

1

1 **Association between breastfeeding and DNA**
2 **methylation over the life course: findings from the Avon**
3 **Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC)**

4

5 Fernando Pires Hartwig^{1,2*}; fernandophartwig@gmail.com

6 George Davey Smith²; kz.davey-smith@bristol.ac.uk

7 Andrew J Simpkin^{2,3}; andrew.simpkin@bristol.ac.uk

8 Cesar Gomes Victora¹; cvictora@gmail.com

9 Caroline L. Relton²; caroline.relton@bristol.ac.uk

10 Doretta Caramaschi²; d.caramaschi@bristol.ac.uk

11

12 ¹Postgraduate Programme in Epidemiology, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas,

13 Brazil

14 ²MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Population Health Science,

15 Bristol Medical School, Bristol, United Kingdom.

16 ³Insight Centre for Data Analytics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland.

17

18 *Corresponding author.

19 **Abstract**

20 **Background:** Breastfeeding is associated with short and long-term health benefits.
21 Long-term effects might be mediated by epigenetic mechanisms, yet a recent
22 systematic review indicated that the literature on this topic is scarce. We performed
23 the first epigenome-wide association study of infant feeding, comparing breastfed vs
24 non-breastfed children. We measured DNA methylation in children from peripheral
25 blood collected in childhood (age 7, N=640) and adolescence (age 15-17, N=709) within
26 the Accessible Resource for Integrated Epigenomic Studies (ARIES) project, part of the
27 larger Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) cohort. Cord blood
28 methylation (N=702) was used as a negative control for potential pre-natal residual
29 confounding.

30 **Results:** Two differentially-methylated sites presented directionally-consistent
31 associations with breastfeeding at ages 7 and 15-17, but not at birth. Twelve
32 differentially-methylated regions in relation to breastfeeding were identified, and for
33 three of them there was evidence of directional concordance between ages 7 and 15-
34 17, but not between birth and age 7.

35 **Conclusions:** Our findings indicate that DNA methylation in childhood and adolescence
36 may be predicted by breastfeeding, but further studies with sufficiently large samples
37 for replication are required to identify robust associations.

38
39 **Keywords:** Breastfeeding; Life-course; DNA methylation; Epigenome-wide association
40 study.

41 **Background**

42 Breastfeeding has clear short-term health benefits, particularly in reducing the risk of
43 infections in childhood. Accumulating evidence indicates that breastfeeding may also
44 have long-term effects on health outcomes and human capital, as well as benefit
45 maternal health [1]. For example, being breastfed has been associated with better
46 performance in intelligence quotient (IQ) tests in a meta-analysis based on a
47 systematic literature review [2], in population-based birth cohorts with different
48 confounding structures [3], and in the single large randomized controlled trial on this
49 subject [4].

50 The mechanisms underlying the long-term effects of breastfeeding are not fully
51 understood. Such mechanisms clearly must persist over time after weaning – in other
52 words, become “imprinted” in the organism [5]. In the case of other early-life
53 exposures such as maternal smoking during pregnancy, there is evidence of long-term
54 associations with offspring DNA methylation [6] – i.e., addition of a methyl ($-CH_3$)
55 group to DNA at the 5' position of a cytosine base, typically in cytosine-guanine (CpG)
56 dinucleotides located in DNA sequences called CpG islands, which are rich in CpG
57 dinucleotides [7, 8]. DNA methylation is one type of a broader class of biological
58 processes known as epigenetics, which encompasses mitotically heritable events –
59 other than changes in the DNA sequence itself – involved in gene expression
60 regulation. Epigenetic processes play a key role in developmental processes [9, 10],
61 and have more recently been linked to disease processes [11, 12, 13, 14], although
62 causal claims have been overstated in many cases [15].

63 Some evidence suggests that breastfeeding might influence DNA methylation through
64 the effects of some of its nutritional components [16] or through the microbiome,
65 which is shaped by early feeding habits [17]. However, according to a recent
66 systematic literature review [18], the overall evidence on the potential effects of
67 breastfeeding on DNA methylation is scarce. Our aim was to perform a genome-wide
68 assessment of the association between breastfeeding and DNA methylation in
69 childhood, characterise – if present – the pattern of this association and investigate
70 whether it persists until adolescence in a population-based study in England.

71 **Methods**

72 **Study setting and participants**

73 Study subjects were part of the Accessible Resource for Integrated Epigenomic Studies
74 (ARIES) [19], a sub-sample of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children
75 (ALSPAC) for which methylation data were collected. ALSPAC is a population-based,
76 prospective birth cohort of women and their children [20, 21, 22]. All pregnant women
77 living in the geographical area of Avon (UK) with expected delivery date between 1
78 April 1991 and 31 December 1992 were invited to participate. Approximately 85% of
79 the eligible population was enrolled, totalling 14,541 pregnant women who gave
80 informed and written consent. Information on the data collection and availability can
81 be found at <http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary/>.
82 Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law
83 Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees.

84 Our analysis was focused on the offspring born in 1991-1992. The analyses were
85 restricted to singletons or only to one participant out of a twin pair, selected at
86 random. Individuals with missing information for the exposure, outcome or covariates
87 (described below) were excluded.

88 **Study variables**

89 **DNA methylation**

90 DNA methylation in white blood cells was measured in ARIES offspring at three time
91 points: at birth (cord blood), and at 7 and 15-17 years of age (peripheral blood). DNA
92 samples underwent bisulphite conversion using the Zymo EZ DNA methylation™ kit
93 (Zymo, Irvine, CA). The Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip was used for
94 genome-wide epigenotyping. The arrays were scanned using an Illumina iScan, and
95 initial quality checks performed using GenomeStudio version 2011.1. We excluded
96 single nucleotide polymorphisms, probes with a high detection P-value (ie, P-
97 value>0.05 in more than 5% samples) and sex chromosomes. Methylation data
98 normalisation was carried out using the “Tost” algorithm to minimise non-biological
99 between-probe differences [23], as implemented in the “watermelon” R package [24].
100 All processing steps used the “meffil” R package[25].

