural integrity of nuclear paraspeckles." Proc Natl

1008 Acad Sci U S A **106**(8): 2525-2530.

Sati, S., S. Ghosh, V. Jain, V. Scaria and S. Sengupta (2012). "Genome-wide analysis

1010 reveals distinct patterns of epigenetic features in long non-coding RNA loci." Nucleic
Acids Res **40**(20): 10018-10031.

1012 Sauvageau, M., L. A. Goff, S. Lodato, B. Bonev, A. F. Groff, C. Gerhardinger, D. B.

Sanchez-Gomez, E. Hacisuleyman, E. Li, M. Spence, S. C. Liapis, W. Mallard, M.

1014 Morse, M. R. Swerdel, M. F. D'Ecclessis, J. C. Moore, V. Lai, G. Gong, G. D.

Yancopoulos, D. Friendewey, M. Kellis, R. P. Hart, D. M. Valenzuela, P. Arlotta and J. L.

1016 Rinn (2013). "Multiple knockout mouse models reveal lincRNAs are required for life and
brain development." Elife **2**: e01749.

- 1018 Shen, X., B. Soibam, A. Benham, X. Xu, M. Chopra, X. Peng, W. Yu, W. Bao, R. Liang,
A. Azares, P. Liu, P. H. Gunaratne, M. Mercola, A. J. Cooney, R. J. Schwartz and Y. Liu
1020 (2016). "miR-322/-503 cluster is expressed in the earliest cardiac progenitor cells and
drives cardiomyocyte specification." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
1022 **113**(34): 9551-9556.
- Srivastava, D., T. Thomas, Q. Lin, M. L. Kirby, D. Brown and E. N. Olson (1997).
1024 "Regulation of cardiac mesodermal and neural crest development by the bHLH
transcription factor, dHAND." Nat Genet **16**(2): 154-160.
- 1026 Sun, L., H. Luo, D. Bu, G. Zhao, K. Yu, C. Zhang, Y. Liu, R. Chen and Y. Zhao (2013).
"Utilizing sequence intrinsic composition to classify protein-coding and long non-coding
1028 transcripts." Nucleic Acids Research **41**(17): e166-e166.
- Trapnell, C., B. A. Williams, G. Pertea, A. Mortazavi, G. Kwan, M. J. van Baren, S. L.
1030 Salzberg, B. J. Wold and L. Pachter (2010). "Transcript assembly and quantification by
RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell
1032 differentiation." Nat Biotechnol **28**(5): 511-515.
- van Wely, K. H., A. C. Molijn, A. Buijs, M. A. Meester-Smoor, A. J. Aarnoudse, A.
1034 Hellemons, P. den Besten, G. C. Grosveld and E. C. Zwarthoff (2003). "The MN1
oncoprotein synergizes with coactivators RAC3 and p300 in RAR-RXR-mediated
1036 transcription." Oncogene **22**(5): 699-709.
- Wamstad, J. A., J. M. Alexander, R. M. Truty, A. Shrikumar, F. Li, K. E. Eilertson, H.
1038 Ding, J. N. Wylie, A. R. Pico, J. A. Capra, G. Erwin, S. J. Kattman, G. M. Keller, D.
Srivastava, S. S. Levine, K. S. Pollard, A. K. Holloway, L. A. Boyer and B. G. Bruneau

1040 (2012). "Dynamic and coordinated epigenetic regulation of developmental transitions in
the cardiac lineage." Cell **151**(1): 206-220.

1042 Wang, L., H. J. Park, S. Dasari, S. Wang, J. P. Kocher and W. Li (2013). "CPAT:
Coding-Potential Assessment Tool using an alignment-free logistic regression model."
1044 Nucleic Acids Res **41**(6): e74.

Wickham, H. (2016). "ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis." Springer-Verlag
1046 New York.

Wong, G. K., D. A. Passey and J. Yu (2001). "Most of the human genome is
1048 transcribed." Genome Res **11**(12): 1975-1977.

Wozney, J. M., V. Rosen, A. J. Celeste, L. M. Mitsock, M. J. Whitters, R. W. Kriz, R. M.
1050 Hewick and E. A. Wang (1988). "Novel regulators of bone formation: molecular clones
and activities." Science **242**(4885): 1528-1534.

1052 Xie, C., J. Yuan, H. Li, M. Li, G. Zhao, D. Bu, W. Zhu, W. Wu, R. Chen and Y. Zhao
(2014). "NONCODEv4: exploring the world of long non-coding RNA genes." Nucleic
1054 Acids Research **42**(Database issue): D98-D103.

Xu, C., Y. Zhang, Q. Wang, Z. Xu, J. Jiang, Y. Gao, M. Gao, J. Kang, M. Wu, J. Xiong,
1056 K. Ji, W. Yuan, Y. Wang and H. Liu (2016). "Long non-coding RNA GAS5 controls
human embryonic stem cell self-renewal by maintaining NODAL signalling." Nat
1058 Commun **7**: 13287.

