1 A novel cross talk of AtRAV1, an ethylene responsive transcription factor with MAP
2 kinases imparts broad spectrum disease resistance in plants

3

4 # Ravindra Kumar Chandan^{1,2} (rjha.bhu@gmail.com)

5 # Rahul Kumar¹ (rahuls697@gmail.com)

6 # Durga Madhab Swain¹ (dsnanowizard@gmail.com)

7 Srayan Ghosh¹ (srayan@nipgr.ac.in)

8 Prakash Kumar Bhagat³ (prakash@nipgr.ac.in)

9 Sunita Patel² (sunitapcug@gmail.com)

10 Ganesh Bagler⁴ (bagler@iiitd.ac)

11 Alok Krishna Sinha³ (alok@nipgr.ac.in)

12 Gopaljee Jha^{1,*} (jmsgopal@nipgr.ac.in; jmsgopal@gmail.com)

13

14 * Corresponding Author:

15 Email: jmsgopal@nipgr.ac.in; jmsgopal@gmail.com

16 Tel: +91(0)1126735177

17 Fax: +91(0)1126741658

18 # These authors contribute equally to this work

19

20 1: Plant microbe interactions lab, National Institute of Plant Genome Research, Aruna Asaf
 21 Ali Marg, New Delhi-110067, India.

22 2: School of Life Sciences, Central University of Gujarat, Sector-30, Gandhinagar-382030,
 23 India.

24 3: National Institute of Plant Genome Research, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi-110067,
 25 India.

26 4: Centre for Computational Biology, Indraprastha Institute of Information Technology
 27 (IIIT-Delhi), New Delhi-110020, India.

28 **Short title:** RAV1 promotes disease resistance in plants

29

30

31

32

33

34 Abstract

35 Plant diseases pose a serious threat to sustainable agriculture as controlling them in
 36 eco-friendly manner remains a challenge. In this study, we establish RAV1 as a master
 37 transcriptional regulator of defense genes in model plant *Arabidopsis*. The
 38 overexpression of *AtRAV1* provided disease resistance against necrotrophic fungal
 39 pathogen (*Rhizoctonia solani*) infection in *A. thaliana*. The transgenic lines exhibited
 40 enhanced expression of several defense genes including mitogen associated protein
 41 kinases (MAPKs) and the amplitude of their expression was further enhanced upon
 42 pathogen infection. Conversely, the *atrav1* mutant plants were unable to induce the
 43 expression of these defense genes and were highly susceptible to infection. Our data
 44 suggests that upon pathogen attack, *AtRAV1* transcriptionally upregulate the
 45 expression of *MAPKs* (*AtMPK3*, *AtMPK4* and *AtMPK6*) and *AtMPK3* and *AtMPK6* are
 46 essential for *AtRAV1* mediated disease resistance. Further, we demonstrate that
 47 *AtRAV1* is a phosphorylation target of *AtMPK3* (but not *AtMPK6*) and the phospho-
 48 defective variants of *AtRAV1* are unable to induce disease resistance in *A. thaliana*.
 49 Considering the presence of *AtRAV1* orthologs in diverse plant species, we propose
 50 that they can be gainfully deployed to control economically important diseases. In deed
 51 we observe that overexpression of tomato ortholog of *AtRAV1* (*SIRAV1*) provides
 52 broad spectrum disease resistance against bacterial (*Ralstonia solanacearum*), fungal
 53 (*R. solani*) and viral (Tomato leaf curl virus) infections in tomato.

54 **Key words:** Bacterial wilt disease, disease resistance, MAP kinase, plant defense response,
 55 post translational modification, protein phosphorylation, signalling cascades, transcription
 56 factor, transcriptional regulator, reactive oxygen species

57

58 Plants have evolved specific receptors to perceive pathogen attack. The PRRs (Pattern
 59 recognition receptors) is deployed to perceive pathogen associated molecular cues while
 60 leucine rich repeat (LRR) receptors recognize effector proteins of the pathogens (1–3). In
 61 this process, plant mount strong defense response to ward off most of the pathogens (1, 4–
 62 6). Increase in production of reactive oxygen species (oxidative burst), alkalization of
 63 cytoplasm, production of phenolics, phytoalexins, deposition of lignin and callose,
 64 hypersensitive response associated programmed cell death, etc are part of plant defense
 65 strategies (7). The phytohormones such as jasmonic acid, salicylic acid and ethylene also

66 play a critical role in elaborating the plant defense response (8–12). Moreover, an extensive
67 crosstalk (both synergistic and antagonistic) between various phytohormones modulate the
68 defense response (13, 14).

69 On the other hand, for successful colonization phytopathogens have evolved diverse
70 strategies to suppress the induction of plant defense response. With extensive
71 polymorphisms in various isolates/strains, some phytopathogens are able to cause disease on
72 diverse host species. *Ralstonia solanacearum* is one of the notable examples which causes
73 devastating bacterial wilt disease in tomato, potato and over two hundred other plant species
74 (15–17). Similarly *Rhizoctonia solani* a necrotrophic fungal pathogen infects diverse plants
75 including rice, potato, tomato etc. and imparts huge economic losses (18, 19). Notably *R.*
76 *solanacearum* and *R. solanacearum* share many common hosts, including agriculturally important
77 crops such as tomato, potato, etc. Moreover viruses also pose a serious threat for crop
78 production (20). Thus, strategy to simultaneously control bacterial, fungal as well as viral
79 diseases in an eco-friendly and sustainable fashion will be important for ensuring food
80 security.

81 Manipulation of some of the PRR receptors, LRR receptors and host defense related genes
82 had been shown to provide broad spectrum disease resistance in plants (21, 22). The
83 overexpression of *AtNPR1* (Non expressor of *PR* genes; encoding a positive regulator of
84 SAR) provided broad spectrum disease resistance in various crop plants (23, 24). Similarly,
85 the overexpression of an *AtEFR* (a PRR receptor) gene could enhance tolerance against
86 bacterial pathogen (*R. solanacearum*, *Xanthomonas perforans*) infection in tomato (25).
87 Further, overexpression of an anti-apoptotic vacuoloviral p35 protein also imparted broad
88 spectrum disease resistance in tomato against bacterial (*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato)
89 and fungal (*Alternaria alternata* and *Colletotrichum coccodes*) infections (26). However,
90 the deployment of transgenes in disease management has to face strong biosafety
91 regulations (27). In this regard, utilization of endogenous gene(s) with broad spectrum
92 disease resistance will be helpful in preventing yield loss due to pathogen attack.

93 In this study, we endeavoured to identify master regulator of plant defense genes in model
94 plant *A. thaliana* and explore the potential of identified gene to impart broad spectrum
95 disease resistance in economically important crops such as tomato. Based upon network
96 centrality parameters, we identified 16 proteins to be topologically central to Arabidopsis
97 defense proteins interaction network. The RAV1 transcription factor binding motifs was
98 present in the promoter region of each of the genes encoding them. It is worth mentioning

that RAV1 is an ethylene responsive transcription factor which contains AP2 domain (which participates in activation of ethylene mediated signalling pathway) at its N-terminal region and B3 domain (involved in abscisic acid mediated signalling) at its C-terminus (28, 29). RAV1 has been shown to be a positive regulator of leaf senescence (30) and upon overexpression it provides ABA insensitive phenotype in *A. thaliana* (29). In this study we identified AtRAV1 as a master regulator of defense gene expression in *A. thaliana* including mitogen activated protein kinases (MAPKs; AtMPK3, AtMPK4 and AtMPK6) and when overexpressed it provides disease resistance against *R. solani*. Similarly, overexpression of *SIRAV1* (ortholog of *AtRAV1*) confers broad spectrum disease resistance in tomato against fungal (*R. solani*), bacterial (*R. solanacearum*) and viral (tomato leaf curl Joydebpur virus; *ToLCJoV*) infections. The data presented in this study highlights a novel cross talk between RAV1 with MAPKs in imparting disease resistance. The RAV1 transcriptionally induces the expression of *MAPKs* (*AtMPK3*, *AtMPK4* and *AtMPK6*) and the AtMPK3/AtMPK6 is essential for RAV1 mediated disease resistance. Further the AtMPK3 (but not AtMPK6) phosphorylates AtRAV1 and potentially stabilize it to facilitate sustained activation of defense response.

Results

Identification of *AtRAV1* as a key transcriptional regulator of plant defense genes. *In-silico* analysis of protein-protein interactions between Arabidopsis defense proteins (31); identified 16 proteins to be important for the topology and dynamics of the network (Fig. S1). Here onwards, we refer these proteins as key defense proteins of Arabidopsis. Some of the previously reported plant defense proteins such as SKP1 (32), MAPKs (33, 34), heat shock proteins (35, 36) and cyclophilins (37, 38) were noteworthy in this list. Interestingly, the RAV1 binding sites were present in the promoter region of each of these genes (Fig. S2). The phylogenetic analysis revealed RAV1 to be conserved in different monocot as well as dicot plants (Fig. S3). Presence of RAV1 binding motifs in the *AtRAV1* promoter (Fig. S4) suggested it to be under auto-regulation.

Overexpression of *AtRAV1* induces the expression of key defence genes. We reasoned that overexpression of *AtRAV1* would simultaneously induce the key defense genes and promote disease resistance in *A. thaliana*. To test this, transgenic *A. thaliana* (Col-0) lines that constitutively overexpress *AtRAV1* (At1G13260) under CaMV 35S promoter were generated. Two independent overexpression lines (OE1 and OE2) having relatively higher

fold expression of *AtRAV1* along with an EV line were propagated to T₄ generation for further analysis (**Fig. S5**). Compared to EV plants, the OE lines (OE1 and OE2) demonstrated enhanced expression of key defense genes (**Fig. 1A**).

To validate that *AtRAV1* can bind to the promoter and induces expression, we randomly selected few of key defense genes namely *MPK4*, *MPK6*, *ROC1*, *WD40*, *BRL2*, *SKP1* and *HSP70* and performed yeast one hybrid (Y1H) as well as GUS reporter assays. For Y1H assay potential *AtRAV1* binding motifs in the promoter region of these genes (**Table S1**) were individually cloned in a bait vector (pAbAi) while the full length *AtRAV1* was cloned in a prey vector (pGADT7-AD). The Y1H Gold bait reporter yeast strain expressing both the plasmids grew on Aureobasidin A (AbA) containing double drop out (SD-URA-LEU) plates while the strain co-expressing empty vectors (pAbAi and pGADT17) failed to grow on such plates (**Fig. 1B**). GUS reporter assay was performed in *N. benthamiana* plants to validate that co-expression of *AtRAV1* modulates GUS expression through the promoters of selected key defense genes. Limited GUS expression was observed in pBI101:promoter:*GUS* infiltrated leaves, while significantly enhanced GUS expression was observed when promoter:*GUS* and *AtRAV1* constructs were co-infiltrated (**Fig. 1C**). The qRT-PCR further reinforced that expression of *AtRAV1* enhances *GUS* expression (**Fig. 1D**). Taken together, our result suggests that *AtRAV1* modules the expression of various key defense genes including *AtMPK4* and *AtMPK6*. As *AtMPK3* is an important player in plant defense (39), we performed Y1H and promoter:*GUS* reporter assays to test whether *AtRAV1* can modulate *AtMPK3* gene expression. As shown in **Fig. S6**, the *AtRAV1* did bind to the promoter of *AtMPK3* and induced its expression.

Overexpression of *AtRAV1* confers disease resistance in *A. thaliana*. We further analysed whether the overexpression of *AtRAV1* enhances disease resistance against *Rhizoctonia solani*, a notorious necrotrophic fungal pathogen infection. Both OE1 and OE2 lines demonstrated only mild necrotic symptoms when infected with *R. solani*, however severe necrosis was observed in the infected *atrav1* mutant (a previously reported mutant line *Salk_021865*; obtained from Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center, ABRC; **Fig. S7**), EV as well as WT plants (**Fig. 2A**). Compared to others the extent of host cell death (**Fig. 2B**) and ROS accumulation was relatively less in the infected OE lines (**Fig. 2C**). Moreover, the disease severity index (**Fig. 2D**) and abundance of fungal (estimated through monitoring the abundance of *R. solani* 18S ribosomal gene through qRT-PCR) biomass (**Fig. 2E**) was significantly less in infected OEs plants, compared to the infected *atrav1* mutant, WT and

EV plants. Also the chlorophyll content was relatively higher in infected OE lines compared to that of WT, EV and *atrav1* mutant plants (**Fig. 2F**). The confocal microscopic analysis revealed limited growth of *R. solani* and absence of infection cushion in OE lines (**Fig. 2G**). Taken together, these results reinforced that overexpression of *AtRAV1* imparts enhanced resistance against *R. solani* infection.

