Radiographic and clinical risk factors of total knee arthroplasty in asymptomatic knees; a 15-year follow-up study

Flemming Kromann Nielsen 1 §; Anne Grethe Jurik 1,2; Anette Jørgensen 3; Niels Egund 1.

E-mail addresses: flemming.kromann@aarhus.rm.dk; annejuri@rm.dk; anetjoer@rm.dk; nielegun@rm.dk;

1 Department of Radiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Palle Juul Jensens Boulevard 99, 8200, Aarhus N, Denmark

2 Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Palle Juul Jensens Boulevard 99, 8200, Aarhus N, Denmark

3 Diagnostic Centre, University Research Clinic for Innovative Patient Pathways, Department of Internal Medicine, Silkeborg Regional Hospital, Falkevej 1, 8600 Silkeborg, Denmark

§ Corresponding author

Flemming Kromann Nielsen, MD, PhD

Department of Radiology, Aarhus University Hospital

Palle Juul Jensens Boulevard 99,

8200, Aarhus N

Denmark

Email: flemming.kromann@aarhus.rm.dk

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is one of the most common causes of debilitating joint disease (1). Moreover, the economic burden associated with treatment and loss of productivity is substantial (2). The course of disease varies considerably, and it is essential to identify valid biomarkers that can be used to accurately predict disease progression. Previous studies have described potential biochemical markers (3,4) but imaging has the advantage of visualizing OA in specific joints. Radiography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the most widely used imaging techniques in knee OA; the latter primarily for scientific purposes. Thus, MRI has gathered considerable attention in knee OA research, partly due to its ability to detect pre-clinical OA. However, there is currently no formalized MRI-based OA definition (5,6).

Radiography is an established method in the diagnosis of knee OA (7) and is often used in disease follow-up (8). An inconsistent association between radiographic and symptomatic OA, however, hampers diagnosis and complicates management of patients with knee OA (9,10). Thus, clinical signs of OA can be seen without radiographic OA and radiographic OA changes are frequently observed in persons without symptoms (11). However, increasing radiographic OA severity is more likely to be accompanied by symptoms (12).

The long-term consequences of radiographic changes in symptomatic knee OA have been investigated in several studies (13–15) whereas the significance of such findings in asymptomatic knees remains uncertain. Radiographic OA might, however, be an important prognostic marker in asymptomatic knees and could be associated with the long-term risk of symptomatic OA development and eventually total knee arthroplasty (TKA).

In a previous study, radiographic changes, specifically joint space narrowing (JSN), were associated with the risk of disease progression and TKA in patients with symptomatic knee OA during a follow-up period of 15 years (15). The contralateral, non-symptomatic knee was examined by radiography at baseline, but not investigated further. Follow-up data on TKA was obtained for both knees (15).

In this study, we investigated whether radiographic or clinical findings at baseline are associated with the incidence of TKA in knees without symptomatic OA during a mean follow-up period of 15 years in persons with symptomatic OA of the other knee.

Materials and methods

Data sources

The study was partly based on data from the Danish Civil Registration System and the Danish National Patient Register.

The Danish Civil Registration System assigns a unique 10-digit personal identification number to all Danish citizens, enabling accurate linkage across all national registers. The Danish National Patient Register includes data on all hospital in-patient as well as out-patient contacts. Danish public and private hospitals are obliged to report discharge diagnoses to the Danish National Patient Register, which are coded according to the Danish version of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10).

70 **Participants**

71 Participants were recruited from a previous multi-center, randomized, placebo-controlled trial
 72 comparing the effect of five intra-articular injections of Hyalgan® and placebo (16) in 337
 73 participants fulfilling the clinical criteria for knee OA according to the ACR (American College of
 74 Rheumatology) criteria (7). The inclusion criteria were primary knee OA, a Lequesne
 75 Algodysfunctional Index score of 10 or more (range: 0-24), a normal C-reactive protein level and e-
 76 GFR ≥ 60 . Exclusion criteria were symptomatic OA from the other knee, inflammatory joint disease
 77 or severe co-morbidity (such as cancer and poor general health). Radiographic OA changes of the
 78 non-symptomatic was not an exclusion criterion. The participants entered the study between
 79 January 2000 and December 2002.

80 A total of 102 participants entered the study at our institution and radiography was obtained of both
 81 knees. At follow-up, radiographs were missing in 14 non-symptomatic knees and in two target
 82 knees; thus, evaluation of radiographic changes in the non-symptomatic knee was confined to 88
 83 participants. The 100 target knees have already been investigated in a previous study (15).

