Siderophores provoke extracellular superoxide production by carbon-starving *Arthrobacter* strains when carbon sources recover

Xue Ning¹, Jinsong Liang^{2,3,4*}, Yujie Men⁵, Yangyang Chang⁶, Yaohui Bai³, Huijuan Liu¹, Aijie Wang^{2,3}, Tong Zhang⁴, and Jiuhui Qu^{1,3*}

¹Center for Water and Ecology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China;

²School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China;

³Key Laboratory of Drinking Water Science and Technology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China;

⁴Environmental Microbiome Engineering and Biotechnology Laboratory, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China;

⁵Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, United States;

⁶School of Environmental Science and Technology, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jhqu@tsinghua.edu.cn; liangjinsong@hit.edu.cn

ABSTRACT: Superoxide and other reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the environment shape microbial communities¹ and drive transformation of metals^{2,3} and inorganic/organic matter^{4,5}. Taxonomically diverse bacteria and phytoplankton can produce extracellular superoxide during laboratory cultivation⁶⁻¹¹. Understanding the physiological reasons for extracellular superoxide production by aerobes in the environment is a crucial question yet not fully solved. Here, we showed that iron-starving *Arthrobacter* sp. QXT-31 (referred to as *A. QXT-31* hereafter) secreted a type of siderophore (deferrioxamine, DFO), which provoked extracellular superoxide production by carbon-starving *A. QXT-31* when carbon sources were recovered. Several other siderophores also demonstrated similar effects. RNA-Seq data hinted that DFO stripped iron from iron-bearing proteins in the electron transfer chain (ETC) of metabolically active *A. QXT-31*, resulting in electron leakage from the electron-rich (resulting from carbons source metabolism) ETC and superoxide production. Considering that most aerobes secrete siderophore(s)¹² and often

35 **suffer from carbon starvation in the environment, certain aerobes are expected**
 36 **to produce extracellular superoxide when carbon source(s) recover/fluctuate, thus**
 37 **influencing the microbial community and cycling of many elements. In addition,**
 38 **an artificial iron-chelator (diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid, DTPA) was**
 39 **widely used in microbial superoxide quantification. Our results showed that**
 40 **DTPA provoked superoxide production by A. QXT-31 and highlighted its**
 41 **potential interference in microbial superoxide quantification.**

42 Reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide, are widely produced by aerobes
 43 and phytoplankton⁶⁻¹¹. Biogenic ROS participate in interspecies signaling and
 44 microbial community shaping¹ and drive the transformation of metals^{2,3} and organic
 45 matter^{4,5} in the environment. Single-electron reduction of oxygen produces superoxide,
 46 which can be further biologically/abiotically reduced to hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂)
 47 and hydroxyl radical (HO[•]), hence superoxide production is of crucial importance.
 48 Although extracellular superoxide can be produced during normal aerobic metabolism
 49 of phylogenetically diverse aerobes⁷, the physiological reasons involved are far from
 50 clear. A better understanding of the physiological reasons for microbial ROS
 51 production would help to identify the influencing factors for microbial ROS
 52 production in natural ecosystems, and for the application of microbial ROS in
 53 bioremediation.

54 Iron in aerobic environments is commonly oxidized by oxygen into the ferric form
 55 (Fe(III)). To overcome the low bio-availability of Fe(III), most aerobes synthesize and
 56 secrete at least one type of siderophore¹², which are widespread in the environment, to
 57 transport Fe(III) into cells. Here, we report on the novel role of siderophores in
 58 facilitating extracellular superoxide production by carbon-starving *Arthrobacter*
 59 strains when carbon sources recover. We observed that extracellular superoxide was
 60 not detected (using our earlier protocol¹³ based on the reaction between superoxide
 61 and superoxide-specific chemiluminescence (CL) probe MCLA⁸) in 48-h A. QXT-31
 62 cultures grown in liquid mineral salt medium (MSM; containing 250 mg•L⁻¹ glucose
 63 as the sole carbon source and 1.78 μM FeCl₃; Supplementary Text 1), whereas

