1 *FUS* gene is dual-coding with both proteins united in FUS- 2 mediated toxicity

3

4 Marie A. Brunet^{1,2,*}, Jean-Francois Jacques^{1,2}, Sonya Nassari³, Giulia E. Tyzack^{4,5}, Philip

5 McGoldrick⁶, Lorne Zinman⁷, Steve Jean³, Janice Robertson⁶, Rickie Patani^{4,5}, Xavier Roucou^{1,2,*}

6

7 ¹ Department of Biochemistry and Functional Genomics, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke,

8 Québec, Canada;

9 ² PROTEO, Quebec Network for Research on Protein Function, Structure, and Engineering;

10 ³ Immunology and Cell Biology Department, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec,

11 Canada;

12 ⁴ The Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London, UK;

13 ⁵ Department of Neuromuscular Diseases, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, Queen

14 Square, London, UK;

15 ⁶ Tanz Centre for Research in Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of Toronto, Toronto,

16 Canada;

17 ⁷ Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, University

18 of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

19

20 *Corresponding authors:

21 Xavier Roucou, Department of Biochemistry and Functional Genomics, Université de Sherbrooke,
 22 3201 Jean Mignault, Sherbrooke, Québec J1E 4K8, Canada, Tel. (819) 821-8000x72240; E-Mail:
 23 xavier.roucou@usherbrooke.ca

24 Marie A. Brunet, Department of Biochemistry and Functional Genomics, Université de
 25 Sherbrooke, 3201 Jean Mignault, Sherbrooke, Québec J1E 4K8, Canada, Tel. (819) 821-
 26 8000x72189; E-Mail: marie.brunet@usherbrooke.ca

27

ABSTRACT:

Novel functional coding sequences (altORFs) are camouflaged within annotated ones (CDS) in a different reading frame. We discovered an altORF nested in the FUS CDS encoding a conserved 169 amino acid protein, altFUS. AltFUS is endogenously expressed in human tissues, notably in the motor cortex and motor neurons. Overexpression of wild-type FUS and/or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-linked FUS mutants is known to trigger toxic mechanisms in different models. These include an inhibition of autophagy, loss of mitochondrial potential, and accumulation of cytoplasmic aggregates. We show here that altFUS, not FUS, is responsible for the inhibition of autophagy. AltFUS is also pivotal in the mechanisms leading to the mitochondrial potential loss and accumulation of cytoplasmic aggregates. Suppression of altFUS expression in a *Drosophila* model of *FUS*-related toxicity protects against neurodegeneration. Some mutations found in ALS patients are overlooked because of their synonymous effect on the FUS protein. Yet we showed they exert a deleterious effect via their missense consequence on the overlapping altFUS protein. These findings demonstrate that *FUS* is a bicistronic gene and suggest that both proteins, FUS and altFUS, cooperate in toxic mechanisms.

MAIN

FUS is a nuclear RNA-binding protein, with a C-terminal nuclear localization signal (NLS)^{1,2}. The protein is involved in RNA processing, DNA repair and cellular proliferation, although its functions are not precisely elucidated¹. Mutations in *FUS* gene associate with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS), frontotemporal lobar dementia (FUS-FTLD) and essential tremor, all characterized by FUS cytoplasmic inclusions in neurons and glial cells¹. Such cytoplasmic FUS aggregates are pathological features in patients with *FUS* mutations or sporadic disease.

Recently, mutations in *FUS* 3'UTR were described in ALS patients and linked to an increased level of *FUS* mRNA and protein³⁻⁵. Surprisingly, over-expression of wild-type FUS provokes an aggressive ALS phenotype in mice and fruit flies, in accordance with findings in yeast and mammalian cells⁶⁻¹⁰. The mechanism of the wild-type or ALS-linked mutated FUS toxicity remains unclear^{1,11,12}.

With currently non-annotated proteins being increasingly reported¹³⁻¹⁶, we hypothesized that the toxicity resulting from wild-type FUS over-expression may come from another, unseen, actor¹⁶. These novel proteins are coded by alternative open reading frames (altORFs) that are located within “non-coding” RNAs (ncRNA), within the 5' or 3' “untranslated” regions (UTR) of mRNAs, or overlapping a known coding sequence (CDS) within a different frame of an mRNA¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Serendipitous discoveries and ribosome profiling have recently highlighted the distribution of altORFs throughout the human genome, and the consequences of their absence from current databases¹⁶. For example, mass spectrometry-based proteomics has become the gold standard for protein identification and has been extensively used in ALS studies^{18,19}. However, if a protein is not annotated, it is not included in the protein database (e.g. UniProtKB), and thus cannot be

66 detected by mass spectrometry. An estimated 50 % of mass spectra from a proteomics
67 experiment are unmatched at the end of the analysis^{16,20}.

68 Genome annotations must avoid spurious ORF annotations. Thus, unless functional
69 characterization has been published, they rely upon 2 arbitrary criteria: a minimum length of 100
70 codons and a single ORF per transcript. Several groups developed tools to challenge such criteria,
71 such as the sORFs repository²¹ and the OpenProt database¹⁷, which offer a data-driven broader
72 view of eukaryotic proteomes. The OpenProt database is based on a polycistronic model of ORF
73 annotation¹⁷ and reports any ORF longer than 30 codons within any frame of an mRNA or ncRNA.
74 It contains currently annotated proteins (RefProts), novel Isoforms, and novel alternative proteins
75 (altProts). Here, we used the OpenProt database (www.openprot.org) to ask whether *FUS* may
76 encode additional proteins that could explain the toxicity of the wild-type protein over-
77 expression. In support of this hypothesis, FUS displays an N-terminal prion-like domain. These
78 low-complexity domains are known to harbour overlapping ORFs^{22,23}.

ALT FUS IS A NOVEL 170 AMINO ACID PROTEIN, ENDOGENOUSLY EXPRESSED IN CELL LINES AND TISSUES

We began by querying OpenProt¹⁷ predictions for *FUS* canonical mRNA (*ENST00000254108* or *NM_004960*), which led to 8 predicted altORFs, either overlapping the coding sequence (CDS) or within the 3'UTR (**Appendix Table 1**). Amongst these, IP_243680 or altFUS, a 170 codon altORF overlapping *FUS* N-terminal prion-like domain, presents convincing experimental evidence of expression (OpenProt v1.3). AltFUS overlaps the *FUS* CDS in an open reading frame shifted by one nucleotide (**Fig 1A, Fig EV1A**). *FUS* is a complex gene with 13 annotated transcripts resulting from alternative splicing. Based on the GTEx expression data in brain tissues and nerves, five transcripts are more abundant and represent 85 % of all transcripts (**Fig EV1B**). Three of them (*FUS-206*, *FUS-211*, *FUS-203*) are non-coding according to Ensembl, while only two (*FUS-211*, *FUS-203*) are non-coding according to OpenProt (**Fig 1B, Fig EV1C**). Ensembl²⁴ annotates two transcripts as coding (*FUS-201* and *FUS-202*), either for the 526 amino acid *FUS* protein or its 525 amino acid isoform (**Fig EV1D**). From OpenProt prediction, these two transcripts also encode altFUS (IP_243680), or its 169 amino acid isoform (IP_243691) respectively (**Fig EV1E**). Moreover, the second most abundant transcript in brain tissues and nerves (*FUS-206*), representing about 20 % of all transcripts, is non-coding according to Ensembl, but OpenProt predicts it contains the altFUS CDS (**Fig 1B, Fig EV1C**). Thus, of the five most abundant transcripts in brain tissues and nerves, two code for both *FUS* and altFUS proteins, one codes for altFUS alone, and the remaining two are non-coding.

We retrieved nucleotide conservation scores (PhyloP) for *FUS* transcripts over 100 vertebrates. PhyloP scores range from -10 (highly variable) to 10 (highly conserved). Scores over the *FUS* CDS are under a constraint at the altFUS CDS locus (average score of 2.6 instead of 4 elsewhere on the *FUS* CDS), which is consistent with a selection pressure across 2 overlapping frames (**Fig 1C**)²⁵. We then retrieved altFUS protein sequences over 84 species and observed a strong protein

conservation across mammals, and primates notably (75 to 99.4 % of sequence identity - **Appendix Table 2, Fig EV1F and Appendix Source Data 1**). Thus, AltFUS is well conserved, with domains showing little to no sequence variations (**Fig 1D**). No functional domain nor clear secondary structures could be inferred from bioinformatics predictions (data not shown).

Published RIBO-seq data in Human, retrieved from the Gwips portal²⁶, revealed an accumulation of initiating ribosomes around the altFUS initiating methionine, in association with an increase in the density of elongating ribosomes over altFUS CDS (**Fig 1E**). These results suggest that altFUS is translated. Similar results were observed in Mouse (**Fig EV2A**).

Based on the OpenProt database, AltFUS was identified in multiple proteomics datasets, with up to 7 confident peptides (**Fig 1F, Appendix Table 1**). These peptides are unique to altFUS, or shared with its isoform (IP_243691), and represent a 41 % sequence coverage (**Fig EV2B**). Furthermore, we used a peptide-centric approach to query the TCGA datasets for altFUS expression with the PepQuery algorithm. This approach allowed us to identify 28 peptides unique to altFUS, or shared with its isoform (IP_243691), confidently mapped to mass spectra that could not be better explained by any known protein (hg38_Ensembl database) (**Appendix Table 3**). These peptides span through the entire altFUS sequence, representing a full sequence coverage (**Fig EV2C**). Out of these, 20 peptides were confidently mapped to mass spectra that could not be better explained by any known protein with any post-translational modification and/or chemical artefact (**Fig 1G, Fig EV2C**).

To further validate altFUS protein expression, we developed a custom antibody targeting two unique altFUS peptides (**Fig EV3A**) and tested it using three constructs: FUS, altFUS and FUS^(∅). The latter is a monocistronic *FUS* version, where all altFUS methionines are mutated for threonines in a manner synonymous for FUS (**Fig EV3B-D**). Thus, the FUS protein sequence is

unchanged, but the altFUS sequence does not contain any methionines. Transfection of HEK293 cells revealed expression of both proteins, FUS and altFUS, from the FUS nucleotide sequence (**Fig 2A**). As expected, altFUS expression was lost with the monocistronic FUS^(ø) construct. HEK293 cells transfected with a siRNA targeting *FUS* mRNA showed a significant knockdown of both proteins, FUS and altFUS; whereas altFUS endogenous expression was visible in scrambled control siRNA and mock transfected cells (**Fig 2B**). These results validate the specificity of the custom antibody for altFUS protein detection by western blot and demonstrate altFUS endogenous expression in HEK293 cultured cells.

AltFUS endogenous expression was visible in control human tissues, HEK293 and HeLa cell lines (**Fig 2C**). In order to test altFUS expression in pathological tissues, we retrieved motor cortex lysates from 3 ALS patients with a *C9orf72* mutation (most common genetic cause) and 3 sporadic ALS patients (most common aetiology). AltFUS endogenous expression was detected in all cases (**Fig 2D**). Furthermore, as ALS is a motor neuron disease, we derived functional ventral spinal motor neurons from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs)^{27,28} from healthy controls and ALS patients carrying valosin-containing protein mutations (3 lines per group). AltFUS endogenous expression was detected in all samples (**Fig 2E**). We noticed that brain (**Fig 2C**) and motor cortex lysates (**Fig 2D**), as well as iPSCs-derived motor neurons (**Fig 2E**), from healthy controls and ALS patients, presented a higher band detected with the custom altFUS antibody. This band is not present in cultured cell lines or other tissues. It could come from a non-specific signal or a post-translational modification on altFUS that is specific to the motor cortex and spinal cord motor neurons (**Fig 2C-E**). Deep learning predictions of post-translational modifications on altFUS revealed an extensive propensity to phosphorylation and O-GlcNAcylation (O-linked β -N-acetylglucosamine glycosylation), with up to 19 and 18 sites respectively under a high stringency model (**Appendix Table 4**). These two post-translational modifications are abundant in the

eukaryotic brain, and known to be dysregulated in neurodegenerative diseases or aging^{29–32}. We could also observe a lower band in the line 2 of controls motor neurons, which may correspond to a degradation product or an initiation at a downstream methionine in altFUS sequence. This band has never been observed in other samples so far. We demonstrate that the *FUS* gene encodes two proteins, FUS and altFUS, both endogenously expressed in human tissues, iPSCs and cell lines.

ALT FUS IS A MITOCHONDRIAL PROTEIN

FLAG-tagged altFUS (altFUS-FLAG) displayed a strong co-localization with a common mitochondrial marker, TOMM20 (**Fig 2F**). Additionally, mitochondrial extracts showed an enrichment in altFUS-FLAG (**Fig 2G**). Cellular fractionation of cells over-expressing untagged altFUS further validated altFUS mitochondrial localization (**Fig 2H**). The endogenous altFUS protein was found in the mitochondrial fraction, although it displayed a weak cytoplasmic signal as well (**Fig 2I**), consistent with the immunofluorescence data (**Fig 2F**). Furthermore, cells over-expressing altFUS showed an altered mitochondrial network, with a significant increase in fragmented mitochondria (globular) compared to mock cells that displayed more tubular structures (**Fig 2J-K, Fig EV4A**).

Mitochondrial fragmentation is observed in models of overexpression of FUS mutants^{33–35}, and we reproduced here a similar effect when over-expressing altFUS alone. Thus, we wondered whether altFUS played a role in other mitochondrial dysfunctions observed in *FUS*-linked toxicity models. To this end, we reproduced an ALS-associated FUS mutant: FUS-R495x^{34,35}. This mutant leads to a premature stop codon before FUS NLS and is linked to severe fALS and sALS cases¹. In this construct, altFUS is still present and not affected by the mutation (**Fig EV4B**). Similar to FUS^(∅), we also generated the monocistronic construct FUS^(∅)-R495x, which contains synonymous mutations for FUS-R495x, but prevents altFUS expression. V5-FUS^(∅-FLAG) and V5-FUS^(∅-FLAG)-R495x

did not express altFUS, but only the FUS protein, wild-type or ALS-linked mutant R495x respectively (**Fig EV4C**). We first investigated the effect of altFUS on the mitochondrial membrane potential using the potential sensitive dye TMRE (**Fig 3A-B, Fig EV4D**). As previously described³⁴, over-expression of bicistronic FUS or FUS-R495x led to a decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential. The mitochondrial membrane potential remained normal when over-expressing monocistronic FUS^($\emptyset$) or FUS^($\emptyset$)-R495x, underlining the role of altFUS. However, over-expression of altFUS alone did not alter the mitochondrial membrane potential, which suggests both proteins cooperate for this FUS-associated toxicity hallmark.

