1 TAXONOMIC REASSIGNMENT OF
2 *PSEUDOHAPTOLINA BIRGERI* comb. nov.
3 (HAPTOPHYTA)¹

4 Catherine Gérikas Ribeiro^{1,2*}, Adriana Lopes dos Santos^{3,2}, Ian Probert⁴, Daniel
5 Vaulot^{1,3}, Bente Edvardsen⁵

6 ¹Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR7144, Team ECOMAP, Station Biologique de Roscoff,
7 Roscoff, 29680, France

8 ²GEMA Center for Genomics, Ecology & Environment, Universidad Mayor, Camino La
9 Pirámide, 5750, Huechuraba, Santiago, 8580745, Chile

10 ³Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue,
11 Singapore, 639798, Singapore.

12 ⁴Sorbonne Université, CNRS, FR2424, Roscoff Culture Collection, Station Biologique de
13 Roscoff, Roscoff, 29680, France

14 ⁵University of Oslo, Department of Biosciences, Section for Aquatic Biology and Toxicology,
15 P.O.Box 1066 Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway

16 *catherine.gerikas@gmail.com

17 Submitted to: Journal of Phycology

18 ¹ Date: April 30, 2020

Abstract

The haptophyte genus *Pseudohaptolina* (formerly *Chrysochromulina* clade B1-3) currently harbors two species: *Pseudohaptolina arctica* and *Pseudohaptolina sorokinii*. In addition, *Chrysochromulina birgeri* is expected to belong to this genus due to its morphological similarity to *P. sorokinii*, but has not yet been genetically characterized. A strain belonging to *Pseudohaptolina* was brought into culture from Arctic waters, characterized by 18S and 28S rRNA gene sequencing as well as optical and transmission electron microscopy, and deposited in the Roscoff Culture Collection with the code RCC5270. Molecular and morphological data from RCC5270 were compared with those from previously described *Pseudohaptolina* and *Pseudohaptolina*-like species. Strain RCC5270 showed strong phylogenetic affinity to *P. sorokinii*, but TEM observations showed that RCC5270 possesses three types of organic body scale, rather than two as originally described in *P. sorokinii*. We found that the occurrence of three scale types is likely to have been overlooked in the original descriptions of both *P. sorokinii* and *C. birgeri*. We also found that environmental metabarcodes identical to the sequence of RCC5270 were abundant in the location from which *C. birgeri* was initially described (Gulf of Finland). We conclude that *P. sorokinii* and *C. birgeri* are conspecific and *P. sorokinii* is therefore synonymous with *C. birgeri*. Based on its phylogenetic placement and nomenclatural priority we propose the new combination *Pseudohaptolina birgeri* and emend the description of this species.

40 Introduction

41 Haptophyte identification is based on both molecular phylogeny and comparison
42 of morphological features such as cell shape, length and movement of the hap-
43 tonema, and ornamentation of organic body scales. The genus *Pseudohaptolina*
44 was erected from the former *Chrysochromulina* B1-3 clade (Edvardsen et al.,
45 2011). Like most haptophytes, *Pseudohaptolina* are solitary, flagellated and pho-
46 tosynthetic, with two species currently described: the type species *Pseudohap-*
47 *tolina arctica* Edvardsen & Eikrem (Edvardsen et al., 2011) and *Pseudohaptolina*
48 *sorokinii* Stonik, Efimova & Orlova (Orlova et al., 2016). Both of these *Pseu-*
49 *dohaptolina* species were described from high latitude northern hemisphere ma-
50 rine waters, *P. sorokinii* having been collected during an under-ice algal bloom in
51 Amurskiy Bay in the northwestern Sea of Japan (Orlova et al., 2016). A new rep-
52 resentative strain from the genus *Pseudohaptolina* was brought into culture from
53 Canadian Arctic waters in 2016 (Gérikas Ribeiro et al., 2020) allowing compar-
54 ison to previously described *Pseudohaptolina* species using morphological and
55 genetic features.

