Title: Resistance, resilience, and functional redundancy of freshwater microbial communities facing multiple agricultural stressors in a mesocosm experiment

Running title: Bacterioplankton responses to agricultural stress

Authors: Naíla Barbosa da Costa¹, Vincent Fugère^{2,3}, Marie-Pier Hébert^{2,3}, Charles C.Y. Xu^{3,4}, Rowan D.H. Barrett^{3,4}, Beatrix Beisner², Graham Bell³, Viviane Yargeau⁵, Gregor F. Fussmann³, Andrew Gonzalez³, B. Jesse Shapiro¹

¹University of Montreal, Department of Biological Sciences

²University of Québec at Montreal, Department of Biological Sciences

³McGill University, Department of Biology

⁴McGill University, Redpath Museum

⁵McGill University, Department of Chemical Engineering

Abstract

Freshwater biodiversity is threatened by fertilizers and pesticides from agricultural sources.

Microbial communities can be resistant (*i.e.*, community composition stays largely the same) or resilient (*i.e.*, composition changes but then returns to its initial state) to these contaminants.

Even after changes in composition, communities may continue to support ecosystem processes due to functional redundancy, in which different taxa carry out the same process, such that the process is maintained even after some taxa are lost. To test the extent of resistance, resilience,

and functional redundancy in aquatic bacterial communities (bacterioplankton) faced with

agricultural stressors, we exposed freshwater mesocosms to two commonly used pesticides: the

herbicide Roundup (glyphosate) and the neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid, alone or in

combination, and in high or low nutrient backgrounds. Over the 42-day experiment, we tracked

bacterial density with flow cytometry, functional composition with Biolog EcoPlates, and

taxonomic diversity with culture-independent 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. We show

that only glyphosate, but not imidacloprid or nutrients, measurably changed community

structure. Despite this change, metabolic capabilities were maintained, suggesting functional

redundancy. We further show that communities are resilient at broad, but not fine phylogenetic

levels: the precise amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) driven below the limit of detection by

glyphosate stress do not return, but tend to be replaced by relatives within the same genus.

Together, our results show that bacterioplankton are broadly resistant, resilient, and redundant

faced with severe agricultural stressors on weekly time scales, but that high doses of glyphosate

can have longer-lasting effects on fine-scale diversity.

24 **Introduction**

25 Bacterioplankton are drivers of energy and nutrient cycling in freshwater ecosystems [1,2].

26 These microbial communities can be affected by environmental disturbances [3,4] potentially
27 influencing ecosystem processes in lakes and rivers. Yet exactly how these disturbances affect
28 microbial community structure and function is not well understood [4,5].

29
30 When faced with a disturbance, microbial community composition may remain largely unaltered,
31 a phenomenon known as community resistance [5]. Alternatively, a disturbed microbial
32 community may recover over time, a process known as community resilience [5]. Both
33 resistance and resilience confer community stability after a disturbance, allowing ecosystem
34 processes (*e.g.*, community metabolism, nutrient cycling, etc.) to be maintained.

35
36 If community composition is permanently altered by a disturbance, ecosystem processes may
37 remain unaffected if the altered community is able to perform the same set of functions as the
38 original community. This phenomenon is known as functional redundancy [4]. Functional
39 redundancy is thought to be common in microbial communities, as most metabolic pathways
40 controlling biogeochemical cycles are found across different clades [2]. It has been suggested
41 that spatial and environmental heterogeneity (or fluctuations) and biotic interactions (*e.g.*,
42 predation) are required to explain the widespread redundancy in biochemical pathways [6]. It is
43 also likely that communities are partially redundant (for certain general functions like respiration
44 or biomass production), but complementary (non-overlapping functions) for very specific
45 functions encoded by unique taxa [7]. The prevalence of, and reasons for, microbial community

resistance, resilience, and functional redundancy are still debated [4,5] particularly in response to novel anthropogenic disturbances which often involve combinations of stressors.

Agricultural expansion and intensification are main drivers of global environmental change in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems [8–10]. Chemicals derived from agricultural landscapes, such as fertilizers and pesticides, are among the main sources of freshwater pollution [11], leading to eutrophication [12,13] and biodiversity loss [14–16]. Agricultural runoff usually contains a mixture of nutrients, herbicides, and insecticides that may interact to affect aquatic microbial species [17] and communities [18,19]. The effects of nutrient pollution in freshwater communities are well understood [20,21], but their potential interaction with other agricultural pollutants such as pesticides deserves further study.

The herbicide glyphosate, mainly formulated commercially as Roundup, and the neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid are among the most commonly used pesticides worldwide [22,23]. They can be found in surface waters at concentrations up to 430 µg/l [24,25] and 320 µg/l [26] for glyphosate and imidacloprid respectively. There are reports of glyphosate concentrations in surface waters up to several milligrams per litre, particularly in cases of accidental spills, overwater application, or applications followed by extreme storms [27]. Reported concentrations of both pesticides are highly variable, but on average glyphosate is found at higher concentrations than imidacloprid in freshwater [26,28]. Currently, the Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the protection of aquatic life recommend a maximum aquatic glyphosate concentration of 800 µg/l for long-term exposures and 27 000 µg/l for short-term exposures [29], while for imidacloprid, there is an interim guideline of 0.23 µg/l maximum concentration in

water bodies [30]. Note, however, that these criteria were developed based on toxicity tests with eukaryotic organisms, such that it remains unclear how bacterial communities would respond to these concentrations considered “safe for aquatic life”.

Glyphosate is a broad-spectrum synthetic phosphonate herbicide that acts by inhibiting the enzyme enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) involved in the shikimate pathway, responsible for the biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids essential to plants, fungi, and microbes [31]. It is used for weed control mainly in agriculture, but also applied in lower volumes to non-crop areas such as roadsides, parks and domestic gardens [32,33]. Glyphosate and its metabolite aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA) can be transported from soil to surface waters by runoff or leaching from agricultural landscapes. Soil properties, such as pH and phosphate concentration, influence whether glyphosate and AMPA remain adsorbed to soil particles or are carried away in their dissolved form [34,35]. Frequency, dose, and timing of glyphosate application combined with climate conditions (*e.g.* precipitation patterns), hydrology, and soil type influence how fast and often glyphosate enters waterways, usually as a discontinuous input rather than a continuous exposure [34]. Some microorganisms are resistant to glyphosate either by expressing an insensitive form of the target enzyme [36,37] or by metabolizing the molecule and using it as a phosphorus source [38].