To further characterize altFUS, we investigated its protein interactors. Using stimulated emission depletion microscopy (STED), we observed that altFUS localized in puncta following a cristae-like pattern inside the mitochondria, delimited using an outer-membrane mitochondrial marker, TOMM20 (**Fig 3C-D**). We then used size-exclusion chromatography on mitochondrial extracts to isolate altFUS-FLAG macromolecular complexes (**Fig EV5A-B**). Following a FLAG affinity purification and mass spectrometry (AP-MS) analysis, using a no-bait control for quantitative comparison, we confidently identified 12 interacting proteins (**Fig 3E, Fig EV5C-D, Appendix Table 5**). These proteins were identified with a minimum of 2 unique peptides and displayed over a 2-fold enrichment to the control. A gene enrichment analysis to the Human proteome on subcellular localization showed that the identified interactors are in majority proteins known to localize at the mitochondria (**Fig 3F**). Amongst the identified interactors is the heat shock protein HSPA9, a chaperone crucial in the mitochondrial iron-sulfur cluster biogenesis³⁶ (**Fig EV5D, Appendix Table 5**). Although HSPA9 was identified with 6 unique peptides and a fold-change of 6.53 to the control, this protein is commonly detected in AP-MS datasets and thus likely non specific nor functionally relevant³⁷. Several altFUS interacting proteins are known to interact together, such as PHB, ATAD3A, ERLIN2, RANBP2 and EMD (**Fig EV5D**). A refined gene enrichment analysis to Human

mitochondrial proteome identified three significantly enriched biological processes: autophagy-related pathways, mitochondrial metabolism and cellular response to stress (**Fig 3G**). Disruptions within these pathways are pathological hallmarks of *FUS*-linked toxicity and ALS^{10,11,35,38}.

altFUS INHIBITS AUTOPHAGY AND DRIVES THE ACCUMULATION OF FUS- AND TDP43-POSITIVE CYTOPLASMIC AGGREGATES

Following on these results, we hypothesized that the inhibition of autophagy observed with ALS-associated *FUS* mutants may instead be attributed to altFUS. We used the mCherry-GFP-LC3 reporter to track the autophagic flux by confocal microscopy (**Fig EV6A**). Under basal conditions, cells displayed red and yellow foci as expected (**Fig 4A**). An accumulation of yellow foci was observed when cells were treated with bafilomycin, an inhibitor of autophagy. Similarly, cells over-expressing altFUS displayed a significant accumulation of yellow foci (**Fig 4A**). Furthermore, our results were consistent with previously published data³⁹ as cells transfected with *FUS* or *FUS*-R495x displayed a decreased autophagic flux (**Fig 4A, Fig EV6B**). This accumulation of yellow foci was absent in cells that express monocistronic *FUS* constructs, thus lacking altFUS expression (*FUS*^(∅) or *FUS*^(∅)-R495x). We used bafilomycin followed by LC3 probing to further validate the impact of altFUS on autophagy (**Fig 4B, Fig EV6C**). Similarly, an inhibition of autophagy was observed only in cells over-expressing altFUS. Furthermore, in cells over-expressing monocistronic *FUS*^(∅)-R495x, the inhibition of autophagy could be restored by co-transfecting altFUS (**Fig 4B, Fig EV6C**). These results establish altFUS, rather than *FUS*, as the protein responsible of the inhibition of autophagy.

Furthermore, altFUS interactome analysis suggested a role in the cellular stress response, which is known to be altered in ALS with a TDP-43 cytoplasmic accumulation in 98 % of patients^{40,41}. In *FUS*-linked ALS and some sALS cases, *FUS* cytoplasmic aggregates or mislocalization are also

observed^{42–44}. In our hands, cells over-expressing FUS-R495x displayed cytoplasmic aggregates that were positive for both FUS-R495x and TDP-43 (**Fig 4C**). Although TDP-43 aggregates are not a common observation with FUS-associated mutants, co-aggregation has already been reported in patients, animal models and cultured cell lines across multiple studies^{42,44–49}. In cells over-expressing the monocistronic FUS^(∅)-R495x construct, thus lacking altFUS expression, FUS-R495x displayed a more diffuse cytoplasmic localization, and TDP-43 remained in the nucleus (**Fig 4C**). FUS cytoplasmic aggregates were significantly more numerous and larger when altFUS was co-expressed (**Fig 4D-E**). Accumulation of FUS-R495x and TDP-43 in cytoplasmic aggregates could be reconstituted by co-transfecting altFUS and the monocistronic FUS^(∅)-R495x construct (**Fig 4C**). These observations were repeated across all 7 ALS-associated FUS mutations tested (**Fig EV6D-F**). The cytoplasmic aggregates were also TIAR-positive as observed with ALS-linked FUS mutants in previous work⁴⁰ (**Fig EV7**). These results suggest that altFUS enhances the assembly of cytoplasmic FUS mutants aggregates and is responsible for the recruitment of TDP-43 in these aggregates.

ALT FUS PROTECTS AGAINST NEURODEGENERATION IN FUS-ASSOCIATED DROSOPHILA MODELS

In order to investigate the role of altFUS in an already established *in vivo* model of FUS-related neurodegeneration, we generated *Drosophila* models expressing either the bicistronic, FUS and FUS-R495x constructs, or the monocistronic, FUS^(∅) and FUS^(∅)-R495x, constructs. We used the Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL4 Driver strain, as previously described⁵⁰, as it allows for an inducible over-expression in motor neurons and avoids lethality at the larval stage from FUS over-expression in the central nervous system^{50,51}. First, we generated flies containing the sequences for UAS-altFUS, UAS-FUS, UAS-FUS^(∅), UAS-FUS-R495x or UAS-FUS^(∅)-R495x. These flies were then crossed with the Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL4 driver strain (**Fig 5A**). UAS-mCherry flies were used as controls. Selected F1 individuals were then divided into 2 groups with equal proportions of males/females. The first group received standard food, while the other received RU-486 treated

food. The treatment induces a conformational change in the Elav-GeneSwitch driver, which allows activation of the UAS promoter and thus expression of the target protein. We retrieved flies at selected time points to validate protein expression in the RU-486 treated population through time, while the controls showed no expression (**Fig 5B**).

The motor neuron degeneration linked to ALS provokes a progressive locomotion loss measurable with a well-described climbing assay⁵². The control populations did not show any significant locomotion loss at day 1, 10 nor 20 (**Fig 5C-E**). Similarly to the RU-486 treated control group did not show a significant effect, although a decrease in climbing ability could be observed as previously reported^{53,54} (mCherry transgenic flies – **Fig 5C**). AltFUS flies did not show any significant locomotion loss through time (**Fig 5C**). This result is consistent with the *in cellulo* data showing altFUS alone is not sufficient to provoke pathological hallmarks. As previously shown with this model⁸, the bicistronic FUS flies, which express both FUS and altFUS proteins, displayed a significant locomotion loss (**Fig 5D**). Bicistronic ALS-linked FUS-R495x flies showed an even greater motor neuron degeneration through time compared to FUS (**Fig 5E**). Monocistronic FUS^(∅) (**Fig 5D**) and FUS^(∅)-R495x (**Fig 5E**) flies, which do not express altFUS, displayed both a delay in the onset of motor neuron degeneration and a reduced drop in climbing success at 20 days post-induction (40% vs. 60% for FUS^(∅), 30% vs. 70% for FUS^(∅)-R495x). These results in *Drosophila* confirm a role for altFUS in FUS-related neurodegeneration *in vivo*, are consistent with our *in cellulo* observations and highlight the toxic cooperation between FUS and altFUS.

ALS-ASSOCIATED MUTATIONS, SYNONYMOUS FOR FUS, ALTER ALTFUS AND LEAD TO TDP-43 CYTOPLASMIC AGGREGATES

As of today, over 50 mutations in the FUS gene have been associated with ALS¹. However, most of these locate at the carboxyl end of the protein and as such have no effect on altFUS (**Fig 1A**).

We wondered whether mutations altering altFUS might have been overlooked as non-consequential in the FUS reading frame. We retrieved FUS synonymous mutations found in ALS patients, with an allelic frequency below 0.01 %, from previous studies and the ALS Variant Server (<http://als.umassmed.edu/> - **Appendix Table 6**). The retrieved mutations clustered on the altFUS locus (**Fig 6A**), with 60 % of FUS synonymous mutations found in sALS patients and 50 % of FUS synonymous mutations found in fALS patients, which is significantly higher than expected by chance (34 %) (**Appendix Table 6**). We selected 4 mutations for further analysis based on the residue conservation: altFUS-P31L, altFUS-A38V, altFUS-A46V and altFUS-R64P. We generated them in GFP-FUS constructs: GFP-FUS^(P31L-FLAG)-S44=; GFP-FUS^(A38V-FLAG)-G51=; GFP-FUS^(A46V-FLAG)-G59= and GFP-FUS^(R64P-FLAG)-S77=. All altFUS mutants still localized to the mitochondria (**Fig EV8A**). To investigate whether these mutations may provoke an ALS-like phenotype, we quantified the number of cells presenting TDP-43 aggregates. All 4 altFUS mutants displayed clear TDP-43 aggregates, and showed a 1.8 to 2.4 fold increase compared to wild-type altFUS (**Fig 6B-C**, **Fig EV8B**). This result indicates that altFUS mutations potentiate TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregation, a pathological hallmark in 98 % of ALS cases. Hence, some *FUS* mutations, synonymous for the FUS protein, exert a deleterious effect through their missense consequence on the altFUS protein.

CONCLUSIONS: FUS AND ALT FUS, ENCODED BY THE SAME GENE, COOPERATE IN FUS-RELATED TOXICITY

Despite considerable advances in the field, current genome annotations still uphold arbitrary assumptions, such as the monocistronic nature of eukaryotic genes¹⁶. Here, we demonstrate *FUS* is a bicistronic gene. We discovered *FUS* CDS contains a second protein-coding sequence in a shifted frame overlapping its prion-like intrinsically disordered domain, regions known to host dual-coding events^{22,23}. This novel protein, named altFUS, is not an isoform but an entirely new sequence of 170 amino acids. AltFUS is endogenously expressed in human tissues and cultured cell lines, as demonstrated by ribosome profiling, mass spectrometry and with a custom antibody.

AltFUS is notably expressed in the motor cortex and iPSCs-derived motor neurons of healthy controls and ALS patients. Because altFUS is embedded within the *FUS* CDS, this discovery is of crucial importance to the field. Indeed, over-expression studies on *FUS* actually implicate two proteins: FUS and altFUS. Similarly FUS knockdown or knockout studies actually inhibit expression of both proteins⁵⁵. Moreover, previous work has shown that gene editing techniques targeting a specific CDS do not necessarily result in knockout of the gene in case of dual-coding gene⁵⁶. Our discovery thus suggests that *FUS* edited cells or models, notably targeting its last exons^{57–59}, might only impair FUS protein expression but not altFUS, thus not resulting in a true *FUS* knockout. Our work provides a more accurate view of *FUS* coding potential to better understand its physiological function and models of FUS-related neurodegeneration.

Following the discovery of altFUS, we developed tools in order to differentiate the specific roles and phenotypes of FUS and altFUS. Our study demonstrates that altFUS is necessary for three toxic molecular hallmarks previously attributed to FUS: mitochondrial fragmentation and loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, inhibition of autophagy, and cytoplasmic aggregation of FUS. The inhibition of autophagy was observed when over-expressing altFUS alone, absent when over-expressing FUS alone (wild-type or ALS-associated R495x mutant) and reconstituted when co-expressing FUS and altFUS. This demonstrates that the inhibition of autophagy, previously described in *FUS*-ALS^{10,39}, has been incorrectly associated to the FUS protein. AltFUS inhibits autophagy. Moreover, altFUS is necessary but not sufficient for the mitochondrial membrane potential loss and cytoplasmic aggregation of FUS and TDP-43. Although TDP-43 aggregates are not commonly seen with FUS mutations⁴⁴, it has already been reported^{42,44–49} and this study lends support for altFUS conspiring with FUS and TDP-43 to lead to this molecular hallmark. Our data suggests the stoichiometry between FUS and altFUS may be important for the development of cytoplasmic aggregates. Both proteins are required to observe the phenotype, highlighting a

functional alliance between FUS and altFUS. This pathological synergy was also observed in the *Drosophila* model.

The physiological function of altFUS is still unclear, although our work provides evidence for its role in mitochondrial dynamics and the cellular response to stress. One mechanism put forward in ALS is that the disease originates from a sub-optimal resolution of cellular stresses, which can come from environmental sources or mutated proteins^{38,60}. We have shown that altFUS, not FUS, inhibits autophagy, most likely via its interaction partners (**Appendix Table 5**). Since an inhibition of autophagy inhibits the dissociation of stress granules³⁸, we suggest altFUS potentiates stress granule accumulation under stress conditions, and that FUS phase separation properties then lead to the formation of solid and toxic aggregates⁶¹. Further work is needed to fully understand the role of altFUS in physiological and pathological conditions, yet our study shows this novel protein plays a crucial role in *FUS*-linked gain-of-toxic dysfunctions in models of *FUS*-related neurodegeneration.

Recent studies have addressed the toxicity resulting from over-expression of wild-type FUS. Bogaert and colleagues used FUS domain truncation mutants to investigate wild-type FUS toxicity⁵¹. A FUS mutant lacking its N-terminal intrinsically disordered domain, thus lacking altFUS, displayed reduced toxicity. This study corroborates our findings that the absence of altFUS reduces the toxicity. Furthermore, Bogaert and colleagues concluded that FUS N-terminal synergizes with the C-terminal domain to mediate toxicity in *Drosophila*⁵¹. Here, we showed that altFUS synergizes with FUS to mediate ALS-like toxic features in cultured cells and toxicity in *Drosophila*. Despite different laboratories and different techniques, our data are in agreement with theirs. Yet, we point to an alternative (not necessarily mutually exclusive) explanation whereby altFUS, not the FUS N-terminal domain, synergizes for toxicity. Additionally, this

discussion shows that not being aware of overlapping CDSs, especially in deletion studies, precludes alternative interpretations.

Current genome annotations guide the interpretation of data and the design of studies, however they also affect the way we screen for pathological mutations¹⁶. Most of the ALS-linked *FUS* mutations affect the carboxyl end of the protein, as shown with the example used in this study, the R495x mutation⁶². These mutations do not alter the altFUS protein, which is embedded at the beginning of the *FUS* CDS and span on exons 3 to 6. How could altFUS be important for the disease if most pathological mutations do not alter it? To answer this question, one needs to grasp how much genome annotations shape today's research. For example, when screening for pathological mutations, those that are synonymous for *FUS* are discarded early in analyses as insignificant⁶³. Yet, a synonymous mutation for *FUS* may not be for altFUS. Our work shows that *FUS* synonymous mutations found in patients cluster on altFUS genomic locus. We tested 4 of these mutations, synonymous for the *FUS* protein and missense for the altFUS protein, and we found that each of them potentiated TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregation, a pathological hallmark of ALS⁴¹.

Overall, we have shown that *FUS* is a bicistronic gene and our results indicate that altFUS interferes with mitochondrial homeostasis and autophagy in cell culture and induces motor neuron toxicity in *Drosophila* models. It will be important to further characterize the function of altFUS and determine if it has a role in ALS and/or FTLD.

METHODS

FUS constructs

FUS and altFUS sequences were obtained from Bio Basic Gene Synthesis service. All FUS constructs were subcloned into pcDNA3.1- (Invitrogen) using Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs, E26115). FUS and altFUS wild-type sequences correspond to that of the Human FUS canonical transcript (*ENST00000254108* or *NM_004960*). FUS and altFUS proteins were tagged with V5 (GKPIPNPLLGLDST) and 2 FLAG (DYKDDDDKDYKDDDDK) respectively. FUS was tagged on the N-terminal, altFUS was tagged on the C-terminal. For immunofluorescence assays, N-terminal GFP-tagged FUS was also cloned into pcDNA3.1- by Gibson assembly. The necessary gBlocks were purchased from IDT. The monocistronic constructs FUS^(∅) and FUS^(∅)-R495x were generated by mutating all altFUS methionines (ATG) to threonines (ACG). These mutations are synonymous in the FUS CDS (TAT > TAC, both coding for tyrosine). The altFUS mutated sequence was obtained from Bio Basic Gene Synthesis service, and then subcloned in FUS sequences in pcDNA3.1- using Gibson assembly. The bicistronic constructs are named as follows throughout the article: FUS, FUS-R495x, or FUS^(FLAG) and FUS^(FLAG)-R495x when altFUS is FLAG-tagged in the +2 reading frame. The monocistronic constructs are named as follows throughout the article: FUS^(∅) or FUS^(∅)-R495x to indicate altFUS absence.