84 Demographic variables were measured at baseline. Participants filled out a Western Ontario and
 85 McMaster Universities (WOMAC) questionnaire (17) regarding their symptomatic knee. The
 86 WOMAC score is divided in three domains: Pain (five items), stiffness (two items) and physical
 87 function (17 items). We used a 100 mm Visual Analogue Scale ranging from no
 88 pain/stiffness/difficulty (0) to extreme pain/stiffness/difficulty (100) with the following ranges: pain
 89 = 0-500, stiffness = 0-200, physical function = 0-1700, total score = 0-2400. No symptomatic
 90 scoring was performed of the 88 non-symptomatic knees.

The original study was approved by the Central Denmark Region Committee on Health Research Ethics and was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to participation, including consent to publish study results and images.

The Central Denmark Region Committee on Health Research Ethics was contacted prior to this follow-up study and the need for ethical approval was waived. The study was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency (1-16-02-126-16).

Imaging and image analysis

Radiographs of both knees were obtained at study inclusion using a one leg weight-bearing technique in 30° flexion in three views: Posteroanterior and lateral views of the tibiofemoral, and axial view of the patellofemoral joint space. The initial lateral radiograph was used as guidance for securing optimal inclination of the lower leg for visualization of the tibiofemoral and patellofemoral joint spaces (18).

All radiographs were anonymized prior to analysis and the reader was blinded to study information and outcome. Radiographic evaluations were performed by a senior musculoskeletal radiologists (NE) according to a modified Ahlbäck grading (19); Grade 0 = normal joint spaces, grade 0.5 = minimal but definite JSN (25% JSN), grade 1.0 = > 50%, grade 1.5 = > 75% and grade 2.0 = 100% JSN; grade 3 = 100% JSN and < 5 mm bone attrition; grade 4 = 100% JSN and > 5 mm bone attrition. We defined OA as JSN $\geq$ grade 0.5 in at least one knee joint space. Osteophytes (grades 0-3), subchondral sclerosis (0/1) and subchondral cysts (0/1) were also assessed (20). Osteophytes were graded as follows: grade 0 = no osteophytes, grade 1 = possible or small osteophytes, grade 2 = definite and moderate osteophytes, grade 4 = large osteophytes.

114

115 **Acquisition of follow-up data**

116 Data on TKA procedures in both knees were extracted from the Danish National Patient Register,
117 dividing the study population into a TKA and a non-TKA group.

118

119 **Statistical analysis**

120 Data were analyzed using Stata 13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Baseline
121 characteristics were analyzed descriptively using means and standard deviations (SDs).

122 Our primary goal was to analyze any associations between baseline radiographic changes and the
123 risk of TKA in the non-symptomatic knee at follow-up. Furthermore, to analyze if other baseline
124 variables, including sex, age and body mass index (BMI), as well as the degree of symptoms in the
125 inclusion knee, were associated with the risk of TKA in the non-symptomatic knee.

126 Associations were analyzed using univariable and multivariable log-binominal regression analyses.
127 Multivariable log-binominal regression was performed for radiographic measures only, with
128 adjustment for possible confounders (sex, age, BMI). The extent of any significant association was
129 expressed in risk ratios (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

130 Kaplan-Meier plots were made comparing the cumulative incidence of TKA over time in relation to
131 JSN (21). BMI was divided into four grades for calculations of RR: Grade 1 (normal) = $<25 \text{ kg/m}^2$,
132 Grade 2 (overweight) = $25 - <30 \text{ kg/m}^2$, Grade 3 (obese) = $30 - <35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and Grade 4 (very obese)
133 = $\geq 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$.

134

135 **Results**

Baseline characteristics of the 88 participants are shown in Table 1. The mean follow-up time was 15.2 years (range 14.2 to 16.4 years). A total of 35 participants had undergone TKA in the non-symptomatic knee at follow-up. Among the different baseline demographic and clinical variables, only an increased BMI was significantly associated with the risk of subsequent TKA, RR 1.4 (95% CI 1.1 to 1.8).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the 88 participants and total knee arthroplasty of the non-symptomatic knee.