101 The outcome variables of this study were cord and peripheral blood (ages 7 and 15)
102 DNA methylation levels in ~470,000 CpG sites. Methylation was analysed as beta
103 values, which vary from 0 to 1 and indicate the proportion of cells methylated at a
104 particular CpG [26]. Regression coefficients and standard errors were multiplied by

105 100, so that they can be interpreted as percent point differences in average DNA
106 methylation at a given CpG site.

107 **Breastfeeding**

108 Breastfeeding data were collected through questionnaires answered by the mothers
109 when their offspring were (on average) four weeks, six months and 15 months old, and
110 combined into four different breastfeeding categorisations:

- 111 • A binary indicator of whether the individual was ever breastfed (regardless of
112 duration).
- 113 • Breastfeeding duration groups, defined as follows: 0=never breastfed; 1=1 day to 3
114 months of duration; 2=3.01 to 6 months; 3=6.01 to 12 months; and 4=more than 12
115 months.
- 116 • Same as the above, but coding each category as a number and treating this as a
117 continuous variable, thus assuming a linear trend per unit increase in duration
118 category.
- 119 • Breastfeeding duration in months, as a continuous variable, thus assuming a linear
120 trend per month increase in breastfeeding duration.

121 **Covariates**

122 Covariates were selected mostly based on a conceptual model encoded in the form of
123 a directed acyclic graph (DAG) that we defined previously [18]. The following
124 covariates were used:

- 125 • Sociodemographic: an indicator of whether the participant had white ethnic
126 background (informed by mothers at 32 weeks of gestation), and the top two
127 ancestry-informative principal components estimated using genome-wide
128 genotyping data [27].

- 129 • Family socioeconomic position: to avoid collinearity issues, we used only the
130 mother's highest educational qualification (informed by the mothers themselves at
131 32 weeks of gestation).

- 132 • Maternal characteristics: parity (informed by the mothers at 18 weeks of gestation),
133 height, pre-pregnancy weight (informed by the mothers themselves at 12 weeks of
134 gestation), age at birth (calculated from mother's date of birth and date of delivery)
135 and folic acid supplementation (informed by the mothers at 18 and 32 weeks of
136 gestation).

- 137 • Gestational characteristics: maternal smoking during pregnancy (informed by the
138 mothers at 18 weeks of gestation), type of delivery (informed by the mothers when
139 their offspring were eight weeks old), gestational age (calculated from the date of
140 the mother's last menstrual period reported at enrolment; when the mother was
141 uncertain of this or when it conflicted with clinical assessment, the ultrasound
142 assessment was used; where maternal report and ultrasound assessment
143 conflicted, an experienced obstetrician reviewed clinical records and provided an
144 estimate) and birthweight (from obstetric data, measures from the ALSPAC team
145 and notifications or clinical records).

146 Although not included in the DAG, participant's sex and age at blood collection were
147 also selected as covariates. Given that they are associated with DNA methylation but
148 are not influenced by breastfeeding, adjusting for those two covariates may improve
149 power by reducing variance in DNA methylation. We also adjusted for estimated cell
150 counts using Bakulski's [28] (for cord blood) or Houseman's (for peripheral blood) [29]
151 methods to account for methylation differences due to cell composition. Finally, a
152 surrogate variable analysis was performed on the methylation data using the "sva" R
153 package, and the surrogate variables not associated with breastfeeding were
154 additionally included as covariates to adjust for batch effects [30].

155 **Statistical analyses**

156 We conducted an epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) of any reported
157 breastfeeding (including mixed breast- and formula-feeding and in combination with
158 other foods). The main EWAS analyses considered breastfeeding as the exposure in
159 two categorisations: i) none vs. any; ii) duration categories, assuming a linear trend.
160 We opted for this categorisation rather than the continuous breastfeeding variable
161 because the latter is likely prone to substantial measurement error and digit
162 preference in the self-reported months of duration. Moreover, assuming a linear effect
163 over the entire range of breastfeeding duration (which entails assuming, for example
164 that the effect of changing from 0 to 1 month is the same as the effect of changing
165 from 15 to 16 months) seems less plausible than a linear trend over duration
166 categories (which entails assuming, for example, that the effect of changing from 0-3
167 to 3.01-6 months is the same as the effect of changing from 6.01-12 to >12 months).

168 The outcome was DNA methylation measured at ~470,000 CpG sites in peripheral
169 blood at the age of 7 years. The association of methylation at CpGs at age 7 with
170 suggestive evidence, here defined as achieving a P-value $< 5.0 \times 10^{-6}$, and breastfeeding
171 was further analysed using additional categorisations of the exposure (breastfeeding).
172 We also investigated whether the signal persisted over time by analysing the
173 association of CpG methylation at age 15-17 and breastfeeding (ever vs never and
174 duration categories). Cord blood methylation was analysed as a negative control [31],
175 under the assumption that at least some of possible pre-natal residual confounding
176 would result in associations between breastfeeding and cord blood methylation. Two
177 analysis models were performed on the subjects with complete covariate data
178 available (N=702): i) adjusting only for estimated cell composition and batch effects,
179 and ii) adjusting for all covariates. These models are hereafter referred to as minimally-
180 adjusted and fully-adjusted, respectively. All analyses were performed using
181 heteroskedasticity-consistent standard errors, implemented using the “lmtest”,
182 “MASS” and “sandwich” R packages.