Imidacloprid is a neonicotinoid insecticide available in various commercial formulations and commonly administered in the control of piercing-sucking insects on crops worldwide [39,40]. As it is systemically active in plants, it can be applied to seeds, foliage, or directly onto soil [41]. Leaching or runoff of imidacloprid from soils to water bodies may occur, depending on the

soil type, moisture, and organic matter content [42]. Imidacloprid is water soluble, facilitating its movement into surface and groundwater, while its rapid degradation combined with its discrete applications in fields result in pulsed exposures to aquatic systems [43]. At least 13 bacterial isolates from soil have been reported to degrade imidacloprid, resulting in a variety of metabolites, some even more toxic and persistent than imidacloprid [44].

In this study, we describe a mesocosm experiment with lake-derived bacterioplankton to test the effects of pulse applications of glyphosate and imidacloprid on bacterial community density, composition and carbon metabolic profiles. To identify interactive effects of agricultural stressors, we applied these pesticides alone or in combination, and in the presence or absence of nutrient enrichment simulating fertilizer pollution. None of these treatments affected bacterial cell densities, and only high glyphosate treatments caused dramatic shifts in community composition, with no apparent interactive effects with other stressors. None of the stressors caused detectable changes in carbon metabolic profiles, consistent with functional redundancy despite the glyphosate-induced shifts in community composition. Defined at broad phylogenetic categories, community composition returned close to its initial state 24 days after the first glyphosate pulse – indicating resilience to glyphosate stress. Despite this broad resilience, the precise strains (defined here as amplicon sequence variants; ASVs) initially present in the community did not return and were replaced with close but non-identical relatives. Thus, glyphosate induces long-term changes in fine-scale community composition. Together, these results show that microbial community responses to complex combinations of anthropogenic stressors may involve resistance to some stressors (imidacloprid and nutrients), but resilience to others (glyphosate).

115 **Methods**

116 *Experimental design and sampling*

117 We conducted a mesocosm experiment for 56 days (of which the first 42 days are reported here)
118 at the Large Experimental Array of Ponds (LEAP) platform at McGill University's Gault Nature
119 Reserve in Québec, Canada (45°32'N, 73°08'W). The methodological details of this experiment
120 have been described in Fugère et al. [45], which focused on community rescue in eukaryotic
121 phytoplankton. The experiment involved two phases of pesticide treatments. Here we only
122 consider phase I of the experiment (days 0-42), not phase II (days 43-56) in which "lethal" doses
123 of stressors were applied (as the definition of lethal concentrations were specific to eukaryotic
124 phytoplankton). The experimental ponds were each filled with 1 000 l of water from Lake Hertel
125 (45°32' N, 73°09' W), a pristine lake unaffected by agricultural pollution. We used 48 ponds in
126 total, divided into groups that received either a low amount of nutrients (**Fig. 1**) to maintain a
127 mesotrophic lake intake with a target total phosphorus (TP) concentration of 15 µg/l (similar to
128 what is typically found in Lake Hertel [46]), or a high amount of nutrients simulating an
129 eutrophic pond with a target TP concentration of 60 µg/l. As detailed in Fugère et al. [45],
130 nutrient solutions containing nitrate (KNO₃) and phosphate (KH₂PO₄ and K₂PO₄) were added to
131 manipulate nutrients while preserving the same N:P molar ratio (33:1) found in the lake. Within
132 each nutrient treatment, some of the ponds received varying amounts of the herbicide Roundup
133 or the insecticide imidacloprid, separately or in combination, in a regression design with seven
134 levels of pesticide concentration plus controls with no pesticide addition (**Fig. 1**). The seven
135 levels of target concentration were: 0.04, 0.1, 0.3, 0.7, 2, 5.5 and 15 mg/l for glyphosate acid, the
136 active ingredient in Roundup Super Concentrate (Monsanto, St-Louis, MO, USA) and 0.15, 0.4,
137 1, 3, 8, 22 and 60 µg/l for imidacloprid (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Canada).

Figure 1. Agricultural stressors applied alone or in combination to the Large Experimental Array of Ponds (LEAP). In total, 48 ponds were filled with 1 000 l of lake water and received two pulses of glyphosate and imidacloprid, alone or in combination, and under two different nutrient enrichment scenarios. The experiment lasted 42 days and pesticides were applied on days 5 and 33. Bold outlined boxes indicate ponds sampled for DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing.

Treatment ponds received two pulses of pesticides (at days 5 and 33 of the experiment) while nutrients were applied weekly to maintain a “press” treatment. We sampled all ponds at six different timepoints: one before any pesticide application (day 0); three between pulse 1 and pulse 2 applications (days 6, 14, and 29); and two timepoints after the second pulse (days 34 and 42). Day 0 corresponds to August 17th, 2016. All mesocosms were filled with lake water 99 days prior to the experiment, on May 11th, 2016, to allow the communities to acclimatize to the mesocosm setting. Biweekly water changes (10% of total volume) between May and August

ensured a continuous input of organisms tracking seasonal changes in the source lake community. We collected water from each mesocosm with integrated PVC tubing samplers (2.5 cm diameter), stored in dark Nalgene bottles (1 l) previously washed three times with pond water. To avoid cross contamination, we sampled each pond with a separate sampler and bottle. We kept bottles in coolers while sampling and then moved them to an on-site laboratory, where they were stored at 4 °C until processing as described below.

Nutrient and pesticide quantification

We sampled 40 ml of unfiltered pond water in acid-washed glass tubes, in duplicates, for TP and total nitrogen (TN) quantification. Samples were processed at the GRIL analytical laboratory at the Université du Québec à Montréal following standard protocols [47]. TN concentration was determined using the alkaline persulfate digestion method coupled with a cadmium reactor [48] in a continuous flow analyzer (OI Analytical, College Station, TX, USA). TP was estimated based on optical density in a spectrophotometer (Biocrom Ultrospec 2100pro, Holliston, MA, USA) after persulfate digestion through the molybdenum blue method. Glyphosate and imidacloprid concentrations were determined in the Department of Chemical Engineering at McGill University. The concentration of glyphosate in the ponds was measured using a method described in our earlier work [45]. Briefly, 1 l water samples were acidified to a pH < 3 with sulfuric acid and frozen at -20 °C until analysis. The samples were analyzed by liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry using an Accela 600-Orbitrap LTQ XL (LC–HRMS, Thermo Scientific). The method for glyphosate quantification was based on heated electrospray ionization (HESI) in negative mode, acquisition in full scan mode (50–300 m/z) at high resolution (FTMS = 30,000 m/Dz) and the use of an ion trap to perform targeted data

acquisition for the product ion spectra (MS2) and generate identification fragments. The limits of detection and quantification of glyphosate were 1.23 and 4.06 µg/l, respectively. Imidacloprid concentrations were measured using the same LC-HRMS system but using positive HESI mode and a mass range of a mass range of 50 to 700m/z. The detection and quantification limits for this method were 1.44 and 4.81 µg/l, respectively.