Variable	Total (n=88)	Total knee arthroplasty (TKA)*		p Value	If p value <0.05 RR (95% CI)
		Yes (n=35)	No (n=53)		
Female, % (no.)	69.3% (61)	65.7% (23)	71.7% (38)	0.53	-
Age (years), mean (SD)	61.6 (9.9)	59.6 (9.3)	62.3 (10.2)	0.15	-
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	28.7 (4.6)	30.3 (4.3)	27.7 (4.5)	0.003	1.4 (1.1 to 1.8)
WOMAC, mean (SD):					
- Pain	182 (90)	184 (96)	181 (87)	0.87	-
- Stiffness	80 (44)	89 (44)	74 (43)	0.08	-
- Function	656 (318)	684 (337)	637 (307)	0.48	-
Hyalgan treatment %, (no.)**	46.6% (41)	45.7% (16)	47.2% (25)	0.89	-

RR: risk ratio; CI: confidence interval; RR: relative risk; SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities OA index

* Number of participants with TKA at follow-up in knees without symptomatic knee osteoarthritis at baseline

**Hyalgan treatment was administered locally in the symptomatic knee (i.e. the inclusion knee)

The radiographic findings at baseline in the 88 non-symptomatic knees are shown in Table 2. Radiographic OA changes were frequent: 66% had at least Grade 0.5 JSN, osteophytes of any grade were present in 52%, while subchondral sclerosis was present in 13%. Subchondral cysts were only present in three knees (one with subsequent TKA) and were omitted from further analysis due to the low prevalence.

Table 2. Radiographic predictors for knee replacement in knees without symptomatic knee OA at baseline

Variable	Total (n=88)*	Total knee arthroplasty (TKA)		p Value	RR (95% CI)
		Yes (n=35)	No (n=53)		
<i>Mean JSN grade (SD)</i>	0.95 (0.97)	1.59 (1.00)	0.54 (0.69)	<0.001	1.6 (1.4 to 1.9)
JSN grade:					
- 0, % (no.)	34% (30)	17% (6)	45% (24)	N.a.	Baseline**
- 0.5, % (no.)	20% (18)	6% (2)	30% (16)	0.44	0.6 (0.1 to 2.5)
- 1, % (no.)	7% (6)	6% (2)	8% (4)	0.44	1.7 (0.4 to 6.4)
- 1.5, % (no.)	16% (14)	26% (9)	9% (5)	0.005	3.2 (1.4 to 7.3)
- 2, % (no.)	14% (12)	26% (9)	6% (3)	0.001	3.8 (1.7 to 8.2)
- 3, % (no.)	9% (8)	20% (7)	2% (1)	<0.001	4.4 (2.0 to 9.4)
<i>Mean osteophyte grade (SD)</i>	0.84 (0.97)	1.43 (0.95)	0.45 (0.77)	0.001	1.5 (1.3 to 1.8)
Osteophyte grade					
- 0, % (no.)	48% (42)	14% (5)	70% (37)	N.a.	Baseline**
- 1, % (no.)	28% (25)	46% (16)	17% (9)	<0.001	1.7 (0.8 to 2.6)
- 2, % (no.)	16% (14)	23% (8)	11% (6)	<0.001	1.6 (0.6 to 2.5)
- 3, % (no.)	8% (7)	17% (6)	2% (1)	<0.001	2.0 (1.1 to 2.9)
Subchondral sclerosis, present, % (no.)	13% (11)	26% (9)	4% (2)	<0.001	2.4 (1.6 to 3.7)

RR: risk ratio; CI: confidence interval; SD: standard deviation; no.: number; n.a.: not applicable; JSN: joint space narrowing

* Twelve participants excluded because of missing baseline radiographs

** Used as the baseline value; the risk of TKA with increasing JSN and osteophyte grade are calculated compared to the baseline

A significant association was found between TKA at follow-up and baseline JSN (RR 1.6 (95% CI 1.4 to 1.9)), osteophytes (RR 1.5 (95% CI 1.3 to 1.8)) and subchondral sclerosis (RR 2.4 (95% CI 1.6 to 3.7)). The associations did not change after adjustment for potential confounders (results not shown).

