# SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

# Library preparation

Library preparation was carried out using either poly-A enrichment of ribosomal RNA depletion. For *Tidestromia oblongifolia*, tissue collection, RNA isolation, library preparation was carried out using the KAPA Stranded mRNA-Seq Kits (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, Massachusetts, USA). The library was multiplexed with 10 other samples from a different project on an Illumina HiSeq2500 platform with V4 chemistry at the University of Michigan Sequencing Core. For the remaining 16 samples total RNA was isolated from c. 70-125 mg leaf tissue collected in liquid nitrogen using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol (June 2012). A DNase digestion step was included with the RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen). Quality and quantity of RNA were checked using the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Library preparation was carried out using the TruSeq® Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Plant with RiboZero probes (96 Samples. Illumina, #20020611; Schuierer et al. 2017). Indexed libraries were normalized, pooled and size selected to 320bp +/- 5% using the Pippin Prep HT instrument to generate libraries with mean inserts of 200 bp, and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq2500 platform with V4 chemistry at the University of Minnesota Genomics Center. Reads from all 17 libraries were paired-end 125 bp.

# Transcriptome data processing and assembly

Scripts and instructions for read processing, assembly, translation, and homology and orthology search can be found at <u>https://bitbucket.org/yanglab/phylogenomic\_dataset\_construction/</u> as part of an updated 'phylogenomic dataset construction' pipeline (Yang and Smith 2014).

We processed raw reads for all 88 transcriptome datasets (except *Bienertia sinuspersici*) used in this study (Table S1). Sequencing errors in raw reads were corrected with Rcorrector (Song and Florea 2015) and reads flagged as uncorrectable were removed. Sequencing adapters and low-quality bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.36 (ILLUMINACLIP: TruSeq ADAPTER: 2:30:10 SLIDINGWINDOW: 4:5 LEADING: 5 TRAILING: 5 MINLEN: 25; Bolger et al. 2014). Additionally, chloroplast and mitochondrial reads were filtered with Bowtie2 v 2.3.2 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012) using publicly available Caryophyllales organelle genomes from the Organelle Genome Resources database (RefSeq; [Pruitt et al. 2007]; last accessed on October 17, 2018) as references. Read quality was assessed with FastQC v 0.11.7 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Overrepresented sequences detected with FastQC were discarded. De novo assembly was carried out with Trinity v 2.5.1 (Grabherr et al. 2011) with default settings, but without in silico normalization. Assembly quality was assessed with Transrate v 1.0.3 (Smith-Unna et al. 2016). Low quality and poorly supported transcripts were removed using individual cut-off values for three contig score components of Transrate: 1) proportion of nucleotides in a contig that agrees in identity with the aligned read,  $s(Cnuc) \le 0.25$ ; 2) proportion of nucleotides in a contig that have one or more mapped reads,  $s(Ccov) \leq 0.25$ ; and 3) proportion of reads that map to the contig in correct orientation,  $s(Cord) \leq 0.25$ 0.5. Furthermore, chimeric transcripts (*trans*-self and *trans*-multi-gene) were removed following the approach described in Yang and Smith (2013) using *Beta vulgaris* as the reference proteome,

and percentage similarity and length cutoffs of 30 and 100, respectively. In order to remove isoforms and assembly artifacts, filtered reads were remapped to filtered transcripts with Salmon v 0.9.1 (Patro et al. 2017) and putative genes were clustered with Corset v 1.07 (Davidson and Oshlack 2014) using default settings, except that we used a minimum of five reads as threshold to remove transcripts with low coverage (-m 5). Only the longest transcript of each putative gene inferred by Corset was retained (Chen et al. 2019). Filtered transcripts were translated with TransDecoder v 5.0.2 (Haas et al. 2013) with default settings and the proteome of *Beta vulgaris* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* to identify open reading frames. Finally, coding sequences (CDS) from translated amino acids were further reduced with CD-HIT v 4.7 (-c 0.99; [Fu et al. 2012]) to remove near-identical sequences.

# Homology and orthology inference of nuclear genes

Initial homology inference was carried out following Yang and Smith (2014) with some modifications. First, an all-by-all BLASTN search was performed on CDS using an *E* value cutoff of 10 and max\_target\_seqs set to 100. Raw BLAST output was filtered with a hit fraction of 0.4. Then putative homologs groups were clustered using MCL v 14-137 (van Dongen 2000) with a minimum minus log-transformed *E* value cutoff of 5 and an inflation value of 1.4. Finally, only clusters with a minimum of 25 taxa were retained. Individual clusters were aligned using MAFFT v 7.307 (Katoh and Standley 2013) with settings '–genafpair –maxiterate 1000'. Aligned columns with more than 90% missing data were removed using Phyx (Brown et al. 2017). Homolog trees were built using RAxML v 8.2.11 (Stamatakis 2014) with a GTRCAT model and clade support assessed with 200 rapid bootstrap (BS) replicates. Spurious or outlier long tips were detected and removed with TreeShrink v 1.0.0. by maximally reducing the tree

diameter (Mai and Mirarab 2018). Monophyletic and paraphyletic tips that belonged to the same taxon were removed keeping the tip with the highest number of characters in the trimmed alignment. After visual inspection of ca. 50 homolog trees, we determined that internal branches longer than 0.25 were likely representing deep paralogs. These branches were cut apart, keeping resulting subclades with a minimum of 25 taxa. Homolog tree inference, tip and outlier removal, and deep paralog cutting was carried out for a second time using the same settings to obtain final homologs. Orthology inference was carried out following the 'monophyletic outgroup' approach from Yang and Smith (2014), keeping only ortholog groups with at least 25 ingroup taxa. The 'monophyletic outgroup' approach filters for clusters that have outgroup taxa being monophyletic and single-copy, and therefore filters for single- and low-copy genes. It then roots the gene tree by the outgroups, traverses the rooted tree from root to tip, and removes the side with less taxa when gene duplication is detected.

## Synteny analyses

To visualize any genomic patterns of the phylogenetic history of *Beta vulgaris* regarding its relationship with Amaranthaceae s.s. and Chenopodiaceae, we first identified syntenic regions between the genomes of *Beta vulgaris* and the outgroup *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* using the SynNet pipeline (<u>https://github.com/zhaotao1987/SynNet-Pipeline</u>; Zhao and Schranz 2019). We used DIAMOND v.0.9.24.125 (Buchfink et al. 2015) to perform all-by-all inter- and intrapairwise protein searches with default parameters, and MCScanX (Wang et al. 2012) for pairwise synteny block detection with default parameters, except match score (-k) that was set to five. Then, we plot the nine chromosomes of *Beta vulgaris* by assigning each of the 8,258 orthologs of the quartet composed of *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* (outgroup), *Amaranthus* 

*hypochondriacus, Beta vulgaris,* and *Chenopodium quinoa* (BC1A) to synteny blocks and to one of the three possible quartet topologies based on best likelihood score.

# Assessment of substitutional saturation, codon usage bias, compositional heterogeneity, and model of sequence evolution misspecification

We refiltered the final 105-taxon ortholog alignments to again include genes that have the same 11 taxa (referred herein as 11-taxon(tree) dataset used for the species network analyses. We realigned individual genes using MACSE v.2.03 (Ranwez et al. 2018) to account for codon structure and frameshifts. Codons with frameshifts were replaced with gaps, and ambiguous alignment sites were removed using GBLOCKS v0.9b (Castresana 2000) while accounting for codon alignment (-t=c -b1=6 -b2=6 -b3=2 -b4=2 -b5=h). After realignment and removal of ambiguous sites, we kept genes with a minimum of 300 aligned base pairs. To detect potential saturation, we plotted the uncorrected genetic distances against the inferred distances as described in Philippe and Forterre (1999). The level of saturation was determined by the slope of the linear regression between the two distances where a shallow slope (i.e < 1) indicates saturation. We estimated the level of saturation by concatenating all genes and dividing the first and second codon positions from the third codon positions. We calculated uncorrected, and inferred distances with the TN93 substitution model using APE v5.3 (Paradis and Schliep 2019) in R. To determine the effect of saturation in the phylogenetic inferences we estimated individual gene trees using three partition schemes. We inferred ML trees with an unpartitioned alignment, a partition by first and second codon positions, and the third codon positions, and by removing all third codon positions. All tree searches were carried out in RAxML with a GTRGAMMA model and 200 bootstrap replicates. A species tree for each of the three data schemes was

estimated with ASTRAL-III v5.6.3 (Zhang et al. 2018) and gene tree discordance was examined with PhyParts (Smith et al. 2015).

Codon usage bias was evaluated using a correspondence analysis of the Relative Synonymous Codon Usage (RSCU), which is defined as the number of times a particular codon is observed relative to the number of times that the codon would be observed in the absence of any codon usage bias (Sharp and Li 1986). RSCU for each codon in the 11-taxon concatenated alignment was estimated with CodonW v.1.4.4 (Peden 1999). Correspondence analysis was carried out using FactoMineR v1.4.1(Lê et al. 2008) in R (R Core Team 2019). . To determine the effect of codon usage bias in the phylogenetic inferences we estimated individual gene trees using codon-degenerated alignments. Alignments were recoded to eliminate signals associated with synonymous substitutions by degenerating the first and third codon positions using ambiguity coding using DEGEN v1.4 (Regier et al. 2010; Zwick et al. 2012). Gene tree inference and discordance analyses were carried out on the same three data schemes as previously described.

To examine the presence of among-lineage compositional heterogeneity, individual genes were evaluated using the compositional homogeneity test that uses a null distribution from simulations as proposed by Foster (2004). We performed the compositional homogeneity test by optimizing individual gene trees with a GTRGAMMA model and 1,000 simulations in P4 (Foster 2004). To assess if compositional heterogeneity had an effect in species tree inference and gene tree discordance, gene trees that showed the signal of compositional heterogeneity were removed from saturation and codon usage analyses and the species tree and discordance analyses were rerun.

6

To explore the effect of sequence evolution model misspecification, we reanalyzed the datasets from the saturation and codon usage analyses using inferred gene trees that accounted for model selection. We performed extended model selection followed by ML gene tree inference and 1,000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates for branch support in IQ-Tree v.1.6.1 (Nguyen et al. 2015). Species tree inference, conflict analysis and removal of genes with compositional heterogeneity were carried out as previously described.

