Post-transcriptional regulation of cellulose synthase genes by small RNAs derived from CESA antisense transcripts

Daniel B. Nething¹, John W. Mishler-Elmore¹, and Michael A. Held^{1*}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Ohio University, Athens OH 45701

*Corresponding author

E-mail: held@ohio.edu (MH)

Abstract

Transcriptional regulatory mechanisms governing plant cell wall biosynthesis are incomplete. Expression programs that activate wall biosynthesis are well understood, but mechanisms that control the attenuation of gene expression networks remain elusive. Previous work has shown that small RNAs (sRNAs) derived from the *HvCESA6* (*Hordeum vulgare*, *Hv*) antisense transcripts are naturally produced and are capable of regulating aspects of wall biosynthesis. Here, we further test the hypothesis that *CESA*-derived sRNAs generated from *CESA* antisense transcripts are involved in the regulation of cellulose and broader cell wall biosynthesis. Antisense transcripts were detected for some, but not all members of the *CESA* gene family in both barley and *Brachypodium distachyon*. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that antisense transcripts are detected for most primary cell wall *CESA* genes, suggesting a possible role in the transition from primary to secondary cell wall biosynthesis. Focusing on one antisense transcript, *HvCESA1* shows dynamic expression throughout development, is correlated with corresponding sRNAs over the same period and is anticorrelated with *HvCESA1* mRNA expression. To assess the broader impacts of *CESA*-derived sRNAs on the regulation of cell wall biosynthesis, transcript profiling was performed on barley tissues overexpressing *CESA*-derived sRNAs. Together the data support the hypothesis that *CESA* antisense transcripts function, through an RNA-induced silencing mechanism, to degrade *cis* transcripts, and may also trigger *trans*-acting silencing on related genes to alter the expression of cell wall gene networks.

Keywords: cellulose, small RNA, antisense transcription

Introduction

As young plant cells grow and divide, they produce thin and elastic primary cell walls (PCWs). When cell growth ceases, certain cell types will undergo cell wall thickening to form rigid secondary cell walls (SCWs). The major polysaccharide for both PCW and especially SCW is cellulose. Cellulose is made by plasma membrane resident glycosyltransferases (GTs) called cellulose synthases (CESAs). CESAs synthesize individual β -(1,4) linked glucan chains, which associate to form larger paracrystalline microfibrils. Individual CESA proteins interact to form large, rosette-shaped cellulose synthase complexes (CSCs) (Brown and Montezinos 1976; Mueller and Brown 1980; Giddings et al. 1980; Herth 1985; Kimura et al. 1999). The exact number of CESA proteins in a given CSCs is unclear, but current models describe it as a hexamer of trimers that utilize at least three unique non-redundant CESA isoforms (Taylor et al. 2000; Taylor et al. 2003; Gonneau et al. 2014; Hill et al. 2014). Additionally, PCW and SCW CSCs use different sets of CESAs. In *Arabidopsis thaliana* for example, *AtCESAs* 1, 3, and 6/2/5 are highly co-expressed and interact to form PCW CSCs (Persson et al. 2007) while *AtCESAs* 4, 7, and 8, are highly co-expressed and form SCW CSCs (Brown et al. 2005; Persson et al. 2005). All plants examined to date have co-expressed orthologs of each of these *Arabidopsis* CESAs indicating conservation across plant lineages (Carroll and Specht 2011). In *Hordeum vulgare* (barley) for example, *HvCESAs* 1, 2, and 6 are co-expressed and comprise CSCs for PCWs, while *HvCESAs* 4, 7, and 8 are for SCW CSCs (Burton et al. 2004).

PCW and SCW formation each require the concerted action of many additional GTs and cell wall modifying enzymes. Hemicellulose and pectin GTs, needed for PCW formation, tend to be co-expressed with PCW CESAs, while GTs and lignin biosynthetic

enzymes tend to be co-expressed with SCW *CESAs* (Persson et al. 2005; Brown et al. 2005; Mutwil et al. 2009). Thus, PCWs and SCWs are each synthesized by the products of specific gene networks. Importantly, as cells begin to cease cell growth, there is a transition from PCW to SCW gene networks. The factors that drive this transition are not fully understood, but are beginning to come to light (Li et al. 2016; Watanabe et al. 2018).

As might be expected, the actions of hormones and transcription factors are major players in regulating cell wall gene networks. Auxin, abscisic acid, brassinosteroids, cytokinins, ethylene, and gibberellic acid have been shown to play various roles in SCW formation (Didi et al. 2014). Transcription factor (TF) networks have been identified as activators of primary (Sakamoto et al. 2018; Saelim et al. 2019) and secondary wall biosynthetic programs both naturally and in response biotic and abiotic stresses (Kubo et al. 2005; Mitsuda et al. 2005, 2007; Zhong et al. 2006; McCarthy et al. 2009; Zhou et al. 2009; Zhong et al. 2010; Yamaguchi and Demura 2010; Wang and Dixon 2012; Ko et al. 2012, 2014; Hussey et al. 2013; Zhong and Ye 2014; Nakano et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2018). While much is known about activation and up-regulation of cell wall synthesizing components, the corresponding mechanisms that selectively down-regulate the same gene networks are still unclear (Wang and Dixon 2012; Li et al. 2016).

Previous work has demonstrated that the transition from PCW to SCW may be regulated in part at the post-transcriptional level by *CESA*-derived small RNAs (sRNAs) (Held et al. 2008). Here, we test the hypothesis that cell wall gene networks can be regulated by antisense RNA-derived sRNAs centered around the expression of *CESA* genes. A survey of barley and *Brachypodium distachyon* *CESA* genes for additional antisense transcripts was performed. Antisense transcripts were detected for some, but

not all *HvCESA* genes, with a concentration on PCW *CESAs*. A developmental time course of one of these antisense transcripts (*HvCESA1*) and its corresponding sRNAs over time also showed a correlated relationship. This analysis was extended to the closely related grass, *Brachypodium* to see if this phenomenon was unique to barley. Antisense RNAs were also detected for some but not all *BdCESAs*, and were generally confined to direct barley orthologs, suggesting evolutionary conservation. Lastly, cell wall gene expression profiling was performed to examine the extent to which *CESA* sRNAs can impact the expression of cell wall gene networks. The data show close and distant targeting of cell wall-related genes moderated by sRNA mechanisms demonstrating the potential for broader cell wall gene network regulation.

Methods

Plant growth and tissue collection

Seeds of *Hordeum vulgare* cv. black hulless were imbibed in aerated water for 24 hours to stimulate germination. Imbibed seeds were transferred to moist vermiculite and placed in the dark at 28°C until hypocotyls emerged, generally 3-5 days. Seedlings were then transferred to autoclaved soil (Promix BX) supplemented with Osmocote (Scotts) 14-14-14 slow release fertilizer (1.8 g/L). Seedlings were grown in a Percival E36HOX growth chamber under high intensity fluorescent lamps (450-700 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$) programmed for a 16-hour photoperiod (25 °C day, 20°C night).

Brachypodium distachyon seeds were imbibed in aerated water for 48 hours to stimulate germination, then transferred to damp vermiculite and incubated at 22°C in the dark for 7 days to stimulate cotyledon growth. On day 9, seedlings were transferred

to autoclaved soil (Promix BX) supplemented with Osmocote (Scotts) 14-14-14 slow release fertilizer (1.8 g/L). Seedlings were grown in a Percival E36HOX growth chamber under high intensity fluorescent lamps (180-200 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$) programmed for a 20-hour photoperiod (22 °C constant). Third-leaf tissue from ≥ 5 plants was excised, measured for length, and pooled in liquid nitrogen at 17, 19, 21, 24, and 27 days after imbibition.