Cell culture, transfections, western blots and immunofluorescence

HEK293 and HeLa cells cultures tested negative for mycoplasma contamination (ATCC 30–1012K). Transfections, immunofluorescence, confocal analyses and western blots were carried out as previously described⁶⁴. For FUS knock-down, 150 000 HEK293 cells in a 6-well plate were transfected with 25 nM FUS SMARTpool: siGENOME siRNA (Dharmacon, Canada, L-009497-00-0005) or ON-TARGET plus Nontargeting pool siRNAs (Dharmacon, D-001810-10-05) with DharmaFECT one transfection reagent (Dharmacon, T-2001–02) according to the manufacturer's

protocol. Cell media was changed every 24 hrs and cells were processed 72 hrs after transfection. For immunofluorescence, primary antibodies were diluted as follows: anti-Flag (Sigma, F1804) 1/1000, anti-TOMM20 (Abcam, ab186734) 1/500, anti-V5 (Cell Signalling Technologies, #13202) 1/1000, anti-TDP-43 (ProteinTech, 10782-2-AP) 1/500, and anti-TIAR (Cell Signalling Technologies, #8611) 1/1600. For western blots, primary antibodies were diluted as follows: anti-Flag (Sigma, F1804) 1/8000, anti-V5 (Sigma, V8012) 1/8000, anti-actin (Sigma, A5441) 1/40000, anti-FUS (Abcam, ab84078) 1/500, anti-altFUS (Abcam, custom antibody) 1/3000, anti-LC3 (Cell Signalling Technologies, #2775) 1/1000, anti-Hsp70 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA3-028) 1/1000, anti-Tubulin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, a11126) 1/2000 and anti-VDAC (Abcam, ab15895) 1/10000. The altFUS antibody was generated by injection two rabbits, each with 2 unique altFUS peptide. The purified antibody from rabbit 2 was used in this study at a 1/2000 dilution. Mitochondrial morphology was evaluated using the microP tool⁶⁵. A minimum of 100 cells per replicate were counted across 3 independent experiments (n = 3, i.e. minimum 300 cells for each experimental condition). Colocalization analyses were performed using the JACoP plugin (Just Another Colocalization Plugin) implemented in Image J software, as previously described¹³. When specified, images obtained by confocal microscopy on the Leica TCS SP8 STED 3X were deconvolved using the Huygens software (Scientific Volume Imaging B.V., Hilversum, Netherlands). The software uses a signal reassignment algorithm for deconvolution, identical deconvolution parameters were applied to all images. The default parameters were used, including the Classic Maximum Likelihood Estimation (CMLE) algorithm, signal to noise ratio, and background estimation radius. The maximum iteration number was set at 30. Human tissue lysates for altFUS endogenous expression were purchased from Zyagen Laboratories (San Diego, California, USA).

Ribo-seq data and conservation analyses

Global aggregate reads for initiating ribosomes and elongating ribosomes footprints across all available studies were downloaded from the Gwips portal (<https://gwips.ucc.ie/>), for *Homo sapiens* and for *Mus musculus*. For altFUS protein conservation analysis, all FUS mRNAs with at least EST evidence were retrieved across all available species from NCBI RefSeq. We performed an *in silico* 3-frame translation and retrieved the best matching protein sequence per species that displayed a minimum of 20 % sequence identity with the Human altFUS sequence over 25 % of Human altFUS length. AltFUS homologous sequences were found in 83 species, and we manually added that of *Drosophila melanogaster* that displayed a 37.5 % sequence identity over 19 % of the Human altFUS length. All retrieved altFUS sequences were then aligned using Clustalw with default parameters.

Peptide-centric analysis of proteomics datasets

The stand alone PepQuery tool (v1.0) was downloaded from the PepQuery website (<http://www.pepquery.org/>). The tool was run on the following datasets from the TCGA consortium: colon cancer (COCA) proteome, ovarian cancer (OVCA) proteome, phosphoproteome and glycoproteome, and the breast cancer (BRCA) proteome and phosphoproteome. The reference database was set to the Ensembl datababase (hg38_Ensembl_20190910). The following parameters were set for all runs unless specified: carbamidomethylation of cysteine as fixed modification (as well as iTRAQ 4-plex of K, iTRAQ 4-plex of peptide N-term for BRCA and OVCA); oxidation of methionine as variable modification (as well as iTRAQ 4-plex of Y for BRCA and OVCA); a maximum of 3 modifications per peptides; trypsin digestion with maximum of 1 miscleavage; precursor tolerance of 10 ppm (20 ppm for COCA); fragment mass tolerance of 0.05 Da (0.6 Da for COCA); the hyperscore was used as a scoring metric, and 10 000 randoms. For phosphoproteomes, phosphorylation of Y, T and S were added as variable modifications. For the glycoproteome, deamidation of Q and N were added as variable modifications. PepQuery was run

in the protein mode, with altFUS (IP_243680) whole sequence as input. Spectra were visualised and drawn using an in-house python script.

Human induced pluripotent stem cell differentiation into motor neurons

Directed differentiation to human iPSC-motor neurons was performed as previously reported²⁷. Briefly, iPSCs were maintained on Geltrex (Life Technologies) with Essential 8 Medium media (Life Technologies), and passaged using EDTA (Life Technologies, 0.5mM). All cell cultures were maintained at 37°C and 5% carbon dioxide. For motor neuron differentiation, iPSCs were differentiated to neuroepithelium by plating to 100% confluency in chemically defined medium consisting of DMEM/F12 Glutamax, Neurobasal, L-Glutamine, N2 supplement, non-essential amino acids, B27 supplement, β -mercaptoethanol (Life Technologies) and insulin (Sigma). Treatment with the following small molecules from day 0-7: 1 μ M Dorsomorphin (Millipore), 2 μ M SB431542 (Tocris Bioscience), and 3.3 μ M CHIR99021 (Miltenyi Biotec). At day 8, cells patterned for 7 days with 0.5 μ M retinoic acid and 1 μ M Purmorphamine. At day 14 spinal cord motor neuron precursors were treated with 0.1 μ M Purmorphamine for a further 4 days before being terminally differentiated for >10 days in 0.1 μ M Compound E (Enzo Life Sciences) to promote cell cycle exit. Throughout the neural conversion and patterning phase (D0-18) the neuroepithelial layer was enzymatically dissociated twice (at D4-5 and D10-12) using dispase (GIBCO, 1 mg ml⁻¹).

Preparation of tissue lysates of the motor cortex of ALS patients

Approximately 100mg of motor cortex from 4 sporadic ALS and 4 C9orf72-ALS cases was lysed in 10x RIPA (50mM Tris HCl pH7.8, 150mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP40; supplemented with protease inhibitors and EDTA) volume using TissueLyzer equipment (Qiagen). Lysates were incubated on ice 20 minutes followed by centrifugation at 20,000xg for 20 minutes at 4°C. Supernatant was taken as 'RIPA fraction' and pellets resuspended in RIPA and SDS (final

concentration of 2%). 3 sporadic ALS and 3 C9orf72-ALS samples were subsequently used as they were sufficiently concentrated to load 100 ug of proteins onto SDS-page gels.

Mitochondrial extracts and cellular fractionation

Mitochondrial extracts were prepared as previously described⁵⁶. Briefly, HEK293 cells grown up to 80 % confluence, were rinsed twice with PBS and gathered using a cell scraper. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 500 x g for 10 mins at 4 °C. Supernatant was discarded and cells suspended in mitochondrial buffer (210 mM mannitol, 70 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM PMSF and EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific)). Cells were disrupted by 15 consecutive passages through a 25G1 0.5 x 25 needle syringe on ice, followed by a 3 min centrifugation at 2 000 x g at 4 °C. Supernatant was collected and the pellet suspended in mitochondrial buffer. The cell disruption was repeated four times and all retrieved supernatants containing mitochondria were again passed through syringe needle in mitochondrial buffer and cleared by centrifugation for 3 mins at 2000 × g at 4 °C. Supernatants were pooled and centrifuged for 10 mins at 13 000 x g at 4 °C to pellet mitochondria. The pellet was suspended in 200 µL of mitochondrial buffer until further processing. Cellular fractionation was performed using the Cell Fractionation Kit (#9038S, Cell Signaling Technology). Briefly, HEK293 cells were grown up to 80 % confluence, washed twice with PBS and gathered using a cell scraper. Cells were spun at 350 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C and 2.5 x 10⁶ cells were suspended in 500 µL of ice-cold PBS. An aliquot of 100 µL was spun at 350 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C and resuspended in SDS buffer (4 % SDS, Tris-HCl 100 mM pH 7.6) and kept as WCL (Whole Cell Lysate). The rest of the collected cells (remaining 400 µL) were spun at 500 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C. Supernatant was discarded and pellet resuspended in 500 µL of CIB (Cytoplasmic Isolation Buffer) from the kit, vortexed for 5 secs and incubated on ice for 5 mins. After centrifugation at 500 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C, the supernatant was collected as the cytoplasmic fraction. The pellet was resuspended in 500 µL of MIB buffer

(Membrane Isolation Buffer) from the kit, vortexed for 15 secs and incubated on ice for 5 mins. After centrifugation at 8 000 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C, the supernatant was collected as the membrane and organelles fraction. To each 100 µL of fraction was added 60 µL of loading buffer 1 x (from ColdSpring Laemmli sample buffer: 50 mM Tris pH 6.8, 2 % SDS, 10 % Glycerol, 5 % β-mercaptoethanol) before processing for western blot.

Mitochondrial membrane potential measurements

Mitochondrial membrane potential was measured by flow cytometry in HEK293 cells using TMRE (Tetramethylrhodamine, Ethyl ester, Abcam, ab113852). FCCP was used as a positive control to validate each independent experiment. Cells were grown up to 80 % confluence and washed twice with PBS. The cells were then incubated for 5 mins at 37 °C, 5 % CO₂ with PBS/A (0.2 % BSA in PBS) solution (experimental) or 3 µM FCCP in PBS/A solution (positive control). Then, 100 nM of TMRE was added and cells were incubated 15 mins at 37 °C, 5 % CO₂. After incubation, cells were trypsinized and centrifuged at 800 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C and resuspended in 500 µL of PBS and kept on ice. Cells were immediately analysed by flow cytometry. A gate for living cells was set, as well as a second gate to filter out cell doublets. TMRE fluorescence (PE-A) was recorded over a minimum of 50 000 gated cells for each experimental condition. The mean TMRE fluorescence intensity was measured over 3 independent experiments for each experimental condition.

Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) microscopy

Samples were prepared as described above for confocal microscopy. A Leica TCS SP8 STED 3X was used with a 100x objective lens and immersion oil for dual-color STED images. Images were obtained by sequential scanning of a given area. The combination of Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-11017) and Alexa Fluor 568 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-21069) dyes was chosen for STED imaging. Alexa Fluor 488 dye was excited with a white light laser (WLL) at 488 nm and was depleted using the 660 nm STED laser. Alexa Fluor 568 dye was excited with a WLL at

561 nm and was depleted using the 660 nm STED laser. The STED laser (660 nm) was applied at 80 % of maximum power.

Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) and affinity-purification mass spectrometry (AP-MS)

Mitochondrial extracts of HEK293 cells were centrifuged at 13 000 x g for 10 mins at 4 °C to remove the supernatant and were resuspended in FPLC buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1 % Triton X-100, pH 7.5, filtered with 0.2 µm filters) at 2 mg/mL for a total of 4 mg of mitochondrial proteins. Samples were incubated on ice for 15 mins and then centrifuged at 10 000 x g for 5 mins at 4 °C and the supernatant was loaded in the injection syringe without disrupting the pellet. The FPLC was performed on a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 pg column (GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA) at 4 °C. The column was pre-equilibrated with the FPLC buffer for up to 0.2 CV (column volume) and the sample was applied at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min with a pressure alarm set at 0.5 MPa. The elution was performed over 72 fractions of 1.5 mL for a maximum of 1.1 CV. For altFUS probing by western blot, proteins were precipitated from 150 µL of each 4 fractions in technical duplicates. First, 600 µL of methanol was added to each tube and mixed gently, before adding 150 µL of chloroform. Tubes were gently inverted 10 times before adding 450 µL of milliQ H₂O and vortexing briefly. After centrifugation at 12 000 x g for 3 mins, the upper phase was discarded, and 400 µL of methanol was added. Tubes are centrifuged at 16 000 x g for 4 mins and the pellet was resuspended in loading buffer. For interactome analysis by mass spectrometry, fractions of interest (8 to 14) were pooled together and incubated at 4 °C overnight with magnetic FLAG beads (Sigma, M8823) pre-conditioned with FPLC buffer. The beads were then washed 3 times with 5 mL of FPLC buffer, and 5 times with 5 mL of 20 mM NH₄HCO₃ (ABC). Proteins were eluted and reduced from the beads using 10 mM DTT (15mins at 55 °C), and then treated with 20 mM IAA (1 hour at room temperature in the dark). Proteins were digested overnight by adding 1 µg of trypsin (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin) in 100 µL ABC at 37 °C overnight. Digestion was

quenched using 1 % formic acid and supernatant was collected. Beads were washed once with acetonitrile/water/formic acid (1/1/0.01 v/v) and pooled with supernatant. Peptides were dried with a speedvac, desalted using a C18 Zip-Tip (Millipore Sigma, Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada) and resuspended into 30 µl of 1% formic acid in water prior to MS analysis.

Mass-spectrometry analysis

Peptides were separated in a PepMap C18 nano column (75 µm × 50 cm, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The setup used a 0–35% gradient (0–215 min) of 90% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 200 nL/min followed by acetonitrile wash and column re-equilibration for a total gradient duration of 4 h with a RSLC Ultimate 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dionex). Peptides were sprayed using an EASYSpray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 2 kV coupled to a quadrupole-Orbitrap (QExactive, Thermo Fisher Scientific) mass spectrometer. Full-MS spectra within a m/z 350–1600 mass range at 70,000 resolution were acquired with an automatic gain control (AGC) target of 1e6 and a maximum accumulation time (maximum IT) of 20 ms. Fragmentation (MS/MS) of the top ten ions detected in the Full-MS scan at 17,500 resolution, AGC target of 5e5, a maximum IT of 60 ms with a fixed first mass of 50 within a 3 m/z isolation window at a normalized collision energy (NCE) of 25. Dynamic exclusion was set to 40 s. Mass spectrometry RAW files were searched with Andromeda search engine implemented in MaxQuant 1.5.5.1. The digestion mode was set at Trypsin/P with a maximum of two missed cleavages per peptides. Oxidation of methionine and acetylation of N-terminal were set as variable modifications, and carbamidomethylation of cysteine was set as fixed modification. Precursor and fragment tolerances were set at 4.5 and 20 ppm respectively. Files were searched using a target-decoy approach against UniprotKB (*Homo sapiens* 03/2017 release) with the addition of altFUS sequence for a total of 92,949 entries. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set at 1% for peptide-spectrum-match, peptide and protein levels. Protein interactions were then scored using the

SAINT algorithm, with Mock cells as control and the magnetic FLAG beads in HEK293 cells
crapome⁶⁶. Proteins with a SAINT score above 0.99 were considered, as well as those presenting
a SAINT score above 0.88 with a minimum of two unique peptides.

