Receptor tyrosine kinases regulate signal transduction through a liquid–liquid phase separated state

Chi-Chuan Lin¹, Kin Man Suen^{1,5, *}, Polly-Anne Jeffrey^{2, *}, Lukasz Wieteska¹, Amy Stainthorp¹, Caroline Seiler¹, Hans Koss^{3,4}, Carmen Molina-París², Eric Miska⁵, Zamal Ahmed⁶ and John E Ladbury^{1†}

¹School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

²School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

³Institute of Structural and Molecular Biology, Division of Biosciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

⁴The Francis Crick Institute, London, NW1 1AT, UK

⁵Wellcome Trust Cancer Research UK Gurdon Institute, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QN, UK

⁶Department of Molecular and Cellular Oncology, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston TX 77030, USA

* These authors contributed equally.

†Correspondence should be address to J.E.L. (j.e.ladbury@leeds.ac.uk)

Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), the largest class of transmembrane cell surface receptors, initiate signalling pathways which regulate diverse cellular processes. On activation these receptors rapidly recruit multiple downstream effector proteins to moderate affinity tyrosyl phosphate (pY) binding sites. However, the mechanism for expedient downstream effector protein recruitment via random molecular diffusion through the cytoplasm is not fully understood. One way in which the probabilistic outcome associated with random diffusion could be alleviated is through localized accumulation of high effective concentrations of signalling proteins in discrete pools in the cell (1). The inclusion of interacting proteins into liquid-liquid phase-separated (LLPS), membraneless protein droplets maintains functionally relevant proteins at high concentrations in a liquid phase at the required point of action, enhancing equilibrium binding and enzyme activity (2-6). These LLPS states have been associated with a wide range of cellular functions including regulation of signalling through, for example, nephrin (7, 8), the T-cell receptor (9), mTOR (10), and Sos-Ras (11), however, whether LLPS extends to RTK-mediated signal transduction has not been investigated. Here, we show that an RTK, fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2), forms a signalling competent LLPS state with two downstream effectors, a tandem Src homology 2 (SH2) domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase 2 (Shp2), and 1-phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate phosphodiesterase gamma 1 (Plcγ1). We show that these proteins assemble into a ternary complex which exploits LLPS condensation to simultaneously modulate kinase, phosphatase and phospholipase activities. Therefore, LLPS formation ensures that the requirement for prolonged, high-fidelity signalling is achieved. Additional RTKs also form LLPS with their downstream effectors, suggesting that formation of biological condensates is a key organising principle of RTK-mediated signalling, with broad implications for further mechanistic studies as well as therapeutic intervention.

The existence of LLPS properties was investigated for a subset of phosphorylated RTKs (pEGFR, pHer2, pHer4, pFGFR1, pFGFR2, pVEGFR1 and pVEGFR2) and the downstream effector proteins, phosphatase Shp2 (the inactive C459S mutant, Shp2_{C459S} (12)) and adaptor Shc (Fig. 1A). Clearly visible droplets are apparent including both EGFR- and FGFR-family RTKs with Shp2_{C459S}, whilst Shc phase separates with all of the RTKs tested. Although, the formation of RTK-mediated droplets was observed across the panel of RTKs, we selected the phase separation in the presence of FGFR2 and Shp2 for further investigation. Compared to the basal state, stimulation of FGFR2 results in coalescence of micrometer-sized clusters at the plasma membrane of complexes containing Shp2 (Fig. 1B left panel). Another well-studied substrate protein of pFGFR2, Plcγ1 (13), was also seen to condense into droplets on stimulation of cells (Fig. 1B middle panel), whilst no such clusters were observed in the absence of the receptor (Fig. 1B right panel). To explore the mechanism of formation, and functional consequences of FGFR2-containing microclusters, we reconstituted the condensed state from purified components. Sub-micrometer-sized droplets formed upon addition of Shp2 and Plcγ1 to pFGFR2 cytoplasmic domain (pFGFR2_{Cyto}) in the presence of ATP/Mg²⁺. Replacing Shp2 with the inactive mutant Shp2_{C459S} resulted in enhanced droplet formation, suggesting that phase separation is dependent on prolonged phosphorylation (Fig. 1C). Further examination revealed that droplet formation was absent with the individual proteins, but was apparent with the pFGFR2_{Cyto}/Shp2_{C459S} and Shp2_{C459S}/pPlcγ1 pairwise combinations (fig. S1, A and B). The lack of droplet formation between pFGFR2 and pPlcγ1 is consistent with the reported abrogation of interaction upon Y783 Plcγ1 phosphorylation (14, 15). To provide robust confirmation of LLPS state formation, we employed three complementary methods that have emerged as a current standard in the field (16). As expected for liquid-liquid droplets, addition of 1,6-hexanediol led to complete dispersal of the condensates (fig. S1A(vi)), as did the addition of sodium chloride (NaCl) (fig. S1A (vii, viii)). Additionally, the fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching (FRAP) is consistent with a fluid state (Fig. 1D).

We next examined the nature of the complex(es) formed by the three components of the LLPS state. First, we characterised the pairwise protein interactions involved in mediating the ternary complex formation. We demonstrated that Shp2 and FGFR2 engaged using multivalent, cooperative interactions mediated by the C-terminal SH2 domain of Shp2 (CSH2) bound to phosphorylated Y769 on the C-terminal tail of the receptor (Fig. 2A to C, fig. S2, A to D and fig. S3, A to D) as well as additional non-specific weak interactions between Shp2 NSH2 and secondary pY sites on the kinase domain (Fig. 2A, fig. S3D, and table S1).