Preparation of Barley and *Brachypodium* RNA

Pooled third-leaf samples for both survey and time course experiments were pulverized using a mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen, and then homogenized under TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen-Thermo/Fisher). Aliquots of each RNA sample were treated for DNA contamination using the TURBO DNA-free kit (Invitrogen-Thermo/Fisher) per the manufacturer's instructions for rigorous treatment. Each RNA sample (0.5 μg) was separated on a 0.7-1% agarose gel and visualized with ethidium bromide dye to check for RNA degradation. Gels were imaged by a Chemidoc EQ camera (BioRad) using Quantity One software (Version 4.5.2 Build 070) to verify uniform RNA loadings. Gel images were analyzed using ImageJ (Version 1.49E). All time course measurements were normalized to the RNA loading.

Detect of antisense RNA transcripts

Gene-specific primer design for tagged SS-RT-PCR

Gene-specific primers (GSPs) for antisense transcript detection for *HvCESA* and *BdCESA* gene families were designed using the OligoAnalyzer 3.1 software, as described previously (Held et al. 2008). Primers were verified for specificity by BLAST

analysis against either the NCBI barley or *Brachypodium* transcript library. Each primer was pairwise aligned against every member of the corresponding *CESA* gene family to ensure specificity. To improve PCR specificity and eliminate the potential for artefacts and off-target, sense-derived transcripts, the *tag1* sequence was added to the 5' end of each barley sense GSP for cDNA synthesis (Craggs et al. 2001), while the *tag2* sequence was added to the 5' end of each *Brachypodium* sense-GSP for cDNA synthesis.

Preparation of *CESA* Antisense cDNA for family surveys

First strand cDNAs for antisense transcripts of *Hv* and *Bd* *CESAs* were synthesized from 1.7 µg of DNase-treated total RNA extracted from barley (13 days post imbibition, dpi) and *Brachypodium* third leaves (17 dpi) using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen 18080-051), using tagged sense-GSPs (**Table S1**). Control cDNAs were prepared as follows; Oligo-dT-primed (OdT) cDNA; No primer control (NPC) cDNA with the primer replaced with nuclease free water; No reverse transcriptase control (NRT) cDNA with the RT enzyme replaced with nuclease free water. cDNA reactions were then treated with RNase H to remove residual complementary RNA per the manufacturer's protocol, and then diluted in a 1:9 ratio of cDNA with nuclease free water.

Amplification of antisense transcripts

For *HvCESA* antisense transcripts, first-strand cDNAs synthesized for each were amplified by PCR using the corresponding antisense GSP and the *tag1* primer. For *BdCESA* antisense transcripts, first-strand cDNAs synthesized for each were amplified by PCR using the corresponding antisense GSP and the *tag2* primer (**Table S1**). Oligo dT primed cDNA was also amplified individually with each pair of *HvCESA* sense and antisense GSPs, as controls for amplicon size and sense mRNA presence. To rule out

non-specific amplification by the tag primers (Tag controls), oligo dT primed cDNAs were amplified with antisense GSPs and the *tag1* primer (for barley samples) or *tag2* primer (for *Brachypodium* samples). All PCR amplifications were assembled on ice in 25 µl reactions using 5 µl of 5X Green GoTaq buffer (Promega M3001), 0.5-1 µl of each primer (10 µM), 0.5 µl of dNTPs (10 µM each), 4 µl of diluted cDNA template, and 1.25 units of GoTaq polymerase. Cycling conditions for all reactions were optimized for melting temperature and extension time (**Table S1**). Barley PCR reactions were cycled with 2 minutes of activation at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, optimized annealing temperature for 1 min, and 72°C for the optimized extension time. Final elongation was 72°C for 5 minutes. *Brachypodium* PCR reactions were cycled with 2 minutes of activation at 95°C, followed by 37 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, optimized annealing temperature for 30 s, and 72°C for the optimized extension time. Final elongation was 72°C for 5 minutes. At least 3 technical replicates were performed for each antisense cDNA sample. Experiments were performed with at least three biological replications.

HvCESA1 antisense time course analysis

First-strand cDNAs synthesized using the *HvA1-sense-tag1* GSP, were used as templates for PCR following the same assembly as the initial detection survey. Cycling conditions for reactions using *HvA1-sense* GSP primer and *tag1* primer included 2 min of activation at 95°C, followed by 34 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 45 sec. Final elongation was 72°C for 5 minutes. Antisense transcript cycling conditions were optimized to terminate amplifications during the mid/late-log phase so that semi-quantitative densitometry could be performed. Three replicates of equal volumes of antisense PCR products for each time point were separated by agarose gel

electrophoresis. Gels were imaged by a Chemidoc EQ camera using Quantity One software (Version 4.5.2 Build 070). Gel images were analyzed using ImageJ (Version 1.49E). Background subtraction was performed with a rolling ball radius of 50.0 pixels. Densitometry was performed, and then normalized to the densitometry results from the RNA loading gel.

Characterization of Amplicons

Equal volumes of each PCR product for each sample and control reaction were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide staining. Antisense amplification products were excised and purified with the Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery Kit (Zymo) and cloned into the *pGEM T-Easy* vector kit (Promega). Clones were fully sequenced and confirmed as the targeted sequence. Inclusion of *tag* sequences confirmed that cDNA samples were primed by *sense-tag1* (barley samples) or *sense-tag2* (Brachypodium samples) GSP primers and thus could only be derived from endogenous antisense transcript templates.

Ribonuclease protection assays

Design of HvCESA1 RPA Probes

A 400-base pair region inside the sequence of the *HvCESA1* antisense was amplified by RT-PCR from an oligo dT primed cDNA using 5'TAAGCGCCCAGCTTTCAA and 5' GATACCTCCAATGACCCAGAAC oligonucleotide primers and GoTaq Green polymerase (Promega). The PCR product was cloned into the *pGEM T-Easy* vector (Promega). α -³²P-UTP (Perkin Elmer Health Sciences) radiolabeled probes were prepared from linearized plasmid templates (*SpeI* or *NcoI*) having 5' overhangs from either T7 or SP6 RNA polymerase using the MAXIscript

Kit (Ambion) to produce the *HvCESA1* antisense-targeting (466nt) and *HvCESA1* sense-targeting (506nt) riboprobes respectively. A 61-nt portion of the *HvCESA1* sense-targeting riboprobe and an 82-nt portion of the *HvCESA1* antisense-targeting riboprobe were derived from the *pGEM T-Easy* vector, so empty vector probes were similarly prepared for both as negative controls.

HvCESA1 Time Course RPA Assay

Ribonuclease protection assays were performed by using the Ribonuclease Protection Assay (RPA) III kit (Ambion). Labeled riboprobes were gel-purified by 5% PAGE containing 8 M urea in 1XTBE buffer per kit instructions, and hybridized with 10–20 µg total RNA from either barley, yeast, or mouse for 16–18 h at 42 °C. Reaction mixtures were digested with RNase A/T1 (1:100) for 30 min at 37 °C, then stopped with inactivation buffer (Ambion) and protected fragments were precipitated by using 10 µg yeast RNA as a carrier. The protected fragments were separated by 12.5% PAGE containing 8 M urea in 1X TBE buffer. γ -³²ATP (Perkin Elmer Health Sciences) end-labeled Decade Marker (Ambion), prepared per manufacturer's protocol, served as the size standard. Autoradiograms of RPA gels were uniformly scanned at 600 dpi grayscale in a lossless format. The intensity of bands in the 21-24nt range were analyzed using ImageJ (Version 1.49E).

Custom cell wall microarray analysis

Viral Inoculation of Barley Plants

Plant inoculations were carried out as described previously (Holzberg et al. 2002; Held et al. 2008). Third-leaf tissues from plants visibly demonstrating photobleaching were harvested 7 to 13 days after inoculation, with maximal photobleaching at about 8

days after inoculation. Senescent tissue was trimmed from the leaf tip if present, followed by snap-freezing in liquid nitrogen. Frozen VIGS-infected tissues were pulverized using a mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen, and then combined with TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA). RNA was then prepared per the TRIzol® protocol.

