Different temporal trends in vascular plant and bryophytes communities along elevational gradients over four decades of warming

Antoine Becker-Scarpitta^{*1,2}, Diane Auberson-Lavoie¹, Mark Vellend¹

1: Département de Biologie, Faculté des Sciences, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada.

2: Spatial Foodweb Ecology Group, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Contact: *Corresponding author: Antoine Becker-Scarpitta, Spatial Foodweb Ecology Group, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. antoine.becker.scarpitta@gmail.com

ORCID

Antoine Becker-Scarpitta: 0000-0001-9241-091X

Database

Data are stored in *forestREplot* database (<http://www.forestreplot.ugent.be/>) under the code: N_AM_005 for Mont-Mégantic and N_AM_008 for Forillon. All plant specimens identified at the species level were deposited in the Marie-Victorin herbarium (Université de Montréal, Canada.) and all locations were entered into the GBIF database (GBIF - <https://www.gbif.org/>).

Abstract

1: Despite many studies showing biodiversity responses to warming, the generality of such responses across taxa remains unclear. Very few studies have tested for evidence of bryophyte

community responses to warming, despite the fact that bryophytes are major contributors to diversity in many ecosystems, playing a central role in ecosystem functions. Understanding variation among taxa in their responses to warming is crucial for identifying priorities in conservation.

2: We report an empirical study comparing long-term change of bryophyte and vascular plant communities in two sites with contrasting long-term warming trends. To assess long-term responses of ecological communities to warming, we used “legacy” botanical records as a baseline for comparison with contemporary resurveys.

We hypothesized that ecological changes would be greater in sites with a stronger warming trends, and that vascular plant communities would be more sensitive than bryophyte communities to climate warming. For each taxon in each site, we quantified the magnitude of changes in species’ distributions along the elevation gradient, species richness, and community composition.

3: Temporal changes in vascular plant communities were consistent with the warming hypothesis, but this was not the case for bryophytes. We also did not find clear support for the hypothesis that vascular plants would show greater sensitivity than bryophytes to warming, with results depending on the metric of community change. As predicted for sites with a strong warming trend, we found a significant upward shift in the distributions of vascular plants but not bryophytes.

Synthesis: Our results are in accordance with recent literature showing that local diversity can remain unchanged despite strong changes in composition. Regardless of whether one taxon is systematically more or less sensitive to environmental change than another, our results suggest that vascular plants cannot be used as a surrogate for bryophytes in terms of predicting the nature and magnitude of responses to warming. In sites that experienced the same environmental changes, we found that communities of bryophytes and vascular plants did not predictably change

in the same ways. Thus, to assess overall biodiversity responses to global change, data from different taxonomical groups and community properties need to be synthesized.

Key words

Plant population and community dynamics, Global change ecology, vascular plant, bryophyte, warming, elevational gradient, historical ecology, legacy data, biodiversity, forest, understory vegetation, resurvey, temporal dynamic,

Introduction

Ecological impacts of global changes on biodiversity can take many different forms (McGill, Dornelas, Gotelli, & Magurran, 2015; Vellend, Baeten, et al., 2017). In the context of climate warming, montane ecosystems have received considerable attention due to clear predictions of changes in species' distributions (upslope shifts) and community composition (favouring warm-adapted species) along elevation gradients, although such studies have focused on relatively few taxa, in particular vascular plants and vertebrates (Chen, Hill, Ohlemuller, Roy, & Thomas, 2011; Lenoir, Gegout, Marquet, de Ruffray, & Brisse, 2008; Pauli et al., 2012; Rumpf et al., 2018; Stockli, Wipf, Nilsson, & Rixen, 2012). Thus, despite many studies showing biodiversity responses to warming, the generality of such responses across taxa remains unclear. For instance, very few studies have reported clear empirical evidence of bryophyte community responses to climate warming (He, He, and Hyvönen 2016; Hudson and Henry 2010, but see Becker-Scarpitta et al. 2017; Bergamini, Ungricht, and Hofmann 2009; Outhwaite et al. 2020; Raabe et al. 2010; Vanneste et al. 2017).

Understanding variation among taxa in their responses to environmental change is crucial for identifying priorities in conservation. For example, even if one taxonomic group (e.g., vascular plants) is relatively insensitive to environmental change, other co-occurring taxa (e.g., bryophytes and lichens) might be very sensitive (Hudson & Henry, 2010), with potentially important

consequences for ecosystem functions (Lindo & Gonzalez, 2010; Turetsky, 2003). Thus, our limited knowledge base with which to identify the most relevant set of metrics or the taxonomic groups most sensitive to environmental change constrains our ability to set efficient conservation priorities. We operationally define “sensitivity” here as responsiveness: i.e., the degree to which a given community property changes in the face of environmental change.

To assess long-term responses of ecological communities to environmental change, “legacy” ecological records have been used to provide baselines for comparison with contemporary resurveys (Chytrý, Tichý, Hennekens, & Schaminée, 2014; Hédli, Bernhardt-Römermann, Grytnes, Jurasinski, & Ewald, 2017; Vellend, Brown, Kharouba, McCune, & Myers-Smith, 2013). However, historical botanical studies are strongly biased towards vascular plants, with few data on bryophytes, due in part to the difficulty of identification (Gignac 2001; He, He, and Hyvönen 2016; Möls et al. 2013 but see Becker-Scarpitta et al., 2017; Bergamini et al., 2009; Delgado & Ederra, 2013; Outhwaite, Gregory, Chandler, Collen, & Isaac, n.d.; Vanneste et al., 2017). This is despite the fact that bryophytes are major contributors to diversity and vegetation cover in many temperate, boreal or alpine ecosystems, playing an important role in ecosystem functions, such as biomass accumulation, water retention, nutrient cycling, and food web dynamics (Lindo & Gonzalez, 2010; Patiño & Vanderpoorten, 2018; Rydin, 2008; Tuba, Slack, & Stark, 2011; Turetsky, 2003).

For several reasons we might expect vascular plants and bryophytes to show different responses to various sources of environmental change (Bagella, 2014; Becker-Scarpitta et al., 2017; Lee & La Roi, 1979; Möls et al., 2013; Vanneste et al., 2017). Compared to vascular plants, bryophytes are distinguished by their small size, high sensitivity to the moisture and chemistry of their immediate microenvironment (i.e., they are poikilohydric), lower temperature optima for growth, absence of roots and an efficient vascular system, and dispersal via spores (Glime, 2007). These two groups can show contrasted spatial patterns of diversity (Lalanne, Bardat, Lalanne-Amara, Gautrot, & Ponge, 2008; Mateo et al., 2016). For instance, vascular plants show a clear latitudinal diversity

gradient of decreasing species richness with increasing latitude, while this is not true for bryophytes, for which temperate latitudes are equally diverse as tropical latitudes (Geffert, Frahm, Barthlott, & Mutke, 2013; Mateo et al., 2016). Some studies have also observed different patterns of community β -diversity (Kraft et al., 2011; Mateo et al., 2016) with vascular plant communities sometimes showing higher β -diversity along elevation gradients than bryophytes, suggesting a broader tolerance of bryophyte species to temperature (Glime, 2013; Lee & La Roi, 1979; Vanneste et al., 2017; Vittoz et al., 2010).

General predictions for the effects of warming on vascular plants include declines in the abundance of cold-adapted species, an upward expansion of elevational range limits for warm-adapted species (Rumpf et al., 2018), and an increase of local species richness in area without water-stress (Harrison, 2020; Vellend, Baeten, et al., 2017). Compared to vascular plants, some studies have suggested that changes in bryophyte communities are more strongly influenced by stochastic processes or by micro-environmental variation than macro-environmental conditions (Fenton & Bergeron, 2013; Pharo & Vitt, 2000; Raabe et al., 2010). Because bryophytes have wider temperature affinities and higher affinity to micro-environment than macro-environment, we might expect bryophytes to show lower sensitivity to global warming than vascular plants. The consequences of warming for β -diversity are more difficult to predict given a paucity of studies on this topic (Socolar et al. 2016 but see Nascimbene and Spitale 2017). However, numerical simulations show that species with high dispersal capacity will be favored in areas experiencing strong environmental changes, in which case we might predict a decrease of β -diversity (Mouquet & Loreau, 2003) and thus biotic homogenization (Clavel, Julliard, & Devictor, 2011).

