1	Title: Seasonal weight changes in laboratory ferrets
2	Authors: Eleanor J. Jones ¹ , Katarina Poole ¹ , Joseph Sollini ¹ , Stephen M. Town ¹ and
3	Jennifer K. Bizley ¹
4	
5	1. The Ear Institute, 332 Gray's Inn Road, University College London, London,
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20 <u>Abstract</u>

21 Ferrets (*Mustela putorius furo*) are a valuable animal model used in biomedical research. Ferrets undergo significant variation in body weight seasonally, affected by photoperiod, 22 and these variations make it difficult to use weight as an indicator of health status. To 23 24 overcome this requires a better understanding of these seasonal weight changes. We 25 provide a normative weight data set for the female ferret accounting for seasonal 26 changes, and also investigate the effect of fluid regulation on weight change. Female 27 ferrets (n=39) underwent behavioural testing from May 2017 to August 2019 and were weighed daily while housed in an animal care facility with controlled light exposure. In the 28 29 winter (October to March), animals experienced 10 hours of light and 14 hours of dark, 30 while in summer (March to October), this contingency was reversed. Individual animals 31 varied in their body weight from approximately 700 to 1200 g. However, weights fluctuated 32 with light cycle, with animals losing weight in summer, and gaining weight in winter such 33 that they fluctuated between approximately 80% and 120% of their long term average 34 weight. Ferrets were weighed as part of their health assessment while experiencing water 35 regulation for behavioural training. Water regulation superimposed additional weight 36 changes on these seasonal fluctuations, with weight loss during the 5 day water 37 regulation period being greater in summer than winter. These data establish a normative 38 benchmark for seasonal weight variation in female ferrets that can be incorporated into 39 the health assessment of an animal's condition.

40 Introduction

41 Domesticated ferrets (*Mustela putorius furo*) are valuable animal models for a wide range 42 of biomedical research areas, including: neuroscience [1-6], drug development [7] and 43 respiratory diseases such as Influenza and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) 44 [8,9] including the new coronavirus strain, SARS-CoV-2 [10]. In laboratory animals 45 exposed to scientific procedures, a standard approach to monitoring health status is to measure body weight. Weight loss is a key indicator of health problems, and therefore 46 47 understanding the factors that contribute to natural variation in body weight is critical for 48 correctly monitoring an animal's condition. Ferrets undergo significant variation in their 49 body weight seasonally; however, there is currently no normative data available to provide 50 a benchmark for the expected seasonal weight changes. Seasonal variations may mask 51 or exaggerate changes in body weight due to an experimental procedure or change in 52 health status and thus must be integrated into assessments of a ferret's health status.

53 Seasonal weight changes have been demonstrated in multiple species 54 independent of diurnality, including monkeys [11,12], raccoons [13], hamsters [14] and 55 rodents [15]. There are a range of potential factors that elicit seasonal weight changes, 56 but temperature and day length are key triggers, which are ultimately crucial for survival.

57 Ferrets are members of the mustelid family and have been domesticated from 58 European polecats, a species which was native to western Euroasia. Seasonal weight 59 changes have been observed in polecats and other closely related species such as mink. 50 These weight changes are seen as adaptations to the differing energy intake and 61 expenditure requirements of winter and summer [16,17]. In animal care facilities, daylight

62 hours can be easily regulated and are often set at a 12-hour light cycle (12-hours ON, 12hours OFF) or synchronised with the external environment; for example, varying from a 63 minimum 8-hour cycle in winter (8-hours ON, 16-hours OFF) to maximum 16-hour cycle 64 65 in summer (16-hours ON, 8-hours OFF) [18–21]. Variation in the photoperiod can change 66 factors such as eating habits, coat thickness, sleep and activity levels - all of which may 67 contribute to normal and possible abnormal weight changes. Previous research has 68 demonstrated that ferret weights increase as hours of daylight decrease, leading to 69 sinusoidal weight fluctuations with annual light cycle [22,23]. Contrastingly, in another study where the sleep habits of two male ferrets were tracked, light/dark schedule was 70 71 shown to have no effect on their weight [24].

In addition to body weight, changes in photoperiod have also been linked to the timing of the oestrus cycle, which occurs once per year in female ferrets [20,22,25,26]. One of the first studies showed that sexual activity in ferrets increased when light duration or intensity increased [27]. Since then, further research has described ferret oestrus as seasonal and photoperiod activated [28]. The relationship between photoperiod, oestrus and body weight is unknown, but Donovan (1986) concluded that while there was not a critical weight to trigger oestrus, oestrus does require a minimum weight of around 420g.

