Inhibition of ATR Reverses a Mitochondrial Respiratory Insufficiency
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ABSTRACT

Diseases that affect the mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) often manifest as threshold effect disorders, meaning patients only become symptomatic once a certain level of ETC dysfunction is reached. Multiple processes work to control proximity to the critical ETC threshold and as a consequence there can be significant variability in disease presentation among patients. Identification of such control processes remains an ongoing goal. Checkpoint signaling comprises a collection of alert mechanisms activated in cells in response to nuclear DNA damage. Well-defined hierarchies of proteins are involved in both sensing and signaling DNA damage, with ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated) and ATR (ATM and Rad3-related) acting as pivotal signaling kinases. In the nematode C. elegans, severe reduction of mitochondrial ETC activity shortens life, as in humans, but mild
reduction extends life as a consequence of survival strategies that are invoked under these circumstances. Here we show that removal of ATL-1, the worm ortholog of ATR, unexpectedly lessens the severity of ETC dysfunction, but removal of ATM does not. Multiple genetic and biochemical tests show no evidence for increased mutation or DNA breakage in animals exposed to ETC disruption. Instead, we find that reduced ETC function alters nucleotide ratios within both the ribo- and deoxyribo-nucleotide pools, and causes stalling of RNA polymerase, which is also known to activate ATR. Unexpectedly, *atl-1* mutants confronted with mitochondrial ETC disruption maintain normal levels of oxygen consumption and have an increased abundance of translating ribosomes. This suggests checkpoint signaling by ATL-1 normally dampens cytoplasmic translation. Taken together, our data suggests a model whereby ETC insufficiency in *C. elegans* results in nucleotide imbalances leading to stalling of RNA polymerase, activation of ATL-1, dampening of global translation and magnification of ETC dysfunction. Loss of ATL-1 effectively reverses the severity of ETC disruption so that animals become phenotypically closer to wild type.
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INTRODUCTION

The essential nature of mitochondria is underscored by the devastating effects of inherited mitochondrial diseases which afflict approximately one in 5000 children and almost always result in pathological shortening of life \(^1\). Because these organelles play central roles in many cellular processes, including energy production, nucleotide metabolism and apoptotic signaling \(^2\), \(^3\), \(^4\), their progressive, age-dependent reduction in function means almost all of us, at some time in life, will experience the negative consequences of mitochondrial dysfunction \(^5\). Indeed a growing number of age-related ailments common to western societies are either associated with, or directly caused by, mitochondrial disruption \(^6\), \(^7\).

In \textit{Caenorhabditis elegans}, as in humans, mitochondrial dysfunction is threshold dependent. That is, a critical level of electron transport chain (ETC) dysfunction must be reached before pathology is observed \(^8\). Nematodes with high levels of mitochondrial dysfunction exhibit shortened lifespan and reduced fitness, while worms with moderate levels of mitochondrial dysfunction display extended lifespan \(^9\). This response is evolutionarily conserved from yeast to mammals and understanding the molecular mechanisms regulating lifespan extension in worms in response to mild ETC disruption may provide insight into strategies that could be applied to help keep our own cells above their critical mitochondrial dysfunction threshold.

Reduced ETC activity disrupts many downstream cellular processes and as might be expected cells have evolved a variety of sensors and strategies to counter progression toward their critical ETC threshold. Multiple signaling pathways, collectively called retrograde responses, are activated within cells and these in turn control expression of nuclear counter-measures \(^10\). For example, an increase in the unfolded protein load of the mitochondrial matrix re-directs the transcription factor ATFS-1 from the
matrix to the nucleus where it stimulates expression of mitochondrial chaperones. Other signals such as changes in calcium concentration, activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), and even knock-on changes in the cytoplasmic unfolded protein load, also result in retrograde response activation. Counter-measures that are activated by cells include increased mitochondrial biogenesis, elevated mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) replication, increased mitophagy, activation of alternate pathways of energy production (such as glycolysis), and changes in the abundance and activity of respiratory complexes or their regulatory factors. The complete network of pathways that detect and respond to mitochondrial stress is, however, far from being fully understood.

Previously, we described a phenomenon in worms in which loss of CEP-1, a homolog of the human p53 checkpoint protein that recognizes identical DNA sequences and is the only p53 family member present in C. elegans, right shifted the mitochondrial ETC threshold, effectively allowing animals to cope with a greater degree of mitochondrial ETC disruption and consequently mitigating the lifespan shortening effects of severe mitochondrial dysfunction. This response was due to alterations in autophagy and lipid metabolism, both of which are regulated by CEP-1. In the current study, we examined the role of other checkpoint proteins in modulating the mitochondrial threshold effect of worms since this is still a little-explored area of investigation.

Ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and ‘ATM- and rad3-related’ (ATR) are two well-studied members of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase-related kinase (PIKK) family of proteins that play essential roles in transducing checkpoint signaling during the DNA damage response (DDR). In humans, both proteins together directly phosphorylate over 900 sites on some 700 proteins, including p53. ATM and ATR differ in the lesions to which they are recruited, with ATM being recruited to dsDNA breaks and ATR having a broader specificity underscored by the presence of ssDNA, including recessed dsDNA breaks and stalled replication forks. Depending on the level of damage and success of repair, checkpoint activation can lead to pro-survival or pro-apoptotic mechanisms. Recently, additional functions were ascribed to both ATM and ATR. For ATM, these involve regulation of a variety of processes, including insulin signaling, the pentose phosphate pathway and mitophagy. Also, in yeast,
ATM/Tel1p was found to act as a specific sensor of mitochondria-generated ROS\(^{25, 26, 27, 28, 29}\). ATR on the other hand was shown to be important in controlling an outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM)-localized apoptotic signal, autophagy, RNA polymerase activity and chromatin condensation\(^{30, 31, 32, 33, 34}\). In this study, we uncover a new role for ATR in mitochondrial retrograde response signaling.

**RESULTS**

**Loss of ATL-1/ATR desensitizes worms to mitochondrial ETC stress**

In *C. elegans*, atp-3 encodes the ortholog of the human ATP5O/OSCP subunit of the mitochondrial F\(_{1}\)F\(_{0}\) ATP synthase, while isp-1 encodes the Rieske Fe-S ortholog of complex III. We have previously reported that wild type worms exposed to increasing amounts of bacterial feeding RNAi targeting either atp-3 or isp-1 reach a critical threshold after which the concentration of RNAi causes worms to exhibit a reduction in body size and an extension of lifespan\(^{35}\). For isp-1 RNAi, life extension follows a monotonic function, while for atp-3 RNAi, life is first extended then it is pathologically shortened\(^{35}\).