Biological processes and cellular compartment enrichment analysis

Proteins identified in altFUS interactome were screened for cellular compartment and biological
processes enrichment using Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment. Proteins were queried against the
whole Human Proteome for cellular compartment and against the Human mitochondrial
proteome (MitoCarta 2.0) for biological processes. The statistical analysis used a Fisher's Exact
test with a FDR set at 1 %.

Autophagic flux measurements

The mCherry-GFP-LC3 was used to evaluate the autophagic vesicles within HeLa cells by confocal
microscopy. Before fusion with the lysosome, the LC3 molecules on the autophagosome display
a yellow fluorescence (combined mCherry and GFP fluorescence). After fusion, the GFP
fluorescence is quenched by the lysosomal pH, and as such the LC3 molecules display a red signal
(mCherry alone). This allows a visual representation of the autophagic flux in a given cell. Cells
treated with 50 nM Bafilomycin for 4 hours were used as a positive control to validate each
independent experiment. Observations were made across 2 technical duplicates for each
biological condition, across 3 independent experiments (n=3). Alternatively, the autophagic flux
was also evaluated by LC3 probing before and after bafilomycin treatment (50 nM for 4 hours).
The quantification corresponds to the treated / untreated ratio of LC3-II abundance.

Cytoplasmic aggregates measurements

Images of HeLa cells were taken by confocal microscopy and then processed using the Image J 3D
Objects Counter plugin. FUS cytoplasmic aggregates were then quantified in number and size

(μm^2) for each cell. A total of 100 cells across two technical replicates were taken for each independent experiment (n=3, i.e. a minimum of 300 cells per biological conditions).

Transgenic *Drosophila* and climbing assay

The bicistronic constructs, FUS and FUS-R495x, and the monocistronic constructs, altFUS, FUS^($\emptyset$) and FUS^($\emptyset$)-R495x, were subcloned in the pUASTattB expression vector for site specific insertion into attP2 on chromosome 3. Transgenic flies were generated by Best Gene (Best Gene Inc., California, USA). The Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL4 driver (stock number: 43642, genotype: $y[1] w[*]; P\{w[+mC]=elav-Switch.O\}GSG301$) and the UAS-mCherry flies (stock number: 35787, genotype: $y[1] sc[*] v[1]; P\{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=UAS-mCherry.VALIUM10\}attP2$) was purchased from Bloomington (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, Indiana, USA). All stocks were in a w^{1118} background and were cultured on standard medium at 25°C or room temperature. Transgenic flies were crossed with the Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL4 driver strain. The F1 was equally divided in two groups with equal proportion of males and females: one group will feed on standard food supplemented with ethanol (0.2 % - control flies), the other on standard food supplemented with RU-486 at 10 μM diluted in ethanol (induced flies). The climbing assay was performed as previously described⁵². Briefly, flies were transferred into an empty vial and tapped to the bottom. After 18 s, the number of flies at the top of the tube were considered successful. The assay was done at day 1, 10 and 20 post-induction, across 4 independent F1. Five flies were taken at day 1, 10 and 20 post-induction to validate expression of the proteins of interest.

Statistical analyses and representation

Unless otherwise stated, the statistical analysis carried was a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison correction. The box plots represent the mean with the 5 to 95 % percentile. The bar graphs represent the mean, and error bars correspond to the standard deviation. When

using parametric tests, normality of data distribution was verified beforehand using the Shapiro-Wilk test.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The OpenProt database is available at www.openprot.org. The GTEx portal is available via www.gtexportal.org. The Gwips portal is available at www.gwips.ucc.ie. The proteomics data are available on the PRIDE repository with the accession PXD----- . Any other relevant data are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by CIHR grants MOP-137056 and MOP-136962, by an ALS Canada Project Grant, and by a Canada Research Chair in Functional Proteomics and Discovery of Novel Proteins to X.R. X.R and S.J are members of the Fonds de Recherche du Québec Santé (FRQS)-supported Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke. P.M. is supported by the ALS Double Play Christopher Chiu Postdoctoral Fellowship. We thank J. Ule, M. J. Boucher and D. Hunting for helpful discussions. The autopsy program is supported by the James Hunter and Family ALS Initiative. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project was supported by the Common Fund of the Office of the Director of the National Institutes of Health, and by NCI, NHGRI, NHLBI, NIDA, NIMH, and NINDS. The data used for the analyses described in this manuscript were obtained from the GTEx Portal on April 2019.

CONTRIBUTIONS

MA.B and X.R designed and wrote the study. MA.B and JF.J did the experiments, and MA.B did the analyses and figures. S.N and S.J assisted with the Drosophila experiments. P.M, L.Z and J.R

616 provided the motor cortex tissue lysates. GE.T and R.P provided the iPSCs-derived motor neurons.
617 All authors proofread the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Deng, H., Gao, K. & Jankovic, J. The role of FUS gene variants in neurodegenerative diseases. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **10**, 337–348 (2014).
2. Vance, C. *et al.* Mutations in FUS, an RNA Processing Protein, Cause Familial Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Type 6. *Science* **323**, 1208–1211 (2009).
3. Zou, Z.-Y. *et al.* Screening of the FUS gene in familial and sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients of Chinese origin. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **19**, 977–983 (2012).
4. Dini Modigliani, S., Morlando, M., Errichelli, L., Sabatelli, M. & Bozzoni, I. An ALS-associated mutation in the FUS 3'-UTR disrupts a microRNA–FUS regulatory circuitry. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4335 (2014).
5. Sabatelli, M. *et al.* Mutations in the 3' untranslated region of FUS causing FUS overexpression are associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **22**, 4748–4755 (2013).
6. Ajmone-Cat, M. A. *et al.* Increased FUS levels in astrocytes leads to astrocyte and microglia activation and neuronal death. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 4572 (2019).
7. Ju, S. *et al.* A Yeast Model of FUS/TLS-Dependent Cytotoxicity. *PLoS Biol.* **9**, (2011).
8. Chen, Y. *et al.* Expression of human FUS protein in Drosophila leads to progressive neurodegeneration. *Protein Cell* **2**, 477–486 (2011).
9. Miguel, L. *et al.* Accumulation of insoluble forms of FUS protein correlates with toxicity in Drosophila. *Neurobiol. Aging* **33**, 1008.e1–15 (2012).
10. Ling, S.-C. *et al.* Overriding FUS autoregulation in mice triggers gain-of-toxic dysfunctions in RNA metabolism and autophagy-lysosome axis. *eLife* **8**, e40811 (2019).
11. Taylor, J. P., Brown Jr, R. H. & Cleveland, D. W. Decoding ALS: from genes to mechanism. *Nature* **539**, 197–206 (2016).

641 12. Nolan, M., Talbot, K. & Ansorge, O. Pathogenesis of FUS-associated ALS and FTD: insights
642 from rodent models. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **4**, (2016).

643 13. Samandi, S. *et al.* Deep transcriptome annotation enables the discovery and functional
644 characterization of cryptic small proteins. *eLife* **6**, e27860 (2017).

645 14. Saghatelian, A. & Couso, J. P. Discovery and characterization of smORF-encoded
646 bioactive polypeptides. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **11**, 909–916 (2015).

647 15. Delcourt, V., Staskevicius, A., Salzert, M., Fournier, I. & Roucou, X. Small Proteins Encoded
648 by Unannotated ORFs are Rising Stars of the Proteome, Confirming Shortcomings in Genome
649 Annotations and Current Vision of an mRNA. *Proteomics* (2017)
650 doi:10.1002/pmic.201700058.

651 16. Brunet, M. A., Levesque, S. A., Hunting, D. J., Cohen, A. A. & Roucou, X. Recognition of
652 the polycistronic nature of human genes is critical to understanding the genotype-phenotype
653 relationship. *Genome Res.* (2018) doi:10.1101/gr.230938.117.

654 17. Brunet, M. A. *et al.* OpenProt: a more comprehensive guide to explore eukaryotic coding
655 potential and proteomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D403–D410 (2019).

656 18. Umoh, M. E. *et al.* A proteomic network approach across the ALS-FTD disease spectrum
657 resolves clinical phenotypes and genetic vulnerability in human brain. *EMBO Mol. Med.*
658 e201708202 (2017) doi:10.15252/emmm.201708202.

659 19. Collins, M. A., An, J., Hood, B. L., Conrads, T. P. & Bowser, R. P. Label-Free LC–MS/MS
660 Proteomic Analysis of Cerebrospinal Fluid Identifies Protein/Pathway Alterations and
661 Candidate Biomarkers for Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *J. Proteome Res.* **14**, 4486–4501
662 (2015).

663 20. Chick, J. M. *et al.* A mass-tolerant database search identifies a large proportion of
664 unassigned spectra in shotgun proteomics as modified peptides. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 743–
665 749 (2015).

666 21. Olexiouk, V., Van Crielinge, W. & Menschaert, G. An update on sORFs.org: a repository
667 of small ORFs identified by ribosome profiling. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D497–D502 (2018).

668 22. Kovacs, E., Tompa, P., Liliom, K. & Kalmar, L. Dual coding in alternative reading frames
669 correlates with intrinsic protein disorder. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 5429–5434 (2010).

670 23. Pancsa, R. & Tompa, P. Coding Regions of Intrinsic Disorder Accommodate Parallel
671 Functions. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **41**, 898–906 (2016).

672 24. Zerbino, D. R. *et al.* Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D754–D761 (2018).

673 25. Pavesi, A. Asymmetric evolution in viral overlapping genes is a source of selective
674 protein adaptation. *Virology* **532**, 39–47 (2019).

675 26. Michel, A. M., Kiniry, S. J., O'Connor, P. B. F., Mullan, J. P. & Baranov, P. V. GWIPS-viz:
676 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* doi:10.1093/nar/gkx790.

677 27. Hall, C. E. *et al.* Progressive Motor Neuron Pathology and the Role of Astrocytes in a
678 Human Stem Cell Model of VCP-Related ALS. *Cell Rep.* **19**, 1739–1749 (2017).

679 28. Luisier, R. *et al.* Intron retention and nuclear loss of SFPQ are molecular hallmarks of
680 ALS. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1–15 (2018).

681 29. Hart, G. W. & Akimoto, Y. The O-GlcNAc Modification. in *Essentials of Glycobiology* (eds.
682 Varki, A. *et al.*) (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2009).

683 30. Thompson, J. W., Sorum, A. W. & Hsieh-Wilson, L. C. Deciphering the Functions of O-
684 GlcNAc Glycosylation in the Brain: The Role of Site-Specific Quantitative O-GlcNAcomics.
685 *Biochemistry* **57**, 4010–4018 (2018).

686 31. Didonna, A. & Benetti, F. Post-translational modifications in neurodegeneration.
687 *Biophys. 2016 Vol 3 Pages 27-49* (2015) doi:10.3934/biophy.2016.1.27.

688 32. Santos, A. L. & Lindner, A. B. Protein Posttranslational Modifications: Roles in Aging and
689 Age-Related Disease. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* **2017**, (2017).

690 33. Carri, M. T., D'Ambrosi, N. & Cozzolino, M. Pathways to mitochondrial dysfunction in ALS
691 pathogenesis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **483**, 1187–1193 (2017).

692 34. Deng, J. *et al.* FUS Interacts with HSP60 to Promote Mitochondrial Damage. *PLOS Genet.*
693 **11**, e1005357 (2015).

694 35. Nakaya, T. & Maragkakis, M. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis associated FUS mutation
695 shortens mitochondria and induces neurotoxicity. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 15575 (2018).

696 36. Shan, Y. & Cortopassi, G. Mitochondrial Hspa9/Mortalin regulates erythroid
697 differentiation via iron-sulfur cluster assembly. *Mitochondrion* **26**, 94–103 (2016).

698 37. Mellacheruvu, D. *et al.* The CRAPome: a contaminant repository for affinity purification-
699 mass spectrometry data. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 730–736 (2013).

700 38. Monahan, Z., Shewmaker, F. & Pandey, U. B. Stress granules at the intersection of
701 autophagy and ALS. *Brain Res.* **1649**, 189–200 (2016).

702 39. Marrone, L. *et al.* FUS pathology in ALS is linked to alterations in multiple ALS-associated
703 proteins and rescued by drugs stimulating autophagy. *Acta Neuropathol. (Berl.)* (2019)
704 doi:10.1007/s00401-019-01998-x.

705 40. Aulas, A. & Vande Velde, C. Alterations in stress granule dynamics driven by TDP-43 and
706 FUS: a link to pathological inclusions in ALS? *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **9**, (2015).

707 41. Hergesheimer, R. C. *et al.* The debated toxic role of aggregated TDP-43 in amyotrophic
708 lateral sclerosis: a resolution in sight? *Brain* **142**, 1176–1194 (2019).

709 42. Deng, H.-X. *et al.* FUS-immunoreactive inclusions are a common feature in sporadic and
710 non-SOD1 familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann. Neurol.* **67**, 739–748 (2010).

711 43. Tyzack, G. E. *et al.* Widespread FUS mislocalization is a molecular hallmark of
712 amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain* doi:10.1093/brain/awz217.

713 44. Farrawell, N. E. *et al.* Distinct partitioning of ALS associated TDP-43, FUS and SOD1
714 mutants into cellular inclusions. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13416 (2015).

715 45. Wiesner, D. *et al.* Reversible induction of TDP-43 granules in cortical neurons after
716 traumatic injury. *Exp. Neurol.* **299**, 15–25 (2018).

717 46. Cohen, N. R., Hammans, S. R., Macpherson, J. & Nicoll, J. A. R. New neuropathological
718 findings in Unverricht–Lundborg disease: neuronal intranuclear and cytoplasmic inclusions.
719 *Acta Neuropathol. (Berl.)* **121**, 421–427 (2011).

720 47. Shan, X., Chiang, P.-M., Price, D. L. & Wong, P. C. Altered distributions of Gemini of
721 coiled bodies and mitochondria in motor neurons of TDP-43 transgenic mice. *Proc. Natl.*
722 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 16325–16330 (2010).

723 48. Keller, B. A. *et al.* Co-aggregation of RNA binding proteins in ALS spinal motor neurons:
724 evidence of a common pathogenic mechanism. *Acta Neuropathol. (Berl.)* **124**, 733–747
725 (2012).

726 49. Kryndushkin, D., Wickner, R. B. & Shewmaker, F. FUS/TLS forms cytoplasmic aggregates,
727 inhibits cell growth and interacts with TDP-43 in a yeast model of amyotrophic lateral
728 sclerosis. *Protein Cell* **2**, 223–236 (2011).

729 50. Lanson, N. A. *et al.* A Drosophila model of FUS-related neurodegeneration reveals
730 genetic interaction between FUS and TDP-43. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **20**, 2510–2523 (2011).

731 51. Bogaert, E. *et al.* Molecular Dissection of FUS Points at Synergistic Effect of Low-
732 Complexity Domains in Toxicity. *Cell Rep.* **24**, 529–537.e4 (2018).

733 52. Chambers, R. P. *et al.* Nicotine increases lifespan and rescues olfactory and motor
734 deficits in a *Drosophila* model of Parkinson's disease. *Behav. Brain Res.* **253**, 95–102 (2013).