183 The EWAS results were further used to identify differentially methylated regions
184 (DMRs) in relation to breastfeeding. DMRs were identified using the Comb-P method,
185 which tags regions enriched for low P-values while accounting for auto-correlation and
186 multiple testing [32, 33]. Following the criteria used by Sharp et al. [34], a region was
187 classified as a DMR if: i) it contained at least two CpGs; ii) all CpGs in the region are
188 within 1000 bp of at least another CpG in the same region; and iii) the auto-correlation
189 and multiple-testing corrected (upon applying Stouffer-Liptak-Kechris and Sidak
190 methods, respectively) P-value for the region was < 0.05 . The CpGs belonging to the
191 identified DMRs were analysed further to assess if breastfeeding had a consistent

192 effect across the DMR (ie, if CpGs in the DMR generally presented greater or lower
193 levels of methylation according to breastfeeding) using linear mixed models to account
194 for the correlation between CpGs assuming that they are nested within individuals.
195 Therefore, each CpG in a given DMR was treated as a repeated measure of DNA
196 methylation, and the regression coefficient indicates the average difference in DNA
197 methylation levels comparing breastfed and never breastfed individuals, averaging
198 across all CpGs in the DMR. This was implemented using the “nlme” R package. This
199 was complemented by evaluating, for each DMR, the directional consistency of each
200 CpG across time points using a sign test. Analyses were performed using R 3.4 (R Core
201 Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
202 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org>).

203 **Results**

204 **Description of study participants**

205 Supplementary Table 1 displays the characteristics of the study participants. There
206 were 702 (birth), 640 (age 7) and 709 (age 15-17) individuals with non-missing
207 information for all study variables (corresponding to approximately 70% of all ARIES
208 participants). In general, the subset included in our analysis was similar to the entire
209 ARIES dataset. The largest differences were observed for maternal education at birth
210 (with the mothers of included individuals having slightly higher educational
211 attainment) and ethnicity (with the proportion of individuals of white ethnic
212 background being slightly higher in the included individuals). Previous analyses
213 indicated that ARIES is reasonably representative of the entire ALSPAC cohort [19].

214 **Association of breastfeeding with single CpG sites**

215 Figures 1 and 2 provide an overall view of the EWAS results. There was no strong
216 indication of genome-wide inflation for breastfeeding analysed in duration categories,
217 assuming a linear trend (genomic inflation factor of 0.97), but there was some
218 indication for the “ever breastfeeding” variable (genomic inflation factor of 1.10).
219 Importantly, the bulk of the distribution closely resembled the expected under the
220 null, with the deviation occurring in the right tail of the distribution of P-values. This
221 may be due to breastfeeding having small effects on DNA methylation (in which case
222 detection would require larger samples) in many regions of the genome, rather than
223 due to the presence of systematic bias in the results.

224 Regarding ever breastfeeding, no CpGs achieved the conventional threshold of
225 $FDR < 0.05$ (which approximately corresponds to a P-value of 1.0×10^{-7}) in the minimally-
226 adjusted model, although a few achieved $FDR < 0.20$ (which approximately corresponds
227 to a P-value of 1.0×10^{-6}). In the fully-adjusted model (Table 1), one CpG (cg11414913)
228 achieved $FDR < 0.05$, and there was suggestive evidence of association for six additional
229 sites (cg00234095, cg04722177, cg03945777, cg17052885, cg05800082 and
230 cg24134845; see Supplementary Table 2 for a description of those CpGs). The results
231 for breastfeeding coded as a categorical variable in duration categories (assuming a
232 linear trend) were null, with no CpGs achieving even suggestive levels of association.
233 This suggests that, if breastfeeding is associated with peripheral blood DNA
234 methylation, the association depends more on whether or not the individual was ever
235 breastfed than breastfeeding duration.

236 Table 1 shows that methylation in the cg11414913 CpG was 3.2 percent points lower
237 ($P=5.2 \times 10^{-8}$) in ever breastfed children. There was also suggestive evidence for an
238 association between breastfeeding and lower methylation in the cg00234095 ($\beta=-1.7$;
239 $P=4.9 \times 10^{-7}$), cg04722177 ($\beta=-2.9$; 2.7×10^{-6}), and cg03945777 ($\beta=-0.8$; $P=3.2 \times 10^{-6}$) sites,
240 and for higher methylation in the cg17052885 ($\beta=1.8$; $P=4.9 \times 10^{-6}$), cg05800082 ($\beta=1.1$;
241 $P=5.8 \times 10^{-6}$), and cg24134845 ($\beta=0.2$; $P=3.3 \times 10^{-5}$) sites. The evidence of an association
242 was greatly attenuated when breastfeeding was analysed continuously (in months),
243 and the regression coefficients were generally similar among different categories of
244 breastfeeding duration. Those results indicate that the association between
245 breastfeeding and peripheral blood DNA methylation is unlikely to follow a dose-
246 response relationship, but presents a threshold (ever vs. never) pattern.

247 Table 2 displays the association between ever breastfeeding and peripheral blood
248 methylation at different ages in the CpGs identified in the EWAS. The cg11414913 CpG
249 presented a persistent, directionally-consistent association with breastfeeding at the
250 age of 15-17 years ($\beta=-2.8$; $P=0.004$), and no strong evidence of association at birth
251 ($\beta=-0.4$; $P=0.631$). The cg05800082 CpG presented a similar pattern, although the point
252 estimate was attenuated compared to age 7 years, and presented rather weak
253 statistical evidence of association at the age of 15-17 years ($\beta=0.6$; $P=0.083$). However,
254 it was reassuring that its point estimate at birth ($\beta=-0.5$; $P=0.144$) was directionally
255 inconsistent with the results at later ages. The CpGs cg00234095, cg03945777 and
256 cg24134845 presented evidence of association only at age 7, suggesting that their
257 association with breastfeeding does not persist until the ages of 15-17. DNA
258 methylation at birth in the two remaining CpGs was associated with breastfeeding in
259 the same direction as the association at the age of 7, suggesting that those

260 associations are substantially influenced by some unaccounted bias source (e.g.,
261 unmeasured confounders).

