## Supplementary Materials

# LanD-like Flavoproteins Catalyze Aminovinyl-Cysteine Formation through Oxidative Decarboxylation and Cyclization of a Peptide at the C-Terminus 

Jingyu Liu ${ }^{1, \#}$, Yanping Qiu ${ }^{1, \#}$, Tao $\mathrm{Fu}^{1, \#}$, Miao $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$, Yuqing $\mathrm{Li}^{1,2}$, Qian Yang ${ }^{1}$, Zhijun Tang ${ }^{1}$, Haoyu Tang ${ }^{1}$, Guangyu $\mathrm{Li}^{4}$, Lifeng $\operatorname{Pan}^{1, *}$ and Wen Liu ${ }^{1,2.3, *}$
${ }^{1}$ State Key Laboratory of Bioorganic and Natural Products Chemistry, Center for Excellence in Molecular Synthesis, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China;
${ }^{2}$ Department of Chemistry, Shanghai Normal University, 100 Guiling Road, Shanghai 200032, China;
${ }^{3}$ Huzhou Center of Bio-Synthetic Innovation, 1366 Hongfeng Road, Huzhou 313000, China.
${ }^{4}$ Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Facility, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Rd., Shanghai 200032, China. Lifeng Pan, Email: panlf @sioc.ac.cn, Tel: 86-21-54925561; Wen Liu, Email: wliu@sioc.ac.cn, Tel: 86-21-54925111, Fax: 86-21-64166128.
\# These authors contributed to this work equally.


## Supplementary Methods

1.1 General Materials and Methods.
1.2 Protein Expression and Purification.
1.3 In vitro Assays of LanD-like Flavoprotein Activity.
1.4 Peptide Purification and Characterization
1.5 Protein crystallization and related structural elucidation.
1.6 Chemical synthesis of 7-Dha19.

## Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Alignment of the biosynthetic gene clusters of TVAs, CYP and EPI.
Supplementary Figure 2. Purified recombinant enzymes and cofactor analysis.
Supplementary Figure 3. Summary of LanD-like flavoprotein-catalyzed transformations in this study.
Supplementary Figure 4. HPLC-MS analys is of enethiol intermediates and associated shunt aldehyde derivatives in the TvaAs-87-catalyzed conversions of $\mathbf{1}$ and its variants.

Supplementary Figure 5. Characterization of (ene)thiol and aldehyde peptides in the $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87 \text {-catalyzed }}$ conversion of $\mathbf{1}$ by chemical derivatization.

Supplementary Figure 6. HPLC-MS analysis of enethiol intermediates and associated shunt aldehyde derivatives in the CypD-catalyzed conversions of 7 and its variants.

Supplementary Figure 7. Characterization of (ene)thiol peptides in the CypD-catalyzed conversion of 7 by chemical derivation.

Supplementary Figure 8. 2D-TOCSY f2-slices at related f1 experiments ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) for residue identification in 7-III.
Supplementary Figure 9. Overall structural comparison of the monomers of TvaFs-87, CypD, MrsD and the EpiD complex (shown by ribbon diagram).

Supplementary Figure 10. FMN binding interface in the TvaFs 87 structure.
Supplementary Figure 11. FAD binding interface in the CypD structure.
Supplementary Figure 12. Structure-based sequence alignment of CypD, EpiD and TvaFs-87.
Supplementary Figure 13. Structural and biochemic al analyses of the binding interface between two trimeric units of the $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ dodecamer.

Supplementary Figure 14. Structural analysis of the binding interface between two trimeric subunits of the CypD dodecamer.

Supplementary Figure 15. Structural analys is of the CypD monomer in the CypD complex with the peptide substrate 8.

Supplementary Figure 16. Structural analysis of the substrate-binding pockets of CypD dodecamer.
Supplementary Figure 17. Structural comparison of the CypD complex and the EpiD H67N mutant complex.
Supplementary Figure 18. In vitro assays of CypD activity using the substrates, 7, 7-C19S and 7-C19S-D ${ }_{3}$.

Supplementary Figure 19. Characterization of Dha-containing peptides in the CypD-catalyzed conversion of 7-Dha by chemical derivation.
Supplementary Figure 20. Comparison of the enzymatic activities of CypD with its variants based on examination of the production of 7-I, 7-II and 7-III.

## Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Related bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.
Supplementary Table 2. Primers used in this study.
Supplementary Table 3. Gene sequences of $c y p D$, $t^{\prime} a F_{s-87}$, and $3 c$.
Supplementary Table 4. HR-MS and HR-MS/MS Data collection of all compounds analyzed in this study.
Supplementary Table 5. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of 7 in DMSO- $d_{6}$.
Supplementary Table 6. Key data in the 2D-TOCSY f2-slice at f1 experiments.
Supplementary Table 7. Statistics of X-ray crystallographic data collection and model refinements of $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{s}-87}$ and CypD in their apo-forms.
Supplementary Table 8. Statistics of X-ray crystallographic data collection and model refinement of CypD in complex with 8 .

## Supplementary References

## Supplementary Methods

### 1.1 General Materials and Methods

Materials, bacteria strains and plasmids. Biochemicals and media were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (China), Oxoid Ltd. (U.K.) or Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (US A) unless otherwise stated. Restriction endonucleases were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Co. Ltd. (USA). Chemical reagents were purchased from standard commercial sources. Synthetic peptides were purchased from Genscript Biotech (Nanjing, China). Related bacterial strains and plasmids are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

DNA isolation, manipulation, and sequencing. DNA isolation and manipulation in Escherichia coli or Streptomyces strains were carried out according to standard methods ${ }^{1}$. PCR amplif ications were carried out on an Applied Biosystems Veriti ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Thermal Cycler either using Taq DNA polymerase (Vazyme Biotech Co. Ltd, China) for routine genotype verification or PrimeSTAR HS DNA polymerase (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd. Japan) for high fidelity amplification. The synthesis of primers and genes were performed at Shanghai Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (China). DNA sequencing was performed at Shanghai Biosune Biotech Co., Ltd. (China).

Sequence analysis. Biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCc) were mined from microbial genomes using the AntiSMASH web tool ${ }^{2}$. Open reading frames (ORFs) were identif ied using the FramePlot 4.0beta program (http://nocardia.nih.go.jp/fp4/) ${ }^{3}$. The deduced proteins were compared with other known proteins in the databases using available BLAST methods (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) ${ }^{4}$. Amino acid sequence alignments were performed using Vector NT1 and ESPript 3.0 (http://espript.ibcp.fr/ESPript/ESPript/) ${ }^{5}$.

Chemical analysis. Analys is and semi-preparation by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) were carried out on an Agilent 1260 HPLC system (Agilent Technologies Inc., USA). Analyses by HPLC-associated Electrospray ionization Mass Spectrometer (ESI-MS) and ESI-high resolution MS (ESI-HR-MS) were performed on a Thermo Fisher LTQ XL ESI-MS spectrometer and a Q Exactive ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Plus Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA), respectively. Related data were processed using Thermo Xcalibur software. NMR data were recorded on a Bruker AV500 spectrometers (Bruker Co. Ltd., Germany) or on an Agilent PremiumCompact+ 500 MHz NMR spectrometer (Agilent Technologies Inc., USA).

### 1.2 Protein Expression and Purification

Construction and overexpression in $\boldsymbol{E}$. coli. The gene $t v a F_{S-87}$ was amplified from the genome of $S$. sp. NRRL S-87 by PCR using the primer pair tvaFs-87-for/ tvaFs-87-rev, in contrast to the gene cypD, which was synthesized by Genscript Biotech (Nanjing, China). The gene tvaFs.87 and cypD were cloned individually into pRSFDuet-1 for the expression of the recombinant proteins $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{s}-87}$ and CypD, each of which is tagged by Thioredoxin (TRX) and 6 xHis at N -terminus. To prepare the variants of $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{s}-87}$, i.e., $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}-\mathrm{H} 85 \mathrm{~A}$, TvaFs-87-V28D, and TvaFs-87-M62D, and the variants of CypD, i.e., CypD-S20A, CypD-S20D, CypD-L23A, CypD-L23Q, CypD-F170A, CypD-F170Q, CypD-H59D, CypD-H59A, CypD-H29R, CypD-H29A, CypD-N80H and CypD-N80D by Site-specific mutagenesis, the Rolling-cycle PCR amplification of each pRSFDuet-1 derivative that contains $t v a F_{s-87}$ or $c y p D$ was conducted by using corresponding primers, and subsequent DpnI digestion was performed according to the standard procedure of the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenes is Kit purchased from Stratagene (GE Healthcare, USA) and Multi Express ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ II (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd., China). For N-terminal tag removal, the protease-encoding gene $3 c$ was synthesized by Genscript Biotech (Nanjing, China), and cloned into pET28a(+) for the expression of the recombinant 3 C protein.

The above derivatives of pRSFDuet-1 and pET28a(+) were introduced into E. coli BL21(DE3) individually. The culture of each resulting recombinant $E$. coli strain was incubated in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium (5 g of yeast extract, 10 g of tryptone and 10 g of NaCl per liter) containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ kanamycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 250 rpm until the cell density reached $0.6-0.8$ at $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$. Protein expression was induced by the addition of isopropyl- $\beta$-D-thiogalac topyranoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 0.1 mM , followed by further incubation for $25-30 \mathrm{hr}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at $3000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 20 min , flash-frozen and then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Purification and characterization. E. coli cells were re-suspended in lysis buffer ( $137 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2.7 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{KCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 1.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 10 \%$ glycerol and 5 mM imidazole, pH 8.0$)$. After disruption by FB-110X Low Temperature Ultra-Pressure Continuous Flow Cell Disrupter (Shanghai Litu Mechanical Equipment Engineering Co., Ltd, China), soluble fractions were collected by centrifugation. Recombinant proteins that contain a $6 x H i s-t a g$ were purified on a HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare, USA), which was pre-treated with 10 column volumes (CVs) of lysis buffer followed by 10 CVs of wash buffer ( 137 mM NaCl , $2.7 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 1.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 10 \%$ glycerol and 40 mM imidazole, pH 7.4 ), using elution buffer ( $137 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2.7 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 1.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 10 \%$ glycerol and 250 mM imidazole, pH 7.4 ). Desired protein fractions were concentrated (to $500 \mu \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{mM}$ ) using Amicon® Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter Devices (MILLIPORE, USA) and desalted using a PD-10 Desalting Column (GE Healthcare, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocols, and then quantified in concentration by Bradford assay using
bovine serum albumin as the standard.