Expression of key defense genes gets enhanced upon pathogen infection in *AtRAV1* overexpressing lines. In comparison to WT and EV plants, the expression of *AtRAV1* was up-regulated upon pathogen (*R. solani*) infection in OE lines but not in the *atrav1* mutant lines (**Fig. S8**). Similarly, the expression of most of the selected key defense genes (*BRL2*, *ROC1*, *SKP1*, *WD40* and *HSP70*) as well as previously reported Salicylic acid (SA), Jasmonic acid (JA) and Ethylene (ET) mediated defense marker genes (**Table S2**) were significantly enhanced upon *R. solani* infection in the OE lines but not in the *atrav1* mutant plants (**Fig. S9A and B**). Also the enhanced expression of *AtMPK3*, *AtMPK4* and *AtMPK6* was observed in *R. solani* infected OE lines (**Fig. 3A**). Western blot analysis further revealed enhanced accumulation of *AtMPK3*, *AtMPK4* and *AtMPK6* proteins in the infected OE lines but not in the *atrav1* mutant plants (**Fig. 3B**). Here it is worth mentioning that compared to *AtMPK4*, the extent of up-regulation of *AtMPK3* and *AtMPK6* was significantly high (**Fig. 3**).

***AtMPK3* and *AtMPK6* are required for *AtRAV1* mediated disease resistance in *A. thaliana*.** We obtained *AtMPK3* (*atmpk3*, SALK_100651), *AtMPK4* (*atmpk4-2*, SALK_056245) and *AtMPK6* (*atmpk6-2*, SALK_073907) mutants from ABRC stock centre and subjected them to *R. solani* infection. The *mpk3* and *mpk6-2* mutants were hyper susceptible to *R. solani* infection while the *mpk4-2* mutant was moderately susceptible (**Fig. 4A**). We crossed each of MAP kinase mutants individually with the *AtRAV1* OE1 plants to obtain the *AtRAV1*^{OE1}/*mpk3*, *AtRAV1*^{OE1}/*mpk4-2* and *AtRAV1*^{OE1}/*mpk6-2* lines; wherein the respective MAP kinase protein has been knocked out (**Fig. S10**). Interestingly, the *AtRAV1*^{OE1}/*mpk3* as well as *AtRAV1*^{OE1}/*mpk6-2* lines demonstrated hyper susceptibility to *R. solani* infection (**Fig. 4A**). On the other hand the *AtRAV1*^{OE1}/*mpk4-2* showed moderate disease tolerance; however the amplitude of tolerance was significantly less compared to that observed in OE1 lines (**Fig. 4A-C**). Also ROS accumulation, extent of host cell death and pathogen load (*R. solani* biomass estimated through qRT-PCR) were significantly high in *AtRAV1*^{OE1}/*mpk3* and *AtRAV1*^{OE1}/*mpk6-2* lines, compared to the *AtRAV1*^{OE1}/*mpk4-2* or OE1 lines (**Fig. 4A and 4B**). The total chlorophyll content of

197 infected AtRAV1^{OE1}/mpk3 and AtRAV1^{OE1}/mpk6-2 lines was significantly less compared
198 to that of AtRAV1^{OE1}/mpk4-2 or OE1 line (**Fig. 4C**). Taken together these results
199 highlighted that AtMPK3/AtMPK6 is predominantly required for AtRAV1 mediated
200 enhanced disease resistance in *A. thaliana*.

201 **AtRAV1 is phosphorylated by AtMPK3 under in-vitro condition.** Bioinformatics
202 analysis revealed that AtRAV1 protein contains three TP and one SP amino acid residues as
203 putative MAP kinase phosphorylation sites (**Fig. S11**). We ectopically overexpressed and
204 purified AtRAV1 protein as well as its different variants wherein potential phosphorylation
205 residues had been mutated (SDM1: Ser310Ala; SDM2: Thr19Ala; SDM3: Thr23Ala;
206 SDM4: Thr193Ala; SDM5: having all four potential phosphorylation sites mutated) from *E.*
207 *coli* cells to analyse their phosphorylation by AtMPK3 and AtMPK6 under in-vitro
208 condition. The assay revealed that AtMPK3 but not AtMPK6 phosphorylate the AtRAV1
209 (**Fig. 5A and 5B**). Compared to others, the SDM2 demonstrated weak phosphorylation
210 signal by AtMPK3 whereas the phosphorylation was completely abolished in case of
211 SDM5.

212 **Overexpression of phospho-defective variants of AtRAV1 is unable to induce disease**
213 **resistance in *A. thaliana***

214 In order to test whether phosphorylation of AtRAV1 is required for inducing disease
215 resistance, we overexpressed phospho-defective variants of AtRAV1 (SDM2 and SDM5) in
216 the WT and *atrav1* mutant *A. thaliana* plants. Interestingly in both the cases (WT^{SDM2/SDM5}
217 and *atrav1*^{SDM2/SDM5}) the plants were highly susceptible to *R. solani* infection (**Fig. 6A**). The
218 severity of disease symptoms, extent of host cell death and pathogen load were also
219 significantly higher in WT^{SDM2/SDM5} and *atrav1*^{SDM2/SDM5} plants, compared to the *AtRAV1*
220 OE1 plants (**Fig. 6A and 6B**). In contrary to the OE1 line, the WT^{SDM2/SDM5} and
221 *atrav1*^{SDM2/SDM5} lines were unable to induce the expression of key defense genes including
222 MAP kinases (*AtMPK3*, *AtMPK4* and *AtMPK6*) (**Fig. 6C**) and defense marker genes (**Fig.**
223 **6D**) upon pathogen infection. Overall, this suggested that phosphorylation of AtRAV1 is
224 required for eliciting defense response and imparting disease resistance in *A. thaliana*.

225 **Overexpression of *SIRAV1* imparts broad spectrum disease resistance in tomato.** To
226 further substantiate the role of RAV1 in plant defense, we analysed whether the *AtRAV1*
227 ortholog of tomato (*SIRAV1*; EU164416) can impart disease resistance in tomato
228 (*Lycopersicon esculentum* mill). Two independent *SIRAV1* OE (OE:L1 and OE:L2) lines
229 were generated in tomato cultivar Pusa Ruby (**Fig. S12**). The qRT-PCR revealed both the

OE lines to have higher fold expression of *SIRAV1* (**Fig. S12E**) and the expression were significantly enhanced upon *R. solani* infection in OE lines (**Fig. S13A**). The western blot analysis also suggested enhanced accumulation of SIRAV1 in pathogen infected OE lines (**Fig. S13B**). The disease symptoms (**Fig. 7A**), disease severity index (**Fig. 7B**) and chlorophyll content of the infected leaves (**Fig. 7C**) suggested enhanced disease tolerance in OE lines, compared to EV and WT tomato plants. Also, the expression of most of the selected Salicylic acid (SA), Jasmonic acid (JA) and Ethylene (ET) mediated defense marker genes were significantly enhanced upon *R. solani* infection in the OE lines (**Fig. 7D**).

We further tested the susceptibility of OE lines against a deadly pathogen (*Ralstonia solanacearum*) which causes bacterial wilt disease in tomato. As shown in **Fig. 8A**, the wilting symptoms was remarkably less in infected OE lines (OE:L1 and OE:L2), compared to WT plants. Notably, the pathogen (*R. solanacearum*) load and disease severity index were also significantly less in the infected OE:L1 and OE:L2 lines (**Fig. 8B** and **8C**). The enhanced accumulation of SIRAV1 protein in *R. solanacearum* (**Fig. 8D**) infected tomato OE lines, supported its pathogen inducible nature. Also gene expression (**Fig S14**) as well as enzymatic activity of some of the antioxidant markers such as catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and glutathione reductase (GR) were significantly higher in *R. solani* (**Fig. 7H-J**) and *R. solanacearum* (**Fig. 8H-J**) infected OE lines while the MDA content, H₂O₂ content and ion leakage were reduced in these lines (**Fig. 7E-G** and **8E-G**).

We further observed the OE lines to have high level of tolerance against Tomato Leaf Curl Joydepur Virus (*ToLCJoV*) infection. The leaf curling symptom was negligible in the OE lines but severe in case of WT as well as EV plants (**Fig. S15A**). ROS accumulation (**Fig. S15B**), disease severity index (**Fig. S15C**) and total chlorophyll content (**Fig. S15D**) further reinforced enhanced tolerance against *ToLCJoV* infection in OE lines.

255

256 Discussion

Plants are susceptible to various bacterial, fungal and viral diseases. Controlling them in an eco-friendly manner is a challenge for sustainable agriculture. We endeavoured to identify gene(s) that can provide broad spectrum disease resistance in plants. Initially, while studying the defense protein interaction network, we identified RAV1, an ethylene responsive transcription factor as a master transcriptional regulator of defense genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Previous studies had shown that overexpression of pepper RAV1

provides resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 (a hemi-biotrophic bacterial pathogen) infection in *A. thaliana* by induction of PR genes (40). Here we observed that overexpression of *AtRAV1* confers remarkable resistance against fungal (*Rhizoctonia solani*) infection in *A. thaliana*. Similarly, overexpression of *SIRAV1* (the *AtRAV1* ortholog in tomato) imparted remarkable level of protection against fungal (*R. solani*), bacterial (*R. solanacearum*) and viral (Tomato leaf curl virus) diseases in tomato. We observed that *AtRAV1* acts as a master transcriptional activator of various key defense genes (that are topologically central to defense protein interaction network) as well as JA, SA and ET responsive defense marker genes in *A. thaliana*. The enhanced expression of *AtMPK3*, *AtMPK4* and *AtMPK6* transcripts as well as their proteins in the pathogen infected OE lines suggests the activation of MAP kinase signalling. The *AtMPK3/AtMPK6* signalling seems essential for mediating *AtRAV1* mediated defense; as knocking out *AtMPK3* or *AtMPK6* (but not *AtMPK4*) rendered the *AtRAV1* OE lines hyper susceptible to *R. solani* infection. The MPK3/MPK6 mediated signalling plays an important role in elucidation of pathogen triggered immunity (PTI) and effector triggered immunity (ETI) as well as production of defense associated phytoalexin, camalexin in plants (41–44). Also the MPK3/MPK6 are known to phosphorylate some plant transcription factors and regulate important cellular response including defense response (45). Notably, the *AtMPK3/AtMPK6* mediated phosphorylation of some members of ERF family (ERF6/ERF104) assist in elucidation of plant defense response (46). In this study, we observed that *AtMPK3* but not *AtMPK6* can phosphorylate the *AtRAV1* under in-vitro condition. Recently, it has been reported that *AtMPK3* but not *AtMPK6* regulates submergence tolerance by phosphorylation of SUB1A1 (Submergence1A1) transcription factor (47). We anticipate that the *AtMPK3* mediated phosphorylation of *AtRAV1* may stabilize the protein during pathogen attack and activates it to stimulate the defense response. Thus on one hand, the *AtRAV1* binds to the promoter of different MAP kinases (*AtMPK3/AtMPK4/AtMPK6*) and induces their signalling while on the other hand *AtMPK3* phosphorylates *AtRAV1* and modulates its function. In deed we observed that phospho-defective variants of *AtRAV1* (SDM2 and SDM5) are unable to impart disease resistance against *R. solani* infection in *A. thaliana*.