As in many parts of the world, eastern Canada has shown a general warming trend over the past ~50 years, but with a strong east-west gradient in the magnitude of warming in the province of Québec (Yagouti, Boulet, Vincent, Vescovi, & Mekis, 2008). Becker-Scarpitta, Vissault, and Vellend (2019) showed that the magnitude of temporal changes of vascular plant communities in three

protected areas generally increased from east to west in southern Québec, with greater changes in areas of stronger warming in recent decades. For two of these three parks, the historical data also included bryophytes, thus presenting an opportunity to test for differential sensitivity among taxa to warming. Forillon National Park is located at the eastern tip of the province of Québec where warming has been minimal. In contrast, Mont-Mégantic Provincial Park is in central Québec where the warming trend has been steeper (Savage & Vellend, 2015; Yagouti et al., 2008).

Here we report one of the first studies comparing long-term change of bryophytes and vascular plants communities in sites with contrasting long-term warming trends. In each of the two parks, we revisited ~50 legacy vegetation plots initially surveyed in the 1970s, applying the same methods as the original surveys. To minimize potentially confounding factors, plots were selected in mature forest ecosystems that have not experienced major natural or anthropogenic disturbances during the time between surveys. We test two main hypotheses: (i) For both bryophytes and vascular plants, the park with a stronger warming trend (Mont-Mégantic) has experienced greater long-term community changes than the park with a weaker warming trend (Forillon); (ii) Vascular plant communities are more sensitive than bryophyte communities to climate warming. For each taxon in each park, we quantified the magnitude of changes in (a) species' distributions along the elevation gradient, (b) species richness, and (c) community composition.

Methods

Study site

Our two study sites were located in eastern Canada: Forillon National Park in eastern Québec (48°54'N, 64°21'W), and Mont-Mégantic Provincial Park in central Québec (45°27'N, 71°9'W). Neither park has experienced logging or forest management over the last ~40 years. Forillon National Park was created in 1970 and covers 245 km²; our study plots ranged in elevation from

~50 to 500 m a.s.l. The vegetation at Forillon is dominated by a mixture of northern tree species such as *Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill., *Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss and *Betula papyrifera* Marsh. at higher elevations, and *Acer saccharum* Marsh. and *Betula alleghaniensis* Britt. at lower elevations (Majcen, 1981). Mont-Mégantic Provincial Park was created in 1994 (logging ceased in the 1960s during park planning) and covers ~55 km². Our study plots span an elevational gradient from ~460 and 1100 m a.s.l., along which the vegetation gradient strongly resembles that in Parc Forillon: from temperate deciduous forests dominated by *Acer saccharum*., *Fagus grandifolia* Ehrh. and *Betula alleghaniensis*, to boreal forest dominated by *Abies balsamea*, and *Picea rubens* Sargent, Silva. (Marcotte & Grandtner, 1974).

Data set

Original vegetation surveys in both parks were conducted using phytosociological methods (Majcen, 1981; Marcotte & Grandtner, 1974). In each plot, authors listed all species in different strata (canopy trees, shrubs, herbs and ground bryophytes) and for each species assigned an abundance coefficient following (Braun-Blanquet, Roussine, & Nègre, 1952). For vascular plants, our analyses focused on shrubs and herbs, which were combined into a single “understorey” stratum. All bryophyte species that were found on the ground were recorded (i.e. on organic litter and soil surface mineral layers, not including deadwood, tree trunks and rocks); these surveys did not involve intensive searches for individual stems of rare species within moss carpets (i.e., some locally rare species were missed). After consulting with botanists active in Québec in the 1960s and 1970s (C. Ansseau, Z. Majcen, personal communication, 2014), we are confident in comparing Braun-Blanquet indices across time for vascular plants (*for further detail see* Becker-Scarpitta, Vissault, and Vellend 2019) but not for bryophytes, given uncertainty in the definition of the area over which percent cover was evaluated (microhabitats vs. entire plots). To maximize comparability across time and taxa, we used presence-absence data for both vascular and bryophyte species in all statistical analyses.

Our approach to plot relocation is described in Becker-Scarpitta et al., (2019). In short, original survey plots were not permanently marked, although locations were reported in maps and/or tables, such that plots are considered “semi-permanent”. In both parks, original surveyors sampled mature forests where spatial heterogeneity was relatively low. We selected plot locations for recent surveys using original plot maps and environmental descriptions (elevation, slope, aspect), and observations in the field to maximize the match of current and historical abiotic conditions. In Mont-Mégantic, recent surveys included all plots within the current park boundary. Not all plot locations in Forillon were accessible, and plot selection for the recent surveys used the following criteria: (i) plots occurred in forest, excluding swamps or bogs; (ii) plots were accessible via <3-4 hours hiking off of established trails; (iii) plots had not obviously experienced major natural disturbances (e.g., storm, fire, or insect outbreak); (iv) in the original survey the plots were sampled in mature stands that have since maintained forest cover (i.e., no early successional dynamics in the intervening period) (Becker-Scarpitta et al., 2019).

Original surveys in Forillon Park were conducted in June-September 1972 in 256 vegetation plots (500 m²) (Majcen, 1981). We resurveyed 49 plots during July and August of 2015. Original surveys in Mont-Mégantic were conducted in 1970 in 94 plots, roughly half of which fall outside the current park boundaries. Plots were 400 m² in coniferous forest and 800 m² in broadleaved forests (Marcotte & Grandtner, 1974). We resurveyed the 48 plots falling within the current park limits at Mont-Mégantic for vascular plants in 2012 (see Becker-Scarpitta et al., 2019) and for bryophytes during June and July 2014 (reported in the present study). We harmonized the taxonomy across both parks and periods (see below), so the Mont-Mégantic data are not precisely the same as reported in Savage & Vellend, (2015), but they are exactly the same as in Becker-Scarpitta et al., (2019) except transformed into presence-absence. Data are stored in *forestREplot* database (<http://www.forestreplot.ugent.be/>) under the code : N_AM_005 for Mont-Mégantic and N_AM_008 for Forillon.

Taxonomical database

Our taxonomical reference was the Taxonomic Name Resolution Service v4.0 (assessed in Feb 2017: <http://tnrs.iplantcollaborative.org>) for vascular plants and Flore des bryophytes du Québec-Labrador (Faubert, 2012, 2013, 2014) for bryophytes.

Our data set was collected by four different survey teams: one for each of the two original surveys: Forillon: Majcen, (1981); Mont-Mégantic: Marcotte & Grandtner, (1974); one for the recent Mont-Mégantic vascular plant survey: Savage & Vellend, (2015); and one for the recent Mont-Mégantic bryophyte survey and for the recent survey of both taxa at Forillon (A. Becker-Scarpitta and assistants). Most plants were identified to the species level in the same way across surveys, so for these taxa the only harmonization step was to standardize names. Coarser levels of taxonomic resolution were used in some but not all surveys for certain species (e.g., a pair of similar species not identified to the species level), and for other species (e.g., spring ephemeral species) the timing of different surveys created doubt about the likelihood of comparable detection. In these situations, comparability was maximized by using the coarser level of resolution applied to all data sets, or by removing species (see Appendix S1 for details on taxonomic standardization). All specimens identified at the species level were deposited in the Marie-Victorin herbarium (Université de Montréal, Canada.) and all locations were entered into the GBIF database (GBIF - <https://www.gbif.org/>).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in R v.3.4.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing 2018). To test for upward elevational shifts in species distributions at Forillon and Mont-Mégantic, we calculated the mean elevation across all occurrences of a given species in each time period. For vascular plants and bryophytes separately, we then conducted linear mixed effect models (LMM, function *lmer*, package 'lme4' v.1.1-14, Bates, Mächler, Bolker, & Walker, 2015) testing the effect

of time on mean elevation; each species was weighted by the sum of its occurrences in each survey, and species was included as a random effect to account for the paired sampling structure of the data (i.e. each species observed in each time period).