56 Material and Methods

57 Strain RCC5270 was isolated into clonal culture from Canadian Arctic waters in
58 2016 (Gérikas Ribeiro et al., 2020), more specifically from Baffin Bay close to the
59 Inuit village of Qikiqtarjuaq, Nunavut on Baffin Island (67°28' N, 63°47' W). The
60 strain was identified by 18S rRNA gene sequencing and optical microscopy and
61 deposited in the Roscoff Culture Collection (<http://roscoff-culture-collection.org>)
62 with the code RCC5270. Strain RCC5268 was recovered from the same sample
63 than RCC5270 and its 18S rRNA sequence (MH764749) shares 100% similarity

64 of with that of RCC5270.

65 The nearly complete 18S rRNA gene was amplified using the
66 primers 63F (5'-ACGCTTGTCTCAAAGATTA-3') and 1818R (5'-
67 ACGGAAACCTTGTTACGA-3') (Lepère et al., 2011) and sequenced using the
68 same primers and the internal primer 528F (5'-CCGCGGTAATTCCAGCTC-
69 3') (Zhu et al., 2005). The 28S rRNA gene was amplified and sequenced
70 using primers D1R (5'-ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCATA-3') and D3Ca (5'-
71 ACGAACGATTTGCACGTCAG-3') (Lenaers et al., 1989). Sequencing was
72 performed at Macrogen Europe (<https://dna.macrogen-europe.com>). Consensus
73 sequences were generated using *de novo* assembly in Geneious® 10 (Kearse
74 et al., 2012). The RCC5270 18S and 28S rRNA gene sequences were deposited
75 in GenBank under accession numbers MT311519 and MT311520, respectively.
76 For phylogenies, sequences from strain RCC5270 were aligned to closely related
77 Haptophyta sequences from Genbank using the Muscle plugin in Geneious® 10
78 (Kearse et al., 2012).

79 Samples for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were prepared as
80 whole mounts fixed with osmium vapor following Eikrem (1996) with slight
81 modifications (cooling of all equipment). Observations were made using a
82 Jeol JEM-2010 FEG at the Imaging Core Facility at the Station Biologique
83 de Roscoff, France. The size of more than 100 scales from RCC5270 and
84 RCC5268 was measured from TEM micrographs using the imaging soft-
85 ware ImageJ (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>). Representative images are available at
86 <http://www.roscoff-culture-collection.org/rcc-strain-details/5270>.

87 In order to determine the oceanic distribution of the species correspond-

ing to RCC5270, we examined a large set of publicly available metabar-
code datasets (Table 1) covering the V4 and V9 region of the 18S rRNA
gene. Twenty-one oceanic 18S rRNA metabarcode datasets were downloaded
and reprocessed with the *dada2* R package (Callahan et al., 2016) following
the standard operating procedure <https://benjjneb.github.io/dada2/tutorial.html>
in order to produce amplicon single variants (ASVs). The taxonomy of
each ASV was assigned using the *dada2* assignTaxonomy function against
version 4.12 of the PR² database (Guillou et al., 2013) available at
<https://github.com/pr2database/pr2database/releases/tag/v4.12.0>. Twenty datasets
corresponded to the V4 of the 18S rRNA gene, and one to the V9 region (Tara
Oceans). ASVs with a 100% match to the sequence of RCC5270 were selected
and the number of reads in each sample determined using the R library dplyr.
Maps and figures were drawn using the R libraries *ggplot2*, *sf* and *cowplot*.

Results and Discussion

The 18S rRNA gene sequence from RCC5270 was compared with similar se-
quences in GenBank including those from previously described *Pseudohaptolina*
species. The best match of the sequence was to the two *P. sorokinii* 18S rRNA
sequences in GenBank (KF684962 and KU589286), both linked to its original
description, although only KF684962 is cited in the text of the original descrip-
tion. The 18S rRNA gene sequence of strain RCC5270 differs from sequence
KF684962 by five base pairs (four substitutions and one deletion) in a 1,655 bp
alignment and by only one base pair deletion when compared to KU589286 (1,213
bp alignment). The divergences from KF684962 seem to originate from sequenc-
ing errors in the *P. sorokinii* description, since they occur in well conserved posi-

tions (Figure 1) and when there is a base variation within these positions in related haptophytes, they do not match with those in the *P. sorokinii* sequence (Figure 1). Furthermore, the two sequences linked to the original description of *P. sorokinii* do not share the same substitutions.