Yang, H., H. Wang and R. Jaenisch (2014). "Generating genetically modified mice using
1060 CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering." Nat Protoc **9**(8): 1956-1968.

Zhang, B., G. Arun, Y. S. Mao, Z. Lazar, G. Hung, G. Bhattacharjee, X. Xiao, C. J.

1062 Booth, J. Wu, C. Zhang and D. L. Spector (2012). "The lncRNA Malat1 is dispensable
for mouse development but its transcription plays a cis-regulatory role in the adult." Cell
1064 Rep **2**(1): 111-123.

Zhang, X., J. G. Abreu, C. Yokota, B. T. MacDonald, S. Singh, K. L. Coburn, S. M.

1066 Cheong, M. M. Zhang, Q. Z. Ye, H. C. Hang, H. Steen and X. He (2012). "Tiki1 is
required for head formation via Wnt cleavage-oxidation and inactivation." Cell **149**(7):
1068 1565-1577.

1070

Figure 1

A

B

- lincRNA Criteria***
1. Noncode v4 annotated
 2. lincRNA TSS > 1kb from protein coding TSS
 3. Splices > 1 (discrete from nearby genes)
 4. FPKM < 0.5 in ESCs
 5. FPKM > 1.0 in cardiac progenitors
 6. Promoter H3K4me3 in cardiac progenitors
 7. Promoter H3K27Ac in cardiac progenitors

Annotated Name	Short Name	Location (mm9)	Neighbor
Gm15219	<i>Rubie</i>	chr14:47188052-47189230	<i>Bmp4</i>
5033428I22Rik	<i>Handlr*</i>	chr8:59810914-59819479	<i>Hand2</i>
2310050B05Rik	<i>Atcayos</i>	chr10:80657435-80673622	<i>Nmrk2</i>
Gm12829	<i>HrtLincR4*</i>	chr4:114353409-114360200	<i>Trabd2b</i>
E130006D01Rik	<i>HrtLincR5*</i>	chr5:112163303-112190748	<i>Mn1</i>
C430049B03Rik	<i>HrtLincRX*</i>	chrX:50406289-50410367	<i>Plac1</i>
5033406O09Rik	-	chr11:120046713-120050065	-
9630002D21Rik	-	chr12:113180201-113182695	-
2810410L24Rik	-	chr12:72678951-72687808	-

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Supplemental Figure S1

Supplemental Figure S2

1086

Supplemental Figure S3

Supplemental Figure S4

Supplemental Figure S6

Supplemental Figure S7

Supplemental Figure S8

Supplemental Table S1. RT-qPCR primers

Target	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer	Product Size (bp)
<i>Handlr</i>	CTGTGGGTCTCAGTAGGGAC	TTTTGATTTTCCCCGCCCTG	101
<i>Rubie</i>	CTGCTTCCTCCTCTTCGTGT	CCAAATGCTTCAGTTCCCCT	90
<i>Atcayos</i>	CCCAGTCGTTGAAGAGGAGT	TTCACCTCCCACCCCATCTC	126
<i>HrtLincR4</i>	TCTACAACCACAGTCAGCCC	CTGAGATATCCCCGCCTGAG	113
<i>HrtLincR5</i>	CCAGCAGCACCAACCAATA	AGACGGTGGACCCTTTTCTT	94
<i>HrtLincRX</i>	CTTAAGGAGTGGGGCTGTTAT	AATGATCCAGTGCTAACATATGC	78
<i>Hand2</i>	CAGCTACATCGCCTACCTCA	CTCCTCTTTCACGTCGGTCT	103
<i>Bmp4</i>	AGCCAACACTGTGAGGAGTT	GGATGCTGCTGAGGTTGAAG	104
<i>Nmrk2</i>	GAAGACCACCCTGACCAACA	CCCCTGTTTAAAGCCGTCC	122
<i>Trabd2b</i>	TCGAGGACAGCACTACGATC	CTGGAGTTGGTGTGAGGACT	101
<i>Mn1</i>	TGCCAGAACATGATTGCCAG	GCTCAGTTTCCTCTTCCCCT	90
<i>Plac1</i>	TACCAGTTTCACTACCGCGT	ACAGGACACGGGAATCACAT	135
<i>Neat1</i>	CTGCACTGTAGATCGGGACC	CTTCCCCAACACCCACAAG	117