262 **Association between breastfeeding and methylation regions**

263 Given that quantile-quantile plots were suggestive of small effects of breastfeeding on
264 DNA methylation in many regions of the genome, we complemented the ever
265 breastfeeding EWAS with a search for differentially methylated regions (DMRs) – i.e.,
266 two or more CpGs enriched for low P-values of the association with breastfeeding (see
267 the Methods for details). 12 DMRs were identified at age 7 (Table 3 and
268 Supplementary Table 3). There was no strong indication that the association of
269 breastfeeding with different CpGs in the same DMR was generally directionally
270 consistent (Table 3). However, regarding directional concordance for each CpG across
271 time points, four DMRs presented evidence of concordance between 7 and 15-17
272 years, but not between methylation and birth and at age 7: 18:106178-106850,
273 9:91296-92146, 22:255590-256045, and 8:409905-410098 (Table 4). For two DMRs
274 (5:97867-98797 and 1:425524-426297), there was evidence for directional
275 concordance between birth and 7 years of age, suggesting that the associations
276 between breastfeeding and methylation at age 7 in the CpGs in those DMRs may be
277 distorted by pre-natal confounders. For the remaining six DMRs, there was no
278 evidence for directional concordance between any of the two comparisons, suggesting
279 that the association between breastfeeding and methylation at age 7 in the CpGs in
280 those DMRs may be transient or false-positives. A sensitivity analysis considering only
281 those CpGs that achieved $P < 0.05$ in at least one time point corroborated the strongest
282 directional consistency between 7 and 15-17 years observed for the four

283 aforementioned DMRs, except the 8:409905-410098; importantly, this analysis
284 involved only 3 CpGs for this DMR (Supplementary Table 4). Moreover, a fifth DMR –
285 19:365914-366989 – was identified in this analysis, suggesting that CpGs with weak
286 associations could have diluted the association in the analysis considering all CpGs in
287 the DMR.

288 **Discussion**

289 In this epigenome-wide association study, having ever been breastfed was associated
290 with peripheral blood methylation in the cg11414913 CpG at ages 7 and 15-17 years,
291 but not at birth. There was suggestive evidence of association between ever been
292 breastfed and age 7 methylation in six additional CpGs, with one – the cg05800082
293 CpG – also presenting a directionally consistent (although attenuated) point estimate
294 at age 15, but not at birth. Moreover, 12 DMRs were identified at age 7, and three of
295 them presented evidence of directional concordance between ages 7 and 15-17, but
296 not between birth and age 7, in all sensitivity analyses. Our quantile-quantile plots
297 indicated that the associational effect estimates between ever breastfeeding and
298 peripheral blood DNA methylation are generally small. None of our analyses supported
299 a dose-response relationship between breastfeeding and peripheral blood DNA
300 methylation, but were consistent with an effect that depends on whether or not the
301 child was ever breastfed.

302 The epidemiological literature on breastfeeding and health focuses on well-established
303 effects against infectious diseases, as well as on potential impact on intelligence,
304 obesity and diabetes, among other outcomes [1]. In the present analyses, only one site

305 where methylation differences were detected could be involved in the above traits.
306 Specifically, an online search into the biological role of the genes whose methylation
307 was associated with breastfeeding (see Supplementary info) showed an effect on the
308 *DST* gene, which is expressed in many tissues, including the brain, where it encodes
309 isoforms of cytoskeletal linker proteins anchor neural intermediate filaments to the
310 actin cytoskeleton. Other sites affected were not located on genes with known
311 function or were in genes expressed in other tissues such as testis. This may be due to
312 analysing a surrogate tissue, limited statistical power to detect more CpGs, and limited
313 knowledge about the health effects of the methylation sites that were detected.
314 Moreover, the effects of breastfeeding on health and development may be mediated
315 through other epigenetic processes, such as non-coding RNAs [35, 36], as well as a host
316 of mechanisms other than epigenetics, including provision of nutrients (e.g., pre-
317 formed long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, which is a plausible mediator of the
318 benefits on IQ [37]), antibodies and other immunoactive compounds, antimicrobials,
319 and important effects on the gut microbiome [1].

320 One of the strengths of this study is that longitudinal measures of DNA methylation
321 allowed not only identifying regions of the methylome associated with breastfeeding,
322 but also assessing if those associations persist until adolescence. Dense phenotyping
323 and genotyping of study participants allowed controlling for several covariates, which
324 were selected using a conceptual model defined *a priori*. Moreover, DNA methylation
325 data at birth was used to rule out associations likely driven by residual confounding
326 due to pre-natal factors. To unravel the possibility of residual confounding by maternal
327 smoking, we checked the overlap between the suggestive CpG sites from our
328 breastfeeding EWAS and the largest maternal smoking EWAS [38], and found that

329 none of the sites were amongst the 6073 sites that were associated with maternal
330 smoking during pregnancy, suggesting that it is unlikely that the associations were
331 driven by residual confounding by maternal smoking. However, residual confounding
332 cannot be fully discarded due to missing confounders (including post-birth factors that
333 may affect breastfeeding quality and duration) measurement error and model
334 misspecification. Therefore, triangulating our findings with those from future studies
335 using designs prone to different potential sources of bias will be important to
336 disentangle causality [39].

337 In addition to the possibility of residual confounding, another weakness of our study is
338 that our exposure variable was ill-defined. Due to sample size constraints and
339 limitations of self-reported data, it was not possible to use more refined definitions of
340 breastfeeding. Indeed, our main results were related to the binary categorisation,
341 which includes, in the “breastfed” group, highly heterogeneous individuals regarding
342 breastfeeding quality, duration, type of foods given concurrently with breastmilk (for
343 individuals that were non-exclusively breastfeed) and after weaning, among other
344 factors. Similarly, the “non-breastfed” group potentially includes individuals that
345 received many different types of foods. This heterogeneity is likely to influence the
346 results in ways that are rather difficult to predict, and limits the external validity of our
347 findings.

348 It should also be noted that our study was restricted to peripheral blood. As we
349 discussed elsewhere [18], DNA methylation in blood is unlikely to be a good proxy of
350 DNA methylation in other tissues, such as the brain [40, 41, 42], thus limiting the
351 capacity of any breastfeeding EWAS using peripheral blood to inform DNA methylation

352 patterns in the target tissue [11, 43] – in this example, when assessing if the
353 association between breastfeeding and IQ has a component related to methylation.
354 This may also limit the capacity to identify true signals. However, DNA methylation
355 studies in surrogate tissues are important. These are frequently the only viable
356 alternative in large epidemiological studies, also being able to provide useful
357 information on the range of potential effects of the exposure of interest on DNA
358 methylation, which may then guide future, specific studies such as *in vitro* studies in
359 cells and *in vivo* studies in animal models [18].

