Aquaporin mediating stomatal closure is associated with water conservation

under mild water deficit

Lei Ding and François Chaumont *

Louvain Institute of Biomolecular Science and Technology, Université catholique de Louvain, 1348

Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

*Author for correspondence:

Chaumont François

Tel: +32 010 478485

Email: francois.chaumont@uclouvain.be

Total word count: 2929

Word count for Introduction: 546

Word count for Materials and Methods: 676

Word count for Results: 625

Word count for Discussion: 1076

The number of figures: 4

Figures in color: 2

Summary

- Contradictory results indicate that aquaporins might facilitate the diffusion of both water and H₂O₂ during abscisic acid (ABA) triggered stomatal closure. Here, we tested whether maize plasma membrane PIP2;5 aquaporin regulates stomatal closure under water deficit or ABA treatment in intact plants, detached leaves, and peeled epidermis.
- Transpiration, stomatal conductance and aperture, as well as reactive oxygen species (ROS) in stomatal complexes were studied in maize lines deregulated in *PIP2;5* gene expression, under water deficit and/or ABA treatments.
- In well-watered conditions, the PIP2;5 overexpressing (OE) plants transpired more than the wild-type plants (WT), while no significant difference in transpiration was observed between *pip2;5* KO and WT plants. Upon mild-water deficit or low ABA concentration treatment, the transpiration and stomatal conductance decreased more in PIP2;5 OE, and less in *pip2;5* KO lines, in comparison with WT plants. Using isolated epidermis, ABA treatment induced faster stomatal closing in PIP2;5 OE lines compared to the WT, while *pip2;5* KO stomata were ABA insensitive. These phenotypes were associated with guard cell ROS accumulation.
- Together, these data indicate that maize PIP2;5 regulates early stomatal closure for water conservation upon a water deficit environment.

Key words:

Aquaporins, abscisic acid, reactive oxygen species, stomatal closure, stomatal conductance, transpiration, water deficit, water conservation

Introduction

Stomata are micropores in the leaf epidermis formed by two guard cells allowing CO₂ uptake for photosynthesis at the expense of water loss by transpiration. The kinetics and magnitude of stomatal aperture/conductance are regulated by natural environmental fluctuations, such as light, soil water availability, CO₂, and air vapor pressure deficit (VPD) (Tardieu & Simonneau, 1998; Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Susmilch *et al.*, 2019). With a rapid stomatal movement response to the changing environment, carbon assimilation, water use efficiency, and plant growth can be improved (Papanatsiou *et al.*, 2019). In dry environments, including dry soil and atmosphere, stomatal closure is mediated by abscisic acid (ABA) signaling in guard cells (Cai *et al.*, 2017; Pantin & Blatt, 2018; Susmilch *et al.*, 2019) and bundle sheath cells (Pantin *et al.*, 2013; Moshelion *et al.*, 2015). In the latter cells, ABA signaling triggers a decrease in leaf hydraulic conductance (K_{leaf}) and leaf water potential (Ψ_{leaf}), contributing to stomatal closure via a hydraulic mechanism (Pantin *et al.*, 2013). In stomata, ABA signaling activates the membrane transport system, including potassium and anion channels, and possibly aquaporins, to decrease guard cell turgor pressure and volume, leading to stomatal closure (Grondin *et al.*, 2015; Jezek & Blatt, 2017; Papanatsiou *et al.*, 2019).

For many years, the roles of aquaporins in controlling stomatal movement remained hypothetical, even if their role in facilitating membrane diffusion of water and small solutes makes them putative important actors for guard cell turgor pressure adjustment (Chen *et al.*, 2017; Hachez *et al.*, 2017; Jezek & Blatt, 2017; Ding & Chaumont, 2020). In 2015, the first direct evidence highlighted the involvement of *Arabidopsis* PIP2;1 in ABA induced stomatal closure, the closure being impaired in *pip2;1* KO lines (Grondin *et al.*, 2015). In addition, ABA-activated OST1 kinase phosphorylates the Ser-121 of PIP2;1, an event known to activate PIP aquaporin water channel activity (Grondin *et al.*, 2015). PIP2;1 also facilitates H₂O₂ diffusion into guard cells to mediate ABA- and pathogen-triggered stomatal closure (Rodrigues *et al.*, 2017). At the same time, PIP2;1 was suggested to be involved in stomatal closure induced by greater CO₂ concentrations (Wang *et al.*, 2016). However, the ABA-induced stomatal closure phenotype of the *Arabidopsis pip2;1* KO line could not be reproduced, and even a quadruple *pip1;1*, *pip1;2*, *pip2;1*, and *pip2;2* mutant did not exhibit any difference in stomatal closure and conductance (Wang *et al.*, 2016; Ceciliato *et al.*, 2019). Further evidence is required to ascertain the exact role(s) of aquaporins in stomatal movement in other species (Ding & Chaumont, 2020).