Estimating total bacterial density through flow cytometry

To estimate total density of bacterial cells, we fixed 1 ml of the 1 L sampled pond water with glutaraldehyde (1% final concentration) and flash froze this subsample in liquid nitrogen. We stored samples in -80 °C until they were processed via a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). After samples naturally thawed, we prepared dilutions (1:25 or 1:50) with Tris-EDTA buffer (Tris-HCl 10 mM; EDTA 1 mM; pH 8) and aliquoted in two duplicate tubes. Samples were then stained with Syto13 Green-Fluorescent Nucleic Acid Stain (0.1 v/v in DMSO; ThermoFisher S7575) and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 10 min. Every day, to validate the equipment calibration, we ran BD TruCount Absolute Count Tubes (BD Biosciences) prior to sample processing. Samples were run until reaching 20 000 events, at a rate of 100-1 000 events/s. Bacterial density was estimated based on flow duration, flow rate, and sample dilution. We calculated the average bacterial density for each pair of analytical duplicates with a coefficient of variation (CV, *i.e.*, ratio between the standard deviation and average of the duplicate values) smaller than 0.08; samples were processed again when the CV was higher than 0.08.

Carbon substrate utilization patterns

We used Biolog EcoPlate® assays (Hayward, CA, USA) to infer community-level utilization of dissolved organic carbon by bacteria. For all treatments and at each sampled timepoint, we added 125 µl of unfiltered pond water to each well of the Biolog plates, containing 31 different dissolved organic carbon substrates in triplicate wells. We measured the optical density in each well as a proxy for bacterial respiration of the substrates, with substrate utilization causing a concomitant reduction of the redox-sensitive tetrazolium dye (with colour intensity measurable via absorbance at 590 nm). Plates were incubated in the dark at room temperature and well absorbance was measured daily until an asymptote was reached (up to two weeks). For each daily measurement, an average well colour development (AWCD) was calculated. We selected substrate absorbance values of the plate measurements with AWCD closest to the reference value of 0.5, as suggested in [49] to correct for inoculum density. We then calculated the blank-corrected median absorbance of each substrate at each sampled timepoint.

DNA isolation, 16S rRNA gene amplification and sequencing

We selected a subset of ponds for DNA isolation and subsequent analyses (outlined in bold in **Fig. 1**) to assess community responses at the extremities and the middle of the exponential gradient. From each timepoint and nutrient treatment subarray, we chose two control ponds (beginning of the gradient, no pesticide addition), ponds with the third lowest concentration (middle of the gradient) of each or both pesticides (1 µg/l imidacloprid or/and 0.3 mg/l glyphosate), and ponds with the highest concentration (end of the gradient) used in the experiment for each or both pesticides (60 µg/l imidacloprid or/and 15 mg/l glyphosate). We selected ponds with high concentrations of pesticides with the intention of maximizing the chance of detecting a response from the bacterial community. That said, even the highest

concentrations are below the criterion from the Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for short term exposures, available for glyphosate exposure [29], allowing us to ask whether changes in bacterial communities can be detected at concentrations considered safe for aquatic eukaryotes. In total, we sampled 16 of the 48 experimental ponds at each timepoint for DNA sequencing. After sampling 1 l of pond water as previously described, we immediately filtered 250 ml on 0.22 µm Milipore hydrophilic polyethersulfone membranes (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) and stored filters in -80 °C until DNA extraction. We extracted and purified total bacterial genomic DNA from frozen filters using the PowerWater DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Technologies Inc., Vancouver, Canada). We quantified genomic DNA with a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (ThermoFisher) and used 10 ng to prepare amplicon libraries for paired-end sequencing (2 x 250 bp) on a MiSeq instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). We performed a two-step polymerase chain reaction (PCR) targeting the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene, with primers U515_F and E786_R, as described in [50]. After amplification (step 1 PCR) and barcode attachment (step 2 PCR), amplicons were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Fragment size was confirmed through agarose gel and quantified on a NanoDrop microvolume spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA). Libraries were then pooled at equimolar ratio, denatured and sequenced, using the MiSeq reagent Kit V2 with 500 cycles. The 96 samples were split into two different sequencing runs, each of them including PCR negative controls (water used in the PCR reactions), extraction blanks (result of the DNA extraction obtained from clean filters), and a mock community DNA sample containing identified 16S rRNA clone libraries. In one of the runs we used a custom mock composed of 16S rRNA clone libraries from freshwater lake samples [50] and in the other

we used the American Type Culture Collection MSA-1002 mock community (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA).

Sequence data processing

We used idemp (<https://github.com/yhwu/idemp>) to demultiplex barcoded sample fastq files from 16S rRNA deep sequencing data and cutadapt to remove remaining Illumina adapters [51]. We then used the DADA2 package [52] in R to filter and trim reads, infer amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), remove chimeras and finally obtain a matrix of ASV counts in each sample for each MiSeq run independently. Excluding mock communities, extraction blanks and PCR controls, we obtained 1 787 412 raw reads in the first run and 4 702 355 in the second run, of which we retained, respectively, 1 565 021 and 4 188 644 non-chimeric reads. PCR negative controls and extraction blanks produced 214 non-chimeric reads in total; these were excluded from downstream analyses as we only included samples with a minimum of 6 000 reads. Of the 30 expected sequences from the custom mock [50], DADA2 found 25 exact sequence matches, producing 5 false negatives and 7 false positives (for a total of 32 sequences). In the ATCC mock, 23 of the 24 expected sequences were found, with only 1 false negative but 10 false positives (for a total of 33 sequences). We concatenated DADA2 abundance matrices from each MiSeq run and then used TaxAss [53] to assign ASV taxonomy with a database specifically curated for freshwater bacterioplankton, FreshTrain [54], and GreenGenes [55], with a minimum bootstrap support of 80% and 50%, respectively. After performing a multiple sequence alignment with the R package DECIPHER [56], we constructed a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree using the phangorn package following recommendations made by [57]. For subsequent analyses, we imported the ASV abundance matrix together with the environmental

and the taxonomy data as an object in the phyloseq package [58] in R. We removed sequence data identified as mitochondria or chloroplast DNA and normalized read counts [59] using the DESeq2 package [60]. We calculated the estimated absolute abundance (EAA) of ASVs per sample by multiplying their relative abundance by the total bacterial cell counts found in the sample through flow cytometry [61].

Statistical analyses

To assess resistance and resilience to experimental treatments, we assessed changes (or lack of change) in bacterial community composition using Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) and Principal Response Curves (PRCs), as explained in detail below. We conducted all statistical analyses in R version 3.5.1 [62]. We used the function glmer.nb() from the lme4 package [63] to build generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) and test whether nutrient or pesticide treatments affected the overall bacterial density throughout the experiment. We considered time and the measured concentrations of glyphosate, imidacloprid, TP and TN as fixed effects, and pond as a random effect.