There has been trade-off between disease resistance and plant growth (48). The constitutive activation of defense genes can negatively impact plant growth and development (49). However in this study, we did not observe any apparent growth or developmental defects in

the RAV1 overexpressing *A. thaliana* as well as tomato lines. We attribute this may be due to observed dynamics of induction of defense genes expression in the OE lines. Although several defense genes including MAP kinases were overexpressed in OE lines, the extent of their up-regulation was further enhanced upon pathogen infections. Similarly, the antioxidant machinery of the host (tomato) was significantly enhanced upon pathogen (*R. solani* and *R. solanacearum*) infection in OE lines. Such dynamic expression of defense genes may ensure strong protection during pathogen attack while averting the negative effect of induced defense responses under control (uninfected) conditions. Further, due to a relatively short (<60 min) half-life (50) and potential ability to auto-regulate its own transcription, the level of RAV1 is limited under normal conditions. Conversely with pathogen inducible nature, the RAV1 level gets enhanced in the infected OE lines and upon potential phosphorylation by AtMPK3 the protein gets stabilized, leading to sustained activation of MPK3/MPK6 signalling and thereby induction of defense genes. In support of this, we observed limited induction of defense genes including MAPKs in the *A. thaliana* lines overexpressing the phosphor-defective variants of AtRAV1 (**Fig. 6**).

Overall, the present study reports RAV1, an ethylene responsive transcription factor as a master regulator of plant defense and is a novel phosphorylation target of AtMPK3. A cross talk between RAV1 and MAPKs is required for inducing disease resistance against *R. solani* infection in *A. thaliana*. Furthermore, the overexpression of tomato RAV1 provides remarkable level of protection against bacterial, fungal and viral infections in tomato. Considering that RAV1 orthologs are conserved in different monocot and dicot plants and we do not observed any trade-off between enhanced disease resistance with apparent growth/developmental defects in the overexpression *A. thaliana* and tomato lines, our study emphasizes that RAV1 can be gainfully deployed as a biotechnological intervention to develop broad spectrum disease resistance in a variety of crops.

321

322 **Methods**

323 **Identification of key defense proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.** Mukhtar and colleagues
324 have reported the list of plant (*A. thaliana*) and pathogen proteins (*Pseudomonas syringae*
325 and *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*) that are involved in plant pathogen interactions (51).
326 The plant proteins (n=392 proteins) potentially involved in defense responses were
327 obtained from that list (**Table S3**) and were mapped on to AtPIN (*A. thaliana* Protein
328 Interaction Network) database (52) to construct a Arabidopsis Defense Protein Interaction

329 Network (ADPIN). Visualization of protein-protein interaction network was performed
330 using Cytoscape (53). Furthermore the ADPINv1 (The Arabidopsis Defense Proteins
331 Interaction Network vicinity 1) was constructed by extending ADPIN to include its first
332 interacting partners.

333 Network centrality measures were computed for ADPINv1 proteins by graph-theoretical
334 analysis. Degree (hubs), betweenness (bottlenecks) and average shortest path (swift
335 communicators) were studied to identify proteins that are central to the interactome and
336 might be critical for executing plant defense. Top 10 proteins with the best values for each
337 of these network parameters, were selected. Notably few proteins were commonly
338 predicted to have best values for each of the network parameter. After removing these
339 redundant proteins from the list, 16 unique proteins, topologically and dynamically central
340 to the network, were obtained (**Table S3**). These proteins are considered as key defense
341 proteins. Gene Investigator tool (<https://geneinvestigator.com/>) revealed that genes encoding
342 these proteins are induced during biotic stress (**Table S4**).

343 **Identification of *AtRAVI* as master transcriptional regulator of key defense genes.** The
344 Arabidopsis Information Resources (TAIR) id of each of the 16 identified key defense gene
345 was used as query in Plant PAN database (Plant PAN; <http://PlantPAN2.itps.ncku.edu.tw>).
346 The transcription start site/5'UTR-End limit was fixed as 100bp, while transcription stop
347 site/3'UTR-End was fixed as 1000bp. The analysis predicted putative transcription binding
348 sites present in the 5'UTR (promoter) region in each of the genes. The *AtRAVI*
349 (At1G13260) transcriptional factor binding sites were common in each of them.

350 **Plant Materials and Growth Conditions.** Different *A. thaliana* (Colombia-0; wild type,
351 transgenic and Salk_021865 mutant) lines used in this study were grown on soilrite at
352 22°C with 8/16-h photoperiod, 70 % relative humidity in growth chamber. Similarly,
353 different tomato (*S. lycopersicum*; cultivar Pusa ruby) plants and tobacco (*N. benthamiana*)
354 plants were grown on soilrite at 26°C with 12/12-h photoperiod, 70 % relative humidity in
355 growth chamber.

356 **Generation of *AtRAVI* overexpressing *A. thaliana* and *SIRAVI* overexpressing tomato.**
357 The CDS of *AtRAVI* (AT1G13260) gene was cloned in pGJ100 (a modified pBin19 binary
358 vector having MCS of pBSKS vector) and transformed into GV3101 strain of
359 *Agrobacterium*. The *Agrobacterium* harbouring 35S:*RAVI* construct was inoculated into
360 three weeks old *A. thaliana* (Col-0) plants by floral dip method (54). Presence of transgene
361 was reconfirmed by PCR using *CaMV35S F* and *RAVIOX-R* primer. The *NptII-F* and

362 *NptII-R* primer pair was further used to confirm the presence of vector in both OE and EV
363 lines. The expression of *AtRAV1* gene in different *A. thaliana* lines was verified by qRT
364 PCR using primers mentioned in **Table S5**.

365 Similarly, the full length gene sequence of *SIRAV1* (EU164416) was cloned into gateway
366 binary vector (pGWB408) using SIRAV1OX-F and SIRAV1OX-R primer pairs (**Table S5**)
367 and transgenic tomato lines (OE:L1 and OE:L2) were generated through Agrobacterium
368 (GV3101 strain) mediated transformation. The presence of transgene was confirmed by
369 PCR using CaMV35S F and SIRAV1OX-R primer while T-DNA was verified by *NptII-F*
370 and *NptII-R* primer. The expression of *SIRAV1* was validated by qRT-PCR using gene
371 specific (SIRAV1 RTF and SIRAV1 RTR) primer. Total crude protein was isolated from
372 100 mg of plant leaves using P-PER plant protein extraction kit (Thermo scientific: 89803)
373 and the western blot was carried out by electro blotting the 20µg of total crude protein onto
374 polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane and probed with mouse polyclonal anti-His-
375 antibody (1:30000 dilutions). The blot was developed as per manufacturer's protocol
376 (Sigma-Aldrich, Japan).

377 **Generation of MAP kinase mutants in *AtRAV1* overexpressing OE1 lines.** The
378 *AtMPK3* (*atmpk3*, SALK_100651), *AtMPK4* (*atmpk4-2*, SALK_056245) and *AtMPK6*
379 (*atmpk6-2*, SALK_073907) mutants were obtained from ABRC stock centre. Presence of
380 T-DNA insertion in mutant (*atmpk3*, *atmpk4-2* and *atmpk6-2*) was individually conformed
381 by PCR using T-DNA border primer and MAP kinase gene specific reverse primer
382 (BP+RP) (**Table S5**). The cross between the MAP kinase mutant plants (as male plant) and
383 the *AtRAV1* OE1 (as female) was set up and obtained seeds were grown on soilrite. Upon
384 PCR validation of presence of *AtRAV1* overexpression construct (using
385 CaMV35S_forwerd and *AtRAV1* reverse primer) and T-DNA insertion in particular MAP
386 kinase (using BP+RP primer), we propagated the seeds to T2 generation and used them for
387 further studies.

388 **Yeast one hybrid assay.** Y1H assay was performed using Matchmaker Gold Yeast One-
389 Hybrid Library Screening System (Clontech, USA). The nucleotide sequences of promoter
390 region of selected key defense genes having potential RAV1 binding motifs were retrieved
391 by using online tool (<https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/plaza/>). The RAV1 motif enriched
392 promoter sequence of each of the gene (**Table S1**) was cloned in pAbAi bait vector
393 (Clontech, USA) in the upstream of Aureobasidine A. The plasmid was linearized with
394 *BstBI* restriction enzyme and transformed into Y1H Gold yeast strain, as per

395 manufacturer's protocol and the positive transformants (Y1H-Bait) was selected on AbA
396 (200mg ml⁻¹ AbA) plate. Subsequently the full-length copy of *AtRAV1* was cloned in
397 pGADT7-AD (Clontech, USA) prey vector as GAL4 transcription activation domain
398 (GAL4 AD) fusion protein. It was transformed in the Y1H-Bait strain and positive
399 transformants (Y1H-Bait+Prey) were selected by growing serially diluted (10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³
400 and 10⁻⁴) cells at 30°C for 3 days on AbA (200 mg/ml) containing double drop out (SD-
401 URA-LEU) plates.

402 **GUS based reporter assay.** The promoter region of the selected key defense genes (as
403 described above) was fused with *GUS* gene in pBI101 (pBI101:promoter:*GUS*) and
404 *AtRAV1* full length gene (AT1G13260) was cloned under CaMV35S promoter in pGJ100
405 (pGJ100:AtRAV1). The primer used for cloning is enlisted in **Table S5**. Both the
406 recombinant plasmids were individually transformed into GV3101 strain of *Agrobacterium*
407 and were co-infiltrated into the leaves of *N. benthamiana*. After 48 hours of infiltration,
408 GUS expression was analyzed by staining the leaves with GUS solution (1 mg/ml) for 16
409 hours at 37°C. Upon destaining in a solution (1:3 ratio of glacial acetic acid: ethanol) for 3
410 to 4 hrs at room temperature and washing with distilled water (55).

411 **cDNA synthesis and expression analysis.** Total RNA was isolated from plant tissues
412 using RNeasy Plant RNA isolation kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). 1µg of total RNA was used
413 for cDNA synthesis using Verso cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, USA),
414 as per the manufacturer's protocol. qRT-PCR of 16 key defence genes and various defense
415 marker genes (SA, JA and ET) was performed using primers mentioned in **Table S5**. The
416 relative fold change was calculated by using 2^{-ΔΔCt} method (56).

417 **Pathogen infection assays.** *R. solani* AG1-IA (BRS1) strain (18) was used to infect *A.*
418 *thaliana* and tomato. The *R. solani* sclerotia pre-germinated in potato dextrose broth (PDB,
419 Himedia, Mumbai, India) at 28°C for 6 hours were used to infect the leaves of *A. thaliana*
420 plants. A minimum of three leaves per plant and minimum 10 plants of each line per
421 experiment was infected. However for tomato infection, the detached leaves (n=3) of at
422 least 10 plants were used in each experiments (57). On the basis of observed symptom
423 patterns (severe or mild or no symptoms), we categorised percentage of leaves having
424 particular disease symptom as disease index. Total chlorophyll was calculated per square
425 cm area according to Arnon's equation as mentioned earlier (58). Further, the *R. solani*
426 biomass in the infected samples was estimated by monitoring the expression of its 18S
427 rRNA gene through qRT-PCR using primers mentioned in **Table S5**.

428 The deadly bacterial pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* strain F1C1 was also used to infect
 429 tomato. The pathogen was grown in BG media (Peptone 10g, yeast extract 1g, casamino
 430 acid 1g, agar 1.5g per litre) at 28°C for 48 h and 2×10^8 cfu/ml bacterial cells were drench
 431 inoculated in the 3 weeks grown nursery pots of tomato as per method described in (16). A
 432 minimum of 25 plants of each lines were infected in each experiment and the experiment
 433 was independently repeated twice. Disease symptoms were monitored at 7 dpi and
 434 percentage of plants with wilting symptoms was plotted as bar chart. The abundance of *R.*
 435 *solanacearum* was estimated by serial dilution plating method and counting the CFU per
 436 gram fresh weight of leaf as described earlier (59).

437 Also, the Tomato leaf curl Joydebpur virus (*ToLCJoV*) was infected to the 3 weeks old
 438 tomato leaves as described (60). The disease symptoms were observed at 21 dpi and the
 439 disease index, DAB staining and total chlorophyll content were estimated.

440 **Microscopic analysis.** The infected leaves were harvested and stained with WGA-FITC as
 441 described earlier to monitor the growth of *R. solani* mycelia (61). The samples were
 442 observed under GFP filter of Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (AOBS TCS-SP5,
 443 Leica, Germany). The images were analysed using LAS AF Version: 2.6.0 build 7266
 444 software.