Direct binding between Shp2 and Plcγ1 is mediated by the tandem SH2 domains (Shp2_{2SH2} and Plcγ1_{2SH2} respectively) and was found to have a moderate affinity ($K_d \sim 1.2 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{M}$; fig. S4A and table S1). Comparison of the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra of the apo-Plcγ1_{2SH2}, and the Plcγ1_{2SH2}-Shp2_{2SH2} complex showed mostly minor, widely distributed changes (fig. S4, B to D) that are consistent with small structural and/or dynamic changes (e.g. associated with domain/subdomain rearrangements). However, a limited number of residues showed pronounced chemical shift perturbations (CSPs) indicative of a specific binding event (Fig. 2D). We mapped observed CSPs onto a structure of Plcγ1_{2SH2} (15), and observed that they localised to a potential binding region (Fig. 2E). Binding of Shp2 to this site would occlude the N-terminal pY binding pocket abrogating the binding of Plcγ1 to pY769 on FGFR2. The CSPs of residues connecting the CSH2 domain with the Y783 peptide region on Plcγ1 are known to be sensitive to any direct or allosteric interference due to fast exchange equilibria between bound and unbound states (17). The absence of any corresponding CSPs indicates that the previously observed intramolecular interactions of the CSH2 domain on Plcγ1 with the pY783 binding pocket remain largely unperturbed by Shp2 binding, and hence can preserve the active state of the phospholipase. This ensures that when both proteins are present only Shp2 can engage the receptor. The presence of pY783 on pPlcγ1 increases the affinity of the phospholipase for Shp2_{2SH2} by 2.5-fold compared to the non-phosphorylated state ($K_d \sim 0.48 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{M}$; fig. S4A and table S1). This increase in affinity is not the result of

additional interactions between pY residues on Plcy1 and Shp2_{2SH2} (fig. S4, E to H and table S1)

The existence of direct pairwise interactions between pFGFR2/Shp2 and Shp2/pPlcy1 maintained via non-exclusive surfaces suggests the possibility of a ternary complex formation, which we postulate is the species relevant for droplet formation. Consistent with reported data (14, 15), we observed initial binding between pFGFR2 and Plcy1_{2SH2} that declined as the phospholipase became phosphorylated by the receptor (Fig. 3A lanes 1-9 and fig. S5, A to C). We also observed Shp2_{2SH2} binding to pFGFR2_{Cyto} throughout the time course of the experiment (Fig. 3A lanes 10-18). When Shp2_{2SH2} and pPlcy1_{2SH2} were mixed with FGFR2, both were found to bind the receptor concurrently (Fig. 3A lanes 19-27). Collectively, the data suggest that pFGFR2, Shp2 and pPlcy1 form a ternary complex.

The mechanism of ternary complex formation was elucidated by reconstituting the interactions on a BLI sensor with immobilized GST-pFGFR2_{Cyto} (Fig. 3B). Saturating the sensor with Shp2_{2SH2} in the presence of ATP/Mg²⁺ showed binary complex formation. Subsequent exposure of GST-pFGFR2_{Cyto}/Shp2_{2SH2} binary complex to excess pPlcy1_{2SH2} which was phosphorylated by the receptor *in situ* resulted in the increase in signal indicating the recruitment of the phospholipase to the binary complex. The absence of ATP/Mg²⁺ and hence the inability of FGFR2 to release Plcy1_{2SH2} after phosphorylation of Y783 compromised ternary complex formation (fig. S5D). Additional detail of ternary complex formation was provided using biomolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) where pFGFR2_{Cyto} and pPlcy1_{2SH2} were N-terminally tagged with a split cyan fluorescent protein (CFP; CN173-pFGFR2_{Cyto} and CC173-pPlcy1_{2SH2} respectively). We observed that pPlcy1_{2SH2} was unable to bind to FGFR2, hence the absence of fluorescent response (Fig. 3C). However, addition of Shp2_{2SH2} resulted in fluorescent signal increase as pFGFR2_{Cyto} and pPlcy1_{2SH2} were brought into proximity through the ternary complex formation. In addition, a high molecular weight complex which included the ternary complex was isolated using size exclusion chromatography (fig. S5E). A deterministic mathematical modelling further supports our mechanistic proposal whereby

ternary complex formation is based on the pFGFR2-Shp2 complex which recruits pPlcy1 (Fig. 3D).

Having identified the interactions which sustain the ternary complex we were able to reconstitute the features of LLPS using truncated Shp2_{2SH2} and pPlcy1_{2SH2} (Fig. 3E and fig. S6, A and B). As with the intact proteins, pFGFR2_{Cyto}, Shp2_{2SH2} and pPlcy1_{2SH2} are sufficient for droplet formation. Reduction of the concentration of the receptor resulted in shrinking of droplet size (fig. S6C), and pre-treatment with a general phosphatase (calf intestine alkaline phosphatase, CIP) resulted in dissipation of droplets (fig. S6D). Inhibition of Shp2 binding to the receptor by incubating with a pY769-containing phosphopeptide, resulted in a reduction in the average size of droplets (fig. S6E). Again, both 1,6-hexanediol and excess of NaCl (fig. S6, F and G) were able to dissolve the pFGFR2_{Cyto}/Shp2_{2SH2} droplets. FRAP also displayed the characteristics of LLPS (fig. S6H). Finally, we used the pY-binding incompetent SH2 domain mutations in Shp2_{2SH2} to show that both Shp2_{2SH2} R32A and Shp2_{2SH2} R32/138A were able to abrogate LLPS because they cannot bind to pY769 of pFGFR2_{Cyto} (fig. S6I). Importantly, Shp2_{2SH2} R138A also abrogated phase separation, suggesting that the non-specific weak interaction with the kinase domain (described in fig. S3E) is necessary for phase separation (fig. S6J).