To quantify the extent of functional redundancy along one axis of niche space, we compared community carbon substrate utilization patterns using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of the Biolog metabolic profiles, and represented this variability in a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS). To assess differences in community taxonomic composition, we calculated weighted Unifrac distances [64] and Jensen-Shannon divergence among the subset of samples selected for DNA analyses and represented them in principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) bidimensional plots. We further performed a series of permutational analyses of variance (PERMANOVA)

based on weighted Unifrac distances, followed by a conservative Bonferroni correction for multiple hypothesis testing to test the effect of treatments (as factors) on community taxonomic composition at four sampled timepoints: at day 0 (before any treatment was applied), day 6 (immediately after the first pulse), day 29 (immediately before the second pulse) and day 42 (last day of the experiment, after the second pulse).

We built principal response curves (PRCs) to assess the effects of the treatments on functional and taxonomic composition as a function of time [65]. PRCs are a special case of partial redundancy analysis (pRDA) in which time and treatments, expressed as factors, are used as explanatory variables of the model, while community composition is the multivariate response matrix. Time is considered as a covariable (or conditioning variable) whose effect is partialled out, and changes in community composition in the treatments over time are always expressed as deviations from the control at each timepoint. We tested for the significance of the first displayed PRC axis by permuting the whole time-series of each pond and comparing the permuted data to the experimental data using the function `permutest()` from the `vegan` package in R [66]. For each PRC model, we evaluated the proportion of variance (inertia) explained by the conditional variable (time) and the constrained variable (treatments), as well as the proportion of explained variance per axis (the eigenvalue of each RDA axis divided by the sum of all eigenvalues). We used these values to decide which PRC model best explained the variation in the data. Before performing PRCs for the taxonomic dataset, we transformed the abundance matrix using the Hellinger transformation [67].

Results

Bacterial cell density and carbon metabolic profiles are robust to stressors

We first tested the effects of pesticides and nutrient pollution on bacterial cell density and carbon utilization profiles. None of the experimental treatments (alone or in combination) significantly affected overall bacterial density (**Fig. 2**).

Figure 2. Bacterial cell density is unaffected by environmental stressors. Total bacterial cell counts (per uL) are plotted over time in ponds with low and high nutrient addition. Dashed vertical lines indicate pulse application dates. Ponds with both glyphosate and imidacloprid follow the same gradient pattern as treatments with either of these pesticides applied alone. The GLMM with the best fit revealed that neither date ($p=0.566$), glyphosate concentration ($p=0.887$), imidacloprid concentration ($p=0.982$), TP (0.851), or TN (0.366) could explain the

variation in bacterial density. Stressors did not affect community carbon utilization patterns either, as evidenced by the metabolic profiles characterized over time across experimental treatments (Fig. S1). None of the stressors caused significant changes in the utilization of any of the 31 unique carbon substrates tested (Fig. 3A). We grouped the 31 carbon compounds into chemical guilds, such as carbohydrates, polymers, amino acids, and amines/amides [68,69], and still did not find any differences in substrate use among treatments (Fig. 3B, Fig. S1B and D). Overall, these results indicate that the tested environmental stressors did not significantly affect bacterial density or community-level metabolic capabilities.

Figure 3. Community metabolic profiles are unaffected by environmental stressors.

Principal response curves (PRCs) of selected treatments show no significant difference between controls and pesticide treatments when microbial communities are described according to (A) their ability to metabolize 31 different carbon substrates, or (B) after grouping these compounds based on carbon functional groups. Weights of each tested compound are shown along the right Y axis. For comparison with the community structure PRCs (Fig. 5), the metabolic profiles PRCs were calculated based on the subset of samples for which DNA was extracted.

Glyphosate is the major driver of altered community composition

We next asked whether environmental stressors affected bacterial community composition. We used two complementary measures of community structure: (1) weighted UniFrac distances to

track changes in community composition, weighted for phylogenetic branch lengths and changes in relative abundance, and (2) Jensen-Shannon distances to assess changes in community composition at the finest possible resolution, tracking amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) regardless of their phylogenetic relatedness. We found that neither nutrients nor imidacloprid had a significant effect on either measure of community composition (PERMANOVA $P > 0.05$; **Table S1 and S2**), while glyphosate explained a significant amount of the variation in both measures (R^2 ranging from 0.29 to 0.54, depending on the time since glyphosate application; PERMANOVA $P < 0.05$; **Tables S1 and S2**). Interactions among treatments were not evident (**Tables S1 and S2**); it appears that glyphosate was the dominant driver of community changes, independent of the other stressors.

Community resilience to glyphosate at broad phylogenetic scales

To visualize changes in community composition according to treatments and over time, we used PCoA biplots based on both weighted UniFrac and Jensen-Shannon distances (**Fig. 4**). Glyphosate at the highest tested concentration (15 mg/l) was the main driver of community change regardless of nutrient background. We detected a recovery from this change after the first pulse of pesticides only when using weighted Unifrac (**Fig. 4A**), a metric that takes phylogenetic information into account, but not using Jensen-Shannon distance applied to ASVs (**Fig. 4B**). This suggests that, after the first pulse, the community recovers at a broad phylogenetic scale, with particular ASVs replaced with close but distinct phylogenetic relatives. As such, the community appears to be resilient at a broad phylogenetic scale, but not at a fine scale.

Figure 4. Community resilience to glyphosate stress at broad but not fine phylogenetic resolution. PCoA ordinations were built based on (A) weighted Unifrac distance or (B) Jensen-Shannon Divergence calculated on the estimated absolute abundance (EAA) matrix after DESeq2 normalization. The lines group each treatment time series respecting the chronological order (days of experiment) and to distinguish the first from the last day of experiment, the last time point is represented as a larger circle. The first and second pulse applications of glyphosate are indicated by arrows, which also show the direction of time.

To further assess how resilience varied with phylogenetic scale, we used PRCs to track community changes at the phylum and ASV level, as proxies for broad- and fine-scale phylogenetic structure, respectively. Given that nutrient inputs did not significantly affect

community composition (**Tables S1 and S2**), we built PRC models by combining ponds with the same pesticide treatment, irrespective of nutrient load (**Fig. 5**). This approach captured the same effects as PRCs considering all experimental treatments separately (**Fig. S2**), but facilitated the visualization of pesticide effects. PRCs captured a significant amount of the variation in community responses to nutrient treatments over time, with more variation explained at broader taxonomic levels compared to finer levels (**Table S3**). At the broadest taxonomic scale (phylum), communities showed a clear response to high (15 mg/l) but not low (0.3 mg/l) levels of glyphosate, followed by a recovery (similar to the community in control ponds) before the second pulse (**Fig. 5A**). No effect of imidacloprid was evident, and glyphosate was clearly the most influential treatment. A similar pattern of resilience (response and recovery) was also observed down to the genus level, with weaker recovery at progressively finer taxonomic scales (**Fig. S3**). The recovery was detected 24 days after the first pulse, but not after the second pulse; this does not exclude the possibility of an eventual recovery, but the experiment ended nine days after the second pulse, which was likely insufficient time to observe recovery. Notably, no recovery was observed at the ASV level (**Fig. 5B**), consistent with the community composition analysis (**Fig. 4B**). These results support the idea that high concentrations of glyphosate led to long-lasting community shifts at the ASV level, but community resilience at broader taxonomic or phylogenetic scales. In other words, some ASVs are effectively extirpated over the time frame of our experiment (and at the resolution of our sequencing depth) but are replaced with close relatives such that phylogenetic community composition is maintained.