735 53. Mawhinney, R. M. S. & Staveley, B. E. Expression of GFP can influence aging and climbing
736 ability in *Drosophila*. *Genet. Mol. Res. GMR* **10**, 494–505 (2011).

737 54. Robles-Murguía, M., Hunt, L. C., Finkelstein, D., Fan, Y. & Demontis, F. Tissue-specific
738 alteration of gene expression and function by RU486 and the GeneSwitch system. *Npj Aging*
739 *Mech. Dis.* **5**, 1–5 (2019).

740 55. Scekcic-Zahirovic, J. *et al.* Toxic gain of function from mutant FUS protein is crucial to
741 trigger cell autonomous motor neuron loss. *EMBO J.* **35**, 1077–1097 (2016).

742 56. Delcourt, V. *et al.* The Protein Coded by a Short Open Reading Frame, Not by the
743 Annotated Coding Sequence, Is the Main Gene Product of the Dual-Coding Gene MIEF1. *Mol.*
744 *Cell. Proteomics MCP* **17**, 2402–2411 (2018).

745 57. Kino, Y. *et al.* FUS/TLS deficiency causes behavioral and pathological abnormalities
746 distinct from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **3**, (2015).

747 58. Hicks, G. G. *et al.* Fus deficiency in mice results in defective B-lymphocyte development
748 and activation, high levels of chromosomal instability and perinatal death. *Nat. Genet.* **24**,
749 175–179 (2000).

750 59. An, H. *et al.* ALS-linked FUS mutations confer loss and gain of function in the nucleus by
751 promoting excessive formation of dysfunctional paraspeckles. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **7**,
752 7 (2019).

753 60. Al-Chalabi, A. & Hardiman, O. The epidemiology of ALS: a conspiracy of genes,
754 environment and time. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **9**, nrneurol.2013.203 (2013).

755 61. Qamar, S. *et al.* FUS Phase Separation Is Modulated by a Molecular Chaperone and
756 Methylation of Arginine Cation- π Interactions. *Cell* **173**, 720-734.e15 (2018).

757 62. Naumann, M. *et al.* Impaired DNA damage response signaling by FUS-NLS mutations
758 leads to neurodegeneration and FUS aggregate formation. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 335 (2018).

759 63. Richards, S. *et al.* Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a
760 joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics
761 and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet. Med. Off. J. Am. Coll. Med. Genet.* **17**,
762 405–424 (2015).

763 64. Vanderperre, B. *et al.* An overlapping reading frame in the PRNP gene encodes a novel
764 polypeptide distinct from the prion protein. *FASEB J.* **25**, 2373–2386 (2011).

765 65. Peng, J.-Y. *et al.* Automatic Morphological Subtyping Reveals New Roles of Caspases in
766 Mitochondrial Dynamics. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **7**, e1002212 (2011).

767 66. Choi, H. *et al.* SAINT: Probabilistic Scoring of Affinity Purification - Mass Spectrometry
768 Data. *Nat. Methods* **8**, 70–73 (2011).

769

LEGENDS

Main Figures

Figure 1: *FUS* is a bicistronic gene

A, *FUS* gene bicistronic annotation, with the canonical *FUS* CDS (in blue, +1 frame) and alt*FUS* CDS (in green, +2 frame) represented on the *FUS* canonical transcript (*ENST00000254108* or *NM_004960*). Sequence length proportions are respected, the scale bar corresponds to 300 nucleotides. **B**, Genome browser view of *FUS* gene. The five most abundant transcripts in the brain are shown in the 'Transcript' track. Transcripts predicted coding by the OpenProt resource are coloured in blue, and in grey if predicted non-coding. The 'Protein' track contains all predicted protein products. The known *FUS* protein (*ENSP00000254108*) and its isoform (*ENSP00000369594*) are coloured in green. The novel predicted alt*FUS* protein (*IP_243680*) and its isoform (*IP_243691*) are coloured in red. **C**, PhyloP nucleotidic conservation scores are represented in grey across the *FUS* mRNA (*ENST00000254108*). The noise reduction after FFT (Fast Fourier Transformation) is outlined in blue. The average PhyloP score on the bicistronic and the monocistronic region are represented as dotted red lines. The position of the *FUS* CDS is represented by a blue rectangle, and that of alt*FUS* CDS by a green rectangle. **D**, Alignment (Clustalw) of alt*FUS* protein sequences in Human (*Homo sapiens*), Chimpanzee (*Chimp. - Pan troglodytes*), Rat (*Rattus norvegicus*), Mouse (*Mus musculus*) and Dog (*Canis lupus familiaris*). Residues are coloured based on their identity across species, from white (not conserved) to red (conserved in all species). **E**, RIBO-seq data over the *FUS* gene from the Gwips portal. Initiating ribosome reads are indicated by blue bars, and elongating ribosomes footprints are indicated by the blue curve. The graph capture the beginning of the *FUS* gene with *FUS* and alt*FUS* methionines indicated by blue arrows. The genomic positions are indicated relative to the start of exon 1. **F-G**, Genome browser view of *FUS* gene, centered on alt*FUS*. The 'Transcript' track contains the beginning of the canonical *FUS* transcript (*ENST00000254108*) in blue. The 'Protein' track contains the beginning of the *FUS* protein (green) and the whole alt*FUS* protein (red). In **F**, the 'Peptide' track contains all the peptides identified by the OpenProt resource using the classical spectrum-centric approach. The peptides sequences are indicated and are unique to alt*FUS* or its isoform (see **Fig EV2B** for an example spectra). In **G**, the 'Peptide' track contains all the peptides identified by our peptide-centric approach. The peptides indicated matched better to at least one spectra than any known protein, and are coloured in yellow if they matched better than any known protein with any PTM (see **Fig EV2C** for an example spectra). The peptides sequences are indicated and are unique to alt*FUS* or its isoform.

Figure 2: alt*FUS*, a novel endogenous mitochondrial protein

A, Expression of both *FUS* and alt*FUS* proteins from transfection of the *FUS* cDNA in HEK293 cells by western blot, and expression of *FUS* with the monocistronic construct *FUS*^(∅) (representative image from n=3). The western blot corresponds to a low exposition so that the endogenous *FUS*

and altFUS are non visible. **B**, AltFUS endogenous expression in HEK293 cells using a siRNA targeting *FUS* mRNA as negative control and over-expression of altFUS CDS as positive control (representative image from n=3). **C**, AltFUS (arrow) endogenous expression in Human tissues (brain, muscles and kidney – 100 ug), in HEK293 and HeLa cultured cells (100 ug) and using the over-expression of altFUS CDS in HEK293 cells (50 ug) as positive control (representative image from n=3). The asterisk indicates a protein species detected with the anti-altFUS antibody specifically in the brain. **D-E**, AltFUS (arrow) endogenous expression in the motor cortex of three *C9orf72* and three sporadic ALS patients (**D**) or in iPSC-derived motor neurons of three lines from controls and from ALS patients (**E**) (representative image from n=3). The asterisk indicates a protein species detected with the anti-altFUS antibody specifically in the brain. **F**, Images by confocal microscopy of altFUS-FLAG (green) in HeLa cells, using TOMM20 (red) as a mitochondrial marker (representative image from n=3, Pearson's correlation $r = 0.92$). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 μm . **G**, AltFUS-FLAG enrichment in mitochondrial extracts from transfected HEK293 cells (representative image from n=3) with Hsp70 used as a mitochondrial marker (WCL = Whole Cell Lysate, Mito = Mitochondrial extract). **H**, AltFUS mitochondrial expression in transfected HEK293 cells following fractionation (representative image from n=3), with Tubulin as a cytosolic fraction marker and VDAC as a mitochondrial fraction marker (WCL = Whole Cell Lysate, Cyto = Cytosol fraction, Mito = mitochondrial fraction). **I**, Endogenous altFUS mitochondrial expression in HEK293 cells following fractionation (representative image from n=3), with Tubulin as a cytosolic fraction marker and VDAC as a mitochondrial fraction marker. We used siFUS transfected cells as a negative control and altFUS transfected cells as a positive control for altFUS expression (WCL = Whole Cell Lysate, Cyto = Cytosol fraction, Mito = mitochondrial fraction). **J**, Representative images of the mitochondrial network (TOMM20 in red) in mock and altFUS-FLAG (green) transfected HeLa cells (n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 μm . **K**, Proportion of tubules and globules in the mitochondrial network of mock and altFUS-FLAG transfected HeLa cells (see **Fig EV4A**). Quantification was done over a minimum of 100 cells across a technical duplicate per independent experiments (n=3, i.e. a minimum of 300 cells per biological conditions, p-value < 0.001, Mann-Whitney U test).

Figure 3: altFUS is involved in mitochondrial dysfunction and autophagy processes

A, Representative traces of TMRE fluorescence measured by flow cytometry in mock transfected cells and cells overexpressing the bicistronic FUS-R495x or the monocistronic FUS^(Δ)-R495x constructs (n=4, minimum of 50 000 live cells per independent replicates). Mean fluorescence intensity of mock transfected cells treated with a decoupling agent, FCCP, is indicated by a grey dotted line. **B**, Mean TMRE fluorescence intensity measures in mock transfected cells, cells overexpressing altFUS, FUS, FUS^(Δ), FUS-R495x or FUS^(Δ)-R495x, or mock transfected cells treated with FCCP across 3 independent experiments (n=4, also see **Fig EV4D**). Statistical significance is relative to the mock condition unless otherwise indicated (** = p value < 0.001, **** = p value < 0.0001, n.s. = non-significant). **C**, Representative image by stimulated emission depletion microscopy (STED) of altFUS-FLAG (green) localization within mitochondria (TOMM20 marker in red). The white bar across the mitochondria represents the region of interest quantified in panel **D**. The white scale bar corresponds to 3 μm . **D**, Relative fluorescence histogram for altFUS-FLAG and TOMM20 across the region of interest highlighted by a white line on panel **C**. **E**, Scatter plot of the proteins identified by AP-MS (see **Fig EV5**) indicating their enrichment (Fold-change over control) and their SAINT probability score. Proteins above the 0.8 threshold are indicated in black, others in grey. AltFUS is indicated in red (bait) and preys known to regulate the autophagy or the cellular stress

response are indicated in green. **F**, Subcellular localizations of proteins identified by AP-MS from (see **Fig EV5**). **G**, Enrichment of biological processes in altFUS interacting proteins compared to the Human mitochondrial proteome (n=2, Fisher's Exact test with FDR < 0.1 %). The number of proteins identified in each GO term is indicated next to the corresponding bar.

Figure 4: AltFUS is necessary for FUS-associated inhibition of autophagy and accumulation of FUS/TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregates

A, Images by confocal microscopy of mCherry-GFP-LC3 signal in HeLa cells across biological conditions: untreated mock, bafilomycin treated mock, altFUS, FUS, FUS^(Δ), FUS-R495x and FUS^(Δ)-R495x (representative images of n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 μm and the zoomed in region (right panels) is delimited by a white box. **B**, LC3-II accumulation after bafilomycin treatment from mock, altFUS, FUS, FUS^(Δ), FUS-R495x, FUS^(Δ)-R495x transfected cells and FUS^(Δ)-R495x and altFUS co-transfected cells across 3 independent experiments (n=3). The quantification corresponds to the treated/untreated ratio of LC3-II abundance. Statistical significance is relative to the mock condition unless otherwise indicated (**** = p value < 0.0001, *** = p value < 0.001, ** = p value < 0.01, n.s. = non-significant). **C**, Images by confocal microscopy of altFUS (FLAG tagged- white), FUS (GFP tagged - green) and TDP-43 (red) signals in HeLa cells transfected with the bicistronic GFP-FUS^(FLAG)-R495x or the monocistronic GFP-FUS^(Δ-FLAG)-R495x constructs, or co-transfected with the monocistronic GFP-FUS^(Δ-FLAG)-R495x and altFUS-FLAG constructs (representative images from n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 μm. **D-E**, Quantification of FUS cytoplasmic granules, number (**D**) and area (μm²) (**E**) in cells over-expressing the bicistronic (+) or monocistronic (-) construct for FUS, FUS-G156E, FUS-R495x, FUS-K510E, FUS-Q519x, FUS-Q519I-fs527x, FUS-R521C, and FUS-P525L. Statistical comparisons are made between bicistronic and monocistronic versions of each construct (**** = p value < 0.0001, *** = p value < 0.001, ** = p value < 0.01, * = p value < 0.05, n.s. = non-significant, one-way ANOVA test with Sidak's multiple comparison).

Figure 5: AltFUS expression is necessary for the full FUS-linked ALS phenotype in *Drosophila*

A, Cross-breeding strategy for *Drosophila* generation using the Elav-GeneSwitch-GAL driver as an inducible expression system specific to the motor neurons. **B**, FUS and altFUS expression in mCherry (control), altFUS, FUS, FUS^(Δ), FUS-R495x or FUS^(Δ)-R495x expressing *Drosophila* from the control F1 and the RU-486 treated F1 (see panel **A**) at 1, 10 or 20 days post-induction (representative image from n=2). **C-E**, Locomotion assay using the percentage of climbing success in control and RU-486-treated transgenic *Drosophila* expressing mCherry or altFUS (**C**), the bicistronic FUS or the monocistronic FUS^(Δ) (**D**), and the bicistronic FUS-R495x or the monocistronic FUS^(Δ)-R495x (**E**) at day 1, 10 and 20 post-induction. Statistical comparison were made between each population (n=4). Indicated significance are between the monocistronic and the bicistronic transgenic flies of the RU-486-treated population (n.s. = non-significant, * = p value < 0.05, *** = p value < 0.001).

Figure 6: FUS mutations, synonymous for FUS but missense for altFUS, potentiate TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregation

A, Graphical representation of FUS synonymous mutations (yellow) found in ALS patients. The canonical FUS mRNA is represented in dark blue (*ENST00000254108* or *NM_004960*). The FUS protein coding sequence is indicated in light blue, and the altFUS protein coding sequence is indicated in green. **B**, Images by confocal microscopy of TDP-43 (yellow) and altFUS (FLAG tagged - red) in HeLa cells over-expressing GFP-FUS^(FLAG) or GFP-FUS^(P31L-FLAG)-S44= (representative images from n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 μ m. **C**, Quantification of cells with TDP-43 aggregates in HeLa cells (see panel **B** and **Fig EV8B**). The data are represented as the fold-change compared to the GFP-FUS^(FLAG) expressing cells. Statistical significance is indicated above the bars (n=3, **** = p value < 0.0001).

Extended View Figures

Figure EV1: FUS gene protein diversity

A, AltFUS is encoded within an alternative open reading frame (ORF) overlapping the FUS canonical CDS. When read in the +1 frame, the FUS mRNA (here *ENST00000254108*) codes for a 526 amino acid protein (highlighted in blue), named FUS. In the +2 frame, the FUS mRNA contains a second ORF (highlighted in green) that codes for a novel 170 amino acid protein, named altFUS. The two proteins are not isoforms. **B**, GTEx portal data on FUS mRNA expression in the brain and nerves are shown in blue colored scale (TPM = Transcripts Per Million). Transcripts are identified with Ensembl accessions (the number after the dot corresponds to the version used in the analysis) and are quantified across 14 tissues. The five transcripts framed in red share 85 % of all mRNA expression level. **C**, Table compiling the protein information relayed by Ensembl and OpenProt resources for the five transcripts highlighted in panel **B**. The FUS protein is highlighted in blue. The altFUS protein is highlighted in green. D-E, Alignment (Clustalw) of protein sequences for FUS (*ENSP00000254108*) and its isoform (*ENSP00000369594*) in panel **D** (blue) and altFUS (*IP_243680*) and its isoform (*IP_243691*) in panel **E** (green). The residues are coloured based on their degree of identity. **F**, Heatmap of altFUS protein sequences identity across 84 species (see **Appendix Table 2** and **Source Data 1**). Primates, Rodents and Mammals in general display strong protein conservation. The sequence identity is colored from blue (0 %) to red (100 %).

