Lipid metabolic stress in development defines which genetically-susceptible DYT-TOR1A mice develop disease
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Summary

There has been enormous progress defining the genetic landscape of disease. However, genotypes rarely fully predict neurological phenotypes, and we rarely understand why. TOR1A +/Δgag that causes dystonia with ~30% penetrance is a classic case. Here we show, in inbred mice, that +/Δgag affects embryonic brain lipid metabolism with sex-skewed reduced penetrance. Penetration is affected by environmental context, including maternal diet. The lipid metabolic defect resolves during post-natal development. Nevertheless, we discover dystonia-like symptoms in ~30% of juvenile female Tor1a+/Δgag mice, and prevent these symptoms by genetically suppressing abnormal lipid metabolism. We conclude that Tor1a+/Δgag embryos poorly buffer metabolic stress in utero, resulting in a period of abnormal metabolism that hardwires the brain for dystonia in later life. The data show unexpected and profound impacts of sex, and thus highlight the importance of examining male and female animal models of disease.
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Significance Statement (120 words)

The genetic landscape of neurological disease is relatively well mapped. However, we typically cannot explain why some mutations only cause disease in a subset of individuals. A classic case is DYT-TOR1A dystonia that only develops in 30% of
TOR1A<sup>+/Δgag</sup> carriers. We now find that ~30% of inbred female Tor1a<sup>+/Δgag</sup> mice develop abnormal brain lipid metabolism as embryos, while males are spared. The percentage is affected by maternal diet. Further, this period of abnormal lipid metabolism causes dystonia-like symptoms in juvenile mice. These data show how an environmentally-sensitive event of development defines which genetically-susceptible individuals develop disease in later life. They also highlight the importance of examining male and female animal models of disease.
Introduction

Neurogenetics has associated hundreds of mutations with neurological disease. However, genotype does not always predict phenotype (Cooper et al., 2013). This includes the phenomenon of reduced penetrance where a “causative” mutation produces symptoms in some individuals, while others escape disease. It is thought this arises when genetic and/or environmental modifiers suppress or enhance pathological process(es) triggered by the mutation. There is also the hypothesis that susceptibility or resistance to in utero stresses is key for some disorders. This “developmental origins of health and disease” hypothesis has strong support from human epidemiology (Gluckman et al., 2010). It also applies to complex conditions like autism or schizophrenia that have a major genetic component but, for poorly defined reasons, even monozygotic siblings variably develop symptoms (Kim and Leventhal, 2015; Nestler et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2004). A major challenge is the lack of experimental systems that can explicitly test these concepts.

The dominant childhood-onset movement disorder DYT-TOR1A dystonia (OMIM #128100) is a classic reduced penetrance disease. It is characterized by involuntary twisting movements and abnormal postures that appear at a median age of 8-11 years in 30-40% of individuals carrying a 3-base pair deletion in TOR1A (∆gag) (Ozelius and Lubarr, 1993). There is no clear answer for why only some TOR1A+/∆gag individuals develop dystonia (Martino et al., 2013). A protective polymorphism exists in TOR1A, but is too rare to explain penetrance at the population level (Frederic et al., 2009; Kamm et al., 2008). The frequency of DYT-TOR1A dystonia is also similar between ethnicities and geographical regions (Kramer et al., 1994; Yang et al., 2009). The disease is incurable, and its underlying neurobiology remains mysterious, including that there is no overt neuropathology that explains symptoms.
Information on the molecular function of TOR1A / TorsinA provides an alternative route to understand DYT-TOR1A dystonia. Torsin proteins have emerged as critical regulators of cellular lipid metabolism. They especially affect phosphatidic acid (PA) metabolism to diacylglycerol (DAG) mediated by the Lipin family of enzymes (Figure 1A). PA and DAG are signaling lipids that activate a number of pathways (Wang et al., 2006; Young et al., 2010). They impact membrane dynamics (Zhukovsky et al., 2019) and are precursors for competing lipid metabolic pathways (Craddock et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2020). The link to Torsin includes that fly dTorsin regulates PA and DAG levels, and dTorsinKO larvae have excess triglyceride and lipid droplet accumulation (Grillet et al., 2016). Tor1a deletion from mouse liver also causes a fatty liver phenotype of excess triglycerides and lipid droplets (Shin et al., 2019), and the brains of Tor1a knock-out mice have ~3-fold higher Lipin-mediated PA to DAG conversion (Cascalho et al., 2020). Moreover, the molecular function is conserved in humans: iPSC-derived neurons from TOR1A+/Δgag dystonia patients have ~1.5-fold higher Lipin-mediated PA to DAG conversion (Cascalho et al., 2020).

It has been challenging to address if lipid metabolism contributes to DYT-TOR1A dystonia because +/Δgag does not appear to induce disease in experimental models (Tanabe et al., 2012; Ulug et al., 2011). Nevertheless, it is intriguing to consider the many environmental factors that regulate lipid metabolism and might mitigate or amplify the impact of TOR1A+/Δgag. This includes that the Lipin1 enzyme is directly phosphorylated and inhibited by mTORC1 (Huffman et al., 2002; MacVicar et al., 2019; Peterson et al., 2011; Romani et al., 2019). We therefore examine the relationship between +/Δgag and lipid metabolism, as well as addressing the reduced penetrance of DYT-TOR1A dystonia.
Results

+iΔgag affects brain Lipin activity with sex-skewed reduced penetrance

We measured Lipin activity via the production of fluorescent nitrobenzoxadiazole-DAG (NBD-DAG) from NBD-PA added to brain homogenates (Supplemental Figure 1A). We examined embryonic day 18.5 (E18.5) Tor1a+/+ and Tor1a+/iΔgag brains, which is the developmental stage when Tor1a knock-out mice have highly elevated Lipin activity (Cascalho et al., 2020). Lipin-mediated DAG production was similar between individual Tor1a+/+ embryos, and these values were normally distributed (Figure 1B). Surprisingly, mean Lipin activity only mildly differed between Tor1a+/+ and Tor1a+/iΔgag (p = 0.03, Kolmogorov-Smirnov non-parametric test).