The 28S rRNA gene sequence from RCC5270 has a six base pair difference to the only *P. sorokinii* 28S rRNA sequence available in GenBank (KU589284), which did not originate from the same isolate used for the description of *P. sorokinii*, and is not mentioned in Orlova et al. (2016). Both RCC5270 28S rRNA and KU589284 best hits in GenBank correspond to the environmental clone KU898784 from a sea ice sample in the Barrow Sea (Hassett et al., 2017), with 100% and 98% similarity, respectively.

The shape, size and ornamentation of the organic body scales are taxonomically important characters in Haptophyta, and usually more than one type of body scale occurs per species. *Chrysochromulina birgeri* Hällfors & Niemi (Hällfors and Niemi, 1974) was described before the genus *Pseudohaptolina* was erected, but is expected to be incorporated within *Pseudohaptolina* based on its morphological similarity to members of this genus. The discrimination between *C. birgeri* and other *Pseudohaptolina* species is only possible through morphological examination, since no molecular data or culture strains are available from its first description (Hällfors 1974). *C. birgeri*, *P. arctica* and *P. sorokinii* were all described as possessing two types of body scale (Hällfors and Niemi, 1974; Edvardsen et al., 2011; Orlova et al., 2016), usually referred to as ‘small’ and ‘large’ scales. For the *P. sorokinii* description (Orlova et al., 2016), three morphological features of the organic body scales are indicated as distinctive enough to assign it

136 to a new species: horn morphology, shape of the connecting bridge and density
137 of radial ribs. However, apart from the feature ‘number of radial ribs arranged in
138 quadrants’ present in the so-called small scales, all other measurements overlap
139 to some extent with those recorded for *C. birgeri* (see Table 1 from Orlova et al.,
140 2016, page 511).

141 In general, the scale morphology of RCC5270 corresponds closely to that de-
142 scribed for *C. birgeri* and *P. sorokinii*, including a radial pattern of ribs arranged
143 in quadrants that coincide with the two orthogonal axes of the scale, and two
144 horn-like projections connected by a straight or slightly curved bridge (Figure 2).
145 However, both morphometric data and observations of TEM images of RCC5270
146 indicate that at least three types of organic scales can be differentiated (Table 2,
147 Figure 2) using scale length, width and distance between the horns, and number
148 of radial ribs per quadrant (Figure 4). Small scales of strain RCC5270 have 37-39
149 ribs on each quadrant (Figure 2B), as in the description of *C. birgeri* (Hällfors &
150 Niemi, 1974), whereas the medium scales have 54-56 and large scales have 63-
151 68 radial ribs per quadrant (Table 2). The distinction between small and medium
152 scales is, however, most readily visible when comparing scale length *versus* width
153 (Figure 4A). Medium and large scales have somewhat overlapping sizes, so their
154 separation is better achieved by comparing distance between the horn bases *ver-*
155 *sus* width (Figure 4B), due to a clear distinctive horn bridge structure, with large
156 scales presenting bigger and usually slightly curved bridges (Figure 2).

157 When measurements are conducted on the images displayed in the original
158 descriptions, we found that the three types of scales can be distinguished for *P.*
159 *sorokinii* (Figure 3A, Figure 4) and most likely also for *C. birgeri*, as shown in

Figure 3D. Two *P. sorokinii* organic scales, identified as ‘small scales’ in the original description (Figure 3B and C, see also Orlova et al., 2016, page 510, figures 9 and 11), fall in the same size range as the ‘medium’ scales identified here (Figure 4), which impacts the number of ribs counted. In addition, independent measurements of small scales depicted in figure 8 of the original paper (Figure 3A in the present work), which are true small scales, fall outside the size range of small scales described by Orlova et al. (2016) (Figure 4). Unfortunately, the resolution of available *P. sorokinii* images is not sufficient to perform an independent count of the ribs in the small scales. The size of the connecting bridge was used by Orlova et al. (2016) as a distinctive feature of large scales, so small and medium scales were probably grouped together, which might have led to the discrepancies observed in the number of ribs per quadrant reported in the *P. sorokinii* description. In contrast, in the *C. birgeri* description medium and large scales with evident differences in the connecting bridge structure were grouped together as ‘large’ (Figure 3E and F). It is noteworthy that neither *P. sorokinii* nor RCC5270 scale measurements correspond precisely to the size limits described for *C. birgeri* (Hällfors & Niemi, 1974), particularly for small scales (Figure 4).