64 glucose re-supplementation (50 mg/L) in 48-h *A. QXT-31* cultures induced substantial
 65 superoxide production within approximate 30 min (Fig. 1a). Similar phenomena were
 66 also observed in the cultures of two other *Arthrobacter* strains (i.e., *A. cupressi* and *A.*
 67 *humicola*; purchased from China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center
 68 (CGMCC)) grown in modified peptone-yeast extract-glucose (mPYG) medium¹⁴
 69 (Supplementary Fig. 2). After glucose was re-supplemented in the 48-h *A. QXT-31*
 70 culture, the glucose concentration consistently decreased at first and then remained at
 71 a constant level (~10 mg/L); superoxide CL signal intensity initially increased and
 72 peaked when the glucose concentration reached the constant value, and then
 73 persistently declined (Fig. 1a). Glucose re-supplementation only provoked superoxide
 74 production in *A. QXT-31* cultured for more than 36 h (Fig. 1b), and maximal
 75 production in *A. QXT-31* culture peaked on the sixth day and then declined (Fig. 1b).
 76 These observations of *A. QXT-31* raised two questions: 1) what is the role of glucose
 77 in provoking superoxide production in old (≥ 36 h) *A. QXT-31* cultures; and 2) why
 78 did glucose re-supplementation only trigger substantial superoxide production in
 79 ≥ 36 -h *A. QXT-31* cultures.

80 Our results indicated that aerobic metabolism of glucose was required for superoxide
 81 production: i.e., 1) superoxide CL signal intensity in 48-h *A. QXT-31* culture
 82 re-supplemented with sterile glucose (50 mg•L⁻¹) stopped increasing right after
 83 glucose consumption ceased (Fig. 1a); 2) non-metabolizable L-isomer of glucose
 84 (L-glucose), instead of metabolizable glucose (D-isomer of glucose; D-glucose), was
 85 unable to induce production of extracellular superoxide (Fig. 1c); and 3) when *A.*
 86 *QXT-31* was grown in MSM with other metabolizable carbon sources, carbon source
 87 re-supplementation in the culture induced superoxide production (Fig. 1c). The citric
 88 acid cycle, where electrons are generated during the breakdown of organic fuel
 89 molecules, is a primary metabolic process of these carbon sources. Thus, we
 90 speculated that glucose, and other carbon sources, probably provoked superoxide
 91 production by generating electrons during aerobic metabolism.

92 However, glucose re-supplementation did not induce substantial extracellular

93 superoxide production in young (<36 h) *A. QXT-31* cultures (Fig 1b). Rather, cells
 94 and extracellular substances in old (≥ 36 h) *A. QXT-31* cultures appeared to favor
 95 superoxide production. As expected, when 24-h *A. QXT-31* cells, deposited by
 96 centrifugation (718 g, 30 °C, 10 min), were suspended with the cell-free filtrate (CFF)
 97 of 48-h *A. QXT-31* culture, glucose re-supplementation induced superoxide
 98 production in the suspension (Fig. 2a). These results demonstrated that extracellular
 99 substance(s) in 48-h *A. QXT-31* culture induced 24-h cells to produce superoxide after
 100 glucose re-supplementation. The <3 kDa CFF fraction in 48-h *A. QXT-31* culture was
 101 the only fraction capable of triggering superoxide production by 24-h cells (Fig. 2b).
 102 Chromatographic analysis of fresh MSM and <3 kDa CFF fractions of 24- and 48-h *A.*
 103 *QXT-31* cultures showed that a conspicuous peak signal was only observed in the 24-
 104 and 48-h CFF, with the peak in 48-h CFF approximately double that in 24-h CFF
 105 (Supplementary Fig. 3). The exclusive peak in CFF was then identified by
 106 electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (ESI-MS/MS). Its primary and
 107 secondary mass spectra shared a predominant m/z peak and molecular ion
 108 fragmentation with that of deferoxamine (DFO) (Supplementary Fig. 4&5), a
 109 common type of microbial siderophore. The DFO standard (deferoxamine mesylate
 110 salt; European Pharmacopoeia Reference Standard) shared a similar retention time
 111 (12.51 min) as the exclusive peak in CFF (12.49 min) (Supplementary Fig. 3). The
 112 addition of 2.0 μM of DFO (approximate to the difference in DFO concentration
 113 between 24- and 48-h cultures) into glucose-re-supplemented 24-, 36-, and 48-h *A.*
 114 *QXT-31* cultures triggered/enhanced superoxide production (Fig. 2c). A siderophore
 115 biosynthesis gene (locus tag BWQ92_RS08305) was predicted in the *A. QXT-31*
 116 genome using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline¹⁵ (website provided
 117 in Methods section), indicating that *A. QXT-31* is capable of synthesizing at least one
 118 type of siderophore. Our results strongly demonstrated that *A. QXT-31* synthesized
 119 and secreted DFO during cultivation (probably as a responding to iron starvation),
 120 which accumulated extracellularly and provoked carbon-starving cells to produce
 121 superoxide when the utilizable carbon source was recovered.