However, more strikingly, values from Tor1a+/iΔgag embryos were not normally distributed, and ~16% had higher Lipin activity than any Tor1a+/+ embryo (Figure 1B).

The Tor1a+/iΔgag mouse has been backcrossed with C57BL/6/J for > 20 generations, and genetic SNP analysis of six Tor1a+/iΔgag animals defined these as 99.9% C57BL/6. Thus, there is no obvious genetic explanation for variability between individual animals. We validated that varied DAG accumulation indeed represented variable Lipin activity. The assay was linear between 0.25 and 3-fold of the activity in Tor1a+/+ homogenates (Supplemental Figure 1B), and it detected a dose-dependent reduction between Lipin1+/+ Lipin1−/− and Lipin1−/− brains (Supplemental Figure 1C).

Lipid Phosphate Phosphatase (LPP) enzymes perform PA to DAG conversion. LPP activity was similar between homogenates of Tor1a+/+ and Tor1a+/iΔgag brains (Figure 1C). We directly compared PA to DAG metabolic reactions of several Tor1a+/+ and Tor1a+/iΔgag brains. This re-confirmed the Tor1a+/iΔgag group had variable magnesium-dependent PA to DAG conversion (Lipin; Figure 1D left columns), normal magnesium-independent conversion (LPP; Figure 1D middle columns), and normal DAG kinase...
conversion of NBD-DAG to NBD-PA (Figure 1D, right columns). We therefore conclude that variable DAG accumulation derives from variable Lipin activity.

Genetics and biochemistry show that Δgag inhibits Tor1a (Demircioglu et al., 2016; Goodchild et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2013). We turned to Tor1a knock-out mice as an independently generated and inbred line to further examine the effect of Tor1a genotype on lipid metabolism. Individual Tor1a^{+/-} embryos from Tor1a^{+/-} crosses had normally distributed Lipin activity (Figure 1E). In contrast, the Tor1a^{+/-} population was a) not normally distributed, and b) contained a subpopulation that had higher brain Lipin activity than all Tor1a^{+/-} littermates (Figure 1E). Tor1a^{Δgag/Δgag} and Tor1a^{+/-} die as neonates concomitant with mean brain Lipin activity > 3-fold above normal (Figure 1F; (Cascalho et al., 2020)). We used the lowest values from homozygous Tor1a mice to define “pathogenic” Lipin activity. This was ~1.5 fold above the wild-type mean. 3% of Tor1a^{+/-} and 16% of Tor1a^{Δgag} embryos had Lipin activity at or above this level.

We sought factors that explained the variation of heterozygous Tor1a embryos. TorsinA and homologous TorsinB proteins were similar between brains with different Lipin activity (Supplemental Figure 2A). There was no relationship between Lipin activity and Lipin1 and Lipin2 gene expression (Supplemental Figure 2B – C), nor for genes that regulate Lipins or Torsins (Supplemental Figure 2D - G). We compared genome-wide RNAseq profiles between Tor1a^{+/-} and Tor1a^{Δgag} brains, as well as between a Tor1a^{Δgag} group with low Lipin activity and a Tor1a^{+/-} group with high Lipin activity (Supplemental Figure 2H). A low-stringency 20% false discovery rate (FDR) defined ~600 differentially expressed genes between Tor1a^{+/-} and Tor1a^{Δgag} (Figure 1G; Supplemental TABLE 1). However, no genes were differentially expressed between Tor1a^{Δgag} groups with different Lipin phenotypes (Figure 1H).
The consistent gene expression profiles again argue against a genetic origin for the phenotypic variability. We therefore considered factors that drive variability. Tor1a+/-Dgag embryos with normal and high Lipin activity coexisted in litters, and there was no clear relationship with litter size (Supplemental Figure 3A). Lipin activity was similarly variable for Tor1a+/-Dgag embryos derived from Tor1a+/-Dgag or wild-type C57BL/6J dams. We considered the role of sex. There was no difference in brain Lipin activity between male and female Tor1a+/+ embryos. However, sex strongly influenced Lipin activity in the Tor1a+/-Dgag population. Female Tor1a+/-Dgag brains had higher Lipin activity than male (Figure 1I). Further, all but one of the Tor1a+/-Dgag embryos with “pathogenic” Lipin hyperactivity were female. This amounted to 35% of female Tor1a+/-Dgag embryos (Figure 1I). We also examined the effect of sex on Tor1a+/- embryos. Again, female Tor1a+/- had higher brain Lipin activity than male. Further, the entire Tor1a+/- subpopulation with higher than normal values were female (Figure 1J).