The purity of recombinant proteins was determined by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). For the determination of the flavin cofactor associated with $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\text {S-87 }}$ and CypD, The UV spectra of recombinant proteins were recorded at a concentration of $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ on a DeNovix DS-11 UV/Vis spectrophotometer (DeNovix Inc. Wilmington, DE 19810 USA). Each protein solution was incubated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min for denaturation and then subjected to HR-ESI-MS analysis on a 6230B Accurate Mass TOF LC/MS System (Agilent Technologies Inc., USA) to examine the presence of FMN $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right.$ calcd. 457.1124; observed 457.1108 from the $\mathrm{TvaF}_{s-87}$ ) and the presence of $\mathrm{FAD}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z\right.$ calcd. 786.1644; observed 786.1647 from the CypD sample).

### 1.3 In vitro Assays of LanD-like Flavoprotein Activity

Transformations of synthetic peptides. Each conversion was conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 or 5 hr in $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture that contained $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (or $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) synthetic peptide and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) N-terminally TRX-tagged $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{s}-87}$ (or its variant) or CypD (or its variant) along with 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.5$ ), 10 mM TCEP, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ FMN or FAD and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 3C. Conversions were quenched by adding equal volumes of acetonitrile, and after centrifugation, reaction mixtures were subjected to HPLC-HR-MS and HR-MS/MS analyses. For (ene)thiol determination, $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each quenched reaction mixture was treated with $N$-ethylmaleimide (NEM) in dark at room temperature for 30 min before $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 M dithiothreitol (DTT) was added to eliminate excessive NEM and prevent side reactions. For aldehyde determination, $16 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each quenched reaction mixture was treated with 200 mM 1-(2-hydrazinyl-2-oxoethyl) pyridin-1-ium chloride (HOPI) in dark at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr .

HPLC-HR-MS and HR-MS/MS analyses. Reaction mixtures were subjected to HPLC-HR-MS and HR-MS/MS analyses after centrifugation. For HPLC-HR-MS analysis, an Agilent ZORBAX column (300SB-C18, $2.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Agilent Technologies Inc., USA) or an Agilent 300Extend-C18 column ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Agilent Technologies Inc., USA) was used on an UltiMate 3000 UHPLC system coupled to a Thermo Scientif ic Q Exactive Plus Orbitrap mass spectrometer. Gradient elusion was conducted using solvent $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1 \%\right.$ formic acid) and solvent B (acetonitrile $+0.1 \%$ formic acid) with a flow rate of $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ over a 43 min period as follows: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}, 10 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=2 \mathrm{~min}, 10 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=20 \mathrm{~min}$, $30 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=25 \mathrm{~min}, 60 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=30 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=35 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=38 \mathrm{~min}, 10 \% \mathrm{~B} ;$ and $\mathrm{T}=43 \mathrm{~min}$, $10 \%$ B. Unless otherwise stated, ESI-MS was performed in positive ion mode, with a spray voltage of 3800 V , a capillary temperature of $375^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, aux gas heater temperature $350{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an S-lens level 60 . Full MS was examined at a resolution of 70,000 (AGC target 2 e 5 , maximum IT 50 ms , range $300-1000$ or $400-1800 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ).

Parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) or data-dependent $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ was performed at a resolution of 35,000 (AGC target between le5 and 1e6, maximum IT between 100 ms and 250 ms , isolation windows in the range of 1.0 to $2.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) using a stepped NCE of 18,20 and 28 or an NCE of 25 . Scan ranges, inclusion lists, charge exclusions, and dynamic exclusions were adjusted as needed.

### 1.4 Peptide Purification and Characterization

Production and isolation of 7-III. The in vitro enzymatic transformation of $\mathbf{7}$ into $\mathbf{7 - I I I}$ was carried out as described above. Each $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture was then applied to a Thermo C18 HyperSep cartridge coupled with a Vacuum Extraction Manifold system (Agilent Technologies Inc., USA). This cartridge was equilibrated with ACN and the ACN solution (5\%) containing $0.1 \%$ formic acid. After washing with the same ACN solution to remove polar contaminants and elution with the ACN solution ( $40 \%$ ) containing $0.1 \%$ formic acid, the collection was freeze-dried using a freeze dryer (Martin Christ Inc., Germany). Further purification was conducted by RP-HPLC on a Agilent ZORBAX column ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Agilent Technologies Inc., US A) by gradient elution of solvent $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.01 \%\right.$ formic acid) and solvent B (acetonitrile $+0.01 \%$ formic acid) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ over a 35 min period as follows: $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~min}, 15 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=3 \mathrm{~min}, 15 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=$ $20 \mathrm{~min}, 60 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=25 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \% \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{T}=30 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \% \mathrm{~B}$; and $\mathrm{T}=35 \mathrm{~min}, 15 \% \mathrm{~B}(\lambda$ at 202 nm$)$. After lyophilization, the collection was subject to HPLC-HR-MS and HR-MS/MS analyses under conditions as described above.

Structural characterization of 7-III. For comparison, the synthetic peptide substrate 7 (Genscript Biotech, Nanjing, China) was subjected to spectral analysis. 7 was obtained as a white amorphous powder: HR-ESI-MS (positive mode) $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, calcd. 795.3739 for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, obs. $795.3726 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data (600 and 150 MHz , respectively, recorded in DMSO- $d_{6}$ ), see Supplementary Table 5. Clearly, the structure of 7 is characterized as the sequence G1-S2-T3-I4-C5-L6-V7-C8.

HR-ESI-MS established the molecular formula of 7-III as $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$, calcd. 715.3808 , obs. 715.3805). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of 7-III were recorded in DMSO- $d_{6}$. For structural comparison, the slice spectra from 2D TOCSY were collected using a previously established TOCSY method ${ }^{6-12}$, to identify the six residues (i.e., G1, S2, T3, I4, L6 and V7) that are identical to those in $\mathbf{7}$ and the newly formed AviCys residue that is derived from the two residues $\mathbf{C} 5$ and $\mathbf{C 8}$ of 7. The key signals of each residue (i.e., S2, T3, I4, L6, V7 or AviCys) were observed according to the 2D-TOCSY f2-slice at the related f1 experiment (Supplementary Figures 8 and Supplementary Table 6). Specifically, a pair of olef in protons were observed by irritating -NH $(\mathrm{H})$ of the 2-aminovinyl group of the AviCys residue. Together with ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 1 / \mathrm{H} 2}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\left({ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 2 / \mathrm{H} 1}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, the existence of the vinyl group with a $Z$ configuration was indicated by the topspin software (https://www.bruker.com/service/support-upgrades/).

### 1.5 Protein Crystallization and Structural Elucidation

Size exclusion chromatography coupled with multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS). SEC-MALS experiments were performed on a dynamic light scattering detector (DynaPro NanoStar, Wyatt), a static light scattering detector (DAWN HELLOS-II, Wyatt), and a differential refractive index detector (Optilab T-rEX, Wyatt) coupled with an AKTA pure system (GE Healthcare) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All the protein samples (concentration above $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) were filtered and loaded into a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column pre-equilibrated by the buffer containing 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and 1 mM DTT overnight. The data was analyzed by ASTRA software (version 7.1) and further output to the Origin 9.0 software and aligned with each other.

Protein crystallization and structural elucidation. Crystals of seleno-methionine labeled TvaFs $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s7}}$ and CypD , CypD fusion proteins were obtained using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specifically, the freshly purified seleno-methionine labeled $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{s}-87}$ protein ( 20 or $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 1 mM EDTA at pH 7.5 ) was mixed with equal volume of reservoir solution containing 0.1 M amino acids ( 0.2 M L-Na-Glutamate, 0.2 M Alanine (racemic), 0.2 M Glycine, 0.2 M Lysine HCl (racemic), 0.2 M Serine (racemic)); 0.1 M buffer system at pH 8.5 (Tris (base), Bicine); $50 \%$ precipitant mix 3 ( $40 \%$ Glycerol, 20\% PEG4000). While, crystals of seleno-methionine labeled CypD and CypD fusion protein (20 or $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 1 mM EDTA at pH 7.5 ) were grown from 1.8 M Ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M BIS-TRIS $\mathrm{pH} 6.5,2 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Polyethylene glycol monomethyl ether 550 , and 0.15 M DL-Malic acid at $\mathrm{pH} 7.0,0.1 \mathrm{M}$ Imidazole at $\mathrm{pH} 7.0,22 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Polyethylene glycol monomethyl ether 550 , respectively. Before diffraction experiments, appropriate glycerol with FAD was added as the cryo-protectant. X-ray data sets were collected at the beamline BL17U1 or BL19U1 of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility ${ }^{13}$. The diffraction data were processed and scaled using HKL2000 ${ }^{14}$.