360 Another important limitation is that we did not perform a formal replication of our
361 results. However, the fact that some hits (both in the CpG and DMR analysis) at age 7
362 years did not present evidence of association at age 15-17 years indicates that inflation
363 of type-I error due to multiple-testing alone was not sufficient for a hit in one age to
364 also present evidence of association in other ages. Therefore, CpGs and DMRs that
365 presented evidence of persistent associations are less likely to be a sole product of
366 multiple testing. However, this reasoning is less clear for transient associations, which
367 could be truly transient effects or merely false-positives that do not carry over to
368 adolescence. Although persistent associations are likely to be more robust from a
369 methodological perspective in our study, this does not mean that transient effects are
370 irrelevant. For example, they could trigger the actual processes related to long-term
371 effects (e.g., influences on brain development and IQ in adulthood). Moreover, in our
372 context transient effects mean that associations observed at the age of 7 years did not
373 persist until adolescence, but associations at age 7 would already be persistent effects
374 of breastfeeding. Finally, it is important to consider the loss of individuals to missing
375 data. About 30% of ARIES data were removed due to missing exposure, covariate or

376 outcome data, which reduces the power to find CpG sites related to breastfeeding.
377 Methods for multiple imputation in methylation data [44] are at an early stage and
378 therefore were not used here, but in future these methods will be crucial to maximise
379 the power of an EWAS.

380 **Conclusions**

381 This study provides important insights into the magnitude and persistence of the
382 association between breastfeeding and peripheral blood DNA methylation. Rather
383 than providing definitive answers on their own, our results will serve to motivate
384 future studies using different designs to improve causal inference, as well as
385 consortium-based efforts – examples of which are already available in the epigenetic
386 epidemiology literature [38, 45] – to achieve sample sizes large enough to both
387 improve power and allow replication. Such future efforts will complement and expand
388 our findings by providing robust evidence on the potential effects of breastfeeding on
389 DNA methylation, which may contribute to understand the biological basis of long-
390 term associations between breastfeeding and health and human capital outcomes, and
391 potentially also reveal new biological aspects of breastfeeding.

392 **List of abbreviations**

393 ALSPAC: Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children.

394 ARIES: Accessible Resource for Integrated Epigenomic Studies.

395 DAG: directed acyclic graph.

396 DMR: differentially methylated region.

397 DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid.

398 EWAS: epigenome-wide association study.

399 IQ: intelligence quotient.

400 **Declarations**

401 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

402 Only individuals who gave informed and written consent were enrolled in ALSPAC. Ethical
403 approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local
404 Research Ethics Committees.

405 **Consent for publication**

406 Not applicable.

407 **Availability of data and material**

408 The datasets analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to them
409 containing information that could compromise research participant privacy/consent, but they
410 are available on request to the Executive (alspac-exec@bristol.ac.uk).

411 **Competing interests**

412 This publication is the work of the authors and Fernando Pires Hartwig and Doretta
413 Caramaschi will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper. No funding body
414 has influenced data collection, analysis, or interpretation. The authors declare no
415 conflicts of interest.

416 **Funding**

417 This work was coordinated by researchers working within the Medical Research
418 Council (MRC) Integrative Epidemiology Unit, which is funded by the MRC and the
419 University of Bristol (grant ref: MC_UU_00011/1, MC_UU_00011/5). FPH is supported
420 by a Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq)
421 postdoctoral fellowship. The UK MRC and Wellcome (grant ref: 102215/2/13/2) and
422 the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. GWAS data were generated
423 by Sample Logistics and Genotyping Facilities at Wellcome Sanger Institute and
424 LabCorp (Laboratory Corporation of America) using support from 23 and me.

425 **Authors' contributions**

426 Study conception and design: CGV, CR, DC, FPH and GDS.

427 Data acquisition: CR and GDS.

428 Data analysis and interpretation: AJS, DC and FPH.

429 Manuscript writing: DC and FPH.

430 Critical revision of the manuscript: AJS, CGV, CR, GDS.

431 All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

432 **Acknowledgements**

433 We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives
434 for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes

435 interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research
436 scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists, and nurses.

437 **References**

- 438 1. Victora, CG, Bahl, R, Barros, AJ, Franca, GV, Horton, S, Krasevec, J et al. Breastfeeding in
439 the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet*. 2016; 387:475-
440 90.
- 441 2. Horta, BL, Gigante, DP, Goncalves, H, dos Santos Motta, J, Loret de Mola, C, Oliveira, IO et
442 al. Cohort Profile Update: The 1982 Pelotas (Brazil) Birth Cohort Study. *Int J Epidemiol*.
443 2015; 44:441, a-e.
- 444 3. Brion, MJ, Lawlor, DA, Matijasevich, A, Horta, B, Anselmi, L, Araujo, CL et al. What are the
445 causal effects of breastfeeding on IQ, obesity and blood pressure? Evidence from
446 comparing high-income with middle-income cohorts. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011; 40:670-80.
- 447 4. Kramer, MS, Aboud, F, Mironova, E, Vanilovich, I, Platt, RW, Matush, L et al. Breastfeeding
448 and child cognitive development: new evidence from a large randomized trial. *Arch Gen*
449 *Psychiatry*. 2008; 65:578-84.
- 450 5. Relton, CL, Hartwig, FP & Davey Smith, G. From stem cells to the law courts: DNA
451 methylation, the forensic epigenome and the possibility of a biosocial archive. *Int J*
452 *Epidemiol*. 2015; 44:1083-93.
- 453 6. Richmond, RC, Simpkin, AJ, Woodward, G, Gaunt, TR, Lyttleton, O, McArdle, WL et al.
454 Prenatal exposure to maternal smoking and offspring DNA methylation across the
455 lifecourse: findings from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC).
456 *Hum Mol Genet*. 2015; 24:2201-17.