The aim of this study is to provide data on the normative weights of female ferrets, accounting for seasonal changes over multiple years. In addition, we document changes in weight that occur due to water regulation. We hypothesized that controlled light exposure in animal care facilities would induce naturalistic fluctuations in the ferrets' body weight.

84 Methods

85 Ethics Statement

All the animals in this study were maintained for the purpose of investigating the neural basis of hearing, undergoing experimental procedures that were approved by local ethical review committees (Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board) at University College London and The Royal Veterinary College, University of London and performed under license from the UK Home Office (Project License 70/8987) and in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

92 Animals

93 The data from 39 healthy female pigmented ferrets (0.5 - 4 years) were used for this 94 study. All animals underwent behavioural testing in psychoacoustic tasks that required 95 regulated access to water. Water was available during twice-daily testing sessions, with 96 supplementary wet food and/or water provided to ensure animals received a minimum of 97 60 ml/kg of water. Testing took place from Monday to Friday in, roughly, a three-weeks 98 on and one week off schedule. This ensured that ferrets did not experience water 99 regulation more than 50% of the time. When not participating in behavioural testing, 100 animals had free access to water. During testing periods, each animal was weighed daily 101 using digital scales (Salter, UK) prior to their morning testing session. Data was obtained 102 from all available animals between May 2017 and August 2019 (months of participation, 103 mean± SD: 11 months ±4.1).

Animals were housed at 15-24°C in social groups (n = 2 to 8 ferrets) and had free access to high-protein food pellets. Animals lived in enriched cages and freely exercised during daily cage cleans, with the opportunity to interact with humans, other ferrets and a variety of enrichment facilities (e.g. tunnels and balls). Our colony was comprised exclusively of female ferrets and typically contained between 25 and 30 animals.

The light cycle was changed in accordance with UK daylight savings: during 'winter' (October to March) ferrets were exposed to 10 hours of light and 14 hours of dark; during 'summer' (March to October) this was reversed to 14 hours of light and 10 hours of dark. The animal facility in which the animals were housed was windowless, and thus animals did not have access to natural light. The transition between 'seasons' was staggered such that timings were changed one hour per week over 4 weeks, centred on clock change for UK daylight saving time (Figure 1A).

The age of each ferret was calculated from the approximate date of birth provided by the supplier (Highgate Farms, UK). Also available for each animal was the oestrus time, which was estimated from the record of each animal's yearly hormone injection (0.5ml s.c. Proligestone, Delvosteron, Intervet). Hormone injections were given within 24-72 hours of animals exhibiting visible signs of oestrus, in order to suppress oestrus until the following spring and thus prevent life-threatening anaemia experienced by females in sustained oestrus [29].

123 Data Analysis

Data was recorded and analysed in Matlab (version R2018a, MathWorks Inc, MA, USA)
 using custom written scripts. Weight measurements were either examined in absolute

126 terms or relative to each animal's long-term average (calculated from all available data). 127 To examine day-light triggered weight changes, data from summer and winter were 128 considered independently in terms of weeks from the transition to shorter/longer days. 129 ANOVAs were performed in SPSS (IBM) using Greenhouse-Geisser corrections for 130 violations of sphericity where appropriate. Generalised linear models (GLMs) were 131 performed using MATLAB's 'fitglm' functions using a step-wise approach to fit models of 132 increasing complexity. Model parameters were retained where an F-test indicated a 133 significant drop in deviance upon inclusion of the term.

134 Results

135 The weights of 39 female ferrets were recorded as part of their daily health monitoring. 136 Weight values ranged from 553g-1350g. There was considerable variation across 137 animals, with average weights spanning 693 to 1195g, with a population mean (±SD) of 138 864.8 (±119.0g). There was substantial weight variation within each animal. For example, the animal shown in Fig. 1C weighed 1240g on 5th March 2018, and 870g on the 9th of 139 140 November that year, a change of 370g over nearly 7 months. The average standard 141 deviation across all measurements was 64.9 (±30.3q), or equivalently, 7.45% ±3.25% of 142 each animals' mean weight. We next explored how variation in body weight was linked to 143 seasonal changes and fluid regulation during behavioural testing.

144 Female ferrets show significant seasonal weight variations

When weights are considered over time, cycles emerge that correlate with the seasonallight changes (Fig. 1A). The pattern of weight change for one ferret over the collection

period is shown in Figure 1B. All ferrets conformed to a similar seasonal pattern of weight change with weight greatest in April (when lights were altered to their summer day length) and lowest in October (when the light cycle was switched to winter day lengths, Fig. 1C). The observed decreases in weight during the summer period and increases in weight over the winter months, resulted in sinusoidal weight fluctuations over the two-year measurement period.