Construction of Custom Microarray

A custom, single-channel, Agilent (Santa Clara, CA) microarray based on the 8x16K architecture was designed to identify genes regulated in response to cellulose synthase silencing enriched in sequences involved in cell wall biosynthesis, stress response, and RNA regulation. Each slide contained 8 arrays, with approximately 16K probes per array (Wolber et al. 2006). A total of 3778 60-mer probes were selected from a list of candidate genes by the Agilent eArray service, with four technical replications of each probe per array. Empty vector (EV) treated samples and *HvCESA*-silencing (*HvCESA*-CR2) treated samples were prepared and pre-screened for silencing of *HvCESA6* transcript levels via qPCR prior to microarray analysis to confirm a *HvCESA* family silenced state as described earlier (Held et al. 2008).

Microarray Hybridization and Data Extraction

VIGS-treated barley RNA samples were verified for quality by a Bioanalyzer 2100 instrument and hybridized to the custom 8X16K microarray per the manufacturer's protocol (Agilent). Sixteen total samples were hybridized, one per array, with 6 BSMV-EV treated samples (negative control) and 10 BSMV-*HvCESA*-CR2 treated samples. Hybridized arrays were imaged with an Agilent Technologies Scanner G2505B, and signals were extracted using the Agilent Feature Extraction Tool (Version 10.7.3.1 using protocol GE1_107_Sep09).

Processing of Microarray Data

Extracted microarray data was processed using the limma package from Bioconductor. Backgrounds were corrected using the normexp method with a +50 offset (Ritchie et al. 2007). Arrays were normalized between each other using the quantile method. All signals within 110% of the 95th percentile of the negative controls for 6 or more arrays were ignored. Signals from replicate probes for each array were then averaged and used to identify differentially expressed genes (adjusted $p < 0.05$).

Collection of *BdCESA* sRNA sequences

Brachypodium sRNASeq dataset OBD02 (GSM1266844) (Jeong et al. 2013) hosted at mpss.danforthcenter.org was queried (Nakano et al. 2006) using selected *BdCESA* nucleotide sequences. All sRNAs matching *BdCESAs* were BLASTed against the *Brachypodium* genome to ensure specificity to only *BdCESA* genes (E-value cutoff of 1E-10), and any sequences with alternate targets were omitted.

Results

Antisense transcripts detected for multiple barley *CESAs*

Tagged, strand-specific RT-PCR (SS-RT-PCR) (Craggs et al. 2001; Li et al. 2013) was used to survey the barley *CESA* gene family for antisense transcripts in barley third-leaves (Burton et al. 2004; Held et al. 2008). The presence of antisense RNAs were tested for *HvCESA1* (MLOC_55153.1), *HvCESA2* (MLOC_62778; AK366571), *HvCESA4* (MLOC_66568.3), *HvCESA5/7* (MLOC_43749; AK365079), *HvCESA6* (MLOC_64555.1), and *HvCESA8* (MLOC_68431.4). *HvCESA3* (MLOC_61930.2) was omitted from this

study because its expression did not cluster with either primary or secondary-wall expression (Burton et al. 2004). To ensure antisense strand specificity, a tag sequence (*tag1*) was added to the 5' end of each barley gene-specific cDNA synthesis primer (Craggs et al. 2001) (**Fig 1A**). Antisense transcripts were detected for *HvCESA1*, *HvCESA4*, and *HvCESA6*, with lengths of 913, 966, and 898 nucleotides respectively (**Fig 1B**). DNA sequencing confirmed that the antisense transcripts were complementary to the corresponding exonic sequence with no introns or indels. Further, all three amplicons included the *tag1* sequence on the correct end of the transcript, confirming that the PCR product was the direct product of an antisense-transcript. Control, sense amplicons of the same sizes (minus the length of the tag) were detected for each *HvCESA*, and showed much brighter bands, despite being cycled under the same conditions, indicating that their relative quantity is very high compared to corresponding antisense transcripts. No antisense transcripts were observed for the remaining *HvCesAs* (**Fig 1B**).

Figure 1. A survey of the *HvCESA* family for antisense transcripts. (A)

Schematic representation of tagged, SS-RT-PCR for antisense transcript detection. First strand cDNA synthesis uses a sense gene specific primer (GSP) that is reverse-complementary only to putative antisense transcripts. To minimize PCR artifacts, a unique tag is added to the 5' end of the sense GSP for first strand cDNA synthesis. Tagged cDNA is amplified with an antisense GSP and the tag primer. Thus, only *bona fide* antisense transcripts will be amplified. (B) Tagged, SS-RT-PCR of barley third leaf RNA for the detection of *HvCESA* antisense transcripts. PCR was performed with

antisense GSPs and tag primer for Antisense, Tag control, no-primer control (NPC), and no RT (NRT) control samples. Sense transcripts were amplified using both antisense and (untagged) sense GSPs from oligo dT primed cDNA. Identity of the tagged, antisense PCR products was confirmed by DNA sequencing. See Table S1 for individual primer sequences.

Expression of *HvCESA1* antisense and sense transcripts anticorrelate during leaf growth

HvCesA1 antisense transcripts were monitored during barley third leaf development as previously described for *HvCESA6* (Held et al. 2008) using the tagged SS-RT-PCR method. Untagged SS-RT-PCR was used to track the *HvCesA1* sense transcript levels. The quantity of *HvCesA1* antisense transcript was lowest on day 10, then increased to a maximum on days 15 and 16 by a factor of ~2.5-4.5 (**Fig 2B** and **Fig S1**). Over the same time period, *HvCesA1* sense signal was highest on days 10 to 13, then fell by approximately half on days 14 to 16 (**Fig 2B**). The accumulation of *HvCESA1* antisense transcripts, coupled with the decrease of *HvCESA1* sense transcripts are similar to those previously observed for *HvCesA6* (Held et al. 2008).

Figure 2. Detection of *HvCesA1* antisense transcripts by SS-RT-PCR. (A)

Tagged SS-RT-PCR was performed to detect *HvCesA1* antisense transcripts over the course of third leaf development (10-16 days post imbibition). First-strand cDNAs were prepared using *HvA1-sense-Tag1* GSP (antisense; NRT control), oligo dT (sense; Tag control), or no primer at all (NPC). The

HvA1-antisense GSP and the *Tag1* primer were used for amplification of the antisense, Tag, NPC, and NRT samples. For sense amplification, *HvA1-sense* and *HvA1-antisense* GSPs were used with *oligo dT* primed cDNAs. PCR products were confirmed by DNA sequencing. (B) Gel densitometry was performed to estimate *HvCESA1* sense and antisense transcript abundances. Data were normalized to RNA loadings and expressed relative to the first day of collection (=1). Values are representative of multiple technical replicates ($n \geq 3$). Overlaid are the average leaf blade lengths (mm) $\pm$ SD ($n \geq 3$).

***HvCESA1* sRNAs also accumulate over development**

Ribonuclease protection assays were performed to examine the presence and abundance of *CESA*-derived sRNAs over the same time period. Antisense *HvCESA1* sRNAs (~21-24-nucleotides) were identified via a ribonuclease protection assay (RPA) using a sense RNA riboprobe (**Fig 3; Fig S2**). The sense probe was designed to be internal to the known antisense region of *HvCESA1* (**Fig S3**), so only antisense sRNAs within the *HvCESA1* antisense transcript would be detected. The signal intensity of the *HvCESA1* sRNAs varied over time, showing an overall increase in intensity from days 11 to 16. The overall dynamic increase of the signal was by a factor of ~2.5 for bands in the 21-24nt sRNA range (**Fig 3**), a trend similar to that of the antisense transcripts and to *HvCESA6* sRNAs previously observed (Held et al. 2008).

Figure 3. Detection of *HvCesA1* sRNAs by Ribonuclease Protection assay.