These ‘mean lifespan versus feeding RNAi dosage ’ curves were mapped using 12 point datasets and their profiles were robust across multiple rounds of testing\(^{35}\). This differing effect of atp-3 and isp-1 feeding RNAi on lifespan reflects the more potent degree of ETC inhibition that is ultimately attained following severe atp-3 knockdown. Using these well-defined treatment regimes and reagents, we tested whether loss of ATM-1 or ATR checkpoint proteins altered the phenotypic response of worms to mitochondrial ETC disruption (see Supplemental File S1 for a full description of mutants used in this study and the method used to the propagate lethal DDR strains). When mutant atm-1(gk186) worms were exposed to atp-3 or isp-1 RNAi (1/10\(^{th}\) strength and undiluted) animals matured and survived indistinguishably from similarly-treated wild type worms (Fig. 1A-D). In contrast, atl-1(tm853) mutants were differentially refractory to the effects of knockdown of either RNAi. This effect was most evident in worms treated with 1/10\(^{th}\) strength atp-3 or isp-1 RNAi, where animals showed obvious resistance to both size reduction (Fig. 1A, B) and lifespan extension (Fig. 1C, D). (Additional examples are presented later in Figure 2A-C). atp-3 and isp-1 knockdown did eventually reduce adult size and...
extend life in the mutant \textit{atl-1(tm853)} background, suggesting the response to ETC disruption in these animals is right-shifted relative to wild type control (see schematic \textit{Fig. 1C, D}). Surprisingly, measurement of \textit{atp-3} and \textit{isp-1} mRNA levels following RNAi treatment revealed that target gene knockdown was as efficacious in \textit{atl-1(tm853)} mutants as it was in wild type worms (\textit{Fig. 1E}), indicating these animals were not deficient for RNAi. Furthermore, we found that \textit{atl-1(tm853)} mutants were also refractory to mitochondrial ETC disruption induced using chemical inhibition (Supplemental Figure S1). Based on these findings, we conclude that inhibition of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase-related kinase ATL-1 confers resistance to mitochondrial ETC disruption.

\textbf{Figure 1. Loss of ATL-1 desensitizes worms to mitochondrial respiratory chain stress.} (A, B) \textit{atl-1(tm853)} knockout mutants are resistant to size reduction induced by \textit{atp-3} or \textit{isp-1} bacterial feeding RNAi (compare 1/10\textsuperscript{th} strength RNAi to vector-treated control, panel A). Tested worms include wild type, \textit{atm-1(gk186)} and \textit{atl-1(tm853)} single mutants, as well as two independent \textit{atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186)} lines. Worm length is quantified in panel B. All worms were seeded at the same initial time. Each row was photographed at a common time interval after seeding, and this time interval increased with increasing RNAi potency. Evident across rows are differences in growth rates when strains are cultured on the same RNAi treatment. Error bars: SEM. Significance testing: Student's t-test. Asterisks refer to difference relative to respective wild type sample: **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

(C, D) Charts on Left: Adult lifespan of worm strains tested in (A). Shown is a representative study. All conditions were tested in parallel derived from a starting population of 16,000 synchronous eggs. Replicate data for \textit{atp-3} is presented in Figure 2. Right panels: Schematics illustrating the right-shifting effect of ATL-1 removal on mean lifespan following exposure to \textit{atp-3} and \textit{isp-1} RNAi. Curve shapes are based on the 12-point RNAi data collected in Rea \textit{et. al.} \textsuperscript{35}.

(E) RNAi knockdown efficacy is not altered in \textit{atl-1(tm853)} mutants. mRNA levels were quantified by q-RT PCR following exposure to 1/10\textsuperscript{th} strength \textit{atp-3} or \textit{isp-1} bacterial feeding RNAi (n=3 and n=1 experimental replicates, respectively). Error bars: SEM. Significance testing: Student's t-test. Asterisks refer to difference relative to wild type vector control **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

In (A-E), all worms are unbalanced animals (~1,000) derived from F1 progeny of parents carrying the nT1 reciprocal chromosomal translocation. These worms were hand selected from the 16,000 eggs...
after they matured into adults. The wild type control line (SLR4), was deliberately moved into the nT1
genetic background as the appropriate control (see Materials & Methods).

MAK-1 and MAK-2 participate in mitochondrial retrograde response signaling

During the course of our studies we made the unexpected observation that two independently-generated atm-1(gk186); atl-1(tm853) double mutants regained their sensitivity to atp-3 and isp-1 knockdown (Fig. 1). This observation provides additional support for the notion that the RNAi machinery is unaffected by loss of atl-1, but to explain this unexpected result, we have formed the following working hypothesis: ETC disruption results in DNA damage that normally activates ATR. In the absence of ATR, DNA damage accumulates, or secondary forms of DNA damage accumulate, and eventually ATM is activated. In the absence of both ATR and ATM, a third checkpoint response, with a higher threshold for activation, is triggered. This model reflects the well-established redundancy in the DNA damage response network. Several recent studies by us and others have shown a role for MAPK signaling following ETC disruption in worms. Interestingly, the p38 MAPK-activated protein kinases MAPKAP-2 and MAPKAP-3 are checkpoint proteins known in humans to act in parallel to ATM and ATR. To explore the possibility that alternate checkpoint signaling is activated in PIKK mutant worms following ETC disruption, we exposed animals to atp-3 knockdown and simultaneously inhibited expression of either MAK-1 or MAK-2, the worm orthologs of MAPKAP-2 and -3, respectively. The effect of these treatments on alt-1(tm853) and atm-1(gk186) single mutants, atm-1(gk186); atl-1(tm853) double mutants, and wild type worms was examined. Our results can be summarized as follows: (i) Knockdown of mak-1 or mak-2, either alone or in combination with atp-3 knockdown, had no effect on final adult size in any of the genetic backgrounds (Fig. 2A). (ii) Knockdown of mak-1 or mak-2 failed to reproducibly prevent life extension following atp-3 inhibition in the two independent atm-1(gk186); atl-1(tm853) double mutant isolates. (iii) Unexpectedly, the survival of atl-1(tm853) mutants exposed to atp-3 knockdown was further impaired when undertaken in conjunction with mak-1 or mak-2. A similar result was also observed for the atm-1(gk186) mutant. (iv) For wild type worms, only mak-1 knockdown significantly reduced atp-3 mediated life extension (Fig. 2B, C). These findings suggest that
at least two other checkpoint proteins, namely MAK-1 and MAK-2, are causally involved in lifespan extension (but not size determination) of worms experiencing mitochondrial ETC disruption. The role of different checkpoint proteins is therefore dependent upon the functional status of both ATL-1 and ATM-1. When the latter two proteins are disrupted simultaneously, MAK-1 and MAK-2 become dispensable, potentially implying yet another checkpoint system awaits identification in \textit{atm-1\textit{(gk186); atl-1\textit{(tm853)}} double mutants. Although clearly complicated, this is not unexpected for a response that is network-based and sensitive to a variety of DNA lesions.

\textbf{Figure 2. MAPKAP kinases are active following mitochondrial respiratory chain stress.}

(A-C) The MAPKAP kinases MAK-1 and MAK-2 play no role in size control of worms exposed to 1/10\textsuperscript{th} strength \textit{atp-3} feeding RNAi (A), however they do control lifespan in wild type worms, \textit{atm-1\textit{(gk186)}} mutants and \textit{atl-1\textit{(tm853)}} mutants (B, C). Worms in (A) and (B) were established independently using a total of 8,000 and 32,000 synchronized eggs, respectively, and all conditions in each panel were tested in parallel. In (A), all worms were photographed at the same chronological point. In (B), the vertical line marks median survival of wild type worms on vector control RNAi. In (A-C), all worms were the unbalanced F1 progeny of parents carrying the nT1 reciprocal chromosomal translocation.