**Environment defines whether +/-Dgag deregulates lipid metabolism**

Sex influences development via X- and Y-chromosome gene expression in the embryo, as well as in the extra-embryonic placenta that, in turn, controls embryo exposure to nutrients and stresses (Bale, 2016; McNairn et al., 2019; Werner et al., 2017). Male testosterone signaling also commences *in utero*, where it variably affects female littermates depending on their intrauterine proximity to males (vom Saal and Bronson, 1980). We measured Lipin activity in E13.5 embryonic brains when there is minimal testosterone production (O'Shaughnessy et al., 1998). This again identified a proportion of female Tor1a+/-Dgag with excess brain Lipin activity while males were unaffected (Figure 2A), pointing away from a sex hormone explanation.
We asked whether Tor1a^+^Gag acts with reduced penetrance under standardized in vitro conditions. We prepared mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) lines from female Tor1a^+/-^ and Tor1a^+^Gag that had varied brain Lipin activity (Figure 2A). MEFs co-express TorsinB with TorsinA, which protects against Tor1a mutations (Cascalho et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2010). Consistently, baseline Lipin activity was similar across all MEF lines (Figure 2B, left bars). We induced a similar degree of Tor1b knockdown across the lines (Supplemental data Figure 3B). This consistently increased Lipin activity in the Tor1a^+^Gag lines, showing that in vivo variability of Tor1a^+^Gag is not maintained in vitro (Figure 2B, right bars). We examined an allelic series of Tor1a^+/-^, Tor1a^+^Gag, and Tor1a^Gag/Gag MEFs. This defined the ~1.3-fold Lipin hyperactivity of Tor1a^+^Gag lines at approximately half way between Tor1a^+/-^ and Tor1a^Gag/Gag (Figure 2C; Supplemental data Figure 3C). We therefore conclude that +/Gag is a haploinsufficient allele in a standard in vitro cell culture context.

We therefore considered whether Tor1a^+^Gag acts with reduced penetrance in utero because different embryos experience different environments. For this we compared brain Lipin activity of Tor1a^+/-^ and Tor1a^+^Gag embryos derived from dams fed a normal diet of 25% protein, versus dams fed an isocaloric low protein diet (8% protein). Maternal diet had no effect on brain Lipin activity of female or male Tor1a^+/-^ embryos, or male Tor1a^+^Gag embryos (Figure 2D & E). However, female Tor1a^+^Gag embryos from dams with low protein diet had significantly higher mean Lipin activity compared to those from dams on a normal diet. This amounted to double the number of female Tor1a^+^Gag embryos with “pathological” brain Lipin activity (Figure 2E). We conclude that in utero environment modifies whether +/Gag induces abnormal brain lipid metabolism.
Dystonia-like symptoms in ~30% of female Tor1a+Δgag mice

+Δgag induction of lipid metabolic defects is the first molecular event that occurs with reduced penetrance like DYT-TOR1A dystonia symptoms. We now examined whether it relates to disease. Although in vitro culturing of mouse cells poorly modeled the in vivo variability, we nevertheless examined human fibroblast lines from controls, a non-symptomatic TOR1A+Δgag individual, and three symptomatic TOR1A+Δgag patients (Supplemental Figure 4A). Baseline Lipin activity varied between lines without a clear correlation with genotype or symptomatic status. All TOR1A+Δgag lines had elevated TOR1B expression (Supplemental Figure 4B & C) that, when removed, led to similarly increased Lipin activity (Supplemental Figure 4D & E). Thus, it does not appear that in vitro cultured human cells can address the role of Lipin in disease.

We returned to Tor1a+Δgag mice. Previous profiling has suggested they lack overt dystonia-like symptoms (Tanabe et al., 2012; Ulug et al., 2011). However, studies focused on males. We now examined a sufficiently large cohort to detect behavioral defects of reduced penetrance and/or a role of sex. There was no growth difference between Tor1a+Δ+ and Tor1a+Δgag mice of either sex (Figure 3A & B), or the age they achieved a battery of sensory-motor reflexes, skills, and developmental milestones (Supplemental Figure 5). The diagnosis of DYT-TOR1A dystonia in humans is made from qualitative neurological assessment (Bressman et al., 2000).

We reviewed movement, posture and gait of juvenile P21 Tor1a+Δgag mice, considering that DYT-TOR1A dystonia commonly presents as abnormal limb movements in childhood or adolescence (Bressman et al., 1994). Pairs of trained observers scored < 5% of Tor1a+Δ+ animals as abnormal. In contrast, this rose to 23% of Tor1a+Δgag (Figure 3C). All abnormal Tor1a+Δgag animals were female. This amounted to ~35% of females (Figure 3D), thus closely paralleling the sex and percentage of Tor1a+Δgag
embryos with abnormal lipid metabolism. Video review identified two components to the motor dysfunction: (1) out-turned hind paws during ambulation (Figure 3E center panel) and, (2) abnormal hindlimb extension reminiscent of human dystonia (Figure 3E lower panel. Supplemental videos 1 - 2). Quantification of hind paw angles found a subset of female $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}}$ animals with wider mean paw angle than any female $Tor1a^{+/+}$ (Supplemental Figure 6A & B). We compared the qualitative and quantitative analyses, which confirmed they identified the same $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}}$ females. No other $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}}$ females had abnormal values, suggesting that qualitative assessment detected all abnormal animals (Supplemental Figure 6B & C). We therefore conclude that overt neurological disease resembling dystonia occurs in $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}}$ mice with sex-skewed reduced penetrance.