(A) Ribonuclease protection assays were performed to detect *HvCESA1*

derived sRNAs across barley leaf development (11-16dpi). A sense RNA probe was used to specifically protect *HvCESA1* antisense RNAs. *HvCESA1* sRNAs (~21-24-nts) were detected with size estimation by Decade Ladder (Ambion). (B) Densitometry was performed to evaluate the change in *HvCESA1* derived sRNA abundances. Data were normalized to RNA loadings and are expressed relative to the first day of collection (=1). Values are representative of multiple technical replicates ($n \geq 3$). Overlaid are the average leaf blade lengths (mm) $\pm$ SD ($n \geq 3$).

Antisense transcripts are detected for multiple *Brachypodium* CEsAs

RNA pools from rapidly growing *Brachypodium* third-leaves were assayed using tagged, SS-RT-PCR for *BdCESA1* (Bradi2g34240), *BdCESA2* (Bradi1g04597), *BdCESA4* (Bradi2g49912), *BdCESA5* (Bradi1g02510), *BdCESA6* (Bradi1g53207), *BdCESA7* (Bradi3g28350), *BdCESA8* (Bradi1g54250), and *BdCESA9* (Bradi4g30540) antisense RNA transcripts (see **Table S1** for primers). *BdCESA3* (Bradi1g29060) and *BdCESA11* (Bradi1g36740) were not examined, as they each are missing specific motifs characteristic of cellulose synthases (Handakumbura et al. 2013).

PCR amplification of the antisense cDNAs yielded antisense amplicons for *BdCESA1*, *BdCESA4*, *BdCESA6*, and *BdCESA8*, with lengths of 1059, 1078, 1107, and 1009 base-pairs respectively (**Fig 4**). Multiple sequence alignment of *Brachypodium* CEsAs 1 and 8 with barley CEsAs showed that antisense transcripts were detected for orthologous PCW CEsAs (**Fig S4**). DNA sequencing of each antisense amplicon

confirmed that all transcripts were complementary and exonic (no introns or indels), and that all four amplicons included the *tag2* primer from cDNA synthesis again indicating that SS-RT-PCR products could only have come from endogenous antisense RNA transcripts. Control sense amplicons of the same sizes were detected for each *BdCESA*s and showed much brighter bands despite being cycled under the same conditions (**Fig 4**). Similar to barley, the relative quantity of *BdCESA* antisense transcripts is low compared to the sense mRNAs. No antisense transcripts for the remaining *BdCESA*s were detected despite the presence of the control sense amplicons.

To evaluate the presence of *BdCESA* sRNAs, sRNASeq databases were queried. Third leaf tissue data sets were not available, but similar tissue from 6-week old leaf and stem was considered comparable. sRNASeq data showed sRNA populations that matched each of the *BdCESA*s (**Table S2**). *BdCESA*s 1, 4, and 8, which produce antisense transcripts (**Fig 4**), had elevated sRNA counts compared to the other *BdCESA*s, although *BdCESA6*, which also produced antisense transcripts, had a lower count (**Table S2**). *BdCESA*s not associated with antisense transcripts, generally had lower counts, with the lone exception of *BdCESA5*. The source of *BdCESA5* derived sRNAs is unclear, but they are apparently generated independent of antisense transcripts. In general, *BdCESA*s that expressed antisense transcripts had elevated sRNA counts compared to those where antisense transcripts were not detected.

Figure 4. Detection of *BdCESA* antisense transcripts. Tagged SS-RT-PCR was performed to detect antisense transcripts in *Brachypodium*. First-strand cDNA was prepared using either tagged, sense GSPs for either *BdCESA*s 1, 2, 4-9 (Antisense; NRT), oligo dT primers (Sense; Tag), or no primer at all (NPC). Untagged antisense GSPs and the *tag2* primer were used for

amplification of the Antisense, Tag, NPC, and NRT samples. For the sense amplification, untagged antisense GSPs were used with oligo dT primed cDNAs. PCR products were confirmed by DNA sequencing. See Table S1 for individual primer sequences.

Broad gene expression changes are observed by increasing *CESA* sRNAs

Previous work has shown silencing *HvCESA* genes by VIGS caused significant and direct reductions in *CESA* gene expression, and also caused indirect reductions in other cell wall biosynthetic genes (Held et al. 2008). That's because VIGS of *CESA* genes stimulates the production of naturally abundant *CESA* sRNAs which have the potential to regulate cell wall biosynthesis in *trans*. The original study only examined a small subset of cell wall biosynthesis genes (Held et al. 2008), therefore, to more broadly examine the effects cause by over production of *HvCESA* sRNAs on cell wall gene networks, a microarray study of *CESA* VIGS-treated barley tissues was performed to compare the expression patterns of empty vector (EV) treated samples and *HvCESA*-silenced (*HvCESA*-CR2) samples. The results from the microarray indicate that 91 probes showed significant values (adj. $p \leq 0.05$), with a distribution of annotated functions (**Table 1**). A total of 70 probes showed downregulated expression, while 21 probes showed upregulated expression (**Table S3**). One of the significantly down regulated genes was *HvCESA6*, a major target of the VIGS construct, confirming that silencing had indeed taken place (Held et al. 2008). Approximately 43 of the probes are specific to genes annotated for cell wall modification activity, cell wall structural

proteins, glycosyltransferase activity, and glycosylhydrolase activity, suggesting the potential for broader regulatory control on cell wall gene networks via *trans* acting effects (Vasquez et al. 2004; Allen et al. 2005). If *CESA* derived sRNAs are used to help in the PCW to SCW transition, one might expect a concomitant drop in expression of genes annotated for PCW biosynthesis. While there are outliers on both sides, many down-regulated genes from this list are predicted to function in PCW biosynthesis (especially CW glycoproteins) and numerous up-regulated genes are predicted to function in SCW biosynthesis (particularly lignification) as would be expected (**Table S2**). Altogether, these data support the potential for broader cell wall gene network regulation via *CESA*-derived sRNAs.

Table 1. Distribution of gene annotations affected by virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of CESAs in barley. Protein functional groupings (protein function) are listed for genes significantly up or down regulated by *CESA*-VIGS as determined by microarray analysis. Number corresponds to the number of individual genes affected for each protein function category. A complete list of significantly up and down regulated genes and their functional groups is presented in Table S3.

Protein Function	Number
Cell Wall Modifying Proteins	16
Transcription Factor	16
Cell Wall Structural Proteins	12
Glycosyl Transferase	8
Glycosyl Hydrolases	7
Stress Response	6
Cytoskeleton	4
Lignin Biosynthesis	4
Metabolism	4
Promoter Binding	3
Transport	3
Ribosomal	3
Epigenetic Modulator	2
Photosynthesis	2
Unknown	1

Discussion

Plant cell walls are composed of complex networks of cellulose, various hemicelluloses, pectin, lignin and glycoproteins. The amounts and proportions of these polymers vary greatly among plant cell types and across plant development. The ability of plant cells to generate wall types tailored for specific physiological roles and the ability to change wall polysaccharide biosynthesis upon various external stimuli (e.g. biotic/abiotic stresses) requires complex, multi-level regulatory control. Gene expression networks for polymer biosynthesis are co-regulated to facilitate coordinated polymer deposition, but they also need to allow flexibility to selectively respond various stresses.

Here we provide further evidence that post-transcriptional regulation is employed to selectively attenuate the expression of cellulose synthase genes and that this regulation has the potential to broadly affect the expression of other cell wall biosynthetic genes. We also show that *CESA* antisense transcripts were not restricted to

barley, as they also occur in *Brachypodium*. The detection of *CESA* antisense transcripts in another plant species suggests that they might be common in all higher plants. Further, antisense transcripts were detected for several orthologous PCW *CESAs* (**Fig S4**) and therefore may represent an evolutionary conserved regulatory mechanism for limiting the expression of PCW *CESAs*.