Figure 5. Community resilience to glyphosate at the phylum level but not at the ASV level.

Principal response curves (PRCs) show the effect of pesticide treatments over time relative to control ponds at (A) the phylum level or (B) the ASV level. Dashed vertical lines indicate the dates of pesticide application. Only phyla with weights >0.2 and ASVs with weight >0.1 are plotted on the right Y axis to facilitate visualization. The finest available annotated level of taxonomic assignment of each ASV is indicated in panel B. Low and high nutrient treatments were grouped together for clarity, but follow the same pattern when considered separately (Fig. S2).

Agrobacterium and other proteobacteria are most affected by glyphosate

To understand which bacterial taxa were most affected by the experimental treatments, we explored their respective weights (also known as species scores; [65]) in each PRC.

Proteobacteria was the phylum most positively affected by glyphosate, with a weight of 0.37 along the first PRC axis (**Fig 5A; Table S4**), while the average weight was -0.04. Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria and Cyanobacteria were the most negatively affected phyla (**Fig. 5A**), with weights of -0.43, -0.26 and -0.24, respectively (**Table S4**). All ten ASVs with absolute weights >0.1 belonged to the phylum Proteobacteria, and except for sp283 and sp2111, they were all positively affected by glyphosate treatment (**Fig. 5B; Table S5**). These ASVs include

424 *Agrobacterium*, a genus of Rhizobiaceae of which some members have been shown to use
 425 glyphosate as a sole source of phosphorus [70] and to express the *aroA* class II gene, which
 426 encodes glyphosate resistance [71]. The positive effects of glyphosate on the order Rhizobiales,
 427 the family Rhizobiaceae, and the genus *Agrobacterium* (**Fig. S3**) all further suggest a
 428 competitive advantage of members of this lineage under glyphosate stress.
 429

Discussion

The herbicide glyphosate and the insecticide imidacloprid can affect community structure at different trophic levels in a variety of aquatic environments and experimental setups [72–76], but until now their interactive effects on bacterioplankton have been poorly studied. To assess possible interactive effects, we tested how imidacloprid and glyphosate affected bacterial communities of aquatic mesocosms receiving different nutrient inputs in the Large Experimental Array of Ponds (LEAP). We found that glyphosate significantly affected bacterial community composition only at the highest tested concentration (15 mg/l), with no evident interaction with imidacloprid or nutrient load. These results suggest that most bacteria originating from the pristine source environment (Lake Hertel, a mountaintop lake in a nature preserve) were already resistant to these stressors across a wide range of concentrations, and that ecotoxicological criteria (environmental concentrations considered “safe for aquatic life”) intended for eukaryotes were adequate to preserve bacterioplankton diversity, density, and metabolic functions.

Despite the marked changes in taxonomic composition driven by glyphosate, the bacterial communities did not change in terms of metabolic profiles throughout the experiment, providing evidence for functional redundancy in carbon utilization potential. This result is consistent with broad-scale ecosystem functions such as respiration and dissolved organic carbon consumption being weakly coupled with microbial species composition [77–79], allowing these functions to remain unaffected by fluctuations in community composition [6].

Our results suggest two mechanisms of stability at play in microbial communities: resistance to imidacloprid, glyphosate, nutrient loading, and their interactions when assessing changes in

functional capacities (overall bacterial density and carbon utilization patterns), and resilience in community composition following glyphosate disturbance. The recovery in community composition was only evident by grouping ASVs at more inclusive taxonomic or phylogenetic levels. Glyphosate thus drove a turnover of ASVs which, even after the recovery, are different from the ASVs initially found in the undisturbed community.

Glyphosate treatments had a strong positive effect on the phylum Proteobacteria, previously found to be positively associated with high concentrations of glyphosate in rhizosphere-associated communities [80] and phytoplankton-associated communities [81]. Multiple species of Proteobacteria can use glyphosate as a source of phosphorus by breaking its C-P bond [38]. We identified the Rhizobiaceae *Agrobacterium* – a genus containing species known to be able to degrade glyphosate [38] – as being favoured in the glyphosate treatment in our experiment. The ability to degrade glyphosate may be widespread in the family Rhizobiaceae [70], and *Agrobacterium* have also been found to encode glyphosate-resistant EPSPS genes [37,82]. Thus, while glyphosate may be a stressor for the community at large (*e.g.*, phytoplankton [45]), it may be a resource for some members of *Agrobacterium*. Further genomic and metagenomic analyses of our experimental samples could reveal whether these ecological dynamics are underlain by evolutionary adaptation, and whether community resistance and resilience can be explained by the initial presence of resistant bacteria in the community, or to *de novo* mutations or gene transfer events.

Together, our data provide evidence of natural resistance to two of the most commonly used pesticides in the world, the herbicide glyphosate and the neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid.

We also showed resilience to high doses of glyphosate in bacterial communities that have no known history of contact with the herbicide, as the source water from Lake Hertel is unaffected by agricultural runoff. Finally, our results suggest that whether a stressed community is considered resilient may depend on the phylogenetic depth of the traits required to deal with stress [83], such as pesticide degradation or resistance of the target enzyme.

Mesocosm experiments are incomplete representations of natural ecosystems [84], but they allow us to manipulate and replicate the exposure of complex lake bacterial communities to agricultural chemical pollutants commonly found in freshwaters. Pesticides could have driven changes in bacterial community via direct or indirect mechanisms, such as direct effects on other trophic levels that cascaded down to bacteria via predation or other interactions. In a previous study of the same experiment described here, we found that glyphosate treatment reduced the diversity of eukaryotic phytoplankton, but did not significantly change phytoplankton community composition [45]. It therefore seems unlikely that the dramatic changes in bacterial community composition were driven by phytoplankton. On the contrary, bacterial degradation of glyphosate likely released bioavailable phosphorus, stimulating phytoplankton growth [45].

Further studies will be needed to disentangle how the effects of these stressors cascade through food webs, and how trophic structure influences their effects [85]. As we show, bacterial communities are broadly resistant and resilient to common agricultural pollutants, but it is still likely that pollutants select for resistant bacteria at the sub-genus level [86,87], which can potentially pass on resistance genes to organisms from other trophic levels [88,89].