Increasing grades of JSN and osteophytes at baseline increased the risk of TKA at follow-up, see Figure 1 and Table 2. Thus, the RR of undergoing TKA in persons with grades 2 and 3 JSN was 3.8 (95% CI 1.7 to 8.2) and 4.4 (95% CI 2.0 to 9.4), respectively, compared to persons with JSN grade 0 (Table 2). The wide confidence intervals reflect the relatively low number of persons in each

group. The Kaplan-Meier time to event analysis illustrates the relation between increasing degrees of JSN at baseline and the risk of TKA (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier plot showing the cumulative incidence of TKA during follow-up in the non-symptomatic knee in relation to different degrees of JSN at baseline

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; JSN: joint space narrowing

Sixty-six participants had undergone TKA in their symptomatic knee at follow-up (results published elsewhere) (15). All participants who undergone a TKA in the non-symptomatic knee already underwent TKA in the symptomatic knee.

Discussion

In this study we found that radiographic OA changes and BMI at baseline were associated with the long-term risk of TKA in non-symptomatic knees during a mean follow-up period of 15 years. Thus, radiographic OA should not be interpreted as a random finding in an otherwise asymptomatic individual but as a risk factor for future OA development, at least in persons with contralateral knee OA.

The prevalence of radiographic OA changes, frequent knee pain and symptomatic knee OA was recently examined in a random sample of 10,000 people between 56 and 84 years of age (mean age 70 years) (11). Frequent knee pain was found in 25.1%. A random sample of 1,300 individuals with frequent knee pain and 650 without were further analyzed. Radiographic OA (defined as Kellgren-

198 Lawrence (K-L) grade of ≥ 2) was found in 25.6% and symptomatic knee OA (defined either as
199 clinical knee OA according to the ACR clinical criteria or as radiographic knee OA and frequent
200 knee pain) was found in 15.4%. Radiographic knee OA without symptoms were seen in 11% and
201 even though these persons did not have OA according to the ACR criteria, our results indicate an
202 increased long-term risk of TKA.

203 The natural course of persons without frequent knee pain but with radiographic knee OA has only
204 been examined in a limited number of studies. In the US Framingham Osteoarthritis Study (22), 869
205 persons were followed for a mean period of 8.1 years. A total of 30% (n=261) had asymptomatic
206 radiographic knee OA at baseline (KL ≥ 2) of which 29% (n=76) had radiographic progression at
207 follow-up; 11% (n=93) developed asymptomatic radiographic knee OA during follow-up. The
208 number of TKAs was not reported. In the UK Chingford Women's Study (23), 561 asymptomatic
209 women were followed for a mean period of 14 years. A total of 19% (n=106) had radiographic knee
210 OA at baseline (KL ≥ 2) of which 38% (n=40) had radiographic progression at follow-up. Nineteen
211 had received a TKA at follow-up; six of them had a baseline K-L ≥ 2 and 13 had a baseline K-L < 2 .

212 Our study sample differed from the populations in these community-based studies (22,23) as
213 symptomatic knee OA was already present in the contralateral knee. Furthermore, our definition of
214 radiographic knee OA was based on the degree of joint space narrowing (19,24) whereas the K-L
215 grading was based on the presence of osteophytes (25). Thus, K-L grade 2 used to define
216 radiographic knee OA in these studies (22,23) was defined as a "definite osteophyte, possible JSN"
217 (23).

218 Despite these differences, our results were similar. Radiographic knee OA was seen in 66% (n=58)
219 at baseline (defined as any degree of JSN); 40% (n=35) progressed to TKA of which 33% (n=29)
220 had some degree of JSN at baseline and 7% (n=6) did not (Table 2). Thus, the rate of disease

221 progression was similar to our results, indicating that the risk of symptomatic knee OA is
 222 significant once radiographic signs of OA are present. Furthermore, our results showed that the
 223 severity of radiographic changes highly increased the risk of TKA and shortened the time before
 224 TKA was performed, see Figure 1 and Table 2.

225 Many risks factors for knee OA are considered systemic, including age, sex and BMI (26), and thus
 226 affect both knees. However, in a study by McMahon and Block examining the rate of contralateral
 227 knee replacement after TKA, no association was found between any systemic risk factor, including
 228 age, sex and BMI, and the subsequent risk of TKA (27). Instead, the baseline K-L grade was
 229 strongly correlated with the future risk of TKA, supporting our main finding, namely that the degree
 230 of radiographic OA changes is an important determinant for the future risk of TKA.