122 The affinity of DFO to Fe(III) under physiological conditions is much greater than
 123 that of the common artificial metal-chelator, ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
 124 (EDTA)¹⁶. Hence, it was hypothesized that DFO promotes superoxide production by
 125 exploiting its high affinity to Fe(III). This hypothesis was supported by the following
 126 experimental results: 1) Each of the four other types of iron-free siderophore (e.g.,
 127 acetohydroxamic acid, deferrioxamine E, enterobactin, and ferrichrome) also
 128 triggered superoxide production in glucose-re-supplemented 24-h *A. QXT-31* culture
 129 (Fig. 2d); however, 2) superoxide production was attenuated by preincubating the
 130 siderophores with Fe(III) (Fig. 2d).

131 The underlying mechanism involved in the facilitation of superoxide production by
 132 DFO was further explored using RNA-Seq. Their high affinity for Fe(III) enables
 133 certain siderophores to strip iron from iron-bearing proteins^{17,18}, and thus diminish
 134 their activities. Accordingly, DFO was suspected to strip iron from iron-bearing
 135 proteins of *A. QXT-31* and thus inactivate these proteins. RNA-Seq analysis showed
 136 that DFO supplementation (2.0 μ M; approximate to DFO concentration in 24-h
 137 culture) up-regulated the transcriptional level of genes encoding iron-related and
 138 iron-bearing proteins (including Fe-S cluster assembly proteins, NADH
 139 dehydrogenase, ubiquinol-cytochrome C reductase, cytochrome B, and ferredoxin) in
 140 *A. QXT-31* cultures (without carbon source supplementation) at different ages (12, 24,
 141 36, and 48 h). The highest up-regulation was observed in the 48-h culture (Fig. 3a and
 142 Supplementary Fig. 6a). Transcriptional up-regulations of the same genes were also
 143 observed in 36-h *A. QXT-31* cells treated by the other siderophores (2 μ M), compared
 144 to cells without siderophore treatment (Fig. 3b). An increase in Fe-S cluster synthesis
 145 could be a response to Fe-S cluster damage in proteins¹⁹. Hence, the above results
 146 suggest that DFO stripped iron from these iron-bearing proteins and thus impaired
 147 their activities. ETC in bacterial plasmalemma are functionally similar to that of
 148 eukaryotic mitochondria. In addition, mitochondrial H₂O₂ production (biogenic H₂O₂
 149 is easily transformed from superoxide by the superoxide-producing cells themselves
 150 and is commonly used for indirect quantification of superoxide) can be promoted by

electron transfer inhibitors by disturbing the electron transfer process^{20,21}. The transcriptional up-regulation of genes encoding NADH dehydrogenase (ETC complex I) and ubiquinol-cytochrome C reductase (ETC complex III) was observed after DFO addition (Fig. 3a), indicating that DFO caused the impairment/disfunction of the two complexes in the ETC of A. QXT-31 cells. Considering perturbations of ETC functions of eukaryotic cells promoted superoxide production during the consumption of NADH^{20,21}, the above results suggest that DFO-induced disfunction of electron-rich (resulting from carbons source metabolism) ETC complexes I and III was a probable reason for superoxide production by metabolically active A. QXT-31.

The above findings prompted a rethink of the methodology of cellular superoxide quantification, where a metal-chelator, DTPA, was widely used^{7-10,22,23}. DTPA was initially exploited in a superoxide producing system (xanthine-xanthine oxidase system; used to generate superoxide at an expected rate) to maintain superoxide signals by suppressing interference from metal ions^{23,24}. However, as a Fe(III)-chelator, DTPA may enhance/provoke cellular superoxide production, resulting in overestimated or false-positive results when estimating cellular superoxide production rates under physiological conditions. Our data showed that DTPA induced A. QXT-31 to produce more extracellular superoxide, even at concentrations (5–10 μ M) (Fig. 4a) lower than used in previous research (40–170 μ M)^{7-10,22}. Similar phenomena were also observed when DTPA was replaced with DFO, EDTA, and acetohydroxamic acid (Supplementary Fig. 8). Increase in superoxide production in the DTPA-added A. QXT-31 culture may result from two possibilities: 1) cells were altered by DTPA to become superoxide producer, and 2) extracellular superoxide scavenger in A. QXT-31 culture was suppressed by DTPA, leaving preexisting superoxide detected. Compared to 24-h A. QXT-31 culture re-supplemented with glucose (25 mg/L), DTPA-treated (10 μ M; for 10 min) 24-h A. QXT-31 cells produced markedly more extracellular superoxide after resuspension in the glucose-re-supplemented (25 mg/L) raw CFF of 24-h A. QXT-31 culture (Fig. 4b), indicating that DTPA acted on and provoked A. QXT-31 cells to produce superoxide.