To demonstrate a potential functional benefit of LLPS formation in the context of FGFR2 signalling, we employed *in vitro* enzymatic assays. Increased kinase activity was demonstrated through mixing an excess of the inactive K517I mutated FGFR2_{Cyto} (FGFR2_{Cyto} K517I), with an LLPS containing the pFGFR2_{Cyto}-Shp2_{C459S} complex. The pFGFR2_{Cyto} in the droplet efficiently phosphorylated the FGFR2_{Cyto} K517I substrate. However, dissolution of the droplet by addition of 1,6-hexanediol led to a reduction in kinase activity (Fig. 4A). The lipolytic activity of Plcy1 was also greatly enhanced when it is in the phase-separated ternary complex compared to the isolated component proteins (Fig. 4B). Moreover, condensed droplet formation may help to buffer the effects of non-specific phosphatases on the activated receptor and pPlcy1. (fig. S7, A and B). Finally, we demonstrated that within LLPS the activity of Shp2

toward both pFGFR2_{Cyto} and phosphopeptide substrate is lowered (Fig. 4C and fig. S7C). Thus, within the LLPS state the functional output of the ternary complex is enhanced through increased kinase and phospholipase activity, and down-regulation of the phosphatase.

To confirm that our *in vitro* functional studies extend to *in cellulo* context, we stably knocked down Shp2 expression in A431 cells (Shp2i). In the absence of Shp2, FGF9 stimulated cells displayed impaired dephosphorylation of Plcy1 (Fig. 4D). Although knockdown of Shp2 should result in prolonged activation of the receptor, the observation that the phosphorylation of downstream effectors PkcβII and Akt is significantly reduced suggests that Plcy1 function is compromised. Despite being in a phosphorylated state Plcy1 is unable to access its membrane-localised substrate in the absence of the scaffolding function of the FGFR2-Shp2 complex. Similar results were observed using CRISPR to knock out Shp2 expression in MCF7 cells (fig. S7D). The decoupling of the phosphatase activity of Shp2 and Plcy1-mediated signalling is further exemplified with addition of the Shp2 inhibitor NSC87877 (50 μM) to either A431 cells (Fig. 4E) or FGFR2_{ΔVT}-expressing HEK293T cells (fig. S7E). In both cell lines the levels of phosphorylated PkcβII and Akt remain unaffected by inhibition of Shp2 underscoring the idea that it is the presence, rather than the activity of Shp2 which is required for Plcy1 activity. Further corroboration of this was shown by knocking down of Shp2 in HEK293T cells that stably expressed FGFR2_{ΔVT} which led to depressed Ca²⁺ concentration (fig. S7F). In agreement with this, we also observed reduced cell motility in A431 cells (Fig. 4F) and FGFR2_{ΔVT}-expressing HEK293T cells (fig. S7G), concomitant with the loss of Plcy1 function.

In the cytoplasmic milieu proteins diffuse randomly to their receptor targets largely unaided energetically. Thus, it is expected that the propagation of a mutually exclusive signal needs to be supported by mechanisms which ensure timely delivery of the correct proteins to the RTK with greater reliability than the probability-dependent diffusion process. Collectively our data argue for a model in which the condensation of pFGFR2, Shp2 and pPlcy1 into a LLPS state (fig. S8). The assembly of the three proteins forming the condensate are reproduced in a

ternary complex suggesting that this forms the basic element of the LLPS state supported by multiple interactions between pY sites on FGFR2 and the SH2 domains of Shp2 and pPlcy1.

A unique aspect of the LLPS complex observed in this work is that, unlike previously reported membraneless organelles or particles (18-23), it is sustained by a plasma membrane-bound receptor. This membrane association limits the extent of expansion of the droplet into the cytoplasm (6, 9), and, as a result, the extent of the LLPS state likely resembles a droplet of condensed protein on the inner leaflet of the membrane (9, 11). Additional work is needed to further understand biological roles of LLPS in RTK signalling, as well as investigate potential links to the manifold disease states associated with perturbations of RTK function. Here, it will be of interest to examine how LLPS formation affects efficacy of therapeutic agents that target RTKs or their effectors.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. HEK293T, A431 and MCF7 cells were maintained in DMEM (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's high glucose medium) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS (foetal bovine serum) and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic (Lonza) in a humidified incubator with 10% CO₂. shRNA control cells (A431 Ci) and Shp2 knockdown cells (A431 Shp2i) were maintained as described previously (27).