The phase problems of $\mathrm{TvaFs}_{\mathrm{s}-87}$ and CypD were solved by single wavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD) method. The phase problem of CypD fusion protein was solved by the molecular replacement method using the structure of CypD with PHASER ${ }^{15}$. The initial structural model was rebuilt manually using COOT ${ }^{16}$, and then refined using REFMAC ${ }^{17}$ or PHENIX ${ }^{18}$. Further manual model building and adjustment were completed using COOT ${ }^{16}$. The qualities of the final model were validated by MolProbity ${ }^{19}$. The final refinement statistics of solved structures in this study were listed in Supplementary Tables 7 and 8. All the structural diagrams were prepared using the program PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org). Atomic coordinates and structural factors for the reported crystal structures of $\mathrm{TvaFs}_{\mathrm{s}-87}$ and CypD are deposited in the Protein Data Bank under the accession numbers $6 \mathrm{KTP}, 6 \mathrm{KTT}, 6 \mathrm{KTI}$, and 6 KT 9 .

### 1.6 Chemical synthesis of 7-Dha19



The dehydration of the synthetic substrate $\mathbf{S 1}$ (Genscript Biotech, Nanjing, China) to the intermediate $\mathbf{S 2}$ before in situ deprotection with TCEP was conducted in a reaction tube containing $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%$, wt/wt) and $25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S1}$ by stirring at room temperature for 3 hr . The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dimethylsulfide. The quenched mixture was freeze-dried and then examined by HR-ESI-MS on a 6230B Accurate Mass TOF LC/MS System (Agilent Technologies Inc., USA) for the production of $\mathbf{S 2}\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$ calcd. 849.4209; obs. 849.4214).

## Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Alignment of the biosynthetic gene clusters of TVAs, CYP and EPI. Genes coding for precursor peptides and LanD-type flavoproteins are highlighted in blue and red, respectively. Overall, only genes encoding LanD-type flavoproteins are conserved among the cyp, epi and tva clusters. TvaF $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ shares $21.8 \%$ and $18.9 \%$ with CypD and the archetypal LanD protein EpiD, respectively, while CypD share $17.5 \%$ with EpiD. For details in sequence identity, see Supplementary Figure 12.

## S. sp. NRRL S-87 (TVA-YJ-2)


S. sp. OH-4156 (Cypemycin)


Staphylococcus epidermidis Tu 3298 (Epidermin)


Supplementary Figure 2. Purified recombinant enzymes and cofactor analysis. (a) Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE analys is of TRX-tagged $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$, its variants and 3C with protein standard, TRX-tagged CypD and its variants with protein standard. (b) UV-Vis spectra of TRX-tagged recombinant flavoproteins TvaFs- 87 and CypD. (c) Determination of flavin cofactors associated with $\mathrm{TvaF}_{5-87}$ and CypD. (i) Authentic FAD; (ii) boiled CypD, (iii) authentic FMN, and (iv) boiled TvaF S $_{\text {S } 87}$. For examination by HPLC, UV absorbance at 375 nm . (d) Multiangle light scattering (MALS) analysis of $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ and CypD , showing the relative light scattering signal as a function of elution volume. The derived molecular mass of the peak is shown in blue.


Supplementary Figure 3. Summary of LanD-like flavoprotein-catalyzed transformations in this study. Amino acids corresponding to the two target residues that are processed for $\mathrm{Avi}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{Cys}$ formation and their related structures during each transformation process are colored, e.g., corresponding to the C-terminal L-Cys, red; and corresponding the internal L-Thr/L-Ser or L-Cys, blue. $\sqrt{ }$, observed; $\times$, not observed; and N.D., not detected. (a) $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\text {S-87. }}$. (b) CypD. For variation of the internal residue: $\mathrm{X}_{1}$, $\mathrm{L}-\left[2,3,3-\mathrm{D}_{3}\right]$ Ser; $\mathrm{X}_{2}$, acetylated L-Ser; $\mathrm{X}_{3}$, glutamylated L-Ser; $\mathrm{X}_{4}$, phosphorylated L-Ser; $\mathrm{X}_{5}$, Dha; and $\mathrm{X}_{6}$, D-Cys.

| Peptidyl Substrate |  |  | $\stackrel{H}{N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cyclized Product | Enethiol Intermediate | Aldehyde Shunt Product |
| 1 | SPdEEAQGSVMAAAATVAFHC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1-T8A | SPDEEAQGSVMAAAAAVAFHC | * | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1-T8S | SPDEEAQGSVMAAAASVAFHC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1-T8C | SPdeeaggsvmaatacvafhc | * | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1-C13A | Spdeeaggsvmaatatvafha | * | * | * |
| 1-C13S | SPDEEAQGSVMAAAATVAFHS | \% | * | * |
| 1-C13T | SPDEEAQGSVMAAAATVAFHT | \% | * | * |
| 2 | VMAAAATVAFHC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | MAAAATVAFHC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | AAAATVAFHC | \% | $\checkmark$ | N.D. |
| 5 | AATVAFHC | \% | $\checkmark$ | N.D. |
| 6 | TVAFHC | * | $\checkmark$ | N.D. |
| b ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | GSTI CLVC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 7-C19A | GSTI ALVC | * | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 7-C19S | GStI SLVC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 7-C19T | GSti tlve | * | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 7-C22A | GSTI CLVA | * | * | * |
| 7-C22S | GSTI CLVS | * | * | * |
| 7-C22T | GStI CLVT | * | * | * |
| 7-C19S-D ${ }^{3}$ | GSTI $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ LVC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 7-C19S-Ac | GSTI $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{LVC}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 7-C19S-Glu | $u \quad G S T I X_{3}$ LVC | * | * | * |
| 7-C19S-P | GSTI $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ LVc | * | * | N |
| 7-Dha19 | GSTI $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ LVC | $\checkmark$ | * | N |
| 7-d.C19 | GSTI $\mathrm{X}_{6} \mathrm{LVC}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

Supplementary Figure 4. HPLC-MS analys is of enethiol intermediates and associated shunt aldehyde derivatives in the $\mathrm{TvaA}_{\mathrm{S}-87 \text {-catalyzed conversions of } \mathbf{1} \text { (the 21-aa } \mathrm{C} \text {-terminal mimic of the precursor peptide }}$ TvaAs-87) and its variants. Reactions were conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr in the presence of $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{s}-87}$ to convert the substrates 1 (i), 1-T8A (ii), 1-T8S (iii), 1-T8C (iv) and 1-C13A (v), and in the presence of TvaF $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}-87 \text { - } \mathrm{V} 28 \mathrm{D} \text { (vi), }}$ (vis) $\mathrm{TvaF}_{5-87-\mathrm{M} 62 \mathrm{D}}$ (vii) and $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87-\mathrm{H} 85 \mathrm{~A}}$ (viii) to convert 1. (b) For detailed HR-MS and HR-MS/MS data, see Supplementary Table 4.


Supplementary Figure 5. Characterization of (ene)thiol and aldehyde peptides in the TvaFs-87-catalyzed conversion of 1 by chemical derivatization. (a) Examination of 1, 1-I and 1-III by HPLC-MS. i, standard 1; ii, transformation of 1 at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr ; iii, treatment of 1 with NEM; and iv, treatment of the reaction mixture of iii with NEM. (b) Examination of $\mathbf{1 - I I}$ by treating the $\mathrm{TvaF}_{5-87}$-catalyzed transformation of $\mathbf{1}$ with 1-(2-Hydrazinyl-2- oxoethyl)pyridin-1-ium chloride (HOPI). (c) HR-MS and HR-MS/MS data for the derivatives $\mathbf{1 - N E M}, \mathbf{1}-\mathbf{I}-$ NEM and 1-II-HOPI of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}-\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{1 - I I}$, respectively.

b


C


| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) | Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | 185.0926 | 185.0917 | 4.9 | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 247.0752 | 247.0741 | 4.5 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | 300.1196 | 300.1183 | 4.3 | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 384.1341 | 384.1327 | 3.6 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{4}$ | 429.1621 | 429.1608 | 3.0 | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 531.2025 | 531.2013 | 2.3 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{5}$ | 558.2047 | 558.2032 | 2.7 | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 602.2396 | 602.2383 | 2.2 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{6}$ | 629.2418 | 629.2400 | 2.9 | $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | 701.3081 | 701.3065 | 2.3 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{7}$ | 757.3004 | 757.2977 | 3.6 | $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ | 802.3557 | 802.3533 | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{8}$ | 814.3219 | 814.3192 | 3.3 | $\mathrm{y}_{7}$ | 873.3928 | 873.3901 | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{9}$ | 901.3539 | 901.3534 | 0.6 | $\mathrm{y}_{8}$ | 944.4300 | 944.4268 | 3.4 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{10}$ | 1000.4223 | 1000.4199 | 2.4 | $\mathrm{y}_{9}$ | 1015.4671 | 1015.4648 | 2.3 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{11}$ | 1131.4628 | 1131.4600 | 2.5 | $\mathrm{y}_{10}$ | 1086.5042 | 1086.5013 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{12}$ | 1202.4999 | 1202.4928 | 5.9 | $\mathrm{y}_{11}$ | 1217.5447 | 1217.5417 | 2.5 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{13}$ | 1273.5371 | 1273.5386 | 1.2 | $\mathrm{y}_{12}$ | 1316.6131 | 1316.6094 | 2.8 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{13}$ | 1403.6451 | 1403.6471 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{14}$ | 1460.6666 | 1460.6624 | 2.9 |


|  | $\mathrm{y}_{15}$ | 1588.7252 | 1588.7162 | 5.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{y}_{16}$ | 1659.7623 | 1659.7634 | 0.7 |




| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) | Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | 185.0926 | 185.0919 | 3.8 | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 201.0697 | 201.0690 | 3.5 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | 300.1196 | 300.1186 | 3.3 | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 338.1286 | 338.1276 | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{4}$ | 429.1621 | 429.1611 | 2.3 | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 485.1970 | 485.1957 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{5}$ | 558.2047 | 558.2033 | 2.5 | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 556.2342 | 556.2328 | 2.5 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{6}$ | 629.2418 | 629.2401 | 2.7 | $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | 655.3026 | 655.3019 | 1.1 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{7}$ | 757.3004 | 757.2966 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ | 756.3503 | 756.3481 | 2.9 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{8}$ | 814.3219 | 814.3212 | 0.9 | $\mathrm{y}_{7}$ | 827.3874 | 827.3857 | 2.1 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{9}$ | 901.3539 | 901.3505 | 3.8 | $\mathrm{y}_{8}$ | 898.4245 | 898.4221 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{10}$ | 1000.4223 | 1000.4212 | 1.1 | $\mathrm{y}_{9}$ | 969.4616 | 969.4600 | 1.7 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{11}$ | 1131.4628 | 1131.4642 | 1.2 | $\mathrm{y}_{10}$ | 1040.4987 | 1040.4977 | 1.0 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{12}$ | 1202.4999 | 1202.4954 | 3.7 | $\mathrm{y}_{11}$ | 1171.5392 | 1171.5377 | 1.3 |


| $\mathrm{b}_{13}$ | 1273.5371 | 1273.5284 | 6.8 | $\mathrm{y}_{12}$ | 1270.6076 | 1270.6068 | 0.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{13}$ | 1357.6396 | 1357.6368 | 2.1 |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{14}$ | 1414.6611 | 1414.6575 | 2.5 |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{15}$ | 1542.7197 | 1542.7157 | 2.6 |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{16}$ | 1613.7568 | 1613.7555 | 0.8 |  |



| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) | Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | 185.0926 | 185.0915 | 5.9 | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 193.1084 | 193.1079 | 2.6 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | 300.1196 | 300.1182 | 4.7 | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 330.1673 | 330.1669 | 1.2 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{4}$ | 429.1621 | 429.1604 | 3.9 | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 477.2357 | 477.2344 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{5}$ | 558.2047 | 558.2009 | 6.8 | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 548.2728 | 548.2713 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{6}$ | 629.2418 | 629.2360 | 9.2 | $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | 647.3412 | 647.3391 | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{7}$ | 757.3004 | 757.2967 | 4.9 | $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ | 748.3889 | 748.3865 | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{8}$ | 814.3219 | 814.3193 | 3.2 | $\mathrm{y}_{7}$ | 819.4260 | 819.4235 | 3.1 |


| $\mathrm{b}_{9}$ | 901.3539 | 901.3504 | 3.8 | $\mathrm{y}_{8}$ | 890.4631 | 890.4605 | 2.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{10}$ | 1000.4223 | 1000.4188 | 3.5 | $\mathrm{y}_{9}$ | 961.5002 | 961.4975 | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{11}$ | 1131.4628 | 1131.4590 | 3.4 | $\mathrm{y}_{10}$ | 1032.5373 | 1032.5341 | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{12}$ | 1202.4999 | 1202.4945 | 4.5 | $\mathrm{y}_{11}$ | 1163.5778 | 1163.5741 | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{13}$ | 1273.5371 | 1273.5334 | 2.9 | $\mathrm{y}_{12}$ | 1262.6462 | 1262.6433 | 2.3 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{13}$ | 1349.6783 | 1349.6676 | 7.9 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{14}$ | 1406.6997 | 1406.6971 | 1.8 |  |

Supplementary Figure 6. HPLC-MS analys is of enethiol intermediates and associated shunt aldehyde derivatives in the CypD-catalyzed conversions of 7 (the 8 -aa C-terminal mimic of the precursor peptide CypA) and its variants. (a) Conversions of 7 (i), 7-C19A (ii), 7-C19T (iii), 7-C19S (iv), 7-C22A (v) and 7-C22S (vi). Reactions were conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr in $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture that contained $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ synthetic peptide and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ CypD. (b) Conversions of 7-Dha19 (i), 7 (ii), 7-d-C19 (iii), 7-C19S (iv), 7-C19S-Ac (v), 7-C19S-P (vi) and 7-C19S-Glu (vii). Reactions were conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr in $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture that contained $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ synthetic peptide and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ CypD. For detailed $\mathrm{HR}-\mathrm{MS}$ and $\mathrm{HR}-\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}$ data, see Supplementary Table 4.



Supplementary Figure 7. Characterization of (ene)thiol peptides in the CypD-catalyzed conversion of $\mathbf{7}$ by chemical derivation. (a) Examination of 7, 7-I and 7-III by HPLC-MS. i, standard 7; ii, transformation of 7 into 7-I and 7-III at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr ; iii, treatment of 7 with NEM; and iv, treatment of the reaction mixture of iii with NEM. (c) HR-MS and HR-MS/MS data for the derivatives 7-NEM and 7-I-NEM of 7 and 7-I, respectively.

b



| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0605 | 5.5 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1088 | 0.8 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{4}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1942 | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{5}$ | 587.2499 | 587.2477 | 3.7 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{6}$ | 700.3340 | 700.3318 | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{7}$ | 799.4024 | 799.3995 | 3.6 |
| $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 247.0752 | 247.0741 | 4.5 |
| $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 346.1436 | 346.1423 | 3.8 |
| $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 459.2277 | 459.2276 | 0.2 |
| $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 687.2845 | 687.2841 | 0.6 |



| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1070 | 8.1 |
| $\mathrm{~b}_{5}$ | 587.2499 | 587.2490 | 1.5 |
| $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 201.0697 | 201.0686 | 5.4 |
| $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 641.2791 | 641.2791 | 0.0 |

Supplementary Figure 8. 2D-TOCSY f2-slices at related f1 experiments ( 600 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) for residue identification in 7-III.

Chemical shift of -NH of the L-Ser residue ( 8.50 ppm ).


Chemical shift of -NH of L-Thr residue (8.04 ppm).


Chemical shift of H 2 of L -Ile residue ( 4.10 ppm ).


Chemical shift of NH of L-Leu residue ( 8.21 ppm ).


Chemical shift of NH of L-Val residue ( 7.00 ppm ).


Chemical shift of NH of L-Cys2 residue (7.16 ppm).


Supplementary Figure 9. Overall structural comparison of the monomers of $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{s}-87}, \mathrm{CypD}, \mathrm{MrsD}$ and the EpiD complex (shown by ribbon diagram). (a) $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ and CypD . (b) $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87} \mathrm{EpiD}$. (c) CypD and EpiD. (d) TvaFs- $^{87}$ MrsD. (e) CypD and MrsD. (f) Two different TvaFs-87 monomers (i.e., monomer 1 and monomer 2) found in $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ dodecamer. (g) The monomer of the EpiD H67N complex with a peptide substrate mimic (PDB ID: 1G5Q) and the $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ monomer 2.


Supplementary Figure 10. FMN binding interface in the $\mathrm{TvaF}_{s-87}$ structure. (a) The ribbon-stick model showing the FMN cofactors buried in the interfaces between two $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ monomers in a trimeric subunit. The three $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ monomers are shown in the ribbon model and colored in magenta, green, and orange, respectively, while the bound FMN cofactors are shown in the stick model. (b) The enlarged stereo view of the ribbon-stick-ball representation showing the detailed interactions between a FMN cofactor and two TvaFs-87 monomers. The hydrogen bonds involved in the interaction are shown as dotted lines.


Supplementary Figure 11. FAD binding interface in the CypD structure. (a) The ribbon-stick model showing the FAD cofactors buried in the interfaces between two CypD monomers in a trimeric unit. The three CypD monmers are shown in the ribbon model and colored in cyan, slate blue, and hotpink, respectively, while the bound FAD cofactors are shown in the stick model. (b) The enlarged stereo view of the ribbon-stick-ball representation showing the detailed interactions between a FAD cofactor and two CypD monomers. The hydrogen bonds involved in the interaction are shown as dotted lines


Supplementary Figure 12. Structure-based sequence alignment of CypD , EpiD and $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\text {s-87. }}$ The conserved residues are highlighted by colors using software Jalview2.8.1 (http://www.jalview.org/). The interface residues of $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ and CypD that are critical for the interactions with their bound cofactors are highlighted with red stars (polar interactions) or black triangles (hydrophobic interactions), while the interface residues of $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ and CypD that are crucial for the interaction between two trimeric subunits are labeled with black stars (polar interactions) or red triangles (hydrophobic interactions). Furthermore, the CypD residues involved in the interaction with ISLVS (the pentapeptide sequence of $\mathbf{8}$ ) are labeled with red (polar interactions) or black (hydrophobic interactions) gears.


Supplementary Figure 13. Structural and biochemical analyses of the binding interface between two trimeric units of the $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ dodecamer. (a) The ribbon-stick model showing the structural packing between two trimeric units. The bound FMN cofactors are shown in the stick model, and the six monomers forming two trimeric subunits are shown in the ribbon model and labeled with different colors. (b) The enlarged stereo view of the ribbon-stick representation showing the binding interface between two trimeric units. The hydrogen bonds involved in the interaction are shown as dotted lines. (c) Overlay plot of the static light scattering data of wild type $\mathrm{TvaF}_{5-87}$, and the $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\text {S-87-M62D }}$ and $\mathrm{TvaF}_{5-87-\mathrm{V} 28 \mathrm{D}}$ mutants. Clearly, the wide type TvaFs-87 forms a stable dodecamer, while the two mutants exclusively form a stable trimer.


Supplementary Figure 14. Structural analysis of the binding interface between two trimeric subunits of the CypD dodecamer. (a) The ribbon-stick model showing the structural packing between two trimeric units. In this drawing, The bound FAD cofactors are shown in the stick model, and the six monomers forming two trimeric bunits are shown in the ribbon model and labeled with different colors. (b) The enlarged stereo view of the ribbon-stick representation showing the binding interface between two trimeric CypD units. The hydrogen bonds involved in the interaction are shown as dotted lines.