Data availability

Sequence data corresponding to raw 16S rRNA reads and metadata are available on https://figshare.com/projects/LEAP_MiSeq_fastq/78297.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to D. Maneli, C. Normandin, A. Arkilanian and T. Jagadeesh for their assistance in the field, to J. Marleau, C. Girard, O.M. Pérez-Carrascal and N. Tromas for their assistance during laboratory analyses, to K. Velghe for nutrient analyses and to M.A.P. Castro for developing the LC–MS method for pesticides quantification.

Funding information

This study was supported by a Canada Research Chair and NSERC Discovery Grant to B.J.S. N.B.C. was funded by FRQNT and NSERC-CREATE/GRIL fellowships. V.F. was supported by a NSERC postdoctoral fellowship. M.-P.H. was funded by NSERC and NSERC-CREATE/GRIL. C.C.Y.X. was funded by a Vanier Canada Graduate Scholarship. R.D.H.B. was supported by a Canada Research Chair. LEAP was built and operated with funds from a CFI Leaders Opportunity Fund, NSERC Discovery Grant and the Liber Ero Chair to A.G.

Author contribution

N.B.C., V.F., M.-P.H., R.D.H.B., B.E.B., G.B., G.F.F., B.J.S. and A.G. designed the study. N.B.C., V.F. and M.-P.H. collected the data. N.B.C., C.C.Y.X. and V. Y. contributed to the development of laboratory methods. N.B.C. and V.F. analysed data. N.B.C. made the figures and

520 drafted the manuscript. N.B.C. and B.J.S. wrote the first manuscript draft and all authors

521 contributed significantly to data interpretation and commented on manuscript drafts.

522

References

- [1] Konopka A. What is microbial community ecology? ISME J 2009;3:1223–30.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2009.88>.
- [2] Falkowski PG, Fenchel T, Delong EF. The microbial engines that drive earth's biogeochemical cycles. Science 2008;320:1034–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1153213>.
- [3] S.A. Kraemer, N. Barbosa da Costa, B.J. Shapiro, Y. Huot DW. Anthropogenic impact on lake bacterial communities across Eastern Canada. BioRxiv 2019:1–21.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>.
- [4] Allison SD, Martiny JBH. Resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial communities. PNAS 2008;105:11512–9. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801925105>.
- [5] Shade A, Peter H, Allison SD, Baho DL, Berga M, Bürgmann H, et al. Fundamentals of microbial community resistance and resilience. Front Microbiol 2012;3:1–19.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00417>.
- [6] Louca S, Polz MF, Mazel F, Albright MBN, Huber JA, Connor MIO, et al. Function and functional redundancy in microbial systems. Nat Ecol Evol 2018;2:936–943.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0519-1>.
- [7] Galand PE, Pereira O, Hochart C, Auguet JC, Debroas D. A strong link between marine microbial community composition and function challenges the idea of functional redundancy. ISME J 2018;12:2470–2478. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0158-1>.
- [8] Springmann M, Clark M, Mason-D'Croz D, Wiebe K, Bodirsky BL, Lassaletta L, et al. Options for keeping the food system within environmental limits. Nature 2018;562:519–25. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0594-0>.
- [9] Song XP, Hansen MC, Stehman S V., Potapov P V., Tyukavina A, Vermote EF, et al.

547 Global land change from 1982 to 2016. *Nature* 2018;560:639–43.

548 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0411-9>.

549 [10] Tilman D, Fargione J, Wolff B, D’Antonio C, Dobson A, Howarth R, et al. Forecasting
550 agriculturally driven global environmental change. *Science* 2001;292:281–4.
551 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1057544>.

552 [11] Vörösmarty CJ, McIntyre PB, Gessner MO, Dudgeon D, Prusevich A, Green P, et al.
553 Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. *Nature* 2010;467:555–61.
554 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09440>.

555 [12] Keatley BE, Bennett EM, Macdonald GK, Taranu ZE, Gregory- I. Land-Use Legacies Are
556 Important Determinants of Lake Eutrophication in the Anthropocene. *PLoS One*
557 2011;6:e15913. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015913>.

558 [13] Carpenter S, Caraco N, Correll D., Howarth RW, Sharpley AN, Smith VH. Nonpoint
559 pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Ecol Appl* 1998;8:559–68.
560 [https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761\(1998\)008\[0559:NPOSWW\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1998)008[0559:NPOSWW]2.0.CO;2).

561 [14] Stehle S, Schulz R. Agricultural insecticides threaten surface waters at the global scale.
562 *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2015;112:5750–5. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1500232112>.

563 [15] Relyea RA. A cocktail of contaminants: How mixtures of pesticides at low concentrations
564 affect aquatic communities. *Oecologia* 2009;159:363–76. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1213-9)
565 [008-1213-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1213-9).

566 [16] DeLorenzo ME, Scott GI, Ross PE. Toxicity of pesticides to aquatic microorganisms: A
567 review. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 2001;20:84–98. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620200108>.

568 [17] Flood SL, Burkholder JAM. Imbalanced nutrient regimes increase *Prymnesium parvum*
569 resilience to herbicide exposure. *Harmful Algae* 2018;75:57–74.

- 570 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2018.04.006>.
- 571 [18] Starr A V., Bargu S, Maiti K, DeLaune RD. The Effect of Atrazine on Louisiana Gulf
572 Coast Estuarine Phytoplankton. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 2017;72:178–88.
573 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-016-0335-z>.
- 574 [19] Lozano RB, Pratt JR. Interaction of toxicants and communities: The role of nutrients.
575 Environ Toxicol Chem 1994;13:361–8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620130302>.
- 576 [20] Smith VH, Schindler DW. Eutrophication science: where do we go from here? Trends
577 Ecol Evol 2009;24:201–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.11.009>.
- 578 [21] Smith VH, Tilman GD, Nekola JC. Eutrophication: impacts of excess nutrient inputs on
579 freshwater, marine, and terrestrial ecosystems. Environ Pollut 1999;100:179–96.
580 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491\(99\)00091-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(99)00091-3).
- 581 [22] Duke SO, Powles SB. Glyphosate: a once-in-a-century herbicide. Pest Manag Sci
582 2008;63:1100–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps>.
- 583 [23] Cox C. Insecticide factsheet-imidacloprid. J Pestic Reform 2001;21:1–30.
584 <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>.
- 585 [24] van Bruggen AHC, He MM, Shin K, Mai V, Jeong KC, Finchk MR, et al. Environmental
586 and health effects of the herbicide glyphosate. Sci Total Environ 2018;617:255–68.
587 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.309>.
- 588 [25] Hénault-Ethier L, Lucotte M, Moingt M, Paquet S, Maccario S, Smedbol É, et al.
589 Herbaceous or Salix miyabeana ‘SX64’ narrow buffer strips as a means to minimize
590 glyphosate and aminomethylphosphonic acid leaching from row crop fields. Sci Total
591 Environ 2017;598:1177–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.104>.
- 592 [26] Morrissey CA, Mineau P, Devries JH, Sanchez-Bayo F, Liess M, Cavallaro MC, et al.