In this study, we examined whether *PIP2;5* gene expression deregulation affects maize stomatal closure induced by water deficit and ABA treatments in intact plants, detached leaves,

and peeled epidermis. We previously showed that PIP2;5 is a main actor in controlling cell and tissue hydraulic conductivity, as well as in regulating plant growth under water deficit conditions (Hachez *et al.*, 2012; Ding *et al.*, 2020). Additionally, H₂O₂ membrane diffusion is enhanced by expressing PIP2;5 in yeast (Bienert *et al.*, 2014). Those results indicate maize PIP2;5 might be involved in stomatal movement regulation. Here, we report that PIP2;5 regulates early stomatal closure and transpiration decrease upon water deficit. This data is of potential interest to design new strategies for crop breeding and enhance abiotic stress resilience and productivity in changing climate scenarios.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Two PIP2;5 OE and one *pip2;5* KO maize lines used in this study were described in. The heterozygous plants were self-pollinated and, in the next generation, the homozygous plants were identified by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) (PIP2;5 OE lines), and by PCR on genomic DNA (*pip2;5* KO lines). Homozygous plants segregating for the *PIP2;5* transgene (PIP2;5 OE) and non-transgenic siblings (WT-B104) or *pip2;5* KO and siblings without the Mu transposon (WT-W22) were utilized. Plants were grown in soil in a growth chamber, with a 16 h/8 h light/dark cycle (25/18°C) and a daytime light intensity of 200 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ at the leaf top. The chamber humidity during the light cycle was 50%~70%.

Whole plant transpiration measurements

Whole plant transpiration was determined by gravimetry. Briefly, three-week-old maize plants were placed on a balance (FX-3000i, A&D, Japan) and the weight was recorded every 10 min with a weighing data logger (AD-1688, A&D, Japan) in the light/dark cycle. After measurement, the leaf was scanned and the leaf area was analyzed with ImageJ software. Whole plant transpiration was calculated with the weight loss and normalized with the leaf area. Soil water potential was measured by WP4C DewPoint Potentiometer (Decagon Devices, Inc, Pullman, WA, US).

Measurements of g_s

g_s was measured with a Li-Cor 6400XT portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE, US). The third leaf from two-week-old maize plants was excised with ~3 cm leaf sheath, which was recut inside Milli-Q water to have a final leaf with ~2 cm leaf sheath. Then, the excised leaf was transferred to a 5-mL Eppendorf tube filled with milli-Q water. This transfer was performed in water to avoid any air entering into the leaf. The leaf was stabilized under light for ~30 min and then placed in the Li-Cor 6400XT system leaf chamber. During the measurement, the chamber temperature was maintained at ~28°C and the light intensity was 1500 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (with 10% blue + 90% red light). CO_2 concentration was set at 400 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ controlled with a CO_2 cartridge (Liss, Hungary). The relative humidity was 40%~50%. g_s was recorded every 30 s for ~20 min before ABA was added, and then for another ~30 min with the indicated ABA concentrations.

Stomatal aperture measurements

Stomatal aperture was measured from 4th leaf abaxial epidermal peels from three-week-old maize plants. Firstly, the fully expanded leaf was excised and cut into ~2 cm long sections, with the abaxial side floated on the incubation buffer (10 mM KCl, 50 μM CaCl_2 , 10 mM MES, pH 5.6 (Tris)) (Gao *et al.*, 2017) (Greiner Bio-One, Vilvoorde, Belgium). The leaves were exposed to light (~200 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) for 2 h to induce stomatal opening. Then, the leaf epidermis was peeled and the strips were floated on the incubation buffer containing either 10 μM ABA (Sigma-Aldrich, US) (30 mM ABA stock in ethanol) or ethanol as a control. Stomatal aperture was checked after 30, 60, and 120 min treatments with a fluorescence microscope (Axio observer 7, Zeiss, Germany) equipped with a CCD camera (ORCA-Flash 4.0 LT C11440, Hamamatsu, Japan). The aperture size was measured with ImageJ software.