To test for differences between taxa and parks in terms of the temporal change in plot-level species richness (α -diversity) we first calculated the log ratio, $\ln(\text{recent richness}/\text{original richness})$, for each taxa-park combination, and ran an ANOVA model with each combination of park and taxa as factors. Secondly, we explored separately the temporal trend of α -diversity of both bryophytes and vascular plants within parks using LMMs with time, elevation and the time*elevation interaction (if significant) as fixed effects and with plot ID as a random effect.

We then explored temporal change in β -diversity using two different frameworks. First, we assessed the multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions using an asymmetric binary Jaccard dissimilarity (used for presence-absence data), with significance testing via permutation (PERMDISP, function *betadisper*, package 'vegan' v.2.4-4; Anderson, Ellingsen, & McArdle, (2006)). A decrease in the multivariate distance between plots and the time-specific centroid is interpreted as biotic homogenization, while an increase indicates biotic differentiation. Second, to explore the components of temporal change in species composition, we calculated the temporal pairwise β -diversity as the binary Jaccard dissimilarity between the recent and original surveys for each plot. Temporal β -diversity was decomposed into two components: turnover due to species replacements (T) and nestedness (N) (function *beta.temp*, package 'betapart'; Baselga, 2012; Baselga & Leprieur, 2015).

To examine changes in community composition over time, we used permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, Anderson, 2001) with Jaccard distances using 999 permutations (function *adonis*, package 'vegan'). We used the R^2 values from the PERMANOVA models as a quantification of the magnitude of temporal change in order to compare among parks. We used non-metric

multidimensional scaling (nMDS) with Jaccard distances for visualization (function *metaMDS*, package 'vegan'). Finally, within each park, we identified indicator species for each time period using the IndVal procedure (function *multipatt*, package 'indicspecies'; De Cáceres, Legendre, & Moretti, 2010).

Results

Species distributions along elevation gradients

Among the four taxa-park combinations, vascular plants at Mont-Mégantic was the only one showing a significant upward shift in mean species elevations over time (Table 1a, Fig. 1). Data for vascular plants at Mont-Mégantic were already presented in Becker-Scarpitta et al., (2019), and it is important to note that patterns reported here are not quantitatively the same, given the use of the presence-absence data in this study.

Consistent with our hypothesis, we detected no elevational distribution shift for either vascular plants or bryophytes in Forillon, where the warming trend has been weak, nor for bryophytes at Mont-Mégantic. Despite the lack of trends in average elevation for bryophytes, there was substantial variation among species (less so than for vascular plants) (Table 2, Fig. 1). This observation is reflected in the strength of correlations between original and recent mean species elevations, which was lower for bryophytes (Forillon Pearson r correlation = 0.327; Mont-Mégantic r = 0.577) than for vascular plants (Forillon r = 0.518; Mont-Mégantic r = 0.729). See Appendix S2 for mean elevations and sums of occurrences for each species in each survey.

Table 1 - Analyses of temporal changes in (a) average species elevation, (b) plot-level species richness (α -diversity) along elevational gradients, and (c) multivariate β -diversity along elevation gradients (see Table 3 for other β -diversity analyses). For (a) and (b), results are shown for linear mixed models; in (a) species were weighted by the sum of occurrences across both original and recent surveys. In (c), β -diversity was measured as the distance of plots to time-specific centroids (space defined by Jaccard dissimilarities) and tested using PERMIDSP. The sign of the relation is indicated in brackets only for significant effect. R^2_m is the marginal coefficient of determination, measuring the proportion of variance explained by fixed effects; R^2_c is the conditional coefficient of determination, giving the proportion of variance explained by both fixed and random effects, $p < 0.05$.

		Effect		F	df	Pr(>F)	R ² _m	R ² _c
a) Average species elevation (m)								
Forillon								
	Bryophytes	Time		0.12	36	0.73	<0.01	<0.01
	Vascular plants			0.01	31	0.92	<0.01	<0.01
Mont-Mégantic								
	Bryophytes	Time		0.16	25	0.69	<0.01	0.09
	Vascular plants		(+)	8.54	55	0.005	<0.01	0.18
b) Species richness (α-diversity)								
Forillon								
	Bryophytes	Time Elevation		2.42 1.77	46 45	0.13 0.19	0.04	0.05
	Vascular plants							
		Time Elevation		3.19 1.26	47 46	0.08 0.27	0.04	0.41
Mont-Mégantic								
	Bryophytes	Time Elevation		0.01 0.85	46 45	0.92 0.36	0.01	0.32
	Vascular plants							
		Time Elevation	(+) (-)	26.77 68.14	47 46	<0.001 <0.001	0.54	0.74
c) Heterogeneity (β-diversity)								
Forillon								
	Bryophytes	Time Elevation	(+) (-)	7.14 10.75	45 46	0.002 0.01	0.17	0.26
	Vascular plants							
		Time Elevation		0.92 1.25	47 46	0.27 0.34	0.02	0.51
Mont-Mégantic								
	Bryophytes	Time Elevation		0.72 13.76	45 46	0.40 <0.001	0.16	0.39
	Vascular plants							
		Time Elevation	(-) (+)	22.30 17.08	46 47	<0.001 <0.001	0.28	0.63

270

271 Figure 1 - Species distributions along elevation gradients in two-time periods. a) Bryophytes in
 272 Park Forillon (n = 19 species); b) Bryophytes at Mont-Mégantic (n = 25 species); c) Vascular plants
 273 in Park Forillon (n = 42 species); d) Vascular plants at Mont-Mégantic (n = 64 species). All species
 274 present in both original and recent surveys were included; the size of each point is proportional to
 275 the number of occurrences summed across the two survey years for a given species. Diagonals
 276 indicate 1:1 line (i.e. no changes over time). The only significant increase of mean species elevation
 277 over time was for vascular plants in Mont-Mégantic (panel d; see also Table 1a).

278 Temporal changes in species richness

279 At Forillon, the total number of bryophyte species across plots was greater in the recent survey
 280 (57 species) than in the original survey (42 species); 15 species from the original survey were not
 281 found while 30 new species were observed (Table 2 and Appendix S2 for species occurrences).
 282 Conversely, the total number of vascular plants at Forillon declined over time (original survey =

83 vs. recent = 65 species), with 27 original species not found in the recent survey and 9 new species added (Table 2).

At Mont-Mégantic we found similar trends between vascular plants and bryophytes. In the recent survey, there was an increase in the overall number of bryophytes species (original survey = 46 vs. recent = 55 species), with 16 species lost and 25 gained. The total number of vascular plant species also increased (from 87 species to 92 species), with 8 species lost and 13 gained.

Overall, the only strong significant temporal change detected in mean plot-level species richness was an increase for vascular plants at Mont-Mégantic (mean log ratio of species richness = 0.3; 95% CI [0.16, 0.44]; $t = 4.40$; $df = 47$; $p < 0.001$), where richness significantly declined with elevation (Fig. 2d, Table 1b; see also (Becker-Scarpitta et al., 2019). For vascular plants at Forillon and for bryophytes in both parks, species richness at the plot level showed neither changes over time nor any relationship with elevation (Table 1a-b, Fig. 2 a-b-c).