153



154

155 Figure 1: Seasonal fluctuations in body weight

A. Relative light hours and clock change transition periods ferrets were exposed to during the
28-month period. B. Weight change for a single ferret (F1606) between May 2017 and August
2019. C. Absolute weight of all ferrets (n=39) between May 2017 and August 2019. D. Seasonal
variation in weight expressed as a percentage change from each ferret's average bodyweight.

160

161 To quantify the observed changes in weight with season, we divided weight 162 measurements into 'summer' and 'winter' periods according to day length (summer = 14 163 hours daylight, winter = 8 hours daylight), considering time as the number of weeks since 164 the transition to longer/shorter days. For each animal and season for which we had at 165 least 8 weeks of data, we performed a linear regression to determine the relationship 166 between time (in weeks) and body weight (Fig. 2A-B). In summer, there was a statistically 167 significant relationship between time and body weight during the summer period for all 168 animals (51 animal x transition combinations, 33 unique animals measured across one or 169 more seasonal transition; R^2 (mean; min to max) = 0.59; 0.07 to 0.96, p < 0.05 (49/51) 170 p<0.001). In winter, there was a significant relationship between week and body weight for 33/37 animal-transitions (28 unique animals; R² (mean; min to max), 0.74; 0.10 to 0.97 171 172 p < 0.05, 31/33 p < 0.001). We, therefore, used the resulting regression coefficients (β) to 173 determine the predicted weight change per week. We expressed weights in grams (Fig. 174 2C-D) and also relative to their starting weight (Fig. 2E-F). Measuring weight changes in 175 this way allowed us to see a highly stereotyped pattern of weight loss/ gain. Weight 176 changes were negative in summer (-6.0 g/week ±5.1g/week; -0.65 % ±0.55%) and 177 positive in winter (+8.3 g/week \pm 5.2 g/week; +0.89% \pm 0.53%), consistent with a pattern 178 of weight changes observed across the year.



179

180 Figure 2: Seasonal weight changes

A-B Data from one animal (F1606) during summer 2018 (**A**) and winter 2017/18 (**B**). Symbols indicate individual weight measurements; plotted according to the number of weeks since the transition to summer light cycles. Line indicates the regression fit (and confidence bounds). Regression coefficients, Summer: β =-9.84 g/week or -0.94% per week (t=-26.8, p<0.001); Winter: β =17.01 g/week or 1.66% per week (t=27.8, p<0.001). **C-D** Regression coefficients for all unique animal-transition combinations between 2017-2019 during summer (**C**, n=51 ferret x transition combinations, 33 unique animals) and winter (**D**, n=37 ferret x transition combinations, 28 unique animals). Black lines indicate the mean and standard deviation. **E-F** Regression coefficients from C-D expressed as percentage of long-term mean body weight.

191 Water regulation is associated with predictable weight variation

192 We next consider the impact of fluid regulation on body weight. The ferret is a popular 193 model for neuroscience research as animals can be readily trained in a variety of complex 194 behavioural tasks using water as a positive reward [30–32]. The animals that formed this 195 dataset performed psychoacoustic tasks and were weighed as part of their daily health 196 monitoring while on water regulation. Water regulation typically took place over a 5-day 197 cycle, with water being removed from the home cage from Sunday night until Friday 198 afternoon. We sought to quantify the impact of regulation on body weight, and whether 199 there was any interaction with season changes reported above.

200 We divided data into summer and winter periods and compared day of water 201 regulation to bodyweight in absolute terms (Fig. 3A) or relative to each animal's long-term 202 mean summer or winter weight (Fig. 3B). Both metrics show that weight declined with day 203 on water regulation, although the trends differed between summer and winter. In the 204 winter weight loss reached a stable baseline, whereas in the summer weight loss 205 appeared more linear. These results were confirmed statistically using a two-way 206 repeated measures ANOVA to analyse absolute body weight, where we found a main 207 effect of day ($F_{4,116}$ = 59.4, p<0.001) and interaction between day and season ($F_{4,116}$ = 208 3.51, p = 0.023). Similar results were also found when analysing relative body weight,

where again both main effect of day ($F_{4, 116} = 59.1$, p < 0.001) and interaction between day and season ($F_{4, 116} = 3.93$, p = 0.014) were significant. In neither analysis was the effect of season significant by itself.