\textbf{Knockdown of DNA pol \alpha reverses the small phenotype of \textit{atm-1\textit{(gk186); atl-1\textit{(tm853)}} mutants}

To further explore the role of novel checkpoint proteins in modulating the critical ETC threshold in \textit{atm-1\textit{(gk186); atl-1\textit{(tm853)}} double mutants, we undertook a double RNAi screen of 201 DNA damage response-related genes. We asked whether knockdown of a target gene in this genetic background could re-confer resistance to \textit{atp-3} knockdown, similar to \textit{atl-1\textit{(tm853)}} mutants (for screen details see Fig. 3A). We identified two genes: \textit{scc-3}, which encodes a cohesin complex subunit; and Y47D3A.29, which is orthologous to the human PolA1 catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase alpha (DNA pol \alpha, Figs. 43, C). The effect of losing either gene was robust to three different post-hoc tests (each \textit{p}<0.001, see Tables S1-S3 and Methods for details of statistical testing; see also File S2 for final round hit dataset).

Isolation of PolA1 is particularly intriguing because it suggests that \textit{atp-3} knockdown in \textit{atm-1\textit{(gk186); atl-1\textit{(tm853)}} double mutants could be inducing some kind of disruption to DNA, its repair, or its
replication and which is by-passable by low fidelity polymerases (which presumably accommodate knockdown of PolA1). This in turn might avoid checkpoint activation and make animals appear more atl-1(tm853)-like in our assay.

**Figure 3. Screen for additional checkpoint proteins activated by mitochondrial ETC dysfunction.**

(A) Schematic of RNAi screen used to identify DNA damage response genes active in atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186) double mutants following atp-3 knockdown. Test RNAi that differentially countered the size reducing effect of 1/10th strength atp-3 RNAi in unbalanced atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186) worms but not nT1-containing atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186)/+ worms, were sought (hit).

(B) Results of RNAi screen. The effect of each test RNAi on the length of unbalanced worms and nT1-containing worms in the presence and absence of 1/10th strength atp-3 RNAi is reported as a mean difference ratio. The 95% confidence interval (CI) for the ratio is shown. RNAi knockdown of scc-3 and Y47D3A.29 (labeled DNA PolA1) both significantly reduced the mean ratio relative to vector-only test RNAi (p< 0.001, see Methods for significance testing procedure).

(C) Top row: Size distribution of worm populations treated with scc-3 (left) or Y47D3A.29 (right) feeding RNAi. Bottom row: Histograms of mean difference ratios for worm populations treated with scc-3 (left) or Y47D3A.29 (right) feeding RNAi and plotted relative to worms treated with vector in place of test RNAi. Mean difference ratios were generated by random sampling from the distributions in the top row.

**No evidence for permanent nuclear DNA damage following mitochondrial ETC disruption**

Nuclear DNA (nDNA) damage is the canonical signal that results in activation of ATR. In worms, resected ends of dsDNA breaks, and stalled replication forks, have both been shown to activate ATL-1. Both lesions contain single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), and binding of heterotrimeric replication protein A (RPA) to these regions in turn recruits and activates ATL-1. To test if ETC disruption results in elevated levels of dsDNA breaks we focused on isp-1(qm150) genetic mutants, since no viable atp-3 mutant exists, and utilized TUNEL staining on sections of paraffin-embedded worms. We found no evidence for increased nDNA breakage (Supplemental Fig. S2A). DNA repair is sometimes inaccurate, depending on the repair machinery that is employed. To test if DNA mutation frequency was
increased in worms exposed to ETC disruption we employed four independent genetic assays. To facilitate analysis, these studies were undertaken using \textit{atp-3} RNAi. The eT1 (III;V) and nT1(IV;V) reciprocal chromosomal translocations each suppress recombination against the regions they balance (~15 Mbp for both balancers). Homozygous-lethal DNA mutations therefore accumulate on balanced chromosomes and they become identifiable when eT1 and nT1 chromosomal pair are lost because unbalanced progeny that are homozygous for the mutation are inviable and hence absent. Using both assays, we found no evidence for increased nDNA mutation rate following knockdown of \textit{atp-3} in wild type worms (Fig. 4A). Similarly, use of two genetic mutation (Unc) reversion assays yielded similar conclusions (Fig. 4B). Taken together, our data show there is neither an increase in DNA strand breakage nor is there an increase in nuclear DNA mutation frequency in worms experiencing mitochondrial ETC dysfunction.

\textbf{Figure 4. Nuclear DNA mutation rate is not increased following mitochondrial ETC disruption.}  
(A, B) Multiple genetic screens reveal no significant increase in nuclear DNA mutation frequency following knockdown of \textit{atp-3} (\(p > 0.05\), Fisher’s Exact Test). Phenotypes scored include lethal nuclear DNA mutation events covered by the eT1 and nT1 chromosomal translocations (A), and recovery of wild type movement in \textit{unc-58}(3665) and \textit{unc-93}(e1500) mutants (B). Knockdown of replication protein A (\textit{rpa-1}) was included as a positive control in (B).

\textbf{Ribonucleotide and deoxyribonucleotide pools are disrupted by mitochondrial ETC dysfunction}  
To search for alternate mechanisms by which ATL-1 might be activated in worms experiencing mitochondrial ETC dysfunction, we examined changes in whole-worm nucleotide levels. As in humans, pyrimidine biosynthesis in \textit{C. elegans} requires a functional mitochondrial electron transport chain. This is because formation of orotate from dihydroorotate is coupled to reduction of ubiquinone (UQ) in a reaction catalyzed by the inner mitochondrial membrane enzyme dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH, \textit{Supplemental Fig. S3}). In mammals, processes that slow flux through the mitochondrial ETC, downstream of UQ, also slow the rate of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase resulting in measurable disruption of nucleotide pools \cite{43}. Several important enzymes are sensitive to changes in nucleotide
abundance, including DNA polymerases which are rate-limited by availability of their
deoxyribonucleotide substrates. Stalling of DNA polymerase, followed by continued DNA unwinding
by the MCM helicase, results in ssDNA formation, which is a known substrate for ATR activation.

To measure nucleotide levels, we re-focused our attention on the *isp-1(qm150)* genetic mutant for ease
of culture. We interrogated three stages of development – the final two stages of larval development
(L3 and L4) and the first day of adulthood. These stages were selected because in wild type worms
there is a heavy demand for nucleotide precursors around the L3/L4 molt, and then again around the
L4/adult molt. At these times the gonad arms are expanding and there is also a concomitant five-fold
and a 6-fold increase in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), respectively. Among nine deoxyribonucleotide
species that we were able to resolve and reliably detect (see Methods) we observed significant
increases in dCDP and dTMP in L4 larvae of *isp-1(qm150)* mutants (Fig. 5A). The increase in dCDP
abundance was maintained into adulthood (Fig. 5B). Among eleven ribonucleotide species that we
were able to reliably resolve and detect, we observed significant increases in AMP, CMP and UMP in
L4 larvae of *isp-1(qm150)* mutants (Fig. 5C). This was accompanied by a significant decrease in the
level of GTP. In adult *isp-1(qm150)* worms, changes in ribonucleotide pools became more pronounced,
with eight species being significantly underrepresented – ADP, ATP, GDP, GTP, CMP, CTP, UDP, UTP
(Fig. 5D). Changes in nucleotide pools were specific to L4 and adult worms since we did not detect any
measureable differences in any quantifiable nucleotide species in L3 larvae of *isp-1(qm150)* mutants
relative to wild type worms (Fig. 5E). Finally, the absence of a corresponding increase in many of the
monophosphate ribonucleotide species in adult *isp-1(qm150)* worms when their corresponding di- and
triphosphate counterparts decreased, suggests ribonucleotide pool sizes are reduced in these animals.