Table 2 - Temporal changes in total species numbers, plot-level species richness (α -diversity) and mean species elevation for bryophytes and vascular plants in Forillon Park and Mont-Mégantic Park. The total number of species observed across all plots is divided into shared, lost, or gained species between the original and recent surveys. For plot-level richness and mean species elevation, mean $\pm$ SE are reported. Bold values indicate significant statistical differences ($p < 0.05$, see Table 1b for statistical tests). Temporal changes in "Total species number" were not tested statistically.

		Total species number					α -diversity			Mean species elevation			
		Original	Recent	Shared	Lost	Gained	Original	Recent	<i>n</i> plot	Original	Recent	<i>n</i> sp	
Forillon													
	Bryophytes	42	57	27	15	30	5.4 ± 0.5	6.3 ± 0.4	47	208.8±9.5	204±9.8	19	
	Vascular plants	83	65	56	27	9	18.2 ± 1	16.4 ± 1	48	186.3±5.5	186.8±5.6	42	
Mégantic													
	Bryophytes	46	55	30	16	25	5.6 ± 0.4	5.5 ± 0.4	47	702.5±21.2	702.5±21.2	25	
	Vascular plants	87	92	79	8	13	21.2 ± 1	27 ± 1	48	629.2±7.3	642.5±7.1	64

Figure 2 - Temporal changes of plot-level species richness (α -diversity) along elevation gradients. The only significant increase of α -diversity over time was for vascular plants in Mont-Mégantic (panel d; see also Table 1b).

Temporal shift in community composition and heterogeneity

Consistent with our hypotheses, we found significant temporal changes in vascular plant β -diversity and community composition at Mont-Mégantic (Figs. 3 & 4, Table 3), where climate warming has been pronounced, as previously reported (Becker-Scarpitta et al., 2019). However, we found unexpected results for bryophytes: a significant increase of multivariate dispersion (β -diversity) over the past 40 years at Forillon but not at Mont-Mégantic (Table 3, Fig. 3). The observed increase in the distance of plots from multivariate centroids at Forillon was consistent along the whole elevation gradient (Table 1c, Fig. 4). The decomposition of the temporal changes in bryophyte community composition showed a greater contribution of turnover (Forillon = 0.70, Mont-Mégantic = 0.86) than nestedness (Forillon = 0.11, Mont-Mégantic = 0.03, Table 3). For vascular plants at Mont-Mégantic but not at Forillon we found a significant decrease of β -diversity over time, consistent along the elevational gradient (Table

3, Figs. 3 & 4). Turnover was also the main driver of temporal community change for vascular plants (Table 3).

For both sites and taxa, we found significant temporal shifts in community composition (Figs. 3 & 4, Table 3), although the effect was weak in all analyses. As predicted, the magnitude of the vascular plant compositional shift was greater for Mont-Mégantic ($R^2 = 4\%$) than Forillon ($R^2 = 2\%$, Table 3). However, bryophyte communities experienced an equal magnitude of compositional shift for both Forillon and Mont-Mégantic ($R^2 = 7\%$). Moreover, compositional shifts were greater for bryophytes than for vascular plants at both sites, contrary to our hypothesis (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Table 3 - Temporal changes in two β -diversity metrics and community composition between original and recent surveys for vascular plants and bryophytes in Forillon Park and Mont-Mégantic Park. “Multivariate dispersion” was calculated (based on Jaccard’s dissimilarity) as the mean distance of plots to time-specific centroids and analyzed using PERMDISP. “Temporal β -diversity” (β_j) was calculated as the pairwise Jaccard’s dissimilarity between the recent and original survey and decomposed into components of turnover (T) and nestedness (N). Changes in community composition were analyzed using PERMANOVA. R^2 is the proportion of variation in community composition explained by time. Statistical significance levels were calculated with 999 permutations, $p < 0.05$.

		Multivariate dispersion				Temp. β -diversity			Community composition		
		Original	Recent	F	Pr(<F)	β_j	T	N	R ²	F	Pr(<F)
Forillon											
	Bryophytes	0.54	0.59	4.32	0.04	0.81	0.70	0.11	0.07	6.57	<0.001
	Vascular plants	0.45	0.44	0.55	0.47	0.52	0.37	0.16	0.02	1.74	0.05
Mégantic											
	Bryophytes	0.62	0.61	0.44	0.52	0.90	0.86	0.03	0.07	7.02	<0.001
	Vascular plants	0.50	0.44	9.23	0.003	0.54	0.39	0.16	0.04	0.43	0.002

336
337 Figure 3 - nMDS ordinations (based on Jaccard's dissimilarity) of vascular plant and bryophyte
338 communities across time in Forillon and Mont-Mégantic. In panel (a), the left-most plot was
339 composed of a single unique species very rare elsewhere; PERMDISP and PERMANOVA results were
340 qualitatively similar with and without this plot. Bryophytes at Forillon (panel a) show significant
341 increase in beta-diversity while vascular plant in Mont-Mégantic (panel d) show significant decrease
342 of beta-diversity (see also Table 1c).

Figure 4 - Temporal changes of β -diversity along elevational gradients for bryophytes (a-b) and vascular plant communities (c-d) at Forillon and Mont-Mégantic. β -diversity is here calculated for each plot as the multivariate distance to time-specific centroids (Jaccard's dissimilarity; see Table 1c for statistical analyses).

In terms of bryophyte indicator species, *Dicranum fuscescens* was associated with original surveys for both parks, while *Dicranum polysetum* was associated with the two recent surveys (Table 4). These two species are morphologically very different to confuse them. Other indicator bryophytes for either time period were unique to one or the other park. For vascular plants, recent surveys were associated with two of only three non-native species in the data set: *Galeopsis tetrahit* (only at Mont-Mégantic) and *Epipactis helleborine* (both parks) (Table 4). Recent surveys at Mont-Mégantic were also associated with two species of *Carex* and the fern *Dennstaedtia punctilobula*.

Table 4 - Indicator species of bryophytes and vascular plants associated with original and recent surveys at Forillon and Mont-Mégantic. Indicator values were considered significant if $p < 0.05$ (calculated with 999 permutations).

	Bryophytes	Vascular plants
Forillon		
Original	<i>Dicranum fuscescens</i>	<i>Lycopodium annotinum</i> <i>Galium triflorum</i>
Recent	<i>Dicranum montanum</i> <i>Dicranum polysetum</i> <i>Brachythecium campestre</i> <i>Pseudoleskeella tectorum</i>	<i>Gymnocarpium disjunctum</i> <i>Sambucus racemosa</i> <i>Epipactis helleborine</i>
Mégantic		
Original	<i>Brotherella recurvans</i> <i>Dicranum fuscescens</i> <i>Paraleucobryum longifolium</i> <i>Hypnum pallescens</i> <i>Bryhnia novae-angliae</i> <i>Brachythecium reflexum</i>	<i>Osmunda claytoniana</i> <i>Botrychium virginianum</i>
Recent	<i>Atrichum altecristatum</i> <i>Hylocomiastrum umbratum</i> <i>Dicranum polysetum</i> <i>Hypnum curvifolium</i>	<i>Dennstaedtia punctilobula</i> <i>Carex arctata</i> <i>Carex deweyana</i> <i>Circaea alpina</i> <i>Galeopsis tetrahit</i> <i>Epipactis helleborine</i>