Figure EV2: AltFUS is endogenously expressed

A, RIBO-seq data over the mouse FUS gene from the Gwips portal (*Mus musculus*). Initiating ribosome reads are indicated by green bars, and elongating ribosomes footprints are indicated by the green curve. The graph capture the beginning of the FUS gene with FUS and altFUS methionines indicated by green arrows. The genomic positions are indicated relative to the start of exon 1. **B**, MS/MS spectra confidently mapped to a peptide unique to altFUS by OpenProt resource. Peaks are represented by their mass over charge ratios (m/z) and their intensity relative to the highest (relative intensity). The y ions are coloured in blue, the b ions in red and the unannotated peaks appear in grey. The charge state and m/z error of the matched peptide are

indicated on the top right corner of the spectra. The original study, file and spectra number are indicated below the graph. **C**, Comparison of one MS/MS spectra confidently mapped to a peptide unique to altFUS (left spectra) and its best possible annotation with any known protein with any post-translational modification (PTM - right spectra). Peaks are represented by their mass over charge ratios (m/z) and their intensity relative to the highest (relative intensity). The y ions are coloured in blue, the b ions in red and the unannotated peaks appear in grey. The charge state and m/z error for each of the matched peptides are indicated on the top right corner of each panel. The original study, file and spectra number are indicated below the graph. On the top of each panel is indicated the matched spectra with its corresponding protein and PTMs.

Figure EV3: Tools to explore altFUS expression and roles

A, AltFUS custom antibody strategy, with epitopes highlighted in bold on altFUS sequence (green), and ELISA test results on both bleeds from each rabbits. **B**, Nucleotidic mutations on *FUS* mRNA (*ENST00000254108*) are highlighted in red and mutate all altFUS methionines to threonines (altFUS_∅). **C-D**, Protein alignment of altFUS (**C**) and FUS (**D**) proteins from FUS bicistronic construct and the monocistronic (FUS^(∅)) construct. The residues are coloured based on sequence identity (dark blue for identical residues).

Figure EV4: AltFUS is involved in mitochondrial functions

A, MicroP processing of confocal images of mock and altFUS transfected HeLa cells. The nucleus is coloured in blue, altFUS in green and the mitochondrial network in red (TOMM20). Tubules are coloured in purple, globules are coloured in green. **B**, Graphical representation of bicistronic constructs FUS and FUS-R495x (early stop codon highlighted in red), and their monocistronic equivalent FUS^(∅) and FUS^(∅)-R495x. AltFUS CDS is highlighted in green when present, FUS CDS is highlighted in blue. **C**, V5-FUS, V5-FUS-R495x and altFUS-FLAG expression from bicistronic or monocistronic constructs in HEK293 cells (representative image from n=3). **D**, Representative traces of TMRE fluorescence measured by flow cytometry in mock cells and cells overexpressing the bicistronic constructs FUS or FUS-R495x (in red) in the top panels, and the monocistronic constructs, FUS^(∅) or FUS^(∅)-R495x (in blue) in the bottom panels (n=3, minimum of 50 000 live cells per independent replicates).

Figure EV5: AltFUS mitochondrial interactome

A, Workflow of mitochondrial extraction and size exclusion chromatography (FPLC) on mock or altFUS-FLAG transfected HEK293 cells. **B**, AltFUS expression in FPLC fractions on transfected HEK293 cells mitochondrial extracts (representative image from n=2). Every 4 fractions were loaded, the size scale through the fractions is indicated in red. **C**, Workflow of magnetic beads FLAG trap on FPLC fractions 8 to 14, from mock or altFUS-FLAG transfected HEK293 cells. **D**, Protein-protein interaction network of altFUS (bait, in green). Identified proteins (preys) are indicated in blue. The network was expanded using String database, with a maximum of 2 additional nodes

(grey nodes and grey dotted edges). Interactions (edges) identified by our AP-MS are in black. Interactions between preys were added using the String database (blue edges).

Figure EV6: AltFUS inhibits the autophagy and potentiate FUS/TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregates

A, Graphical representation of the mCherry-GFP-LC3 construct to study the autophagic flux. **B**, Images by confocal microscopy of mCherry-GFP-LC3 and altFUS (FLAG, in blue) signal in HeLa cells across biological conditions: untreated mock, bafilomycin treated mock, altFUS, FUS, FUS^(∅-FLAG), FUS-R495x and FUS^(∅-FLAG)-R495x (representative images of n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 µm and the zoomed in region (right panel) is delimited as a white box (related to **Fig4A**). **C**, LC3-II expression from untreated (-) or bafilomycin treated (+) cells transfected with mock, altFUS, FUS, FUS^(∅), FUS-R495x, FUS^(∅)-R495x, or co-transfected with FUS^(∅)-R495x and altFUS. **D-F**, Images by confocal microscopy of FLAG (white), GFP (green) and TDP-43 (red) signals in HeLa cells transfected with the bicistronic constructs (**D**), monocistronic constructs (**E**) or co-transfected with altFUS-FLAG and the monocistronic constructs (**F**) of 6 ALS-associated mutants: FUS-G156E, FUS-K510E, FUS-Q519x, FUS-Q519I-fs527x, FUS-R521C, and FUS-P525L (representative images from n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 µm.

Figure EV7: AltFUS potentiate FUS recruitment to stress granules

A, Images by confocal microscopy of FLAG (white), GFP (green) and TIA-1 (red) signals in HeLa cells transfected with the bicistronic GFP-FUS^(FLAG)-R495x or the monocistronic GFP-FUS^(∅-FLAG)-R495x constructs, or co-transfected with the monocistronic GFP-FUS^(∅-FLAG)-R495x and altFUS-FLAG constructs (representative images from n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 µm. **B-D**, Images by confocal microscopy of FLAG (white), GFP (green) and TIA-1 (red) signals in HeLa cells transfected with the bicistronic constructs (**B**), monocistronic constructs (**C**) or co-transfected with altFUS-FLAG and the monocistronic constructs (**D**) of 6 ALS-associated mutants: FUS-G156E, FUS-K510E, FUS-Q519x, FUS-Q519I-fs527x, FUS-R521C, and FUS-P525L (representative images from n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 µm.

Figure EV8: Mitochondrial altFUS mutants potentiates TDP-43 cytoplasmic aggregates

A, Images by confocal microscopy of altFUS (FLAG tagged - green) and mitochondria (TOMM20 marker, red) in HeLa cells over-expressing altFUS-FLAG, altFUS-P31L-FLAG, altFUS-A38V-FLAG, altFUS-A46V-FLAG or altFUS-R64P-FLAG constructs (representative images from n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 µm. Deconvolution over a maximum of 30 iterations on the green and red channels was performed for the zoomed in pictures. The white scale bar corresponds to 3 µm. **B**, Images by confocal microscopy of TDP-43 (yellow) and altFUS (FLAG tagged - red) in HeLa cells over-expressing GFP-FUS^(A38V-FLAG)-G51=, GFP-FUS^(A46V-FLAG)-G59= or GFP-FUS^(R64P-FLAG)-S77= constructs (representative images from n=3). The white scale bar corresponds to 10 µm.

*****Appendix Tables*****

1016

Appendix Table 1: *FUS* gene annotation on OpenProt

1018 OpenProt search results for *FUS* transcripts *ENST00000254108* and *NM_004960.3*. The OpenProt
1019 version 1.3 was used. Each protein prediction is identified with a unique protein accession
1020 (starting with II_ for novel predicted isoform, and IP_ for predicted alternative proteins). All
1021 predicted alternative proteins are coloured in light blue. The protein localization is relative to the
1022 canonical *FUS* coding sequence (CDS). The furthered studied protein, named altFUS (IP_243680)
1023 is highlighted in dark blue. The workbook also contains the list of peptides identified in re-analysis
1024 of mass spectrometry experiments using the OpenProt database (version 1.3).

1025

Appendix Table 2: altFUS protein sequences across species

1027 AltFUS conservation across vertebrates. The workbook contains the list of *FUS* transcripts
1028 retrieved from NCBI RefSeq database (transcript accession and nucleotidic sequences); the list of
1029 transcripts containing an altFUS sequence (transcript accession, species, and altFUS protein
1030 sequence); and the matrix of altFUS protein sequence identity between each species.

1031

Appendix Table 3: Peptide-Centric proteomic results for altFUS endogenous expression

1033 Peptide-spectrum matches confidently identified using PepQuery (v1.0) on the TCGA datasets.
1034 The first sheet of the workbook contains the legends for the summary and results tables. The
1035 summary table lists the number of peptides and PSMs in each dataset. The details of results for
1036 each dataset queried is also listed.

1037

Appendix Table 4: Post-translational modification predictions on altFUS sequence

1039 Predictions for post-translational modifications (PTMs) on altFUS sequence. The summary sheets
1040 contains the name of the modification predicted, the target residues, the tool used and the
1041 number of predicted sites with their confidence score. Results for the 2 more abundant PTMs
1042 predicted (phosphorylation and O-Glc-NACylation) are detailed.

1043

Appendix Table 5: AltFUS interacting proteins

1045 Proteins identified by mass spectrometry following size exclusion chromatography (FPLC) and
1046 FLAG affinity purification on mitochondrial extracts from HEK293 cells over-expressing altFUS-
1047 FLAG. The proteins are listed by their UniProtKB accession alongside their annotated function, the
1048 number of unique peptides supporting the detection and the number of peptide spectrum
1049 matches (PSMs) within each experimental condition. Confidence of the interaction was scored
1050 using the SAINT algorithm. The SAINT score is also reported. Proteins involved in autophagy
1051 related processes are indicated in red. In light greys are proteins removed from the analysis
1052 because lacking protein coverage (unique peptides < 2).

1053

1054 **Appendix Table 6: FUS synonymous mutations in ALS patients and their consequence on altFUS**

1055 *FUS* mutations, synonymous for FUS but missense for altFUS. The first sheet contains mutations
 1056 identified from a manually curated literature review. The second sheet retrieves variants in sALS
 1057 cases from the ALS Variant Server (<http://als.umassmed.edu/>). The third sheet retrieves variants
 1058 in fALS cases from the ALS Variant Server.

1059

1060 *****Source Data*****

1061

1062 **Source Data 1: altFUS protein alignment across species**

1063 Alignment of altFUS protein sequences across all 82 species. The alignment was done using
 1064 Clustal ω , and coloured based on conservation from white (highly variable residue) to dark blue
 1065 (highly conserved residue).

1066

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A **B** **C** **D** **E**

Figure 4

A

B

C

D

E

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure EV2

Figure EV3

Figure EV4

Figure EV5

Figure EV6

Figure EV8

		10	20	30	40	50	60	70				
<i>Homo sapiens</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LMAR
<i>Pan paniscus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LMAR
<i>Gorilla gorilla gorilla</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LMAR
<i>bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/848580; this version posted April 14, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LMAR
<i>Nomascus leucogenys</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LMAR
<i>Pongo abelii</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Chlorocebus sabaeus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Rhinopithecus roxellana</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Rhinopithecus bieti</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Macaca mulatta</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Colobus angolensis palliatus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PV	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Macaca nemestrina</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Macaca fascicularis</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Mandrillus leucophaeus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Cercocebus atys</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Papio anubis</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Callithrix jacchus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Saimiri boliviensis boliviensis</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Cebus capucinus imitator</i>	MGP	T	PPS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Sus scrofa</i>	MGP	T	QPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Physeter catodon</i>	MGP	T	QPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	MGP	T	RPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	MGP	T	RPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>	MGP	T	QPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Sorex araneus</i>	MGP	T	PRS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Aotus nancymae</i>	MGP	T	PRS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Trichechus manatus latirostris</i>	MGP	T	PRS	PG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Togginia minima</i>	MTL	L	QAR	H	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Ceratotherium simum simum</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Condylura cristata</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Galeopterus variegatus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Odobenus rosmarus divergens</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Tarsius syrichta</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Microcebus murinus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	RG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Bison bison bison</i>	MGP	T	PPS	RG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Propithecus coquereli</i>	MGP	T	PPS	RG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Bos mutus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LD	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Pteropus vampyrus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LD	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Pteropus alecto</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Vicuqna pacos</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Rousettus aegyptiacus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LD	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Bos taurus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Equus caballus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Miniopterus natalensis</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Camelus ferus</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Camelus bactrianus</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Equus przewalskii</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Equus asinus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Eptesicus fuscus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Leptonyx chotes weddellii</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Octodon degus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Otolemur gamettii</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Myotis davidii</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Dipodomys ordii</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Jaculus jaculus</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Ochotona princeps</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Microtus ochrogaster</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Mus musculus</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Erinaceus europaeus</i>	MGP	T	PPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Nannospalax galili</i>	MGP	T	LPS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Pantholops hodgsonii</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Drosophila melanogaster fusilli</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Capra hircus</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Ovis aries</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Ovis aries musimon</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Haplochromis burtoni</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Perkinsus marinus</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Gallus gallus</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Sarcophilus hamisi</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Anolis carolinensis</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Trypanosoma</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Xenopus tropicalis</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Danio rerio</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Latimeria chalumnae</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR
<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	MGP	T	QLS	LG	RA	IPSRVSP	TDSRVTVV	IASPRTL	QAM-ARAA	I	L	LTAR