We combined the levels of each mono-, di- and triphosphate species and tested if they differed
significantly between strains at each developmental stage, but they did not. Error propagation,
however, severely reduced our statistical resolving power (Fig. 5F). Collectively, we conclude that
disruption of the mitochondrial ETC in *C. elegans* results in measurable and significant changes in the
abundance of multiple nucleotide species, notably with all ribonucleotide triphosphate species becoming underrepresented by adulthood.

Figure 5. Ribonucleotide and deoxyribonucleotide pool ratios are disrupted following mitochondrial ETC disruption.

(A-E) Absolute levels of deoxyribonucleotide (A and C) and ribonucleotide (B and D) species in wild type (N2) and isp-1(qm150) worms at the L4 and day-one adult stages, measured using quantitative LC-MS. Red asterisks indicate nucleotide quantities that differ significantly between strains (Student's t-test, 5% FDR). Four nucleotides were not measurable by our assay (dGMP, dGDP, dGTP, GMP). No significant differences in any nucleotide level existed between strains at the L3 larval stage (E).

(F) Relative nucleotide pool sizes in L3, L4 and day-one adult N2 and isp-1(qm150) worms, determined by summing together the mono-, di- and triphosphate species of each deoxyribonucleotide or ribonucleotide (guanosine was an exception) and normalizing to dCNP or CNP, respectively, across each larval stage. No significance difference in each respective nucleotide pool size between strains was detected (Student's t-test, 5% FDR; N = 3, 7 and 3 experimental replicates for N2 L3, L4, and adult worms; and N= 3, 5 and 3 for isp-1(qm150) L3, L4 and adult worms, respectively).

Evidence for RNA polymerase stalling following mitochondrial ETC disruption

Stalling of RNA polymerase II (RNA pol II) during transcription is sufficient to activate an ATR, RPA and p53-dependent DNA damage response in human fibroblasts. Given that mitochondrial ETC dysfunction in isp-1(qm150) mutants leads to measurable reductions in all four ribonucleotide triphosphates, and because we have previously shown a role for p53/cep-1 in the life extension of these worms, we hypothesized that ATL-1 activation following ETC disruption might occur as a result of RNA pol II stalling due to reduced nucleotide availability. We therefore quantified the formation of R-loops on genomic DNA. R-loops are DNA::RNA hybrids that accumulate when transcription stalls (Fig. 6A). We detected R-loops in purified, whole-worm genomic DNA fractions using slot blot analysis in conjunction with a DNA::RNA hybrid-specific antibody. To obtain sufficient material for
these studies we utilized a double feeding RNAi approach and tested four conditions: Wild type worms exposed to either vector control RNAi, 1/10\textsuperscript{th} strength \textit{isp-1} RNAi, 9/10\textsuperscript{th} strength \textit{atl-1} RNAi, or both 9/10\textsuperscript{th} strength \textit{atl-1} RNAi with 1/10\textsuperscript{th} strength \textit{isp-1} RNAi. Vector control feeding RNAi was added as balancer where appropriate. qRT-PCR analysis undertaken before the start of the experiment revealed 9/10\textsuperscript{th} strength \textit{atl-1} RNAi reduced \textit{atl-1} mRNA by 50\% (Fig. 6B), the same amount that was observed in maternal-effect rescued \textit{atl-1}(tm853) mutants (Fig. 6C). The results of our R-loop studies can be summarized as follows (Fig. 6D): Knockdown of \textit{isp-1} significantly enhanced R-loop formation relative to vector control (Student’s t-test, p<0.05). As predicted, \textit{isp-1} functioned epistatically to \textit{atl-1}, since \textit{atl-1} knockdown did not block R-loop formation by \textit{isp-1}, as would be expected for a gene that functioned downstream of R-loop accumulation. We next tested whether any differences in DNA:RNA polymer length distinguished R-loops generated in worms exposed to \textit{isp-1} RNAi from those exposed to \textit{isp-1} and \textit{atl-1} double RNAi. Genomic fractions were separated on an agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, then transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with \textgreek{s}9.6 antibody (Fig. 6E). No overt difference distinguished the two samples, however in both instances we noted some of the staining with \textgreek{s}9.6 localized to low molecular weight DNA:RNA fragments (< 2kb), in addition to the higher molecular weight structures that we expected. The identity of these smaller fragments remains uncharacterized (see Discussion). Collectively, our studies suggest that transcriptional stalling is increased in worms exposed to mitochondrial ETC disruption, identifying one route by which ATL-1 is potentially activated in these animals.

\textbf{Figure 6. Evidence for RNA Pol II stalling following mitochondrial ETC stress.}

\textbf{(A)} Schematic showing genesis of R-loops following stalling of RNA Polymerase II. Modified from 51.

\textbf{(B, C)} RNAi knockdown of \textit{atl-1} in wild type (N2) worms reduces \textit{atl-1} transcript abundance to the same extent as in maternal-effect \textit{atl-1}(tm853) mutants. In (C), all worms are unbalanced F1 progeny from parents carrying the nT1 reciprocal chromosomal translocation (\textit{N} = 3; error bars: SEM; significance testing: Student’s t-test; symbols: significantly different from wt: * p<0.05; *** p<0.001; significantly different from \textit{atl-1}(tm853): ^ p < 0.05). In (B), \textit{N}=2 and # marks range.
(D) R-loops are significantly increased in N2 worms following knockdown of *isp-1* (1/10th RNAi strength). Combined knockdown of *isp-1* (1/10th RNAi strength) and *atl-1* (9/10th RNAi strength) does not abrogate R-loop accumulation. *Top panel:* representative immunoblot of R-loops, *Bottom panel:* Immunoblot quantitation: *N* = 3; error bars: SEM; Student’s t-test (vs. vector): *p* ≤ 0.05, **p** ≤ 0.01.

(E) Samples in (D) were further analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis (*top two panels*) and then western blotting using an antibody that cross reacts with DNA::RNA hybrids (*α*S9.6, *bottom panel*). Knockdown of *isp-1* results in an increase of gDNA associated R-loops, and unknown small molecular weight DNA::RNA hybrids.