Supplementary Figure 15. Structural analys is of the CypD monomer in the CypD complex with the peptide substrate 8. (a) Comparison of the CypD monomer in the complex (purple blue) and in its apo-form (slate blue), respectively. (b) The $\mathrm{Fo}_{0}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}$ map of the pentapeptide $\mathbf{I S}_{\mathbf{1}_{9}} \mathbf{L V S}_{22}$ sequence of $\mathbf{8}$ in the complex structure. The electron density map is calculated by omitting this sequence from the final PDB file of the complex and contoured at $2.0 \sigma$. The CypD is shown in the cartoon mode, while the bound $\mathbf{I S}_{\mathbf{1 g}_{9}} \mathbf{L V S} \mathbf{S}_{22}$ sequence and FAD are shown in the stick-ball mode.


Supplementary Figure 16. Structural analysis of the substrate-binding pockets of CypD dodecamer. (a) The combined surface representation and the stick-ball model showing the substrate-binding pockets of CypD. (b) The combined surface charge potential representation and the stick-ball model showing that the solvent-exposed and highly charged pocket formed between two trimeric units is close to a bound FAD cofactor.


Supplementary Figure 17. Structural comparison of the CypD complex and the EpiD H67N mutant complex.
(a) The superimposition of the CypD complex with the peptide substrate $\mathbf{8}$ (purple blue and green cyan) and the EpiD H67N mutant complex with a pentapeptide substrate mimic (grey and black). The ribbon-stick model reveals the overlapping of the two putative substrate binding pockets. (b) The combined surface representation and the stick-ball model showing the overlaps of the two substrate peptides in the CypD/8 complex and the EpiD H67N mutant complex.


Supplementary Figure 18. In vitro assays of CypD activity using the substrates, 7 (i), 7-C19S (ii) and 7-C19S-D $\mathbf{D}_{3}$ (containing the deuterium-labeled $\mathrm{L}-\left[2,3,3-\mathrm{D}_{3}\right]$ Ser residue, iii). Conversions were conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hr in $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture that contained $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ synthetic peptide and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ N-terminally TRX-tagged CypD along with 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.5), 10 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, $5 \mu \mathrm{MFAD}$ and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 3C. The reaction mixture for $\mathbf{7 - C 1 9 S}-\mathrm{D}_{3}$ conversion was concentrated ten times for HR -MS analysis.
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Supplementary Figure 19. Characterization of Dha-containing peptides in the CypD-catalyzed conversion of 7-Dha by chemical derivation. (a) Examination of 7-Dha and its derivatives by HPLC-MS. i, standard 7-Dha; ii, treatment of 7-Dha with DTT at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr ; iii, transformation of 7-Dha into 7-III and 7-IV at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr ; and iv, treatment of the reaction mixture of iii with DTT. (b) HR-MS and HR-MS/MS data for the derivative 7-Dha-DTT.




|  | Calcd. | Obs. | Er.(ppm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{+}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1079 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{4}{ }^{+}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1927 | 1.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}{ }^{+}$ | 695.3093 | 695.3108 | 2.2 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{7}{ }^{+}$ | 794.3787 | 794.3792 | 0.6 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{+}$ | 557.2137 | 557.2122 | 2.7 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{5}}{ }^{+}$ | 670.2978 | 670.2968 | 1.5 |

Supplementary Figure 20. Comparison of the enzymatic activities of CypD with its variants based on examination of the production of 7-I, 7-II and 7-III. The activity has been quantified by the intensities in HR-MS analys is, in which the activity of wild type CypD to produce $\mathbf{7 - 1}$ and $\mathbf{7 - I I}$ was normalized to $100 \%$. Assays were performed in triplicates, and the standard deviations are indicated by the error bars. Conversions were conducted at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hr in $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture that contained $100 \mu \mathrm{M} 7$ and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ N-terminally TRX-tagged CypD, along with 50 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 8.5 ), 10 mM TCEP, $5 \mu \mathrm{MFAD}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M} 3 \mathrm{C}$.


## Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Related bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

| Strains/Plasmids | Characteristic(s) | Sources/Referenc es |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Streptomyces sp. |  |  |
| NRRL S-87 | Wild type strain, TVA-YJ-2-producing strain for PCR | NRRL |
| Escherichia coli |  |  |
| DH5 $\alpha$ | Host for general cloning | Transgen |
| BL21 (DE3) | Host for protein expression | Transgen |
| JZ101 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1001 for producing TRX-tagged TvaFs-87 | This study |
| JZ102 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1003for producing TRX-tagged CypD | This study |
| JZ103 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1002 for producing 3C | This study |
| JZ104 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1004 for producing TRX-tagged TvaFs-87- V28D | This study |
| JZ105 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL1005 for producing TRX-tagged TvaFs-87-M62D | This study |
| JZ106 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1006 for producing TRX-tagged TvaFs-87- H85A | This study |
| JZ107 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1007 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-S20A | This study |
| JZ108 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1008 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-S20D | This study |
| JZ109 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1009 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-L23A | This study |
| JZ110 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1010 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-L23Q | This study |
| JZ111 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1011 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-F170A | This study |
| JZ112 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1012 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-F170Q | This study |


| JZ113 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1013 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-H59A | This study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JZ114 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1014 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-H59D | This study |
| JZ115 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1015 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-H29R | This study |
| JZ116 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1016 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-H29A | This study |
| JZ117 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1017 for producing TRX-tagged CypD-N80H | This study |
| JZ118 | BL21 (DE3) derivative, containing pZL 1018 for producing TRX-tagged CypD- N80D | This study |
| Plasmids | E. coli subcloning vector |  |
| pET28a(+) | Protein expression vector used in E.coli, encoding N -terminal His-tag, kanamycin resistance | Novagen |
| pRSFDeut-1 | Protein expression vector used in E.coli, encoding N -terminal His-tag, kanamycin resistance | Novagen |
| pZL1001 | pRSFDeut-1 derivative, containing trx and a 606 bp PCR product that encodes $t v a F_{S-87}$ | This study |
| pZL1002 | pET28a(+) derivative, containing a 552 bp synthesized gene that encodes $3 c$ | This study |
| pZL1003 | pRSFDeut-1 derivative, containing $\operatorname{trx}$ and a 573 bp synthesized gene that encodes cypD | This study |
| pZL1004 | pZL1001 derivative for V28D mutated tvaFs 8 87 | This study |
| pZL1005 | pZL1001 derivative for M62D mutated tvaF $_{\text {S }-87}$ | This study |
| pZL1006 | pZL1001 derivative for H85A mutated tvaFs ${ }_{\text {S } 87}$ | This study |
| pZL1007 | pZL1003 derivative for S20A mutated cypD | This study |
| pZL1008 | pZL1003 derivative for S20D mutated cypD | This study |
| pZL1009 | pZL1003 derivative for L23A mutated cypD | This study |
| pZL1010 | pZL1003 derivative for L23Q mutated cypD | This study |
| pZL1011 | pZL1003 derivative for F170A mutated cypD | This study |
| pZL1012 | pZL1003 derivative for F170Q mutated cypD | This study |
| pZL1013 | pZL1003 derivative for H59D mutated cypD | This study |
| pZL1014 | pZL1003 derivative for H59A mutated cypD | This study |
| pZL1015 | pZL1003 derivative for H29R mutated cypD | This study |


| pZL1016 | pZL1003 derivative for H29A mutated cypD | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pZL1017 | pZL1003 derivative for N80H mutated cypD | This study |
| pZL1018 | pZL1003 derivative for N80D mutated cypD | This study |

Supplementary Table 2. Primers used in this study.

| Primers | Sequence (restriction sites are underlined) |
| :--- | :--- |
| tvaFs-87-for | AAAAAGGATCCATGACCGACCACGCCACCG |
| tvaFs-87-rev | AAAAAGAATTCTCACTGTCCTTGTGGGTG |
| tvaFs-87-V28D-for | TCCGCTGACGCGGTCCCCCACGTGAAC |
| tvaFs-87-V28D-rev | GACCGGTCCAGCGGAGAAGGAGCCGCA |
| tvaFs-87-M62D-for | CCGCGCGACATCGAGGCTGTCACCGGC |
| tvaFs-87-M62D-rev | CTCGATGTCGCGCGGCCCCATGAGGGC |
| tvaFs-87-H85A-for | GCCGCCGCAGTCGCCCTCGGCACCTGG |
| tvaFs-87-H85A-rev | GGCGACTGCGGCGGCGCCTCCGCCCTTG |
| cypD-S20A-for | AGCATCGCAGCGGCGCTGGTTCCGTGG |
| cypD-S20A-rev | CGCCGCTGCGATGCTGCCGGTAACGTG |
| cypD-S20D-for | AGCATCGACGCGGCGCTGGTTCCGTGG |
| cypD-S20D-rev | CGCCGCGTCGATGCTGCCGGTAACGTG |
| cypD-L23A-for | GCGGCGGCAGTTCCGTGGTGGATTCAC |
| cypD-L23A-rev | CGGAACTGCCGCCGCGCTGATGCTGCC |
| cypD-L23Q-for | GCGGCGCAAGTTCCGTGGTGGATTCAC |
| cypD-L23Q-rev | CGGAACTTGCGCCGCGCTGATGCTGCC |
| cypD-F170A-for | GTGGGTGCAAACCTGCCGGGTGCGCTG |
| cypD-F170A-rev | CAGGTTTGCACCCACTTCCGCGGTTTG |
| cypD-F170Q-for | GTGGGTCAAAACCTGCCGGGTGCGCTG |
| cypD-F170Q-rev | CAGGTTTTGACCCACTTCCGCGGTTTG |
| cypD-H59D-for | CTGCGTGACCTGGCGAACGGCAAAGTG |
| cypD-H59D-rev | CGCCAGGTCACGCAGCGCACGCACCGC |
| cypD-H59A-for | CTGCGTGCACTGGCGAACGGCAAAGTG |
| cypD-H59A-rev | CGCCAGTGCACGCAGCGCACGCACCGC |
| cypD-H29R-for | TGGATTAGATGGCTGCGTGAGTTCCAG |
| cypD-H29R-rev | CAGCCATCTAATCCACCACGGAACCAG |
| cypD-H29A-for | TGGATTGCATGGCTGCGTGAGTTCCAG |
| cypD-H29A-rev | CAGCCATGCAATCCACCACGGAACCAG |
| cypD-N80H-for | GAAGTTCACAGCGGTAAAAGCGGCGCG |
| cypD-N80H-rev | ACCGCTGTGAACTTCCGGCGGCACATC |
| cypD-N80D-for | GAAGTTGACAGCGGTAAAAGCGGCGCG |
|  |  |


| CypDN80D-rev | ACCGCTGTCAACTTCCGGCGGCACATC |
| :--- | :--- |

Supplementary Table 3. Gene sequences of $t v a F_{s-87}, c y p D$ and $3 c$.