Finally, we also used amino acid alignments from MACSE to account for substitutional saturation. Amino acid positions with frameshifts were replaced with gaps, and ambiguous alignment sites were removed with Phyx requiring a minimal occupancy of 30%. We inferred individual gene trees with IQ-tree to account for a model of sequence evolution and carried out species tree inference, conflict analysis, and removal of genes with compositional heterogeneity as described for the nucleotide alignments.

### REFERENCES

- Bolger A.M., Lohse M., Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics. 30:2112–2120.
- Brown J.W., Walker J.F., Smith S.A. 2017. Phys phylogenetic tools for unix. Bioinformatics. 33:1886–1888.
- Buchfink B., Xie C., Huson D.H. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat. Methods. 12:59–60.
- Castresana J. 2000. Selection of Conserved Blocks from Multiple Alignments for Their Use in Phylogenetic Analysis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17:540–552.

- Chen L.-Y., Morales-Briones D.F., Passow C.N., Yang Y. 2019. Performance of gene expression analyses using de novo assembled transcripts in polyploid species. Bioinformatics. 35:4314–4320
- van Dongen S.M. 2000. Graph Clustering by Flow Simulation. PhD diss., Ultrecht University.
- Davidson N.M., Oshlack A. 2014. Corset: enabling differential gene expression analysis for de novo assembled transcriptomes. Genome Biol. 15:57.
- Foster P.G. 2004. Modeling Compositional Heterogeneity. Syst. Biol. 53:485–495.
- Fu L., Niu B., Zhu Z., Wu S., Li W. 2012. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the nextgeneration sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 28:3150–3152.
- Grabherr M.G., Haas B.J., Yassour M., Levin J.Z., Thompson D.A., Amit I., Adiconis X., Fan L., Raychowdhury R., Zeng Q., Chen Z., Mauceli E., Hacohen N., Gnirke A., Rhind N., di Palma F., Birren B.W., Nusbaum C., Lindblad-Toh K., Friedman N., Regev A. 2011. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat. Biotechnol. 29:644–652.
- Haas B.J., Papanicolaou A., Yassour M., Grabherr M., Blood P.D., Bowden J., Couger M.B.,
  Eccles D., Li B., Lieber M., MacManes M.D., Ott M., Orvis J., Pochet N., Strozzi F.,
  Weeks N., Westerman R., William T., Dewey C.N., Henschel R., LeDuc R.D., Friedman
  N., Regev A. 2013. *De novo* transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the
  Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. Nat. Protoc. 8:1494–1512.
- Katoh K., Standley D.M. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:772–780.
- Langmead B., Salzberg S.L. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods. 9:357–359.

- Lê S., Josse J., Husson F. 2008. FactoMineR : An R Package for Multivariate Analysis. J. Stat. Softw. 25: 1–18.
- Mai U., Mirarab S. 2018. TreeShrink: fast and accurate detection of outlier long branches in collections of phylogenetic trees. BMC Genomics. 19:4046.
- Nguyen L.-T., Schmidt H.A., von Haeseler A., Minh B.Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32:268–274.
- Paradis E., Schliep K. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics. 35:526–528.
- Patro R., Duggal G., Love M.I., Irizarry R.A., Kingsford C. 2017. Salmon provides fast and biasaware quantification of transcript expression. Nat. Methods. 14:417–419.

Peden J. 1999. Analysis of Codon Usage. PhD diss., University of Nottingham.

- Pruitt K.D., Tatusova T., Maglott D.R. 2007. NCBI reference sequences (RefSeq): a curated non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 35:D61–D65.
- Philippe H., Forterre P. 1999. The Rooting of the Universal Tree of Life Is Not Reliable. J. Mol. Evol. 49:509–523.
- R Core Team. 2019. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Ranwez V., Douzery E.J.P., Cambon C., Chantret N., Delsuc F. 2018. MACSE v2: Toolkit for the Alignment of Coding Sequences Accounting for Frameshifts and Stop Codons. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:2582–2584.

- Regier J.C., Shultz J.W., Zwick A., Hussey A., Ball B., Wetzer R., Martin J.W., Cunningham C.W. 2010. Arthropod relationships revealed by phylogenomic analysis of nuclear proteincoding sequences. Nature. 463:1079–1083.
- Schuierer S., Carbone W., Knehr J., Petitjean V., Fernandez A., Sultan M., Roma G. 2017. A comprehensive assessment of RNA-seq protocols for degraded and low-quantity samples. BMC Genomics. 18:442.
- Smith S.A., Moore M.J., Brown J.W., Yang Y. 2015. Analysis of phylogenomic datasets reveals conflict, concordance, and gene duplications with examples from animals and plants. BMC Evol. Biol. 15:745.
- Smith-Unna R., Boursnell C., Patro R., Hibberd J.M., Kelly S. 2016. TransRate: reference-free quality assessment of de novo transcriptome assemblies. Genome Res. 26:1134–1144.
- Song L., Florea L. 2015. Rcorrector: efficient and accurate error correction for Illumina RNAseq reads. GigaScience. 4:48.
- Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8 a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics. 30:1312–1313.
- Wang Y., Tang H., DeBarry J.D., Tan X., Li J., Wang X., Lee T. -h., Jin H., Marler B., Guo H., Kissinger J.C., Paterson A.H. 2012. MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. Nucleic Acids Res. 40:e49–e49.
- Yang Y., Smith S.A. 2013. Optimizing de novo assembly of short-read RNA-seq data for phylogenomics. BMC Genomics. 14:328.
- Zhang C., Rabiee M., Sayyari E., Mirarab S. 2018. ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species tree reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. BMC Bioinformatics. 19:523.

- Zhao T., Schranz M.E. 2019. Network-based microsynteny analysis identifies major differences and genomic outliers in mammalian and angiosperm genomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 116:2165–2174.
- Zwick A., Regier J.C., Zwickl D.J. 2012. Resolving Discrepancy between Nucleotides and Amino Acids in Deep-Level Arthropod Phylogenomics: Differentiating Serine Codons in 21-Amino-Acid Models. PLoS ONE. 7:e47450.



FIGURE S1. Overview of all datasets (red boxes) and analyses (blue boxes and arrows) in this study.



**FIGURE S2.** Maximum likelihood cladogram of Amaranthaceae s.l. inferred from RAxML analysis of the concatenated 936-nuclear gene supermatrix. Numbers above branches indicate the number of gene trees concordant/conflicting with that node in the species tree. Numbers below the branches are the Internode Certainty All (ICA) score. Pie charts on nodes present the proportion of gene trees that support that clade (blue), the proportion that support the main alternative bifurcation (green), the proportion that support the remaining alternatives (red), and the proportion (conflict or support) that have < 50% bootstrap support (gray).



**FIGURE S3.** Maximum likelihood cladogram of Amaranthaceae s.l. inferred from RAxML analysis of the concatenated 936-nuclear gene supermatrix. Numbers above branches indicate the Quartet sampling internal node score. Quartet concordance/Quartet differential/ Quartet informativeness. Scores in blue indicate strong support for the species tree topology, while red scores indicate strong support for alternative topologies.



**FIGURE S4.** Maximum likelihood phylogeny of Amaranthaceae s.l. inferred from IQ-tree analysis of concatenated 76-plastid gene supermatrix. All nodes have full support (Bootstrap = 100) unless noted next to nodes. Pie charts present the proportion of gene trees that support that clade (blue), the proportion that support the main alternative bifurcation (green), the proportion that support the remaining alternatives (red), and the proportion (conflict or support) that have < 50% bootstrap support (gray). Only pie charts for major clades are shown (see Fig. S5 for all node pie charts). Branch lengths are in number of substitutions per site.



**FIGURE S5.** Maximum likelihood cladogram of Amaranthaceae s.l. inferred from IQ-tree analysis of concatenated 76-plastid gene supermatrix. Numbers above branches indicate the number of gene trees concordant/conflicting with that node in the species tree. Numbers below the branches are the Internode Certainty All (ICA) score. Pie charts on nodes present the proportion of gene trees that support that clade (blue), the proportion that support the main alternative bifurcation (green), the proportion that support the remaining alternatives (red), and the proportion (conflict or support) that have < 50% bootstrap support (gray).



**FIGURE S6.** Maximum likelihood cladogram of Amaranthaceae s.l. inferred from IQ-tree analysis of concatenated 76-plastid gene supermatrix. Numbers above branches indicate the Quartet sampling internal node score. Quartet concordance/Quartet differential/ Quartet informativeness. Scores in blue indicate strong support for the species tree topology, while red scores indicate strong support for alternative topologies.



**FIGURE S7.** Counts of gene tree inferences for the 10 quartets from the five main clades of Amaranthaceae s.l. (a) Bars represent raw gene tree counts and counts of gene trees with bootstrap support  $\geq$  50. Gene counts of constrained maximum likelihood searches for the 10 quartets from the five main clades of Amaranthaceae s.l. (b) Bars represent counts based on raw maximum likelihood scores and counts based on trees with significant support (trees with a delta corrected Akaike Information Criteria ( $\Delta$ AICc)  $\geq$  2 than the next best model). H0 represents the ASTRAL species tree of each quartet inferred. Each quartet is named following the species tree topology, where the first two species are sister to each other (all topologies can be found in Figure S6). A = Amaranthaceae. s.s. (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus*), B = Betoideae (*Beta vulgaris*), C1 = Chenopods I (*Chenopodium quinoa*), C2 = Chenopods II (*Caroxylum vermiculatum*), P = Polycnemoideae (*Polycnemum majus*).



**FIGURE S8.** Alignment and tree scores for each of the 10 quartets from the five main clades of Amaranthaceae s.l. Quartet Tree Certainty (TC) score distribution (a) and its correlation with alignment length (b), alignment GC content (c), and alignment gap percentage (d). Quartets named following the ASTRAL species tree topology (see Figure 6 for quartet topologies). A = Amaranthaceae. s.s. (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus*), B = Betoideae (*Beta vulgaris*), C1 = Chenopods I (*Chenopodium quinoa*), C2 = Chenopods II (*Caroxylum vermiculatum*), P = Polycnemoideae (*Polycnemum majus*).



FIGURE S9. Best three species networks for the 10 quartets from the five main clades of
Amaranthaceae s.l. Red and blue indicates the minor and major edges, respectively, of hybrid
nodes. Number next to the branches indicates inheritance probabilities for each hybrid node.
Network visualization with PhyloPlots (left) and network visualization with Dendroscope (right).
Each quartet is named following the species tree topology, where the first two are sisters (all
topologies can be found in Figure S6). A = Amaranthaceae. s.s. (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus*),
B = Betoideae (*Beta vulgaris*), C1 = Chenopods I (*Chenopodium quinoa*), C2 = Chenopods II
(*Caroxylum vermiculatum*), P = Polycnemoideae (*Polycnemum majus*).