RNA splicing is not altered by mitochondrial ETC disruption

Having identified a possible mechanism by which ATL-1 is activated in worms experiencing mitochondrial ETC stress, we next sought to determine the mode by which ATL-1 acts to further disrupt mitochondrial function. It is well-established that pre-mRNA splicing is a co-transcriptional process and it has also been reported that *isp-1(qm150)* mutants are sensitive to knockdown of two spliceosome factors SFA-1 and REPO-1, fully ablating their life extension. We therefore tested whether stalling of RNA pol II altered either splicing efficiency or splicing fidelity in worms exposed to ETC disruption, and if ATL-1 played a role in this process. We used two synthetic-gene reporter assays developed by Kuroyanagi and colleagues designed to measure alternate splicing at the *egl-15* and *ret-1* loci, respectively. The latter reporter was shown to be a sensitive marker of age-related splicing dysfunction. Each assay has the potential to produce two fluorescent outputs that record changes in the nature of a differential splicing event and the tissues in which these events occur (Supplemental Fig. S4 A, B). We exposed worms carrying the relevant reporter cassette to double feeding RNAi targeting *atp-3* or *isp-1* (1/10th strength) in conjunction with either *atl-1* or vector control (9/10th RNAi strength) (Supplemental Fig. S4C). Animals were followed three days into adulthood. None of the conditions that disrupted the mitochondrial ETC, neither in the presence or absence of *atl-1*, resulted in alteration of *egl-15* or *ret-1* splicing relative to vector control treated animals.

Reduced ATL-1 activity does not enhance hormetic stress response activation
We next tested whether loss of *atl-1* affected the activity of major stress response pathways known to be activated by *atp-3* or *isp-1* depletion. Loss of *atl-1* did not result in constitutive activation of *P*-*hsp-6::GFP*, *P*-*gst-4::GFP* or *P*-*tbb-6::GFP*, which are markers of ATFS-1, SKN-1/NRF-2 and PMK-3/p38 activation, respectively (*Supplemental Fig. S5A-D*). Neither did loss of *atl-1* increase gene expression of *sod-3*, *ugt-61* or *hsp-6* (*Supplemental Fig. S5E*). These results indicate that reduction of *atl-1* does not affect typical mitochondrial stress response pathways.

Oxygen consumption remains surprisingly unaltered in *atl-1* mutants exposed to ETC disruption

We next tested whether mitochondria in *atl-1(tm853)* mutants were inherently different from those of wild type worms. We also analyzed mitochondria from *atm-1(gk186)* single mutant worms, as well as *atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186)* double mutants. Properties that we examined included mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) content, nuclear-encoded ETC transcript abundance, mitochondrial morphology and whole-worm oxygen consumption. Our findings can be summarized as follows: relative to wild type worms, mtDNA copy number is halved in *atl-1(tm853)* mutants, consistent with an earlier report. MtDNA content was also halved in *atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186)* double mutants (*Fig. 7A*). To assess changes in nuclear-encoded ETC transcript abundance, we focused on five genes: *nuo-6*, *mev-1*, *isp-1*, *cco-1* and *atp-3*, representing subunits from mitochondrial complexes I through V, respectively. We found that all transcripts except *nuo-6* were significantly decreased relative to wild type worms in all four mutant strains studied. The only exception was *mev-1*, for which mRNA levels remained unchanged in *atm-1(gk186)* mutants (*Fig. 7B*).

To quantify changes in mitochondrial morphology we employed a hexokinase-2::GFP translational reporter (HXK2::GFP) that is localized to the outer mitochondrial membrane. We observed a significant increase in the number of fused mitochondria in reporter worms treated with *isp-1* RNAi (p<0.0024, see Methods for details of statistical testing). Co-knockdown of *atl-1* did not significantly affect this result. Mitochondria in worms treated only with *atl-1* RNAi were indistinguishable from those of wild type animals (*Fig. 7C*). Finally, the most significant finding that we uncovered related to alterations in oxygen consumption by *atl-1(tm853)* mutants. When wild type worms, *atl-1(tm853)* and
atm-1(gk186) single mutants, as well as atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186) double mutants were cultured on isp-1 RNAi, the basal oxygen consumption rate of atl-1(tm853) mutants, as well as atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186) double mutants, significantly increased relative to wild type worms (Fig. 7D). Moreover, while isp-1 knockdown uniformly decreased oxygen consumption in wild type worms, atm-1(gk186) single mutants and atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186) double mutants, and by the same extent, oxygen consumption in atl-1(tm853) surprisingly remained unchanged following this treatment. We conclude that while atl-1(tm853) mutants contain half as much mtDNA as wild type worms and have reduced abundance of several nuclear-encoded ETC transcripts, these worms surprisingly maintain normal oxygen consumption, even when confronted with disruption of their mitochondrial electron transport chain.

Figure 7. atl-1(tm853) mutants maintain normal oxygen consumption when confronted with disruption of their mitochondrial ETC.

(A) Mitochondrial DNA abundance is significantly decreased in atl-1(tm853) mutants compared to wild type worms (N=3).

(B) Transcript abundance of nuclear-encoded ETC genes is significantly decreased in atl-1(tm853) mutants relative to wild type worms (N=3; Cx: complex).

(C) Morphology of body-wall muscle mitochondria assessed using HXK-2::GFP, following atl-1 knockdown in the absence or presence of mitochondrial ETC disruption (1/10th strength isp-1 RNAi). Both atl-1 and isp-1 knockdown significantly alter mitochondrial morphology relative to vector-control (p<0.0024, refer to Methods for significance testing).

(D) atl-1(tm853) mutants are resistant to changes in oxygen consumption following isp-1 knockdown (1/10th strength RNAi). All other tested strains experienced a significant decrease in oxygen consumption (N=4). Oxygen consumption was measured using a Seahorse XFe24 Analyzer.

In (A) and (D), all worms are the unbalanced F1 progeny of parents carrying the nT1 reciprocal chromosomal translocation. In (A), (B) and (D), asterisks indicate significantly different from wild type (Student's t-test) unless otherwise noted by a bar for the relevant comparison group: * p<0.05. ** p<0.01. *** p<0.001; ns, not significant. In (D), ^^^ p<0.001 in comparison to wild type on isp-1 RNAi. In (A) and (B), error bars are SEM; in (D), box and whisker plots show 10-90 percentile, with outliers marked (dots).
Loss of ATR leads to recovery of translational activity in worms exposed to ETC disruption.