231 We did however also find an association between BMI and the risk of TKA in the non-symptomatic
 232 knee. It has been hypothesized that increased BMI might be more important in the development of
 233 symptomatic knee OA than in disease progression once symptomatic knee OA is present (28). Our
 234 findings seem to corroborate this hypothesis. Thus, a previous analysis of our study population
 235 revealed that an increased BMI was not associated with the risk of TKA in the symptomatic knee
 236 (15). The present analysis of the non-symptomatic knee shows an association between BMI and the
 237 risk of developing symptomatic knee OA resulting in TKA. The study by McMahon and Block (27)
 238 did not report whether the contralateral knee was asymptomatic at baseline and symptoms might
 239 thus already have been present. This would minimize the importance of an increased BMI and help
 240 explain the differing results.

241 Interestingly, all 35 participants who underwent TKA in the non-symptomatic knee had already
 242 undergone TKA in the symptomatic knee. This concurrence may partly be explained by the effect
 243 of TKA: persons who have a TKA in one knee due to symptomatic knee OA find that this treatment

is helpful and are more likely to accept a TKA in the contralateral knee if symptoms of knee OA should occur.

Our study has some limitations. The number of participants was limited compared to other longitudinal studies (29). Only radiographs were available of the non-symptomatic knee. MR examinations would undoubtedly have yielded additional information and might have shown other significant associations as demonstrated previously (15). Our analysis was limited to baseline radiographic data, and no clinical or radiological follow-up data were available including BMI. Thus, we did not know whether any of these parameters changed during the follow-up period. Using TKA as a clinical endpoint can be problematic since the final decision to perform a TKA is based on many individual factors including local surgical practices and patient preferences. The radiographs were only assessed by one reader. However, high observer agreement was found in a previous study (30). Among the strengths of our study is the use of highly reliable register data on TKA combined with a long follow-up period.

Conclusions

We found that radiographic OA changes as well as increased BMI were important prognostic markers for the future risk of TKA in persons without symptomatic knee OA but with symptomatic OA in the contralateral knee, implying that radiographic OA findings are important prognostic factors regardless of symptoms.

265

266

267

268 **Acknowledgements**

269 We thank Kristian Stengaard-Pedersen for collecting patient material.

270

271 **References**

- 272 1. Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D, Nolte S, Ackerman I, Fransen M, et al. The global burden of hip
273 and knee osteoarthritis: estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. *Ann Rheum*
274 *Dis.* 2014 Jul;73:1323–30.
- 275 2. Salmon JH, Rat AC, Sellam J, Michel M, Eschard JP, Guillemin F, et al. Economic impact of
276 lower-limb osteoarthritis worldwide: a systematic review of cost-of-illness studies.
277 *Osteoarthr Cartil.* 2016;24:1500–8
- 278 3. Williams FM, Spector TD. Biomarkers in osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2008;10:101.
- 279 4. M Lotz et al. Value of biomarkers in osteoarthritis: current status and perspectives. *Ann*
280 *Rheum Dis.* 2013 Nov;72:1756–63.
- 281 5. Hunter DJ, Arden N, Conaghan PG, Eckstein F, Gold G, Grainger A, et al. Definition of
282 osteoarthritis on MRI: results of a Delphi exercise. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* 2011 Aug;19:963–9.
- 283 6. Menashe L, Hirko K, Losina E, Kloppenburg M, Zhang W, Li L, et al. The diagnostic
284 performance of MRI in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoarthr*

- 285 Cartil. 2012 Jan;20:13–21.
- 286 7. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. Development of criteria
287 for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the
288 knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism
289 Association. Arthritis Rheum. 1986 Aug;29:1039–49.
- 290 8. Hunter DJ, Felson DT. Osteoarthritis. BMJ. 2006 Mar;332:639–42.
- 291 9. Dieppe PA, Cushnaghan J, Shepstone L. The Bristol “OA500” study: progression of
292 osteoarthritis (OA) over 3 years and the relationship between clinical and radiographic
293 changes at the knee joint. Osteoarthr Cartil. 1997 Mar;5:87–97.
- 294 10. Hochberg MC, Lawrence RC, Everett DF, Cornoni-Huntley J. Epidemiologic associations of
295 pain in osteoarthritis of the knee: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination
296 Survey and the National Health and Nutrition Examination-I Epidemiologic Follow-up
297 Survey. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 1989 May;18:4–9.
- 298 11. Turkiewicz A, Gerhardsson M, Engstrom G, Nilsson PM, Mellstrom C, Lohmander LS, et al.
299 Prevalence of knee pain and knee OA in southern Sweden and the proportion that seeks
300 medical care. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2015;54:827–35.
- 301 12. Bedson J, Croft PR. The discordance between clinical and radiographic knee osteoarthritis: a
302 systematic search and summary of the literature. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2008
303 Sep;9:116.
- 304 13. Chapple CM, Nicholson H, Baxter GD, Abbott JH. Patient characteristics that predict
305 progression of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review of prognostic studies. Arthritis Care