- 457 7. Han, L, Su, B, Li, WH & Zhao, Z. CpG island density and its correlations with genomic
458 features in mammalian genomes. *Genome Biol.* 2008; 9:R79.
- 459 8. Rakyan, VK, Down, TA, Balding, DJ & Beck, S. Epigenome-wide association studies for
460 common human diseases. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2011; 12:529-41.
- 461 9. Kiefer, JC. Epigenetics in development. *Dev Dyn.* 2007; 236:1144-56.
- 462 10. Huang, K & Fan, G. DNA methylation in cell differentiation and reprogramming: an
463 emerging systematic view. *Regen Med.* 2010; 5:531-44.
- 464 11. Relton, CL & Davey Smith, G. Epigenetic epidemiology of common complex disease:
465 prospects for prediction, prevention, and treatment. *PLoS Med.* 2010; 7:e1000356.
- 466 12. Tollefsbol, T. *Epigenetics in Human Disease.* (Academic Press, 2012).
- 467 13. Kaelin, WG, Jr. & McKnight, SL. Influence of metabolism on epigenetics and disease. *Cell.*
468 2013; 153:56-69.
- 469 14. Tobi, EW, Slieker, RC, Luijk, R, Dekkers, KF, Stein, AD, Xu, KM et al. DNA methylation as a
470 mediator of the association between prenatal adversity and risk factors for metabolic
471 disease in adulthood. *Sci Adv.* 2018; 4:eao4364.
- 472 15. Richmond, R, Relton, C & Davey Smith, G. What evidence is required to suggest that DNA
473 methylation mediates the association between prenatal famine exposure and adulthood
474 disease? *Sci Adv.* 2018; 4:eao4364.
- 475 16. Verduci, E, Banderali, G, Barberi, S, Radaelli, G, Lops, A, Betti, F et al. Epigenetic effects of
476 human breast milk. *Nutrients.* 2014; 6:1711-24.

- 477 17. Mischke, M & Plosch, T. More than just a gut instinct-the potential interplay between a
478 baby's nutrition, its gut microbiome, and the epigenome. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp*
479 *Physiol.* 2013; 304:R1065-9.
- 480 18. Hartwig, FP, Loret de Mola, C, Davies, NM, Victora, CG & Relton, CL. Breastfeeding effects
481 on DNA methylation in the offspring: A systematic literature review. *PLoS One.* 2017;
482 12:e0173070.
- 483 19. Relton, CL, Gaunt, T, McArdle, W, Ho, K, Duggirala, A, Shihab, H et al. Data Resource
484 Profile: Accessible Resource for Integrated Epigenomic Studies (ARIES). *Int J Epidemiol.*
485 2015; 44:1181-90.
- 486 20. Golding, J, Pembrey, M & Jones, R. ALSPAC--the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and
487 Children. I. Study methodology. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2001; 15:74-87.
- 488 21. Boyd, A, Golding, J, Macleod, J, Lawlor, DA, Fraser, A, Henderson, J et al. Cohort Profile:
489 the 'children of the 90s'--the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents
490 and Children. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2013; 42:111-27.
- 491 22. Fraser, A, Macdonald-Wallis, C, Tilling, K, Boyd, A, Golding, J, Davey Smith, G et al. Cohort
492 Profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *Int J*
493 *Epidemiol.* 2013; 42:97-110.
- 494 23. Touleimat, N & Tost, J. Complete pipeline for Infinium((R)) Human Methylation 450K
495 BeadChip data processing using subset quantile normalization for accurate DNA
496 methylation estimation. *Epigenomics.* 2012; 4:325-41.
- 497 24. Pidsley, R, CC, YW, Volta, M, Lunnon, K, Mill, J & Schalkwyk, LC. A data-driven approach to
498 preprocessing Illumina 450K methylation array data. *BMC Genomics.* 2013; 14:293.

- 499 25. Min, J, Hemani, G, Davey Smith, G, Relton, CL & Suderman, M. Meffil: efficient
500 normalisation and analysis of very large DNA methylation samples. bioRxiv:
501 10.1101/125963. 2017.
- 502 26. Du, P, Zhang, X, Huang, CC, Jafari, N, Kibbe, WA, Hou, L et al. Comparison of Beta-value
503 and M-value methods for quantifying methylation levels by microarray analysis. BMC
504 Bioinformatics. 2010; 11:587.
- 505 27. Price, AL, Patterson, NJ, Plenge, RM, Weinblatt, ME, Shadick, NA & Reich, D. Principal
506 components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat
507 Genet. 2006; 38:904-9.
- 508 28. Bakulski, KM, Feinberg, JI, Andrews, SV, Yang, J, Brown, S, S, LM et al. DNA methylation of
509 cord blood cell types: Applications for mixed cell birth studies. Epigenetics. 2016; 11:354-
510 62.
- 511 29. Houseman, EA, Accomando, WP, Koestler, DC, Christensen, BC, Marsit, CJ, Nelson, HH et
512 al. DNA methylation arrays as surrogate measures of cell mixture distribution. BMC
513 Bioinformatics. 2012; 13:86.
- 514 30. Leek, JT & Storey, JD. Capturing heterogeneity in gene expression studies by surrogate
515 variable analysis. PLoS Genet. 2007; 3:1724-35.
- 516 31. Smith, GD. Assessing intrauterine influences on offspring health outcomes: can
517 epidemiological studies yield robust findings? Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol. 2008;
518 102:245-56.
- 519 32. Pedersen, BS, Schwartz, DA, Yang, IV & Kechris, KJ. Comb-p: software for combining,
520 analyzing, grouping and correcting spatially correlated P-values. Bioinformatics. 2012;
521 28:2986-8.