| Name | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| tvaFs-87 | ATGACCGACCACGCCACCGGCCCGCAGCAGGCACTGGCGGGGGTTCGGT |
|  | TGTTGTGGGGCGTGTGCGGCTCCTTCTCCGCTGTTGCGGTCCCCCACGTG |
|  | AACGCATGGCTGCGTGGCACCGTCGGGGTCCGGGAGATCCGCACCATCA |
|  | TGACCACGCAGGCACACGCCCTCATGGGGCCGCGCATGATCGAGGCTGT |
|  | CACCGGCCATATGCCGGTGACCGGCTGGGAGG ACCACAAGGGCGGAGG |
|  | CGCCGCCCACGTCGCCCTCGGCACCTGGGCGGACGTGCTGGTGGTCTTGC |
|  | CGGCCACCGCCAATTTCCTGGCCAAGGCAGCACACGGCATCGCGGACGA |
|  | CGTCCTGACAGCCACACTGCTCGCCACCGAGTGCCCCGTGGTGATCGCCC |
|  | CCGTCATGAACGCGGCCATGTGGTCCAAACCCGCCGTACGCCGCAACGT |
|  | CGATCAGCTCCGCGAAGACGGCT ACCAGATCGTCGAGCCGAAGGAGGGC |
|  | ATCTCССTCACCGAGGGCCGACGGGA AGCCGGTTCACTCGGCGACTTCC |
|  | AGCCGGCAATCTCCGCCGCTCTGGTCCAGGCAGCTGCACGACGCACCCA CCCACAAGGACAGTGA |
| cypD | ATGAACGTGGAGAAGTTCGAGGGTGCGGAGCTGCACGTGCACGTTACCG |
|  | GCAGCATCAGCGCGGCGCTGGTTCCGTGGTGGATTCACTGGCTGCGTGA |
|  | GTTCCAGCCGGAGCTGGTTGTGAACGTGAGCGTT ACCCCGGCGGCG AGC |
|  | CGTTTTCTGGCGGTGCGTGCGCTGCGTCACCTGGCGAACGGCAAAGTGTG |
|  | GGTTGACAGCTGGGACGATCCGGATGTGCCGCCGGAAGTTAACAGCGGT |
|  | AAAAGCGGCGCGAGCGAGTGCTTCCTGGTGTTTCCGGCGACCCTGGACA |
|  | CCGTTATGCGTCTGGCGCAGGGTCGTGCGGATAGCCCGGCGCTGATGAT |
|  | GCTGCAACTGACCG ACGCGCCGCTGGTTATCGCGGATACCTTTCCGGGCA |
|  | GCAACGAAATTGTGGAGAACAACGTTCAGACCCTGAAACTGCGTCCGAA |
|  | CGTGGAGTTCGCGCCGCGTGTGAACGGTGTTCGTGCGAGCAACCGTCAA |
|  | ACCGCGGAAGTGGGTTTTAACCTGCCGGGTGCGCTGGCGGCGGCGAACC |
| 3 c | ATGGCTAGCATGACTGGTGGACAGCAAATGGGTCGCGGATCCGGACCTA |
|  | ACACTGAGTTTGCTCTGTCTCTGCTGCGTAAAAACATCATG ACT ATCACC |
|  | ACTAGTAAAGGCG AGTTCACTGGTCTGGGTATTCACGATCGTGTTTGTGT |
|  | TATTCCTACTCATGCTCAGCCGGGTGACGATGTTCTGGTAAACGGTCAAA |
|  | AAATTCGTGTTAAGGAT AAAT ACAAACTGGTTGACCCGGAAAACATCAA |
|  | TCTAGAACTGACCGT ACTGACTCTGGATCGTAATGAAAAGTTCCGTGACA |
|  | TCCGTGGTTTTATTTCTGAAGACCTGGAAGGTGTCGACGCAACCCTGGTT |
|  | GTACATAGCAATAACTTTACTAACACT ATTCTGGAGGTTGGTCCGGTAAC |
|  | TATGGCTGGTCTGATCAACCTGTCTAGCACTCCGACCAACCGCATGATTC |
|  | GTTACGACTACGCAACTAAAACTGGTCAGTGTGGTGGTGTTCTGTGCGCA |
|  | ACCGGTAAGATCTTTGGCATCCATGTAGGCGGTAACGGTCGTCAGGGTTT |
|  | CTCTGCACAACTGAAGAAGCAATACTTTGTAGAGAAGCAGTAA |

Supplementary Table 4. HR-MS and HR-MS/MS Data collection of all compounds analyzed in this study. _ _, not observed; and N.D., not detected.

| Peptidyl mimics (PM) | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1046.4596 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1046.4606 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 |
| 1-I | $\begin{aligned} & 1023.4569 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1023.4562 \\ & {\left[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.7 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1014.4516 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1014.4581 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 6.4 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1015.4684 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1015.4662 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 2.2 |
| 1-T8A  | $\begin{aligned} & 1031.4544 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1031.4557 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 1.3 |
| 1-T8A-I | $\begin{aligned} & 1008.4517 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1008.4541 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 2.4 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1000.4631 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000.4646 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1039.4519 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1039.4493 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 |
| 1-T8S-I | $\begin{aligned} & 1016.4491 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1016.4470 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1007.4438 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1007.4490 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 5.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1008.4605 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1008.4579 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 2.6 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1047.4404 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1047.4406 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1024.4377 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1024.4386 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.9 |
| 1-T8C-III | $\begin{aligned} & 1007.4438 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | -- | - - |
| 1-T8C-II  | $\begin{aligned} & 1016.4492 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1016.4494 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 |
| 1-C13A | $\begin{aligned} & 1030.4737 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1030.4764 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 |
| 1-C13A-I | $\begin{aligned} & 1007.4709 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- |
| 1-C13S | $\begin{aligned} & 1038.4711 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1038.4697 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 |
| 1-C13S-I | $\begin{aligned} & 1015.4684 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- |


| 1-C13T  | $\begin{aligned} & 1045.4790 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1045.4780 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-C13T-I  | $\begin{aligned} & 1022.4762 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | - - | - - |
| 1-NEM <br> SPDEEAQGSVMAAAA | $\begin{aligned} & 1108.9835 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1108.9807 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 |
| 1-I-NEM | $\begin{aligned} & 1085.9807 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1085.9799 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.7 |
| 1-II-HOPI | $\begin{aligned} & 1082.0001 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1081.9943 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 5.3 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 596.2866 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 596.2846 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{gathered}$ | 3.4 |
| 2-I | $\begin{aligned} & 573.2839 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 573.2809 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{gathered}$ | 5.2 |
| 2-III | $\begin{aligned} & 564.2786 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 564.2836 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{gathered}$ | 8.9 |


| 2-II | $\begin{aligned} & 565.2953 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 565.2923 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{gathered}$ | 5.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 546.7524 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 546.7499 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 4.6 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 523.7497 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 523.7488 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 1.7 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 514.7444 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 514.7419 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{gathered}$ | 4.9 |
| 3-II | $\begin{aligned} & 515.7611 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 515.7584 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 5.2 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 961.4566 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 961.4536 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 3.1 |
| 4-I | $\begin{gathered} 915.4511 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 915.4510 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 0.1 |
| 4-III | $\begin{gathered} 897.4405 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | - - | - - |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 899.4739 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | N.D. | N.D. |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 819.3823 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 819.3790 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 4.0 |


| 5-I | $\begin{gathered} 773.3769 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 773.3810 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 5.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5-III  | $\begin{gathered} 755.3663 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | - - | - - |
| 5-II | $\begin{gathered} 757.3997 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | N.D. | N.D. |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} 677.3081 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 677.3058 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 3.4 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 631.3026 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 631.3067 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 6.5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 613.2921 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | - - | - - |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 615.3255 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | N.D. | N.D. |
| $7$  | $\begin{gathered} 795.3739 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 795.3726 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 1.6 |
| 7-I | $\begin{gathered} 749.3684 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 749.3668 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 2.1 |
| 7-III/IV | $\begin{gathered} 715.3808 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 715.3805 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 0.4 |
| 7-III  | $\begin{gathered} 733.3913 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 733.3898 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 2.1 |