Several mechanisms could explain how \textit{atl-1(tm853)} mutants maintain oxygen consumption despite ETC disruption. Any process that ultimately increases flux or efficiency of the respiratory chain could be involved. Enhanced supercomplex formation, elevated matrix Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, modification of ETC subunits, or simply enhanced translational output of respiratory subunits, could all be behind the unique respiratory response seen in \textit{atl-1(tm853)} mutants. We focused on translational output because there is evidence from human 293T cells that ATR might directly regulate the ribosomal machinery by affecting phosphorylation of the nascent polypeptide-associated complex (NAC)\textsuperscript{22}. In worms, ICD-1 is the sole \(\beta\)NAC isoform\textsuperscript{59, 60}. Although the ATR phosphorylation site of human BTF3/\(\beta\)NAC is not conserved in ICD-1, we observed by western analysis that ICD-1 protein levels are selectively elevated in \textit{atl-1(tm853)} mutants following \textit{atp-3} knockdown (\textit{isp-1} knockdown was not tested due to limited quantities of antisera, see Materials & Methods) (Fig. 8A). Moreover, early analyses involving several hundred microarray datasets\textsuperscript{61} showed \textit{icd-1} formed part of a tightly clustered gene co-expression group (Fig. 8B) that is significantly enriched (hypergeometric distribution, \(p<0.05\)) for genes encoding ribosomal and mitochondrial ETC subunits, as well as key translational regulatory factors (Fig. 8C). We therefore tested whether global translational activity was downregulated by mitochondrial ETC disruption and if loss of \textit{atl-1} permitted its recovery. We employed polysome profiling in conjunction with RNAi targeting both \textit{isp-1} (1/10\textsuperscript{th} RNAi strength) and either \textit{atl-1} or vector (both at 9/10\textsuperscript{th} RNAi strength). We found that the actively translating polysome fraction of worms treated with \textit{isp-1} RNAi was decreased by half relative to vector control-treated worms. Strikingly, simultaneous removal of both \textit{atl-1} and \textit{isp-1} restored polysome activity to wild type levels (Fig. 8D). Loss of \textit{atl-1} in the absence of ETC disruption did not significantly impact polysome abundance relative to wild type worms. These data imply that ATL-1, when activated by mitochondrial ETC disruption, normally acts to dampen global ribosomal translation. Whether this is through a novel phosphorylation site on ICD-1, downregulation of factors that normally control \textit{icd-1} expression, or via some other means that globally regulates translational activity, remains unclear. There must be some specificity in the translational targets of ATR-1, however,
because simply elevating global rRNA production by inhibiting ncl-1, a negative regulator of FIB-1/fibrillarin, a nucleolar protein involved in the regulation and maturation of rRNA, is not sufficient to block the size reduction caused by isp-1 bacterial feeding RNAi (Fig. 6E, F). Collectively, our results lead to a model for how ATL-1 acts to modulate the mitochondrial threshold effect (Fig. 8G).

**Figure 8. Knockdown of atl-1 prevents global translation reduction caused by mitochondrial ETC disruption.**

(A) *Top panel:* ICD-1 protein abundance in atl-1(tm853) mutants relative to wild type animals following atp-3 knockdown (N=2, bars indicate range). *Bottom panel:* Representative western blot. All worms are the unbalanced F1 progeny of parents carrying the nT1 reciprocal chromosomal translocation.

(B) *C. elegans* gene co-expression terrain map generated using VxInsight showing icd-1-containing ‘Mount 23’ (white arrow). Progressive zoom shots of Mount 23 are shown (top to bottom). The position of icd-1 is marked by a white square.

(C) 88 of 133 (66%) genes comprising Mount 23 of the *C. elegans* gene co-expression terrain map encode either mitochondrial ETC proteins or ribosomal proteins, including both α- and β-NAC (ICD-1).

(D) Polysome profiling shows knockdown of atl-1 (9/10th RNAi strength) restores the level of actively translating ribosomes to control levels in worms grown on isp-1 RNAi (1/10th strength).

(E) ncl-1(e1865) and ncl-1(e1942) mutants do not confer resistance to the size reducing effects of bacterial feeding RNAi targeting isp-1 relative to wild type (N2) worms. ncl-1 mutants are naturally larger that N2 worms. (mean +/- SEM)

(F) RNAi remains efficacious in ncl-1(e1865) and ncl-1(e1942) mutants. Shown is survival following exposure to increasing doses of a lethal feeding RNAi (skn-1). Data from a single experiment is shown that was established simultaneously with common reagents and using 20 synchronous one day old adult worms per test condition.

(G) Model showing how removal of ATL-1 counters loss of mitochondrial electron transport chain disruption. ETC insufficiency results in nucleotide imbalances, stalling of nucleotide polymerases, activation of ATL-1, and dampening of global translation, leading to magnification of ETC dysfunction.

**DISCUSSION**
In this study, we discovered that the PIKK kinase ATR/ATL-1 plays a specific role in modulating the mitochondrial threshold effect of *C. elegans*. When flux through the ETC was reduced, ATL-1 was activated. We presented data suggesting stalling of RNA polymerase could be the trigger for ATL-1 activation. We recorded measurable reductions in many nucleotide species in adult worms, including substrates for both RNA and DNA polymerase, and we presented biochemical evidence showing RNA polymerase stalling following ETC disruption. In addition to these findings we showed that ATL-1 paradoxically exacerbates mitochondrial dysfunction during times of mitochondrial stress. Specifically, when worms containing reduced levels of *atl-1* were challenged with ETC disruption, unlike wild type animals, these showed no signs of impairment in global translational output or respiratory oxygen consumption. Phenotypically, loss of *atl-1* effectively reversed a mitochondrial ETC insufficiency in *C. elegans*. The survival benefits of further dampening ETC activity following an ETC insult are not entirely clear, but dampening of mitochondrial respiratory activity in conjunction with global translational dampening might represent an effort to slow overall metabolism or to maintain stoichiometric balance between nuclear- and mitochondria-encoded ETC proteins.

**Mode of ATL-1 Activation Following Mitochondrial ETC Disruption**

In our search for signals that triggered ATL-1 activation in response to ETC disruption we found no evidence for elevated rates of nuclear DNA mutation or strand breakage. This does not preclude the possibility that DNA damage occurred and was accurately repaired. Such damage would have escaped detection in our assays. Nonetheless, we investigated other potential mechanisms of ATL-1 activation and found that nucleotide pools were significantly changed when analyzing *isp-1(qm150)* mutants. This is significant because ATR activation has been linked to changes in nucleotide pools in other model systems. Specifically, in *S. cerevisiae*, glucose starvation induces Snf1p (AMPK) at the mitochondrial surface following reduction of adenosine ribonucleotide energy charge. In turn, Snf1p was shown to phosphorylate Mec1p (ATR)\(^{34}\). Whether a similar mode of ATR activation functions in *C. elegans* remains unclear, but Ggc1p, the protein that initially recruits Mec1p to the yeast mitochondrial membrane under low glucose conditions has no worm ortholog. We therefore decided to pursue an
alternate hypothesis for nucleotide-dependent activation of ATR in worms, namely stalling of RNA and/or DNA polymerases.