		80	90	100	110	120	130	140																	
<i>Homo sapiens</i>	ART	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Pan paniscus</i>	ART	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Gorilla gorilla gorilla</i>	ART	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/948580; this version posted April 14, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.</i>	ART	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Nomascus leucogenys</i>	ART	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Pongo abelii</i>	ART	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Chlorocebus sabaeus</i>	ART	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Rhinopithecus roxellana</i>	ART	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAQ	LAAMAVAR	---	APNLL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Rhinopithecus bieti</i>	ART	VS	LSQ	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAQ	LAAMAVAR	---	APNLL	---	TGSSPP	T													
<i>Macaca mulatta</i>	ARA	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Colobus angolensis palliatus</i>	ART	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNLL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Macaca nemestrina</i>	ARA	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Macaca fascicularis</i>	ARA	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Mandrillus leucophaeus</i>	ARA	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Cercocebus atys</i>	ARA	---	QAME	LSQLPRG	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APNLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Papio anubis</i>	VRA	VS	LSQ	QAME	LSQLPRD	MAR	LAAMAVAR	---	APSLL	---	MGSSPP	T													
<i>Callithrix jacchus</i>	---	---	---	MA	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	APNHL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Saimiri boliviensis boliviensis</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	---	APNHL	---	TGSSPL	T														
<i>Cebus capucinus imitator</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	---	APNHL	---	TGSSPL	T														
<i>Sus scrofa</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	HSQ	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMVVAR	---	VLNRL	---	TGSSLH	T														
<i>Physeter catodon</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	HSQ	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAK	---	VLSRL	---	MGSSPH	T														
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	HSQ	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAK	---	VLSRL	---	TGSSPH	T														
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	HSQ	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAK	---	VLSRL	---	TGSSPH	T														
<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	HSQ	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAK	---	VLSRL	---	TGSSPH	T														
<i>Sorex araneus</i>	---	---	---	MA	LSPLPRD	TAQLVAMAAVR	---	VLSRP	---	MDSSPP	T														
<i>Aotus nancymae</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MAQLVA	IVVAR	---	APNHL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Trichechus manatus latirostris</i>	PRT	---	QVMA	PSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	---	VPNHL	---	TGSSLR	I														
<i>Togginia minima</i>	PRT	---	A	PEMA	PPRR	TAR	---	---	---	---	---														
<i>Ceratotherium simum simum</i>	PRT	---	QAME	LSQLPKD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	---	VLSRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Condylura cristata</i>	PRT	---	QVMA	LSQLPRD	MAQLAAMAVAR	---	---	VLNRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Galeopterus variegatus</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LNQLPRD	MAQPVMAMVVR	---	---	VLNRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Odobenus rosmarus divergens</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSQVPRD	MAQPVMAMAVAR	---	---	VLSHL	---	MGNSPL	I														
<i>Tarsius syrichta</i>	PRT	---	QV	IAL	LSQLHRD	MAQ	VAMAVAR	---	VPSRL	---	MGSSPP	T													
<i>Microcebus murinus</i>	LRT	---	QA	IV	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	VPNRL	---	MGSSPL	T														
<i>Bison bison bison</i>	PRT	---	QA	TA	HSQ	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	VLSHL	---	MGNSPR	T													
<i>Propithecus coquereli</i>	PRT	---	QA	IA	LRQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	VPSRL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Bos mutus</i>	PRT	---	QA	TA	HSQ	LSQLPRD	IAQLVAMAVAR	---	VLSHL	---	MGNSPR	I													
<i>Pteropus vampyrus</i>	PRT	---	QA	IT	LSQLPRD	MAQQVAMA	AR	---	VLNLL	---	MGSSPP	T													
<i>Pteropus alecto</i>	PRT	---	QA	IT	LSQLPRD	MAQRVAMA	AR	---	VLNLL	---	MGSSPP	T													
<i>Vicuqna pacos</i>	LRT	---	QAMA	HSQ	LSQLPRV	MAQLVAMAAK	---	VRSRL	---	MGSSPH	T														
<i>Rousettus aegyptiacus</i>	PRT	---	QA	IA	LSQLPRD	MAQRVAMA	AR	---	VLNLL	---	MGSSPP	T													
<i>Bos taurus</i>	PRT	---	A	TA	HSQ	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	VLSHL	---	MGNSPR	T													
<i>Equus caballus</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MAQLVDMAVAR	---	---	VLSRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Miniopterus natalensis</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVDR	---	---	VLSRL	---	MGSSP	T														
<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	---	VLSHL	---	MGNSPL	I														
<i>Camelus ferus</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	HSQ	LSQLPRV	MAQLVATAAK	---	VLSRL	---	MGSSPH	T														
<i>Camelus bactrianus</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	HSQ	LSQLPRV	MAQLVATAAK	---	VLSRL	---	MGSSPH	T														
<i>Equus przewalskii</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MAQLVDMAVAR	---	---	VLSRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Equus asinus</i>	LRT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MAQLVDMAVAR	---	---	VLSRL	---	TGSSPP	T														
<i>Eptesicus fuscus</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	PSQLPRD	MAQPVMAMVVAR	---	---	VLSHL	---	MGSSP	T														
<i>Leptonychotes weddellii</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSQVPRD	MAQPVMAMAVAR	---	---	VLSHL	---	MGNSPL	I														
<i>Octodon degus</i>	LRT	---	QVMA	HSQ	LSQLPRV	MAP	LVAMAVAR	---	VPSHL	---	MVSSPL	I													
<i>Otlemur gamettii</i>	PRT	---	QV	IAL	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	VLSHL	---	MGSSPL	I														
<i>Myotis davidii</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	PSQLPRV	MAQPVMAMVVAR	---	---	VLSHL	---	MGSSP	T														
<i>Dipodomys ordii</i>	LRT	---	QVME	LSQLPRG	TAP	LVGMAAAR	---	VPSRL	---	TGSSLP	T														
<i>Jaculus jaculus</i>	PRT	---	QVMA	LSQPPRD	MVP	LVDMAMVVAR	---	VPN	---	MGSSPH	T														
<i>Ochotona princeps</i>	HRT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRV	MAR	LAAMVAAR	---	VPSRL	---	TDNSPP	T														
<i>Microtus ochrogaster</i>	PKT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MVP	LEAMAAAR	---	VPNLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Mus musculus</i>	PKT	---	QAME	LSQLPRD	MVP	LEAMAAAK	---	VHNLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii</i>	PKT	---	QAMA	LSQLPRD	MVP	LEAMAAAK	---	VPSLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Erinaceus europaeus</i>	PRT	---	QAMV	LSQPPRA	TAPPA	MAAMAVR	---	VLS	---	TGSSRP	T														
<i>Nannospalax galili</i>	PRT	---	QAMA	LSRLPRD	MVP	LEAMAVAK	---	VPNLL	---	MDNSPP	I														
<i>Pantholops hodgsonii</i>	PR I	---	QA	TA	HSQ	LSQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	VLSRL	---	TGNSLR	T													
<i>Drosophila melanogaster fusilli</i>	PRV	---	MPSCYSQ	TRRM	R	---	---	PRRW	---	AGTVNPLG	---														
<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	PKT	---	QAME	LSQHPRD	MVPLVAMAAK	---	---	VPSLL	---	MGSSPP	T														
<i>Capra hircus</i>	PR I	---	QA	TAR	SQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	VLSRL	---	TGNSLR	T														
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>	---	---	---	---	VVQV	LEMA	LYL	---	VVE	---	---														
<i>Ovis aries</i>	PR I	---	QA	TAR	SQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	VLSRL	---	TGNSLR	T														
<i>Ovis aries musimon</i>	PR I	---	QA	TAR	SQLPRD	MAQLVAMAVAR	---	VLSRL	---	TGNSLR	T														
<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	---	---	---	AAPAP	---	TIREA	IAATA	I	---	LSQVD	---	TGLHPP	T												
<i>Haplochromis burtoni</i>	---	---	---	AAPAP	---	TVREA	IAATA	I	---	LSQVD	---	TGLHPP	T												
<i>Perkinsus marinus</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---												
<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---												
<i>Gallus gallus</i>	---	---	---	---	MAAA	EAGTAVGR	---	---	AHSHP	---	MGRPPP	T													
<i>Sarcophilus hamisii</i>	PRT	---	QAMV	PNRLPKD	MVQP	VAMEVAR	---	---	VPNLL	---	MVSSHP	I													
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	PHS	---	PT	---	---	ARVV	LTA	AAAVS	LL	---	RAE	---	QRTISRPP	T											
<i>Anolis carolinensis</i>	LKT	---	LAMA	LSPHHRP	MAHQ	QDMAAK	---	---	LLRHP	---	MDSSHH	I													
<i>Trypanosoma</i>	VKA	---	K	I	QDK	EGIPD	---	---	QQR	---	L	FAGKQL	---	E	---	DGR	T								
<i>Xenopus tropicalis</i>	TRV	---	LD	IV	LSQLHKD	MD	---	---	LVV	---	ME	TPN	---	HLSP	---	MAAGSHSSSPSP	LTPATPS	S							
<i>Danio rerio</i>	TAP	---	TMDSP	SQEDMD	LKP	---	---	---	LKA	---	TVSPVSR	IALVATVTPAS	LHQLKA	---	---	---	EATASSPL	I							
<i>Latimeria chalumnae</i>	HLK	---	---	---	LGMEHS	SPRPV	---	---	MAP	---	---	VAMEALK	---	---	---	---	TPMDNNLL	T							
<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	IRA	---	---	---	LGIV	LSQLHNK	---	---	GMD	---	---	LEV	---	---	---	---	HLSP	---	LMAA	---	GS	---	HSSRP	L	I

150 160 170 180 190 200 210 220

<i>Homo sapiens</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Pan paniscus</i>	LVMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Gorilla gorilla gorilla</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSL
<i>bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/848580; this version posted April 14, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Nomascus leucogenys</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Pongo abelii</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Chlorocebus sabaeus</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Rhinopithecus roxellana</i>	LVMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Rhinopithecus bieti</i>	LVMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Macaca mulatta</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Colobus angolensis palliatus</i>	LVMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Macaca nemestrina</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Macaca fascicularis</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Mandrillus leucophaeus</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Cercocebus atys</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Papio anubis</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Callithrix jacchus</i>	LAMVSSQLPAAPR	EV	T	GAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVAAATAS	NLAMV	DSSK
<i>Saimiri boliviensis boliviensis</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	M	GAVLRA	AA	TGSP	PRVAAATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Cebus capucinus imitator</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	T	GAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVAAATAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Sus scrofa</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	M	VLVRA	VA	MGS	PRVGAMAS	NLAMV	DSSK
<i>Physeter catodon</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGAMAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGAMAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGAMAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGAMAS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Sorex araneus</i>	LATASSQPRAPR	EV	M	AAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVAAATAS	SLAMV	GSSK
<i>Aotus nancymae</i>	LAMASSQLRAAPR	EV	M	GAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVAAATAS	SLAMV	YSSK
<i>Trichechus manatus latirostris</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EA	M	EVVLR	AA	MGS	PRVEAMAN	SLDMV	DSSQ
<i>Togginia minima</i>	PTPSL								
<i>Ceratotherium simum simum</i>	LAMASNRLLAAPR	EV	T	AAVPRA	AA	MGS	PRVVAMAS	SLAMV	DSSQ
<i>Condylura cristata</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	M	GAVLRA	AA	TDS	PRVEAMAS	SLATV	DSSK
<i>Galeopterus variegatus</i>	LAMVNSQLPAVPR	EV	M	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGAMAN	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Odobenus rosmarus divergens</i>	LAMASSQLLAALR	EV	T	VVVLRA	AA	TGS	PRVGAMAS	SLP IV	DSSQ
<i>Tarsius syrichta</i>	LAMVNSQLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGAMAN	SLAMV	DSRK
<i>Microcebus murinus</i>	LAMASSRLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	TGS	PRVGAMAN	SLAMV	DSNK
<i>Bison bison bison</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPQ	EV	T	VAVLKA	AA	MGS	PRVEATAS	SLAMV	GSSK
<i>Propithecus coquereli</i>	LAMANSRLPAAPR	EV	T	VAVPRA	AA	MDS	PRVAMAS	SLAMV	DSNK
<i>Bos mutus</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPQ	EV	T	VAVLKA	AA	MGS	PRVEATAS	SLAMV	GSSK
<i>Pteropus vampyrus</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	M	VAVLRA	AA	TGMS	PRVGMVS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Pteropus alecto</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPR	EV	M	VAVLRA	AA	TGMS	PRVGMVS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Vicuqna pacos</i>	LAMASSQLLVAPR	EA	T	VVVLRA	AA	MAS	PRAGATAS	SLAMV	DSSR
<i>Rousettus aegyptiacus</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	M	VAVLRA	AA	TGMS	PRVGMVS	SLAMV	DSSK
<i>Bos taurus</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPQ	EV	T	VAVLKA	AA	MGS	PRVEATAS	SLAMV	GSSK
<i>Equus caballus</i>	LGMVSSQLLAAPR	EV	M	VAVLRA	VA	MDS	PKVEAMAS	SLAMV	DSSQ
<i>Miniopterus natalensis</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPL	EV	T	VAVLRA	AT	MGS	PRVGAMVS	SLAMV	DSSL
<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	M	VVVLRA	AA	TGS	PRVGAMAN	SLA IV	DSSK
<i>Camelus ferus</i>	LAMASSQLLVAPR	EA	T	VAVLRA	AA	MAS	PRAGATAS	SLAMV	DSSR
<i>Camelus bactrianus</i>	LAMASSQLLVAPR	EA	T	VAVLRA	AA	MAS	PRAGATAS	SLAMV	DSSR
<i>Equus przewalskii</i>	LGMVSSQLLAAPR	EV	M	VAVLRA	VA	MDS	PKVEAMAS	SLAMV	DSSQ
<i>Equus asinus</i>	LGMVSSQLLAAPR	EV	M	VAVLRA	VA	MDS	PKVEAMAS	SLAMV	DSSQ
<i>Eptesicus fuscus</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AT	MGS	PRVGAMVS	SLAMV	DSSL
<i>Leptonyx chotes weddellii</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	M	VVLLRA	AA	TGS	PRVGAMAS	SRP IV	DSSK
<i>Octodon degus</i>	LAMVSSQLPAAPR	EV	M	VVVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVGAMAN	SLAMV	DSNR
<i>Otomur gamettii</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPR	EV	T	AAVLRA	AA	MDS	PRVGAMAN	SLAMV	DSNR
<i>Myotis davidii</i>	PAMASSPLLAARR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AT	MGS	PRVGAMVS	SLAMV	DSSL
<i>Dipodomys ordii</i>	LAMASSQLPAAPL	EV	M	VAVPRA	AA	MGS	LKVGATAS	SLAME	DSNR
<i>Jaculus jaculus</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPQ	EV	M	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	LRVGAMAN	SLGMA	DSNK
<i>Ochotona princeps</i>	LAMGSSQLLAAPQ	EV	T	AAVLRA	AA	TGS	PRAGAMAS	NLAMA	DSNK
<i>Microtus ochrogaster</i>	LAMANSQLLAAPR	EV	M	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVEAMAN	SPAMV	DSNK
<i>Mus musculus</i>	LAMANSQLLAAPQ	EV	M	VAVLRA	AA	MGN	PRVEAMVN	SLAMV	DSNK
<i>Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii</i>	LAMANSQLLAAPR	EV	T	VAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVEAMAN	SQAMV	DSNK
<i>Erinaceus europaeus</i>	PAMASSRLLAATPL	EV	T	VAAVLRA	AA	MGS	PRAGATGS	SRA TA	DSSK
<i>Nannospalax galili</i>	LAMANNQLLAALQ	EV	T	VGA LRA	AA	MGS	PRVEAMAN	SQAMV	DSNR
<i>Pantholops hodgsonii</i>	LAMVSSQLLAAPQ	EV	T	VAVLKA	AA	MGS	PRVEAMAS	SLAMV	GSSK
<i>Drosophila melanogaster fusilli</i>	SGTLSYSV	PRPPR	C						
<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	PVMANSQLLVAPQ	EV	M	VEVLRA	AA	MGS	PRVEAMVN	SLAMV	DSNK
<i>Capra hircus</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPQ	EV	T	VAVLKA	AA	MGS	PRVEAMAS	SLAMV	GSSK
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>	MGTGREAPAPH	SV	E	VEV			GAVATE	TVSMV	MTQR
<i>Ovis aries</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPQ	EV	T	VAVLKA	AA	MGS	PRVEAMAS	SLAMV	GSSK
<i>Ovis aries musimon</i>	LAMASSQLLAAPQ	EV	T	VAVLKA	AA	MGS	PRVEAMAS	SLAMV	GSSK
<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	RAV			A V					
<i>Haplochromis burtoni</i>	RVV			VVV					
<i>Perkinsus marinus</i>		R		G I A IVRA	A I	MGV	PRTTTTRV	GV A LGA	V TG
<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>				Q I L IVRR	V	AVNF	LAEGSLVG	S	
<i>Gallus gallus</i>	PATTSRPPPPAPP	EA	M	GAAPRA	PA	TGS	RPAPATAS	SPHTA	A SSP
<i>Sarcophilus hamisi</i>	LATANSQLLAALQ	EA	M	VAVPRA	VA	MDS	PRVGAMVR	SLVMV	DNKV
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	PTASSSRRLQLQA	S	PAALG		HQA	TGS	REEAG		
<i>Anolis carolinensis</i>	PA TPSSQ	PVPLL	EV	M	AAALKP	PA	MGN	PRVEVMAS	NQAMA
<i>Trypanosoma</i>	LADYNIQ		K	ES	TLHLVLR	GG	V	ME	PTLEA LAK
<i>Xenopus tropicalis</i>	LQPVA TQEVAAAPS	HP	A	TSSSRV	VD	MGS	NQVGVMEV	SRAAMEVN	SNP
<i>Danio rerio</i>	LA ITS	LLLHLPL	VA	IA AALSR	LA	TVSS	SSSRV	E	G MEAVV
<i>Latimeria chalumnae</i>	LV TASSRPPRLPPQ	PA	T	EEVAPS	LPA	TGS	PRVEPMDR	ILL TA	SSSR
<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	LATRSSLQPVATQ	EV	M	VGAPSP	LPTSSNKVVD	MDS	RQVGVMEA	SRAAMEVNNP	V