- 593 Neonicotinoid contamination of global surface waters and associated risk to aquatic
594 invertebrates: A review. *Environ Int* 2015;74:291–303.
595 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.024>.
- 596 [27] Edwards WM, Triplett Jr G., Kramer RM. A Watershed Study of Glyphosate Transport in
597 Runoff. *J Environ Qual* 1980;9:661–5.
- 598 [28] Coupe RH, Kalkhoff SJ, Capel PD, Gregoire C. Fate and transport of glyphosate and
599 aminomethylphosphonic acid in surface waters of agricultural basins. *Pest Manag Sci*
600 2012;68:16–30. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2212>.
- 601 [29] CCME - Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. Canadian Water Quality
602 Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Glyphosate. Winnipeg, Manitoba: 2012.
- 603 [30] CCME - Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. Canadian Water Quality
604 Guidelines for Protection of Aquatic Life: Imidacloprid. Winnipeg, Manitoba: 2007.
- 605 [31] Pollegioni L, Schonbrunn E, Siehl D. Molecular basis of glyphosate resistance: Different
606 approaches through protein engineering. *FEBS J* 2011;278:2753–66.
607 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2011.08214.x>.
- 608 [32] Duke SO. The history and current status of glyphosate. *Pest Manag Sci* 2018;74:1027–34.
609 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4652>.
- 610 [33] Benbrook CM. Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally.
611 *Environ Sci Eur* 2016;28:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-016-0070-0>.
- 612 [34] Hébert M-P, Fugère V, Gonzalez A. The overlooked impact of rising glyphosate use on
613 phosphorus loading in agricultural watersheds. *Front Ecol Environ* 2018;3:1–9.
614 <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1985>.
- 615 [35] Borggaard OK, Gimsing AL. Fate of glyphosate in soil and the possibility of leaching to

616 ground and surface waters: a review. *Pest Manag Sci* 2008;64:441–456.

617 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1512>.

618 [36] Healy-Fried ML, Funke T, Priestman MA, Han H, Scho E. Structural Basis of Glyphosate

619 Tolerance Resulting from Mutations of Pro 101 in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biological*

620 *Chemistry* 2007;282:32949–55. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M705624200>.

621 [37] Funke T, Han H, Healy-Fried ML, Fischer M, Schönbrunn E. Molecular basis for the

622 herbicide resistance of Roundup Ready crops. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;103:13010–

623 5. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603638103>.

624 [38] Hove-Jensen B, Zechel DL, Jochimsen B. Utilization of glyphosate as phosphate source:

625 biochemistry and genetics of bacterial carbon-phosphorus lyase. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*

626 2014;78:176–97. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00040-13>.

627 [39] Jeschke P, Nauen R. Neonicotinoids – from zero to hero in insecticide chemistry. *Pest*

628 *Manag Sci* 2008;64:1100–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1631>.

629 [40] CCME. Canadian water quality guidelines: Imidacloprid. 2007.

630 [41] Tomizawa M, Casida JE. Neonicotinoid insecticide toxicology: mechanisms of selective

631 action. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2005;45:247–68.

632 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.45.120403.095930>.

633 [42] Flores-Céspedes F, González-Pradas E, Fernández-Pérez M, Villafranca-Sánchez M,

634 Socías-Viciano M, Ureña-Amate MD. Effects of Dissolved Organic Carbon on Sorption

635 and Mobility of Imidacloprid in Soil. *J Environ Qual* 2002;31:880.

636 <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2002.0880>.

637 [43] Anderson JC, Dubetz C, Palace VP. Neonicotinoids in the Canadian aquatic environment:

638 A literature review on current use products with a focus on fate, exposure, and biological

effects. *Sci Total Environ* 2015;505:409–22.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.090>.

[44] Hussain S, Hartley CJ, Shettigar M, Pandey G. Bacterial biodegradation of neonicotinoid pesticides in soil and water systems. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 2016;363:fnw252.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnw252>.

[45] Fugère V, Hébert M, Barbosa N, Xu CCY, Barrett RDH, Beisner BE, et al. Community rescue in experimental phytoplankton communities facing severe herbicide pollution. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2020;4:578–588. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1134-5>.

[46] Thibodeau G, Walsh DA, Beisner BE. Rapid eco-evolutionary responses in perturbed phytoplankton communities. *Proc R Soc B* 2015;282:20151215.

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1215>.

[47] McComb AJ. *Limnological Analyses*. vol. 7. 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1770.2002.01722.x>.

[48] Patton CJ, Kryskalla JR. *Methods of Analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—Evaluation of Alkaline Persulfate Digestion as an Alternative to Kjeldahl Digestion for Determination of Total and Dissolved Nitrogen and Phosphorus in Water*. 2003.

[49] Garland JL, Mills AL, Young JS. Relative effectiveness of kinetic analysis vs single point readings for classifying environmental samples based on community-level physiological profiles (CLPP). *Soil Biol Biochem* 2001;33:1059–66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(01\)00011-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00011-6).

[50] Preheim SP, Perrotta AR, Martin-platero AM, Gupta A, Alm EJ. Distribution-Based Clustering: Using Ecology To Refine the Operational Taxonomic Unit. *Applied and*

662 Environmental Microbiology 2013;79:6593–603. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00342-13>.

663 [51] Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

664 EMBnetJournal 2011;17:10–2.

665 [52] Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2:

666 High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods

667 2016;13:581–3. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869>.

668 [53] Rohwer RR, Hamilton JJ, Newton RJ, McMahon KD. TaxAss: Leveraging a Custom

669 Freshwater Database Achieves Fine-Scale Taxonomic Resolution. MSphere 2018;3:1–14.

670 <https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00327-18>.

671 [54] Newton RJ, Jones SE, Eiler A, McMahon KD, Bertilsson S. A Guide to the Natural

672 History of Freshwater Lake Bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2011;75:14–49.

673 <https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00028-10>.

674 [55] DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, et al. Greengenes, a

675 chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. Appl

676 Environ Microbiol 2006;72:5069–72. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03006-05>.

677 [56] Wright ES. Using DECIPHER v2.0 to analyze big biological sequence data in R. R

678 Journal 2016;8:352–9. <https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2016-025>.

679 [57] Callahan BJ, Sankaran K, Fukuyama JA, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. Bioconductor

680 workflow for microbiome data analysis: From raw reads to community analyses.