While much is known about activation and repression of SCW gene networks, relatively little is known regarding the repression of PCW networks (Wang and Dixon 2012; Li et al. 2016). Between barley and *Brachypodium*, a total of 7 antisense transcripts were detected. Five of these antisense transcripts are produced from PCW *CESA* genes, with the lone SCW exceptions being *HvCESA4* and *BdCESA4* for barley and *Brachypodium*, respectively (**Fig S4**). While the significance of *HvCESA4* and *BdCESA4* SCW antisense transcripts are not fully understood at present, the data support our previous hypothesis that post-transcriptional sRNA regulation is important for the transition from the PCW to SCW gene network (Held et al. 2008).

Future work directed at detecting antisense transcripts in *Arabidopsis* is in progress. Moving this research into a more tractable genomic model will help shed light on the mechanisms of sRNA biogenesis. Using an inducible SCW system in *Arabidopsis* (Zuo et al. 2000; Pesquet et al. 2010) should help further clarify the roles of *CESA* sRNAs and their putative involvement in mediating the transition from PCW to SCW biogenesis.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Vijayanand Nadella, William H. Broach, Rachel Yoho, and Kaiyu Shen of the Ohio University Genomics Facility for DNA sequencing, RNA bioanalyzer, and custom microarray support. Mr. A. Miner is thanked for his support during manuscript revision. This investigation was conducted in a facility constructed with support from Research Facilities Improvement Program Grant Number C06 RR-014575-01 from the National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health.

References

- Allen E, Xie Z, Gustafson AM, Carrington, JC. microRNA-directed phasing during trans-acting siRNA biogenesis in plants. *Cell*. 2005; 121(2):207-21.
- Burton RA, Shirley NJ, King BJ, Harvey AJ, Fincher GB. The CesA gene family of barley. Quantitative analysis of transcripts reveals two groups of co-expressed genes. *Plant Physiol*. 2004; 134(1):224–36.
- Brown DM, Zeef LA, Ellis J, Goodacre R, Turner SR. Identification of novel genes in Arabidopsis involved in secondary cell wall formation using expression profiling and reverse genetics. *Plant Cell*. 2005; 17(8):2281–95.
- Brown RM Jr, Montezinos D. Cellulose microfibrils: visualization of biosynthetic and orienting complexes in association with the plasma membrane. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1976; 73(1):143-7.
- Carroll A and Specht CD. Understanding plant cellulose synthases through a comprehensive investigation of the cellulose synthase family sequences. *Front Plant Sci*. 2011; 2(5):1-11.
- Craggs JK, Ball JK, Thomson BJ, Irving WL, Grabowska AM. Development of a strand-specific RT-PCR based assay to detect the replicative form of hepatitis C virus RNA. *J Virol Methods*. 2001; 94(1-2):111–20.
- Didi V, Jackson P, Hejátko J. Hormonal regulation of secondary cell wall formation. *J Exp Bot*. 2015; 66(16):5015-27.
- Giddings TH Jr, Brower DL, Staehelin LA. Visualization of particle complexes in the plasma membrane of *Micrasterias denticulata* associated with the formation of cellulose fibrils in primary and secondary cell walls. *J Cell Biol*. 1980; 84(2):327–39.
- Gonneau M, Desprez T, Guillot A, Vernhettes S, Höfte H. Catalytic subunit

501 stoichiometry within the cellulose synthase complex. *Plant physiol.* 2014; 166:1709-
502 12.

503 Handakumbura PP, Matos DA, Osmont KS, Harrington MJ, Heo K, Kafle K, Kim SH,
504 Baskin TI, Hazen SP. Perturbation of *Brachypodium distachyon* cellulose synthase
505 A4 or 7 results in abnormal cell walls. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2013; 13(1):131.

506 Held MA, Penning BW, Brandt AS, Kessans SA, Yong WD, Scofield SR, Carpita NC.
507 Small-interfering RNAs from natural antisense transcripts derived from a cellulose
508 synthase gene modulate cell wall biosynthesis in barley. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.*
509 2008; 105(51):20534–9.

510 Herth W. Plasma-membrane rosettes involved in localized wall thickening during
511 xylem vessel formation of *Lepidium sativum* L. *Planta.* 1985; 164(1):12–21.

512 Hill JL, Hammudi MB, Tien M. (2014) The *Arabidopsis* cellulose synthase complex: a
513 proposed hexamer of CESA trimers in an equimolar stoichiometry. *Plant Cell.* 2014;
514 26:4834–42.

515 Holzberg S, Brosio P, Gross C, Pogue GP. Barley stripe mosaic virus-induced gene
516 silencing in a monocot plant. *Plant J.* 2002; 30(3):315–27.

517 Hussey SG, Mizrahi E, Creux NM, Myburg AA. Navigating the transcriptional
518 roadmap regulating plant secondary cell wall deposition. *Front Plant Sci.* 2013;
519 4:325.

520 Jeong D-H, Schmidt SA, Rymarquis LA, Park S, Ganssmann M, German MA, Accerbi
521 M, Zhai J, Fahlgren N, Fox SE, et al. Parallel analysis of RNA ends enhances global
522 investigation of microRNAs and target RNAs of *Brachypodium distachyon*.
523 *Genome Biol.* 2013; 14(12):R145.

524 Kimura S, Laosinchai W, Itoh T, Cui X, Linder C, Brown R. Immunogold labeling of
525 rosette terminal cellulose-synthesizing complexes in the vascular plant *Vigna*

angularis. *Plant Cell*. 1999; 11(11):2075–86.

Ko JH, Kim WC, Kim JY, Ahn SJ, Han KH. MYB46-mediated transcriptional regulation of secondary wall biosynthesis. *Mol Plant*. 2012; 5(5):961–3.

Ko JH, Jeon HW, Kim WC, Kim JY, Han KH. The MYB46/MYB83-mediated transcriptional regulatory programme is a gatekeeper of secondary wall biosynthesis. *Ann Bot*. 2014; 114(6):1099–1107.

Kubo M, Udagawa M, Nishikubo N, Horiguchi G, Yamaguchi M, Ito J, et al. Transcription switches for protoxylem and metaxylem vessel formation. *Genes Dev*. 2005; 19(16):1855–60.

Li S, Liberman LM, Mukherjee N, Benfey PN, Ohler U. Integrated detection of natural antisense transcripts using strand-specific RNA sequencing data. *Genome Res*. 2013; 23:1730–9.

Li Z, Fernie AR, Persson S. Transition of primary to secondary cell wall synthesis. *Science Bull*. 2016; 61(11):838-46.

Lu S, Li Q, Wei H, Chang MJ, Tunlaya-Anukit S, Kim H, Liu J, Song J, Sun YH, Yuan L and Yeh TF. Ptr-miR397a is a negative regulator of laccase genes affecting lignin content in *Populus trichocarpa*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2013; 110(26):10848-53.

McCarthy RL, Zhong R, Ye ZH. MYB83 is a direct target of SND1 and acts redundantly with MYB46 in the regulation of secondary cell wall biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 2009; 50(11):1950-64.

Mitsuda N, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Ohme-Takagi M. The NAC transcription factors NST1 and NST2 of *Arabidopsis* regulate secondary wall thickenings and are required for anther dehiscence. *Plant Cell*. 2005; 17(11): 2993–3006.

Mitsuda N, Iwase A, Yamamoto H, Yoshida M, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Ohme-Takagi M. NAC transcription factors, NST1 and NST3, are key regulators of the formation of

secondary walls in woody tissues of Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*. 2007; 19(1):270–80.

Mueller SC, Brown RM Jr. Evidence for an intramembrane component associated with a cellulose microfibril synthesizing complex in higher plants. *J Cell Biol*. 1980; 84(2):315–26.