Cloning, expression and purification of recombinant proteins. FGFR2 and Shp2 prokaryotic expression plasmids have been described in detail elsewhere (27-29). The full-length Plcy1 expression vector was a kind gift from Dr. Matilda Katan (University College London, UK). Histidine-tagged fusion proteins were purified from BL21(DE3) cells. A single colony was used to transform 100 mL of LB which was grown overnight at 37 °C. 1L of LB were inoculated with 10 mL of this overnight culture and were allowed to grow at 37 °C until the OD₆₀₀=0.8 at which point the culture was cooled down to 20°C and expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Cultures were allowed to grow for a further 12 hours before harvesting by centrifugation. Cells were re-suspended in 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, pH 8.0 in the presence of protease inhibitors and lysed by sonication. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation (40,000 g at 4 °C for 60 min). The soluble fraction was applied to a Talon column. Following a wash with 10 times column volume of buffer (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) protein was eluted from the column with 150 mM imidazole and was concentrated to 5 mL and applied to a Superdex75 gel filtration column in buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl and 1 mM TCEP pH 7.5. Analysis of pure proteins on SDS-PAGE showed greater than 98% purity.

Expression of ¹H, ¹⁵N, ¹³C-labelled protein for backbone resonance assignment was done as previously described (18). For expression in 100% D₂O, this procedure was modified by pre-growing the culture in a small volume of 100% D₂O prior to expression over 20 hours.

***In vitro* phosphorylation of purified proteins.** Purified proteins were phosphorylated by incubating with recombinant FGFR2_{pCyt} conjugated on agarose beads with 5 mM ATP and 10

mM MgCl₂. FGFR2_{pCyt} protein was removed after the phosphorylation reaction by centrifugation. The phosphorylation reactions were quenched by adding EDTA (prepared in 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5) to a final concentration of 50 mM. Proteins were analysed by SDS-PAGE and western blot to study the phosphorylation status.

Protein fluorescent labelling. Purified proteins were labelled with Atto-488, Atto-594 and Atto-647488 (Sigma), according to the manufacturer's protocol using a 1:1 protein:fluorescent dye mole ratio. Labelling was performed in labelling buffer (bicarbonate buffer, 0.1 M, preferably of pH 8.3). Excess label was removed G-15 desalting chromatography. Protein concentration and labelling efficiency were determined according to manufacturer's protocol.

In vitro droplet formation. Purified proteins were mixed according to the experimental requirement. Protein mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 1 minute unless otherwise indication before imaging.

Confocal microscopy. Images were acquired either on Leica SP8 or Zeiss LSM880 microscopes. Samples were prepared in buffer (20mM HEPES, pH 7.5; 100 Mm NaCl, and 1mM TCEP) at indicated concentrations, and incubated at room temperature before imaging.

Pulldown and western blots. For western blotting cells were grown in 10cm dishes, serum starved overnight and stimulated with 10ng/ml FGF9 for the indicated time period. Cells were lysed with buffer containing 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 0.1% (v/v) NP-40, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set III (Calbiochem). The cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 20 minutes. The detergent soluble fraction was used for western blotting or pulldown experiments. For immunoblotting, proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes and incubated with the specific antibodies. Immune complexes were detected with horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibodies and visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions (Pierce).

For pulldown experiments, purified proteins or 1 mg of whole cell lysate was prepared in 1 ml volume. Proteins were immobilized on Glutathione Sepharose (GE Healthcare Life Science) or StrepTactin™ Sepharose (GE Healthcare Life Science) was added and incubated at 4 °C overnight with gentle rotation. The beads were then spun down at 4,000 rpm for 3 minutes, supernatant was removed and the beads were washed with 1 ml buffer. This washing procedure was repeated five times in order to remove non-specific binding. After the last wash, 50 µl of 2x Laemmli sample buffer were added, the sample was boiled and subjected to SDS-PAGE and western blot assay.

Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC). The sequences encoding residues 1-173 (CN173) and 174-238 (CC173) of CFP were fused upstream of sequences encoding FGFR2_{Cyto} and Plcy1_{2SH2} in pET28b vectors for expression in *E. coli* (30). Both proteins were purified and phosphorylated as described above in the presence of FGFR2_{Cyto}, ATP and MgCl₂. The fluorescence complementation was measured using the Monolith NT.115 (NanoTemper Technologies, GmbH) as described above. Protein samples were filled into capillaries and the change in fluorescence intensity upon the adding of Shp2_{2SH2} domain was monitored. Data were plotted using Origin 7.0.

Bio-layer interferometry (BLI). BLI experiments were performed using a FortéBio Octet Red 384 using Anti-GST sensors. Assays were done in 384 well plates at 25 °C. Association was measured by dipping sensors into solutions of analyte protein (FGFR2 proteins) for 125 seconds and was followed by moving sensors to wash buffer for 100 seconds to monitor the dissociation process. Raw data shows a rise in signal associated with binding followed by a diminished signal after application of wash buffer. In experiments in which the ternary complex was reconstituted, GST-pFGFR2_{Cyto} was captured on a sensor, the sensor was saturated with Shp2_{2SH2} forming a binary complex as shown by the increase in signal. The binary complex was then exposed to excess Plcy1_{2SH2}. The further increase in signal on sequential addition of the two proteins to the immobilized GST-pFGFR2_{Cyto} is consistent with ternary complex formation which involves the binding of pPlcy1_{2SH2} to the pre-existing complex of FGFR2-

Shp2_{2SH2}. ATP/Mg²⁺ was added in the experiment described in Fig. 3B in order to phosphorylate Plcy1_{2SH2} by the receptor *in situ*. No ATP/Mg²⁺ was used in the experiment described in the fig. S5B.