**FIGURE S10.** Chromosomes of *Beta vulgaris* with gene tree topologies from the quartet BC1A mapped. Each gene is colored according to gene tree topology (H0, H1, or H2). H0 represents the ASTRAL species tree of the quartet. Longer colored lines along the chromosomes represent syntenic genes (6,941) between *Beta vulgaris* and *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum*. Grey represents genes not present in the ortholog set of the quartet BC1A. A = Amaranthaceae. s.s. (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus*), B = Betoideae (*Beta vulgaris*), C1 = Chenopods I (*Chenopodium quinoa*), C2 = Chenopods II (*Caroxylum vermiculatum*), P = Polycnemoideae (*Polycnemum majus*).



**FIGURE S11.** Saturation plots from the 11-taxon(tree) concatenated alignment. a) Saturation analysis for the first and second codon positions, and b) saturation analyses for the third codon position. Dotted lines represent expected unsaturated curves, and solid lines represent the observed saturation curves.



**FIGURE S12.** Correspondence analyses results of the Synonymous Codon Usage (RSCU) of the 11-taxon(tree) concatenated alignment. Results by a) species and (b) codons.



FIGURE S13. ASTRAL species trees from the 11-taxon(net) dataset estimated from gene trees inferred using multiple data schemes. a) Gene trees inferred with RAxML with a GTR-GAMMA model. b) Gene trees inferred with IQ-tree allowing for automatic model selection of sequence evolution. c) Gene trees inferred with RAxML with a GTR-GAMMA model and removal of genes that had signal of compositional heterogeneity. d) Gene trees inferred with IQ-tree allowing for automatic model selection of sequence evolution and removal of genes that had signal of compositional heterogeneity. a-d) Gene trees were inferred with no partition, codon partition (first and second codon, and third codon) and, only first and second codon positions (third codon position removed and no partition). Gene trees were inferred using codon alignments with standard nucleotide coding, and alignments with degenerated coding of the first and third codon positions. e) All gene trees and gene trees after removal of genes that had signal of compositional heterogeneity, inferred with IQ-tree using amino acid sequences allowing for automatic model selection of sequence evolution. Pie charts on nodes present the proportion of gene trees that support that clade (blue), the proportion that support the main alternative bifurcation (green), the proportion that support the remaining alternatives (red), and the proportion (conflict or support) that have < 50% bootstrap support (gray).