212



Figure 3: Weight changes resulting from water regulation:

A-B Water regulation related weight changes for 30 animals in which both summer and winter data were available. **A**; mean± SEM weight in g for each day of water regulation for summer and winter periods. **B** mean ± SEM weight change expressed as a percentage change from the weight measurement made on a Monday morning (day 1).

225 Modelling the contribution of season and fluid regulation to body weight

To determine the relative contribution of season and water regulation duration on body weight, we fitted General Linear Models to weight data, using the following predictors: starting weight (the first measurement of weight made after the light transition, this data point was excluded from the modelling), the number of weeks since light transition, and the day of water regulation. We again considered summer and winter data separately,

and for each, found the best fitting model using a significant drop in deviance as the criterion for including parameters (using the F statistic to compare models, p<0.05). In each case the best fitting mode retained each of the three main effects (week since transition, day of water regulation and starting weight) as well as the two-way interaction between the week and starting weight (see table 1). To illustrate the key features of these models, we used the fitted models to simulate the changes in weight that would occur over a 20-week period in summer and winter for animals of 750g and 900g (Fig. 4).

239



240

241 Figure 4: Predicted weight changes

A-B, predicted weight changes over a 20-week period for two simulated ferrets of 750g (red)
and 900g (blue) in winter (A) and summer (B). Predictions were generated for each simulated
animal for 20 weeks at each light duration, for 5 days of water regulation within each week (i.e.
100 values per season). C-D Predicted within-week changes during winter (C) and summer (D).

Winter			
	Beta	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	460.51	18.454	4.57E-71
Transition week	-13.667	-6.5697	6.20E-11

Day of water reg.	-5.1302	-3.9662	7.52E-05
Starting weight	0.42788	16.407	2.53E-57
Week x start weight	0.023295	10.343	1.52E-24

Summer			
	Beta	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	121.07	7.4993	7.97E-14
Transition week	12.739	10.864	4.34E-27
Day of water reg.	-7.6443	-8.3847	7.12E-17
Starting weight	0.88069	58.105	<1E-99
Week x start weight	-0.018721	-16.623	6.50E-60

247

Table 1: GLM results for summer and winter data. Only significant predictors for which there was a significant reduction in model deviance are shown. For both summer and winter weights the resulting model retained the starting weight of the animal, the week of transition, and the day of water regulation, as well as a significant start weight * day of regulation interaction.

252 While our models could recapitulate key trends in the data, and for some animals 253 the predicted and observed weights were closely aligned (Figure 5A), others were much 254 less well predicted (e.g. Figure 5B). Moreover, to be useful as a diagnostic measure of 255 healthy or abnormal weight changes, the model should be able to estimate an expected 256 weight of an animal given factors such as the season and its starting weight. To test this, 257 and determine whether this model had any utility as a diagnostic measure, we applied the 258 regression model obtained by fitting data to all animals (above) to data from all but one 259 animal, excluding each animal in turn. We used the resulting model to predict weight 260 measurements (and their 99% confidence intervals) for the left-out animal's data and then 261 compare predicted and actual weight measurements.



262

Figure 5: Comparisons of observed and predicted values for two ferrets (A, F1830, winter, B, F1520, winter) selected as two examples of animals whose observed weight values were relatively well fit (A) and poorly fit (B) by data predicted from a GLM modelling expected weight values based on starting weight, number of weeks since light transition and day of water regulation. Open data points show the observed weight values, dots and errorbars show the 99% confidence intervals of the model predictions.

268 We found that that although predicted and observed weights were correlated 269 (significant correlations were found for 29/30 animals in summer with r values (mean±SD) 270 r=0.69±0.19, 24/32 animals in winter; r=0.72±0.33), generally the ability to predict 'held-271 out' animals was poor. Very few of our observed weight measurements fell within the 272 predicted confidence limits (~10% of all data). Examination of the observed and predicted 273 values revealed that while the models predicted the key trends, they poorly captured the 274 extent of the variability occurring within individual animals over time. In Figure 6, four 275 example animals are shown - the first two animals show what is typical of most of our animals which is that the model captures the trend but not the details, whereas the second 276 277 two animals show very different patterns of weight loss which, while guite different from 278 most animals is highly consistent within that individual.