180 The CL signal intensity of superoxide produced by xanthine (X) and xanthine oxidase
181 (XO) (250 μM X and 200 $\text{mU}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ XO) in modified MSM (without trace heavy metals
182 or cofactors; with 50 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ glucose) was not suppressed in the presence of CFF from
183 24-h culture (Fig. 4c), suggesting the absence of a superoxide scavenger in the CFF
184 (Fig. 4c). Hence, these results indicate that the DTPA-induced superoxide increase in
185 *A. QXT-31* culture was totally attributable to the effect of DTPA on *A. QXT-31* cells.
186 Thus, DTPA and other metal chelators should be used with caution, as they may exert
187 a positive influence on superoxide production in certain microbes and interfere
188 cellular superoxide detection/quantification.

189 The finding also shed light on the important role of siderophores in microbial ecology.
190 Aerobes produce and secrete over 500 different types of siderophores²⁵, which
191 accumulate in the environment due to their good stability. Hydroxamate-type
192 siderophores in soil have been reported as high as 10 μM ²⁶. In addition, aerobes often
193 suffer from carbon starvation in the environment²⁷. When a small quantity of carbon
194 (as low as 15 mg/L of glucose for *A. QXT-31* (Supplementary Fig. 9)) becomes
195 available for these Fe- and carbon-starving aerobes, some species (such as
196 *Arthrobacter* species) of microflora can produce extracellular superoxide. As
197 superoxide and other ROS are toxic to cells, the neighbors of extracellular superoxide
198 producers may be suppressed, and superoxide producers, which should be resistant to
199 ROS, will likely succeed in carbon source competition. Hence, some aerobes may
200 change the microbial community by producing extracellular superoxide when carbon
201 source levels fluctuate. In addition, superoxide produced by Fe- and carbon-starving
202 aerobes during carbon source fluctuation may also accelerate the transformation of
203 metals and inorganic/organic matter in the environment.

204

205 **Methods**

206 **Bacterial strain and cultivation**

207 We used an aerobic gram-positive strain of *Arthrobacter* sp. QXT-31 (referred as A.
208 QXT-31) isolated from surface soil obtained from a manganese mine in Hunan
209 Province, China¹⁴. The A. QXT-31 strain was deposited in the CGMCC (CGMCC
210 number 6631). For experimentation, A. QXT-31 was grown in mineral salt medium
211 (MSM, Supplementary Text 1). For each cultivation in liquid medium, an agar-plate
212 colony was transferred into 30 mL of liquid culture for 48-h cultivation in the dark at
213 170 rpm and 30 °C. After subculturing for one generation, the bacterial culture grown
214 for 24 h was used as an inoculum (3% inoculation proportion, v:v). Both *Arthrobacter*
215 *cupressi* (CGMCC number 1.10783) and *Arthrobacter humicola* (CGMCC number
216 1.15654) were grown in modified peptone-yeast extract-glucose (mPYG) medium¹⁴.
217 Cell growth was estimated using optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) with the bacterial
218 suspension, monitored by a Spark™ 10M microplate reader (Tecan, Switzerland).

219 **Extracellular superoxide production assay**

220 A superoxide-specific chemiluminescent (CL) probe (MCLA,
221 2-methyl-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3,7-dihydroimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-3(7H)-one; TCI,
222 Japan) was used for the extracellular superoxide assays⁸ using the microplate
223 luminometer of the microplate reader. According to our previous study¹³, DTPA was
224 excluded in the superoxide quantification system unless otherwise stated. Xanthine
225 and xanthine oxidase from bovine milk (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were added to the
226 bacterial cultures to generate a calibration curve between the superoxide production
227 rate and superoxide CL signal intensity for calibration of the bacterial superoxide
228 production rate⁸. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) from erythrocytes of *Bos grunniens*
229 (Gansu Yangtaihe Biotechnology Co., Ltd., China) was used to generate a
230 superoxide-free control. Details are available in Supplementary Text 2.

231 **Glucose quantification**

232 Glucose concentration in the bacterial cultures was measured using a glucose

quantification kit (E1010, Applygen, China) based on the glucose oxidase/peroxidase method²⁸ in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. A calibration curve between glucose concentration and optical density at 500 nm, which was determined using the microplate reader, was established to calibrate the glucose concentration in samples.