Discussion

Most long-term legacy studies have been conducted on vascular plant communities (Verheyen et al., 2017), so it remains unknown whether bryophytes show similar or different responses to shared environmental changes. More broadly, we have limited knowledge of how different taxonomic groups respond to long-term environmental change. Thanks to an extensive resurveying of plots with legacy data we quantified community changes over ~40 years for both bryophytes and vascular plants in two protected natural areas in eastern Canada with contrasting recent warming trends. Temporal changes in vascular plant communities were consistent with the warming hypothesis, but this was not the case for bryophytes. We also did not find clear support for the hypothesis that vascular plants would show greater sensitivity to environmental change (assumed to be dominated by climate warming at Mont-Mégantic), with results depending on the metric of community change. As predicted

for the area with a strong warming trend (Mont-Mégantic), we found a significant upward shift of vascular plant distributions but no change for bryophyte distributions (Table 1a, Fig. 1). However, the higher magnitude of changes in bryophyte community composition at both sites was contrary to our prediction (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Patterns along the gradient of warming trends

Consistent with the abundance-based results in Becker-Scarpitta et al., (2019), for vascular plants we found support for the hypothesis that areas with greater warming should experience stronger community changes than areas with weaker warming trends. At Mont-Mégantic, where the warming trend has been strongest, we found a clear pattern for vascular plants of upward shifts in elevational distributions (Table 1a, Fig. 1), a significant increase of α -diversity (Tables 1b & 2, Fig. 2), a stronger shift in community composition than in Forillon (Table 3, Fig. 3) and a significant decrease of β -diversity (Table 3, Fig. 4). At Forillon, where the warming trend has been weaker, we found neither shifts in elevational distributions nor changes of α -diversity for either vascular plants or bryophytes (Table s1a-b & 2, Figs. 1 & 2). The upward shift in elevation of vascular plants in response to warming is in line with many other studies (Bertrand et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2011; Lenoir et al., 2008). The temporal increase of diversity of vascular plant in Mont-Mégantic is also coherent with the prediction that warming should lead to increased local diversity in areas without severe moisture stress (Harrison, 2020; Vellend, Baeten, et al., 2017).

Our results suggest that a temperature increase of 1-2 °C does not have as strong an impact on the local diversity and distributions of bryophytes as it does for vascular plants. This interpretation is also supported by the absence of any relationship between bryophyte richness and elevation in the two parks (Table 1b, Fig. 2) and consistent with previous results (Bruun et al., 2006; Grytnes, Heegaard, & Ihlen, 2006; Odland, Reinhardt, & Pedersen, 2014 but see Vittoz et al., 2010). The decrease of β -diversity over time for vascular plants at Mont-Mégantic supports the hypothesis that

warming might cause biotic homogenization (Socolar et al., 2016; Urban, 2015). Note that this result using presence-absence data was different to the result in Becker-Scarpitta et al., (2019) using untransformed abundance data (for which we found no homogenization), but similar to the finding of homogenization using fourth-root transformed abundances in Savage & Vellend, (2015). These results collectively illustrate that the locally dominant species – whose influence is minimized or eliminated via fourth-root or presence-absence transformation – can mask homogenization created by species of lower abundance. This result highlights how ecological conclusions are dependent on the data transformation, even with widely used diversity metrics.

Sensitivity of bryophytes vs. vascular plants

We cannot draw strong conclusions about which of bryophytes or vascular plants is more or less sensitive to environmental change in eastern Canada: results depended on which community property was being investigated. Vascular plants showed more prominent upward elevational distribution shifts and richness increases (Tables 1a-b & 2, Figs. 1 & 2) while bryophytes experienced stronger shifts in community composition and no difference in the magnitude of compositional changes between the two parks with contrasting warming trends (Table 3, Fig. 3).

The lack of directional shifts in bryophytes' elevational distributions at either site (Table 1a, Fig. 1) is coherent with other results showing that bryophyte species have broader elevation ranges – and therefore presumably reduced sensitivity to temperature change – compared to vascular plants (Lee & La Roi, 1979; Vanneste et al., 2017; Vittoz et al., 2010). This may be due to the ability of bryophytes to photosynthesize under larger ranges of temperature than vascular plants (Glime, 2013) and their frequent occurrence in microhabitats (e.g., defined by micro-topography) buffered from macro-environmental changes (Raabe et al., 2010). The decrease of bryophyte β -diversity with elevation in both parks (Table 1, Fig. 4) suggests that bryophyte species found at high elevation (i.e. in boreal forests) tend to be common along the entire gradient, while bryophytes occurring at low elevation

are absent from high elevations which is coherent with other patterns (Lee & La Roi, 1979; Slack, 1977).

Potential non-climatic drivers of vegetation change

Our sites were chosen specifically due to their contrasting warming trends and lack of other obvious major drivers of vegetation change, but there are certainly other possible drivers of ecological change that might play a role in this region. Among the indicator species of recent surveys, two were non-native: *Galeopsis tetrahit* and *Epipactis helleborine*, the latter of which has increased considerably in recent decades throughout its North American range, even in western Canada (Marie-Victorin, 1997; McCune & Vellend, 2013). As such, some vegetation changes might be due simply to protracted periods of non-native species expansions, regardless of local environmental change. Another potential factor is changes in white-tailed deer browsing, which has increased over the past century in much of North America (Côté, Rooney, Tremblay, Dussault, & Waller, 2004). The indicator species of the recent survey at Mont-Mégantic include species known to benefit from high levels of deer browsing: *Dennstaedtia punctilobula* and *Carex* spp. (Augustine & Decalesta, 2003; de la Cretaz & Kelty, 2002; Frerker, Sabo, & Waller, 2014; Rooney, 2009). Interestingly, at Forillon deer are actually thought to have decreased in abundance due to the expansion of the coyote population in the 1970s (UQCN, 2005), and we found no such species associated with recent surveys in Forillon Park.

Our most difficult result to interpret was the strong species turnover of bryophyte communities at Forillon, which has not experienced strong long-term trends in temperature, precipitation, or atmospheric nutrient deposition (Commission Joint International, 2014; Hember, 2018). We can only speculate and offer hypotheses about potential non-climatic drivers of bryophyte community change. First, as in all legacy studies, there is the potential for observer biases due to (i) different sampling effort between original and recent surveys, or (ii) species' identification errors. It seems highly likely that detection probabilities and the potential for identification errors are greater for bryophytes than for vascular plants, although we have no reason to suspect this caused systematic increases or

decreases of particular species frequencies (necessary to explain overall compositional shifts). We paid very close attention to repeating the original survey methods, focusing on the visually obvious species in a given microsite (i.e., not examining each individual moss stem closely on the field), and the lack of any difference over time in local richness (Fig. 2a-b) suggests comparable species' detection abilities in the two surveys. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that a real richness change was cancelled out by a change in survey effort, we have no reason to suspect this rather unlikely coincidence. Second, given uncertainty in the comparability of abundance estimates across time for bryophytes, we also decided to use presence-absence data. In short, changes in observer effort seem highly unlikely to account for the temporal change in species composition. Furthermore, our procedure to homogenize taxonomy across data sets was quite conservative in order to reduce bias due to misidentifications.

One potential hypothesis to explain compositional change over time in bryophyte communities relates to the history of park protection. Forillon Park was established (and so protected) only two years before the original survey was conducted, and parts of the park previously included homesteads (i.e., non-forest land uses). Mont-Mégantic was established as a park more recently (1994), but logging activities (the only prominent land use) ceased ~15 years before the original survey. Although plot selection focused only on non-disturbed forests, metacommunity dynamics involving dispersal of species from sites undergoing rapid succession may have caused local shifts in composition and increased β -diversity. It has been previously shown that managed forests tend to have a lower β -diversity than protected forests (Kaufmann, Hauck, & Leuschner, 2017). The increase in bryophyte β -diversity might partially be due to the recovery of natural forest that occurring in the 1970s.