Family	Subfamily	Species code	Genus	Snecies	Authority name	Taxon name in tree and intermediate files	Library reads single/naired-end	No. of Library stranded? used for	nnai CDS Reference c or BLASTN (Beta vulgar	werage w	hologs (total 13)	24) Usage in previous phylotranscriptomic papers	Source database	Source accession	Souce reference	Souce title
Amaranthaceae s.l.	Betoideae	Betamac	Beta	macrocarpa	Guss.	Betamac Beta macrocarpa	Paired	Non-stranded	17741	0.54	10279	78.9%	SRA	SRR1038481	Fan et al. 2015	Transcriptome Analysis of Beta macrocarp
Amaranthaceae s.l.	Betoideae	FVXD	Beta	maritima	L.	FVXD_Beta_maritima	Paired	Non-stranded	15017	0.23	7774	59.7% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR2040223	1KP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pr
Amaranthaceae s.l.	Betoideae	Betatrig	Beta	vulgaris	L.	Betatrig_Beta_trigina*	Paired	Stranded	17048	0.53	10662	81.9%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Amaranthaceae s.l.	Betoideae	Betavulgen	Beta H-blind	vulgaris	L. (C.A. Marci) Burger	Bevulgen_Beta_vulgaris	 Doine d	ene Comm da d	26922	0.44	11753	90.2%	http://bvseq.molgen.mpg.de/index.shtml	v.1.2	Dohm et al. 2013	The genome of the recently domesticated of
A maranthaceae s.l.	Betoideae	Habtam	Habilizia Patollifolia	tamnoides patollavis	(U.A. Mey.) Bunge (Mog.) A L Scott Ford Lloyd & LT Williams	Habtam_Habiltzia_tamnoides	Paired	Stranded	15/35	0.44	9809	75.3%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Amaranthaceae s.l.	Polycnemoideae	MJM3242	Nitrophila	occidentalis	(Mog.) S. Watson	MJM3242 Nitrophila occidentalis	Paired	Stranded	39370	0.55	11649	89.4% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435357	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Amaranthaceae s.l.	Polycnemoideae	PolmaSFB	Polycnemum	majus	A. Braun ex Bogenh.	PolmaSFB_Polycnemum_majus	Paired	Stranded	42557	0.57	11668	89.6% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435356	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Achyranthoids	Achbid	Achyranthes	bidentata	Blume	Achbid_Achyranthes_bidentata	Paired	Non-stranded	25583	0.5	8598	66.0%	SRA	SRR5591716	Yang et al. 2018	The root transcriptome of Achyranthes bio
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Achyranthoids	NequaSFB	Nelsia	quadrangula	Schinz	NequaSFB_Nelsia_quadrangula	Paired	Stranded	24387	0.54	9313	71.5% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435358	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Aervoids	HDSY	Aerva	javanica	(Burm. f.) Juss.	HDSY_Aerva_javanica	Paired	Non-stranded	27385	0.43	6929	53.2% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR2040202 /ERR2040203	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro
A maranthaceae s.s.	Aervoids	PDQH MIM2678	Aerva Inocino	tanata anhus aula	(L.) Juss. ex Schult. Illing & W.I. Bray	PDQH_Aerva_lanata MIM2678_Iragina_arbuggula	Paired	Non-stranded Strandad	19501	0.41	68/9	52.8% used in Yang et al 2015 61.4% used in Walker at al 2018	SKA	ERR2040200 /ERR2040201 SPR6425227	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014 Wolker et al. 2018	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (TKP) pro
A maranthaceae s s	Aervoids	MIM2078 MIM2943	Iresine	rhizomatosa	Standl	MJM2078_freshe_arbuscuta MJM2943_freshe_rhizomatosa	Paired	Stranded	21890	0.53	7571	58.1% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435359	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Amaranthoids	XSSD	Amaranthus	cruentus	L.	XSSD Amaranthus cruentus	Paired	Non-stranded	22359	0.48	10215	78.4% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR2040205	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Amaranthoids	Amhy	Amaranthus	hypochondriacus	L.	Amhypgen_Amaranthus_hyponchondriacus			23843		10612	81.5%	Phytozome	v1.0	Lightfoot et al. 2017	Single-molecule sequencing and Hi-C-bas
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Amaranthoids	Ampal	Amaranthus	palmeri	S. Watson	Ampal_Amaranthus_palmeri	Paired	Non-stranded	23336	0.5	9960	76.5%	SRA	SRR5759382	Salas-Perez et al. 2018	RNA-Seq transcriptome analysis of Amar
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Amaranthoids	WMLW	Amaranthus	retroflexus	L	WMLW_Amaranthus_retroflexus	Paired	Non-stranded	19417	0.29	7859	60.3% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR2040206	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro
A maranthaceae s.s.	Amaranthoids	Amtric	Amaranthus	tricolor	L. (Mog.) LD. Sauar	Amtric_Amaranthus_tricolor Amtub_Amaranthus_tubaraulatus	Paired	Non-stranded	24201	0.5	9933	76.3%	SRA	SRR5930345	Liu et al. 2018	Identification of microRNAs in the green a
A maranthaceae s.s.	Celosioids	Deeamar	Deeringia	amaranthoides	(I) Means	Deegmar Deeringia amaranthoides	Paired	Stranded	24155	0.48	10833	83.2%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Celosioids	Hergla	Hermbstaedtia	glauca	Reichb, ex Steud.	Hergla Hermbstaedtia glauca	Paired	Stranded	24119	0.54	11212	86.1%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	ZBPY	Alternanthera	brasiliana	(L.) Kuntze	ZBPY_Alternanthera_brasiliana	Paired	Non-stranded	39746	0.47	9141	70.2% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR2040215/ERR2040216	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	OHKC	Alternanthera	caracasana	Kunth	OHKC_Alternanthera_caracasana	Paired	Non-stranded	41349	0.41	8905	68.4% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR2040217/ERR2040218	1KP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro-
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	Alph	Alternanthera	philoxeroides	(Mart.) Griseb.	Alph_Alternanthera_philoxeroides	Paired	Non-stranded	51167	0.52	9726	74.7%	SRA	SRR1661509	Liu et al. 2019	RNA sequencing characterizes transcripto
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	BWRK	Alternanthera	sessilis	(L.) R. Br. ex DC.	BWRK_Alternanthera_sessilis	Paired	Non-stranded	23148	0.42	8664	66.5% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR2040219 /ERR2040220	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro
A maranthaceae s.s.	Gomphranoids	CUTE	Rhatananon	vanniadana	(L) Maars	CUTE Blutanaran warmigulara	Paired	Non-stranded	23664	0.4	8909	66.5% used in Yang et al 2015	SRA	ERR2040221/ERR2040222	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014 IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pr
A maranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	MIM1665	Froelichia	latifolia	R A McCauley	MIM1665 Eroelichia latifolia	Paired	Stranded	30906	0.39	9395	72.1% used in Yang et al 2015	SRA	SRR1979685	Brockington et al 2015	Lineage-specific gene radiations underlie f
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	Gomcel	Gomphrena	celosoides	Mart.	Gomcel Gomphrena celosoides	Paired	Stranded	21652	0.5	10127	77.8%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	Gomele	Gomphrena	elegans	Mart.	Gomele_Gomphrena_elegans	Paired	Stranded	26535	0.52	10147	77.9%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	MJM1807	Gossypianthus	lanuginosus	(Poir.) Moq.	MJM1807_Gossypianthus_lanuginosus	Paired	Stranded	19778	0.54	10319	79.2% used in Yang et. al 2018	SRA	SRR6787476	Yang et al. 2018	Improved transcriptome sampling pinpoin
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	MJM2445	Guilleminea	densa	(Humb. & Bonpl. ex Schult.) Moq.	MJM2445_Guilleminea_densa	Paired	Stranded	26558	0.53	10237	78.6% used in Yang et. al 2018	SRA	SRR6787501	Yang et al. 2018	Improved transcriptome sampling pinpoin
A maranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	Pfatub	Pjaffia	tuberosa	(Spreng.) Hicken	Pfatub_Pfaffia_tuberosa	Paired	Stranded Strandad	26649	0.5	9798	75.2%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
A maranthaceae s s	Gomphrenoids	MIM2259	Tidestromia	lanuainosa	(Nutt.) Standl	MIM2259 Tidestromia lanuginosa	Paired	Stranded	23930	0.47	9337	75.1% used in Vang et al 2018	SRA	SRR6787504	Vana et al. 2018	Improved transcriptome sampling pippoin
Amaranthaceae s.s.	Gomphrenoids	Tisp1013	Tidestromia	oblongifolia	(S. Watson) Standl.	Tisp1013 Tidestromia suffruticosa	Paired	Stranded	22679	0.51	9294	71.4%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Chenopodiaceae		Bisi	Bienertia	sinuspersici	Akhani	Bisi Bienertia sinuspersici			42004	0.43	9401	72.2% used in Yang et. al 2018	Not assembled. CDS from Yang et al. 2017	SRR1770364	Sharpe, 2014	Gene expression profiling in single cell c4
Chenopodiaceae	Camphorosmoideae	e WGET	Bassia	scoparia	(L.) A.J. Scott	WGET_Bassia_scoparia	Paired	Non-stranded	16019	0.3	8665	66.5% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR364385	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro
Chenopodiaceae	Camphorosmoideae	e EosaxSFB	Eokochia	saxicola	(Guss.) Freitag & G. Kadereit	EosaxSFB_Eokochia_saxicola	Paired	Stranded	29936	0.55	11193	85.9% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435348	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	ONLQ	Atriplex	hortensis	L.	ONLQ_Atriplex_hortensis	Paired	Non-stranded	19485	0.46	10536	80.9% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR2040208 /ERR2040209	1KP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro-
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Atrlen	Atriplex	lentiformis	(Torr.) S. Watson	Atrlen_Atriplex_lentiformis	Paired	Non-stranded	17454	0.5	10623	81.6%	SRA	SRR1041720	Li et al. 2015	Understanding the biochemical basis of ter
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	AAXJ	Atriplex	prostrata	Boucher ex DC.	AAXJ_Atriplex_prostrata	Paired	Non-stranded	19161	0.43	10285	79.0% used in Yang et. al 2015	SRA	ERR2040210/ERR2040211	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	CBJR	Atriplex	rosea	L.	CBJR_Atriplex_rosea	Paired	Non-stranded	19991	0.45	10580	81.2% used in Yang et al 2015	SRA	ERR2040212/ERR2040213	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pr
Chanonodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Chanhohan	Ritum	sp.	(L)CA May	Chanhahan Chananadium hanus hanriaus	Paired	Stranded	20391	0.54	11227	83.7% used in warker et al. 2018 87.0%	Nauly commond	Nawly command	March commond	From each to carnivores. Improved phytoi Nawly command
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Cheama	Chenonodium	amaranticolor	(H I Coste & A Reyn ) H I Coste & A Reyn	Cheama Chenopodium amaranticolor	Paired	Non-stranded	33616	0.34	9915	76.1% used in Yang et al 2018	SRA	SRR 503600	Zhang et al 2012	De novo foliar transcriptome of Chenopoe
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Chnal	Chenopodium	nallidicaule	Aellen	Chral Chenopodium pallidicaule	Paired	Non-stranded	17132	0.51	3297	25.3%	SRA	SRR4425240	Jarvis et al. 2017	The genome of Chenopodium auinoa
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Chquigen	Chenopodium	quinoa	Willd.	Chquigen Chenopodium quinoa			44776		11769	90.4%	Phytozome	v1.0	Jarvis et al. 2017	The genome of Chenopodium quinoa
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Chsue	Chenopodium	suecicum	J. Murr	Chsue_Chenopodium_suecicum	Paired	Non-stranded	17928	0.5	9503	73.0%	SRA	SRR4425602	Jarvis et al. 2017	The genome of Chenopodium quinoa
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Chenvul	Chenopodium	vulvaria	L.	Chenvul_Chenopodium_vulvaria	Paired	Stranded	16598	0.53	11153	85.6%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Dysamb	Dysphania	ambrosioides	(L.) Mosyakin & Clemants	Dysamb_Dysphania_ambrosia*	Paired	Stranded	25436	0.54	11250	86.4%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Dyapha	Dysphania	schraderiana	(Schult.) Mosyakin & Clemants	Dyapha_Dysphania_schraderiana	Paired	Non-stranded	14749	0.42	10070	77.3%	SRA	SRR5989515		Submitted to SRA in 2017. No refrence for