Secretion fractionation and identification

Secretions of *A. QXT-31* in MSM were fractionated based on molecular weight to explore the molecular weight range of the activated substance(s) facilitating superoxide production by *A. QXT-31*. Secretions in 48-h *A. QXT-31* cultures were first centrifuged (Sigma 3-18KS, Germany) at 10,000 g and 4 °C for 5 min, with the resulting supernatant filtrated through a 0.22-μm sterile filter (Guangzhou Jet Bio-Filtration Co., Ltd, China) to prepare the cell-free filtrate (CFF). The CFF was then fractionated into five fractions (>100 kDa, 100–30 kDa, 30–10 kDa, 10–3 kDa, and <3 kDa) using Millipore ultrafiltration centrifugal filters with nominal molecular mass limits of 100 kDa, 30 kDa, 10 kDa, and 3 kDa. The 24-h *A. QXT-31* cells were harvested by centrifugation (718 g, 30 °C, 10 min), and suspended in each of the five fractions. The suspensions (180 μL) were added to microplate wells preloaded with MCLA (3.125 μM), glucose (50 mg/L), and SOD (120 kU•L⁻¹, only for controls), and superoxide CL signal intensity was detected immediately. An Ultimate 3000 ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system, combined with a Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), was used to identify suspected substance(s) in the secretion fractions. Details are available in Supplementary Text 3.

Preparation of Fe(III)-preincubated siderophores

Fe(III)-saturated siderophore solution was prepared by slowly adding freshly prepared Fe(III) solution (FeCl₃·6H₂O dissolved in deionized water) to the siderophore solution at a variable molar ratio (depending on Fe(III) complexing site number of siderophore molecules) so that the complexing site of each siderophore was saturated by Fe(III),

261 leaving negligible uncomplexed Fe(III). The Fe(III)-preincubated siderophore
262 solution was allowed to equilibrate for at least 1 h at room temperature before use.

263 **RNA extraction, sequencing, and transcriptome analysis**

264 RNA-Seq was used to estimate transcriptional abundance of *A. QXT-31* cells in
265 variable conditions: 1) *A. QXT-31* cells cultured for 12 h, 24 h, 36 h, and 48 h
266 with/without exogenous DFO (2 μ M) treatment; and 2) 36-h *A. QXT-31* cells treated
267 by each of the four siderophores (2 μ M; acetohydroxamic acid, ferrichrome,
268 enterobactin, and deferrioxamine E). Each siderophore was added to the culture (5 mL)
269 to react with cells for 2 h, and cells in 0.5 mL of the culture (5 mL) were then
270 harvested by centrifugation (10,000 g, 4 °C, 3 min) at 0.5 h, 1 h, 1.5 h, and 2 h, with
271 the four cell samples collected at the four timepoints mixed well as an RNA-Seq
272 sample. TRNzol reagent (DP424, TIANGEN, China) was used for RNA extraction
273 according to the manufacturer's instructions, with modification of the cell lysis step,
274 where cell pellets were pulverized by a pestle in liquid nitrogen¹³. Total RNA
275 concentration, RNA integrity number (RIN), and RNA quality number (RQN) were
276 evaluated using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Santa Clara, USA). Samples with a
277 RIN/RQN value above 8.0 were collected for further analysis. Extracted RNAs were
278 kept at -80 °C before cDNA library construction. Details of RNA sequencing and
279 transcriptome analysis are listed in Text S4. All raw sequences generated from
280 RNA-Seq were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database under
281 accession number PRJNA607123.

282 The coding regions of all *A. QXT-31* genes annotated by the NCBI Prokaryotic
283 Genome Annotation Pipeline¹⁵
284 ([ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all/GCF/001/969/265/GCF_001969265.1_ASM1](ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all/GCF/001/969/265/GCF_001969265.1_ASM196926v1)
285 [96926v1](ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all/GCF/001/969/265/GCF_001969265.1_ASM196926v1)) were used as a reference for transcriptome analysis. Transcriptional
286 abundance was estimated using a build-in script (*align_and_estimate_abundance.pl*)
287 and normalized for cross-sample comparison using a build-in script
288 (*abundance_estimates_to_matrix.pl*) with the Trinity platform (v2.8.5)²⁹.

289 **Influence of DTPA on cellular superoxide production**

290 The 24-h *A. QXT-31* cultures were supplemented with/without 10 μM sterile DTPA
291 and incubated at 170 rpm for 10 min at 30 °C, with 1 mL of each culture then
292 centrifuged (718 g, 30 °C, 10 min) for cell deposition. Cells were suspended in 1 mL
293 of CFF (DTPA free) from the 24-h *A. QXT-31* culture. The suspensions (180 μL) were
294 added to microplate wells preloaded with MCLA (3.125 μM), glucose (50 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$),
295 and SOD (120 $\text{kU}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$, only for controls), and the superoxide CL signal intensity was
296 detected immediately.