445 **Biochemical assays.** DAB staining was used for detection of ROS while trypan blue
 446 staining was performed to detect cell death assay in *R. solani* infected leaves of *A. thaliana*
 447 and tomato leaves (62).

448 Further, the MDA, H_2O_2 content and ion leakage (%) were quantified using the earlier
 449 described method (61). Similarly the activities of various antioxidant enzymes (CAT, APX
 450 and GR) were estimated using the protocol described in (62).

451 **Expression and purification of AtRAV1 protein.** The *AtRAV1* gene was cloned in
 452 pET28a bacterial expression vector using *RAVIOX-F* and *RAVIOX-R* gene specific
 453 primers and transformed into *E. coli* (BL-21 strain, DE3-codon⁺) cells. The protein was
 454 purified using affinity chromatography (Ni^{+2} -NTA) following the method described earlier
 455 (57). Similarly, the different variants of *AtRAV1*, having different phosphorylation residues
 456 mutated (SDM1: Ser310Ala; SDM2: Thr19Ala; SDM3: Thr23Ala; SDM4: Thr193Ala;
 457 SDM5: having all four potential phosphorylation sites mutated) were synthesized
 458 commercially (Gene Universal Inc; <http://www.geneuniversal.com/>) and cloned in pET28a
 459 to purify different variant proteins. The western blot was performed by electro blotting
 460 protein onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane and probed with mouse polyclonal

461 anti-His-antibody (1:30000 dilutions). Also western blotting of AtMPK3, AtMPK4 and
462 AtMPK6 was performed using anti-MAP kinase-antibody (1:20000 dilutions) as primary
463 antibody and anti-mouse IgG (Sigma) protein (1:15000 dilutions) as secondary antibody.

464 ***In-vitro* phosphorylation of AtRAV1 by MAP Kinases.** The bacterially purified AtRAV1
465 protein and its variants (as described above) were used for in-vitro phosphorylation assay.
466 The CDS of *AtMPK3* and *AtMPK6* were cloned in pGEX4t2 vector in-frame with amino-
467 terminal GST tag and transformed into *E. coli* (BL21). Upon 1mM IPTG induction for 4h,
468 the proteins were purified using GST-beads as per manufacturer's protocols (63). The in-
469 vitro kinase assay was performed as described in (47). Briefly, the MAP kinases and RAV1
470 variant proteins (1:10) were incubated in a 20µl kinase reaction buffer (25 mM Tris-Cl (pH
471 7.5), 10 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM MnCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM β-glycerol-phosphate, 1 µM
472 Na₃VO₄, 0.5 mg/ml MBP, 25 µM ATP and 1 µCi [γ -³²P] ATP) at 30°C for 30 minutes.
473 The reaction was stopped by addition of 2x-SDS- loading buffer and heating at 95°C for 5
474 minutes. The samples were fractionated in 10% to 12% SDS-PAGE. The phosphorylation
475 signals were detected by Typhoon phosphor imaging system (GE Health Care, Life
476 Sciences, USA).

477 **Overexpression of phospho-defective variants of *AtRAV1* in *A. thaliana*.**

478 The SDM2 and SDM5 variants of *AtRAV1* which were defective in *in-vitro*
479 phosphorylation by AtMPK3 were PCR amplified and cloned in the pGJ100 plant
480 transformation vector. The constructs were subsequently transformed into GV3101 strain
481 of Agrobacterium and the recombinant bacterial strains were used for transformation in the
482 WT (Col-0) and *atrav1* (*Salk_021865*) mutant lines. The transgenic lines were confirmed
483 through PCR and sequence analysis.

484 **Statistical analysis.** One-way analysis of variance was performed using Sigma Plot 12.0
485 (SPSS, Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) with $P \leq 0.05$ considered statistically significant. The
486 statistical significance is mentioned in the figure legend, wherever required.

487 **Acknowledgements**

488 We acknowledge Ms Ekta Manglesh for making contributions during early stage of the
489 envisaged research. RK acknowledges CSIR, SRA fellowship. Financial support from the
490 DBT-RA programme in Biotechnology and Life Sciences is gratefully acknowledged by
491 DMS. SG acknowledges SPM fellowship from CSIR. PKB thanks UGC for fellowship. The
492 work has been supported by the research findings from Department of Biotechnology, Govt
493 of India under NIPGR Flagship programme (imparting sheath blight disease tolerance in

rice) and NIPGR core research grant. We are grateful to Dr Ramesh Sonti, NIPGR for providing critical comments on the manuscript and helping us to improve its quality. Dr S.K. Ray from Tezpur University for his help in providing F1C1 wild type strain of *Ralstonia solanacearum*. We also thank Dr A.K. Singh, ICAR-IIVR, Varanasi for providing infectious clone of *ToLCJoV*.

Authors' contributions

GJ conceived the work and coordinated its progress, GJ as well as GB planned while GB supervised the network analysis which leads to identification of key defense proteins. RKC carried out the detail characterization of *AtRAVI* as well as *SIRAVI* to establish its role in providing broad spectrum disease resistance against bacterial, fungal and viral infection. RK assisted in making various constructs and performed YIH. DMS performed protein purification, antioxidant assays and western blot analysis. SG assisted in confocal microscopic analysis and bioinformatics analysis. PKB performed the *in-vitro* phosphorylation assays, AKS contributed different MAPK mutants and antibodies, SP had provided valuable comments on the manuscript. GJ, RKC and DMS had written the manuscript and all authors have approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Material distribution footnote: The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the instructions for authors.

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

Figure legends

Fig. 1. *AtRAV1* up-regulates the expression of key defence genes. (A) Relative expression pattern of sixteen key defense genes in *A. thaliana*. The differential expression of these genes in *AtRAV1* overexpression lines (OE1 and OE2) with respect to the wild type (WT) plants was calculated using beta actin gene as endogenous control. (B) Yeast one hybrid (Y1H) based transactivation assay. The full-length *AtRAV1* were ligated into the pGADT7 (Prey) vector and promoters of selected key defence genes were cloned in pAbAi bait vectors in upstream of Aureobasidine A (AbA). The growth of co-transformed (Prey+Bait) yeast strain on SD/-Leu/-Ura/AbA verifies the transactivation activity. (C) GUS reporter assay. Transcriptionally fused *GUS* under the promoter of selected key defense genes was found induced (appearance of blue color) when co-expressed with *AtRAV1* in *N. benthamiana*. (D) qRT-PCR quantification of *GUS* gene expression in *N. benthamiana* leaves. The *NptII* gene was used as internal control and relative expression was quantified upon normalization with promoter: *GUS* infiltrated samples. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. For each gene, different letters indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in at least three biological repeats.

542

543 **Fig. 2.** Overexpression of *AtRAV1* provides disease resistance against *R. solani* infection in
544 *A. thaliana*. (A) Disease symptoms observed as brown necrotic lesions in infected leaves at
545 4 dpi. (B) Trypan blue staining for visualization of cell death and (C) DAB staining for ROS
546 accumulation (brown coloration) in the infected leaves. (D) Disease index (based upon
547 observed necrotic symptoms). (E) Bar graph showing qRT-PCR quantification of 18S RNA
548 gene of *R. solani* (reflecting pathogen load). (F) Total chlorophyll content in *R. solani*
549 infected *A. thaliana* leaves. (G) Confocal imaging of WGA-FITC stained *R. solani*
550 mycelium in the infected leaves. The arrows indicate infection cushions in WT and EV
551 plants. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates.
552 Values with different letters are significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way
553 ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in at least three biological repeats.

Fig. 3. The MAP kinases are induced in *R. solani* infected *AtRAV1* overexpressing lines. (A) Bar graph represents qRT-PCR based expression analysis of different *MAP* kinase genes in *R.solani* infected *A. thaliana* leaves. The relative expression was quantified by normalizing the expression with uninfected samples using beta actin as endogenous control. (B) Western blot analysis showing the expression of AtMPK3, AtMPK4 and AtMPK6 proteins in different *A. thaliana* plants with or without *R. solani* infection. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. For each gene, different letters indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in at least three biological repeats.

567
568 **Fig. 4.** AtRAV1 mediated disease resistance requires functional AtMPK3 and AtMPK6
569 proteins. (A) The disease symptoms, cell death (trypan blue staining) and ROS
570 accumulation (DAB staining) in the *R. solani* infected leaves of *A. thaliana* plants at 4 dpi.
571 (B) Bar graph showing qRT-PCR based quantification of 18S ribosomal RNA of *R. solani*
572 (reflecting pathogen load) in the infected plants. (C) Total chlorophyll content in the
573 infected *A. thaliana* leaves. Data are reflected as mean $\pm$ SE of at least three technical.
574 Values with different letters are significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way
575 ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in three biological repeats.

576

577

Fig. 5. AtRAV1 is phosphorylated by AtMPK3 under in-vitro condition. (A) and (B) Upper panel: Autoradiogram showing in-vitro phosphorylation of bacterially expressed AtRAV1 with AtMPK3-GST and AtMPK6-GST. The phosphorylation of MBP was used as a positive control. (A) and (B) Lower panel: coomassie brilliant blue stained gel (12%) with positions of different proteins indicated by arrows. The plus and minus signs indicate the presence and absence of proteins, respectively during the assay.

Fig. 6. The overexpression of phospho-defective variants of *AtRAV1* fail to impart resistance against *R. solani* infections in *A. thaliana*. (A) The disease symptoms and extent of host cell death (trypan blue staining) in the *R. solani* infected leaves of different *A. thaliana* plants at 4 dpi. (B) Bar graph showing qRT-PCR based quantification of 18S ribosomal RNA of *R. solani* (reflecting pathogen load) in the infected plants. The expression of (C) selected key defense genes and (D) Defense marker genes in *R. solani* infected lines. The relative expression was quantified by normalizing the expression with that of *R. solani* infected wild type plants using beta actin as endogenous control. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. For each gene, different letters indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in two different biological repeats.

600

601

602 **Fig. 7.** Overexpression of *SIRA1* provides resistance against *R. solani* infection in tomato.
603 (A) Disease symptoms, (B) Disease index (in terms observed necrotic symptoms) and (C)
604 Total chlorophyll content in the *R. solani* infected tomato leaves at 4 dpi. (D) Expression
605 analysis of SA, JA and ET mediated marker genes in the infected samples. The relative
606 expression was quantified with respect to uninfected samples using beta actin as internal
607 control. (E) MDA content, (F) H₂O₂ content, (G) ion leakage (%) and the enzymatic
608 activities of various antioxidant markers, (H) CAT, (I) APX and (J) GR in the infected
609 plants. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. For
610 each gene, different letters indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-
611 way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in at least three biological repeats.

612

Fig. 8. Overexpression of *SIRAV1* provides resistance against *R. solanacearum* infection in tomato. (A) Disease symptoms, (B) The pathogen load (CFU/ml) and (C) disease index (% of plants with wilting symptoms) in drench inoculated *R. solanacearum* infected tomato plants at 7dpi. (D) Western-blot analysis reflecting the accumulation of His-tagged SIRAV1 protein in tomato plants with or without *R. solanacearum* infection. (E) MDA content, (F) H $_2$ O $_2$ content, (G) ion leakage (%) and the enzymatic activities of various antioxidant markers, (H) CAT, (I) APX and (J) GR in the infected plants. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. For each gene, different letters indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in three biological repeats.