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	MJM3214 Carbar	Extriplex	californica	(Moq.) E.H. Zacharias	MJM3214_Extriplex_californica	Paired	Stranded Strandad	16229	0.51	10966	84.2% used in Yang et al 2018	SRA	SRR6787489	Yang et al. 2018	Improved transcriptome sampling pinpoin
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Grabra MIM2268	Grayia	brandegeei	A. Gray (Hook.) Moo	Grabra_Grayia_brandegeei MIM2268_Gravia_grainoga	Paired	Stranded	15864	0.49	10554	81.0% used in Walker et al. 2018 81.0% used in Vang et al. 2018	SKA	SRR6435284 SPR6797510	Walker et al. 2018 Vang at al. 2018	From cach to carnivores: improved phylot improved transgrinteme compling pippoin
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	MJM3208 MIM2311	Grayia Krascheninnikovia	lanata	(Pursh) A Meeuse & A Smit	MJM3208_Orayla_spinosa MJM2311_Krascheninnikovia_lanata	Paired	Stranded	18996	0.5	10004	81.9% used in Yang et al 2018 86.5% used in Yang et al 2018	SRA	SRR6787516	Yang et al. 2018	Improved transcriptome sampling pinpoin
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Oxm	Owbasis	rubra	(L) S Fuentes-B Uotila & Borsch	Oxru Oxybasis rubra	Paired	Non-stranded	25776	0.53	11340	87 1% used in Walker et al 2018	SRA	SRR2913184		Submitted to SRA in 2015 No refrence for
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	MJM3031	Proatriplex	pleiantha	(W.A. Weber) Stutz & G.L. Chu	MJM3031 Proatriplex pleiantha	Paired	Stranded	14808	0.49	10299	79.1% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435312	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Spolegen	Spinacia	oleracea	Ĺ.	Spolegen_Spinacia_oleracea			25495		11586	89.0%	Genome	v1.0	Xu et al. 2017	Draft genome of spinach and transcriptom
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Spitet	Spinacia	tetrandra	Steven ex M.Bieb.	Spitet_Spinacia_tetrandra	Single	Non-stranded	18802	0.29	8819	67.7% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR1766331	Xu et al. 2017	Draft genome of spinach and transcriptom
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	Spitur	Spinacia	turkestanica	Iljin	Spitur_Spinacia_turkestanica	Single	Non-stranded	9066	0.11	4256	32.7% Species used in Walker et al. 2018, but a different SRA accession	SRA	SRR1766333	Xu et al. 2017	Draft genome of spinach and transcriptom
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodioideae	MJM3228	Stutzia	covillei	(Standl.) E.H. Zacharias	MJM3228_Stutzia_covillei	Paired	Stranded	14806	0.39	9807	75.3% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435340	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Chenopodiaceae	Corispermoideae	Agsq MSD00001705FI	Agriophytum	squarrosum	(L.) Moq.	Agsq_Agriophylium_squarrosum	Paired	Non-stranded	19671	0.54	11211	86.1% used in Yang et al 2018 97.6% used in Wellier et al 2018	SRA	SRR1559276	Zhao et al. 2014	Transcriptomic analysis of a psammophyte
Chenopodiaceae	Salicornioideae	SalenSFR	Allenrolfea	sp	L.	SalenSEB Allenrolfea en	Paired	Stranded	16123	0.56	10716	87.0% used in Walker et al. 2018 87.3% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435351	Walker et al. 2018 Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Chenopodiaceae	Salicornioideae	ArmacSFB	Arthrocaulon	sp. macrostachuum	(Moric ) Piirainen & G Kadereit	ArmacSFB Arthrocnemum macrostachyum <sup>a</sup>	Paired	Stranded	18895	0.51	10634	81.6% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435310	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phyloi From cacti to carnivores: Improved phyloi
Chenopodiaceae	Salicornioideae	Halcas	Halostachys	caspica	C.A. Mey. ex Schrenk	Halcas Halostachys caspica	Paired	Non-stranded	33573	0.49	10563	81.1%	SRA	SRR7003638		Submitted to SRA in 2018. No reference t
Chenopodiaceae	Salicornioideae	Heteros	Heterostachys	sp.		Heteros_Heterostachys_sp	Paired	Stranded	18385	0.47	10397	79.8%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Chenopodiaceae	Salicornioideae	KalcuSFB	Kalidium	cuspidatum	(L.) UngSternb.	KaleuSFB_Kalidium_cuspidatum	Paired	Stranded	18710	0.49	10190	78.2% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435350	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Chenopodiaceae	Salicornioideae	Saeu2	Salicornia	europaea	L	Saeu2_Salicornia_europaea	Paired	Non-stranded	31052	0.37	9809	75.3% Species used in Walker et al. 2018, but a different SRA library	SRA	SRR823398	Ma et al. 2013	Global transcriptome profiling of Salicorn
Chenopodiaceae	Salicornioideae	Salipac	Salicornia	pacifica	Standi.	Salipac_Salicornia_pacifica	Paired	Stranded	30611	0.53	10891	83.6% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435339	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Chenopodiaceae	Salcormoldeae	AnaarSFB	i ecucornia Anabasis	pergranulata articulata	Mog	AnaarSFB Anabasis articulata	Paired	Stranded	20784	0.53	10898	77.6% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435311	watter et al. 2018 Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Chenopodiaceae	Salsoloidae	CarveSFB	Carondon	vormiculatum	(I.) Akhani & Roskon	CarveSEB Caroxylon verniculatum	Paired	Stranded	58480	0.52	11214	86.1% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435345	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Chenopodiaceae	Salsoloidae	Hagl	Halogeton	glomeratus	(M. Bieb.) C.A. Mey.	Hagl Halogeton glomeratus	Paired	Non-stranded	24367	0.34	9248	71.0% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR1503502	Yao et al. 2018	Transcriptome sequencing and comparativ
Chenopodiaceae	Salsoloidae	Haam	Haloxylon	ammodendron	Bunge	Haam_Haloxylon_ammodendron	Paired	Non-stranded	29314	0.55	10422	80.0% used in Yang et. al 2018	SRA	SRR1697346	Long et al. 2014	De novo assembly of the desert tree Halos
Chenopodiaceae	Salsoloidae	Hamsco	Hammada	scoparia	Iljin	Hamseo_Hammada_scoparia	Single	Non-stranded	23086	0.49	9787	75.1%	SRA	ERR2060287	Lauterbach et al. 2017	De novo Transcriptome Assembly and Co
Chenopodiaceae	Salsoloidae	MSB0496298SFI	3 Kali	collina	Akhani & Roalson	MSB0496298SFB_Kali_collina	Paired	Stranded	18660	0.55	10955	84.1% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435349	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Chenopodiaceae	Salsoloidae	Salopp	Salsola	oppositifolia	Desf.	Salopp_Salsola_oppositifolia	Single	Non-stranded	48370	0.49	10448	80.2%	SRA	ERR2060302	Lauterbach et al. 2017	De novo Transcriptome Assembly and Co
Chenopodiaceae	Salsoloidae	Salsod	Salsola	soda	L.	Salsod_Salsola_soda	Single	Non-stranded	18064	0.49	9714	74.6%	SRA	SRR3544552	Lauterbach et al. 2017	De novo Transcriptome Assembly and Co
Chanonodiaceae	Saisoioidae	Salweb	Suisola	webbu	Moq. Eraitar & Sabutra	Sarweb_Sarsola_webbli	Bairad	Non-stranded	18270	0.49	0870	80.8% 75.0%	SRA	ERR2000308	Wang at al. 2017	De novo i ranscripiome Assembly and Co Transcriptoma assembly in Sugada aralage
Chenopodiaceae	Suaedoideae	Suadiyar	Suaeda	divaricata	Moa	Suadiyar Suaeda diyaricata	Paired	Stranded	28206	0.58	10837	83.2%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Chenopodiaceae	Suaedoideae	Sufr	Suaeda	fruticosa	Forssk, ex J.F. Gmel.	Sufr Suaeda fruticosa	Paired	Non-stranded	12139	0.18	6473	49.7% used in Yang et. al 2018	SRA	SRR1947661	Diray-Arce et al. 2015	ranscriptome assembly, profiling and diffe
Chenopodiaceae	Suaedoideae	Suagla	Suaeda	glauca	(Bunge) Bunge	Suagla_Suaeda_glauca	Paired	Non-stranded	16780	0.49	10454	80.3%	SRA	SRR2416214	Jin et al. 2016	Salt-Responsive Transcriptome Profiling of
Chenopodiaceae	Suaedoideae	Suaifni	Suaeda	ifniensis	Caball. ex Maire	Suaifni_Suaeda_ifniensis	Paired	Stranded	17461	0.48	10477	80.4%	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced	Newly sequenced
Chenopodiaceae	Suaedoideae	MJM1679	Suaeda	linearis	(Elliott) Moq.	MJM1679_Suaeda_linearis	Paired	Stranded	33753	0.48	10648	81.8% used in Yang et. al 2018	SRA	SRR6787513	Yang et al. 2017	Improved transcriptome sampling pinpoin
Chenopodiaceae	Suaedoideae	Suma	Suaeda	maritima	(L.) Dumort.	Suma_Suaeda_maritima	Parred	Non-stranded	46819	0.56	10828	83.1% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR3218589	Gharat et al. 2016	Iranscriptome Analysis of the Response t
Chenopodiaceae Chenopodiaceae	Suaedoideae	Suasal	Suaeda Suaeda	salsa	Pall. Formels av LE Great	Suasai_Suaeda_salsa	Paired	Non-stranded	18734	0.46	9991	/b./% 70.4%	SKA Nawly commond	Nawly compress	Au et al. 2017	Transcriptomic protiling of genes in matur
Chenopodiaceae	Suaedoideae	Suavera MIM3086	Sucklawa	vera sucklavana	TOTSSK. ex J.F. Gmel. (Torr.) Rydh	Suavera_Suaeda_vera MIM3086 Suekleva sueklevana	Paired	Stranded	16827	0.48	10341	79.470 83.8% used in Walker et al. 2018	sequenced	sewiy sequenced	wewly sequenced Walker et al. 2018	From eacti to carnivores: Improved whyles
Achatocamaceae - OUTGROUP	Sadedoideae	MIM2704	Achatocarnus	oracilis	H Walter	MIM2704 Achatocarpus gracilis	Paired	Stranded	24544	0.31	10397	79.8% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435362	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores. Improved phytor
Achatocarpaceae - OUTGROUP		MJM1677	Phaulothamnus	spinescens	A. Gray	MJM1677 Phaulothamnus spinescens	Paired	Stranded	28006	0.45	9714	74.6% used in Yang et. al 2018	SRA	SRR1979686	Brockington et al 2015	Lineage-specific gene radiations underlie t
Aizoaceae - OUTGROUP		BJKT	Delosperma	echinatum	(Lam.) Schwantes	BJKT Delosperma echinatum	Paired	Non-stranded	18194	0.26	7498	57.6% used in Yang et. al 2018	SRA	ERR2040192	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1.000 Plants (1KP) pro
Aizoaceae - OUTGROUP		Mecrygen	Mesembryanthemum	crystallinum	L.	Mecrygen_Mesembryanthemum_crystallinum			25435		10488	80.5%	Yim and Cushman, Unpublished data			Yim and Cushman, Unpublished data
Caryophyllaceae - OUTGROUP		CorSFB	Corrigiola	litoralis	L.	CorSFB_Corrigiola_litoralis	Paired	Stranded	19203	0.52	10577	81.2% used in Yang et. al 2018	SRA	SRR6787499	Yang et al. 2018	Improved transcriptome sampling pinpoin
Caryophyllaceae - OUTGROUP		Dicargen	Dianthus	caryophyllus	L	Dicargen_Dianthus_caryophyllus	 D : 1		43264		7938	60.9%	http://carnation.kazusa.or.jp	DCA_r1.0	Yagi et al. 2014	Sequence analysis of the genome of carnal
Caryophyllaceae - OUTGROUP		HerSFB	Herniaria	latifolia	Lapeyr.	Herst B_Herniaria_latifolia	raired	Stranded	38364	0.54	9901	/6.0% used in Yang et. al 2018	SKA	SKR6787494	Y ang et al. 2018	improved transcriptome sampling pinpoin
Caryophyllaceae - OUTGROUP		IIIeSFB	Illecebrum	verticillatum	L. (L) C. Prod	THESE Summer and the second se	Paired	Stranded	22434	0.5	9666	/4.2% used in Yang et. al 2018	SKA	<u>SKR6787493</u>	Y ang et al. 2018	improved transcriptome sampling pinpoin
Microtescene - OUTGROUP		VNEI	spergularia Microtea	meata dobilis	(L.) C. FIESI Sw	VNEI Microtea debilis	Paired	Non-stranded	21020	0.28	7643 8629	56.7% used in Yang et al 2015	SRA	ERR2040231 FRR2040255	IKP/Matasci et al., 2014 IKP/Matasci et al., 2014	Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) pro
Molluginaceae - OUTGROUP		HURS	Malluga	nentanhvlla	L	HURS Mollugo pentaphylla	Paired	Non-stranded	16900	0.34	7925	60.8% used in Yang et al 2015	SRA	FRR2040238	IKP/Matasci et al. 2014	Data access for the 1 000 Plants (1KP) pro-
Nyctaginaceae - OUTGROUP		MJM2726B	Commicarpus	scandens	(L.) Standl.	MJM2726B_Commicarpus scandens	Paired	Stranded	24282	0.48	8747	67.2% used in Walker et al. 2018	SRA	SRR6435360	Walker et al. 2018	From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylot
Polygonaceae - OUTGROUP		Fatatgen	Fagopyrum	tataricum	(L.) Gaertn.	Fatatgen_Fagopyrum_tataricum			31834		6120	47.0%	http://www.mbkbase.org/Pinku1	v2.0	Zhang et al. 2017	The Tartary Buckwheat Genome Provides
"Taxon names updated in ms figur	es after analyses.								-							