297 The potential presence of an extracellular superoxide scavenger in 24-h *A. QXT-31*
298 cultures was explored. MSM (without heavy metals or cofactors; with 50 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$
299 glucose) was prepared and mixed with the CFF of 36-h *A. QXT-31* culture at variable
300 volume ratios (i.e., 100:0, 95:5, 90:10, 85:15, 80:20, and 70:30 MSM:CFF). The
301 mixtures were added to microplate wells preloaded with superoxide-producing
302 reagents (250 μM xanthine (X) and 200 $\text{mU}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ xanthine oxidase (XO)) and MCLA
303 (3.125 μM) for immediate determination in the microplate reader. Superoxide CL
304 signal intensity was collected within 3 min (10 measurements for each treatment) and
305 compared.

306 **Chemicals**

307 Chemicals used in this study included acetohydroxamic acid (Rhawn, China, >98%
308 purity); ferrichrome (*Ustilago sphaerogena*) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA, >99%);
309 enterobactin (*Escherichia coli*) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA, $\geq 98\%$); and deferrioxamine E
310 (Abcam, UK, >95%).

311 **Acknowledgements**

312 This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China
313 (Funding No. 31700106, 51778603, and 51820105011). This research was also
314 funded by the Hong Kong Scholars Program.

315 **Contributions**

316 J.Q. and J.L. conceptualized the study. X.N. and J.L. performed experiments and data
317 analysis. J.L. wrote the manuscript, with suggestions from Y.B., X.N., Y.M., T.Z., Y.C.,
318 H.L., and A.W..

319 References

- 320 1. Cáp, M., Váchová, L. & Palková, Z. Reactive oxygen species in the signaling and adaptation of
321 multicellular microbial communities. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* **2012**, 976753 (2012).
- 322 2. Learman, D. R., Voelker, B. M., Vazquez-Rodriguez, A. I. & Hansel, C. M. Formation of manganese
323 oxides by bacterially generated superoxide. *Nat Geosci* **4**, 95-98 (2011).
- 324 3. Pi, K., Markelova, E., Zhang, P. & Van Cappellen, P. Arsenic oxidation by flavin-derived reactive
325 species under oxic and anoxic conditions: oxidant formation and pH dependence. *Environ Sci*
326 *Technol* **53**, 10897-10905 (2019).
- 327 4. Pullin, M. J., Bertilsson, S., Goldstone, J. V. & Voelker, B. M. Effects of sunlight and hydroxyl
328 radical on dissolved organic matter: bacterial growth efficiency and production of carboxylic
329 acids and other substrates. *Limnol Oceanogr* **49**, 2011-2022 (2004).
- 330 5. Li, H. P. *et al.* Superoxide production by a manganese-oxidizing bacterium facilitates iodide
331 oxidation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **80**, 2693-2699 (2014).
- 332 6. Shvinka, J. E., Toma, M. K., Galinina, N. I., Skards, I. V. & Viesturs, U. E. Production of superoxide
333 radicals during bacterial respiration. *J Gen Microbiol* **113**, 377-382 (1979).
- 334 7. Diaz, J. M. *et al.* Widespread production of extracellular superoxide by heterotrophic bacteria.
335 *Science* **340**, 1223-1226 (2013).
- 336 8. Aurélie Godrant, A. L. R., Géraldine Sarthou, T. David Waite. New method for the determination of
337 extracellular production of superoxide by marine phytoplankton using the chemiluminescence
338 probes MCLA and red-CLA. *Limnol Oceanogr: Methods* **7**, 682-692 (2009).
- 339 9. Plummeer, S., Taylor, A. E., Harvey, E. L., Hansel, C. M. & Diaz, J. M. Dynamic regulation of
340 extracellular superoxide production by the coccolithophore *Emiliana huxleyi* (CCMP 374).
341 *Front. Microbiol.* **10** (2019).
- 342 10. Diaz, J. M. *et al.* NADPH-dependent extracellular superoxide production is vital to
343 photophysiology in the marine diatom *Thalassiosira oceanica*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **116**,
344 16448-16453 (2019).
- 345 11. Rose, A. L., Salmon, T. P., Lukondeh, T., Neilan, B. A. & Waite, T. D. Use of superoxide as an
346 electron shuttle for iron acquisition by the marine cyanobacterium *Lyngbya majuscula*.
347 *Environ Sci Technol* **39**, 3708-3715 (2005).
- 348 12. Neilands, J. B. Siderophores: structure and function of microbial iron transport compounds. *J Biol*
349 *Chem* **270**, 26723-26726 (1995).
- 350 13. Liang, J., Bai, Y., Men, Y. & Qu, J. Microbe-microbe interactions trigger Mn(II)-oxidizing gene
351 expression. *ISME J* **11**, 67-77 (2017).
- 352 14. Liang, J. S., Bai, Y. H., Hu, C. Z. & Qu, J. H. Cooperative Mn(II) oxidation between two bacterial
353 strains in an aquatic environment. *Water Res* **89**, 252-260 (2016).
- 354 15. Tatusova, T. *et al.* NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, 6614-6624
355 (2016).
- 356 16. Hider, R. C. & Kong, X. Chemistry and biology of siderophores. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **27**, 637-657
357 (2010).
- 358 17. Wilson, B. R., Bogdan, A. R., Miyazawa, M., Hashimoto, K. & Tsuji, Y. Siderophores in iron
359 metabolism: from mechanism to therapy potential. *Trends Mol. Med.* **22**, 1077-1090 (2016).
- 360 18. Singh, V. *et al.* Interplay between enterobactin, myeloperoxidase and lipocalin 2 regulates *E. coli*
361 survival in the inflamed gut. *Nat Commun* **6**, 7113 (2015).
- 362 19. Schwartz, C. J. *et al.* IscR, an Fe-S cluster-containing transcription factor, represses expression of