Microscale thermophoresis (MST). The binding affinities were measured using the Monolith NT.115 (NanoTemper Technologies, GmbH). Proteins were fluorescently labelled with Atto488 according to the manufacturer's protocol. Labelling efficiency was determined to be 1:1 (protein:dye) by measuring the absorbance at 280 and 488 nm. A 16 step dilution series of the unlabelled binding partner was prepared and mixed with the labelled protein at 1:1 ratio and loaded into capillaries. Measurements were performed at 25 °C in 20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl and 1 mM TCEP pH 7.5 buffer containing 0.01% Tween 20. Data analyses were performed using Nanotemper Analysis software, v.1.2.101 and were plotted using Origin 7.0. All measurements were conducted as triplicates and the errors were presented as the standard error of the triplicates. Equilibrium dissociation constants (K_d 's) are reported as 'apparent' values because for the interaction of FGFR2_{pC_{yto}} with Shp2 and Plcy1 the MST binding profiles appear to include two independent binding sites. The second of which is much weaker and is likely to be due to non-specific effects. Nonetheless the possibility of competing equilibria is flagged by the use of the term apparent. Reported data fits are based only on the initial tight binding event. Where the K_d is reported without the superscript 'app' the data has been fit to the standard 1:1 binding model.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). ITC experiments were carried out using a MicroCal iTC200 (Malvern) at 25 °C. 20 15 µl injections of 100 µM FGFR2_{pC₅₈} were made into 10 µM Shp2_{2SH2} in the calorimeter cell. A control experiment involving the injection of 100 µM FGFR2_{pC₅₈} into buffer was performed. The heat per injection was determined and subtracted from the binding data. Data was analysed using a single independent site model using Origin software.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). All NMR spectroscopic experiments were carried out on Bruker Avance III (700, 800 and 950 MHz) NMR spectrometers

equipped with cryogenically cooled triple resonance probes with a z-axis pulse field gradient coil were used. Resonance assignment spectra were recorded in 25 mM $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4/\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$, pH = 6.5, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA. For the $^1\text{H}, ^{15}\text{N}, ^{13}\text{C}$ labelled construct, a standard set of 3D backbone resonance assignment experiments (HNCA, HNCOCA, HNCACB, CACBCONH, HNCO and HNCACO) using standard Bruker library pulse sequences (with Watergate water suppression) or BEST versions of amide transverse relaxation optimized spectroscopy (TROSY) (31) pulse sequences applying Non-Uniform Sampling (17-25%) were used to obtain a high-resolution spectra.

The NMR titration of Shp2 into Plcy1 experiments were recorded at 25 °C using 200 μM uniformly ^{15}N -labelled Plcy1_{2SH2} in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5) containing 150 mM NaCl, 1mM TCEP and 10% (v/v) D_2O . 0, 150, 300, 450 600 and 900 μM unlabelled Shp2_{2SH2} were added and an amide BEST TROSY pulse sequence recorded.

All NMR data was processed with NMRPipe (32) and analyzed with CcpNmr Analysis software package (33, 34) available in-house and on NMRBox platform (35). Chemical shift perturbations (CSPs) for individual residues were calculated from the chemical shift for the backbone amide ^1H ($\Delta\omega_{\text{H}}$) and ^{15}N ($\Delta\omega_{\text{N}}$) using the following equation: $\text{CSP} = \sqrt{[\Delta\omega_{\text{H}}^2 + (0.154 \Delta\omega_{\text{N}}^2)]}$ (36).

Calcium concentration assay. The calcium assay was perform using Fluo-4 NW Calcium Assay Kit (F36206, ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufactural manual. Briefly, HEK293T-FGFR2_{ΔVT} cells were transiently transfected with control (scrambled) and Shp2 shRNA. Knockdown cells were seeded in a 96 well plate. After FGF9 stimulation, medium was removed and cells were exposed to the dye loading solution and incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C then 30 minutes at 25°C. The Ca^{2+} level (fluorescence) was measured using instrument settings for excitation at 490 nm and emission at 510 nm.

In vitro Plcy1 activity assay. The lipolytic activity of Plcy1 was determined using the artificial substrate 4-methylumbelliferyl myo-inositol-1-phosphate, N-methyl-morpholine salt (Biosynth International Inc., Itasca, IL). Briefly, reaction mixtures consisted of 10 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 0.8 mM substrate, and 50% of protein mixtures. The reaction mixtures were placed in a 96-well plate, and fluorescence was measured using 350 nm excitation/450-nm emission filters on a plate reader. Reactions were allowed to proceed for 45 min at room temperature, with measurements taken every 5 min.

Wound-healing assay. A431Ci and Shp2i cells were seeded in a 96 well plate. After overnight serum starvation the cells were scratched using IncuCyte® WoundMaker and stimulated with 10 ng/ml FGF9, then incubated for further 6 hr. Images were taken at 0 hr and after 6 hr by a microscope gantry inside a cell incubator (Incucyte, Essen Bioscience, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The identical experiment was also performed using HEK293T cells stably transfected with FGFR2_{ΔVT}.