Table S1. Taxon sampling and source of data

### arpa and Identification of Differentially Expressed Transcripts in Response to Beet Necrotic Yellow Vein Virus Infection

d crop plant sugar beet (Beta vulgaris)

transcriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales transcriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales dentata and the identification of the generative involved in the replaning benefit transcriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales roted.

### oject oject

tomeet tornascriptomis sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales formascriptomis sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales mest assed provinity-guided ascenebly of anaranth (Arnaranthus hypochondriacus) drumosomes provide insights into genome evolution. aranthus palmeri with differential solvence to gliffsmate horbicule.

coued and red sectors of Amaranthus tricolor L. leaves based on Illumina sequencing data found

streaset treased forme differences in cold response between northern and southern Alternanthera philoxeroides and highlight adaptations associated with northward expansion in the differences in cold response between northern and southern Alternanthera philoxeroides and highlight adaptations associated with northward expansion in the differences in cold response between northern and southern and the differences in cold response between the differences in the difference in the differences in the difference in the

to be the evolution of novel betalain pigmentation in Carvophyllales

ints 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events ints 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events

ints 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events

c4 and related photosynthetic species in Suaedoideae

oject transcriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales

roject emperature-induced lipid pathway adjustments in plants.

# roject roject

criptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales

odium amaranticolor and analysis of its gene expression during virus-induced hypersensitive response

e found ints 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events forbarscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales into 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events into 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events into 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events is found

e found optimization is sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllaks tome diversity of 120 Spinesiaccessions tope of the spinesis of the spinesis of 120 Spinesiaccessions tope of the spinesis of the spinesis of the spine of the spinesis of the spinesis type food copy, such receives the spinesis of the spinesis of the spinesis of the spinesis of the spinesis type food copy, spinesis and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllaks (or the spinesis of the s

Vermascriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales romin european L, shoots under NaCl reatment Johranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales Johranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales Johranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales Johranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales Johranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales alocylon annumodendion (C. A. Mey) based on RNA-Seq data provides insight into drought response, gene discovery and marker identification. *Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca) (Comparison of* (3, *C-C4*, and *CSpecies of Tribe Salobace (Chemopolaucca)* 

Terential gene expression analysis of the halophyte Suaeda fruticosa provides insights into salt tolerance y of Suaeda glaucavia RNA Sequencing

oints 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events e to NaCI in Suaeda mariinna Provides an Insight into Salt Tolerance Mechanisms in Halophytes turred dimorphic seeds of euhalophyte Suaeda salsa

otranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales otranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales the evolution of novel bealain pigemention in Caryophyllales

sints 26 ancient and more recent polyphoidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events. nation (Dianthus caryophyllas L.) sints 26 ancient and more recent polyphoidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events. ints 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two allopolyploidy events.

troused. Iotranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical homology inference provide further insight into the evolution of Caryophyllales les Insights into Rutin Biosynthesis and Abiotic Stress Tolerance

Table S2. 3	oucher information.	of newly sequences	transcriptomes.										
Family	Subfamily	r Spedax ou	fe Genus	Species	Astherity name	Voucher information	Locality	Grawth condition Tissue	RNA extraction	KNA-seq library proparation	Raw road pairs Filtered as	edear read pairs Filtered any	saelle read pairs
Amarandhai	rae s.l. Butoideae	Batatig	Au	telgarix	L	Unvouchated	Cultivated at Botanical Garden University Mainz, orig. coll. Bulgaria. Living Collection	Cubivated at Botanical Gardan University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNase digestion by Delphine Tefarkis at Kaderoit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Standed Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Gatomics Cantar Aug 2018	22,125,349	12,650,145	9,280,410
Amarandhas	rae s.1. Butcideae	Habtan	Hablizia	zawaoidec	(C.A. May.) Bunga	Kadensit, G. s.n. (MJG 027642)	Cultivated at Botanical Garden University Maine, saeds obtained from a nursery	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNass digestion by Delphine Tefarikis at Kaderoit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZero by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	16,820,668	6,783,386	7,402,286
Amarandhas	rae s.1. Butcideae	Paquet	Panilphia	patellaris	(Moq.) A.J. Scott, Fond-Lloyd & J.T. Williams	H. Fraitag 40031 (MJG 013582)	SW Morsees. Living Collection Botanic Garden Maine 58.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNass digostion by Delphine Tefarikis at Kademit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZero by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	20,112,651	12,691,482	6,396,902
Amarandhai	mess Calosioids	Decamar	Duringia	encresholder	(L.) Means	Millonnium Soed Bank 301198 (MJG 023037)	Orig. coll. China, Yunnan. Living Collaction Botanic Gardon Maira 344.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNase digestion by Delphine Tefarkis at Kaderoit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Gatomics Canter Aug 2018	21,713,722	17,238,976	3,668,492
Amarandhai	mess. Calosicids	Hagla	Hermitstandto	e glasce	Reichb. ex Steud.	Millonnium Soed Bank 140498 (MJG 020036)	Orig. coll. South Africa, Cape Provinces. Living Collection Botanic Garden Mainz 318	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNase digestion by Delphine Tefarkis at Kaderoit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Gatomics Canter Aug 2018	21,285,421	16,726,894	3,619,788
Amarandhai	mess. Gouphun	oids Geneel	Gamphrana	celosoides	Mat	Aagesan, L. 41 (SI)	Argentina, Missiones, Leandro N. Alam.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNase digestion by Delphine Tefarkis at Kaderoit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Gatomics Canter Aug 2018	21,432,362	14,924,209	5,402,969
Amarandhai	mess. Gouphun	oids Gomele	Gamphrona	elegens	Mat	R. Norte (MIG 027640)	Argentina, Buenos Aines, Isidro; Caltivada en el vivera de Ribera norte.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNase digestion by Delphine Tefarkis at Kaderoit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Gatomics Canter Aug 2018	21,301,322	14,457,285	5,711,422
Amarandhai	mess. Gouphun	oids Pfaub	254	taberesa	(Spring.) Hickuri	Aagesan, L. 51 (SI)	Argentina, Corrientes, Santo Tomi-	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNase digestion by Delphine Tefarkis at Kaderoit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Gatomics Canter Aug 2018	21,358,353	11,557,356	8,516,444
Amarandhas	mess. Gouphun	oids Quasphe	Quaternella	ephaboidex	Padawan	Millennium Soed Bank 107437 (MJG 023038)	Orig. coll. Brazil, Bahia Palmeiras. Living Collection Botanic Gardan Mainz 270.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNass digostion by Delphine Tefarikis at Kademit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZero by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	21,181,947	11,913,794	8,293,673
									QIAGEN RNassy Plast Mini Kit and QIAGEN RNAss-Free DNAss Sat by Alfonso Timonada at Brockington lab.	KAPA Strandad RNA-Soq Library Proparation Kit (KKH20) with poly-A enrichment by Ning Wang at Smith			
Amarandhai	mess. Gouphun	oids Tisp1013	Tideponia	obiosyphia	(S. Watson) Standl.	CUBG 20160029	Cultivated at Rancho Santa Ana Botanical Garden	Cultivated at Rancho Santa Aza Botanic Ganden Lauf?	Cambridge Sep 2016	Lab Out 13, 2016	26,708,058	20,490,127	1,622,721
Chenopodie	ceae Chenopodi	ioideae Chenbohau	Altere	base-bestice	(L) CA May	Kadenit, G. s.n. (MJG 027647)	Cultivated at Bottanical Garden University Mainz.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNase digestion by Delphine Tefarkis at Kaderoit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Standed Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	28,383,537	13,483,745	5,683,289
							Cultivated at Botanical Garden University of Mainz. Seads obtained from Botanical	Lot					
Chenomedia	one Chenced	ioidear Cherrol	Ownedaw	taductie	L.	Kadmit G v.n. (MJG 027658)	Garden Intobrack (Austria) Living Collection Botanic Garden Mainz 201.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Mainz - flower bu	d OLAGEN RNuev Plan Mini Kit and OLAGEN RNAss-Free DNAss Set by Alfonso Timoneda at Brockinaton lab.	Trafees Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZero by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	21.559.489	11.809.777	7.518.649
Chenomodia	orae Chenored	ioideae Dysamb	Dyschania	ambroxioidex	(L.) Morsakin & Clements	Millennium Sood Rank 57738 (MJG 022990)	Cultivated at the Botanical Garden Mainz, orig. coll. unknown.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Mainz Leaf	OLAGEN RNassy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNase disection by Dubbing Tefarikis at Kadenet Lab Jan 2018	Trafice Standed Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZero by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	21,342,495	14.091.344	5.873.626
							Cultivated at the Botanical Garden Mainz, orig. coll. San Antonio del Ousta, Rio Negro,						
Chenopodie	ceae Salicomici	dua Hateros	Henristachys	obsecont	Spog	Kadenit, G. s.n. (MJG 027635)	Argentina, source soul exchange. Living Collection Botanic Garden Mainz 34.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine Leaf	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit with in-column DNase digestion by Delphine Tefarkis at Kaderoit Lab Jun 2018	TraSeq Standed Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	19,449,519	11,682,378	7,693,278
								Lauf and					
							Cultivated at the Botanical Gardon Mainz, orig. coll: Comodoro Rivadavia, Chubut,	apkal					
Chenopodie	ceae Suandoides	ao Suadivar	Sueeds	descrices	Meq	Unvouchated	Argentine; source soul exchange. Living Collection Botanic Garden Mainz 35.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Mainz mericture	QIAGEN RNassy Plast Mini Kit and QIAGEN RNAss-Free DNAss Sat by Alfonso Timonada at Brockington lab.	TraSeq Standed Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	28,571,773	15,310,442	4,298,598
								Leaf and					
							Cultivated at the Bottenical Garden Mainz, orig. coll: SW Morecco, Marlift ca. 25 km	apical					
Chenopodie	ceae Suandoides	ao Suaifai	Suceda	ghierenic	Caball, ex Mairo	H. Fraitag 40022 (MJG 013577)	behind NE Sidi Illui. Living Collection Butanic Gardan Mainz 205 (MIG 027655).	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Maine merikten	QLAGEN RNussy Plant Mini Kit and QLAGEN RNAse-Free DNAse Set by Alfonso Timonada at Brockington lab.	TraSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZero by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	23,255,093	13,890,141	8,274,304
								Leaf and					
							Cultivated at Botanical Garden Mainer, seeds obtained from Botanical Garden Lisboa	apical					
Chenoredia	ceae Suandoida	as Surveta	Saceda	14152	Foruk ex J.F. Gaul	Kadmit, G. s.n. (MJG/027641)	(Portugal): Living Collection Botanic Garden Mainz 197.	Cubivated at Botanical Garden University Mainz meriotem	OLAGEN RNagey Plast Mini Kit and OLAGEN RNAse-Free DNAse Set by Alfonso Timonada at Brockington lab.	Trafice Stranded Total RNA Library Prop Plant with RiboZaro by U Minnesota Genomics Center Aug 2018	22.667.424	12.662.690	8.652.755

Table S3. Chlor	able S3. Chloroplast references used for plastome assembly and tree inference.									
Family	Genus	Species	Authority name	Notes	Source database	e Source code Souce reference	Souce title			
Aizoaceae	Mesembryanthemum	crystallinum	L.	Used as reference and and tree inference - OUTGROUP	GenBank	NC_029049	Yim, Ha, and Cushman, 2016. Unpublished.			
Amaranthaceae	Amaranthus	hypochondriacus	L.	Used as reference and and tree inference	GenBank	NC_030770 Chaney et al. 2016	The complete chloroplast genome sequences for four Amaranthus species (Amaranthaceae)			
Betoideae	Beta	vulgaris	L.	Used as reference and and tree inference	GenBank	KR230391 Stadermann et al. 2015	SMRT sequencing only de novo assembly of the sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) chloroplast genome			
Caryophyllaceae	Dianthus	caryophyllus	L.	Used as reference and and tree inference - OUTGROUP	GenBank	MG989277 Chen et al. 2018	Structural characteristic and phylogenetic analysis of the complete chloroplast genome of Dianthus caryophyllus.			
Chenopodiaceae	Bienertia	sinuspersici	Akhani	Used as reference and and tree inference	GenBank	KU726550 Kim et al. 2016	The complete chloroplast genome sequence of Bienertia sinuspersici			
Chenopodiaceae	Chenopodium	quinoa	Willd.	Used as reference and and tree inference	GenBank	NC_034949 Hong et al. 2017	Complete Chloroplast Genome Sequences and Comparative Analysis of Chenopodium quinoa and C. album.			
Chenopodiaceae	Haloxylon	persicum	Bunge ex Boiss. & Buhse	Used only as assembly reference	GenBank	NC_027669 Dong et al. 2016	Comparative analysis of the complete chloroplast genome sequences in psammophytic Haloxylon species (Amaranthaceae)			
Chenopodiaceae	Salicornia	europaea	L,	Used only as assembly reference	GenBank	NC_027225	Ho et al., 2015. Unpublished.			
Chenopodiaceae	Spinacia	oleracea	L.	Used as reference and and tree inference	GenBank	NC_002202 Schmitz-Linneweber et al. 2001	The plastid chromosome of spinach (Spinacia oleracea ): complete nucleotide sequence and gene organization			
Chenopodiaceae	Suaeda	malacosperma	Hara	Used only as assembly reference	GenBank	MG813535 Park et al. 2018	The complete plastid genome of Suaeda malacosperma (Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae), a vulnerable halophyte in coastal regions of Korea and Japan			
Montiaceae	Cistanthe	longiscapa	(Barnéoud) Carolin ex Hershk.	Used only as assembly reference	GenBank	NC_035140 Stroll et al. 2017	Development of microsatellite markers and assembly of the plastid genome in Cistanthe longiscapa (Montiaceae) based on low-coverage whole genome sequencing			
Polygonaceae	Fagopyrum	tataricum	(L.) Gaertn.	Used as reference and and tree inference - OUTGROUP	GenBank	NC_027161 Cho et al. 2015	Complete Chloroplast Genome Sequence of Tartary Buckwheat (Fagopyrum tataricum) and Comparative Analysis with Common Buckwheat (F. esculentum).			