Figure 6: Comparisons of observed (open symbols) and predicted values (gray error bars indicating the 99% confidence interval of the fit) for four animals. For each animal all available data is shown, which includes data from each of three summers 2016-2018, for animals in A,C,D, and a single year (2018) in animal F1801, shown in B. The animals in A and B show the typical near-linear pattern of weight loss over time, the shape of which is well captured by the predictions. However, rarely are the observed

287 values within the predicted range by the model. In animal A, the fluctuation in weight due to water 288 regulation is underestimated, and in one year weight loss was more rapid than the model predicted, while 289 in B, the animal varies very little while on water regulation and therefore the fluctuations are over 290 estimated. For this animal the model also underestimates the gradient of weight loss through the summer. 291 Most animals looked like those in A and B, however a few animals had substantially different patterns 292 which were highly consistent within each animal from year to year. Both animals in C and D show a 293 pattern of weight loss which is not linear (and therefore unsurprisingly poorly modelled). Animal F1610 (C) 294 shows a rapid weight loss that stabilises at a baseline, whereas Animal F1705 continues to gain weight 295 after the weight change, before beginning to lose weight for the remainder of the season.

296

297 Given the observation that weight loss/gain with season could be fit as a linear 298 model (Figure 2E,F), but that there was significant inter-animal variation we adapted our 299 modelling approach to address three questions about the changes in body weight 300 between weeks that occurred with water regulation that were common across animals: 301 First, was the change in weight between weeks constant, or did it vary through the 302 season? Second, does the change in weight between weeks, expressed as a proportion 303 of the animal's weight, depend on the size of the animal? Third, does some of the 304 additional variability we observed relate to whether animals have been on water 305 regulation the previous week? To address this, we first considered the change in weight 306 that occurred from each week (week i) to the next (week i+1) (using only the body 307 weight measurements from the first day on water regulation, i.e. Monday mornings), 308 expressing this as a % change in weight relative to bodyweight measured in week *i*. We then fitted a GLM to these % values, using three predictors: (1) the number of weeks 309 310 since seasonal transition (i.e. summer to winter), (2) the animal's mean weight, and (3)

- 311 whether the animal had been on water regulation the previous week (week *i*-1). As
- 312 before, data for summer and winter were modelled separately.
- 313 When modelling weight changes in the winter the intercept was significant (beta = 1.38.) 314 table 2), suggesting that typically animals gained 1.38% of their body weight weekly in 315 winter, and the week was also a significant predictor (beta = -0.065) indicating that 316 animals initially gained weight more rapidly. In summer the intercept was again significant 317 (beta = -0.73) and the only other significant predictor was whether the animal had been 318 on regulation the previous week (beta = -0.82, indicating that animals who had access to 319 free water in the previous week lost more weight than those who had not had access to 320 free water). In summary therefore, it is possible to estimate typical patterns of weight loss 321 / gain that should occur over the course of a week but data such as that shown in Figure 322 6C,D demonstrate that each animal must really be assessed individually (ideally in 323 comparison to its own historic data) in the context of other factors.

Winter			
	Beta	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	1.3778	6.3158	6.63E-10
Free water previous			
week	-0.065161	-3.3247	9.60E-04
Summer			
	Beta	t-statistic	p-value
Intercept	-0.73	-9.8469	1.65E-21
Free water previous			
week	-0.82439	4.1028	4.56E-05

- 326 Final models for estimating the % change in body weight from week *n* to *n*+1. The number of weeks since
- 327 transition, the animals mean body weight and whether the animal had been water regulated in week *n*-1

³²⁵ Table 2

were considered as factors, with only the number of weeks since transition being predictive for the summer data, and whether the animal had been on water regulation or not the previous week influencing the summer data.

331

In addition to body weight data, we also had the timing of oestrus for each animal (69 measurements, 39 unique animals). Oestrus varied from 2 to 8 weeks after the first change in light cycle length in the spring. Timing did not vary significantly across the three years (Kruskalwallis test, p=0.54) with the average value being 5.7±1.4 weeks after the first light change in the spring (Figure 7). Unfortunately, the number of missing weight values obtained on the week of light change and the week of oestrus precluded meaningful statistical analysis of the relationship between weight change and oestrus.

339

340

341



343 Figure 7

Timing of oestrus relative to daylight change. Dot histogram with each dot representing the timing ofoestrus in a single animal in 2016. 2017 and 2018.

346 Discussion

Here we provide a normative weight dataset for the healthy female ferret and demonstrate that ferrets show predictable and stereotypical seasonal fluctuations in weight, with most animals gaining around 0.89% of their average body weight per week in winter and losing around 0.65% of their weight per week in summer. Superimposed upon these seasonal fluctuations, water regulation also causes highly stereotyped changes in body weight.