**Lipin hyperactivity in development causes dystonia-like symptoms**

We compared Lipin activity in brains of P21 female mice, but there was no difference between $Tor1a^{+/+}$ and $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}}$ (Figure 4A). This is consistent with other reports that $Tor1a$ mutations act most strongly in development (Tanabe et al., 2016), including that complete TorsinA loss no longer affects Lipin once mice reach 3-weeks (inset Figure 4B (Cascalho et al., 2020)). We assessed the penetrance that $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}}$ affects Lipin across development. 20-35% of female $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}}$ animals aged E13.5 to P14 had abnormally high Lipin activity, but the degree of hyperactivity peaked at E18.5 and reduced as animals matured (Figure 4B).

We tested whether this period of Lipin hyperactivity was responsible for the motor dysfunction in P21 mice. We interbred $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}}$ and $\text{Lipin1}^{+/+}$ and collected embryos at E18.5. $\text{Lipin1}^{+/+}$ brains had ~65% of normal Lipin activity. We compared $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}};\text{Lipin1}^{+/+}$ and $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}};\text{Lipin1}^{+/+}$. This showed that all $Tor1a^{+/\text{Tagag}};\text{Lipin1}^{+/+}$
embryos had Lipin activity within the normal range (Figure 4C). We then examined motor function of a large cohort of P21 male and female mice. There was no difference between males (Figure 4D). We found significantly more female \( Tor1a^{+/-\text{gag}}:\text{Lipin1}^{+/+} \) with gait defects than \( Tor1a^{+/-}:\text{Lipin1}^{+/+} \) (Figure 4E). This amounted to 31% of female \( Tor1a^{+/-\text{gag}}:\text{Lipin1}^{+/+} \) mice, tightly replicating the 35% of the first cohort. Gait defects were significantly affected by \( \text{Lipin1} \) genotype. Fewer female \( Tor1a^{+/-\text{gag}}:\text{Lipin1}^{+/-} \) had gait defects than \( Tor1a^{+/-\text{gag}}:\text{Lipin1}^{+/+} \), and in fact this was no different to the baseline recorded for \( Tor1a^{+/-\text{gag}}:\text{Lipin1}^{+/-} \) controls (Figure 4E).

Discussion

DYT-TOR1A dystonia has been recognized for more than twenty years. The disease has remained mysterious in terms of its molecular etiology, as well as its reduced penetrance; at least in part because \(+/-\text{gag}\) did not appear to cause disease in animal models. Here we find previously undetected dystonia-like symptoms in \( Tor1a^{+/-\text{gag}} \) mice. They develop in a subset of animals, thus mimicking the reduced penetrance of human DYT-TOR1A dystonia. Further, we show that a transient period of abnormal lipid metabolism during brain development defines which \( Tor1a^{+/-\text{gag}} \) mice develop symptoms. These data therefore present a concrete example where an insult during development defines which genetica-susceptible individuals have disease in later life.

There is remarkable variability between inbred \( Tor1a^{+/-\text{gag}} \) and \( Tor1a^{+/-} \) mice. Their inbred nature strongly suggests this is driven by variation in developmental processes and/ or in utero environment, rather than genetics. This is further supported by the fact that \( Tor1a^{+/-\text{gag}} \) is haploinsufficient in vitro, as well as the impact of maternal...
We do not solve the root cause why Lipin de-regulation only occurs in some embryos. The data best fit a model where Tor1a^{+/Δgag} embryos are compromised and unable to buffer Lipin activity if challenged by a second “hit”. This second hit preferentially affects female embryos, and thus female sex is a risk factor but does not invariably encode metabolic defects. The second hit is also more likely under nutrient stress. Indeed, the general principle of a second hit is illustrated by Tor1a^{+/Δgag} fibroblasts maintaining normal Lipin activity until additionally compromised by TOR1B/Tor1b depletion.

It is clear that Tor1b is not the second hit driver of Lipin hyperactivity during Tor1a^{+/Δgag} brain development. Moreover, genome-wide RNAseq shows remarkably stable gene transcription between affected and unaffected animals. This suggests the second hit acts on Lipin by a post-translational mechanism; in fact consistent with the complex post-translational regulation of Lipin enzymes (Eaton et al., 2013; Harris et al., 2007; Hsieh et al., 2015; Li et al., 2018; Liu and Gerace, 2009). Additional work is needed to dissect which pathways regulate Lipin during brain development, how they are affected by developmental events and stresses, and their relationship to sex. However, it is intriguing to consider that low protein diet increases the number of affected Tor1a^{+/Δgag} embryos. Low protein diet also suppresses mTOR signaling which, in turn, dis-inhibits mTORC1 regulation of Lipin1 (Lamming et al., 2015; Peterson et al., 2011).

It is surprising that +/Δgag preferentially causes a movement disorder in female mice, but similarly affects male and female humans (Martino et al., 2013). This difference arises in utero suggesting it relates to pregnancy differences between species; potentially the multiple pregnancies of mice where male and female embryos differentially compete for resources (Bale, 2016). Nevertheless, the sex skewing of the
movement disorder in mice is intriguing because many forms of dystonia preferentially occur in female children and women. GCH1 mutations are two to four times more likely to cause Dopa-Responsive Dystonia in female children (Furukawa et al., 1998; Wijeratne and Jankovic, 2015). This form of dystonia also arises before puberty, thus pointing to female sex rather than societal factors as a risk factor for dystonia.