Gene name	No. taxa	Alignment length	No. nucleotides	% missing data
accD	74	1452	99245	7.6%
atpA	100	1529	152424	0.3%
atpB	93	1500	136630	2.1%
atpE	81	408	32895	0.5%
atpF	100	555	54953	1.0%
atpH	100	246	24600	0.0%
atpI	100	744	73977	0.6%
ccsA	64	972	61080	1.8%
cemA	93	690	63866	0.5%
<i>clpP</i>	70	588	34555	16.0%
infA	95	234	22196	0.2%
matK	73	1588	102683	11.4%
ndhA	92	1099	95991	5.1%
ndhB	81	777	58130	7.6%
ndhC	98	363	35574	0.0%
ndhD	73	1505	103259	6.0%
ndhE	62	306	18777	1.0%
ndhF	51	2291	99017	15.3%
ndhG	63	531	33326	0.4%
ndhH	91	1182	106082	1.4%
ndhI	76	513	38416	1.5%
ndhJ	95	477	45315	0.0%
ndhK	100	684	67804	0.9%
petA	96	963	91872	0.6%
petB	64	642	41072	0.0%
petD	95	479	45372	0.3%
petG	76	114	8664	0.0%
petL	72	96	6896	0.2%
petN	53	90	4770	0.0%
psaA	101	2254	225017	1.2%
psaB	100	2205	218615	0.9%
psaC	59	246	14514	0.0%
psaI	93	111	10323	0.0%
psaJ	73	135	9844	0.1%
psbA	80	1066	85280	0.0%
psbB	100	1527	151853	0.6%
psbC	103	1435	146143	1.1%
psbD	101	1009	101607	0.3%
psbE	94	252	23688	0.0%
psbF	94	120	11280	0.0%
psbH	77	222	17045	0.3%
psbI	67	111	7417	0.3%
psbJ	94	123	11562	0.0%

**Table S4.** Assembled plastid CDS and alignment stats.

Gene name	No. taxa	Alignment length	No. nucleotides	% missing data
psbK	67	180	12058	0.0%
psbL	94	117	10971	0.2%
psbM	56	105	5880	0.0%
psbN	76	132	10032	0.0%
psbT	98	108	10497	0.8%
psbZ	81	189	15309	0.0%
rbcL	96	1428	134885	1.6%
rpl2	85	825	70105	0.0%
rpl14	95	366	34769	0.0%
rpl16	92	358	32868	0.2%
rpl20	85	387	32821	0.2%
rpl22	88	599	50296	4.6%
rpl23	82	268	21845	0.6%
rpl32 <sup>a</sup>	23	174	3956	1.1%
rpl33	67	201	13402	0.5%
rpl36	99	114	11257	0.3%
rpoA	99	994	97641	0.8%
rpoB	71	3261	176067	24.0%
rpoC1	70	2049	129381	9.8%
rpoC2	74	4267	235989	25.3%
rps2	95	711	66704	1.2%
rps3	92	657	59822	1.0%
rps4	88	606	53206	0.2%
rps7	90	468	42069	0.1%
rps8	95	405	38475	0.0%
rps11	98	417	40855	0.0%
rps12	77	372	21630	24.5%
rps14	96	303	28948	0.5%
rps15	87	273	23680	0.3%
rps16	64	268	16793	2.1%
rps18	74	306	22618	0.1%
rps19	86	279	23640	1.5%
ycf2 <sup>a</sup>	46	6514	258235	13.8%
ycf3	93	515	43410	9.4%
ycf4	96	555	52771	1.0%

<sup>a</sup>Gene excluded from phylogenetic analyses due to low taxon occupancy

Topology	Maximum number of reticulations allowed	Number of inferred reticulations	$\ln(L)$	Parameters	Number of loci	AICc	∆AICc	BIC	<b>∆</b> BIC
Nuclear concatenated	NA	NA	-24486.331	19	4138	49048.847	20589.6635	49130.8939	20546.6229
ASTRAL	NA	NA	-23448.397	19	4138	46972.9794	18513.7959	47055.0262	18470.7552
cpDNA concatenated	NA	NA	-24568.333	19	4138	49212.8503	20753.6668	49294.8971	20710.6261
Network 1	1	1	-21177.791	21	4138	42439.8068	13980.6233	42530.4696	13946.1986
Network 2	2	2	-17275.625	23	4138	34643.5188	6184.33532	34742.7937	6158.52273
Network 3	3	2	-16741.991	23	4138	33576.2506	5117.06715	33675.5256	5091.25455
Network 4	4	3	-15415.8	25	4138	30931.9164	2472.73289	31039.7994	2455.52844
Network 5	5	5	-14171.38	29	4138	28459.1835	0	28584.271	0

Table S5. Model selection between maximum number of reticulations in species networks searches.

**Table S6.** Model selection between quartet tree topologies and species networks. Trees correspond to each of the three possible quartet topologies where H0 is the ASTRAL quartet species tree. Networks correspond to the best three networks for searches with one hybridization event allowed.

Quartet <sup>a</sup>	Topology <sup>b</sup>	ln( <i>L</i> )	Parameters	Number of loci	AICc	▲AICc	BIC	<b>∆</b> BIC
BC1A	H0	-9014.809786	5	8258	18049.62684	24.73436754	18074.71426	14.70279692
	H1	-9072.456373	5	8258	18164.92002	140.0275408	18190.00743	129.9959702
	H2	-9073.888783	5	8258	18167.78484	142.8923611	18192.87225	132.8607905
	Net 1	-8998.43945	7	8258	18024.89248	0	18060.01146	0
	Net 2	-8998.439526	7	8258	18024.89263	0.000151947	18060.01162	0.000151947
	Net 3	-8998.441478	7	8258	18024.89653	0.004056302	18060.01552	0.004056302
ABC2	H0	-8516.854413	5	7811	17053.71651	12.87079823	17078.52527	2.950887757
	H1	-8581.563051	5	7811	17183.13379	142.2880731	17207.94254	132.3681626
	H2	-8582.670875	5	7811	17185.34944	144.5037223	17210.15819	134.5838118
	Net 1	-8506.415681	7	7811	17040.84572	0	17075.57438	0
	Net 2	-8506.415769	7	7811	17040.84589	0.000176519	17075.57456	0.000176519
	Net 3	-8506.42071	7	7811	17040.85577	0.010057548	17075.58444	0.010057548
BC1C2	H0	-9140.191425	5	8385	18300.39001	156.347016	18325.55385	146.2848258
	H1	-9201.981045	5	8385	18423.96925	279.9262567	18449.13309	269.8640665
	H2	-9214.405292	5	8385	18448.81775	304.7747517	18473.98158	294.7125615
	Net 1	-9058.014812	7	8385	18144.04299	0	18179.26902	0
	Net 2	-9058.019338	7	8385	18144.05205	0.009052497	18179.27807	0.009052497
	Net 3	-9058.024046	7	8385	18144.06146	0.018468011	18179.28749	0.018468011
C1PA	HO	-8932.927759	5	8134	17885.8629	0	17910.87456	0
	H1	-8936.145955	5	8134	17892.29929	6.436391285	17917.31095	6.436391285
	H2	-8936.481125	5	8134	17892.96963	7.106730999	17917.98129	7.106730999
	Net 1	-8932.077808	7	8134	17892.1694	6.306498884	17927.18227	16.30771403
	Net 2	-8932.078011	7	8134	17892.16981	6.306905172	17927.18268	16.30812032
	Net 3	-8932.078714	7	8134	17892.17121	6.308310587	17927.18408	16.30952573
PAC2	H0	-8530.661274	5	7784	17081.33026	40.10000797	17106.12168	30.18704595
	H1	-8552.9448	5	7784	17125.89731	84.66706025	17150.68873	74.75409823