352 The observed seasonal changes in weight imposed large fluctuations in body 353 weight with animals typically being roughly 15% heavier in winter, and 15% lighter in 354 summer (i.e. a variation of as much as 30% of their mean weight). This pattern of seasonal 355 weight change demonstrated by our ferrets follows previously observed changes [22]. 356 The range of mean weights that we observed across our population were in keeping with 357 previously reported data [20,29,33]. There are many possible physiological contributing 358 factors to this seasonal weight loss including coat changes [22,26], fat storage, hormone 359 levels such as melatonin [26] and activity levels which in wild animals are critical for 360 survival [34].

Water regulation imposed an additional pattern of weight changes on animals; weight was lost over the week in both summer and winter. On average, between Monday morning (when water bottles had been removed the previous evening) and Friday morning animals lost around 3% of their body weight in winter, and 4% in summer.

365 Depending on diet, water consumption for a ferret can be up to 100ml/day [29] and we ensured ferrets received 60ml/kg of water each day of water restriction (which is the 366 367 amount that animals maintained on laboratory ferret diet, with free access to water, 368 typically consume in a 24 hour period). Since the key contributor to weight loss in water 369 regulated animals is thought to be reluctance to eat dry food (rather than dehydration per 370 se) providing animals with water combined with ground pellet diet to form a mash [8] 371 appears to be successful at ensuring weight loss does not exceed more than a few 372 percent. Food and water restriction are common methods used as motivation to train 373 many laboratory animals including ferrets, rats and mice in tasks for research [35] and 374 weight loss is a key marker of health status. Understanding how seasonal fluctuations 375 interact with these effects is therefore important to refine health assessment and ensure 376 the highest standards of animal welfare.

377 In addition to body weight data, we also had the timing of oestrus for each animal (69 measurements, 39 unique animals). Oestrus varied from 2 to 8 weeks after the first 378 379 change in light cycle length in the spring with an average value of 5.7±1.4 weeks. Previous 380 research has observed that the cycle of changes in light duration were responsible for 381 initiating oestrus and weight changes but whether there is a causal relationship between 382 the two remains unknown [20]. Further research is required to directly assess whether it 383 is the day light change itself, weight changes induced by day light change, or an 384 interaction between the two factors that induce oestrus.

The animals used in this study were all classed as young to middle aged [29] with the oldest animal being 4 years old. Ferret weights also vary across the lifespan, which is on average between 6-8 years [29]. At birth, female ferrets weigh 6-12g and grow

rapidly to 550-700g at 10-12 weeks and 600-950g at approximately 16 weeks (i.e.
adulthood) [8,20,23,29]. Ferrets are defined as old after the age of 3-4 years and are at
greater risk of geriatric diseases but also natural weight loss [29,36].

We do not have sufficient repeated data from older animals to determine how ageing interacts with seasonal weight changes. Greater weight loss is observed in aged ferrets (>4 years old) during, and in recovery from, illness [37]. With weight loss an indicator of possible disease, accounting for the age of the animal is important for contextual assessment of health status, and so further research is required to quantify weight changes during ageing and precautionary close observation of weight loss in older animals would be justified.

398 While the seasonal and water-regulation induced changes in weight were highly 399 stereotyped within an animal, and had common features across animals, we were unable 400 to generate a simple statistical model that could accurately predict the expected weight 401 changes. Pulling together our findings we would expect that animals should gain weight 402 in winter, with an initial increase of around roughly 1.4%/week, declining to 0.7%/week 403 after 10 weeks and 0.1%/week after 20 weeks. In contrast, expected weight loss in the 404 summer was roughly linear, with animals losing roughly 0.7%/week, except in weeks 405 which were preceded by access to free water in which weight loss was around 1.5%. 406 Superimposed upon these weekly changes are daily fluctuations in body weight that result 407 from fluid regulation which are typically of the order of 3-4% from a Monday morning to 408 Friday morming. These data therefore establish some normative benchmarks for 409 seasonal weight variation in female ferrets that can be incorporated along with other 410 indicators of well-being into the assessment of an animal's overall condition.

411

412 Acknowledgements

This research was funded by a Royal Society & Wellcome Trust fellowship to JKB (098418/Z/12/Z). We are grateful for the technical assistance of the Royal Veterinary College in maintaining our ferret colony.

416

417 <u>References</u>

- 418 1. Sellers KK, Yu C, Zhou ZC, Stitt I, Li Y, Radtke-Schuller S, et al. Oscillatory
- 419 Dynamics in the Frontoparietal Attention Network during Sustained Attention in

420 the Ferret. Cell Rep. 2016;16: 2864–2874. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.055

421 2. Duque A, Mccormick DA. Circuit-based Localization of Ferret Prefrontal Cortex.