These data caution against the practice of focusing on male rodents. This remains prevalent, including that in 2016 and 2017, 75% of drug studies only studied male mouse behavior (Hughes, 2019). This bias is detrimental for women’s health (Beery and Zucker, 2011). Additionally, here we show a surprising example where sex more strongly influences pathophysiology in mice than men. It took a comprehensive analysis of male and female Tor1a+/−/agg mice to identify that females are an exceptionally accurate animal model for a disease that had been considered as challenging to mimic. This finding already showed that Lipin hyperactivity has a key role in disease pathogenesis. Moreover, it provides dystonia research with a powerful tool to further define disease etiology and thus drive novel therapeutic interventions.
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Figure 1. Tor1a+/Δgag embryos display excess brain Lipin activity with sex-skewed reduced penetrance.

A) Lipin, LPP and DAG kinases interconvert PA and DAG.

B) Lipin activity in brain homogenates from individual E18.5 embryos. ††† indicates significantly non-normal distribution (p < 0.001, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). Data is presented relative to the Tor1a+/+ mean.
C) LPP activity in E18.5 brain homogenates.
D) Lipin, LPP and DAG Kinase (DGK) activities in E18.5 brain homogenates. Bars show mean +/- SD.
E & F) as (B); †† indicates significantly non-normal distribution (p < 0.01, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). Dotted line shows the lowest level of Lipin activity in the brain of homozygous Tor1a mutant embryos.
G & H) Volcano plots show multiple T-Test comparisons of FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads) values of (G) Tor1a+/+ versus Tor1a+/Δgag (17777 genes) and (H) Tor1a+/Δgag embryos with low or elevated Lipin activity (17776 genes). Dotted lines highlight 20% FDR. Percentage values are the mean Lipin activity of each group compared to Tor1a+/+. “Down” and “up” refer to the number of differentially expressed genes according to a 20% FDR.
I & J) Lipin activity in E18.5 brain homogenates: “m” male, “f” female. Dotted line defines the lowest value of Lipin activity in brain homogenates from homozygous Tor1a mutant embryos. (I) Two-Way ANOVA detects a significant effect of sex (p = 0.003), and interaction between sex and Tor1a genotype (p = 0.0006). (J) Two-Way ANOVA detects a significant effect of sex (p = 0.02), and interaction between sex and Tor1a genotype (p = 0.001).
Figure 2. Environment modifies whether Tor1a^{+/Δagag} affects lipid metabolism

A) Lipin activity in E13.5 brain homogenates. Circles show females, squares show males. Shaded zone shows the highest and lowest values from the Tor1a^{+/+} population.

B) Lipin activity of MEFs derived from the embryos indicated in (A), and electroporated with empty or Tor1b shRNA vectors. Bars show the mean +/- SEM from duplicate electroporations presented relative to the mean of Tor1a^{+/+} with empty vector. Two-way ANOVA detects a significant effect of Tor1a genotype (p < 0.0001), Tor1b...
knockdown (p < 0.0001), and interaction between Tor1a and Tor1b (p = 0.0002). There
is no difference between the four Tor1a+/Δgag cultures (p = 0.55).

C) Lipin activity in MEF homogenates electroporated with empty or Tor1b shRNA vectors. Two-way ANOVA detects significant effect of Tor1a genotype, Tor1b knockdown, and interaction between Tor1a genotype and Tor1b knockdown (p < 0.0001). **** indicates significant difference compared to Tor1a+/+. Values show the % increase in Lipin activity compared to Tor1a+/+.

D & E) Lipin activity in E18.5 brain homogenates. Dotted line and percentages refer to the number of animals with Lipin activity in the range defined by homozygous Tor1a mutants. (E) Two-Way ANOVA detects a significant effect of Tor1a genotype (p < 0.0001), maternal nutrition (p = 0.01), and interaction between Tor1a genotype and maternal nutrition (p = 0.02).
Figure 3. A dystonia-like movement disorder in ~30% of female Tor1a^{+/Δgag} mice

A & B) Tor1a^{+/Δgag} has no effect on birthweight or growth.

C & D) P21 female Tor1a^{+/Δgag} mice more frequently show gait defects than Tor1a^{+/+}.

* indicates a significant difference. Two-tailed Chi square test.

E) Images of normal animal gait (upper panel), a female Tor1a^{+/Δgag} mouse with an
out-turned hind paw (middle), and a female Tor1a^{+/Δgag} with abnormal hindlimb

extension (lower).
Figure 4. Lipin hyperactivity in development causes the movement disorder of P21 Tor1a^{+/Δgag} mice

A) Lipin activity in P21 female brain homogenates after behavioral testing shown in Figure 3.

B) Lipin activity in female brain homogenates. The grey zone shows the range recorded for the Tor1a^{+/+} population, and numbers refer to the % of embryos with activity above this range. Inset shows Lipin activity of biallelic Tor1a^{KO/Δgag} mice, as first reported in (Cascalho et al., 2020).
C) Lipin activity in E18.5 brain homogenates. Two-way ANOVA detects significant (p < 0.0001) effect of *Tor1a* and *Lipin1* genotypes.

D & E) Frequency of gait defects in P21 mice. * indicates significant difference. Two-tailed Chi square test.
Methods

Mouse lines, husbandry, and tissue collection

The Tor1a Δgag and KO alleles are previously described (Goodchild et al., 2005) and have been backcrossed more than 20-times onto the C57BL/6J background. The Lipin1 KO allele was acquired from Jackson Mice (Peterfy et al., 2001) and was crossed at least 5 times onto the C57BL/6J background. Biochemical and mRNA measurements were performed on tissues collected from animals derived from crossing Tor1aΔgag males with C57BL/6J females. Breeding females were checked daily for mating based on the presence of a vaginal plug. They were then single housed with ad lib access to water and Ssniff® M-Z pellets or Ssniff®EF R/M Protein Deficient pellets for nutritional challenge experiments (Spezialdiäten GmbH). TransnetYX performed genotyping for Tor1a, Lipin1 and the Y-chromosome, as well as the SNP analysis of genetic background.