ROS measurements in stomata

ROS in stomata was detected by H_2DCFDA staining (Pei *et al.*, 2000; Iwai *et al.*, 2019). Stomatal opening was induced as above. After 2 h of induction, the epidermis was peeled inside the incubation buffer, and the strip was submerged into the incubation buffer with 10 μM ABA. After the indicated time, the epidermal strip was washed three times with Milli-Q water and stained with 50 μM H_2DCFDA (Sigma-Aldrich) (10 mM stock in DMSO) in incubation buffer for 20 min in the

dark. The strip was washed three times and the fluorescence signal was detected by microscopy (excitation: 494 nm; emission: 517 nm) and quantified with ImageJ software.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software). Student's *t*-test was applied to determine the significant differences in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-test was applied to compare the significant differences in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.

Results

PIP2;5 gene expression deregulation affects transpiration

Whole plant transpiration was monitored continuously for two *PIP2;5* OE (*PIP2;5* OE-4 and *PIP2;5* OE-13) and one *pip2;5* KO lines, together with the respective WT siblings (WT-B104 and WT-W22) (Ding *et al.*, 2020), under well-watered conditions and soil water deficit. We first measured transpiration with *PIP2;5* OE-4 and WT siblings (WT-B104) grown in progressively drying soil conditions (Fig. 1a, b). In well-watered conditions, a greater transpiration was recorded in *PIP2;5* OE-4 plants compared with the WT plants. However, the transpiration rate of *PIP2;5* OE plants was more sensitive to very mild soil water deficit conditions ($\Psi_{\text{soil}} \approx -0.05$ MPa), indicated by the decrease in the difference in transpiration between the OE and WT-B104 plants (Fig. 1b).

We compared the transpiration in well-watered ($\Psi_{\text{soil}} \approx 0$ MPa) and mild water deficit conditions ($\Psi_{\text{soil}} \approx -0.10$ / -0.20 MPa) for all the lines. In well-watered conditions, the transpiration of *PIP2;5* OE-4 and OE-13 plants was 22% and 14% greater during the day, respectively, compared with WT-B104 (Fig. 1c, e). No significant transpiration difference was observed between *pip2;5* KO and WT-W22 plants (Fig. 1g). On the other hand, under mild water deficit, *PIP2;5* OE-4 and OE-13 plants transpired 16% and 9% less water during the day, respectively, in comparison with WT-B104 (Fig. 1d, f; Fig. S1a). In this water deficit condition, the transpiration of *pip2;5* KO plants was 31% greater during the day than the WT-W22 siblings (Fig. 1h). Interestingly, under severe soil water deficit conditions ($\Psi_{\text{soil}} \approx -0.3$ to -0.5 MPa), *PIP2;5* OE plants transpired more than the WT-B104 plants (Fig. S1).

ABA-induced stomatal closure is altered by *PIP2;5* gene expression deregulation

As transpiration is regulated by g_s , we investigated whether *PIP2;5* gene expression deregulation affects stomatal behavior after different ABA treatments. We measured g_s using excised leaves, and stomatal aperture in epidermal peels under different ABA treatments.

We observed that g_s in WT-B104 leaves decreased faster with increasing ABA concentrations (0.1, 0.5, and 10 μ M ABA; Fig. S2a). Then we compared g_s of WT, *PIP2;5* OE, and *pip2;5* KO leaves using 0.1 μ M ABA. Interestingly, g_s of *PIP2;5* OE and *pip2;5* KO leaves decreased faster and slower, respectively, compared with their respective WT siblings (Fig. 2). This difference in g_s behavior was not observed when using 0.5 μ M ABA (Fig. S2b,c,d).

A significant decrease in stomatal aperture was observed in the peeled epidermis of both *PIP2;5* OE lines after 30 min incubation with 10 μ M ABA (Fig. 3a,b), while a significant decrease was only observed after 60 min ABA treatment for the WT-B104 or WT-W22 lines (Fig. S3a-d), indicating faster stomatal closure in *PIP2;5* OE plants. In contrast, no decrease in stomatal aperture in *pip2;5* KO epidermis upon ABA treatment was recorded whatever the incubation time. Actually, a larger *pip2;5* KO stomatal aperture was recorded after ABA treatment than without treatment (Fig. 3c; Fig. S3e,f), suggesting *PIP2;5* has a key role in stomatal ABA sensing.