Other morphological characteristics used to differentiate *P. sorokinii* from *C. birgeri* by Orlova et al. (2016) are horn length and the shape of the connecting bridge. Orlova et al. (2016) reported long horn projections and curved connecting bridges, in contrast to the description of *C. birgeri*, although long horn-like projections connected by a curved bridge in large scales have already been reported for *C. birgeri* (Takahashi, 1981; Hällfors and Thomsen, 1979). The horn projections of large scales of RCC5270 are in general smaller than observed by Orlova et al.

184 (2016), but are somewhat superimposed within their size range (Table 2). We also
185 observed curved connecting bridges in the large scales (Figure 2A). There is there-
186 fore considerable overlap but some variability in the size and features of scales of
187 RCC5270, *P. sorokinii* and *C. birgeri* which might reflect morphological plasticity
188 within a single species, since heteromorphic life cycles have been observed within
189 the Prymnesiales (Paasche et al., 1990; Edvardsen and Vaulot, 1996).

190 The metabarcode datasets used to determine the oceanic distribution of
191 RCC5270 correspond to more than 2,200 samples included in large scale sur-
192 veys such as Ocean Sampling Day (OSD) and the Tara *Oceans* and Malaspina
193 expeditions that sampled a wide range of coastal and oceanic waters as well as
194 more limited studies from polar waters and the Baltic Sea. We did not retrieve
195 any V9 metabarcodes identical to the RCC5270 sequence. We did, however, re-
196 trieve six V4 metabarcodes (ASVs) that were 100% identical to the RCC5270
197 sequence (Figure S1). In contrast, no exact match was found to either KF684962
198 or KU589286 *P. sorokinii* in any of these datasets, which further corroborates
199 the assumption that the mismatch between 18S rRNA *P. sorokinii* and RCC5270
200 sequences are due to sequencing errors. The RCC5270 metabarcodes were only
201 observed in the Arctic Ocean and in the Baltic Sea from ice and water samples
202 as well from algal aggregates collected from the deep-sea floor (Figure 5A-B).
203 Metabarcodes identical to the sequence of RCC5270 were particularly abundant
204 in three datasets (Table 1) from the Polarstern expedition in the Central Arctic
205 Ocean (Rapp et al., 2018), from the Nares strait, the northernmost outflow gate-
206 way of Baffin Bay (Kalenitchenko et al., 2019) and from the Gulf of Finland
207 (Baltic Sea) (Enberg et al., 2018). At the latter location, which corresponds to the

208 region from which *C. birgeri* was initially described, metabarcodes identical to
 209 the RCC5270 sequence first appeared in February in the ice where they peaked in
 210 early March and then increased massively in the water column one month later,
 211 representing up to 70% of the metabarcodes at the time the ice melted in mid-
 212 April (Figure 5C). These data indicate that RCC5270 is an ice alga that can seed
 213 and proliferate in the water column and even accumulate on the deep-sea floor.

214 **Conclusions**

215 We isolated a culture strain from the Arctic which was genetically affiliated to *P.*
 216 *sorokinii*. Morphological data indicate that a third scale type was overlooked in
 217 the original description of *P. sorokinii* (Orlova et al., 2016), impacting the num-
 218 ber of radiating ribs described for each scale type. We also found that *C. birgeri*
 219 cells have three types of organic body scale, not two as reported in the original de-
 220 scription (Hällfors and Niemi, 1974). Metabarcoding data indicates that sequences
 221 identical to that of RCC5270 were abundant near the type locality of *C. birgerii*.
 222 We conclude that *P. sorokinii* is conspecific with the formerly described *C. birg-*
 223 *eri* and we therefore transfer *C. birgeri* to the genus *Pseudohaptolina* and emend
 224 its description. *P. birgeri* is the valid name for this species due to nomenclatural
 225 priority over *P. sorokinii*.