363 *Escherichia coli* genes encoding Fe-S cluster assembly proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98**,
364 14895-14900 (2001).

365 20. Boveris, A. & Chance, B. The mitochondrial generation of hydrogen peroxide. General properties
366 and effect of hyperbaric oxygen. *Biochem J* **134**, 707-716 (1973).

367 21. Lambert, A. J. & Brand, M. D. Inhibitors of the quinone-binding site allow rapid superoxide
368 production from mitochondrial nadh:ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I). *J Biol Chem* **279**,
369 39414-39420 (2004).

370 22. Diaz, J. M., Plummer, S., Tomas, C. & Alves-de-Souza, C. Production of extracellular superoxide
371 and hydrogen peroxide by five marine species of harmful bloom-forming algae. *J. Plankton*
372 *Res.* **40**, 667-677 (2018).

373 23. Buettner, G., Oberley, L. & Leuthauser, S. The effect of iron on the distribution of superoxide and
374 hydroxyl radicals as seen by spin trapping and on the superoxide dismutase assay. *Photochem.*
375 *Photobiol.* **28**, 693-695 (1978).

376 24. Buettner, G. R. & Oberley, L. W. Considerations in the spin trapping of superoxide and hydroxyl
377 radical in aqueous systems using 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-1-oxide. *Biochem Bioph Res Co* **83**,
378 69-74 (1978).

379 25. Boukhalfa, H., Lack, J., Reilly, S. D., Hersman, L. & Neu, M. P. Siderophore production and
380 facilitated uptake of iron and plutonium in *P. putida*. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **673**, 343-344 (2003).

381 26. Plessner, O., Klapatch, T. & Guerinot, M. L. Siderophore utilization by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*.
382 *Appl Environ Microbiol* **59**, 1688-1690 (1993).

383 27. Hobbie, J. & Hobbie, E. Microbes in nature are limited by carbon and energy: the starving-survival
384 lifestyle in soil and consequences for estimating microbial rates. *Front Microbiol.* **4** (2013).

385 28. Trinder, P. Determination of glucose in blood using glucose oxidase with an alternative oxygen
386 acceptor. *Ann. Clin. Biochem.* **6**, 24-27 (1969).

387 29. Haas, B. J. *et al.* *De novo* transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity
388 platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 1494-1512 (2013).

Fig. 1 Superoxide production in *A. QXT-31* culture re-supplemented with different carbon sources. (a) Superoxide CL signal intensity (only one of three biological replicates is shown here, with the other two shown in Supplementary Fig. 1) and residual glucose concentration ($n = 3$) in 48-h *A. QXT-31* culture re-supplemented with/without sterile glucose (50 mg·L⁻¹). Superoxide was monitored on a microplate reader after 180 μ L of culture was added to each prepared microplate well (reagents added in advance; $n = 3$). Wells with SOD (120 kU·L⁻¹) added were regarded as superoxide-free controls. (b) Maximum superoxide production rate, residual glucose concentration, and optical density (OD₆₀₀) ($n = 3$) in *A. QXT-31* cultures with/without sterile glucose re-supplementation (50 mg·L⁻¹) during 40-d cultivation. (c) Maximum superoxide production rate in 48-h *A. QXT-31* cultures with/without re-supplementation of fructose, maltose, D-glucose, succinic acid, D-sucrose, malic acid, citric acid, pyruvic acid, acetic acid, or L-glucose ($n = 3$). Carbon source re-supplementation in *A. QXT-31* culture was consistent with carbon source upon which *A. QXT-31* previously lived, with the exception of L-glucose treatment, where L-glucose was supplemented to *A. QXT-31* culture pre-grown in D-glucose. Each carbon source was re-supplemented at the same concentration of 50 mg·L⁻¹. Data are means $\pm$ average deviation of three replicates. G: Glucose.