There is also the possibility that changes in bryophyte communities resulted from interactions with changing vascular plant communities. Studies have shown that bryophyte diversity and abundance can be negatively correlated with total vascular plant biomass (Virtanen, Eskelinen, & Harrison, 2017), cover (Jiang, Liu, Song, Yu, & Shao, 2015) or abundances (Jägerbrand, Kudo, Alatalo, & Molau,

2012). While we have documented an increase of vascular plant species richness at Mont-Mégantic (Tables 1b & 2, Fig. 2), we do not have data on vascular plant biomass. If bryophytes are highly sensitive to vascular plant community properties, subtle changes for vascular plants could translate into larger changes in bryophyte communities. This hypothesis is open to testing via observational and experimental studies of the effect of vascular plants on bryophytes communities under warming or other environmental changes. Understanding temporal changes in one component of the community may require more consideration of interactions with other components (Chesson, 2000; HilleRisLambers, Adler, Harpole, Levine, & Mayfield, 2012).

Conclusion and conservation implications

Overall, we found a significant temporal shift in the composition of both taxa in both parks but only one significant change in α -diversity. Our results are in accordance with recent meta-analyses and syntheses showing that local diversity can remain unchanged (or increase or decrease with equal likelihood) despite strong changes in composition (Blowes et al., 2019; Dornelas et al., 2014; Gotelli et al., 2017; Magurran et al., 2018; Spaak et al., 2017; Vellend, Dornelas, et al., 2017). Finally, regardless of whether one taxon is systematically more or less sensitive to environmental change than another, our results suggest that one taxon (e.g., vascular plants) cannot be used as a surrogate for others (e.g., bryophytes) in terms of predicting the nature and magnitude of responses to environmental change (Bagella, 2014; Becker-Scarpitta et al., 2017; Outhwaite et al., n.d.). In the same plots that experienced the same environmental changes, we found that communities of bryophytes and vascular plants did not predictably change in the same ways (Bagella, 2014; Becker-Scarpitta et al., 2017; Lalanne et al., 2008; Odland et al., 2014; Slack, 1977). Thus, to assess overall biodiversity responses to global change data from different taxonomical groups and community properties need to be synthesized.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the field assistants who greatly contributed to the collection of data in the field, the identification of species in the laboratory and the realization of the herbarium: Melissa Paquette and Sara Gagnard. This work was made possible thanks to the support of park managers, especially Camille-Antoine Ouimet (at Mont-Mégantic) and Daniel Sigouin (at Forillon). We also thank Guillaume Blanchet, Michael Belluau and Willian Vieira for constructive discussion on this project. The project was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council, Canada and field mission were supported by the Quebec Center for Biodiversity Sciences (QCBS).

Authors' contribution

ABS and MV conceived the ideas, design methodology, and wrote the manuscript collaboratively with ABS as leader and DAL revising the manuscript; ABS and DAL collected and analyzed the data. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Data availability

All specimens identified at the species level were deposited in the Marie-Victorin herbarium (Université de Montréal, Canada.) and all locations were entered into the GBIF database (GBIF - <https://www.gbif.org/>). The dataset *per se* was deposited into the forestREplot database (<http://www.forestreplot.ugent.be/>) under the reference: N_AM_005 for Mont-Mégantic and N_AM_008 for Forillon.

References

- Anderson, M. J. (2001). A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecology*, 26(1), 32–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.tb00081.x
- Anderson, M. J., Ellingsen, K. E., & McArdle, B. H. (2006). Multivariate dispersion as a measure of beta

516 diversity. *Ecology Letters*, 9(6), 683–693. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00926.x

517 Augustine, D. J., & Decalesta, D. (2003). Defining deer overabundance and threats to forest
518 communities: From individual plants to landscape structure. *Écoscience*, 10(4), 472–486. doi:
519 10.1080/11956860.2003.11682795

520 Bagella, S. (2014). Does cross-taxon analysis show similarity in diversity patterns between vascular
521 plants and bryophytes? Some answers from a literature review. *Comptes Rendus Biologies*,
522 337(4), 276–282. doi: 10.1016/j.crvi.2014.01.003

523 Baselga, A. (2012). The relationship between species replacement, dissimilarity derived from
524 nestedness, and nestedness. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 21(12), 1223–1232. doi:
525 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00756.x

526 Baselga, A., & Leprieur, F. (2015). Comparing methods to separate components of beta diversity.
527 *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 6(9), 1069–1079. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12388

528 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using
529 lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1–48. doi: 10.18637/jss.v067.i01

530 Becker-Scarpitta, A., Bardat, J., Lalanne, A., & Vellend, M. (2017). Long-term community change:
531 bryophytes are more responsive than vascular plants to nitrogen deposition and warming.
532 *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 28(6), 1220–1229. doi: 10.1111/jvs.12579

533 Becker-Scarpitta, A., Vissault, S., & Vellend, M. (2019). Four decades of plant community change
534 along a continental gradient of warming. *Global Change Biology*, 25(5), 1629–1641. doi:
535 10.1111/gcb.14568

536 Bergamini, A., Ungricht, S., & Hofmann, H. (2009). An elevational shift of cryophilous bryophytes in
537 the last century - an effect of climate warming? *Diversity and Distributions*, 15(5), 871–879. doi:
538 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2009.00595.x

539 Bertrand, R., Lenoir, J., Piedallu, C., Riofrío-Dillon, G., de Ruffray, P., Vidal, C., ... Gégout, J.-C. (2011).
540 Changes in plant community composition lag behind climate warming in lowland forests.
541 *Nature*, 479(7374), 517–520. doi: 10.1038/nature10548

542 Blowes, S. A., Supp, S. R., Antão, L. H., Bates, A., Bruelheide, H., Chase, J. M., ... Dornelas, M. (2019).
543 The geography of biodiversity change in marine and terrestrial assemblages. *Science*,
544 366(6463), 339–345. doi: 10.1126/science.aaw1620

545 Braun-Blanquet, J., Roussine, N., & Nègre, R. (1952). *Les Groupements Végétaux de la France*
546 *Méditerranéenne* (CNRS; CNRS, Ed.). Montpellier: CNRS.

547 Bruun, H. H., Moen, J., Virtanen, R., Grytnes, J., Oksanen, L., & Angerbjörn, A. (2006). Effects of
548 altitude and topography on species richness of vascular plants, bryophytes and lichens in
549 alpine communities. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 17(1), 37–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-
550 1103.2006.tb02421.x

551 Chen, I.-C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemuller, R., Roy, D. B., & Thomas, C. D. (2011). Rapid Range Shifts of Species
552 Associated with High Levels of Climate Warming. *Science*, 333(6045), 1024–1026. doi:
553 10.1126/science.1206432

554 Chesson, P. (2000). Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology and*
555 *Systematics*, 31(1), 343–366. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.343

556 Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Hennekens, S. M., & Schaminée, J. H. J. (2014). Assessing vegetation change
557 using vegetation-plot databases: a risky business. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 17(1), 32–41. doi:
558 10.1111/avsc.12050