ROS accumulation induced by ABA is altered by *PIP2;5* deregulation

ABA-induced stomatal closure is dependent on ROS (mainly H_2O_2) accumulation in guard cells (Pei *et al.*, 2000; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2017). We followed H_2O_2 accumulation in guard cells after epidermis incubation with ABA using the H_2DCFDA probe. Significant H_2O_2 accumulation was measured in *PIP2;5* OE guard cells after 2 min ABA (10 μ M) incubation, while no accumulation was detected in WT-B104 after this short time (Fig. 4a,b). A significant increase in the H_2O_2 signal in WT guard cells was only found after 15 min ABA treatment (Fig. 4c; Fig. S4b,d). On the other hand, no ROS accumulation was observed in *pip2;5* KO guard cells at any ABA incubation time, while the signal increased after 15 min ABA treatment in the WT-W22 siblings (Fig. 4c; Fig. S4e,f).

Discussion

PIP2;5 affects transpiration in a water condition dependent manner

Transpiration and g_s are regulated by aquaporins expressed in roots and shoots, and transpiration and/or g_s changes up to 40% are obtained by aquaporin gene expression manipulation at the whole plant level under controlled growth conditions (Chaumont & Tyerman, 2014; Maurel *et al.*, 2016). In accordance with these observations, the transpiration rate of the PIP2;5 OE lines increased by 14-22% in comparison with the transpiration in WT plants in well-watered soil. This positive effect was possibly due to an increase in tissue hydraulic properties mediated by aquaporins in roots and/or shoots (Shatil-Cohen *et al.*, 2011; Pantin *et al.*, 2013; Prado *et al.*, 2013; Ding *et al.*, 2020). Sade *et al.* (2014a) also found that g_s increased in *Arabidopsis* lines overexpressing NtAQP1 (a PIP1 isoform) under the 35S promoter control or the main photosynthetic tissue promoter *FBPase*, but not when expression was controlled by the stomatal-specific *KST1* promoter. Conversely, transpiration and g_s were decreased by constitutively silencing PIP1s, but not by specific silencing in bundle sheath cells (Sade *et al.*, 2014b). Altogether, this data indicates that aquaporins can indirectly affect g_s through changes in tissue hydraulic properties affecting signaling processes.

In soil water deficit conditions, the decrease in transpiration and g_s results from ABA-induced stomatal closure (Zhu, 2002; Cai *et al.*, 2017). Here, we showed that, in the PIP2;5 OE lines, the transpiration was less in a mild water deficit condition (-0.1 / -0.2 MPa) and g_s decreased more rapidly after low ABA concentration (0.1 μ M) treatment, compared to WT plants. Interestingly, an inverse behavior was observed for the *pip2;5* KO plants. These results indicate that PIP2;5 is involved in regulating stomatal closure under mild drought stress or ABA treatments. Overexpressing *Vicia faba* VPIP1 in *Arabidopsis* (Cui *et al.*, 2008) or the apple MdPIP1;3 in tomato plants (Wang *et al.*, 2017), also results in faster stomatal closure in transgenic plants than in control plants upon drought stress or ABA treatments. However, this phenotype we reported here appeared to be dependent on the water stress conditions. We showed here that this difference in stomatal closure behavior was only observed under mild soil water deficit or low concentration ABA treatment, but not under severe water deficit or high ABA treatments (Fig. S1; Fig. S2b-d). Those results indicate that stomatal closure is regulated by several factors under drought stress or ABA treatment, involving signaling events both in stomatal complexes and in vascular bundle sheath (Shatil-Cohen *et al.*, 2011; Pantin *et al.*, 2013). In severe soil water deficit conditions ($\Psi_{\text{soil}} \approx -0.30 \sim -0.50$ MPa), the PIP2;5 OE maize lines may maintain root water uptake ability and leaf hydraulic conductance (Ding *et al.*, 2020), resulting in similar or even higher plant transpiration and growth as in WT plants. Altogether, these data indicate that the beneficial effect

of PIP2;5 overexpression is really dependent on water stress conditions and that, in mild water deficit, PIP2;5 OE lines perform better than control plants by faster stomatal closing and/or increased root water uptake ability, as previously observed for plants overexpressing other PIP aquaporins (Groszmann *et al.*, 2017; Sade & Moshelion, 2017),