Mutwil M, Ruprecht C, Giorgi FM, Bringmann M, Usadel B, Persson S. Transcriptional wiring of cell wall-related genes in Arabidopsis. *Mol Plant*. 2009; 2(5):1015-24.

Nakano M, Nobuta K, Vemaraju K, Tej SS, Skogen JW, Meyers BC. Plant MPSS databases: signature-based transcriptional resources for analyses of mRNA and small RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2006; 34(suppl_1):D731-5.

Nakano Y, Yamaguchi M, Endo H, Rejab NA, Ohtani M. NAC-MYB-based transcriptional regulation of secondary cell wall biosynthesis in land plants. *Front Plant Sci*. 2015; 6(288):1-18.

Persson S, Paredez A, Carroll A, Palsdottir H, Doblin M, Poindexter P, Khitrov N, Auer M, Somerville CR. Genetic evidence for three unique components in primary cell-wall cellulose synthase complexes in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007; 104(39):15566–71.

Persson S, Wei H, Milne J, Page GP, Somerville CR. Identification of genes required for cellulose synthesis by regression analysis of public microarray data sets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005; 102(24):8633–8.

Pesquet E, Korolev AV, Calder G, Lloyd CW. The microtubule-associated protein AtMAP70-5 regulates secondary wall patterning in Arabidopsis wood cells. *Curr Biol*. 2010; 20(8):744-9.

Saelim L, Akiyoshi N, Tan TT, Ihara A, Yamaguchi M, Hirano K, Matsuoka M, Demura T, Ohtani M. Arabidopsis Group IIIId ERF proteins positively regulate primary cell wall-type CESA genes. *J Plant Res*. 2019; 132(1):117-29.

Sakamoto S, Somssich M, Nakata MT, Unda F, Atsuzawa K, Kaneko Y, Wang T, Bågman A-M, Gaudinier A, Yoshida K, Brady SM, Mansfield SD, Persson S, Mitsuda, N. Complete substitution of a secondary cell wall with a primary cell wall in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature plants*. 2018; 4(10):777-83.

Taylor NG, Laurie S, Turner SR. Multiple cellulose synthase catalytic subunits are required for cellulose synthesis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. 2000; 12(12):2529–40.

Taylor NG, Howells RM, Huttly AK, Vickers K, Turner SR. Interactions among three distinct Cesa proteins essential for cellulose synthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2003; 100(3):1450-5.

Wang H-Z, Dixon RA. On–off switches for secondary cell wall biosynthesis. *Mol Plant*. 2012; 5(2):297–303.

Wolber PK, Collins PJ, Lucas AB, De Witte A, Shannon KW. The Agilent in situ-synthesized microarray platform. *Methods Enzymol*. 2006; 410:28–57.

Yamaguchi M, and Demura T. Transcriptional regulation of secondary wall formation controlled by NAC domain proteins. *Plant Biotechnol*. 2010; 27(3):237–42.

Zhang J, Xie M, Tuskan GA, Muchero W, Chen JG. Recent advances in the transcriptional regulation of secondary cell wall biosynthesis in the woody plants. *Front Plant Sci*. 2018; 9(1535):1-14.

Zhong R, Demura T, Ye ZH. SND1, a NAC domain transcription factor, is a key regulator of secondary wall synthesis in fibers of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. 2006; 18(11):3158–70.

Zhong R, Lee C, Ye ZH. Evolutionary conservation of the transcriptional network regulating secondary cell wall biosynthesis. *Trends Plant Sci*. 2010; 15(11):625–32.

Zhong R, Ye ZH. Secondary cell walls: biosynthesis, patterned deposition and transcriptional regulation. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 2014; 56(2):195-214.

601 Zhou J, Lee C, Zhong R, Ye ZH. MYB58 and MYB63 are transcriptional activators of the
 602 lignin biosynthetic pathway during secondary cell wall formation in Arabidopsis.
 603 Plant Cell. 2009; 21(1):248-66.

604 Zuo J, Niu QW, Chua NH. Technical advance: An estrogen receptor-based
 605 transactivator XVE mediates highly inducible gene expression in transgenic plants.
 606 Plant J. 2000; 24(2):265-73.

607

Figures

Figure 1. A survey of the *HvCESA* family for antisense transcripts. (A) Schematic representation of tagged, SS-RT-PCR for antisense transcript detection. First strand cDNA synthesis uses a sense gene specific primer (GSP) that is reverse-complementary only to putative antisense transcripts. To minimize PCR artifacts, a unique tag is added to the 5' end of the sense GSP for first strand cDNA synthesis. Tagged cDNA is amplified with an antisense GSP and the tag primer. Thus, only *bona fide* antisense transcripts will be amplified. (B) Tagged, SS-RT-PCR of barley third leaf RNA for the detection of *HvCESA* antisense transcripts. PCR was performed with antisense GSPs and tag primer for Antisense, Tag control, no-primer control (NPC), and no RT (NRT) control samples. Sense transcripts were amplified using both antisense and (untagged) sense GSPs from oligo dT primed cDNA. Identity of the tagged, antisense PCR products was confirmed by DNA sequencing. See Table S1 for individual primer sequences.

Figure 2. Detection of *HvCesA1* antisense transcripts by SS-RT-PCR. (A) Tagged SS-RT-PCR was performed to detect *HvCesA1* antisense transcripts over the course of third leaf development (10-16 days post imbibition). First-strand cDNAs were prepared using *HvA1-sense-Tag1* GSP (antisense; NRT control), oligo dT (sense; Tag control), or no primer at all (NPC). The *HvA1-antisense* GSP and the *Tag1* primer were used for amplification of the antisense, Tag, NPC, and NRT samples. For sense amplification, *HvA1-sense* and *HvA1-antisense* GSPs were used with oligo dT primed cDNAs. PCR products were confirmed by DNA sequencing. (B) Gel densitometry was performed to estimate *HvCESA1* sense and antisense transcript abundances. Data were normalized to RNA loadings and expressed relative to the first day of collection (=1). Values are representative of multiple technical replicates ($n \geq 3$). Overlaid are the average leaf blade lengths (mm) $\pm$ SD ($n \geq 3$).

Figure 3. Detection of *HvCesA1* sRNAs by Ribonuclease Protection assay. (A)

Ribonuclease protection assays were performed to detect *HvCesA1*-derived sRNAs across barley leaf development (11-16dpi). A sense RNA probe was used to specifically protect *HvCesA1* antisense RNAs. *HvCesA1* sRNAs (~21-24-nts) were detected with size estimation by Decade Ladder (Ambion). (B) Densitometry was performed to evaluate the change in *HvCesA1* derived sRNA abundances. Data were normalized to RNA loadings and are expressed relative to the first day of collection (=1). Values are representative of multiple technical replicates ($n \geq 3$). Overlaid are the average leaf blade lengths (mm) $\pm$ SD ($n \geq 3$).

Figure 4. Detection of *BdCESA* antisense transcripts. Tagged SS-RT-PCR was performed to detect antisense transcripts in *Brachypodium*. First-strand cDNA was prepared using either tagged, sense GSPs for either *BdCESAs* 1, 2, 4-9 (Antisense; NRT), oligo dT primers (Sense; Tag), or no primer at all (NPC). Untagged antisense GSPs and the *tag2* primer were used for amplification of the Antisense, Tag, NPC, and NRT samples. For the sense amplification, untagged antisense GSPs were used with oligo dT primed cDNAs. PCR products were confirmed by DNA sequencing. See Table S1 for individual primer sequences.

Supplemental Materials

Table S1. PCR primers used in this study.