- Clavel, J., Julliard, R., & Devictor, V. (2011). Worldwide decline of specialist species: toward a global functional homogenization? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 9(4), 222–228. doi: 10.1890/080216
- Commission Joint International. (2014). *Canada - United States, Air quality agreement - progress report*. Retrieved from http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2015/ec/En85-1-2014-eng.pdf
- Côté, S. D., Rooney, T. P., Tremblay, J.-P., Dussault, C., & Waller, D. M. (2004). Ecological impacts of Deer overabundance. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 35(1), 113–147. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.021103.105725
- De Cáceres, M., Legendre, P., & Moretti, M. (2010). Improving indicator species analysis by combining groups of sites. *Oikos*, 119(10), 1674–1684. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18334.x
- de la Cretaz, A. L., & Kelty, M. J. (2002). Development of tree regeneration in fern-dominated forest understories after reduction of Deer browsing. *Restoration Ecology*, 10(2), 416–426. doi: 10.1046/j.1526-100X.2002.02037.x
- Delgado, V., & Ederra, A. (2013). Long-term changes (1982–2010) in the bryodiversity of Spanish beech forests assessed by means of Ellenberg indicator values of temperature, nitrogen, light and pH. *Biological Conservation*, 157, 99–107. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2012.06.022
- Dornelas, M., Gotelli, N. J., McGill, B., Shimadzu, H., Moyes, F., Sievers, C., & Magurran, A. E. (2014). Assemblage time series reveal biodiversity change but not systematic loss. *Science*, 344(6181), 296–299. doi: 10.1126/science.1248484
- Faubert, J (2012) Flore des bryophytes du Québec-Labrador, Vol. 1, Anthocérotes et hépatiques. Société québécoise de bryologie, Saint-Valérien, Québec, 356 pp.
- Faubert, J (2013) Flore des bryophytes du Québec-Labrador, Vol. 2, Mousses, première partie. Société québécoise de bryologie, Saint-Valérien, Québec, 402 pp.
- Faubert, J (2014) Flore des bryophytes du Québec-Labrador, Vol. 3, Mousses, seconde partie. Société québécoise de bryologie, Saint-Valérien, Québec, 456 pp.
- Fenton, N. J., & Bergeron, Y. (2013). Stochastic processes dominate during boreal bryophyte community assembly. *Ecology*, 94(9), 1993–2006. doi: 10.1890/12-1944.1
- Frerker, K., Sabo, A., & Waller, D. (2014). Long-term regional shifts in plant community composition are largely explained by local deer impact experiments. *PLoS ONE*, 9(12), e115843. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115843
- Geffert, J. L., Frahm, J.-P., Barthlott, W., & Mutke, J. (2013). Global moss diversity: spatial and taxonomic patterns of species richness. *Journal of Bryology*, 35(1), 1–11. doi: 10.1179/1743282012Y.0000000038
- Gignac, L. D. (2001). Bryophytes as indicators of climate change. *The Bryologist*, 104(3), 410–420.
- Glime, J. M. (2007). *Bryophyte Ecology. Volume 1. Physiological Ecology*. Retrieved from <http://www.bryoecol.mtu.edu/>
- Glime, J. M. (2013). *Life cycles : surviving change, Chap 2.2. In Bryophyte Ecology. Vol. 1 Physiology and Ecology*. Retrieved from www.bryoecol.mtu.edu
- Gotelli, N. J., Shimadzu, H., Dornelas, M., McGill, B., Moyes, F., & Magurran, A. E. (2017). Community-level regulation of temporal trends in biodiversity. *Science Advances*, 3(7), e1700315. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1700315

- Grytnes, J. A., Heegaard, E., & Ihlen, P. G. (2006). Species richness of vascular plants, bryophytes, and lichens along an altitudinal gradient in western Norway. *Acta Oecologica*, 29(3), 241–246. doi: 10.1016/j.actao.2005.10.007
- Harrison, S. (2020). Plant community diversity will decline more than increase under climatic warming. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375(1794), 20190106. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2019.0106
- He, X., He, K. S., & Hyvönen, J. (2016). Will bryophytes survive in a warming world? *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 19, 49–60. doi: 10.1016/j.ppees.2016.02.005
- Hédli, R., Bernhardt-Römermann, M., Grytnes, J.-A., Jurasinski, G., & Ewald, J. (2017). Resurvey of historical vegetation plots: a tool for understanding long-term dynamics of plant communities. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 20(2), 161–163. doi: 10.1111/avsc.12307
- Hember, R. A. (2018). Spatially and temporally continuous estimates of annual total nitrogen deposition over North America, 1860–2013. *Data in Brief*, 17, 134–140. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2017.12.052
- HilleRisLambers, J., Adler, P. B., Harpole, W. S., Levine, J. M., & Mayfield, M. M. (2012). Rethinking community assembly through the lens of coexistence theory. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 43(1), 227–248. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110411-160411
- Hudson, J. M. G., & Henry, G. H. R. (2010). High Arctic plant community resists 15 years of experimental warming. *Journal of Ecology*, 98(98), 1035–1041. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01690.x
- Jägerbrand, A. K., Kudo, G., Alatalo, J. M., & Molau, U. (2012). Effects of neighboring vascular plants on the abundance of bryophytes in different vegetation types. *Polar Science*, 6(2), 200–208. doi: 10.1016/j.polar.2012.02.002
- Jiang, Y., Liu, X., Song, S., Yu, Z., & Shao, X. (2015). Diversity and distribution of ground bryophytes in broadleaved forests in Mabian Dafengding National Nature Reserve, Sichuan, China. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 35(2), 13–19. doi: 10.1016/j.chnaes.2015.03.002
- Kaufmann, S., Hauck, M., & Leuschner, C. (2017). Comparing the plant diversity of paired beech primeval and production forests: Management reduces cryptogam, but not vascular plant species richness. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 400, 58–67. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2017.05.043
- Kraft, N. J. B., Comita, L. S., Chase, J. M., Sanders, N. J., Swenson, N. G., Crist, T. O., ... Myers, J. a. (2011). Disentangling the drivers of diversity along latitudinal and elevational gradients. *Science*, 333(6050), 1755–1758. doi: 10.1126/science.1208584
- Lalanne, A., Bardat, J., Lalanne-Amara, F., Gautrot, T., & Ponge, J.-F. (2008). Opposite responses of vascular plant and moss communities to changes in humus form, as expressed by the Humus Index. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 19(5), 645–652. doi: 10.3170/2007-8-18431
- Lee, T. D., & La Roi, G. H. (1979). Bryophyte and understory vascular plant beta diversity in relation to moisture and elevation gradients. *Vegetatio*, 40(1), 29–38.
- Lenoir, J., Gegout, J. C., Marquet, P. A., de Ruffray, P., & Brisse, H. (2008). A significant upward shift in plant species optimum elevation during the 20th century. *Science*, 320(5884), 1768–1771. doi: 10.1126/science.1156831
- Lindo, Z., & Gonzalez, A. (2010). The bryosphere: An integral and influential component of the Earth’s biosphere. *Ecosystems*, 13(4), 612–627. doi: 10.1007/s10021-010-9336-3