The day a vaginal plug was detected is considered as E0.5. Embryos were collected from pregnant females after they were euthanized by cervical dislocation. Days of post-natal development were defined after assigning the day of birth as P0. Postnatal animals were permanently identified by tattooing. Tissues used in biochemistry and mRNA analyses were collected from embryos and animals aged P0-P14 after they were euthanized by decapitation, or by cervical dislocation for animals older than P14. These tissues were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use. Unless stated otherwise, all brains were separated into left and right hemispheres before freezing to allow two analyses per animal.

All mice were housed in the KU Leuven animal facility that is fully compliant with European policy on the use of Laboratory Animals. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Research Advisory Committee of the
KU Leuven (ECD P060/2017) and performed in accordance with the Animal Welfare Committee guidelines of KU Leuven, Belgium.

**Cell Lines and culturing**

MEFs were produced from E13.5 litters and immortalized with the SV40 large tumor-antigen as previously described (Cascalho et al., 2020). Human fibroblasts were acquired from the NINDS repository (https://nindsgenetics.org), or were previously described (Cascalho et al., 2020). Lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Media (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (characterized FBS; Hyclone). shRNA plasmids were acquired from Sigma: Mouse Tor1b (TRCN0000106485), Human TOR1B (TRCN0000159398) or control (Empty pLKO.1) and introduced into cell lines as previously described (Cascalho et al., 2020). Electroporated cells were plated into flasks and cultured for 72 hours before harvesting by trypsinization. The cell suspensions were separated into aliquots, washed, and pelleted. One aliquot was used for mRNA measurements and one for biochemical assays.

**Lipid enzyme assays**

Snap frozen brain hemispheres or cell pellets were homogenized on ice in “PAP enzyme buffer” (50mM Tris HCl (pH 7.5), 0.25M sucrose, 10mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1x PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and 1x EDTA free protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma)). Debris was removed by centrifugation for 10 min at 1,000 x g at 4 °C, and supernatant was transferred to a fresh Eppendorf tube. The protein concentration of each homogenate was measured using a Bradford assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Bio-Rad).
PAP activity was measured according to standard protocols (Cascalho et al., 2020; Dubots et al., 2014; Sembongi et al., 2013) based on the formation of fluorescent DAG from NBD-PA (Avanti® Polar lipids, Inc). In brief, assays used 60μg of total protein diluted in PAP enzyme buffer to achieve final concentrations of 2mM NBD-PA, and either 0.5mM MgCl2 or 1mM EDTA. These reactions were incubated for 30 min at 30°C. Lipids were extracted and separated by Thin Layer Chromatography, and fluorescence was imaged with an ImageQuant LAS 4000 device (Green-RGB, 460nm/534nm). ImageJ was used to quantify levels of PA and DAG fluorescence.

Total PAP activity was calculated as the amount of NBD-DAG relative to all NBD-labeled lipids (PA+DAG) in the MgCl2 condition. LPP PAP activity was calculated as the amount of NBD-DAG relative to all NBD-labeled lipids (PA+DAG) in the EDTA-containing condition. Lipin activity was calculated as the difference (Supplemental Figure 1A). DAG Kinase activity was measured based on the production of fluorescent PA from NBD-DAG (Avanti® Polar lipids, Inc) in the same buffer condition used to measure total PAP activity. DAG Kinase activity was calculated as the fraction of NBD-PA relative to the total NBD-labeled lipids (PA+DAG).

Protein and Transcriptional Analyses

Western blotting was performed with brain homogenates prepared for PAP enzyme assays. 30μg of protein was subject to standard SDS-PAGE, transferred to a PVDF membrane, and blocked (5% milk, 0.2% Tween, 1x PBS). Rabbit anti-torsinA and anti-torsinB are custom generated antibodies. We previously defined conditions where they specifically recognize their respective antigens (Jungwirth et al., 2010). Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies in blocking buffer, washed in buffer (0.2% Tween, 1x PBS), and incubated with horseradish peroxidase conjugated anti-rabbit antibody diluted in blocking buffer (Jackson
Immunoreagents: 715-035-150). They then received a final series of washing steps, followed by incubation with West Pico Plus chemiluminescent reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific). Chemiluminescence was detected with an ImageQuant LAS 4000 device.

RNA was extracted from cells and tissues after preservation in RNAlater (Qiagen). In all cases, samples were homogenized and RNA was purified using a QIAshredder and RNeasy Qiagen Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen). The RNAseq profiling was previously described (Cascalho et al., 2020).

mRNA expression in cell lines was analysed after producing cDNA with SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific) and 50μM random hexamer priming. qPCR was performed with 500ng of cDNA and the SensiFast™ SYBR® No-ROX kit (Bioline) and a Lightcycler® 480/1536 (Roche) under the SYBRGreen standard run protocol. All qPCR runs were performed in duplicate. Primers and conditions have been previously described (Cascalho et al., 2020).