Previously it had been shown that blocking RNA polymerase during transcriptional elongation is sufficient to activate ATR and induce a DNA damage response (DDR) \(^{48}\). Indeed, we found evidence that DNA::RNA R-loop hybrids are increased in the presence of mitochondrial ETC disruption, which is indicative of transcriptional stalling. However, it is unclear whether all the R-loops we detected were genomic DNA (gDNA)-derived transcription intermediates. When examining samples on an agarose gel in conjunction with an antibody targeting these structures (S9.6), R-loops are expected to appear at a high molecular weight in association with the gDNA band. We clearly detected an elevated signal in this region in our *isp-1* RNAi treated samples, consistent with our hypothesis. Also but, we observed an additional smear of low molecular weight nucleic acids. At this point it is unknown if these low molecular weight molecules are truly DNA::RNA hybrids or a contaminating nucleic acid. The S9.6 antibody has a 5-fold higher affinity for DNA::RNA hybrids over RNA::RNA hybrids \(^{50}\), so in anticipation of the latter our samples were treated with RNase A ruling out this possibility. One idea is that the low molecular weight DNA::RNA hybrids are derived from mtDNA fragments that have leaked from dysfunctional mitochondria. Consistent with this idea, in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, mitochondrial stress results in mtDNA leaking into the cytoplasm and initiating an immune response \(^{63}\). On the other hand, worms use a rolling circle replication method for their mtDNA, so precisely how R-loops would form in the absence of D-loop (theta) replication, as is used by mammalian cells, remains enigmatic \(^{64}\). Another idea is that the low molecular weight species we detected are cytoplasmic DNA::RNA hybrids produced by DNA polymerase α (DNA pol α). Starokadomsky and colleagues \(^{65}\), showed that a small fraction of DNA pol α localizes to the cytoplasm in human cells where it generates DNA::RNA hybrids. These molecules directly modulate production of type I interferons and possibly exist to control the activation threshold of the innate immune response by foreign nucleic acids. In patients with X-linked reticulate pigmentary disorder (XLPDR), a primary immunodeficiency with autoinflammatory features, levels of the catalytic subunit of DNA pol α (DNA PolA1) are decreased enough to disrupt cytoplasmic DNA::RNA hybrid formation, but not enough to affect DNA replication \(^{65}\). Intriguingly, in our screen for DDR proteins that
when inhibited reversed the small size phenotype of *atl-1(tm853); atm-1(gk186)* double mutants experiencing mitochondrial ETC stress, we identified an RNAi clone targeting DNA PolA1. Although not discussed earlier, we also isolated a second DNA pol α clone in that screen that targeted a different region of DNA PolA1 but instead resulted in synthetic lethality. This dose-dependent phenotypic response is remarkably similar to what was just discussed for humans. Obviously, more work is needed to determine the identity, provenance and significance of the low molecular weight DNA::RNA species in worms experiencing ETC stress and if and how they might relate to ATR activation.

**Downstream targets of ATL-1 that Modulate Mitochondrial ETC Activity**

One important finding from our study is the observation that *atl-1* knockdown mitigates the effects of mitochondrial ETC dysfunction. This is an intriguing finding as it suggests the normal cellular response to ETC disruption is ostensibly further detrimental. Two possible explanations for how loss of *atl-1* could result in enhanced ETC function are, *atl-1* knockdown triggers active restoration of mitochondrial function, or alternatively, *atl-1* knockdown precludes propagation of whatever negative downstream effects are normally elicited by ETC disruption. According to our data, *atl-1(tm853)* mutants contain half the amount of mtDNA as wild type worms and have significantly reduced abundance of nuclear-encoded ETC transcripts. These findings argue against the first hypothesis. Surprisingly, despite their shortcomings, we find that *atl-1(tm853)* mutants are still able to maintain oxygen consumption at near normal levels when confronted with ETC disruption. The precise mechanism involved is yet to be determined, but it may be as simple as *atl-1(tm853)* mutants being able to maintain the translation of a factor that enhances supercomplex formation, for example 66. Alternatively *atl-1(tm853)* mutants may be able to keep their cells fortified with sufficient ETC proteins to counteract any rapid turnover that is likely to occur when subunits become rate-limiting during the assembly process. Along these lines, when we examined polysome formation under conditions of ETC dysfunction, we found that animals with functional ATL-1 had reduced polysome formation but removal of *atl-1* restored this number back to pre-ETC disruption levels. Future studies will be aimed at determining the precise mRNA species present in these polysomes.
Another way in which \textit{atl-1} (tm853) mutants might be able to counter ETC disruption involves the nascent protein folding machinery. We showed that ICD-1/βNAC, a protein that acts as a ribosome-associated chaperone, is upregulated in \textit{atl-1} mutants upon ETC disruption. In yeast and plants, βNAC plays a role in targeting proteins to the mitochondria and is required for efficient mitochondrial function \textsuperscript{67, 68, 69, 70, 71}. βNAC was also one of the few proteins found to be specifically regulated by ATR-dependent phosphorylation in mammals \textsuperscript{22}. The ATR-dependent phosphorylation site in mammals is not conserved in \textit{C. elegans}, however there are several other potential phosphorylation sites in the ICD-1 protein sequence \textsuperscript{72}. It will be of particular interest in future to ascertain if ATR directly regulates βNAC abundance on ribosomes to modulate translation of ETC transcripts in worms.

\textbf{Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Mammals}

Johnson and colleagues \textsuperscript{73} successfully extended the survival of a mouse model of Leigh syndrome, which was deficient in Ndufs4 of complex I, by chronic administration of the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin. mTOR is a positive regulator of p70S6 kinase and a negative regulator of 4E-BP, both of which work to elevate translation. At first this seems surprising in light of our findings suggesting global translation needs to be elevated to counter ETC dysfunction in worms. However, while acute inhibition of mTOR indeed results in global dampening of translation, it also results in the specific translational upregulation of mitochondrial ETC components \textsuperscript{74, 75}. Also, it has been reported that in contrast to acute rapamycin administration, chronic rapamycin administration does not lead to reduced ribosomal activity \textit{in vivo} \textsuperscript{76}. Whether the increase in polysomes that we observed in \textit{atl-1} animals exposed to ETC disruption represented upregulation of global mRNA translation or just a discrete subset of mRNAs only encoding ETC proteins, remains to be determined.

In conclusion, we have shown that blockade of \textit{atl-1} effectively reverses ETC disruption in \textit{C. elegans}. Preventing mitochondria from transitioning into their critical ETC threshold appears, quite literally, to be the difference between life and death.

\textbf{METHODS}
A detailed description of all methods is provided in Supplemental File S1.

Nematode Strains and Maintenance. Genotypic information for all strains used in this study is provided in Table S4. Lines were maintained at 20˚C on standard NGM agar plates seeded with E. coli (OP50). To control for possible untoward effects derived from the nT1(IV:V) chromosomal pair in maternally-rescued atl-1(tm853) mutants, all lines, including the wild type control, were moved into the nT1(IV:V) background and then unbalanced F1 animals freshly collected for each relevant experiment. Further details are provided in Supplemental File S1.

Bacterial Feeding RNAi. All bacterial feeding RNAi constructs were designed in the pL4440 vector backbone and maintained in HT115 bacteria. Growth rate, life span, RNAi efficacy assays and RNAi dilution methods are described in Supplemental File S1.

Microscopy. Images of fluorescent worms were captured using an Olympus SZX16 fluorescence microscope connected to an Olympus DP71 CCD camera. In Fig. 7C, to determine whether differences existed in the morphology of mitochondria between the four tested conditions, four randomly selected images from each condition were mixed and then grouped by a scorer who was blind to image identity. In a set of 16 images, 10 were co-grouped correctly. The probability that this grouping occurred by chance was modeled using an Excel VBA macro that randomly binned the images into four groups of four, 10,000 times (File S3). The final p-value was <0.0024 and deemed significant.

mRNA and mtDNA Quantitation. For quantitation of mRNA, fold change in each mRNA of interest was determined using the ΔΔCt method normalized to the geometric mean of cdc-42, pmp-3, and Y45F10D.4. For quantitation of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), PCR primer pairs targeting mtDNA-encoded ctb-1 and intron 4 of genomic DNA-encoded ama-1 were used as described. The ratio of mitochondrial DNA to nuclear DNA was then calculated using Real Time PCR, using ama-1 as the normalizing quantity. A complete list of qPCR primers is provided in Table S5.
Mutation Screening Assays:

Full methodological details of the *unc-93(e1500)* and *unc-58(e665)* reversion assays, the eT1(III;V) and nT1(IV;V) lethal mutation assays, the Lac-Z Frameshift Assay, and the ‘terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling’ (TUNEL) assay are presented in Supplemental File S1.