1100

1102 Supplemental Table S2. *in situ* hybridization probe primers

Target	Fwd Primer	Rev Primer
<i>Handlr</i>	GACTTGGATCTGTCTCGCCT	TCTGTCCCCGGAGTGTAGTA
<i>Rubie</i>	CTCCCTGCTTCCTCCTCTTC	GTCCTGGTCATGGTCTGTCA
<i>Atcayos</i>	GAGATGGGGTGGGAAGTGAA	ACCTTTACCTGCTGAGCCAT
<i>HrtLincR4</i>	ACCGAGAGACAGCAGTTGAA	CCGCGAGTCCCTTTGTAATG
<i>HrtLincR5</i>	TATGGGGATGGGAAGTCTCAGC	AGGATGAACGGAGAAGCACA
<i>HrtLincRX</i>	ACCGCTCCAACCCGATTC	GCTCCCACTGTTGCTTTTCA
<i>5033406O09Rik</i>	CCCACTCTCTGCTGATCCAA	AGCCCCGTTTCTTCTTCTGA
<i>9630002D21Rik</i>	AGGATGAACGGAGAAGCACA	GCCTTCTTCCTCCTCTGGTT
<i>2810410L24Rik</i>	CTCACATCCTGTTCTGGGGT	AACATCCCGTGCTGTAAAGC

1104

Supplemental Table S3. tru-sgRNA oligomers

Target	Left Cut	Right Cut
<i>Handlr</i>	AATGATGGTCATCCACCG TTAAAAAGAAGGCCCCCGG	CCTAATAAGATGCCTGAC CCTTCTCATTGAAACCCC
<i>Rubie</i>	GGGCTAAATAACCCATTA	GATTAATTCATGCCCCCGG
<i>Atcayos</i>	CAACCCGCTTTAAACTCC CTCATAACTTGGGGTGTC	GACCAGCATCAGAGTCAC ACCCGCCTGGTGAGCCGA
<i>HrtLincR4</i>	GGTTCAGGCAGGAATAC	GGGGACAGCCTTTACAA
<i>HrtLincR5</i>	GTGATAGACCACTTCTTG	GCGCCCCATCCGTATGC
<i>HrtLincRX</i>	GGCCATTTTGACCCGTCG	GGCGGTCCACTTTAGGGG

1106

1108 Supplemental Table S4. Genotyping primers

Locus	Fwd Primer	Rev Primer	WT band size (bp)	KO band size (bp)
<i>Handlr</i>	TGGATCCAACTAACCTAATGCTT	ACGCGCAGGAAAGGTAAAT CCGCATTAGTTTCCCTTCCC	600	400
<i>Rubie</i>	CCTGGTTGCCGTCATTACTG	GTGTATGTGGGCAGAGAGGT AGTCACTGTTTCGCATGAGA	617	680
<i>Atcayos</i>	TGACGACAGCTGATAGGGC	TGCTTGCCCTAGTATGGTCA AGTTCCATCTTCAAGGCCCT	443	280
<i>HrtLincR4</i>	ATCTTGGGGCCATCTCCAAA	GACAATTGGCTGTGGTGGTT TTTCTCTGGACCCGTGTGAG	448	500
<i>HrtLincR5</i>	GTAAAGGCTCTTGTACACAGGG	TTTTCCTTGCAACTCCCAGC TAACTAGAGGGAGGGGAGGG	255	180
<i>HrtLincRX</i>	AGGAGAGAGAAAAGCCGTGT	CGCGGTGCAATGAAAGACTA GGGCCACTCATTTGTAAGCA	784	855
<i>Bmp4</i> (loxP)	GAGCTAAGTTTTGCTGGTTTGC	GCCCATGAGCTTTTCTGAGA	200	250 (loxP)
<i>Cre</i> <i>Transgene</i>	ATGCTTCTGTCCGTTTGCCG	CCTGTTTTGCACGTTACCG	280	
<i>Hand2</i> (loxP)	AAAGAGTCAGTGGTTCTCATCC GAAGTTCCTATTCTCTAGAAA	AATCTAACCCAACCCCTCGC	600	634 (loxP) 300 (KO)