Organism	CESA	Primer Name (paper)	Primer Sequence	Tm	Extension Time
Hv	1	HvA1-sense-Tag1	CTTATTGCGCCACCATTGACCGGTGTTGAAGGTGCTGGGTTT	60	45 s
Hv	1	HvA1-sense	GTGTTGAAGGTGCTGGGTTT		
Hv	1	HvA1-antisense	CTGTTGATGGCGTAGGAGGT		
Hv	2	HvA2-sense-Tag1	CTTATTGCGCCACCATTGACCGAAGAAGCCACCGTCAAGGAC	55	75 s
Hv	2	HvA2-sense	AAGAAGCCACCGTCAAGGAC		
Hv	2	HvA2-antisense	AACCGCATTCTTGCCTTACA		
Hv	4	HvA4-sense-Tag1	CTTATTGCGCCACCATTGACCGGGGCTCTTGGGTTCTACA	60	45 s
Hv	4	HvA4-sense	GGGCTCCTTGGGTTCTACA		
Hv	4	HvA4-antisense	GATCAGCAGGGTTGTCCACT		
Hv	5/7	HvA5/7-sense-Tag1	CTTATTGCGCCACCATTGACCGACGGGAAATCGACAACCTACG	55	45 s
Hv	5/7	HvA5/7-sense	ACGGGAAATCGACAACCTACG		
Hv	5/7	HvA5/7-antisense	ACCCAGAGGAGGGAGAAGAC		
Hv	6	HvA6-sense-Tag1	CTTATTGCGCCACCATTGACCGAAAACCCGCATGATGAAGAG	48	45 s
Hv	6	HvA6-sense	AAAACCCGCATGATGAAGAG		
Hv	6	HvA6-antisense	GACTGGTCCACTTGAACACG		
Hv	8	HvA8-sense-Tag1	CTTATTGCGCCACCATTGACCGGGAGCAGATGATGTCCCAA	60	45 s
Hv	8	HvA8-sense	GGAGCAGATGATGTCCCAA		
Hv	8	HvA8-antisense	CGGACCAGATGATGACGATG		
-	-	Tag1	CTTATTGCGCCACCATTGACCG		
Bd	1	BdA1-sense-tag2	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAACAAAACCGTATGATGAAGAG	50	60 s
Bd	1	BdA1-sense	AAAAACCGTATGATGAAGAG		
Bd	1	BdA1-antisense	GAGATGGAGGATCACCCAGA		
Bd	2	BdA2-sense-tag2	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAACGTGTTTTGTGGCCTCCACT	55	60 s
Bd	2	BdA2-sense	GTGTTTTGTGGCCTCCACT		
Bd	2	BdA2-antisense	TCTTGTTGGAACGGATCAA		
Bd	4	BdA4-sense-tag2	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAACAGCAGGACAGACCAGAGTAT	55	60 s
Bd	4	BdA4-sense	TCTACGGGAAATTGACAACCTATGA		
Bd	4	BdA4-antisense	AGCAGGACAGACCAGAGTAT		
Bd	5	BdA5-sense-tag2	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAACCTCCGAGTCTCTGCTGACTT	60	60 s
Bd	5	BdA5-sense	TCCGAGTCTCTGCTGACTT		
Bd	5	BdA5-antisense	GCTAAGCTCTGGAGTGATGAA		
Bd	6	BdA6-sense-tag2	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAACACAAAAGCCAAGCCAGAGAA	55	60 s
Bd	6	BdA6-sense	ACAAAAGCCAAGCCAGAGAA		
Bd	6	BdA6-antisense	CCGACCAAACTTTGAGAAA		
Bd	7	BdA7-sense-tag2	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAACAAGAAGGGAGGGTCTACAG	51	60 s
Bd	7	BdA7-sense	AAGAAGGGAGGGTCTACAG		
Bd	7	BdA7-antisense	ATGACCCGTACCCATTGTTG		
Bd	8	BdA8-sense-tag2	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAACCTCAGTCTCAACTCCAGAATC	54	60 s
Bd	8	BdA8-sense	CTCAGTCTCAACTCCAGAATC		
Bd	8	BdA8-antisense	CACTGACACGGGTGGTAAA		
Bd	9	BdA9-sense-tag2	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAACCTGGATCTATGGGTGATCACT	53	60 s
Bd	9	BdA9-sense	TGGATCTATGGGTGATCACT		
Bd	9	BdA9-antisense	CGAAATTGGTCTCCTCCCTATG		
-	-	Tag2	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC		

Table S2. *BdCESA* sRNA counts mined from 6-week old stem and leaf *Brachypodium* data.

<i>CESA</i>	Locus	Total # sRNA Reads	Unique sRNA Reads
<i>BdCESA1</i>	Bradi2g34240	108	50
<i>BdCESA2</i>	Bradi1g04597	10	5
<i>BdCESA4</i>	Bradi2g49912	90	44
<i>BdCESA5</i>	Bradi1g02510	105	51
<i>BdCESA6</i>	Bradi1g53207	41	20
<i>BdCESA7</i>	Bradi3g28350	8	4
<i>BdCESA8</i>	Bradi1g54250	79	38
<i>BdCESA9</i>	Bradi4g30540	38	19

Table S3. Changes in barley cell wall gene expression when the cellulose synthase gene family is specifically targeted by VIGS. Differentially expressed genes are sorted by log₂ fold change.