ABA-mediated stomatal closure depends on stomatal expressed PIP2;5

Stomatal aperture and closure depend on signaling molecules such as ABA, H₂O₂, and CO₂, and molecular events leading to variations in guard cell turgor pressure and, thus, on water fluxes across their plasma membrane. Due to their multiple channel specificity, aquaporins are thought to contribute to different stomatal movement processes (Heinen *et al.*, 2014; Chen *et al.*, 2017; Nunes *et al.*, 2020). The first functional evidence of an aquaporin in stomatal movement was provided by studies using epidermal peels from *Arabidopsis pip2;1* KO plants. PIP2;1 was required for ABA-dependent stomatal closure and, during this process, phosphorylation of PIP2;1 by the specific kinase OST1 activated the water and H₂O₂ transport (Grondin *et al.*, 2015; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2017). We found that PIP2;5 OE stomata from epidermal peels closed faster upon ABA treatment, while stomatal aperture was insensitive to ABA treatment in *pip2;5* KO plants. This faster stomatal closure was correlated with ROS accumulation (H₂O₂) in PIP2;5 OE guard cells. Actually, H₂O₂ is a key factor in ABA and CO₂ signaling regulating stomatal closure (Chater *et al.*, 2015; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2017), and H₂O₂, produced in the apoplast by the activated NADPH oxidases, acts in guard cells as a Ca²⁺ channel activity regulator leading to SLAC1 activation in the plasma membrane (Pei *et al.*, 2000) and stomatal closure. Several aquaporins, including maize PIP2;5, were previously shown to facilitate H₂O₂ diffusion when expressed in yeast (Bienert *et al.*, 2007; Bienert *et al.*, 2014) or *in planta* (Tian *et al.*, 2016; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2017). Altogether, these data strongly suggest that PIP2;5 expressed in maize guard cells acts as H₂O₂ channels.

Recently, an *Arabidopsis* quadruple *pip1;1*, *pip1;2*, *pip2;1*, and *pip2;2* mutant was generated but did not exhibit any significant difference in stomatal aperture or g_s upon 2 μM ABA treatment compared with the WT (Ceciliato *et al.*, 2019). The same group was also unable to confirm the stomatal ABA insensitivity of *pip2;1* mutant plants (Wang *et al.*, 2016). However, these conflicting results might be explained by different plant growth conditions and/or experimental methods. For example, in our study, the decrease or increase in g_s observed in PIP2;5 OE plants and *pip2;5* KO plants, respectively, were only recorded using 0.1 μM ABA treatment, but not with 0.5 μM or greater ABA concentrations. This indicated that stomatal closure is due to ABA signaling

in guard cells when the epidermis is investigated, while stomatal closure is regulated by ABA signaling in both guard cells and vascular bundle sheath cells in intact leaves (Pantin *et al.*, 2013). We showed that guard cells are more sensitive to mild soil water deficit or low ABA treatment in PIP2;5 OE plants. However, under severe water deficit or high ABA treatment, stomatal closure might be more regulated by ABA signaling in vascular bundle sheath cells, as demonstrated by the fact that high ABA (50 μ M) treatment was able to decrease stomata of ABA insensitive mutants (*ost2-1*, *abi1-1*, *slac1-1*), through leaf hydraulic conductance regulation.

In conclusion, our work demonstrates that PIP2;5 is an important player of grass stomatal movement dynamics. It improves ABA signaling sensitivity probably by facilitating H₂O₂ accumulation and speeding up stomatal closure. This process is beneficial to plant growth in mild water deficit conditions, allowing water conservation and a greater leaf elongation rate (Ding *et al.*, 2020).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof. F Van Breusegem for lending the Li-cor6400XT and Robin Pottier for his help with the Li-cor6400XT. We also thank Prof. Mathieu Javaux and Prof. Xavier Draye for lending their water potential meter and balances. This work was supported by the Interuniversity Attraction Poles Programme-Belgian Science Policy (grant IAP7/29), the “Communauté française de Belgique-Actions de Recherches Concertées” (grant ARC16/21-075), and the Pierre and Colette Bauchau Award. L.D. was supported by Incoming Post-doc Move-in Louvain Fellowships co-funded by the Marie Curie Actions, and FSR/UCLouvain researcher funding.

Author Contribution

L.D and F.C. designed the experiments; L.D. performed the experiments; L.D. and F.C. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Supporting information

Fig.S1 Transpiration in PIP2;5 OE plants. (a) The transpiration of PIP2;5 OE-4 plants under mild water deficit and severe water deficit conditions. (b) The transpiration of PIP2;5 OE-13 plants under severe water deficit conditions. The dash lines indicate the soil water potential.

Fig.S2 g_s dynamic after ABA treatment. (a) g_s responding to different ABA concentrations in WT-B104. (b), (c) and (d) g_s responding to 0.5 μ M ABA treatments in PIP2;5 deregulation lines and their WT plants.

Fig.S3 Stomatal aperture after ABA treatments. (a) and (b) PIP2;5 OE-4. (c) and (d) PIP2;5 OE-13. (e) and (f) *pip2;5* KO. The PIP2;5 OE, *pip2;5* KO and the corresponding WT are indicated by OE, KO and WT, respectively. “-” and “+” indicated with and without ABA treatments, respectively. Treatment time is indicated.