681 F1000Research 2016;5:1–49. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8986.2>.

682 [58] McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis

683 and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One 2013;8:e61217.

684 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217>.

- 685 [59] Mcmurdie PJ, Holmes S. Waste not, want not: why rarefying Microbiome data is
686 inadmissible. PLoS Comput Biol 2014;10:e1003531.
687 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003531>.
- 688 [60] Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
689 RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 2014;15. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8)
690 0550-8.
- 691 [61] Zhang Z, Qu Y, Li S, Feng K, Wang S, Cai W, et al. Soil bacterial quantification
692 approaches coupling with relative abundances reflecting the changes of taxa. Sci Rep
693 2017;7:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05260-w>.
- 694 [62] R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Found. Stat.
695 Comput., vol. 1, R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2008, p. 1–2630.
696 <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7>.
- 697 [63] Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using
698 lme4. J Stat Softw 2015;67:201–10. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>.
- 699 [64] Lozupone C, Knight R. UniFrac: a New Phylogenetic Method for Comparing Microbial
700 Communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:8228–35.
701 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228>.
- 702 [65] Auber A, Travers-Trolet M, Villanueva M-C, Ernande B. A new application of principal
703 response curves for summarizing abrupt and cyclic shifts of communities over space.
704 Ecosphere 2017;8:e02023. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2023>.
- 705 [66] Oksanen AJ, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, Hara RBO, et al. Vegan:
706 community ecology package R package version 2.4-6 2018. [https://doi.org/ISBN 0-387-](https://doi.org/ISBN 0-387-95457-0)
707 95457-0.

- [67] Legendre P, Gallagher ED. Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species data. *Oecologia* 2001;129:271–80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100716>.
- [68] Ylla I, Canhoto C, Romaní AM. Effects of Warming on Stream Biofilm Organic Matter Use Capabilities. *Environ Microbiol* 2014;68:132–45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-014-0406-5>.
- [69] Choi KH, Dobbs FC. Comparison of two kinds of Biolog microplates (GN and ECO) in their ability to distinguish among aquatic microbial communities. *J Microbiol Methods* 1999;36:203–13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012\(99\)00034-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(99)00034-2).
- [70] Liu CM, McLean PA, Sookdeo CC, Cannon FC. Degradation of the herbicide glyphosate by members of the family Rhizobiaceae. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1991;57:1799–804.
- [71] Plinc-Srnic W. Physiological Mechanisms of Glyphosate Resistance. *Weed Technol* 2006;20:290–300. <https://doi.org/10.1614/WT-04-131R.1>.
- [72] Lu T, Xu N, Zhang Q, Zhang Z, Debognies A, Zhou Z, et al. Understanding the influence of glyphosate on the structure and function of freshwater microbial community in a microcosm. *Environ Pollut* 2020;260:114012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114012>.
- [73] Berman MC, Llamas ME, Minotti P, Fermani P, Quiroga M V., Ferraro MA, et al. Field evidence supports former experimental claims on the stimulatory effect of glyphosate on picocyanobacteria communities. *Sci Total Environ* 2020;701:134601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134601>.
- [74] Yamamuro M, Komuro T, Kamiya H, Kato T, Hasegawa H, Kameda Y. Neonicotinoids disrupt aquatic food webs and decrease fishery yields. *Science* 2019;366:620–3. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax3442>.

- 731 [75] Muturi EJ, Donthu RK, Fields CJ, Moise IK, Kim C. Effect of pesticides on microbial
732 communities in container aquatic habitats. *Sci Rep* 2017.
733 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44565>.
- 734 [76] Stachowski-Haberkorn S, Becker B, Marie D, Haberkorn H, Coroller L, de la Broise D.
735 Impact of Roundup on the marine microbial community, as shown by an in situ
736 microcosm experiment. *Aquat Toxicol* 2008;89:232–41.
737 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2008.07.004>.
- 738 [77] Peter H, Beier S, Bertilsson S, Lindström ES, Langenheder S, Tranvik LJ. Function-
739 specific response to depletion of microbial diversity. *ISME J* 2011;5:351–61.
740 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.119>.
- 741 [78] Langenheder S, Lindström ES, Tranvik LJ. Structure and function of bacterial
742 communities emerging from different sources under identical conditions. *Appl Environ*
743 *Microbiol* 2006;72:212–20. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.1.212-220.2006>.
- 744 [79] Girvan M, Campbell C, Killham K, Prosser J, Glover L. Bacterial diversity promotes
745 community stability and functional resilience after perturbation. *Environ Microbiol*
746 2005;7:301–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00695.x>.
- 747 [80] Newman MM, Hoilett N, Lorenz N, Dick RP, Liles MR, Ramsier C, et al. Glyphosate
748 effects on soil rhizosphere-associated bacterial communities. *Sci Total Environ*
749 2016;543:155–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.008>.
- 750 [81] Wang C, Lin X, Li L, Lin L, Lin S. Glyphosate Shapes a Dinoflagellate-Associated
751 Bacterial Community While Supporting Algal Growth as Sole Phosphorus Source. *Front*
752 *Microbiol* 2017;8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02530>.
- 753 [82] Barry GF, Kishore GM, Padgett SR, Stallings WC. DNA encoding class II 5-enolpyruvyl

- shikimate-3-phosphate synthase for producing plants and bacteria tolerant to glyphosate herbicides. US Pat 1997;9:595–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-6505\(85\)90011-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-6505(85)90011-2).
- [83] Martiny JBH, Jones SE, Lennon JT, Martiny AC. Microbiomes in light of traits: a phylogenetic perspective. *Science* 2015;350:aac9323. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac9323>.
- [84] Alexander AC, Luiker E, Finley M, Culp JM. Mesocosm and Field Toxicity Testing in the Marine Context. *Mar. Ecotoxicol.* 2016, p. 239–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-803371-5.00008-4>.
- [85] Bell G, Fugère V, Barrett R, Beisner B, Cristescu M, Fussmann G, et al. Trophic structure modulates community rescue following acidification. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 2019;286. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0856>.
- [86] Singh B, Singh K. Microbial degradation of herbicides. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2016;42:245–61. <https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841X.2014.929564>.
- [87] Pandey G, Dorrian SJ, Russell RJ, Oakeshott JG. Biotransformation of the neonicotinoid insecticides imidacloprid and thiamethoxam by *Pseudomonas* sp. 1G. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.01.156>.
- [88] Kumar SV, Misquitta RW, Reddy VS, Rao BJ, Rajam MV. Genetic transformation of the green alga - *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Plant Sci* 2004;166:731–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2003.11.012>.
- [89] Kunik T, Tzfira T, Kapulnik Y, Gafni Y, Dingwall C, Citovsky V. Genetic transformation of HeLa cells by *Agrobacterium*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001;98:1871–6. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.041327598>.