<i>Homo sapiens</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	VV
<i>Pan paniscus</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	V
<i>Gorilla gorilla gorilla</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	V
<i>bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/848580; this version posted April 4, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	V
<i>Nomascus leucogenys</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	AV
<i>Pongo abelii</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	T	AA	AV
<i>Chlorocebus sabaeus</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Rhinopithecus roxellana</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Rhinopithecus bieti</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Macaca mulatta</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Colobus angolensis palliatus</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Macaca nemestrina</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	V	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Macaca fascicularis</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Mandrillus leucophaeus</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	V	M	D	S	R	I	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Cercocebus atys</i>	AM	DSS	RA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	I	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Papio anubis</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	N	R	I	S	T	T	A	AA	VV
<i>Callithrix jacchus</i>	AT	DSS	KAP	I	R	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	AAA	
<i>Saimiri boliviensis boliviensis</i>	PT	DSS	KAP	I	R	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	AAA	
<i>Cebus capucinus imitator</i>	PT	DSS	KAP	I	R	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	AAA	
<i>Sus scrofa</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	AV
<i>Physeter catodon</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	E
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	E
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	E
<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>	AM	DSS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	T	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	E
<i>Sorex araneus</i>	AM	DSN	KAP	I	H	L	K	V	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	EV	
<i>Aotus nancymae</i>	PT	DSS	KAP	I	R	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	AAA	
<i>Trichechus manatus latirostris</i>	AT	DSS	KAP	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	GA
<i>Togginia minima</i>																		AV		
<i>Ceratotherium simum simum</i>	AM	DSS	QAP	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Condylura cristata</i>	AM	GSS	KAP	I	T	P	L	K	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Galeopterus variegatus</i>	AT	DSS	KAP	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	A	S	T	T	V	AA	EV
<i>Odobenus rosmarus divergens</i>	AM	DSS	KAP	I	I	P	L	K	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	
<i>Tarsius syrichta</i>	AT	DSS	KAP	I	T	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Microcebus murinus</i>	TT	GSS	KAP	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	A	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Bison bison bison</i>	AM	DSS	RA	I	T	R	L	R	A	M	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	A
<i>Propithecus coquereli</i>	AT	GSS	KAP	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	A	S	T	T	V	AV	V
<i>Bos mutus</i>	AM	DSS	RA	I	T	R	L	R	A	M	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	A
<i>Pteropus vampyrus</i>	AM	DSS	KAP	I	I	L	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Pteropus alecto</i>	AM	DSS	KAP	I	I	L	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Vicugna pacos</i>	AM	DSS	RA	I	T	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	
<i>Rousettus aegyptiacus</i>	AM	DSS	KAP	I	I	L	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Bos taurus</i>	AM	GSS	RA	I	T	R	L	R	A	M	G	S	R	A	S	T	T	V	AV	A
<i>Equus caballus</i>	AM	DSS	QAP	I	I	L	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	EV
<i>Miniopterus natalensis</i>	AM	DSS	KAP	I	T	L	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i>	AM	DSS	KAP	I	I	P	L	K	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Camelus ferus</i>	AM	DSS	RA	I	T	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	
<i>Camelus bactrianus</i>	AM	DSS	RA	I	T	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	
<i>Equus przewalskii</i>	AM	DSS	QAP	I	I	L	R	S	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	EV	
<i>Equus asinus</i>	AM	DSS	QAP	I	I	L	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	VV	EV
<i>Eptesicus fuscus</i>	AM	DSS	RAP	I	I	L	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Leptonychotes weddellii</i>	AM	DSS	KAP	I	I	P	L	K	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Octodon degus</i>	AT	DSS	KAP	I	T	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	A	S	T	T	A	AV	A
<i>Otolemur gamettii</i>	AT	GNS	KA	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	N	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Myotis davidii</i>	AM	DSS	KVP	I	I	L	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Dipodomys ordii</i>	AM	DSS	KAL	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	N	R	T	S	I	T	V	AV	AE
<i>Jaculus jaculus</i>	AM	DSS	KVP	I	T	P	P	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Ochotona princeps</i>	AM	GSS	KAP	I	T	P	L	R	A	T	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	EVA
<i>Microtus ochrogaster</i>	AM	DNN	KAP	I	T	H	L	R	V	M	D	N	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	EV
<i>Mus musculus</i>	AT	DNN	KAP	I	T	H	L	R	V	M	D	N	R	T	S	T	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii</i>	AM	DNS	KAP	I	T	H	L	R	V	M	D	N	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	EV
<i>Erinaceus europaeus</i>	AT	DSS	KAL	T	P	R	R	A	T	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	AA	EVA	
<i>Nannospalax galili</i>	AM	DSN	RAP	I	I	P	L	R	A	M	D	S	R	T	S	I	T	V	AV	EV
<i>Pantholops hodgsonii</i>	AM	ASS	RA	I	T	R	L	R	A	T	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	VV	AA
<i>Drosophila melanogaster fusilli</i>																		WT		
<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	AT	DSN	KAP	I	T	H	L	R	V	M	D	N	R	T	S	T	T	A	AV	EV
<i>Capra hircus</i>	AM	GSS	RA	I	T	R	L	R	A	T	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	V	VV	AA
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>																		VL		
<i>Ovis aries</i>	AM	GSS	RA	I	T	R	L	R	A	T	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	VV	AA
<i>Ovis aries musimon</i>	AM	GSS	RA	I	T	R	L	R	A	T	G	S	R	T	S	T	T	A	VV	AA
<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>																		PE		
<i>Haplochromis burtoni</i>																		PV		
<i>Perkinsus marinus</i>	IT	EGA	T	I	S	L	G	I	N	M	I	V	V	T	T	T	T	T	T	TA
<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>																		I	MV	
<i>Gallus gallus</i>	PT	ASS	PH	T	A	R	R	R	A	T	A	S	R	A	S	T	A	T	AA	P
<i>Sarcophilus hamisii</i>	PM	DSS	KAP	T	I	P	L	K	D	A	S	R	T	S	I	T	A	AV	GA	
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>																				
<i>Anolis carolinensis</i>	AP	MGS	SLP	T	T	H	L	R	A	M	A	S	K	V	S	M	A	V	AV	AV
<i>Trypanosoma</i>	VC	RRC	YA	R	L	P	V	R	A	S	N	C	R	K	K	A	C			
<i>Xenopus tropicalis</i>	MGASRVRA	MAVSS	QALM	DSS	SNQA	T	A	S	P	R	A	M	A	S	K	H	S	I	V	V
<i>Danio rerio</i>	EARAVDLGVAEANTSP	LEEGLTAH	L	L	T	T	A	P	L	H	H	K	A	M	G	N	K	V	S	T
<i>Latimeria chalumnae</i>		PS	RART	GSS	RA	T	T	L	S	R	A	M	D	S	S	L	N	M	A	VA
<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	MGASRRARVA	TAVSSSS	QALM	DSS	NQA	T	T	S	L	R	A	I	A	S	S	K	H	N	I	V

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/848580>; this version posted April 14, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

	380	390	400	410	420	430	440
<i>Homo sapiens</i>		EV TM					
<i>Pan paniscus</i>		EV TM					
<i>Gorilla gorilla gorilla</i>		EV TM					
<i>Pan troglodytes</i>		EV TM					
<i>Nomascus leucogenys</i>		EV TM					
<i>Pongo abelii</i>		EV TM					
<i>Chlorocebus sabaeus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Rhinopithecus roxellana</i>		EV TM					
<i>Rhinopithecus bieti</i>		EV TM					
<i>Macaca mulatta</i>		EV AM					
<i>Colobus angolensis palliatus</i>		EV TM					
<i>Macaca nemestrina</i>		EV AM					
<i>Macaca fascicularis</i>		EV AM					
<i>Mandrillus leucophaeus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Cercocebus atys</i>		EV AM					
<i>Papio anubis</i>		EV AM					
<i>Callithrix jacchus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Saimiri boliviensis boliviensis</i>		EV AT					
<i>Cebus capucinus imitator</i>		EV TM					
<i>Sus scrofa</i>		EV VM					
<i>Physeter catodon</i>		EV TM					
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>		EV TM					
<i>Orcinus orca</i>		EV TM					
<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>		EV TM					
<i>Sorex araneus</i>		EV TM					
<i>Aotus nancymaae</i>		EV AM					
<i>Trichechus manatus latirostris</i>		EV TM					
<i>Togginia minima</i>		PL VP					
<i>Ceratotherium simum simum</i>		EV AM					
<i>Condylura cristata</i>		EV AM					
<i>Galeopterus variegatus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Odobenus rosmarus divergens</i>		EV TM					
<i>Tarsius syrichta</i>		EV VM					
<i>Microcebus murinus</i>		EV TM					
<i>Bison bison bison</i>		EV AM					
<i>Propithecus coquereli</i>		EV TM					
<i>Bos mutus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Pteropus vampyrus</i>		EV TT					
<i>Pteropus alecto</i>		EV TT					
<i>Vicuña pacos</i>		EV AM					
<i>Rousettus aegyptiacus</i>		EV TT					
<i>Bos taurus</i>		EV AT					
<i>Equus caballus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Miniopterus natalensis</i>		EV TM					
<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i>		EV TM					
<i>Camelus ferus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Camelus bactrianus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Equus przewalskii</i>		EV AM					
<i>Equus asinus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Eptesicus fuscus</i>		EV TM					
<i>Leptonychotes weddellii</i>		EV TM					
<i>Octodon degus</i>		EA IT					
<i>Otolemur gamettii</i>		EV TM					
<i>Myotis davidii</i>		EV AM					
<i>Dipodomys ordii</i>		EA TM					
<i>Jaculus jaculus</i>		EA TM					
<i>Ochotona princeps</i>		EG AM					
<i>Microtus ochrogaster</i>		EA TM					
<i>Mus musculus</i>		EA TM					
<i>Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii</i>		EA TM					
<i>Erinaceus europaeus</i>		EV TM					
<i>Nannospalax galili</i>		EA VM					
<i>Pantholops hodgsonii</i>		EV AM					
<i>Drosophila melanogaster fusilli</i>		SR AV					
<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>		EA TM					
<i>Capra hircus</i>		EV AM					
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>							
<i>Ovis aries</i>		EV AM					
<i>Ovis aries musimon</i>		EV AM					
<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>		EA ITA					
<i>Haplochromis burtoni</i>		EA ITA					
<i>Perkinsus marinus</i>		NSSS					
<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>		VG PM					
<i>Gallus gallus</i>		PA AM					
<i>Sarcophilus harrisii</i>		EV AM					
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>							
<i>Anolis carolinensis</i>		LV AM					
<i>Trypanosoma</i>		SN LR					
<i>Xenopus tropicalis</i>	VVVEEEQVALTAAEGAAVVA	EA AVVNGEDSVNLVDHVKAADPPDMKWPNK T T L T T T QSSCKDLERMLQLSQL					
<i>Danio rerio</i>		E - VT					
<i>Latimeria chalumnae</i>	--VEEEA--VGDEEA--	AVAVAVVNVV--ASVNLVDPG--					
<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	--GGAPA--	VA EA AVVNGEDSVNLVDHMKVADPPPEMKWLNK T T L T T T QSLCKDLERMLQLSQ					

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/848580>; this version posted April 14, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

<i>Homo sapiens</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Pan paniscus</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Gorilla gorilla gorilla</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Pan troglodytes</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Nomascus leucogenys</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Pongo abelii</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Chlorocebus sabaeus</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Rhinopithecus roxellana</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Rhinopithecus bieti</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Macaca mulatta</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Colobus angolensis palliatus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Macaca nemestrina</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Macaca fascicularis</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Mandrillus leucophaeus</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Cercocebus atys</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Papio anubis</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Callithrix jacchus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Saimiri boliviensis boliviensis</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Cebus capucinus imitator</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Sus scrofa</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Physeter catodon</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Orcinus orca</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Sorex araneus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Aotus nancymae</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Trichechus manatus latirostris</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Togginia minima</i>	TMM	MPP
<i>Ceratotherium simum simum</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Condylura cristata</i>	AKI	NPP
<i>Galeopterus variegatus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Odobenus rosmarus divergens</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Tarsius syrichta</i>	AKI	SLP
<i>Microcebus murinus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Bison bison bison</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Propithecus coquereli</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Bos mutus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Pteropus vampyrus</i>	VKI	NPQ
<i>Pteropus alecto</i>	VKI	NPQ
<i>Vicuña pacos</i>	AKT	SPP
<i>Rousettus aegyptiacus</i>	VKI	NPP
<i>Bos taurus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Equus caballus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Miniopterus natalensis</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Canis lupus familiaris</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Camelus ferus</i>	AKT	SPP
<i>Camelus bactrianus</i>	AKT	SPP
<i>Equus przewalskii</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Equus asinus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Eptesicus fuscus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Leptonychotes weddellii</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Octodon degus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Otolemur gamettii</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Myotis davidii</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Dipodomys ordii</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Jaculus jaculus</i>	VKI	SPP
<i>Ochotona princeps</i>	AKT	SPL
<i>Microtus ochrogaster</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Mus musculus</i>	AKI	SPL
<i>Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Erinaceus europaeus</i>	AKT	SPP
<i>Nannospalax galili</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Pantholops hodgsonii</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Drosophila melanogaster fusilli</i>	GTA	SRR
<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	AKI	SPL
<i>Capra hircus</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>		ALG
<i>Ovis aries</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Ovis aries musimon</i>	AKI	SPP
<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	ATS	RPA
<i>Haplochromis burtoni</i>	ATS	RPA
<i>Perkinsus marinus</i>	RTT	ITP
<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	V -	AGV L MV LDW LGHSE VNP
<i>Gallus gallus</i>	GRS	RPR
<i>Sarcophilus hamisii</i>	AKI	NHL
<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>		TAE
<i>Anolis carolinensis</i>	ARI	SPL
<i>Trypanosoma</i>	MKK	KLR
<i>Xenopus tropicalis</i>	LTTSNKLES L - R - LTRRL	GS L
<i>Danio rerio</i>	TKI	AHQ
<i>Latimeria chalumnae</i>	IKEDQG	MMQ
<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	LRTTSNRLA	SSR

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/848580>; this version posted April 14, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.