Fig.S4 ROS accumulation in guard cells after ABA treatments. (a) and (b) PIP2;5 OE-4. (c) and (d) PIP2;5 OE-13. (e) and (f) *pip2;5* KO. The PIP2;5 OE, *pip2;5* KO and the corresponding WT plants are indicated by OE, KO and WT, respectively. “-” and “+” indicate with and without ABA treatments, respectively. Treatment time is indicated.

ORCID

Lei Ding

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1262-5289>

François Chaumont

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0155-7778>

References

- Bienert GP, Heinen RB, Berny MC, Chaumont F. 2014.** Maize plasma membrane aquaporin ZmPIP2;5, but not ZmPIP1;2, facilitates transmembrane diffusion of hydrogen peroxide. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes* **1838**(1): 216-222.
- Bienert GP, Møller AL, Kristiansen KA, Schulz A, Møller IM, Schjoerring JK, Jahn TP. 2007.** Specific aquaporins facilitate the diffusion of hydrogen peroxide across membranes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **282**(2): 1183-1192.
- Cai S, Chen G, Wang Y, Huang Y, Marchant DB, Wang Y, Yang Q, Dai F, Hills A, Franks PJ. 2017.** Evolutionary conservation of ABA signaling for stomatal closure. *Plant Physiology* **174**(2): 732-747.
- Ceciliato PH, Zhang J, Liu Q, Shen X, Hu H, Liu C, Schäffner AR, Schroeder JI. 2019.** Intact leaf gas exchange provides a robust method for measuring the kinetics of stomatal conductance responses to abscisic acid and other small molecules in *Arabidopsis* and grasses. *Plant Methods* **15**(1): 38.
- Chater C, Peng K, Movahedi M, Dunn JA, Walker HJ, Liang Y-K, McLachlan DH, Casson S, Isner JC, Wilson I. 2015.** Elevated CO₂-induced responses in stomata require ABA and ABA signaling. *Current Biology* **25**(20): 2709-2716.
- Chaumont F, Tyerman SD. 2014.** Aquaporins: highly regulated channels controlling plant water relations. *Plant Physiology* **164**(4): 1600-1618.
- Chen Z-H, Chen G, Dai F, Wang Y, Hills A, Ruan Y-L, Zhang G, Franks PJ, Nevo E, Blatt MR. 2017.** Molecular evolution of grass stomata. *Trends in Plant Science* **22**(2): 124-139.
- Cui X-H, Hao F-S, Chen H, Chen J, Wang X-C. 2008.** Expression of the *Vicia faba* VfPIP1 gene in *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants improves their drought resistance. *Journal of Plant Research* **121**(2): 207-214.
- Ding L, Chaumont F. 2020.** Are aquaporins expressed in stomatal complexes promising targets to enhance stomatal dynamics? *Frontiers in Plant Science*.
- Ding L, Milhiet T, Couvreur V, Nelissen H, Meziane A, Parent B, Aesaert S, Van Lijsebettens M, Inzé D, Tardieu F. 2020.** Modification of the expression of the aquaporin ZmPIP2; 5 affects water relations and plant growth. *Plant Physiology* **182**(4): 2154-2165.
- Gao YQ, Wu WH, Wang Y. 2017.** The K⁺ channel KZM2 is involved in stomatal movement by modulating inward K⁺ currents in maize guard cells. *The Plant Journal* **92**(4): 662-675.
- Grondin A, Rodrigues O, Verdoucq L, Merlot S, Leonhardt N, Maurel C. 2015.** Aquaporins contribute to ABA-triggered stomatal closure through OST1-mediated phosphorylation. *The Plant Cell* **27**(7): 1945-1954.