635 References

- 636 1. J. D. G. Jones, J. L. Dangl, The plant immune system. *Nature* **444**, 323–9
637 (2006).
- 638 2. Z. Ma, *et al.*, A *Phytophthora sojae* Glycoside Hydrolase 12 Protein Is a
639 Major Virulence Factor during Soybean Infection and Is Recognized as a
640 PAMP. *Plant Cell* (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00390>.
- 641 3. C. Zipfel, *et al.*, Perception of the Bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the Receptor
642 EFR Restricts Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation. *Cell* (2006)
643 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.03.037>.
- 644 4. S. Stael, *et al.*, Plant innate immunity - sunny side up? *Trends Plant Sci.*
645 (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.10.002>.
- 646 5. S. H. Spoel, X. Dong, How do plants achieve immunity? Defence without
647 specialized immune cells. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **12**, 89–100 (2012).
- 648 6. S. T. Chisholm, G. Coaker, B. Day, B. J. Staskawicz, Host-microbe
649 interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. *Cell*
650 **124**, 803–814 (2006).
- 651 7. L. Bacete, H. Mélida, E. Miedes, A. Molina, Plant cell wall-mediated
652 immunity: cell wall changes trigger disease resistance responses. *Plant J.*
653 (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13807>.
- 654 8. L. Wang, *et al.*, Integrated transcriptome and hormone profiling highlight
655 the role of multiple phytohormone pathways in wheat resistance against
656 fusarium head blight. *PLoS One* (2018)
657 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207036>.
- 658 9. V. Verma, P. Ravindran, P. P. Kumar, Plant hormone-mediated regulation
659 of stress responses. *BMC Plant Biol.* (2016)
660 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0771-y>.
- 661 10. L. Caarls, *et al.*, Assessing the role of ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR
662 transcriptional repressors in salicylic acid-mediated suppression of
663 jasmonic acid-responsive genes. *Plant Cell Physiol.* (2017)
664 <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcw187>.
- 665 11. W. F. Broekaert, S. L. Delauré, M. F. C. De Bolle, B. P. A. Cammue, The
666 role of ethylene in host-pathogen interactions. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **44**,
667 393–416 (2006).

- 668 12. M. T. Nishimura, J. L. Dangl, Arabidopsis and the plant immune system.
669 *Plant J.* (2010) <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04131.x>.
- 670 13. M. L. Berens, H. M. Berry, A. Mine, C. T. Argueso, K. Tsuda, Evolution
671 of Hormone Signaling Networks in Plant Defense. *Annu. Rev.*
672 *Phytopathol.* **55**, annurev-phyto-080516-035544 (2017).
- 673 14. A. Robert-Seilaniantz, M. Grant, J. D. G. Jones, Hormone Crosstalk in
674 Plant Disease and Defense: More Than Just JASMONATE-
675 SALICYLATE Antagonism. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **49**, 317–343
676 (2011).
- 677 15. N. Peeters, A. Guidot, F. Vailleau, M. Valls, *Ralstonia solanacearum*, a
678 widespread bacterial plant pathogen in the post-genomic era. *Mol. Plant*
679 *Pathol.* (2013) <https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12038>.
- 680 16. S. Genin, Molecular traits controlling host range and adaptation to plants
681 in *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *New Phytol.* (2010)
682 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03397.x>.
- 683 17. A. C. Hayward, Biology and Epidemiology of Bacterial Wilt Caused by
684 *Pseudomonas Solanacearum*. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **29**, 65–87 (1991).
- 685 18. S. Ghosh, S. K. Gupta, G. Jha, Identification and functional analysis of
686 AG1-IA specific genes of *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Curr. Genet.* **60**, 327–341
687 (2014).
- 688 19. G. Yang, C. Li, “General Description of *Rhizoctonia* Species Complex” in
689 *Plant Pathology*, (2012) <https://doi.org/10.5772/39026>.
- 690 20. E. Moriones, S. Praveen, S. Chakraborty, Tomato leaf curl new delhi
691 virus: An emerging virus complex threatening vegetable and fiber crops.
692 *Viruses* (2017) <https://doi.org/10.3390/v9100264>.
- 693 21. C. Albrecht, *et al.*, Brassinosteroids inhibit pathogen-associated molecular
694 pattern-triggered immune signaling independent of the receptor kinase
695 BAK1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 303–308 (2012).
- 696 22. H. He, *et al.*, Pm21 , Encoding a Typical CC-NBS-LRR Protein, Confers
697 Broad-Spectrum Resistance to Wheat Powdery Mildew Disease. *Mol.*
698 *Plant* (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2018.03.004>.
- 699 23. R. Backer, S. Naidoo, N. van den Berg, The NONEXPRESSOR OF
700 PATHOGENESIS-RELATED GENES 1 (NPR1) and Related Family:

- 701 Mechanistic Insights in Plant Disease Resistance. *Front. Plant Sci.* **10**, 102
- 702 (2019).
- 703 24. K. M. Pajerowska-Mukhtar, D. K. Emerine, M. S. Mukhtar, Tell me more:
- 704 Roles of NPRs in plant immunity. *Trends Plant Sci.* (2013)
- 705 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.04.004>.
- 706 25. S. Lacombe, *et al.*, Interfamily transfer of a plant pattern-recognition
- 707 receptor confers broad-spectrum bacterial resistance. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **28**,
- 708 365–369 (2010).
- 709 26. J. E. Lincoln, *et al.*, Expression of the antiapoptotic baculovirus p35 gene
- 710 in tomato blocks programmed cell death and provides broad-spectrum
- 711 resistance to disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (2002)
- 712 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.232579799>.
- 713 27. R. Nelson, T. Wiesner-Hanks, R. Wisser, P. Balint-Kurti, Navigating
- 714 complexity to breed disease-resistant crops. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* (2018)
- 715 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.82>.
- 716 28. Y. Kagaya, K. Ohmiya, T. Hattori, RAV1, a novel DNA-binding protein,
- 717 binds to bipartite recognition sequence through two distinct DNA-binding
- 718 domains uniquely found in higher plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**, 470–478
- 719 (1999).
- 720 29. C. Z. Feng, *et al.*, Arabidopsis RAV1 transcription factor, phosphorylated
- 721 by SnRK2 kinases, regulates the expression of ABI3, ABI4, and ABI5
- 722 during seed germination and early seedling development. *Plant J.* **80**,
- 723 654–668 (2014).
- 724 30. H. R. Woo, *et al.*, The RAV1 transcription factor positively regulates leaf
- 725 senescence in Arabidopsis. *J. Exp. Bot.* (2010)
- 726 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq206>.
- 727 31. M. S. Mukhtar, *et al.*, Plant Immune System Network. *Science* (80-.).
- 728 **333**, 596–601 (2011).
- 729 32. Y. T. Cheng, *et al.*, Stability of plant immune-receptor resistance proteins
- 730 is controlled by SKP1-CULLIN1-F-box (SCF)-mediated protein
- 731 degradation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 14694–14699 (2011).
- 732 33. Y. Liu, *et al.*, Phosphorylation of an ERF Transcription Factor by
- 733 Arabidopsis MPK3/MPK6 Regulates Plant Defense Gene Induction and

- 734 Fungal Resistance. *Plant Cell* (2013)
- 735 <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.109074>.
- 736 34. M. Zhang, J. Su, Y. Zhang, J. Xu, S. Zhang, Conveying endogenous and
- 737 exogenous signals: MAPK cascades in plant growth and defense. *Curr.*
- 738 *Opin. Plant Biol.* **45**, 1–10 (2018).
- 739 35. J. Jelenska, J. A. van Hal, J. T. Greenberg, *Pseudomonas syringae* hijacks
- 740 plant stress chaperone machinery for virulence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
- 741 (2010) <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910943107>.
- 742 36. C. J. Park, Y. S. Seo, Heat shock proteins: A review of the molecular
- 743 chaperones for plant immunity. *Plant Pathol. J.* (2015)
- 744 <https://doi.org/10.5423/PPJ.RW.08.2015.0150>.
- 745 37. G. Kong, *et al.*, The Activation of Phytophthora Effector Avr3b by Plant
- 746 Cyclophilin is Required for the Nudix Hydrolase Activity of Avr3b. *PLoS*
- 747 *Pathog.* **11**, 1–22 (2015).
- 748 38. J. R. Dominguez-Solis, *et al.*, A cyclophilin links redox and light signals
- 749 to cysteine biosynthesis and stress responses in chloroplasts. *Proc. Natl.*
- 750 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **105**, 16386–91 (2008).
- 751 39. G. J. M. Beckers, *et al.*, Mitogen-Activated protein kinases 3 and 6 are
- 752 required for full priming of stress responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant*
- 753 *Cell* (2009) <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.062158>.
- 754 40. K. H. Sohn, S. C. Lee, H. W. Jung, J. K. Hong, B. K. Hwang, Expression
- 755 and functional roles of the pepper pathogen-induced transcription factor
- 756 RAV1 in bacterial disease resistance, and drought and salt stress tolerance.
- 757 *Plant Mol. Biol.* **61**, 897–915 (2006).
- 758 41. A. Djamei, A. Pitzschke, H. Nakagami, I. Rajh, H. Hirt, Trojan horse
- 759 strategy in *Agrobacterium* transformation: Abusing MAPK defense
- 760 signaling. *Science* (80-.). (2007) <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1148110>.
- 761 42. B. Li, X. Meng, L. Shan, P. He, Transcriptional Regulation of Pattern-
- 762 Triggered Immunity in Plants. *Cell Host Microbe* (2016)
- 763 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.04.011>.
- 764 43. G. Mao, *et al.*, Phosphorylation of a WRKY Transcription Factor by Two
- 765 Pathogen-Responsive MAPKs Drives Phytoalexin Biosynthesis in
- 766 *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **23**, 1639–1653 (2011).

- 767 44. J. Su, *et al.*, Active photosynthetic inhibition mediated by MPK3/MPK6 is
768 critical to effector-triggered immunity. *PLoS Biol.* (2018)
769 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004122>.
- 770 45. S. C. Popescu, *et al.*, MAPK target networks in *Arabidopsis thaliana*
771 revealed using functional protein microarrays. *Genes Dev.* (2009)
772 <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1740009>.
- 773 46. X. Meng, *et al.*, Phosphorylation of an ERF Transcription Factor by
774 *Arabidopsis* MPK3/MPK6 Regulates Plant Defense Gene Induction and
775 Fungal Resistance. *Plant Cell* **25**, 1126–1142 (2013).
- 776 47. P. Singh, A. K. Sinha, A Positive Feedback Loop Governed by SUB1A1
777 Interaction with MITOGEN-ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE3 Imparts
778 Submergence Tolerance in Rice. *Plant Cell* (2016)
779 <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.01001>.
- 780 48. B. Huot, J. Yao, B. L. Montgomery, S. Y. He, Growth-defense tradeoffs in
781 plants: A balancing act to optimize fitness. *Mol. Plant* **7**, 1267–1287
782 (2014).
- 783 49. K. J. P. Silva, A. Brunings, N. A. Peres, Z. Mou, K. M. Folta, The
784 *Arabidopsis* NPR1 gene confers broad-spectrum disease resistance in
785 strawberry. *Transgenic Res.* **24**, 693–704 (2015).
- 786 50. R. A. Gutierrez, R. M. Ewing, J. M. Cherry, P. J. Green, Identification of
787 unstable transcripts in *Arabidopsis* by cDNA microarray analysis: Rapid
788 decay is associated with a group of touch- and specific clock-controlled
789 genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**, 11513–11518 (2002).
- 790 51. M. S. Mukhtar, *et al.*, Independently evolved virulence effectors converge
791 onto hubs in a plant immune system network. *Science* **333**, 596–601
792 (2011).
- 793 52. M. M. Brandão, L. L. Dantas, M. C. Silva-Filho, AtPIN: *Arabidopsis*
794 *thaliana* protein interaction network. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**, 454 (2009).
- 795 53. P. Shannon, A. Markiel, O. Ozier, N. Baliga, Cytoscape: a software
796 environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks.
797 *Genome*, 2498–2504 (2003).
- 798 54. S. J. Clough, A. F. Bent, Floral dip: A simplified method for
799 *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.*

- 16, 735–743 (1998).
55. Y. Chen, GUS staining of guard cells to identify localised guard cell gene expression. *BIO-PROTOCOL* (2017) <https://doi.org/10.21769/bioprotoc.2446>.
56. K. J. Livak, T. D. Schmittgen, Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real- Time Quantitative PCR and the 2^{-ΔΔC_T} Method. *METHODS* **25**, 402–408 (2001).
57. D. M. Swain, *et al.*, A prophage tail-like protein is deployed by Burkholderia bacteria to feed on fungi. *Nat. Commun.* (2017) <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00529-0>.
58. D. I. Arnon, Copper Enzymes in Isolated Chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in Beta Vulgaris. *Plant Physiol.* **24**, 1–15 (1949).
59. D. Khokhani, T. M. Lowe-Power, T. M. Tran, C. Allen, A single regulator mediates strategic switching between attachment/spread and growth/virulence in the plant pathogen Ralstonia solanacearum. *MBio* (2017) <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00895-17>.
60. R. K. Chandan, *et al.*, Silencing of tomato CTR1 provides enhanced tolerance against Tomato leaf curl virus infection. *Plant Signal. Behav.* (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2019.1565595>.
61. D. M. Swain, *et al.*, Concurrent overexpression of rice G-protein β and γ subunits provide enhanced tolerance to sheath blight disease and abiotic stress in rice. *Planta* (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-019-03241-z>.
62. R. Kant, K. Tyagi, S. Ghosh, G. Jha, Host Alternative NADH:Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase Serves as a Susceptibility Factor to Promote Pathogenesis of Rhizoctonia solani in Plants . *Phytopathology* (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1094/phyto-02-19-0055-r>.
63. B. Raghuram, A. H. Sheikh, Y. Rustagi, A. K. Sinha, MicroRNA biogenesis factor DRB1 is a phosphorylation target of mitogen activated protein kinase MPK3 in both rice and Arabidopsis. *FEBS J.* (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.13159>.