| 7-NEM | $\begin{gathered} 1045.4693 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1045.4646 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 4.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-I-NEM | $\begin{gathered} 999.4638 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 999.4646 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 0.8 |
| 7-C19S  | $\begin{gathered} 779.3968 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 779.3934 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 4.4 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 733.3913 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 733.3911 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 0.3 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 715.3808 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 715.3802 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 0.8 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 717.4141 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 717.4122 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 2.7 |
| 7-C19T  | $\begin{gathered} 793.4124 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 793.4112 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 1.5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 747.4069 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 747.4070 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 0.1 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 729.3965 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | -- | - - |


| 7-C19T-II | $\begin{gathered} 731.4298 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 731.4286 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 1.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-C19A  | $\begin{gathered} 763.4019 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 763.4012 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 0.9 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 717.3964 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 717.3962 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 0.3 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 701.4192 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 701.4181 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 1.6 |
| 7-C22A  | $\begin{gathered} 763.4019 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 763.4013 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 0.8 |
| 7- C22A-I  | $\begin{gathered} 717.3964 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- |
| $7-\mathrm{C} 22 \mathrm{~S}$  | $\begin{gathered} 779.3968 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 779.3947 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 2.7 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 733.3913 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- |
| 7-C22T  | $\begin{gathered} 793.4124 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 793.4112 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 747.4069 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- |


| 7-C19S-Ac  | $\begin{gathered} 821.4073 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 821.4020 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 6.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 775.4019 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 775.3988 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 4.0 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 759.4247 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 759.4232 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 2.0 |
| 7-C19S-P  | $\begin{gathered} 859.3631 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 859.3580 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 5.9 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 813.3576 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | - - | - - |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 797.3805 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | - - | - - |
| 7-C19S-Glu  | $\begin{aligned} & 454.7233 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 454.7211 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | 4.9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 431.7206 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | - - | - - |


| 7-C19S-Glu-II  | $\begin{aligned} & 423.7320 \\ & {[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{2+}} \end{aligned}$ | - - | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-Dha19 | $\begin{gathered} 761.3862 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 761.3836 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 3.4 |
| 7-Dha19-I | $\begin{gathered} 715.3808 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | - - | - - |
| 7-Dha19-II | $\begin{gathered} 699.4036 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | - - | - - |
| 7- d-C19 | $\begin{gathered} 795.3739 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 795.3711 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 3.5 |
| 7-C19S-D  | $\begin{gathered} 782.4156 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 782.4136 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 2.6 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 736.4101 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 736.4086 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 2.0 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 720.4330 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 720.4305 \\ {[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}} \end{gathered}$ | 3.5 |

1

1


| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) | Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 185.0926 | 185.0921 | 2.7 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 259.0864 | 259.0860 | 1.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 300.1196 | 300.1190 | 2.0 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 406.1548 | 406.1544 | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 429.1621 | 429.1617 | 0.9 | $\mathbf{y}_{4}$ | 477.1920 | 477.1917 | 0.6 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 558.2047 | 558.2045 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 576.2604 | 576.2601 | 0.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 629.2418 | 629.2414 | 0.6 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 677.3081 | 677.3079 | 0.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 757.3004 | 757.3000 | 0.5 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 748.3452 | 748.3447 | 0.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 814.3219 | 814.3214 | 0.6 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 819.3823 | 819.3820 | 0.4 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | 901.3539 | 901.3528 | 1.2 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | 890.4194 | 890.4192 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | 1000.4223 | 1000.4218 | 0.5 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | 961.4565 | 961.4564 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | 1131.4628 | 1131.4623 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | 1092.4970 | 1092.4971 | 0.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | 1202.4999 | 1202.4978 | 1.7 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | 1191.5654 | 1191.5647 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | 1278.5974 | 1278.5996 | 1.7 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 4}}$ | 1335.6189 | 1335.6188 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 5}}$ | 1463.6775 | 1463.6702 | 5.0 |

1-I


| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) | Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 185.0926 | 185.0921 | 2.7 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 213.0810 | 213.0807 | 1.4 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 300.1196 | 300.1189 | 2.3 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 360.1494 | 360.1489 | 1.4 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 429.1621 | 429.1618 | 0.7 | $\mathbf{y}_{4}$ | 431.1865 | 431.1861 | 0.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 558.2047 | 558.2045 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 530.2549 | 530.2548 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 629.2418 | 629.2413 | 0.8 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 631.3026 | 631.3021 | 0.8 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 757.3004 | 757.3003 | 0.1 | $\mathbf{y}_{7}$ | 702.3397 | 702.3392 | 0.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 814.3219 | 814.3215 | 0.5 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 773.3768 | 773.3760 | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | 901.3539 | 901.3558 | 2.1 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | 844.4139 | 844.4139 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | 1000.4223 | 1000.4229 | 0.6 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | 915.4510 | 915.4505 | 0.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | 1131.4628 | 1131.4623 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | 1046.4915 | 1046.4917 | 0.2 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | 1202.4999 | 1202.5009 | 0.8 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | 1145.5599 | 1145.5603 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | 1232.5920 | 1232.5917 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 4}}$ | 1289.6134 | 1289.6141 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 5}}$ | 1417.6720 | 1417.6727 | 0.5 |






| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 429.1621 | 429.1612 | 2.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 558.2047 | 558.2034 | 2.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 629.2418 | 629.2401 | 2.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 757.3004 | 757.2979 | 3.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | 901.3539 | 901.3539 | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | 1000.4223 | 1000.4206 | 1.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | 1131.4628 | 1131.4624 | 0.4 |



| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) | Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 185.0926 | 185.0925 | 0.5 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 259.0864 | 259.0865 | 0.4 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 300.1196 | 300.1196 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 406.1548 | 406.1553 | 1.2 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 429.1621 | 429.1627 | 1.4 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 477.1920 | 477.1927 | 1.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 558.2047 | 558.2057 | 1.8 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 576.2604 | 576.2614 | 1.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 629.2418 | 629.2428 | 1.6 | $\mathbf{y}_{6}$ | 647.2975 | 647.2982 | 1.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 757.3004 | 757.3016 | 1.6 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 718.3346 | 718.3358 | 1.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 814.3219 | 814.3230 | 1.4 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 789.3717 | 789.3732 | 1.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | 901.3539 | 901.3554 | 1.7 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | 860.4088 | 860.4099 | 1.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | 1000.4223 | 1000.4249 | 2.6 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | 931.4459 | 931.4471 | 1.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | 1131.4628 | 1131.4663 | 3.1 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | 1062.4864 | 1062.4883 | 1.8 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | 1202.4999 | 1202.4990 | 0.7 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | 1161.5548 | 1161.5579 | 2.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | 1273.5371 | 1273.5366 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | 1248.5869 | 1248.5912 | 3.4 |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 4}}$ | 1305.6083 | 1305.6116 | 2.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{y}_{15}$ | 1433.6669 | 1433.6674 | 0.3 |







1-T8S-III


| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 185.0926 | 185.0917 | 4.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 300.1196 | 300.1182 | 4.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 429.1621 | 429.1604 | 3.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 558.2047 | 558.2034 | 2.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 629.2418 | 629.2399 | 3.0 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 757.3004 | 757.2980 | 3.2 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 814.3219 | 814.3208 | 1.4 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | 901.3539 | 901.3511 | 3.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ | 1000.4223 | 1000.4196 | 2.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | 1202.4999 | 1202.4965 | 2.8 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | 1273.5371 | 1273.5314 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | 1200.5657 | 1200.5712 | 4.6 |



1-C13A $\mathrm{SPDEEAQGS} \mathrm{VMMAAA}^{2}$
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| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0606 | 5.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1080 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1917 | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 462.2022 | 462.2008 | 3.0 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 575.2863 | 575.2847 | 3.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{7}$ | 674.3547 | 674.3527 | 4.2 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 221.0959 | 221.0951 | 4.1 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 334.1800 | 334.1786 | 5.1 |




| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0606 | 4.8 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1079 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1917 | 3.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 462.2022 | 462.2006 | 3.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 575.2863 | 575.2836 | 4.7 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 175.0905 | 175.0896 | 5.1 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 288.1745 | 288.1731 | 4.9 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 391.1837 | 391.1823 | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 504.2678 | 504.2656 | 4.4 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 605.3154 | 605.3120 | 5.6 |



| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0605 | 5.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1079 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1917 | 3.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 462.2022 | 462.2006 | 3.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 575.2863 | 575.2844 | 3.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 674.3547 | 674.3523 | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 159.1133 | 159.1125 | 5.0 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{3}$ | 272.1974 | 272.1961 | 4.8 |



| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0607 | 4.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1080 | 4.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1918 | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 218.1135 | 218.1134 | 0.5 |
| $\mathbf{a}_{4}$ | 331.1976 | 331.1969 | 2.1 |
| $\mathbf{x}_{4}$ | 453.2530 | 453.2513 | 3.8 |
|  | 258.1271 | 258.1266 | 1.9 |



| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0609 | 2.8 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1084 | 2.4 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{4}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1923 | 2.2 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 446.2251 | 446.2247 | 0.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 559.3092 | 559.3085 | 1.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{7}$ | 658.3776 | 658.3774 | 0.3 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 221.0959 | 221.0955 | 1.8 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{3}$ | 334.1800 | 334.1795 | 1.5 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 421.2120 | 421.2110 | 2.4 |




| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0608 | 3.4 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1083 | 2.8 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1922 | 2.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 446.2251 | 446.2244 | 1.6 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 559.3092 | 559.3081 | 2.0 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 658.3776 | 658.3769 | 1.1 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 175.0905 | 175.0900 | 2.9 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 288.1745 | 288.1739 | 2.1 |




| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0607 | 4.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{3}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1085 | 2.0 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1925 | 1.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 460.2407 | 460.2403 | 0.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 573.3248 | 573.3245 | 0.5 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 221.0959 | 221.0955 | 1.8 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 334.1800 | 334.1795 | 1.5 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 435.2277 | 435.2274 | 0.7 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 548.3119 | 548.3126 | 1.3 |



| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. $\mathbf{( p p m )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0607 | 4.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1082 | 3.2 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1920 | 3.1 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 460.2407 | 460.2396 | 2.4 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 175.0905 | 175.0900 | 2.9 |





| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0603 | 6.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1078 | 4.9 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1915 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 430.2302 | 430.2286 | 3.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 543.3142 | 543.3124 | 3.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | 642.3827 | 642.3804 | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 221.0959 | 221.0949 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 334.1800 | 334.1784 | 4.8 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 405.2171 | 405.2165 | 1.5 |




| Ions | Calcd. | Obs. | Er. (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 145.0613 | 145.0606 | 4.8 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 246.1090 | 246.1081 | 3.7 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359.1931 | 359.1918 | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 430.2302 | 430.2292 | 2.3 |
| $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 543.3142 | 543.3132 | 1.8 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 175.0905 | 175.0898 | 4.0 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 288.1745 | 288.1736 | 3.1 |