- Groszmann M, Osborn HL, Evans JR. 2017.** Carbon dioxide and water transport through plant aquaporins. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **40**(6): 938-961.
- Hachez C, Milhiet T, Heinen RB, Chaumont F 2017.** Roles of aquaporins in stomata. *Plant Aquaporins*: Springer, 167-183.
- Hachez C, Veselov D, Ye Q, Reinhardt H, Knipfer T, Fricke W, Chaumont F. 2012.** Short-term control of maize cell and root water permeability through plasma membrane aquaporin isoforms. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **35**(1): 185-198.
- Heinen RB, Bienert GP, Cohen D, Chevalier AS, Uehlein N, Hachez C, Kaldenhoff R, Le Thiec D, Chaumont F. 2014.** Expression and characterization of plasma membrane aquaporins in stomatal complexes of *Zea mays*. *Plant Molecular Biology* **86**(3): 335-350.
- Iwai S, Ogata S, Yamada N, Onjo M, Sonoike K, Shimazaki Ki. 2019.** Guard cell photosynthesis is crucial in abscisic acid-induced stomatal closure. *Plant Direct* **3**(5): e00137.
- Jezek M, Blatt MR. 2017.** The membrane transport system of the guard cell and its integration for stomatal dynamics. *Plant Physiology* **174**(2): 487-519.
- Maurel C, Verdoucq L, Rodrigues O. 2016.** Aquaporins and plant transpiration. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **39**(11): 2580-2587.
- Moshelion M, Halperin O, Wallach R, Oren R, Way DA. 2015.** Role of aquaporins in determining transpiration and photosynthesis in water-stressed plants: crop water-use efficiency, growth and yield. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **38**(9): 1785-1793.
- Nunes TD, Zhang D, Raissig MT. 2020.** Form, development and function of grass stomata. *The Plant Journal* **101**(4): 780-799.
- Pantin F, Blatt MR. 2018.** Stomatal response to humidity: blurring the boundary between active and passive movement. *Plant Physiology* **176**(1): 485-488.
- Pantin F, Monnet F, Jannaud D, Costa JM, Renaud J, Muller B, Simonneau T, Genty B. 2013.** The dual effect of abscisic acid on stomata. *New Phytologist* **197**(1): 65-72.
- Papanatsiou M, Petersen J, Henderson L, Wang Y, Christie J, Blatt M. 2019.** Optogenetic manipulation of stomatal kinetics improves carbon assimilation, water use, and growth. *Science* **363**(6434): 1456-1459.
- Pei Z-M, Murata Y, Benning G, Thomine S, Klüsener B, Allen GJ, Grill E, Schroeder JI. 2000.** Calcium channels activated by hydrogen peroxide mediate abscisic acid signalling in guard cells. *Nature* **406**(6797): 731.
- Prado K, Boursiac Y, Tournaire-Roux C, Monneuse J-M, Postaire O, Da Ines O, Schöffner AR, Hem S, Santoni V, Maurel C. 2013.** Regulation of Arabidopsis leaf hydraulics involves light-dependent phosphorylation of aquaporins in veins. *The Plant Cell* **25**(3): 1029-1039.

- Rodrigues O, Reshetnyak G, Grondin A, Saijo Y, Leonhardt N, Maurel C, Verdoucq L. 2017.**
Aquaporins facilitate hydrogen peroxide entry into guard cells to mediate ABA-and
pathogen-triggered stomatal closure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
114(34): 9200-9205.
- Sade N, Gallé A, Flexas J, Lerner S, Peleg G, Yaaran A, Moshelion M. 2014a.** Differential
tissue-specific expression of NtAQP1 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* reveals a role for this protein
in stomatal and mesophyll conductance of CO₂ under standard and salt-stress conditions.
Planta **239**(2): 357-366.
- Sade N, Moshelion M 2017.** Plant aquaporins and abiotic stress. *Plant Aquaporins*: Springer,
185-206.
- Sade N, Shatil-Cohen A, Attia Z, Maurel C, Boursiac Y, Kelly G, Granot D, Yaaran A, Lerner
S, Moshelion M. 2014b.** The role of plasma membrane aquaporins in regulating the
bundle sheath-mesophyll continuum and leaf hydraulics. *Plant Physiology* **166**(3): 1609-
1620.
- Shatil-Cohen A, Attia Z, Moshelion M. 2011.** Bundle-sheath cell regulation of xylem-mesophyll
water transport via aquaporins under drought stress: a target of xylem-borne ABA? *The
Plant Journal* **67**(1): 72-80.
- Sussmilch FC, Schultz J, Hedrich R, Roelfsema MRG. 2019.** Acquiring control: the evolution
of stomatal signalling pathways. *Trends in Plant Science* **24**(4): 342-351.
- Tardieu F, Simonneau T. 1998.** Variability among species of stomatal control under fluctuating
soil water status and evaporative demand: modelling isohydric and anisohydric behaviours.
Journal of Experimental Botany **49**: 419-432.
- Tian S, Wang X, Li P, Wang H, Ji H, Xie J, Qiu Q, Shen D, Dong H. 2016.** Plant aquaporin
AtPIP1;4 links apoplastic H₂O₂ induction to disease immunity pathways. *Plant Physiology*
171(3): 1635-1650.
- Wang C, Hu H, Qin X, Zeise B, Xu D, Rappel W-J, Boron WF, Schroeder JI. 2016.**
Reconstitution of CO₂ regulation of SLAC1 anion channel and function of CO₂-permeable
PIP2;1 aquaporin as CARBONIC ANHYDRASE4 interactor. *The Plant Cell* **28**(2): 568-582.
- Wang L, Li Q-T, Lei Q, Feng C, Zheng X, Zhou F, Li L, Liu X, Wang Z, Kong J. 2017.** Ectopically
expressing MdPIP1;3, an aquaporin gene, increased fruit size and enhanced drought
tolerance of transgenic tomatoes. *BMC Plant Biology* **17**(1): 246.
- Zhang J, De-oliveira-Ceciliato P, Takahashi Y, Schulze S, Dubeaux G, Hauser F, Azoulay-
Shemer T, Töldsepp K, Kollist H, Rappel W-J, et al. 2018.** Insights into the molecular