832 **Supplementary information:**

833 **A cross talk of AtRAV1, an ethylene responsive transcription factor with MAP**
 834 **kinase imparts broad spectrum disease resistance in plants**

835

836 # Ravindra Kumar Chandan^{1,2} (rjha.bhu@gmail.com)

837 # Rahul Kumar¹ (rahuls697@gmail.com)

838 # Durga Madhab Swain¹ (dsnanowizard@gmail.com)

839 Srayan Ghosh¹ (srayan@nipgr.ac.in)

840 Prakash Kumar Bhagat³ (prakash@nipgr.ac.in)

841 Sunita Patel² (sunitapcug@gmail.com)

842 Ganesh Bagler⁴ (bagler@iiitd.ac)

843 Alok Krishna Sinha³ (alok@nipgr.ac.in)

844 Gopaljee Jha^{1,*} (jmsgopal@nipgr.ac.in; jmsgopal@gmail.com)

845

846 * Corresponding Author:

847 Email: jmsgopal@nipgr.ac.in; jmsgopal@gmail.com

848 Tel: +91(0)1126735177

849 Fax: +91(0)1126741658

850 # These authors contribute equally to this work

851

852 1: Plant microbe interactions lab, National Institute of Plant Genome Research, Aruna
 853 Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi-110067, India.

854 2: School of Life Sciences, Central University of Gujarat, Sector-30, Gandhinagar-
 855 382030, India.

856 3: National Institute of Plant Genome Research, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi-
 857 110067, India.

858 4: Centre for Computational Biology, Indraprastha Institute of Information Technology
 859 (IIIT-Delhi), New Delhi-110020, India.

860 **Short title:** RAV1 promotes disease resistance in plants

861

862

863

Fig. S1. Centrality of key defense proteins in Arabidopsis defense protein interaction network. Protein-protein interaction network of Arabidopsis defense proteins and their immediate interacting partners: ADPINv1. The Arabidopsis defense proteins were mapped onto AtPIN and the interactome of these proteins and their immediate interactors was extracted using Cytoscape. A complex network with 6051 interactions amongst 1343 proteins was observed. Central nature of key plant defense interactome proteins was identified using network metrics. Sixteen key defense proteins are highlighted onto ADPINv1 in red.

Fig. S2. The AtRAV1 transcription factor (AT1G13260) binding sites in the promoter region of key defense genes. The AtRAV1 transcription factor (AT1G13260) binding sites in the promoter region in each of the 16 key defense genes are represented as vertical bars. The violet colour indicates binding sites in the negative strand while pink colour indicates binding sites in the positive strand.

Fig. S3. Phylogenetic analysis of RAV1 proteins. The bootstrap values are indicated at each branch node. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method and are in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. The evolutionary analysis was conducted in MEGA X using Neighbor-Joining method.

Fig. S4. Potential RAV1 binding sites present in the promoter of *AtRAV1*. (A) Schematic view depicting the presence of potential RAV1 transcription factor binding sites in the *AtRAV1* promoter. The violet colour indicates binding sites in negative strand while pink colour indicates binding sites in the positive strand. (B) The potential RAV1 binding motifs are highlighted in the *AtRAV1* promoter region. Eight distinct RAV1 binding motifs [AGAAA (5), TTTCT (8), AATCT (3), GGAAA (2), GATAT (1), ATATC (3), CTCAG (1) and TATCC (1)], are highlighted.

Fig. S5. Characterization of transgenic *A. thaliana* lines. (A) The pGJ100 binary vector map that was used for generating transgenic lines. (B) The representative photographs of *AtRAV1* overexpressing (OEs) and empty vector (EV) transgenic plants. (C) PCR product using CaMV 35S F and RAV1OX-R primer pair. PC (positive control) represents PCR product obtained using plasmid of pGJ100 containing 35S:*AtRAV1*; NC (negative control) reflects no template control and M: represents DNA marker. (D) PCR product using NptII-F and NptII-R primers, highlighting the integration of T-DNA in both OE and EV transgenic lines. (E) Relative gene expression of *AtRAV1* in different OE and EV lines, when normalized with the expression in wild type (WT) plants using beta-actin as housekeeping gene. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three biological replicates. Values with different letters are significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA)

Fig. S6. *AtRAV1* induces the expression of *AtMPK3* by potentially binding to its promoter. (A) Yeast one hybrid assay: growth of yeast cells on SD-URA-LEU medium in presence of AbA (Aureobasidin A) reflects that *AtRAV1* transactivates the expression of AbA gene under *AtMPK3* promoter. The pAbAi-p53+pGADT7Rec-P53 was used as a positive control while the empty vector of pAbAi + pGADT7 was used as negative control. (B) GUS based reporter assay suggesting that *AtRAV1* activates GUS expression driven through the promoter of *AtMPK3* gene in *N. benthamiana*.

Fig. S7. Validation of *atrav1* mutant line (Salk_021865). (A) The position of T-DNA insertion (marked by inverted triangles) in *AtRAV1* gene in. Red arrow indicates the positions of primers used to validate T-DNA insertion. (B) PCR product showing T-DNA insertion in *atrav1* mutant. *ACT2* (beta actin) gene of *A. thaliana* was used as loading control.

Fig. S8. The pathogen infection induces the expression of *AtRAV1*. The relative expression of *AtRAV1* gene in *R. solani* infected *A. thaliana* plants are summarized as bar chart. The relative expression was quantified by normalizing the expression with the uninfected samples using beta actin as endogenous control. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. Values with different letters are significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in three biological repeats.

Fig. S9. *R. solani* infection enhances the expression of key defense and defense marker genes in *AtRAV1* overexpression lines. The expression of (A) selected key defense genes and (B) Defense marker genes in *R. solani* infected lines. The relative expression was quantified by normalizing the expression with uninfected samples using beta actin as endogenous control. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. For each gene, different letters indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in three biological repeats.

Fig. S10. Validation of AtRAV1^{OE1}/mpk3, AtRAV1^{OE1}/mpk4-2 and AtRAV1^{OE1}/mpk6-2 lines in *A. thaliana*. (A) The representative images of different plants (AtRAV1^{OE1}/mpk3, AtRAV1^{OE1}/mpk4-2 and AtRAV1^{OE1}/mpk6-2). B, C and D right panel represent PCR based validation of T-DNA insertion of different MAP kinase knockout mutant (mpk3, mpk4-2 and mpk6-2) in *AtRAV1* OE1 lines using T-DNA border primer and gene specific reverse primer (BP+RP). B, C and D left panel represent presence of *AtRAV1* transgene was confirmed by PCR using CaMV 35S F and RAV1OX-R primer. Negative control (NC) reflects no template control.

Fig. S12. Characterization of transgenic tomato lines. (A) T-DNA map of pGWB408 gateway binary vector that was used for generating transgenic lines in tomato. (B) The representative images of empty vector (EV) and *SIRAV1* overexpressing (OEs) transgenic plants. (C) Presence of T-DNA (885 bp) confirmed by PCR using NptII-F and NptII-R primer. (D) Presence of *SIRAV1* transgene (1278bp) confirmed by PCR using CaMV 35S F and *SIRAV1*OE-R primer. Positive control (PC) represents PCR product obtained from recombinant plasmid (pGWB408 containing 35S:*SIRAV1*). (E) Relative gene expression of *SIRAV1* in different OE and EV lines, upon normalization with respect to WT plants. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. Values with different letters are significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in three biological repeats.

Fig. S13. *R. solani* infection upregulates the expression of SIRAV1 in tomato. (A) The relative expression of *SIRAV1* gene in *R. solani* infected (4 dpi) tomato leaves are summarized as bar chart. The relative expression was quantified by normalizing the expression with uninfected samples using beta actin as endogenous control. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. Values with different letters are significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). (B) Western-blot analysis reflecting the accumulation of His-tagged version of SIRAV1 protein in different tomato plants with or without *R. solani* infection. Similar results were obtained in three biological repeats.

Fig. S14. Expression analysis of different antioxidant marker genes upon *R. solani* and *R. solanacearum* infection. The relative expression of various antioxidant marker genes (*CAT*, *APX* and *GR*) in (A) *R. solani* (4 dpi) and (B) *R. solanacearum* (7 dpi) infected tomato leaves. The relative expression was quantified by normalizing the expression with uninfected samples using beta actin as endogenous control. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. Values with different letters are significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in three biological repeats.

Fig. S15. *SIRAV1* overexpression provides tolerance against *Tomato leaf curl Joydepur virus (ToLCJoV)* infections in tomato. (A) Disease symptoms (leaf curling) in *ToLCJoV* infected tomato at 21 dpi. (B) DAB staining of *ToLCJoV* infected tomato leaves. (C) Observed disease symptoms in *ToLCJoV* infected tomato leaves plotted as disease index. (D) Total chlorophyll content in *ToLCJoV* infected tomato leaves at 21 dpi. Graph shows mean values $\pm$ standard error of at least three technical replicates. Values with different letters are significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (estimated using one-way ANOVA). Similar results were obtained in three biological repeats.

1074 **Table S1.** RAV1 binding motifs in the promoter region of selected key
1075 defence genes.

Gene name	Promoter region having potential AtRAV1 binding sites	Number of RAV1 binding motifs
MPK4	-124 to -1858	3
ROC1	-169 to -1812	11
WD40	-133 to -1775	6
BRL2	-40 to -1916	9
SKP1	-167 to -1906	6
HSP70	-103 to -1806	11

1076
1077 **Table S2.** List of Salicylic acid, Jasmonic acid and Ethylene (SA, JA and
1078 ET) mediated defense marker gene used in this study.

Sl. no.	TAIR ID.	Gene name	Function	Refere nce
1.	<i>Atlg64280</i>	<i>AtNPR1</i>	Induced systemic resistance against <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> by <i>Bacillus cereus</i> . Key regulator of SA- mediated signaling.	(1, 2)
2.	<i>At3g56400</i>	<i>AtWRKY70</i>	Function as activator of SA-dependent defense genes and a repressor of JA- regulated genes. <i>WRKY70</i> controlled suppression of JA- signaling is partly executed by <i>NPR1</i> .	(3)
3.	<i>Atlg05675</i>	<i>AtUGT</i> <i>superfamily</i>	Mediates abscisic acid homeostasis in	(4)

			Arabidopsis	
4.	<i>At5g42650</i>	<i>AtAOS2</i>	JA biosynthetic pathway. Defense response	(4, 5)
5.	<i>At3g22400</i>	<i>AtLOX5</i>	Activate Brassinosteroid signaling to promote cell wall based defense and limit pathogen infection	(6)
6.	<i>At5g44210</i>	<i>AtERF9</i>	Participates in resistance against necrotrophic fungi.	(7)
7.	<i>At3g20770</i>	<i>AtEIN3</i>	Modulate plant salt tolerance. EIN3 interferes with the sulfur deficiency signaling in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> .	(8, 9)

1079

1080 **Table S3.** As XLS sheet (attached separately)

1081

1082 **Table S4.** List of 16 key defense genes.