Nucleotide Extraction and Mass Spectrometry. Quantitation of deoxyribonucleotide and ribonucleotide species in whole-worm extracts of *isp-1(qm150)* and wild-type (N2) animals was undertaken using HPLC-MS at the UTHSCA Mass Spectrometry Facility. Methods for nucleotide extraction, separation, quantitation and statistical analysis are provided in Supplemental File S1.

R-loop Quantification. R-loop formation in worms was quantified using αS9.6 primary antibody (Kerafast, 1:2000 in 5% Blotto, 16 hours, 4˚C), previously shown to be selective for DNA::RNA hybrids. A list of western reagents and methods are provided in Supplemental File S1.

Spliceosome Reporter Assays. *egl-15* and *ret-1* alternate splicing reporter genes were engineered by Kuroyanagi and colleagues. Details of reporter construction and their use is provided in Supplemental File S1.

Nematode Oxygen Consumption:

Nematode oxygen consumption measurements were undertaken using a Seahorse XFe24 Analyzer. Approximately 30 worms were added to each Seahorse well, with 5 replicate wells employed per test condition. We followed the procedure of Luz and colleagues, and only averaged oxygen consumption rates across the final four measurement phases for each well to provide a single rate value for that well. A total of four independent experiments was performed. Significance testing was undertaken using the Student’s t-test, with *p*<0.05 considered significant.
**Polysome Profiling.** Quantitative polysome profiling was undertaken using the procedure of Steffen and colleagues using a Brandel BR-188 Density Gradient Fractionation System. Several modifications were included to optimize for *C. elegans* polysome extraction and these are described in detail in **Supplemental File S1**. Two fully independent experimental replicates were collected.

**Western Analysis.** Western blotting reagents and suppliers are described in **Supplemental File S1**.

**DNA Damage Response (DDR) Screen.**

The *atm-1(gk186); atl-1(tm853)* suppressor screen was undertaken using a bacterial feeding RNAi targeting 201 DDR-related genes. A detailed protocol of our screening method, including quantitation of the RNAi Effect Size and Significance Testing, is provided in **Supplemental File S1** and **Tables S1-S3**.
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**Figure S4.** mRNA splicing remains unaltered in worms experiencing ETC disruption, both in the absence or presence of *atl-1* knockdown.

**Figure S5.** *atl-1* knockdown does not alter retrograde response pathways normally induced by mitochondrial ETC stress.

**SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES**
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**File S2.** Mean difference ratios of all hits from DNA Damage Response (DDR) screen. (Related to Fig. 3)

**File S3.** Significance testing for differences in HXK2::GFP fluorescence. (related to Fig. 7C)
### Table C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype (Strain)</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Mean Lifespan (days)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Log Rank Comparison (vs. within-strain control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wild type (SLR4)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 atp-3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted atp-3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.56E-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all-1 (SLR1)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 atp-3</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted atp-3</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.33E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm-1 (SLR2)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 atp-3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted atp-3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.09E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all-1; atm-1 (SLR3)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 atp-3</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>7.31E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted atp-3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6.24E-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all-1; atm-1 (SLR5)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 atp-3</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.94E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted atp-3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype (Strain)</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Mean Lifespan (days)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Log Rank Comparison (vs. within-strain control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wild type (SLR4)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 isp-1</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1.30E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted isp-1</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>6.17E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all-1 (SLR1)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 isp-1</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted isp-1</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.89E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm-1 (SLR2)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 isp-1</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.76E-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted isp-1</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.24E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all-1; atm-1 (SLR3)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 isp-1</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>9.87E-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted isp-1</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2.43E-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all-1; atm-1 (SLR5)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1/10 isp-1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.17E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undiluted isp-1</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.60E-16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Diagrams

**Diagram A**
- Genotype comparisons with images of different conditions.
- Vector, atp-3 1:10, isp-1 1:10, and undiluted conditions are shown.

**Diagram B**
- Fold change in worm length with different RNAi treatments.
- 1/10 RNAi concentrations for atp-3 and isp-1 are highlighted.

**Diagram C**
- Fold change in mRNA levels with atp-3 and isp-1 RNAi treatments.
- Vector, 1/10 dilution, and undiluted conditions are shown.

**Diagram D**
- Mean lifespan comparisons with isp-1 RNAi treatments.
- Vector, 1/10 dilution, and undiluted conditions are shown.
### Table A: Genotypic Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNAI Treatment</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>wild type</th>
<th>atl-1</th>
<th>atm-1</th>
<th>atl-1;atm-1 (SLR3)</th>
<th>atl-1;atm-1 (SLR5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNAI #1 (1/10)</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAI #2 (9/10)</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mak-1</td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image17" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image18" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image19" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image20" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vector</td>
<td><img src="image21" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image22" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image23" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image24" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image25" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mak-2</td>
<td><img src="image26" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image27" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image28" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image29" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image30" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td><img src="image31" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image32" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image33" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image34" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image35" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table B: Survival Analysis

![Image](image36)  
![Image](image37)  
![Image](image38)  

### Table C: Lifespan Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype (Strain)</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>RNAI #1 (1/10)</th>
<th>RNAI #2 (9/10)</th>
<th>Mean Lifespan (days)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Log Rank (vs. A1)</th>
<th>Log Rank (vs. A2)</th>
<th>Log Rank (A2 vs. A1; B2 vs. B1; C2 vs. C1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type (SLR4)</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.93E-15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.21E-7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>7.96E-13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atl-1 (SLR1)</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.17E-09</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>7.96E-13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm-1 (SLR2)</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.93E-14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.32E-14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.73E-5</td>
<td>2.30E-09</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atl-1;atm-1 (SLR3)</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.70E-16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>8.40E-16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.68E-10</td>
<td>2.84E-16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atl-1;atm-1 (SLR5)</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.69E-23</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.92E-20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>atp-3</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.33E-20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Genome Coverage*</th>
<th>atp-3 : Vector RNAi Ratio</th>
<th>F1 Animals Scored</th>
<th>Mutants Detected</th>
<th>Mutant Frequency (%)</th>
<th>Fisher's Exact Test P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dpy-18(e364)/eT1 III; unc-46(e177)/eT1 V.</td>
<td>Chr III: Chr V</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nT1 (IV;V)</td>
<td>Chr IV: Chr V</td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nT1 (IV;V) (q{s50})</td>
<td>Chr IV: Chr V</td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chromosomes drawn to scale. Green star in schematic indicates the presence of GFP marker.

### Table B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>RNAi (Dilution)</th>
<th>Plates Scored</th>
<th>Plate Reversion Frequency (%)</th>
<th>Fisher's Exact Test P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>unc-58 (e665)</td>
<td>Vector</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>atp-3 (1:20)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rpa-1 (1:10)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unc-93 (e1500)</td>
<td>Vector</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>atp-3 (1:20)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>0.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rpa-1 (1:10)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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