- 306 Res. 2011 Aug;63:1115–25.
- 307 14. Riddle DL, Kong X, Jiranek WA. Factors associated with rapid progression to knee
308 arthroplasty: complete analysis of three-year data from the osteoarthritis initiative. Joint Bone
309 Spine. 2012;79:298–303.
- 310 15. Nielsen FK, Egund N, Jørgensen A, Jurik AG. Risk factors for joint replacement in knee
311 osteoarthritis; a 15-year follow-up study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2017 Dec;18:510.
- 312 16. Jorgensen A, Stengaard-Pedersen K, Simonsen O, Pfeiffer-Jensen M, Eriksen C, Bliddal H,
313 et al. Intra-articular hyaluronan is without clinical effect in knee osteoarthritis: a multicentre,
314 randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of 337 patients followed for 1 year. Ann
315 Rheum Dis. 2010 Jun;69:1097–102.
- 316 17. Bellamy N. Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC).
317 <http://www.rheumatology.org/I-Am-A/Rheumatologist/Research/Clinician->
318 [Researchers/Western-Ontario-McMaster-Universities-Osteoarthritis-Index-WOMAC.](http://www.rheumatology.org/I-Am-A/Rheumatologist/Research/Clinician-)
319 Accessed 08/17 2017.
- 320 18. Skou N, Egund N. Patellar position in weight-bearing radiographs compared with non-
321 weight-bearing: significance for the detection of osteoarthritis. Acta Radiol. 2017
322 Mar;58:331–7.
- 323 19. Ahlback S. Osteoarthrosis of the knee. A radiographic investigation. Acta Radiol Diagn
324 (Stockh). 1968;Suppl 277:7-72.
- 325 20. Egund N, Ryd L. Patellar and quadriceps mechanism. In: Davies AM C-P V, editor. Berlin,
326 Heidelberg: Springer; 2002. p. 217–48. (Imaging of the Knee: Techniques and

Applications.).

21. Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric Estimation from Incomplete Observations. *J Am Stat Assoc.* 1958;53:457–81.

22. Felson DT, Zhang Y, Hannan MT, Naimark A, Weissman BN, Aliabadi P, et al. The incidence and natural history of knee osteoarthritis in the elderly, the framingham osteoarthritis study. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1995 Oct;38:1500–5.

23. Leyland KM, Hart DJ, Javaid MK, Judge A, Kiran A, Soni A, et al. The natural history of radiographic knee osteoarthritis: a fourteen-year population-based cohort study. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2012 Jul;64:2243–51.

24. Boegard T, Rudling O, Petersson IF, Sanfridsson J, Saxne T, Svensson B, et al. Postero-anterior radiogram of the knee in weight-bearing and semiflexion. Comparison with MR imaging. *Acta Radiol.* 1997 Nov;38:1063–70.

25. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JC. Radiological assessment of osteo-arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 1957 Dec;16:494–502.

26. Felson DT, Zhang Y, Hannan MT, Naimark A, Weissman B, Aliabadi P, et al. Risk factors for incident radiographic knee osteoarthritis in the elderly: the Framingham Study. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1997 Apr;40:728–33.

27. McMahon M, Block JA. The risk of contralateral total knee arthroplasty after knee replacement for osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol.* 2003 Aug;30:1822–4.

28. Cooper C, Snow S, McAlindon TE, Kellingray S, Stuart B, Coggon D, et al. Risk factors for the incidence and progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.*

348 2000;43:995–1000.

349 29. Roemer FW, Kwoh CK, Hannon MJ, Hunter DJ, Eckstein F, Wang Z, et al. Can structural
350 joint damage measured with MR imaging be used to predict knee replacement in the
351 following year? Radiology. 2015 Mar;274:810–20.

352 30. Rytter S, Egund N, Jensen LK, Bonde JP. Occupational kneeling and radiographic
353 tibiofemoral and patellofemoral osteoarthritis. J Occup Med Toxicol. 2009 Jul;4:19.

354

Time to TKA, JSN grade

Figure