- 522 33. Jaffe, AE, Murakami, P, Lee, H, Leek, JT, Fallin, MD, Feinberg, AP et al. Bump hunting to
523 identify differentially methylated regions in epigenetic epidemiology studies. *Int J*
524 *Epidemiol.* 2012; 41:200-9.
- 525 34. Sharp, GC, Ho, K, Davies, A, Stergiakouli, E, Humphries, K, McArdle, W et al. Distinct DNA
526 methylation profiles in subtypes of orofacial cleft. *Clin Epigenetics.* 2017; 9:63.
- 527 35. Karlsson, O, Rodosthenous, RS, Jara, C, Brennan, KJ, Wright, RO, Baccarelli, AA et al.
528 Detection of long non-coding RNAs in human breastmilk extracellular vesicles:
529 Implications for early child development. *Epigenetics.* 2016; 0.
- 530 36. Alsaweed, M, Hartmann, PE, Geddes, DT & Kakulas, F. MicroRNAs in Breastmilk and the
531 Lactating Breast: Potential Immunoprotectors and Developmental Regulators for the
532 Infant and the Mother. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2015; 12:13981-4020.
- 533 37. Innis, SM. Dietary (n-3) fatty acids and brain development. *J Nutr.* 2007; 137:855-9.
- 534 38. Joubert, BR, Felix, JF, Yousefi, P, Bakulski, KM, Just, AC, Breton, C et al. DNA Methylation in
535 Newborns and Maternal Smoking in Pregnancy: Genome-wide Consortium Meta-analysis.
536 *Am J Hum Genet.* 2016; 98:680-96.
- 537 39. Lawlor, DA, Tilling, K & Davey Smith, G. Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. *Int J*
538 *Epidemiol.* 2016; 45:1866-86.
- 539 40. Davies, MN, Volta, M, Pidsley, R, Lunnon, K, Dixit, A, Lovestone, S et al. Functional
540 annotation of the human brain methylome identifies tissue-specific epigenetic variation
541 across brain and blood. *Genome Biol.* 2012; 13:R43.
- 542 41. Walton, E, Hass, J, Liu, J, Roffman, JL, Bernardoni, F, Roessner, V et al. Correspondence of
543 DNA Methylation Between Blood and Brain Tissue and Its Application to Schizophrenia
544 Research. *Schizophr Bull.* 2016; 42:406-14.

- 545 42. Hannon, E, Lunnon, K, Schalkwyk, L & Mill, J. Interindividual methylomic variation across
546 blood, cortex, and cerebellum: implications for epigenetic studies of neurological and
547 neuropsychiatric phenotypes. *Epigenetics*. 2015; 10:1024-32.
- 548 43. Heijmans, BT & Mill, J. Commentary: The seven plagues of epigenetic epidemiology. *Int J*
549 *Epidemiol*. 2012; 41:74-8.
- 550 44. Wu, C, Demerath, EW, Pankow, JS, Bressler, J, Fornage, M, Grove, ML et al. Imputation of
551 missing covariate values in epigenome-wide analysis of DNA methylation data.
552 *Epigenetics*. 2016; 11:132-9.
- 553 45. Gruzieva, O, Xu, CJ, Breton, CV, Annesi-Maesano, I, Anto, JM, Auffray, C et al. Epigenome-
554 Wide Meta-Analysis of Methylation in Children Related to Prenatal NO₂ Air Pollution
555 Exposure. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2017; 125:104-10.

556

Tables

Table 1. Average percent point differences (β) in DNA methylation at age 7 (N=640) according to breastfeeding.

Breastfeeding	Statistic	CpG						
		cg11414913	cg00234095	cg04722177	cg03945777	cg17052885	cg05800082	cg24134845
Binary (ever vs. never)	P-value	5.2×10^{-8}	4.9×10^{-7}	2.7×10^{-6}	3.2×10^{-6}	4.9×10^{-6}	5.8×10^{-6}	3.3×10^{-5}
	β (SE)	-3.19 (0.59)	-1.74 (0.35)	-2.90 (0.62)	-0.84 (0.18)	1.79 (0.39)	1.05 (0.23)	0.23 (0.06)
Categories	P-value	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	β (SE)	0 (Ref.)	0 (Ref.)	0 (Ref.)	0 (Ref.)	0 (Ref.)	0 (Ref.)	0 (Ref.)
0	P-value	1.5×10^{-6}	1.2×10^{-7}	5.3×10^{-4}	2.9×10^{-5}	8.2×10^{-6}	1.7×10^{-6}	6.8×10^{-5}
	β (SE)	-3.19 (0.66)	-2.02 (0.38)	-2.45 (0.71)	-0.85 (0.20)	1.85 (0.41)	1.19 (0.25)	0.25 (0.06)
0.01-3 months	P-value	5.4×10^{-7}	3.3×10^{-5}	5.8×10^{-5}	0.005	6.8×10^{-5}	6.4×10^{-4}	0.011
	β (SE)	-3.50 (0.70)	-1.88 (0.45)	-3.22 (0.80)	-0.66 (0.23)	1.85 (0.47)	0.94 (0.28)	0.17 (0.07)
3.01-6 months	P-value	2.5×10^{-5}	3.2×10^{-4}	5.9×10^{-5}	7.4×10^{-5}	6.1×10^{-6}	0.001	2.2×10^{-4}
	β (SE)	-3.00 (0.71)	-1.59 (0.44)	-3.05 (0.76)	-0.90 (0.23)	2.02 (0.45)	0.87 (0.27)	0.24 (0.06)
6.01-12 months	P-value	5.8×10^{-4}	0.037	1.1×10^{-6}	1.2×10^{-4}	0.008	0.001	4.4×10^{-4}
	β (SE)	-2.96 (0.86)	-0.93 (0.44)	-3.79 (0.78)	-0.99 (0.26)	1.29 (0.49)	1.04 (0.31)	0.25 (0.07)
>12 months	P-value	0.036	0.832	1.7×10^{-4}	0.007	0.067	0.230	0.020
	β (SE)	-0.42 (0.20)	-0.02 (0.11)	-0.70 (0.19)	-0.16 (0.06)	0.19 (0.10)	0.08 (0.07)	0.04 (0.02)
Linear trend of categories	P-value	0.080	0.766	2.5×10^{-4}	0.035	0.966	0.399	0.289
	β (SE)	-0.09 (0.05)	0.01 (0.03)	-0.18 (0.05)	-0.03 (0.02)	0.00 (0.03)	0.01 (0.02)	0.00 (0.00)

SE: standard error.

