Supplementary Table S4

Summary of experimental details for each of the comparisons presented in this work

Figure	Biological samples compared	Replicates	Method and lysis buffer
1	Organism: CHO cells	1 replicate	FASP (6 M GdCl, 50 mM Tris pH 8, 10mM DTT, Amicon Ultra 0.5mL
	Supernatant from bioreactor at day 13 of fed		Centrifugal Filters 10 kDa cut-off)
	batch, media was CD CHO + EfficientFeed		
	B + Vitamin K + 1g/L Pluronic F68		
2	Organism: CHO cells	2 technical	FASP (6 M GdCl, 50 mM Tris pH 8, 10mM DTT, Amicon Ultra 0.5mL
	Supernatant from bioreactor at day 12 of fed	replicates	Centrifugal Filters 30 kDa cut-off)
	batch, media was CD CHO + EfficientFeed		SP3 (1% SDS, 50 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 10 mM DTT, 1X Roche PICs)
	B + Vitamin K + 1g/L Pluronic F68		Precipitation (6 M GdCl, 50 mM Tris pH 8, 10mM DTT)
			S-Trap (5% SDS, 50 mM Tris pH 7.55, 10 mM DTT)
3	Organism: Saccharomyces cerevisiae	2 technical	SP3 (1% SDS, 50 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 10 mM DTT, 1X Roche PICs)
	Whole cell extract	replicates	Precipitation (1% SDS, 50 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 10 mM DTT, 1X Roche PICs)
			S-Trap (5% SDS, 50 mM Tris pH 7.55, 10 mM DTT)