422 Cereb Cortex. 2010;20: 1020–1036. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhp164

- 423 3. Kavanagh GL, Kelly JB. Hearing in the ferret (Mustela putorius): Effects of primary
- 424 auditory cortical lesions on thresholds for pure tone detection. J Neurophysiol.
- 425 1988;60: 879–888. doi:10.1152/jn.1988.60.3.879
- 426 4. Bizley JK, Nodal FR, Bajo VM, Nelken I, King AJ. Physiological and Anatomical
- 427 Evidence for Multisensory Interactions in Auditory Cortex. Cereb Cortex. 2007;17:
- 428 2172–2189. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhl128
- 429 5. Fritz J, Shamma S, Elhilali M, Klein D. Rapid task-related plasticity of
- 430 spectrotemporal receptive fields in primary auditory cortex. Nat Neurosci. 2003;6:
- 431 1216–1223. doi:10.1038/nn1141

432	6.	Law MI, Zahs KR, Stryker MP. Organization of primary visual cortex (area 17) in
433		the ferret. J Comp Neurol. 1988;278: 157–180. doi:10.1002/cne.902780202
434	7.	Gad SC. Pigs and Ferrets as Models in Toxicology and Biological Safety
435		Assessment. Int J Toxicol. 2000;19: 149–168. doi:10.1080/10915810050074928
436	8.	Ball RS. Issues to Consider for Preparing Ferrets as Research Subjects in the
437		Laboratory. ILAR J. 2006;47: 348–357. doi:10.1093/ilar.47.4.348
438	9.	Maher JA, DeStefano J. The ferret: An animal model to study influenza virus. Lab
439		Anim (NY). 2004;33: 50–53. doi:10.1038/laban1004-50
440	10.	Blanco-Melo D, Nilsson-Payant B, Liu W-C, Moeller R, Panis M, Sachs D, et al.
441		SARS-CoV-2 launches a unique transcriptional signature from in vitro, ex vivo,
442		and in vivo systems. bioRxiv. 2020; 2020.03.24.004655.
443		doi:10.1101/2020.03.24.004655
444	Shi J	, Wen Z, Zhong G, Yang H, Wang C, et al., Susceptability of ferrets, cats, dogs and
445		other domesticated animals to SARS-CoV-2. Science in press. doi:
446		10.1126/science.abb7015
447	11.	Bernstein IS, Weed JL, Judge PG, Ruehlmann TE. Seasonal weight changes in
448		male rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta). Am J Primatol. 1989;18: 251–257.
449		doi:10.1002/ajp.1350180309
450	12.	Mendoza SP, Lowe EL, Davidson JM, Levine S. Annual cyclicity in the squirrel
451		monkey (Saimiri sciureus): The relationship between testosterone, fatting, and
452		sexual behavior. Horm Behav. 1978;11: 295–303. doi:10.1016/0018-
453		506X(78)90033-8

- 454 13. Gehrt SD, Fritzell EK. Growth Rates and Intraspecific Variation in Body Weights
- 455 of Raccoons (Procyon lotor) in Southern Texas. Am Midl Nat. 1999;141: 19–27.
- 456 doi:10.1674/0003-0031(1999)141[0019:graivi]2.0.co;2
- 457 14. Bartness TJ, Wade GN. Photoperiodic control of seasonal body weight cycles in
- 458 hamsters. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 1985;9: 599–612. doi:10.1016/0149-
- 459 7634(85)90006-5
- 460 15. Lynch GR, Vogt FD, Smith HR. Seasonal Study of Spontaneous Daily Torpor in
- the White-Footed Mouse, Peromyscus leucopus. Physiol Zool. 1978;51: 289–299.
- 462 doi:10.1086/physzool.51.3.30155746
- 463 16. Korhonen H, Harri M. Seasonal changes in energy economy of farmed polecat as
- 464 evaluated by body weight, food intake and behavioural strategy. Physiol Behav.

465 1986;37: 777–783. doi:10.1016/0031-9384(86)90184-8

- 466 17. Korhonen H. Seasonal regulation of weight loss in female farm mink. J Anim
- 467 Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl). 1990;63: 109–113. doi:10.1111/j.1439-
- 468 0396.1990.tb00123.x
- 18. Donovan BT. Light and the control of the oestrous cycle in the ferret. J Endocrinol.