17

18

Fig. 2 DFO in CFF of *A. QXT-31* culture provoked superoxide production. (a) Maximum superoxide production rate in 24-h *A. QXT-31* culture and 24-h *A. QXT-31* cell suspension in CFF of 48-h *A. QXT-31* culture. SOD ($120 \text{ kU}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) was added to generate superoxide-free controls. Superoxide CL data were collected on a microplate reader after cultures/suspensions were mixed with $50 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ glucose in microplate wells ($n = 3$). (b) Maximum superoxide production rate in 24-h *A. QXT-31* cell suspension (with $50 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ glucose re-supplementation) in 48-h CFF fractions of ≤ 3 kDa, 3–10 kDa, 10–30 kDa, 30–100 kDa, and >100 kDa. (c) DFO production in *A. QXT-31* culture, and maximum superoxide production rates in cultures (with $50 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ glucose re-supplementation) with/without DFO addition ($2 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$; approximate to DFO concentration in 24-h culture). (d) Maximum superoxide production rate in 24-h *A. QXT-31* cultures (with/without $50 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ glucose re-supplementation) with/without each free/Fe(III)-preincubated siderophore. FericR: ferrichrome; EnteroB: enterobactin; De-E: deferrioxamine E; Ac: Acetohydroxamic acid. Data are means $\pm$ average deviation of three replicates. G: Glucose.

31

Fig. 3 Siderophores caused transcriptional up-regulation of genes encoding iron-related and iron-bearing proteins. (a) Changes in the transcriptional abundance of genes encoding iron-related and iron-bearing proteins after 2.0 μ M sterile DFO was added to *A. QXT-31* cultures. After DFO addition, cells in 0.5 mL of culture were harvested by centrifugation (10,000 g, 4 $^{\circ}$ C, 3 min) at 0.5 h, 1 h, 1.5 h, and 2 h, with four cell samples collected at four time points then mixed well as an RNA-Seq sample. Cells in *A. QXT-31* cultures without DFO addition were used as controls. (b) Changes in the transcriptional abundance of genes encoding iron-related and iron-bearing proteins in 36-h *A. QXT-31* culture after supplementation with (2 μ M) acetohydroxamic acid (Ac), deferrioxamine E (De-E), enterobactin (EnteroB), and ferrichrome (FericR). RNA-Seq samples were prepared according to that of DFO. Data are means $\pm$ average deviation of two biological replicates.

Fig. 4 DTPA provoked *A. QXT-31* cells to produce superoxide. (a) Maximum superoxide production rate in glucose-re-supplemented ($50 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$) 24-h *A. QXT-31* cultures with/without the addition of DTPA. (b) Superoxide CL signal intensity in glucose-re-supplemented ($50 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$) 24-h *A. QXT-31* cultures and suspensions (in CFF of 24-h culture) of DTPA-treated 24-h *A. QXT-31* cells (only one of three biological replicates is shown here, with the other two shown in Supplementary Fig. 6). 24-h *A. QXT-31* culture was supplemented with/without 10 μM sterile DTPA, followed by shaking at 170 rpm at 30 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ in an oscillating incubator for 10 min. Cells were collected by centrifugation (718 g, 30 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, 10 min), and then suspended in CFF of 24-h culture (DTPA free). SOD ($120 \text{ kU} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$) was added to generate superoxide-free controls. (c) Superoxide CL signal intensity range in MSM with/without CFF of 24-h culture. MSM was first mixed with CFF to generate mixtures with variable volume ratios (100:0, 95:5, 90:10, 85:15, 80:20, and 70:30 MSM:CFF), then the mixtures were added to microplate wells preloaded with superoxide-producing reagents (250 μM xanthine (X) and 200 $\text{mU} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ xanthine oxidase (XO)) and MCLA (3.125 μM) for immediate determination in a microplate reader. Superoxide CL signal intensity was collected within 3 min (10 measurements for each treatment, $n = 10$) and compared. G: Glucose.