Probe	Source Accession	log2FC	adj.P.Val	Curated Annotations	Curated Grouping
AK252852		-2.21	0.002	Extensin family protein	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK253095		-2.05	0.005	Extensin family protein	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK367663		-2.04	0.005	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase family protein	Glycosyl Hydrolases
AK360797		-1.81	0.010	Classical AGP 9-like	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK357812		-1.74	0.002	peroxidase superfamily protein	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK363764		-1.73	0.013	FASCICLIN-like arabinogalactan-protein 11	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK362474		-1.72	0.002	LTPLE5 - Protease inhibitor/seed storage/LTP family protein	Transport
AK357303		-1.65	0.002	Polygalacturonase	Glycosyl Hydrolases
Barley1_00444		-1.63	0.013	Extensin family protein	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK374224		-1.63	0.006	FASCICLIN-like arabinogalactan-protein 7	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
Barley1_04319		-1.58	0.002	Leucine-rich repeat (LRR) family protein	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK251106		-1.57	0.003	Expansin	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK251384		-1.49	0.007	Extensin family protein	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK372172		-1.47	0.006	zinc finger C-x8-C-x5-C-x3-H type family protein	Transcription Factor
AK376221		-1.46	0.006	Expansin	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK356748		-1.45	0.038	Glycerophosphoryl diester phosphodiesterase	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
Barley1_34235		-1.42	0.006	Transcription Factor bHLH48-like	Transcription Factor
AK249636		-1.40	0.007	Expansin	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK251033		-1.39	0.002	Beta-tubulin	Cytoskeleton
AK251033		-1.38	0.002	Beta-tubulin (6)	Cytoskeleton
AK357092		-1.37	0.032	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase	Glycosyl Hydrolases
AK373472		-1.36	0.012	Hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein family protein	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK357691		-1.34	0.002	peroxidase superfamily protein	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK374669		-1.32	0.028	Ribosomal Protein S17	Ribosomal
AK370931		-1.29	0.020	Histone deacetylase HD2 isoform 1	Epigenetic Modulator
AK366434		-1.28	0.009	Squamosa promoter binding protein 3	Promoter Binding
AK251810		-1.27	0.033	Alpha-tubulin (4)	Cytoskeleton
AK250129		-1.27	0.003	Alpha-1,4-glucan-protein synthase	Glycosyl Transferase
AK356471		-1.27	0.013	Glucan endo-1,3-beta-glucosidase	Glycosyl Hydrolases
AK365601		-1.26	0.033	Pectinesterase	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK361442		-1.25	0.018	MYB family transcription factor	Transcription Factor
AK368621		-1.25	0.002	ATP binding cassette subfamily B1	Transcription Factor
AK252349		-1.25	0.006	SAM-dependent methyltransferase	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK374737		-1.24	0.030	Beta-galactosidase	Glycosyl Hydrolases
AK355270		-1.23	0.002	Leucine-rich repeat (LRR) family protein	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK355499		-1.23	0.002	peroxidase superfamily protein	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK363620		-1.22	0.037	LIM domain protein	Transcription Factor
AK362138		-1.20	0.002	RNA Binding Protein-Defense Related	Stress Response
AK361417		-1.19	0.003	Arabinogalactanprotein 16	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK375167		-1.15	0.013	Pectate lyase	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
Barley1_11939		-1.14	0.015	Rapid Alkalinization Factor Family Protein 23	Stress Response
AK364850		-1.13	0.020	Glycosyltransferase	Glycosyl Transferase
AK252202		-1.12	0.014	AAA-type ATPase family protein	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK356323		-1.09	0.035	MYB family transcription factor	Transcription Factor
AK248424		-1.07	0.026	Choice-of-anchor C domain protein (potential GPI anchor)	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK361610		-1.05	0.003	Squamosa promoter binding protein	Promoter Binding
AK371287		-1.04	0.009	Growth regulator related protein (kinase?)	Photosynthesis
AK355696		-1.03	0.017	NAC-family transcription factor (103)	Transcription Factor
AK355954		-1.02	0.013	Glucan endo-1,3-beta-glucosidase	Glycosyl Hydrolases
AK251810		-1.00	0.004	Alpha tubulin (3)	Cytoskeleton
AK375789		-0.99	0.022	SAM-dependent methyltransferase	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK353584		-0.99	0.024	E2F Transcription Factor-Like	Transcription Factor
AK361520		-0.95	0.009	Endomembrane protein 70 protein family	Transport
AK371158		-0.94	0.049	Histone deacetylase HD2 isoform 1	Epigenetic Modulator
AK366434		-0.94	0.020	Squamosa promoter binding protein 3	Promoter Binding
AK357056		-0.93	0.010	HvCESA6	Glycosyl Transferase
AK358361		-0.92	0.025	Auxin response factor 8	Transcription Factor
AK358127		-0.92	0.027	HMG CoA Reductase	Lignin Biosynthesis
AK374669		-0.91	0.017	Ribosomal Protein S40	Ribosomal
AK354932		-0.89	0.026	Galacturonosyltransferase	Glycosyl Transferase
AK364583		-0.89	0.043	Zinc finger DNA binding domain containing protein	Transcription Factor
AK374683		-0.89	0.043	Galactosyl transferase GMAT2/MNN10 family protein	Glycosyl Transferase
AK360719		-0.89	0.022	MATE efflux family protein	Stress Response
AK353678		-0.87	0.047	O-methyltransferase	Lignin Biosynthesis
AK357503		-0.86	0.024	S-formylglutathione hydrolase	Metabolism
AK249902		-0.83	0.027	40S ribosomal protein S3A	Ribosomal
AK366245		-0.82	0.028	Homeobox associated leucine zipper	Transcription Factor
AK356786		-0.81	0.037	Calcium ion-binding protein	Cell Wall Structural Proteins
AK361971		-0.78	0.018	Homeobox-leucine zipper protein PROTODERMAL FACTOR 2	Transcription Factor
Barley1_14102		-0.72	0.043	PAM68-like protein	Photosynthesis
AK373066		0.64	0.043	Xylosyltransferase	Glycosyl Transferase
AK369083		0.64	0.040	basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) DNA-binding superfamily protein	Transcription Factor
AK366125		0.64	0.046	O-fucosyltransferase family protein	Glycosyl Transferase
Barley1_15001		0.69	0.032	NAD(P)-binding Rossmann-fold superfamily protein	Metabolism
Barley1_05497		0.77	0.020	Apoptosis-inducing factor 2	Stress Response
AK363783		0.78	0.022	WRKY transcription factor 19	Transcription Factor
AK252924		0.83	0.033	Cycling-DOF factor 2	Transcription Factor
Barley1_26368		0.89	0.028	Glycosyl hydrolase family protein	Glycosyl Hydrolases
AK364649		0.92	0.026	NAC-family transcription factor (6)	Transcription Factor
Barley1_30495		0.95	0.033	No Annotation	?
Barley1_12794		0.96	0.038	Laccase	Lignin Biosynthesis
Barley1_45347		1.10	0.019	Cytochrome C-type biogenesis protein	Metabolism
Barley1_16179		1.11	0.044	Concanavalin A-like lectin protein kinase family protein	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
Barley1_15070		1.15	0.039	SPFH/Band 7/PHB domain-containing membrane-associated protein family	Transport
AK354068		1.19	0.017	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	Metabolism
Barley1_50245		1.26	0.023	cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase 35	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK376662		1.35	0.024	DNA K Family Protein	Stress Response
AK364970		1.50	0.002	Xyloglucan xyloglucosyl transferase	Glycosyl Transferase
Barley1_04056		1.63	0.007	Thaumatococcus	Stress Response
AK359449		1.87	0.002	peroxidase superfamily protein	Cell Wall Modifying Proteins
AK365008		1.93	0.022	O-methyltransferase	Lignin Biosynthesis

Figure S1. Additional time course study for *HvCESA1* antisense. SS-RT-PCR was performed to determine the expression of *HvCESA1* antisense transcripts over the course of barley third leaf development. Band intensities after agarose gel electrophoresis were determined by densitometry and expressed relative to day 10 of the time course. Values are averages of three technical replicates (gel images shown below each time point). Error bars represent SD.

Figure S2. *HvCESA1*-associated sRNAs. Ribonuclease protection assays were performed to detect *HvCESA1*-derived sRNAs across barley leaf development 11-16dpi (Lanes 1-6). A sense RNA probe was used to protect *HvCESA1* antisense RNAs. Band intensities for lanes 1-6 are shown in individual panels to evaluate the resolution and abundance of 21-24nt bands. Smaller bands (<20 nt) are non-specific digest products common to all samples. *HvCESA1* sRNAs sizes (~21-24nt) were estimated using γ - 32 ATP end-labeled Decade Markers (Lane L).

Figure S3. Map to scale of *HvCESA1* RPA probe and *HvCESA1* antisense transcripts.

PCR amplicons and RPA probes were designed internal to the coding region of *HvCESA1*. The untranslated regions (UTR) at the 5' and 3' ends are indicated in green, with the coding sequence (CDS) indicated in tan. The region amplified to detect *HvCESA1* antisense transcript is in purple, and the sequence region used to probe for antisense *HvCESA1* sRNAs is indicated in red.

Figure S4. CESA Phylogenetic Tree. Multiple sequence alignment of Arabidopsis (At), barley (Hv), and *Brachypodium* (Bd) CESA proteins was performed using the Clustal Omega tool with default settings. Alignment file was loaded into Dendroscope 3 to generate an unrooted radial dendrogram. CESAs expressing antisense transcripts are highlighted in red. Protein sequences for AtCESA1 (At4g32410), AtCESA2 (At4g39350), AtCESA3 (At5g05170), AtCESA4 (At5g44030), AtCESA5 (At5g09870), AtCESA6 (At5g64740), AtCESA7 (At5g17420), AtCESA8 (At4g18780), AtCESA9 (At2g21770), and AtCESA10 (At2g25540) were collected from TAIR (<https://www.arabidopsis.org/>). Protein sequences for HvCESA1 (MLOC_55153.1), HvCESA2 (AK366571), HvCESA3 (MLOC_61930.2), HvCESA4 (MLOC_66568.3), HvCESA5/7 (AK365079), HvCESA6 (MLOC_64555.1), HvCESA8 (MLOC_68431.4), BdCESA1 (Bradi2g34240), BdCESA2 (Bradi1g04597), BdCESA4 (Bradi2g49912), BdCESA5 (Bradi1g02510), BdCESA6 (Bradi1g53207), BdCESA7 (Bradi3g28350), BdCESA8 (Bradi1g54250), and BdCESA9 (Bradi4g30540) were collected from PGSB (<http://pgsb.helmholtz-muenchen.de/plant/barley/index.jsp>).