Behavioral assays

The acquisition of behavioral skills was examined using established protocols (Feather-Schussler and Ferguson, 2016). Animal gait was assessed in individual animals placed in a fresh cage facing away from the observer over at least 2 minutes. A score of NORMAL was assigned to animals that moved with both hindlimbs participating evenly, body weight supported on all four paws, and if the abdomen did not touch the ground. An animal was scored as ABNORMAL if tremor or limping were observed, if the pelvis was lowered, feet or limbs pointed away from the body during locomotion (“duck feet”), if an animal had difficulty moving forward, or if it dragged its abdomen. These analyses were performed pre-weaning on all pups present in a nest.

The first analysis of P21 Tor1a+/+ and Tor1a+/-agag gait was performed on pups produced by breeding Tor1a+/-agag males with C57BL6/J dams. The postnatal
development of Tor1a^{+/-} and Tor1a^{+/-\Delta gag} animals was studied using pups produced by
crossing Tor1a^{floxflox}:Lipin1^{+/-} dams with Tor1a^{+/-\Delta gag}:Lipin1^{+/-}:Nestin-Cre males, as
described in (Cascalho et al., 2020). No Nestin-Cre transgene carrying offspring or
Lipin1^{+/-} offspring were included in these analyses. The cohort of P21
Tor1a^{+/-}:Lipin1^{+/-}, Tor1a^{+/-}:Lipin1^{+/-}, Tor1a^{+/-\Delta gag}:Lipin1^{+/-} and Tor1a^{+/-\Delta gag}:Lipin1^{+/-} mice
were also derived from the Tor1a^{floxflox}:Lipin1^{+/-} x Tor1a^{+/-\Delta gag}: Lipin1^{+/-}:Nestin-Cre
crosses and again no data from Nestin-Cre transgene offspring were included in
analyses.

**Statistical analysis**

N values are given in figures or legends and reflect the number of individual animals
unless specifically stated otherwise. No measurements were excluded from any
analysis. All analyses were performed blind to genotype and sex. Unless stated
otherwise, statistical analyses were performed using Prism 8.3 (GraphPad Software).
Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used for all post hoc comparisons. *, **, ***, and
**** indicate significant differences between groups at p < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, and
0.0001 respectively. Tests are stated in the figure legends.
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Supplemental Figure 1. Quantitation of Lipin activity

A) Schematic of the PAP enzyme activity. Lipin activity is calculated from DAG production in the presence of magnesium, minus DAG production in the EDTA condition.

B) Linearity of the Lipin assay. Lipin values are expressed relative to the activity found in “1x” (60 µg) brain protein that is used as standard in all assays. There is a linear relationship between Lipin activity measurements and brain protein ranging from 0.25x (15 µg) to 3.0x (180 µg) of this standard; above this the curve flattened. All Tor1a\(^{+/+}\), Tor1a\(^{+/Dgag}\), and Tor1a\(^{+/-}\) Lipin activity values lie within this linear range.

C) Lipin1 gene deletion reduces Lipin activity in brain homogenates from embryos and post-natal animals. Data is presented relative to Lipin1\(^{+/+}\) at each age. Points show
values from individual animals, bars show mean +/- SD. **** indicates that Two-Way ANOVA detects a significant effect of Lipin1 genotype (P<0.0001). Note that embryonic brain expresses Lipin1 and Lipin2.
Supplemental Figure 2. Varied gene expression does not account for the variation in Lipin activity between Tor1a+/Δgag brains

A) Western blotting finds similar TorsinA and TorsinB levels in the brains of E18.5 Tor1a+/+ and Tor1a+/Δgag embryos, and between Tor1a+/Δgag embryos with different levels of brain Lipin PAP activity. Each lane shows immunoreactivity of one embryo, with three embryos assessed per group. We previously validated that anti-TorsinA and anti-TorsinB immunoreactivity reflect TorsinA and TorsinB protein levels (Jungwirth et al., 2010).
B – G) Lipin activity plotted against the FPKM of each gene for brains from individual E18.5 $Tor1a^{+/agag}$ embryos. The panel on the right shows data for individual $Tor1a^{+/+}$ animals.

H) Brain Lipin activity in E18.5 embryos selected for RNAseq analysis. Boxes delineate the three groups, % values show the mean Lipin activity for each group relative to the $Tor1a^{+/+}$ mean.
Supplemental Figure 3. **Tor1a**<sup>+/Δgag</sup> affects lipid metabolism with reduced penetrance *in vivo* but not *in vitro*

A) **Tor1a**<sup>+/Δgag</sup> embryos with Lipin hyperactivity are present in small and large litters. Points show brain Lipin activity of individual E18.5 **Tor1a**<sup>+/Δgag</sup> embryos plotted by the number of embryos per litter. Shaded area highlights the range of brain Lipin activity from the **Tor1a**<sup>++</sup> embryo population (not shown).

B) **Tor1b** mRNA expression in MEF lines derived from **Tor1a**<sup>++</sup> and **Tor1a**<sup>+/Δgag</sup> embryos, and electroporated with empty vector or **Tor1b** shRNA vector. Data is presented relative to the mean of **Tor1a**<sup>++</sup> with empty vector. Bars show mean +/-
SEM of duplicate electroporations per line. Letters refer to individual embryos shown in Figure 2A

3 C) Tor1b mRNA expression in MEF lines derived from Tor1a+/+, Tor1a+/Dgag and Tor1aDgag/Dgag embryos, and electroporated with empty vector or Tor1b shRNA vector.

5 Data is presented relative to the mean of Tor1a+/+ with empty vector. Two-way ANOVA detects a significant effect of Tor1b knockdown (****, p < 0.0001) and no significant effect of Tor1a genotype.
A) Human fibroblast cell line characteristics.

