

Supplementary Appendix:

Modelling the epidemic trend of the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in China

Mingwang Shen^{1,#}, Zhihang Peng^{2,#}, Yanni Xiao^{3,*}, Lei Zhang^{1,4,5,6*}

1. China-Australia Joint Research Center for Infectious Diseases, School of Public Health, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710061, PR China.
2. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210029, PR China.
3. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710049, PR China.
4. Melbourne Sexual Health Centre, Alfred Health, Melbourne, Australia.
5. Central Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.
6. School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.

Authors contribute equally.

*Corresponding author (email: lei.zhang1@xjtu.edu.cn, yxiao@mail.xjtu.edu.cn)

This is a supplementary document describing mathematical modelling details presented in the main text and parameters estimation.

32 1. Model formulation

33 We proposed a dynamic compartmental model to describe the transmission of 2019-nCov in
34 China. The population was divided into five compartments: susceptible individuals (S),
35 asymptomatic individuals during the incubation period (E), infectious individuals with
36 symptoms (I), isolated individuals with treatment (J), and recovered individuals (R). The total
37 population size was denoted as N , ($N=S+E+I+J+R$). Susceptible individuals became infected
38 by being in contact with the infectious individuals and entered the latent compartment at the
39 rate $\beta(t)SI/N$, where $\beta(t) = \beta e^{-m(t-\tau)}$, of which β denoted the mean person-to-person
40 transmission rate per day in the absence of control interventions, τ denoted the time when the
41 control interventions began, and m denoted the decay of transmission rate due to integrated
42 interventions. Individuals in the incubation period progressed to the infectious compartment
43 at a rate k , and infectious individuals were diagnosed and isolated at the rate α . We assumed
44 strict isolation that isolated individuals could not further infect others. Isolated individuals
45 recovered at the rate γ or died due to the disease at the rate μ . The model was described by the
46 following system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta(t) \frac{SI}{N}, \\ \frac{dE}{dt} = \beta(t) \frac{SI}{N} - kE, \\ \frac{dI}{dt} = kE - \alpha I, \\ \frac{dJ}{dt} = \alpha I - (\gamma + \mu)J, \\ \frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma J. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

48 The cumulative number of infected cases C and deaths D (C and D were not epidemiological
49 states) were governed by the equations

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = kE, \quad \frac{dD}{dt} = \mu J. \quad (2)$$

51 The basic (R_0) and effective ($R_e(t)$) reproduction numbers have been previously defined [1,2],
52 they were $R_0 = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ and $R_e(t) = \frac{\beta(t) S}{\alpha N}$.

53

54 2. Data sources and parameter estimation

55 We obtained the number of cumulative confirmed cases and deaths from the Wuhan
56 Municipal Health Commission [3] and the National Health Commission of the People's
57 Republic of China [4] (Table S1). The first diagnosis was reported on 12th December 2019
58 and we used this date as the starting date for the epidemic ($t=0$). Data from this day until 22nd
59 January 2020 were calibrated using Eq. (2) to estimate the unknown parameters. The mean
60 incubation time for 2019-nCov was five days ($1/k=5$) and the mean 'time from symptoms
61 onset to isolation' was six days ($1/\alpha =6$) [5,6]. We used these two values as prior information
62 for Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations [7]. The mean time from isolation to
63 recovery is chosen as 6 days ($1/\gamma =6$). The total population size in China was chosen as
64 1,400,050,000 as in 2019 [8]. We assumed the integrated interventions were implemented
65 after the appearance of the first infected case, i.e., $\tau=0$. The initial values of the disease states
66 were given as $E(0)=0$, $I(0)=1$, $J(0)=0$, $R(0)=0$, $N(0)= 1,400,050,000$.