Table 2. Average percent point differences (β) in DNA methylation at different ages according to breastfeeding.

CpG	Time point	β	SE	P-value
cg11414913	At birth (N=702)	-0.44	0.91	0.631
	7 years (N=640)	-3.19	0.59	5.2×10^{-8}
	15-17 years (N=709)	-2.47	0.85	0.004
cg00234095	At birth (N=702)	0.59	0.57	0.296
	7 years (N=640)	-1.74	0.35	4.9×10^{-7}
	15-17 years (N=709)	0.29	0.43	0.505
cg04722177	At birth (N=702)	-1.50	0.70	0.032
	7 years (N=640)	-2.90	0.62	2.7×10^{-6}
	15-17 years (N=709)	-1.05	0.78	0.180
cg03945777	At birth (N=702)	0.42	0.3	0.158
	7 years (N=640)	-0.84	0.18	3.2×10^{-6}
	15-17 years (N=709)	0.10	0.29	0.742
cg17052885	At birth (N=702)	1.32	0.57	0.022
	7 years (N=640)	1.79	0.39	4.9×10^{-6}
	15-17 years (N=709)	-0.29	0.47	0.547
cg05800082	At birth (N=702)	-0.53	0.36	0.144
	7 years (N=640)	1.05	0.23	5.8×10^{-6}
	15-17 years (N=709)	0.56	0.32	0.083
cg24134845	At birth (N=702)	0.04	0.07	0.535
	7 years (N=640)	0.23	0.06	3.3×10^{-5}
	15-17 years (N=709)	0.00	0.08	0.991

SE: standard error.

Table 3. Association between peripheral blood DNA methylation at different ages at each DMR and ever breastfeeding.

DMR (Chr:Start-End ^a)	At birth			7 years			15-17 years		
	β	SE	P-value	β	SE	P-value	β	SE	P-value
5:97,867-98,797	0.30	0.21	0.146	0.43	0.21	0.043	0.30	0.21	0.158
19:365,914-366,989	-0.01	0.34	0.975	0.05	0.34	0.881	-0.04	0.35	0.897
18:106,178-106,850	-0.08	0.77	0.913	0.14	0.75	0.855	0.23	0.77	0.767
1:425,524-426,297	0.26	0.62	0.673	0.33	0.61	0.590	0.16	0.62	0.800
9:91,296-92,146	-0.10	0.33	0.759	-0.18	0.33	0.578	-0.10	0.34	0.755
17:222,498-222,991	-0.01	0.37	0.983	0.00	0.36	0.994	-0.04	0.36	0.913
4:136,643-137,027	-0.03	0.41	0.951	-0.37	0.38	0.324	-0.31	0.41	0.448
22:255,590-256,045	0.40	0.71	0.577	1.18	0.70	0.095	1.06	0.71	0.136
4:33,482-33,808	0.13	2.05	0.950	0.06	2.00	0.978	0.08	2.04	0.967
8:409,905-410,098	0.82	1.31	0.530	1.05	1.32	0.425	1.04	1.32	0.433
1:224,191-225,190	0.03	0.45	0.940	-0.03	0.44	0.951	-0.03	0.45	0.948
9:61,093-61,964	-0.39	0.50	0.432	-0.44	0.49	0.369	-0.39	0.50	0.435

Regression coefficients (β) are average percent point differences in DNA methylation averaged across CpGs that belong to the DMR. This analysis was performed using linear mixed models to account for the correlation between CpGs in the same DMR.

^aHuman Genome Assembly GRCh37.

Chr: Chromosome. DMR: differentially methylated region. SE: standard error.

Table 4. Directional concordance between time points for each individual CpG belonging to the same DMR.

DMR (Chr:Start-End ^a)	Number of CpGs	At birth and 7 years		7 years and 15-17 years	
		Concordance	P-value	Concordance	P-value
5:97,867-98,797	275	66.2	8.7×10 ⁻⁸	69.1	2.2×10 ⁻¹⁰
19:365,914-366,989	205	47.8	0.576	54.1	0.264
18:106,178-106,850	18	72.2	0.096	83.3	0.008
1:425,524-426,297	64	68.8	0.004	56.3	0.382
9:91,296-92,146	185	54.1	0.303	58.4	0.027
17:222,498-222,991	140	55.7	0.205	49.3	0.933
4:136,643-137,027	13	69.2	0.267	61.5	0.581
22:255,590-256,045	30	63.3	0.200	83.3	3.3×10 ⁻⁴
4:33,482-33,808	5	60.0	0.999	60.0	0.999
8:409,905-410,098	7	85.7	0.125	100.0	0.016
1:224,191-225,190	129	57.4	0.113	47.3	0.597
9:61,093-61,964	91	57.1	0.208	56.0	0.294

Concordance is shown in %. The analyses were performed using a sign test.

^aHuman Genome Assembly GRCh37.

Chr: Chromosome. DMR: differentially methylated region.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Manhattan and Q-Q plots of the breastfeeding EWAS, comparing peripheral blood methylation at age 7 between never vs. ever breastfed individuals.

A,C: Manhattan plots. B,D: Q-Q plots. A,B: Minimally-adjusted model. C,D: Fully-adjusted model.

Figure 2. Manhattan and Q-Q plots of the breastfeeding EWAS, comparing peripheral blood methylation at age 7 according to breastfeeding duration (in categories, assuming a linear trend).

A,C: Manhattan plots. B,D: Q-Q plots. A,B: Minimally-adjusted model. C,D: Fully-adjusted model.