1110

Supplemental Table S5. *Handlr* KO TAC echocardiography

Time Point	<i>Handlr</i> ^{+/+}					<i>Handlr</i> ^{-/-}				
	Baseline	Week 1	Week 4	Week 6	Week 8	Baseline	Week 1	Week 4	Week 6	Week 8
ENDOarea;d (mm ²)	16.21±0.71	16.26±1.19	16.65±1.42	16.19±1.58	17.35±1.63	14.00±0.70	14.63±0.29	15.39±1.16	15.65±0.49	16.05±1.09
ENDOarea;s (mm ²)	10.07±0.45	11.82±1.15	12.59±1.10	12.30±1.17	13.35±1.36	7.51±0.53	9.72±0.35	10.68±1.25	11.38±0.27	12.45±1.04
IVS;d (mm)	0.64±0.04	1.02±0.05	0.90±0.06	1.12±0.06	1.20±0.08	0.63±0.04	0.93±0.04	1.13±0.04	1.05±0.07	1.29±0.06
IVS;s (mm)	0.96±0.04	1.38±0.04	1.16±0.08	1.25±0.05	1.39±0.05	0.91±0.02	1.28±0.07	1.33±0.06	1.28±0.05	1.46±0.11
LVID;d (mm)	4.54±0.10	4.38±0.16	4.65±0.19	4.58±0.24	4.68±0.19	4.19±0.09	4.25±0.04	4.49±0.18	4.57±0.06	4.43±0.13
LVPW;d (mm)	0.66±0.05	1.00±0.05	1.03±0.11	1.22±0.07	1.13±0.04	0.61±0.04	0.89±0.09	0.93±0.09	1.03±0.05	1.11±0.05
LVPW;s (mm)	0.82±0.06	0.97±0.06	1.26±0.10	1.52±0.07	1.37±0.07	0.87±0.05	1.09±0.12	1.32±0.22	1.37±0.12	1.25±0.06
Doppler (mm/s)		-3665±206					-3671±209			
HR (bpm)	511.23±16.33	513.64±11.34	512.55±28.10	506.11±26.13	545.55±29.02	470.08±12.68	493.20±21.93	492.34±24.46	476.12±30.90	498.91±19.11
Body Weight (g)	28.30±0.76	26.70±0.41	28.57±0.70	29.87±0.73	31.00±0.53	28.70±1.49	27.96±1.74	28.44±1.19	30.00±1.52	29.60±1.03
LV Mass (mg)	110.68±6.06	191.11±17.75	198.85±27.89	252.14±24.46	257.60±24.23	90.33±4.89	156.06±12.16	201.37±11.15	209.86±11.13	245.80±19.90
FAC (%)	37.78±1.55	27.93±3.16	24.25±2.52	23.85±1.96	23.38±1.37	46.50±1.70	33.50±2.68	31.45±4.94	27.06±2.83	22.50±3.65

1116 Supplemental Table S6. *Atcayos* KO TAC echocardiography

1118

Time Point	<i>Atcayos</i> ^{+/+}					<i>Atcayos</i> ^{-/-}				
	Baseline	Week 1	Week 4	Week 6	Week 8	Baseline	Week 1	Week 4	Week 6	Week 8
ENDOarea;d (mm ²)	12.95±0.65	15.66±1.10	14.89±0.89	15.09±1.57	16.15±1.51	14.95±1.70	15.21±0.94	14.44±0.97	14.17±1.26	15.74±1.20
ENDOarea;s (mm ²)	8.11±0.43	10.15±0.94	10.71±0.84	11.02±1.52	12.47±1.38	8.90±0.86	9.95±0.81	10.22±0.87	10.65±1.25	12.27±1.30
IVS;d (mm)	0.77±0.03	1.05±0.06	1.08±0.05	1.03±0.04	1.08±0.05	0.62±0.04	0.90±0.09	1.01±0.04	0.99±0.05	1.14±0.07
IVS;s (mm)	1.01±0.06	1.42±0.07	1.32±0.06	1.30±0.04	1.39±0.06	0.83±0.04	1.33±0.05	1.25±0.07	1.18±0.05	1.31±0.05
LVID;d (mm)	4.01±0.09	4.32±0.15	4.47±0.14	4.47±0.19	4.45±0.20	4.25±0.09	4.22±0.15	4.33±0.16	4.24±0.17	4.52±0.16
LVPW;d (mm)	0.82±0.04	1.05±0.05	1.09±0.04	1.17±0.07	1.21±0.06	0.60±0.04	0.93±0.05	1.14±0.04	1.21±0.09	1.12±0.07
LVPW;s (mm)	0.99±0.06	1.24±0.06	1.36±0.06	1.36±0.03	1.37±0.05	0.77±0.05	1.14±0.07	1.35±0.06	1.35±0.08	1.33±0.05
Doppler (mm/s)		-3457±174					-3509±210			
HR (bpm)	485.01±22.77	513.39±13.83	520.69±15.65	510.88±18.73	538.68±13.28	451.29±34.10	515.49±7.01	555.15±17.93	513.05±19.75	527.46±29.90
Body Weight (g)	28.37±0.77	27.40±0.51	28.50±0.74	29.31±0.59	29.44±0.47	27.36±0.81	26.41±0.63	27.26±0.74	28.31±0.82	29.29±0.84
LV Mass (mg)	117.88±7.48	195.39±16.13	216.98±14.07	221.22±19.53	234.12±20.87	91.61±3.64	154.58±11.35	202.07±11.93	203.23±18.00	234.41±22.46
FAC (%)	37.41±0.66	35.60±1.93	29.18±2.09	27.98±2.40	23.47±2.09	40.13±1.73	34.66±2.63	29.59±2.08	25.66±3.29	22.75±3.03