Sl. no.	TAIR Id.	Gene name	Function of gene	Expression pattern as per Gene investigator analysis*	References
1	<i>At5g42190</i>	<i>SKP-LIKE 2</i> , <i>ASK2</i> , <i>SKP1B</i>	Involved in mitotic cell cycle control and ubiquitin mediated	Highly expressed	(10)

			proteolysis.		
2	<i>At5g52640</i>	<i>ATHSP9</i> <i>0.1</i>	Interacts with disease resistance signalling components SGT1b and RAR1 and is required for RPS2-mediated resistance.	Highly expressed	(11)
3	<i>At1g75950</i>	<i>S</i> <i>PHASE</i> <i>KINASE</i> <i>-</i> <i>ASSOCI</i> <i>ATED</i> <i>PROTEI</i> <i>N 1,</i> <i>SKP1</i>	Component of the SCF family of E3 ubiquitin ligases. Predominantly expressed from leptotene to pachytene. Negatively regulates recombination.	Highly expressed	(12, 13)

4	<i>At4g01370</i>	<i>ATMPK4, MAPKINASE4</i>	Negatively regulates systemic acquired resistance. Required for male-specific meiotic cytokinesis.	Highly expressed	(14, 15)
5	<i>At3g62870</i>	<i>Ribosomal protein L7Ae/L30e/S12e/Gadd45 family protein</i>	Structural constituent of ribosome, involved in translation, located in cytosolic ribosome.	Highly expressed	(16)
6	<i>At2g43790</i>	<i>ATMAPK6, ATMPK6</i>	Involved in seed formation and modulation of primary and lateral root development. Differentially	Highly expressed	(17, 18)

			regulates growth and pathogen defense in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> .		
7	<i>At4g08500</i>	<i>MAPK/ERK KINASE KINASE 1, ATM EKK1</i>	Activate in response to flagellin receptor FLS2 WRKY53 transcription factor. Mediates function during cold acclimation in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> .	Moderate expression	(19, 20)
8	<i>At2g01950</i>	<i>BRI1-LIKE 2, BRL2,</i>	Auxin-activated signalling pathway, Brassinosteroid mediated signalling pathway. Regulates	Low to moderate expression	(21, 22)

			the containmen t of microbial infection- induced cell death.		
9	<i>At5g10260</i>	<i>ATRAB HIE, RAB GTPAS E HOMOL OG HIE</i>	Involved in: protein transport, small GTPase mediated signal transductio n. Vesicle Trafficking in Arabidopsi s pollen tubes.	Low to moderate expression	(23, 24)
10	<i>At4g38740</i>	<i>ROCI, ROTAM ASE CYP 1</i>	Blue light signalling pathway, Brassinoste roid mediated signalling pathway.	Highly expressed	(25)
11	<i>At5g56030</i>	<i>ATHSP9 0.2,</i>	Important for	Highly expressed	(26, 27)

		<i>EARLY- RESPONSIVE TO DEHYDRATION</i>	stomatal closure and modulate abscisic acid-dependent physiological responses. Required for NLR immune receptor accumulation.		
12	<i>At3g02520</i>	<i>GENERAL REGULATORY FACTOR 7.GRF7</i>	Contribute to polarity of PIN auxin carrier and auxin transport-related development. Important for plant development.	Highly expressed	(28, 29).

13	<i>Atlg7</i> <i>8300</i>	<i>GENER</i> <i>AL</i> <i>REGUL</i> <i>ATORY</i> <i>FACTO</i> <i>R 2,</i> <i>GF14</i> <i>OMEGA</i> <i>, GRF2,</i> <i>14-3-3</i>	Brassinost roid mediated signalling pathway. Contribute to polarity of PIN auxin carrier and auxin transport- related developme nt.	Highly expressed	(28, 30)
14	<i>At3g4</i> <i>3810</i>	<i>ATCAM</i> <i>7,</i> <i>CALMO</i> <i>DULIN</i> <i>7</i>	Inhibition of the Arabidopsi s BRASSIN OSTEROI D- INSENSIT IVE 1 receptor kinase. Promote photo morphogen esis. Regulate root growth	Highly expressed	(31, 32)

			and abscisic acid responses.		
15	<i>At5g23430</i>	<i>Transducin/WD40 repeat-like superfamily protein</i>	Controls seed germination, growth and biomass accumulation in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> .	Moderate expression	(33)
16	<i>At3g12580</i>	<i>ARABIDOPSIS HEAT SHOCK PROTEIN 70, ATHSP70</i>	Regulate development and abiotic stress. Required for protection against oxidative stress.	Moderate expression	(34, 35)

*: The expression during various biotic stress were consider during the analysis

1089 **Table S5.** List of primer used in this study.

Primer name.	GeneID.	Primer sequence (5' to 3')
For full length gene amplification and cloning (Highlighted region in primer represent restriction sites)		
<i>At1G132</i> 60.1	RAV1OX -F	5' <u>ATCGAT</u> ATGGAATCGAGTAGCGTTGATGAGA3'
	RAV1OX -R	5' <u>TCTAGAT</u> TACGAGGCGTGAAAGATGCGTTGCT3'
EU1644 16	SIRAV1O X-F	5' <u>GGATCC</u> ATGGAGGTAAGTTGCATAG 3'
	SIRAV1O X-R	5' <u>CTCGAGT</u> CAAGGCATCAATTATTACCCT 3'
For Expression study by Real time q-RT PCR		
<i>At5g421</i> 90.1	AtASK2 RTF	5'CGAAATTGACGAAGCGGTGG3'
	AtASK2 RTR	5'GCAGCTTCGACATGTCTCTT3'
<i>At5g526</i> 40.1	AtHSP90 RTF	5'ACGGTACCACTCCACAAAGAG3'
	AtHSP90 RTR	5'ACCGCCTTTTGTCTTTCACC3'
<i>At1g759</i> 50.1	AtSKP1 RTF	5'GGGACTGTTGGACTTGACTT3'
	AtSKP1 RTR	5'CGGCGAACCTCTTCTTCTT3'
<i>At4g013</i> 70.1	AtMPK4 RTF	5'CATGGTGGTAGCTATGTTTCAGT3'
	AtMPK4 RTR	5'GCAGCACAGACAATTCCATAAG3'
<i>At3g628</i> 70.1	AtL7Ae RTF	5'TTGAGAGACGCCCAAAGCAA 3'

	AtL7Ae RTR	5'AGGATACGCTTCTGCCTTTGA3'
<i>At2g437</i> 90.1	AtMPK6 RTF	5'TGAACGAAAACGCAAAGCGA3'
	AtMPK6 RTR	5'CAGTGATGGATTGGCGAGGA3'
<i>At4g085</i> 00.1	AtMEKK 1 RTF	5'TAAGGTTTCAGGGTCAGGATTTG3'
	AtMEKK 1RTR	5'TCTACCACACCATCAGCTACTA3'
<i>At2g019</i> 50.1	AtBRI1 RTF	5'AAACCTCGTACCGCTCTTGG3'
	AtBRI1 RTR	5'ATCCTCCGTTTTTCGCCTGT3'
<i>At5g102</i> 60.1	AtRABH1 RTF	5'ACCAGCATCATCACTCGTTT3'
	AtRABH1 RTR	5'GTTGGAGACGAACAGTCCTATC3'
<i>At4g387</i> 40.1	AtROC1 RTF	5'TTTCACCGTGTGATCCCTAAC3'
	AtROC1 RTR	5'GGTGTGCTTCCTCTCGAAAT3'
<i>At5g560</i> 30.1	AtHSP90 RTF	5'CTGCTAGGATTCACAGGATGTT3'
	AtHSP90 RTR	5'CTTCCTCCATCTTGCTCTCTTC3'
<i>At3g025</i> 20.1	AtGRF7 RTF	5'CTGCTGAGAGCACTCTGGTT3'
	AtGRF7 RTR	5'CAGGCACGATCAGGTGAGTT3'
<i>At1g783</i> 00.1	At14-3-3 RTF	5'AGAGCTTGCTCCAACACACC3'

	At14-3-3 RTR	5'AGGTTACAGGCACGATCAGG3'
<i>At3g438</i> <i>10.1</i>	AtCAM7 RTF	5'AGGAGCTTGGGACTGTGATG3'
	AtCAM7 RTR	5'CTATTGTCCCGTTCCCGTCT 3'
<i>At5g234</i> <i>30.1</i>	AtWD40 RTF	5'CCAGAGCAGACCCTAGAATAGA3'
	AtWD40 RTR	5'TAGGTGACCTTCGGGAATCA3'
<i>At3g125</i> <i>80.1</i>	AtHSP70 RTF	5'GGCAGATGAGTTCGAGGATAAG3'
	AtHSP70 RTR	5'AGGTGTGTCGTCATCCATTC3'
<i>At3G187</i> <i>80.1</i>	AtACT RTF	5' GACCTTTAACTCTCCCGCTATG3'
	AtACT RTR	5'GAGACACACCATCACCAGAAT3'
<i>At1g642</i> <i>80.1</i>	AtNPR1 RTF	5'CGGTTTCGATTCGGTTGTG3'
	AtNPR1 RTR	5'TCGTCTGCGCATTGAGAACT3'
<i>At3g564</i> <i>00.1</i>	AtWRKY 70 RTF	CAAGGGTGCAAGGCAACAA3'
	AtWRKY 70 RTR	5'TTGGGAGTTTCTGCGTTGGT3'
<i>At1g056</i> <i>75.1</i>	AtUGT RTF	5'GCCGTGGCTTCTGGATGTAG3'
	AtUGT RTR	5'AGAACGAGCCCTTGAATACATGA3'
<i>At5g426</i> <i>50.1</i>	AtAOS2 RTF	5'CGGGCGGGTCATCAAGT3'

	AtAOS2 RTR	5'AATCGCTCCCATCGTGAGTT3'
<i>At3g224</i> <i>00.1</i>	AtLOX5 RTF	5'TGCGGTCAATGACTCTGGTTAT3'
	AtLOX5 RTR	5'ACCACGCTGAGCTGCCTATT3'
<i>At5g442</i> <i>10.1</i>	AtERF RTF	5'GGTTATGCTTCTGCTGGTTTTTTC3'
	AtERF RTR	5'ATCAAACCGAACCGGACAAA3'
<i>At3g207</i> <i>70.1</i>	AtEIN3 RTF	5'GTTCCACAAGCTGAGCCTGAT3'
	AtEIN3 RTR	5'TCTCCACATCCTCCTCTCCAA3'
<i>At1G132</i> <i>60.1</i>	AtRAV1 RTF	5'TACCGAAACATCACGCAGAG3'
	AtRAV1 RTR	5'TAACGGAACCTCCACACTTTC3'
X04879. 1	CaMV 35S F	5'GCGATAAAGGAAAGGCTATCG3'
<i>U55761</i> . <i>1</i>	NptII F	5'TGATTGAACAAGATGGATTGC3'
	NptII R	5'GAACTCGTCAAGAAGGCGATA3'
U60480. 1	SlActin_F	TGGCATCATACTTTCTACAATG
	SlActin_R	CTAATATCCACGTCACATTTCAT
Primer used for GUS based Reporter assay (Highlighted region in primer represent restriction sites)		
<i>At1g759</i> <i>50.1</i>	SKP1_GU S_F	<u>AAGCTT</u> CTGATAAGACTCAGTATCTTTAA
	SKP1_GU S_R	<u>GGATCC</u> AGTCTTAACCTAATTAGGT
<i>At4g013</i> <i>70.1</i>	MPK4_G US_F	<u>GTCGAC</u> ATTATCGCCAAAGCTTCTCTC