Supplementary Table 5. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of 7 in DMSO- $d_{6}$.

| Substructure | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$, mult. ( $J, \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gly | 165.9 s |  |
|  | 40.2 t | 3.60 m (overlap) <br> 3.60 m (overlap) |
| Ser | 169.9 s |  |
| Ser-NH |  | $8.55 \mathrm{~d}(7.8)$ |
|  | 54.8 d | 4.56 q (6.7) |
|  | 61.9 t | $\begin{aligned} & 3.59 \mathrm{~m} \text { (overlap) } \\ & 3.59 \mathrm{~m} \text { (overlap) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Thr | 169.7 s |  |
| Thr-NH |  | 8.05 d (8.3) |
|  | 58.3 d | 4.25 m (overlap) |
|  | 66.3 d | 4.05 m (overlap) |
|  | 19.8 q | 1.05 d (6.4) |
| Ile | 170.8 s |  |
| Ile-NH |  | 7.70 d (8.6) |
|  | 56.8 d | 4.25 m (overlap) |
|  | 36.9 d | 1.71 m (overlap) |
|  | 24.1 t | 1.60 m (overlap) $1.43 \mathrm{~m} \text { (overlap) }$ |
|  | 15.2 q | 0.82 m (overlap) |
|  | 11.2 q | 0.79 m (overlap) |
| Cys1 | 169.4 s |  |
| Cys1-NH |  | 8.20 m (overlap) |
|  | 55.1 d | 4.40 m (overlap) |
|  | 25.9 t | 2.75 m (overlap) <br> 2.65 m (overlap) |
| Leu | 171.7 s |  |
| Leu-NH |  | 8.16 m (overlap) |
|  | 51.3 d | 4.33 m (overlap) |
|  | 40.6 t | 1.46 m (overlap) <br> 1.46 m (overlap) |
|  | 24.1 d | 1.06 m (overlap) |


|  | 21.5 q | 0.82 m (overlap) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 23.1 q | 0.87 m (overlap) |
| Val | 170.9 s |  |
| Val-NH |  | $7.75 \mathrm{~d}(8.8)$ |
|  | 57.4 d | 4.20 m (overlap) |
|  | 30.7 d | 1.99 m (overlap) |
|  | 19.1 q | 0.87 m (overlap) |
|  | 18.0 q | 0.83 m (overlap) |
| Cys2 | 171.4 s |  |
| Cys2-NH |  | 8.18 m (overlap) |
|  | 54.4 d | 4.37 m (overlap) |
|  | 25.4 t | 2.87 dd (13.7,4.5) |
|  |  | 2.75 m (overlap) |

Supplementary Table 6. Key data in the 2D-TOCSY f2-slice at f1 experiments in DMSO- $d_{6}$.

|  | 2D-TOCSY f2-slice at f1 experiments (correlation signals) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Residue (subunits) | Selective proton $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ in ppm, $J$ in Hz$)$ | Target proton $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ in ppm, $J$ in Hz$)$ |
| Ser | $-\mathrm{NH}, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.50$ | $\mathrm{H} 2, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.54$ |
| Thr | $-\mathrm{NH}, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.04$ | $\mathrm{H} 2, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.25$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H} 3, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.22$ <br> $\mathrm{H} 4, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.21$ |
| Ile | $\mathrm{H} 2, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.10$ | $\mathrm{H} 3, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.62$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{a}, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.33, \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~b}, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.32$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H} 5, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.84$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H} 6, \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.81$ |

Supplementary Table 7. Statistics of X-ray crystallographic data collection and model refinements of $\mathrm{TvaF}_{\mathrm{S}-87}$ and CypD in their apo-forms.

| Data set | SeMet-TvaF | SeMet-CypD | CypD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data collection |  |  |  |
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.97915 | 0.97890 | 0.97891 |
| Space group | I23 | 14 | 14 |
| Cell dimensions |  |  |  |
| a, b, c ( $\AA$ ) | 193.96, 193.96, 193.96 | 140.11, 140.11, 197.53 | $\begin{gathered} 139.62,139.62, \\ 197.21 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90, 90, 90 | 90, 90, 90 | 90, 90, 90 |
| Resolution range ( $\AA$ ) | 96.98-2.27 (2.33-2.27) | 50.00-2.38 (2.42-2.38) | $\begin{gathered} 50.00-2.40(2.44- \\ 2.40) \end{gathered}$ |
| $R_{\text {merge }}(\%)^{\text {a }}$ | 11.5 (79.3) | 9.1 (124.0) | 21.0 (81.3) |
| $I / \sigma I$ | 29.60 (7.30) | 30.20 (2.00) | 11.00 (2.44) |
| Completeness (\%) | 100.00 (100.00) | 100.00 (92.60) | 99.60 (100.00) |
| Redundancy | 20.0 (21.7) | 13.8 (14.3) | 12.6 (13.3) |
| Refinement |  |  |  |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 19.59-2.27 (2.35-2.27) | 44.31-2.38 (2.46-2.38) | $\begin{gathered} 34.88-2.40(2.49- \\ 2.40) \end{gathered}$ |
| No. reflections | 55718 | 75830 | 72525 |
| $R_{\text {work }} / R_{\text {free }}(\%){ }^{\text {b }}$ | 17.82 (21.83) /20.37 (25.30) | 18.03 (23.12) /20.14 (21.85) | $\begin{gathered} 18.07(20.25) \\ / 20.19(26.80) \end{gathered}$ |
| No. of atoms | 5694 | 8890 | 8917 |
| Protein | 5347 | 8203 | 8172 |
| Ligand | 124 | 318 | 364 |
| Water | 223 | 369 | 381 |
| Average B-factor ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | 32.06 | 40.02 | 39.38 |
| R.m.s.deviations |  |  |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.009 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.230 | 1.370 | 1.260 |
| Ramachandran plot ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| Favored region (\%) | 97.45 | 98.44 | 97.48 |
| Allowed region (\%) | 2.55 | 1.56 | 2.52 |
| Outliers (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }} R_{\text {merge }}=\sum\left|I_{i}-I_{m}\right| \sum I_{i}$, where $I_{i}$ is the intensity of the measured reflection and $I_{m}$ is the mean intensity of all symmetry related reflections.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{R}_{\text {work }}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}\right|\right| \Sigma\left|\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}\right|$, where $\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}$ are observed and calculated structure factors.
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{T}} \| \mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}\right| / \Sigma_{\mathrm{T}}\right| \mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }} \mid$, where T is a test data set of about $5 \%$ of the total reflections randomly chosen and set aside prior to refinement.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Defined by Molprobity.
Numbers in parentheses represent the value for the highest resolution shell.

Supplementary Table 8. Statistics of X-ray crystallographic data collection and model refinement of CypD in complex with the ISLVS peptide

| Data set | CypD/ISLVS peptide complex |
| :---: | :---: |
| Data collection |  |
| Wavelength (A) | 0.97891 |
| Space group | F23 |
| Cell dimensions |  |
| $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}(\AA)$ | 228.74, 228.74, 228.74 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ | 90, 90, 90 |
| Resolution range ( A ) | 50.00-2.30 (2.34-2.30) |
| $R_{\text {merge }}(\%)^{\text {a }}$ | 24.5 (56.4) |
| $I / \sigma I$ | 18.45 (8.14) |
| Completeness (\%) | 99.30 (99.30) |
| Redundancy | 37.4 (34.6) |
| Refinement |  |
| Resolution ( A ) | 44.02-2.30 (2.38-2.30) |
| No. reflections | 44029 |
| $R_{\text {work }} / R_{\text {free }}(\%)^{\text {b }}$ | 20.34 (20.06) /22.25 (23.18) |
| No. of atoms | 3106 |
| Protein | 2820 |
| Ligand | 106 |
| Water | 180 |
| Average B-factor ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | 31.91 |
| R.m.s.deviations |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.009 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.26 |
| Ramachandran plot ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| Favored region (\%) | 98.29 |
| Allowed region (\%) | 1.71 |
| Outliers (\%) | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }} R_{\text {merge }}=\sum\left|I_{i}-I_{m}\right| \sum I_{i}$, where $I_{i}$ is the intensity of the measured reflection and $I_{m}$ is the mean intensity of all symmetry related reflections.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{R}_{\text {work }}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}\right|\right| \Sigma\left|\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}\right|$, where $\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}$ are observed and calculated structure factors.
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{T}} \| \mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\text {calc }}\right| / \Sigma_{\mathrm{T}}\right| \mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }} \mid$, where T is a test data set of about $5 \%$ of the total reflections randomly chosen and set aside prior to refinement.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Defined by Molprobity.
Numbers in parentheses represent the value for the highest resolution shell.
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