Baseline

B) Lipin Activity

C) TOR1B mRNA

TOR1B shRNA

D) Lipin Activity

E) TOR1B mRNA

Supplemental Figure 4. Human fibroblast line TOR1B expression and Lipin activity.
B) Lipin activity at baseline in homogenates prepared from human fibroblast lines after electroporation with empty vector. Bars show mean +/- SEM, and points show values from each electroporation. Vertical numbers refer to the ID code of each line, and the same series is repeated in figure panels C-E.

C) TOR1B expression measured by qRT-PCR after electroporation with empty vector. Numbers show the mean relative TOR1B expression for each line. Unpaired Two-tailed T-Test detects a significant difference between TOR1A+/+ and TOR1A+/Δgag lines (p = 0.003).

D) Percentage change in Lipin activity between human fibroblast cell lines electroporated with TOR1B shRNA vector, and baseline TOR1B expression measured from the empty vector condition. Unpaired Two-tailed T-Test detects a significant difference between TOR1A+/+ and TOR1A+/Δgag lines (p = 0.0003).

E), as (C), but following electroporation with TOR1B shRNA vector. There is no difference in TOR1B expression between TOR1A+/+ and TOR1A+/Δgag lines.
Supplemental Figure 5. Tor1a^{+/-Agag} animals progress normally to developmental milestones.

Points show the age that individual animals achieve a reflex, behavior or developmental event. Bars show mean +/- SD.
A) Age of animal (postnatal days) when both eyes are open. Two-way ANOVA finds no effect of Tor1a genotype (F (1, 41) = 3.1), sex (F (1, 41) = 1.1), or an interaction between Tor1a genotype and sex (F (1, 41) = 0.56).

B) Age of animal (postnatal days) when it first reacts to an auditory stimulus. Two-way ANOVA finds no effect of Tor1a genotype (F (1, 41) = 0.009), sex (F (1, 41) = 1.4), or an interaction between Tor1a genotype and sex (F (1, 41) = 0.81).

C) Age of animal (postnatal days) when it first successfully crawls away from an elevated edge. Two-way ANOVA finds no effect of Tor1a genotype (F (1, 41) = 0.64), sex (F (1, 41) = 1.1), or an interaction between Tor1a genotype and sex (F (1, 41) = 0.64).

D) Age of animal (postnatal days) when it is first able to reverse to face upward after being placed facing downward on a 45° slope. Two-way ANOVA finds no effect of Tor1a genotype (F (1, 41) = 0.01), sex (F (1, 41) = 0.69), or an interaction between Tor1a genotype and sex (F (1, 41) = 0.46).

E) Age of animal (postnatal days) when it first shows a grasping reflex with hind paws. Two-way ANOVA finds no effect of Tor1a genotype (F (1, 41) = 2.6), sex (F (1, 41) = 0.17), or an interaction between Tor1a genotype and sex (F (1, 41) = 0.37).

F) Age of animal (postnatal days) when it is first able to flip (< 2 sec) upright from a supine position. Two-way ANOVA finds no effect of Tor1a genotype (F (1, 41) = 1.4), sex (F (1, 41) = 0.2), or an interaction between Tor1a genotype and sex (F (1, 41) = 1.0).

G) Age of animal (postnatal days) when it first shows a rooting reflex by moving its head towards a light tactile stimulus. Two-way ANOVA finds no effect of Tor1a genotype (F (1, 41) = 1.4), sex (F (1, 41) = 0.00), or an interaction between Tor1a genotype and sex (F (1, 41) = 2.3).
H) Age of animal (postnatal days) when it first achieves a mid-air righting reflex by landing on all four paws when dropped from 10 cm height onto a soft surface. Two-way ANOVA finds no effect of Tor1a genotype (F (1, 40) = 1.2), sex (F (1, 40) = 0.89), or an interaction between Tor1a genotype and sex (F (1, 40) = 0.16).

I) Age of animal (postnatal days) when it first ambulates and explores rapidly enough to exit a 10cm diameter zone in under 10 seconds. Two-way ANOVA finds no effect of Tor1a genotype (F (1, 41) = 0.81), sex (F (1, 41) = 0.28), or an interaction between Tor1a genotype and sex (F (1, 41) = 0.009).
Supplemental Figure 6. Quantification of hind-paw placement in P21 Tor1a<sup>+/+</sup> and Tor1a<sup>+/Δgag</sup> mice

A & B) Quantification of hind-paw angle during walking. Points show the mean hind paw angle of individual P21 mice, with at least 5 measurements per animal. Dotted lines show the widest mean angle recorded from Tor1a<sup>+/+</sup> animals. (A) All male Tor1a<sup>+/Δgag</sup> are within the range of male Tor1a<sup>+/+</sup>. (B) A subset of female Tor1a<sup>+/Δgag</sup> have wider mean hind-paw angles than any Tor1a<sup>+/+</sup> female. Orange points indicate which animals were scored as qualitatively abnormal.

C) Hind-paw angles from individual Tor1a<sup>+/+</sup> and Tor1a<sup>+/Δgag</sup> females. Values from individual mice are arranged vertically, and the mean from each animal is highlighted by a dark bar. “Affected” and “unaffected” refer to the qualitative scoring of animals. *** indicates significance difference between Tor1a<sup>+/Δgag</sup> that were qualitatively scored as abnormal, and Tor1a<sup>+/+</sup> and Tor1a<sup>+/Δgag</sup> that appeared normal. One-way ANOVA.