	H2	-8548.291438	5	7784	17116.59059	75.36033576	17141.382	65.44737374
	Net 1	-8506.607925	7	7784	17041.23025	0	17075.93463	0
	Net 2	-8506.609795	7	7784	17041.23399	0.00373969	17075.93837	0.00373969
	Net 3	-8506.618966	7	7784	17041.25233	0.02208072	17075.95671	0.02208072
C1C2P	H0	-9119.250871	5	8341	18258.50894	12.50997925	18283.64643	2.458344441
	H1	-9163.685997	5	8341	18347.37919	101.38023	18372.51669	91.32859519
	H2	-9164.83263	5	8341	18349.67246	103.6734974	18374.80995	93.62186263
	Net 1	-9108.992761	7	8341	18245.99896	0	18281.18809	0
	Net 2	-9108.994383	7	8341	18246.00221	0.003244509	18281.19133	0.003244509
	Net 3	-9108.994843	7	8341	18246.00313	0.0041636	18281.19225	0.0041636
C1C2A	H0	-8447.623029	5	7756	16915.2538	63.6063012	16940.02717	53.70057058
	H1	-8520.509174	5	7756	17061.02609	209.378593	17085.79946	199.4728624
	H2	-8522.764578	5	7756	17065.5369	213.889401	17090.31027	203.9836704
	Net 1	-8411.816521	7	7756	16851.6475	0	16886.3266	0
	Net 2	-8411.819912	7	7756	16851.65428	0.006781956	16886.33338	0.006781956
	Net 3	-8411.820308	7	7756	16851.65507	0.007573446	16886.33417	0.007573446
ABP	H0	-9008.115816	5	8206	18036.23895	3.307596079	18061.29474	-6.711300872
	H1	-9015.941176	5	8206	18051.88967	18.95831519	18076.94546	8.939418238
	H2	-9014.738462	5	8206	18049.48424	16.55288764	18074.54003	6.533990688
	Net 1	-9002.458846	7	8206	18032.93135	0	18068.00604	0
	Net 2	-9002.460142	7	8206	18032.93395	0.002592568	18068.00863	0.002592568
	Net 3	-9002.464397	7	8206	18032.94246	0.011102577	18068.01714	0.011102577
C1BP	H0	-9557.910518	5	8793	19135.82787	0	19161.22959	0
	H1	-9661.475396	5	8793	19342.95762	207.1297559	19368.35935	207.1297559
	H2	-9661.009687	5	8793	19342.0262	206.1983365	19367.42793	206.1983365
	Net 1	-9556.24034	7	8793	19140.49343	4.665563813	19176.05266	14.82306554
	Net 2	-9556.243036	7	8793	19140.49882	4.670955519	19176.05805	14.82845724
	Net 3	-9556.246261	7	8793	19140.50527	4.677405326	19176.0645	14.83490705
PBC2	H0	-9158.309463	5	8379	18336.62609	0	18361.78635	0
	H1	-9206.127177	5	8379	18432.26152	95.63542753	18457.42177	95.63542753
	H2	-9205.933131	5	8379	18431.87343	95.24733612	18457.03368	95.24733612

Net 1	-9158.016519	7	8379	18344.04642	7.42032489	18379.26742	17.48107897
Net 2	-9158.017286	7	8379	18344.04795	7.421858749	18379.26896	17.48261282
Net 3	-9158.017377	7	8379	18344.04813	7.422042036	18379.26914	17.48279611

<sup>a</sup>Each quartet is named following the species tree topology, where the first two are sister. A = Amaranthaceae. s.s.(*Amaranthus hypochondriacus*), B = Betoideae (*Beta vulgaris*), C1 = Chenopods I (*Chenopodium quinoa*), C2 = Chenopods II (*Caroxylum vermiculatum*), P = Polycnemoideae (*Polycnemum majus*).

<sup>b</sup>All quartet tree topologies can be found in Figure 5 and quartet network topologies in Figure S9.

Table S7. Gene count based on raw likelihood,  $\Delta$ AIC, and AU topology test. Trees correspond to each of the tree possible quartet topologies<sup>a</sup> where

	Raw bipartitions				Bipartition with bootstrap >= 50				Raw likelihood			∆AIC			AU topology test p-value		
Quartet <sup>b</sup>	Number of loci H0	H1	H2	H1	H2	H0	H0	H1	H2	H0	H1	H2	H0	H1	H2	Eq	uivocal
BC1A	8258	3218	2723	2317	2753	2243	1912	3268	2692	2298	2130	1636	1295	130	98	47	7017
ABC2	7811	3083	2521	2207	2666	2100	1804	3108	2502	2201	2085	1415	1252	204	68	47	6605
BC1C2	8385	3319	2988	2078	2819	2516	1682	3365	2969	2051	2228	1817	1133	204	127	49	6945
C1PA	8134	2819	2705	2610	2407	2241	2173	2850	2684	2600	1822	1619	1592	95	80	98	6925
PAC2	7784	2866	2216	2702	2444	1837	2286	2896	2210	2678	1876	1255	1605	120	67	96	6603
C1C2P	8341	3190	2738	2413	2741	2346	1982	3232	2720	2389	2091	1655	1378	173	97	88	6935
C1C2A	7756	3095	2619	2042	2679	2193	1670	3132	2588	2036	2054	1624	1136	153	107	53	6466
ABP	8206	2897	2532	2777	2444	2061	2320	2932	2526	2748	1859	1434	1583	134	56	52	6697
C1BP	8793	3573	2544	2676	3085	2070	2212	3609	2542	2642	2397	1433	1555	162	55	71	7429
PBC2	8379	3216	2554	2609	2764	2073	2160	3257	2527	2595	2136	1454	1523	165	68	90	7062

\*All topologies can be found in Figure 5. \*Each quartet is named following the species tree topology, where the first two are sister. A = Amaranthaceae. s.s. (Amaranthus hypochondriacus), B = Betoideae (Beta vulgaris), C1 = Chenopods I (Chenopodium quinoa), C2 = Chenopods II (Caroxylum vermiculatum), P = Polycnemuideae (Polycnemum majus).

	_	Alignment length		Gap co	ntent	GC content		
Quartet <sup>a</sup>	Sample size	R2	p-value	R2	p-value	R2	p-value	
BC1A	8258	0.001147	0.002079	0.002228	1.78E-05	0.001008	0.003914	
ABC2	7811	3.76E-05	0.5882	0.001275	0.001599	0.0006525	0.02397	
BC1C2	8385	0.001113	0.002251	0.002348	9.02E-06	1.46E-04	0.2695	
C1PA	8134	1.95E-04	0.2083	0.003885	1.85E-08	0.001725	0.0001788	
PAC2	7784	2.06E-03	6.26E-05	0.008132	1.57E-15	0.001579	0.0004539	
C1C2P	8341	0.002681	2.23E-06	0.005697	5.12E-12	0.0006883	0.01657	
C1C2A	7756	0.0007874	1.35E-02	0.005023	4.14E-10	0.001103	0.003436	
ABP	8206	0.0001394	0.2849	0.0008305	0.009037	0.0003169	0.1068	
C1BP	8793	0.001477	0.0003123	0.003134	1.50E-07	0.001401	0.0004478	
PBC2	8379	0.000225	0.1698	0.003343	1.18E-07	0.0005339	0.03442	

**Table S8.** Linear model results for correlation between quartet Tree consistency (TC) scores and alignment

<sup>a</sup>Each quartet is named following the species tree topology, where the first two are sister. A = Amaranthaceae. s.s. (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus*), B = Betoideae (*Beta vulgaris*), C1 = Chenopods I (*Chenopodium quinoa*), C2 = Chenopods II (*Caroxylum vermiculatum*), P = Polycnemoideae (*Polycnemum majus*).

Quartet (H0) <sup>a</sup>	Number of loci	Sites in alignment	ABBA	BABA	Raw D-statistic	Z-score	<b>P-value</b>	Introgression direction
BC1A <sup>b</sup>	8258	12778649	287226	254617	0.06018164	41.1085	$\leq 0.001$	A⇔C1
ABC2	7811	12105324	252772	376755	-0.1969463	124.4161	$\leq 0.001$	A⇔C2
BC1C2	8385	13192317	306570	258349	0.08535914	54.59751	$\leq 0.001$	C1⇔C2
C1PA <sup>b</sup>	8134	12635201	342350	286813	0.08827124	64.62297	$\leq 0.001$	A⇔P
PAC2	7784	12049734	344726	405627	-0.08116313	42.88069	$\leq 0.001$	C2⇔P
C1C2P <sup>b</sup>	8341	13127397	445384	276652	0.2336892	136.0151	$\leq 0.001$	C2⇔P
C1C2A <sup>b</sup>	7756	12114778	396219	292561	0.1504951	101.3243	$\leq 0.001$	A⇔C2
ABP	8206	12622625	276319	312060	-0.06074486	36.64264	$\leq 0.001$	A⇔P
C1BP <sup>b</sup>	8793	13712853	273286	261620	0.02180944	18.08364	$\leq 0.001$	B⇔P
PBC2	8379	13074019	217549	415616	-0.3128205	196.8972	$\leq 0.001$	C2⇔P

**Table S9.** ABBA/BABA test results of Amaranthaceae s.l. five main groups quartets.

<sup>a</sup>Each quartet is named following the species tree topology, where the first two are sister. A = Amaranthaceae. s.s. (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus*), B = Betoideae (*Beta vulgaris*), C1 = Chenopods I (*Chenopodium quinoa*), C2 = Chenopods II (*Caroxylum vermiculatum*), P = Polycnemoideae (*Polycnemum majus*). H0 topologies can be found in Figure 6

<sup>b</sup>Quartet compatible with the complete 105-taxon species trees

**Table S10.** Anomaly zone limit calculations in 11-taxon species trees. Bold rows show pair of internodes in the anomaly zone when y < a(x).

Clade (x) <sup>b</sup>	Clade (y) <sup>b</sup>	X	у	a(x)
(C1, C2)	(C1)	0.1467	2.722	0.1799
(C1, C2)	(C2)	0.1467	2.1102	0.1799
((C1, C2), B)	(C1, C2)	0.1045	0.1467	0.3084
((C1, C2), B)	(B)	0.1045	2.6081	0.3084
(((C1, C2), B), P)	((C1, C2), B)	0.0846	0.1045	0.4003
(((C1, C2), B), P)	(P)	0.0846	3.5424	0.4003
	Clade (x) <sup>b</sup> (C1, C2) (C1, C2) ((C1, C2), B) ((C1, C2), B) (((C1, C2), B), P) (((C1, C2), B), P)	Clade $(x)^b$ Clade $(y)^b$ $(C1, C2)$ $(C1)$ $(C1, C2)$ $(C2)$ $((C1, C2), B)$ $(C1, C2)$ $((C1, C2), B)$ $(B)$ $(((C1, C2), B), P)$ $((C1, C2), B)$ $(((C1, C2), B), P)$ $(P)$	Clade (x)bClade (y)bx(C1, C2)(C1)0.1467(C1, C2)(C2)0.1467((C1, C2), B)(C1, C2)0.1045((C1, C2), B)(B)0.1045(((C1, C2), B), P)((C1, C2), B)0.0846(((C1, C2), B), P)(P)0.0846	Clade (x) <sup>b</sup> Clade (y) <sup>b</sup> xy(C1, C2)(C1)0.14672.722(C1, C2)(C2)0.14672.1102((C1, C2), B)(C1, C2)0.10450.1467((C1, C2), B)(B)0.10452.6081(((C1, C2), B), P)((C1, C2), B)0.08460.1045(((C1, C2), B), P)(P)0.08463.5424

<sup>a</sup>Species tree topology can be found in Figure 6.

.

<sup>b</sup> B = Betoideae (*Beta vulgaris*), C1 = Chenopods I (*Chenopodium quinoa*), C2 = Chenopods II (*Caroxylum vermiculatum*), P = Polycnemoideae (*Polycnemum majus*).