470 1967;39: 105–113. doi:10.1677/joe.0.0390105

- 471 19. Jha SK, Coleman T, Frank MG. Sleep and sleep regulation in the ferret (Mustela
- 472 putorius furo). Behav Brain Res. 2006;172: 106–113.
- 473 doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2006.05.001
- 474 20. Thornton PC, Wright PA, Sacra PJ, Goodier TE. The ferret, Mustela putorius furo,
- 475 as a new species in toxicology. Lab Anim. 1979;13: 119–24.

476 doi:10.1258/002367779780943422

- 477 21. Thorpe PA, Herbert J. Studies on the duration of the breeding season and
- 478 photorefractoriness in female ferrets pinealectomized or treated with melatonin. J
- 479 Endocrinol. 1976;70: 255–262. doi:10.1677/joe.0.0700255
- 480 22. Harvey N, Macfarlane W. The Effects of Day Length on the Coat-Shedding
- 481 Cycles, Body Weight, and Reproduction of the Ferret. Aust J Biol Sci. 1958;11:
- 482 **187.** doi:10.1071/bi9580187
- 483 23. Shump AU, Shump KA. Growth and development of the European ferret (Mustela
- 484 putorius). Lab Anim Sci. 1978;28: 89–91.
- 485 24. Marks GA, Shaffery JP. A Preliminary Study of Sleep in the Ferret, Mustela
- 486 putorius furo: A Carnivore With an Extremely High Proportion of REM Sleep.

487 Sleep. 1996;19: 83–93. doi:10.1093/sleep/19.2.83

- 488 25. Donovan BT. Is there a critical weight for oestrus in the ferret? Reproduction.
- 489 1986;76: 491–497.
- 490 26. Nixon AJ, Ashby MG, Saywell DP, Pearson AJ. Seasonal fiber growth cycles of
- 491 ferrets (Mustela putorius furo) and long-term effects of melatonin treatment. J Exp

492 Zool. 1995;272: 435–445. doi:10.1002/jez.1402720605

- 493 27. Bissonnette TH. Modification of mammalian sexual cycles: IV. Delay of oestrus
- 494 and induction of anoestrus in female ferrets by reduction of intensity and duration
- 495 of daily light periods in the normal oestrous season. J Exp Biol. 1935;12: 315–

496 **320**.

497 28. Lindeberg H. Reproduction of the Female Ferret (*Mustela putorius furo*). Reprod

498		Domest Anim. 2008;43: 150–156. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0531.2008.01155.x
499	29.	Fox, J.G. and Marini RP. Biology and Diseases of the Ferret. 3rd editio. John
500		Wiley & Sons.; 2014.
501	30.	Parsons CH, Lanyon RG, Schnupp JWH, King AJ. Effects of Altering Spectral
502		Cues in Infancy on Horizontal and Vertical Sound Localization by Adult Ferrets. J
503		Neurophysiol. 1999;82: 2294–2309. doi:10.1152/jn.1999.82.5.2294
504	31.	Bizley JK, Walker KMM, King AJ, Schnupp JWH. Spectral timbre perception in
505		ferrets: Discrimination of artificial vowels under different listening conditions. J
506		Acoust Soc Am. 2013;133: 365–376. doi:10.1121/1.4768798
507	32.	Lempel AA, Nielsen KJ. Ferrets as a Model for Higher-Level Visual Motion
508		Processing. Curr Biol. 2019;29: 179-191.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.017
509	33.	Stepien RL, Benson KG, Forrest LJ. Radiographic measurement of cardiac size in
510		normal ferrets. Vet Radiol Ultrasound. 1999;40: 606–610. doi:10.1111/j.1740-
511		8261.1999.tb00886.x
512	34.	Grassel SM, Rachlow JL, Williams CJ. Reproduction by Black-Tailed Prairie Dogs
513		and Black-Footed Ferrets: Effects of Weather and Food Availability. West North
514		Am Nat. 2016;76: 405–416. doi:10.3398/064.076.0403
515	35.	Toth L and GT. Food and water restriction protocols: physiological and behavioral
516		considerations. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci. 2000;39: 9–17.
517	36.	Bakthavatchalu V, Muthupalani S, Marini RP, Fox JG. Endocrinopathy and Aging
518		in Ferrets. Vet Pathol. 2016;53: 349–65. doi:10.1177/0300985815623621

- 519 37. Paquette SG, Huang SSH, Banner D, Xu L, Lén A, Kelvin AA, et al. Impaired
- 520 heterologous immunity in aged ferrets during sequential influenza A H1N1
- 521 infection. Virology. 2014;464–465: 177–183. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2014.07.013