- 644 Magurran, A. E., Deacon, A. E., Moyes, F., Shimadzu, H., Dornelas, M., Phillip, D. A. T., & Ramnarine, I.
645 W. (2018). Divergent biodiversity change within ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National*
646 *Academy of Sciences*, 115(8), 1843–1847. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1712594115
- 647 Majcen, Z. (1981). *Les forêts du parc national Forillon, Gaspésie, Québec, étude phytosociologique*.
648 Université de Laval.
- 649 Marcotte, G., & Grandtner, M. (1974). *Étude écologique de la végétation forestière du Mont Mégantic*.
650 Université de Laval.
- 651 Marie-Victorin, F. (1997). Flore laurentienne. Troisième édition mise à jour et annotée par L.
652 Brouillet, SG Hay, I. Goulet, M. Blondeau, J. Cayouette et J. Labrecque. In *Imprimerie de la Salle*.
653 Montréal, Canada: Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal.
- 654 Mateo, R. G., Broennimann, O., Normand, S., Petitpierre, B., Araújo, M. B., Svenning, J.-C., ...
655 Vanderpoorten, A. (2016). The mossy north: an inverse latitudinal diversity gradient in
656 European bryophytes. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 25546. doi: 10.1038/srep25546
- 657 McCune, J. L., & Vellend, M. (2013). Gains in native species promote biotic homogenization over four
658 decades in a human-dominated landscape. *Journal of Ecology*, 101(6), 1542–1551. doi:
659 10.1111/1365-2745.12156
- 660 McGill, B. J., Dornelas, M., Gotelli, N. J., & Magurran, A. E. (2015). Fifteen forms of biodiversity trend
661 in the Anthropocene. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 30(2), 104–113. doi:
662 10.1016/j.tree.2014.11.006
- 663 Möls, T., Vellak, K., Vellak, A., & Ingerpuu, N. (2013). Global gradients in moss and vascular plant
664 diversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 22(6–7), 1537–1551. doi: 10.1007/s10531-013-0492-
665 6
- 666 Mouquet, N., & Loreau, M. (2003). Community patterns in source-sink metacommunities. *The*
667 *American Naturalist*, 162(5), 544–557. doi: 10.1086/378857
- 668 Nascimbene, J., & Spitale, D. (2017). Patterns of beta-diversity along elevational gradients inform
669 epiphyte conservation in alpine forests under a climate change scenario. *Biological*
670 *Conservation*, 216, 26–32. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2017.09.021
- 671 Odland, A., Reinhardt, S., & Pedersen, A. (2014). Differences in richness of vascular plants, mosses,
672 and liverworts in southern Norwegian alpine vegetation. *Plant Ecology & Diversity*, 00(00), 1–
673 11. doi: 10.1080/17550874.2013.862751
- 674 Outhwaite, C. L., Gregory, R. D., Chandler, R. E., Collen, B., & Isaac, N. J. B. (2020). Complex long-term
675 biodiversity change among invertebrates, bryophytes and lichens. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*,
676 4(3), 384–392. doi: 10.1038/s41559-020-1111-z
- 677 Patiño, J., & Vanderpoorten, A. (2018). Bryophyte Biogeography. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*,
678 37(2–3), 175–209. doi: 10.1080/07352689.2018.1482444
- 679 Pauli, H., Gottfried, M., Dullinger, S., Abdaladze, O., Akhalkatsi, M., Alonso, J. L. B., ... Grabherr, G.
680 (2012). Recent Plant Diversity Changes on Europe's Mountain Summits. *Science*, 336(6079),
681 353–355. doi: 10.1126/science.1219033
- 682 Pharo, E. J., & Vitt, D. H. (2000). Local variation in bryophyte and macro-lichen cover and density in
683 diversity in montane forests of western Canada. *The Bryologist*, 103(3), 455–466. doi:
684 10.1639/0007-2745(2000)103[0455:LVIBAM]2.0.CO;2
- 685 Raabe, S., Müller, J., Manthey, M., Dürhammer, O., Teuber, U., Göttlein, A., ... Bässler, C. (2010).
686 Drivers of bryophyte diversity allow implications for forest management with a focus on

- climate change. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 260(11), 1956–1964. doi:
10.1016/j.foreco.2010.08.042
- Rooney, T. P. (2009). High white-tailed deer densities benefit graminoids and contribute to biotic
homogenization of forest ground-layer vegetation. *Plant Ecology*, 202(1), 103–111. doi:
10.1007/s11258-008-9489-8
- Rumpf, S. B., Hülber, K., Klöner, G., Moser, D., Schütz, M., Wessely, J., ... Dullinger, S. (2018). Range
dynamics of mountain plants decrease with elevation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences*, 115(8), 1848–1853. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1713936115
- Rydin, H. (2008). Population and community ecology of bryophytes. In *Bryophyte Biology* (pp. 393–
444). doi: DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511754807.011
- Savage, J., & Vellend, M. (2015). Elevational shifts, biotic homogenization and time lags in vegetation
change during 40 years of climate warming. *Ecography*, 38(6), 546–555. doi:
10.1111/ecog.01131
- Slack, N. G. (1977). *Species diversity and community structure in bryophytes: New York State studies*.
New York State Museum, The University of the State of New York.
- Socolar, J., Gilroy, J., Kunin, W., & Edwards, D. (2016). How should beta-diversity inform biodiversity
conservation? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31(1), 67–80. doi:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.11.005>
- Spaak, J. W., Baert, J. M., Baird, D. J., Eisenhauer, N., Maltby, L., Pomati, F., ... De Laender, F. (2017).
Shifts of community composition and population density substantially affect ecosystem
function despite invariant richness. *Ecology Letters*, 20(10), 1315–1324. doi:
10.1111/ele.12828
- Stockli, V., Wipf, S., Nilsson, C., & Rixen, C. (2012). Using historical plant surveys to track biodiversity
on mountain summits. *Plant Ecology and Diversity*, 4(4), 415–425. doi:
10.1080/17550874.2011.651504
- Tuba, Z., Slack, N. G., & Stark, L. R. (2011). *Bryophyte ecology and climate change*. Cambridge:
Cambridge University Press.
- Turetsky, M. R. (2003). The role of Bryophytes in carbon and nitrogen cycling. *The Bryologist*,
106(3), 395–409. doi: 10.1639/05
- UQCN. (2005). *Rapport de synthèse - Parc National de Forillon. Importance et impacts des pressions
périphériques sur le maintien de l'intégrité écologique des aires protégées au Québec*. Retrieved
from
[http://www.naturequebec.org/fichiers/Aires_protegees/Rapport_projet_PAPE_PDFMars/Aires
s_protegees/Forillon.pdf](http://www.naturequebec.org/fichiers/Aires_protegees/Rapport_projet_PAPE_PDFMars/Aires_protegees/Forillon.pdf)
- Urban, M. C. (2015). Accelerating extinction risk from climate change. *Science*, 348(6234), 571–573.
doi: 10.1126/science.aaa4984
- Vanneste, T., Michelsen, O., Graae, B. J., Kyrkjeeide, M. O., Hassel, K., Lindmo, S., & Frenne, P. De.
(2017). Impact of climate change on alpine vegetation of mountain summits in Norway.
Ecological Research, 32, 579–593. doi: 10.1007/s11284-017-1472-1
- Vellend, M., Baeten, L., Becker-Scarpitta, A., Boucher-Lalonde, V., McCune, J. L., Messier, J., ... Sax, D. F.
(2017). Plant biodiversity change across scales during the anthropocene. *Annual Review of
Plant Biology*, 68(1), 563–586. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-040949
- Vellend, M., Brown, C. D., Kharouba, H. M., McCune, J. L., & Myers-Smith, I. H. (2013). Historical

ecology: Using unconventional data sources to test for effects of global environmental change. *American Journal of Botany*, 100(7), 1294–1305. doi: 10.3732/ajb.1200503

Vellend, M., Dornelas, M., Baeten, L., Beauséjour, R., Brown, C. D., De Frenne, P., ... Sievers, C. (2017). Estimates of local biodiversity change over time stand up to scrutiny. *Ecology*, 98(2), 583–590. doi: 10.1002/ecy.1660

Verheyen, K., De Frenne, P., Baeten, L., Waller, D. M., Hédli, R., Perring, M. P., ... Bernhardt-Römermann, M. (2017). Combining biodiversity resurveys across regions to advance global change research. *BioScience*, 67(1), 73–83. doi: 10.1093/biosci/biw150

Virtanen, R., Eskelinen, A., & Harrison, S. (2017). Comparing the responses of bryophytes and short-statured vascular plants to climate shifts and eutrophication. *Functional Ecology*, 31(4), 946–954. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12788

Vittoz, P., Camenisch, M., Mayor, R., Miserere, L., Vust, M., & Theurillat, J.-P. (2010). Subalpine-nival gradient of species richness for vascular plants, bryophytes and lichens in the Swiss Inner Alps. *Botanica Helvetica*, 120(2), 139–149. doi: 10.1007/s00035-010-0079-8

Yagouti, A., Boulet, G., Vincent, L., Vescovi, L., & Mekis, É. (2008). Observed changes in daily temperature and precipitation indices for southern Québec, 1960–2005. *Atmosphere-Ocean*, 46(2), 243–256. doi: 10.3137/ao.460204

Supplementary Materials

- Appendix S1 - Taxonomic standardization of bryophytes species between surveys

- Appendix S2 - Mean species elevation and sum of occurrences for bryophytes for original and recent survey in Forillon and Mont-Mégantic