67 We calibrated the model (Eq. (2)) to the cases and deaths data from 12th December 2019
68 to 22nd January 2020 by using nonlinear least squares method and thus we obtained the point
69 estimate of the transmission rate β , the decay in transmission rate due to integrated
70 interventions m , and the disease-induced death rate μ . Then we used these estimated values as
71 prior information in MCMC methods with a Metropolis-Hastings (M-H) algorithm [7]
72 implemented by *Matlab* 2019. The algorithm was ran for 10,000 iterations with a burn-in
73 (some iterations at the beginning of an MCMC run are throw away) of 5000 iterations, and
74 we used the rest 5000 iterations to derive the mean value and 95% CI of parameters. We
75 estimated the mean incubation time $1/k$ as 5.0265 (95%CI: 4.9337-5.1228) days, the mean
76 'time from symptoms onset to isolation' $1/\alpha$ as 6.1388 (5.9676-6.3200) days, the
77 transmission rate β as 0.7676 (0.7403-0.7949), the decay in transmission rate due to
78 integrated interventions m as 0.0204 (0.0202-0.0206), and the disease-induced death rate μ as
79 0.0207 (0.0170-0.0243).

80 Based on these estimated parameter values, we used the model (Eq. (1)-(2)) to forecast the
81 epidemic trend (including cumulative cases and deaths, effective reproduction number, and
82 the number of infectious individuals) over a 10-months period since the epidemic initiation
83 (Figure 1a-b in the main text). We also explored how the reduction in the duration from
84 symptoms onset to isolation ($1/\alpha$ reduces by 1, 2, 3 days) and changes in decay of
85 transmission rate due to integrated interventions (m varies between $0.8m$ and $1.8m$) will affect
86 the peak time and peak size as shown in Figure 1c-d in the main text.

87

88

Table S1. Reported cumulative confirmed cases and deaths data in China [3,4].

Date	Cases	Deaths
2019-12-12	1	0
2020-1-10	41	1
2020-1-11	41	1
2020-1-12	41	1
2020-1-13	41	1
2020-1-14	41	1
2020-1-15	41	2
2020-1-16	45	2
2020-1-17	62	2
2020-1-18	121	3
2020-1-19	198	4
2020-1-20	291	6
2020-1-21	440	9
2020-1-22	571	17

89

90 References

- 91 [1]. A Cintrón-Arias, C Castillo-Chávez, L Betencourt, et al. The estimation of the effective
92 reproductive number from disease outbreak data. *Math Biosci Eng.* 2009; 6(2):261-282.
- 93 [2]. Althaus CL. Estimating the reproduction number of Zaire ebolavirus (EBOV) during the
94 2014 outbreak in West Africa. *PLoS Curr.* 2014; 1-5. doi:
95 10.1371/currents.outbreaks.91afb5e0f279e7f29e7056095255b288
- 96 [3]. Wuhan Municipal Health Commission. Daily report about 2019-nCov.
97 <http://wjw.wuhan.gov.cn/front/web/list2nd/no/710> [Accessed 23 Jan 2020]
- 98 [4]. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. Daily report about
99 2019-nCov. <http://www.nhc.gov.cn/> [Accessed 23 Jan 2020]
- 100 [5]. Imai N, Dorigatti I, Cori A, et al. Estimating the potential total number of novel
101 Coronavirus cases in Wuhan City, China. [https://www.imperial.ac.uk/mrc-global-](https://www.imperial.ac.uk/mrc-global-infectious-disease-analysis/news--wuhan-coronavirus/)
102 [infectious-disease-analysis/news--wuhan-coronavirus/](https://www.imperial.ac.uk/mrc-global-infectious-disease-analysis/news--wuhan-coronavirus/) [Accessed 23 Jan 2020]
- 103 [6]. Xinjing News. Preliminary determination for the new coronavirus, one diagram for
104 Wuhan nCov. <http://www.bjnews.com.cn/graphic/2020/01/09/672025.html> [Accessed 23
105 Jan 2020]
- 106 [7]. Sina News. The population size of China exceeds 1.4 billion.
107 <https://news.sina.com.cn/c/2020-01-17/doc-iihnzhha3008993.shtml> [Accessed 23 Jan
108 2020]
- 109 [8]. Haario H, Laine M, Mira A, et al. Dram: Efficient adaptive MCMC. *Stat Comput*
110 2006;16:339-54.