References

1. M. Cebecauer, M. Spitaler, A. Serge, A. I. Magee, Signalling complexes and clusters: functional advantages and methodological hurdles. *J. Cell Sci.* **123**, 309–320 (2010).
2. A. A. Hyman, C. A. Weber, F. Jülicher, Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation in Biology. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **30**, 39–58 (2014).
3. S. F. Banani, H. O. Lee, A. A. Hyman, M. K. Rosen, Biomolecular condensates: organizers of cellular biochemistry. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* (2017).
doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.7
4. D. Bracha, M.T. Walls, M. T. Wei, L. Zhu, M. Kurian, J. L. Avalos, J. E. Toettcher, C. P. Brangwynne, Mapping Local and Global Liquid Phase Behavior in Living Cells Using Photo-Oligomerizable Seeds. *Cell* 1467–1480 (2018).
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.048

5. H. X. Zhou, V. Nguemaha, K. Mazarakos, S. Qin, Why Do Disordered and Structured Proteins Behave Differently in Phase Separation? *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **43**, 499–516 (2018).
6. L. B. Case, J. A. Ditlev, M. K. Rosen, Regulation of Transmembrane Signaling by Phase Separation. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **48**, 465–494 (2019).
7. P. Li, S. Banjade, H. C. Cheng, S. Kim, B. Chen, L. Guo, M. Llaguno, J. V. Hollingsworth, D. S. King, S. F. Banani, P. S. Russo, Q.-X. Jiang, B. T. Nixon, M. K. Rosen, Phase transitions in the assembly of multivalent signalling proteins. *Nature* (2012). doi:10.1038/nature10879
8. L. B. Case, X. Zhang, J. A. Ditlev, M. K. Rosen, Stoichiometry controls activity of phase-separated clusters of actin signaling proteins. *Science* **363**, 1093–1097 (2019).
9. X. Su, J. A. Ditlev, E. Hui, W. Xing, S. Banjade, J. Okrut, D. S. King, J. Taunton, M. K. Rosen, R. D. Vale, Phase separation of signaling molecules promotes T cell receptor signal transduction. *Science* **352**, 595–599 (2016).
10. G. Zhang, Z. Wang, Z. Du, H. Zhang, mTOR Regulates Phase Separation of PGL Granules to Modulate Their Autophagic Degradation. *Cell* **174**, 1492-1506.e22 (2018).
11. W. Y. C. Huang, S. Alvarez, Y. Kondo, Y. Kwang Lee, J. K. Chung, H. Y. M. Lam, K. H. Biswas, J. Kuriyan, J. T. Groves, A molecular assembly phase transition and kinetic proofreading modulate Ras activation by SOS. *Science* **363**, 1098–1103 (2019).
12. Y. M. Agazie, M. J. Hayman, Development of an Efficient “Substrate-trapping” Mutant of Src Homology Phosphotyrosine Phosphatase 2 and Identification of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor, Gab1, and Three Other Proteins as Target Substrates. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 13952–13958 (2003).
13. Z. Huang, W. M. Marsiglia, U. Basu Roy, N. Rahimi, D. Ilghari, H. Wang, H. Chen, W.

- Gai, S. Blais, T. A. Neubert, A. Mansukhani, N. J. Traaseth, X. Li, M. Mohammadi, Two FGF Receptor Kinase Molecules Act in Concert to Recruit and Transphosphorylate Phospholipase C γ . *Mol. Cell* **61**, 98–110 (2016).
14. A. Gresset, S. N. Hicks, T. K. Harden, J. Sondek, Mechanism of Phosphorylation-induced Activation of Phospholipase C- γ Isozymes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 35836–35847 (2010).
 15. T. D. Bunney, D. Esposito, C. Mas-Droux, E. Lamber, R. W. Baxendale, M. Martins, A. Cole, D. Svergun, P. C. Driscoll, M. Katan, Structural and Functional Integration of the PLC γ Interaction Domains Critical for Regulatory Mechanisms and Signaling Deregulation. *Structure* **20**, 2062–2075 (2012).
 16. S. Alberti, A. Gladfelter, T. Mittag, Considerations and Challenges in Studying Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation and Biomolecular Condensates. *Cell* **176**, 419–434 (2019).
 17. H. Koss, T. D. Bunney, D. Esposito, M. Martins, M. Katan, P. C. Driscoll, Dynamic Allostery in PLC γ 1 and Its Modulation by a Cancer Mutation Revealed by MD Simulation and NMR. *Biophys. J.* **115**, 31–45 (2018).
 18. C. P. Brangwynne, C. R. Eckmann, D. S. Courson, A. Rybarska, C. Hoege, J. Gharakhani, F. Jülicher, A. A. Hyman, Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation. *Science* (2009).
doi:10.1126/science.1172046
 19. C. P. Brangwynne, T. J. Mitchison, A. A. Hyman, Active liquid-like behavior of nucleoli determines their size and shape in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 4334–4339 (2011).
 20. Y. Shin, Y. -C. Chang, D. S. W. Lee, J. Berry, D. W. Sanders, P. Ronceray, N. S. Wingreen, M. Haataja, C. P. Brangwynne, Liquid Nuclear Condensates Mechanically Sense and Restructure the Genome. *Cell* (2018). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.057