	MPK4_G US_R	<u>GGATCCT</u> CATGGTTAAACAACCTTATA
<i>At2g019</i> 50.1	BRL2_G US_F	<u>AAGCTT</u> ATCTTTGTGGTATACTGTATTTA
	BRL2_G US_R	<u>GGATCC</u> AGTGTAGTATTATATAAACT
<i>At4g387</i> 40.1	ROC1_G US_F	<u>AAGCTT</u> CAGATTTCTTCTACAGA
	ROC1_G US_R	<u>GGATCC</u> TATAAATAAACAAGGATTA
<i>At5g234</i> 30.1	WD40_G US_F	<u>GTCGAC</u> ATTCCTATTCTCATAACT
	WD40_G US_R	<u>GGATCC</u> TATATAATGGAATTATGAAAC
<i>At3g125</i> 80.1	HSP70_G US_F	<u>GTCGAC</u> AAGAAATATGGGTGAGACT
	HSP70_G US_R	<u>GGATCC</u> ATTCGGTGTTTAGGCAC
Primer used for Y1H assay (Highlighted region in primer represent restriction sites)		
<i>At1g759</i> 50.1	SKP1_BA IT_F	<u>GAGCTC</u> CTGATAAGACTCAGTATCTTTAA
	SKP1_BA IT_R	<u>CTCGAG</u> AGTCTTAACCTAATTAGGT
<i>At4g013</i> 70.1	MPK4_B AIT_F	<u>GAGCTC</u> ATTATCGCCAAAGCTT
	MPK4_B AIT_R	<u>GTCGAC</u> TATGGTTAAACAACCTTATA
<i>At2g019</i> 50.1	BRL2_BA IT_F	<u>GAGCTC</u> ATCTTTGTGGTATACTGTATTTA
	BRL2_BA IT_R	<u>GTCGAC</u> AGTGTAGTATTATATAAACT

<i>At4g387</i> 40.1	ROC1_B	<u>GAGCTC</u> CAGATTCTTCTACAGA
	AIT_F	
	ROC1_B	<u>GTCGACT</u> TATAAATAAACAAGGATTA
	AIT_R	
<i>At5g234</i> 30.1	WD40_B	<u>GAGCTC</u> ATTCCTATTCTCATAACT
	AIT_F	
	WD40_B	<u>GTCGACT</u> TATATAATGGAATTATGA
	AIT_R	
<i>At3g125</i> 80.1	HSP70_B	<u>CCCGGG</u> AAGAAATATGGGTGAGACT
	AIT_F	
	HSP70_B	<u>GTCGAC</u> ATTCGGTGTTTAGGCAC
	AIT_R	
<i>At1G132</i> 60.1	ATRAV_	<u>TCCCCC</u> CGGGTATGGAATCGAGTAGCG
	PREY_F	
	ATRAV_	<u>CCGCTC</u> GAGCCGAGGCGTGAAAGATGC
	PREY_R	
Primer used for <i>atrav1</i> mutant validation		
<i>At1G132</i> 60.1	RAV1_R	GTGAAGATGGACGAAGACGAG
	(RP)	
	TDNA_B	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC
	order (BP)	
Primer used for <i>MAP kinase</i> mutant validation		
AT3G45 640 (SALK_ 100651) mpk3	TDNA_B	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC
	order (BP)	
	mpk3_RP	TTGGTGTTTTTGTGTCATGG
AT4G01 370 (SALK_ 056245) mpk4-2	TDNA_B	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC
	order (BP)	
	mpk4_RP	GTCTTAGAGATCAGCGGGGAC

AT2G43 790 (SALK_ 073907) mpk6-2	TDNA_B order (BP)	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC
	mpk6_RP	ATCTATGTTGGCGTTTGCAAC
Primer used for ATRAV1 protein purification		
<i>At1G132</i> <i>60.1</i>	RAV1_PE T_F	CATATGATGGAATCGAGTAGCGTTGATG
	RAV1_PE T_R	CTCGAGCGAGGCGTGAAAGATGCGTTGCTT

1090

1091 **References**

- 1092 1. P. Nie, *et al.*, Induced Systemic Resistance against Botrytis cinerea by
1093 Bacillus cereus AR156 through a JA/ET- and NPR1-Dependent Signaling
1094 Pathway and Activates PAMP-Triggered Immunity in Arabidopsis. *Front.*
1095 *Plant Sci.* **8**, 1–12 (2017).
- 1096 2. W. Fan, X. Dong, In Vivo Interaction between NPR1 and Transcription
1097 Factor TGA2 Leads to Salicylic Acid-Mediated Gene Activation in
1098 Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **14**, 1377–1389 (2002).
- 1099 3. J. Li, G. Brader, T. Kariola, E. Tapio Palva, WRKY70 modulates the
1100 selection of signaling pathways in plant defense. *Plant J.* **46**, 477–491
1101 (2006).
- 1102 4. Z. Liu, *et al.*, UDP-glucosyltransferase71C5, a major glucosyltransferase,
1103 mediates abscisic acid homeostasis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **167**, 1659–
1104 70 (2015).
- 1105 5. K. M. Pajerowska, J. E. Parker, C. Gebhardt, Potato homologs of
1106 Arabidopsis thaliana genes functional in defense signaling - Identification,
1107 genetic mapping, and molecular cloning. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* **18**,
1108 1107–1119 (2005).
- 1109 6. R. Marcos, *et al.*, 9-Lipoxygenase-derived oxylipins activate brassinosteroid

- 1110 signaling to promote cell wall-based defense and limit pathogen infection.
1111 *Plant Physiol.* **4**, pp.00992.2015 (2015).
- 1112 7. Y. Maruyama, *et al.*, The Arabidopsis transcriptional repressor ERF9
1113 participates in resistance against necrotrophic fungi. *Plant Sci.* **213**, 79–87
1114 (2013).
- 1115 8. R. Quan, *et al.*, EIN3 and SOS2 synergistically modulate plant salt tolerance.
1116 *Sci Rep* **7**, 44637 (2017).
- 1117 9. A. Wawrzyńska, A. Sirko, EIN3 interferes with the sulfur deficiency
1118 signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana through direct interaction with the SLIM1
1119 transcription factor. *Plant Sci.* **253**, 50–57 (2016).
- 1120 10. F. Liu, *et al.*, The ASK1 and ASK2 genes are essential for Arabidopsis early
1121 development. *Plant Cell* **16**, 5–20 (2004).
- 1122 11. A. Takahashi, C. Casais, K. Ichimura, K. Shirasu, HSP90 interacts with
1123 RAR1 and SGT1 and is essential for RPS2-mediated disease resistance in
1124 Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **100**, 11777–11782 (2003).
- 1125 12. E. Mazzucotelli, *et al.*, The e3 ubiquitin ligase gene family in plants:
1126 regulation by degradation. *Curr. Genomics* **7**, 509–22 (2006).
- 1127 13. Y. Wang, M. Yang, The ARABIDOPSIS SKP1-LIKE1 (ASK1) protein acts
1128 predominately from leptotene to pachytene and represses homologous
1129 recombination in male meiosis. *Planta* **223**, 613–617 (2006).
- 1130 14. M. Petersen, *et al.*, Arabidopsis map kinase 4 negatively regulates systemic
1131 acquired resistance. *Cell* **103**, 1111–1120 (2000).
- 1132 15. Q. Zeng, J. G. Chen, B. E. Ellis, AtMPK4 is required for male-specific
1133 meiotic cytokinesis in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **67**, 895–906 (2011).
- 1134 16. A. J. Carroll, J. L. Heazlewood, J. Ito, A. H. Millar, Analysis of the
1135 Arabidopsis cytosolic ribosome proteome provides detailed insights into its
1136 components and their post-translational modification. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*
1137 **7**, 347–369 (2008).

- 1138 17. J. S. López-Bucio, *et al.*, Arabidopsis thaliana mitogen-activated protein
1139 kinase 6 is involved in seed formation and modulation of primary and lateral
1140 root development. *J. Exp. Bot.* **65**, 169–183 (2014).
- 1141 18. M. A. T. Palm-Forster, L. Eschen-Lippold, J. Uhrig, D. Scheel, J. Lee, A
1142 novel family of proline/serine-rich proteins, which are phospho-targets of
1143 stress-related mitogen-activated protein kinases, differentially regulates
1144 growth and pathogen defense in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Mol. Biol.*
1145 (2017) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-017-0641-5>.
- 1146 19. T. Asai, *et al.*, Map kinase signalling cascade in Arabidopsis innate
1147 immunity. *Nature* **415**, 977–983 (2002).
- 1148 20. T. Furuya, D. Matsuoka, T. Nanmori, Membrane rigidification functions
1149 upstream of the MEKK1-MKK2-MPK4 cascade during cold acclimation in
1150 Arabidopsis thaliana. *FEBS Lett.* **588**, 2025–2030 (2014).
- 1151 21. J. L. Nemhauser, T. C. Mockler, J. Chory, Interdependency of
1152 brassinosteroid and auxin signaling in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Biol.* **2** (2004).
- 1153 22. B. Kemmerling, *et al.*, The BRI1-Associated Kinase 1, BAK1, Has a
1154 Brassinolide-Independent Role in Plant Cell-Death Control. *Curr. Biol.* **17**,
1155 1116–1122 (2007).
- 1156 23. V. Vernoud, A. C. Horton, Z. Yang, E. Nielsen, Analysis of the small
1157 GTPase gene superfamily of Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **131**, 1191–208
1158 (2003).
- 1159 24. N. Kato, H. He, A. P. Steger, A systems model of vesicle trafficking in
1160 Arabidopsis pollen tubes. *Plant Physiol.* **152**, 590–601 (2010).
- 1161 25. S. A. Trupkin, S. Mora-García, J. J. Casal, The cyclophilin ROC1 links
1162 phytochrome and cryptochrome to brassinosteroid sensitivity. *Plant J.* **71**,
1163 712–723 (2012).
- 1164 26. M. Clement, *et al.*, The cytosolic/nuclear HSC70 and HSP90 molecular
1165 chaperones are important for stomatal closure and modulate abscisic acid-
1166 dependent physiological responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **156**, 1481–

- 1167 1492 (2011).
- 1168 27. S. Huang, *et al.*, HSP90s are required for NLR immune receptor
1169 accumulation in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **79**, 427–439 (2014).
- 1170 28. J. Keicher, *et al.*, Arabidopsis 14-3-3 epsilon members contribute to polarity
1171 of PIN auxin carrier and auxin transport-related development. *Elife* **6** (2017).
- 1172 29. H. Fulgosi, *et al.*, 14-3-3 proteins and plant development. *Plant Mol. Biol.*
1173 **50**, 1019–1029 (2002).
- 1174 30. S. S. Gampala, *et al.*, An essential role for 14-3-3 proteins in brassinosteroid
1175 signal transduction in Arabidopsis. *Dev. Cell* **13**, 177–189 (2007).
- 1176 31. M.-H. Oh, *et al.*, Calcium/calmodulin inhibition of the Arabidopsis
1177 BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE 1 receptor kinase provides a possible
1178 link between calcium and brassinosteroid signalling. *Biochem. J.* **443**, 515–
1179 523 (2012).
- 1180 32. N. Abbas, J. P. Maurya, D. Senapati, S. N. Gangappa, S. Chattopadhyay,
1181 Arabidopsis CAM7 and HY5 physically interact and directly bind to the HY5
1182 promoter to regulate its expression and thereby promote
1183 photomorphogenesis. *Plant Cell* **26**, 1036–1052 (2014).
- 1184 33. E. W. Gachomo, J. C. Jimenez-Lopez, L. J. Baptiste, S. O. Kotchoni,
1185 GIGANTUS1 (GTS1), a member of Transducin/WD40 protein superfamily,
1186 controls seed germination, growth and biomass accumulation through
1187 ribosome-biogenesis protein interactions in Arabidopsis thaliana. *BMC Plant*
1188 *Biol.* **14**, 1–17 (2014).
- 1189 34. L. Leng, *et al.*, A subclass of HSP70s regulate development and abiotic stress
1190 responses in Arabidopsis thaliana. *J. Plant Res.* **130**, 349–363 (2017).
- 1191 35. P. Pulido, E. Llamas, M. Rodriguez-Concepcion, Both Hsp70 chaperone and
1192 Clp protease plastidial systems are required for protection against oxidative
1193 stress. *Plant Signal. Behav.* **12**, e1290039 (2017).
- 1194