407 mechanisms of CO₂-mediated regulation of stomatal movements. *Current Biology* **28**(23):
408 R1356-R1363.

409 **Zhu J-K. 2002.** Salt and drought stress signal transduction in plants. *Annual Review of Plant*
410 *Biology* **53**(1): 247-273.

411

412

413

Figure legends

Fig.1 Transpiration in maize lines deregulated in *PIP2;5* gene expression. (a) Transpiration of WT-B104 and *PIP2;5* OE-4 plants were recorded continuously from well watering to water deficit by stopping plant watering. (b) The transpiration difference between *PIP2;5* OE-4 and WT-B104 plants. The soil water potential was indicated by the dashed lines. (c-h) Transpiration was recorded continuously for 24 h under well-watered conditions (c, e, g) and mild soil water deficit (d, f, h). (c) and (d) *PIP2;5* OE-4 lines. (e) and (f) *PIP2;5* OE-13 lines. (g) and (h) *pip2;5* KO lines. The insets indicate the day mean transpiration rate. The *PIP2;5* OE, *pip2;5* KO, and corresponding WT plants are indicated by OE, KO, and WT, respectively. The dark period is indicated by the grey bar. The soil water potential was ~ -0.10 MPa in mild soil water deficit treatment. The mean values were from two to four plants. The error bars indicate the standard error (SE). Student's *t*-test was applied to compare the significant difference of day mean transpiration between the lines at levels of $p < 0.001$ (***) and $p < 0.05$ (*).

Fig.2 g_s dynamics after ABA treatment. (a) *PIP2;5* OE-4. (b) *PIP2;5* OE-13. (c) *pip2;5* KO. The black arrows indicate the time of $0.1 \mu\text{M}$ ABA addition. The mean g_s values were calculated during 5 min before ABA addition, and the data were normalized with these mean values. The data was calculated from 5-9 leaves in two independent experiments. The insets indicate the g_s percentage decrease, calculated from the measurement last 5 min. The student's *t*-test was applied to compare the significant difference in g_s decrease between the lines at the $p < 0.001$ (***) level.

Fig.3 Stomatal aperture after ABA treatments. (a) *PIP2;5* OE-4. (b) *PIP2;5* OE-13. (c) *pip2;5* KO. The epidermal peels were incubated in $10 \mu\text{M}$ ABA for 30 min, and stomatal aperture was measured from $n > 40$ stomata in two independent experiments. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SE and individual data points are shown (opened circles). The *PIP2;5* OE, *pip2;5* KO, and the corresponding WT plants are indicated by OE, KO, and WT, respectively. "-" and "+" indicate with and without ABA treatments, respectively. One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-test was applied to compare the stomatal aperture significant difference between ABA and the control treatments at the $p < 0.05$ level. The significant difference is indicated by different letters.

Fig.4 ROS accumulation in guard cells after ABA treatments. (a) *PIP2;5* OE-4. (b) *PIP2;5* OE-13. (c) *pip2;5* KO. The epidermal peels were incubated in $10 \mu\text{M}$ ABA for 2 min in (a) and (b), for 15 min in (c), and the H_2DCFDA signal was detected. The data was calculated from $n > 50$ guard cells in two to three independent experiments. The *PIP2;5* OE, *pip2;5* KO, and the corresponding WT plants are indicated by OE, KO and WT, respectively. "-" and "+" indicate with and without ABA

446 treatments, respectively. One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-test was applied to compare the
447 stomatal aperture significant difference between ABA and the control treatments at the $p < 0.05$
448 level. The significant difference is indicated by different letters.

Fig.1

Fig.2

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.15.042234>; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Fig.3

Fig.4