21. M. Delarue, G. P. Brittingham, S. Pfeffer, I. V. Surovtsev, S. Pinglay, K. J. Kennedy M. Schaffer, J. I. Gutierrez, D. Sang, G. Poterewicz, J. K. Chung, J. M. Plitzko, J. T. Groves, C. Jacobs-Wagner, B. D. Engel, mTORC1 Controls Phase Separation and the Biophysical Properties of the Cytoplasm by Tuning Crowding. *Cell* 1–12 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.042
22. J. Amaya, V. H. Ryan, N. L. Fawzi, The SH3 domain of Fyn kinase interacts with and induces liquid-liquid phase separation of the low-complexity domain of hnRNPA2. *J. Biol. Chem.* (2018). doi:10.1074/jbc.RA118.005120
23. J. Sheu-Gruttadauria, I. J. MacRae, Phase Transitions in the Assembly and Function of Human miRISC. *Cell* **173**, 946-957.e16 (2018).
24. Y. R. Hadari, H. Kouhara, I. Lax, J. Schlessinger, Binding of Shp2 Tyrosine Phosphatase to FRS2 Is Essential for Fibroblast Growth Factor-Induced PC12 Cell Differentiation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**, 3966–3973 (1998).
25. S. H. Ong, G. R. Guy, Y. R. Hadari, S. Laks, N. Gotoh, J. Schlessinger, I. Lax, FRS2 Proteins Recruit Intracellular Signaling Pathways by Binding to Diverse Targets on Fibroblast Growth Factor and Nerve Growth Factor Receptors. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**, 979–989 (2000).
26. H. R. Bugar, H. D. Burns, J. L. Elsdon, M. D. Lalioti, J. D. Heath, Association of the Signaling Adaptor FRS2 with Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 1 (Fgfr1) Is Mediated by Alternative Splicing of the Juxtamembrane Domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 4018–4023 (2002).
27. Z. Ahmed, C.-C. Lin, K. M. Suen, F. A. Melo, J. A. Levitt, K. Suhling, J. E. Ladbury, Grb2 controls phosphorylation of FGFR2 by inhibiting receptor kinase and Shp2 phosphatase activity. *J. Cell Biol.* **200**, 493–504 (2013).
28. Z. Ahmed, R. George, C.-C. Lin, K. M. Suen, J. A. Levitt, K. Suhling, J. E. Ladbury,

- Direct binding of Grb2 SH3 domain to FGFR2 regulates SHP2 function. *Cell. Signal.* **22**, 23–33 (2010).
29. C. -C. Lin, F. A. Melo, R. Ghosh, K. M. Suen, L. J. Stagg, J. Kirkpatrick, S. T. Arold, Z. Ahmed, J. E. Ladbury, Inhibition of basal FGF receptor signaling by dimeric Grb2. *Cell* **149**, 1514–1524 (2012).
 30. Y. Kodama, C. D. Hu, Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC): A 5-year update and future perspectives. *BioTechniques* (2012). doi:10.2144/000113943
 31. K. Pervushin, R. Riek, G. Wider, K. Wuthrich, Attenuated T2 relaxation by mutual cancellation of dipole-dipole coupling and chemical shift anisotropy indicates an avenue to NMR structures of very large biological macromolecules in solution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **94**, 12366–12371 (1997).
 32. F. Delaglio, S. Grzesiek, G. W. Vuister, G. Zhu, J. Pfeifer, A. Bax, NMRPipe: A multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. *J. Biomol. NMR* **6**, (1995).
 33. W. F. Vranken, W. Boucher, T. J. Stevens, R. H. Fogh, A. Pajon, M. Llinas, E. L. Ulrich, J. L. Markley, J. Ionides, E. D. Laue, The CCPN data model for NMR spectroscopy: Development of a software pipeline. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinforma.* **59**, 687–696 (2005).
 34. S. P. Skinner, R. H. Fogh, W. Boucher, T. J. Ragan, L. G. Mureddu, G. W. Vuister, CcpNmr AnalysisAssign: a flexible platform for integrated NMR analysis. *J. Biomol. NMR* **66**, 111–124 (2016).
 35. M. W. Maciejewski, A. D. Schuyler, M. R. Gryk, I. I. Moraru, P. R. Romero, E. L. Ulrich, H. R. Eghbalnia, M. Livny, F. Delaglio, J. C. Hoch, NMRbox: A Resource for Biomolecular NMR Computation. *Biophys. J.* **112**, 1529–1534 (2017).
 36. J. Evenäs, V. Tugarinov, N. R. Skrynnikov, N. K. Goto, R. Muhandiram, L. E. Kay,

Ligand-induced structural changes to maltodextrin-binding protein as studied by solution NMR spectroscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* **309**, 961–974 (2001).

Acknowledgments

We thank Anastasia Zhuravleva, (University of Leeds, School of Molecular and Cellular Biology) for critical discussion and useful comments. We thank Dr Arnout Kalverda (University of Leeds, bioNMR Facility) for assistance with NMR data collection. Additional editing of this work was provided by Life Science Editors. **Funding:** This work was funded by Cancer

Research UK (Grant C57233/A22356). **Author contributions:** C.-C.L., K.M.S., L.W., A.S., C.S., H.K., and Z.A. performed experiments and analysed data. P.-A.J performed mathematical and numerical model analysis. C.-C.L., K.M.S., E.M., and J.E.L conceived experiments, and C.M.-P. and J.E.L. developed the mathematical model. C.-C.L., K.M.S. and J.E.L. wrote the manuscript. **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures

Figure 1

Fig. 1. Phosphorylated RTK-mediated condensation of protein complexes. (A) Droplet formation of recombinant phosphorylated EGFR, FGFR, and VEGFR (6 μ M each, Atto-488 labelled) upon adding 30 μ M of Shp2_{C459S} (top panel) or Shc_{P52} (middle panel). Scale bar = 10 μ m. **(B)** Cell images showing droplet formation upon FGFR2 expression and activation. FGFR2 has the VT motif deleted to abrogate indirect binding of Shp2 via the adaptor protein Frs2 (FGFR2_{ΔVT}^{24–26}). Insets: magnification of regions shown by arrow to exemplify phase separated droplets. No evidence of droplet formation in the absence of FGFR2_{ΔVT} (right panel). **(C)** LLPS state observed between Shp2 (60 μ M) and pFGFR2_{Cyto} Atto-488 (6.6 μ M) and pPlcy1 Atto-647 (15 μ M). Using inactive Shp2_{C459S} mutant promotes the formation of droplets

(lower panel). Scale bar = 10 μ m. (D) FRAP recovery curve for pFGFR2_{Cyto}-Atto488 (10 μ M) and Shp2_{C459S} (60 μ M) with error bars indicating standard error of the mean. Inset - droplets on photobleaching. (n = 3)

Figure 2

Fig. 2. Characterisation of the interactions between droplet-forming components. (A) Pull down experiments using different Shp2 constructs (see schematic - the location of the mutated residues R32, R138 and C459 shown). GST-Shp2, GST-Shp2_{C459S} and GST-

Shp2_{2SH2} pull down pFGFR2_{C58} and pFGFR2_{Cyto} whilst pFGFR2_{kinase} was pulled down at a significantly lower level. Red arrows - fusion protein loading control. **(B)** The C-terminal 58 residues of FGFR2, GST-FGFR2_{C58} with individual Tyr to Phe substitutions, were phosphorylated and used to pull down Shp2_{2SH2}. The Y769F mutation abrogates binding. Red arrows highlight GST and GST-FGFR2_{C58} constructs loading controls. **(C)** GST-Shp2_{2SH2}, GST-Shp2_{2SH2} R32A, GST-Shp2_{2SH2} R138A and GST-Shp2_{2SH2} R32/138A were used to pull down FGFR2_{ΔVT} from HEK293T cells. Mutation of R138 abrogates binding of FGFR2 confirming the requirement of only the wild type CSH2 domain for binding to receptor. **(D)** Plot of the chemical shift changes (ppm) of the backbone amide peaks of ¹H, ¹⁵N-labelled Plcy1_{2SH2} upon addition of 3 molar equivalent of Shp2_{2SH2}. The residue numbers are indicated on the x-axis. The secondary structural elements of Plcy1_{2SH2} are indicated as follows: β-sheet (green), α-helix (red), unstructured (blue). **(E)** CSP of residues mapped on to the crystal structure of the Plcy1_{2SH2} (PDB code: 4FBN). The gradient indicates the strength of the perturbation. The pY binding pockets for NSH2 and CSH2 are shown in cyan (R562, R586, S588, E589, T590, and T596) and green (R675, R694, R696 and A703), respectively. Left hand image shows putative binding region (highlighted by increasing CSP). Right hand image shows structure rotated into plane by 180° to show the comparatively negligible CSP on the 'non-binding' surface.

Figure 3

Fig. 3. Characterisation of the ternary complex formation. (A) GST-pFGFR2 was used to precipitate Plcγ1_{2SH2}, lanes 1-9; Shp2_{2SH2}, lanes 10-18, and with both Shp2_{2SH2} and Plcγ1_{2SH2}, lanes 19-27 as indicated by schematic representations of polypeptides (red ovals – pY sites). (B) The ternary complex was reconstituted using BLI in the presence of ATP/Mg²⁺. (C) BiFC

was used to study the formation of ternary complex. Inset: schematic depicting the interaction: pFGFR2_{Cyto} (blue) and pPlcy1_{2SH2} (yellow) with split CFP tag (green). Addition of increasing concentration (x-axis on graph) of Shp2_{2SH2} (purple) produces fluorescent signal (cyan). **(D)** Time evolution of the ternary complexes simulated from the deterministic mathematical model, out the four possible ternary complexes (pF·S·P, pF·P·S, pF·S·pP and pF·S·pP), only pF·S·pP prevails. **(E)** *in vitro* phase separation assay using Atto-labelled pFGFR2_{Cyto} (280 μM) and truncated Shp2_{2SH2} (700 μM) and pPlcy1_{2SH2} (250 μM). Scale bar = 10 μm.

Figure 4

Fig. 4. Phase transition of FGFR2-Shp2-Plcy1 up-regulates downstream signalling. (A)

An excess of inactive FGFR2_{Cyto} K517I was used as the substrate to monitor kinase activity. In the context of phase separated droplets, the kinase activity of pFGFR2_{Cyto} was enhanced (compare lanes 2 and 4). The addition of 1,6-hexanediol results in the reduction of kinase activity (lane 8). **(B)** Intact Plcy1 activity was dramatically enhanced in the phase-separated environment. (n = 4) **(C)** Shp2 activity is reduced in the context of phase separated droplets compared to the isolated phosphatase (compare lanes 1-3 with 4-6 anti-pY99-identified substrate). **(D)** Depletion of Shp2 upregulates Plcy1 through phosphorylation of Y783, but

downregulates its downstream effectors (shown by reduced phosphorylation of Pkc β II-S660 and Akt-S473). **(E)** Shp2 inhibitor NSC87877 has no effect on the markers for Plc γ 1 signalling (phosphorylation of Pkc β II-S660 and Akt-S473). **(F)** Cell motility is reduced in the absence of Shp2 in A431 cells (Shp2i) compared to control (Ci) cells. Inset shows graphical representation of percentage recovery. (n = 3)