226 **Taxonomic appendix**

227 *Pseudohaptolina birgeri* (Hällfors & Niemi) Ribeiro and Edvardsen comb. nov.
 228 emend. Ribeiro and Edvardsen

229 **BASIONYM:** *Chrysochromulina birgeri* Hällfors & Niemi in Hällfors &
 230 Niemi (1974). Memoranda Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica 50. Drawing

231 Fig. 4.

232 SYNONYM: *Pseudohaptolina sorokinii* Stonik, Efimova & Orlova.

233 EMENDED DESCRIPTION: Scaly covering composed of three round to oval
234 scale types. Small scales have width x length c. 0.6-1.4 x 1.1-1.7, medium scales
235 c. 1.1-2 x 1.5-2.4 and large scales c. 1.1-2.1 x 1.9-2.8 nm. All scales with radial
236 ribs on both distal and proximal faces. Small scales have 37-39 radial ribs per
237 quadrant, medium scales 54-60 and large scales 63-68. Medium and large scales
238 have two horns on the distal face. The distance and form of the horns are different
239 in medium and large scales.

240 References

- 241 Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, et al. 2016.
242 DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods* **13**(7): 581–583. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3869.
243
244 Edvardsen B, Eikrem W, Throndsen J, Sáez AG, Probert I, et al. 2011. Ribosomal DNA phylogenies and a morphological revision provide the basis for a
245 revised taxonomy of the Prymnesiales (Haptophyta). *European Journal of Phycology* **46**(3): 202–228. doi:10.1080/09670262.2011.594095.
246
247
248 Edvardsen B, Vaultot D. 1996. Ploidy analysis of the two motile forms of
249 *Chrysochromulina polylepis* (Prymnesiophyceae). *Journal of Phycology* **32**:
250 94–102.
251 Eikrem W. 1996. *Chrysochromulina throndsenii* sp. nov. (Prymnesiophyceae).
252 Description of a new haptophyte flagellate from Norwegian waters. *Phycologia* **35**(5): 377–380.
253
254 Enberg S, Majaneva M, Autio R, Blomster J, Rintala JM. 2018. Phases of mi-

- 255 croalgal succession in sea ice and the water column in the Baltic Sea
256 from autumn to spring. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **599**: 19–34. doi:
257 10.3354/meps12645.
- 258 Grikas Ribeiro C, Lopes dos Santos A, Marie D, Le Gall F, Probert I, et al.
259 2020. Culturable diversity of Arctic phytoplankton during pack ice melting.
260 *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene* **8**: 6. doi:10.1101/642264v1.
- 261 Guillou L, Bachar D, Audic S, Bass D, Berney C, et al. 2013. The Protist Ri-
262 bosomal Reference database (PR²): a catalog of unicellular eukaryote Small
263 Sub-Unit rRNA sequences with curated taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Research*
264 **41**(D1): D597–D604. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1160.
- 265 Hllfors G, Niemi A. 1974. *Chrysochromulina* (Haptophyceae) bloom under the
266 ice in the Tvarminne Archipelago, southern coast of Finland. *Memoranda*
267 *Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica* **50**: 89–104.
- 268 Hllfors G, Thomsen HA. 1979. Further observations on *Chrysochromulina birg-*
269 *eri* (Prymnesiophyceae) from the Tvrminne archipelago, SW coast of Fin-
270 land. *Acta Bot Fennica* **110**(July): 41–46.
- 271 Hassett BT, Ducluzeau ALL, Collins RE, Gradinger R. 2017. Spatial distribution
272 of aquatic marine fungi across the western Arctic and sub-Arctic. *Environ-*
273 *mental Microbiology* **19**(2): 475–484. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13371.
- 274 Kalenitchenko D, Joli N, Potvin M, Tremblay J, Lovejoy C. 2019. Biodiver-
275 sity and species change in the Arctic Ocean: A view through the lens of
276 Nares Strait. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **6**(August): 1–17. doi:10.3389/
277 fmars.2019.00479.
- 278 Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, et al. 2012. Geneious

- 279 Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the orga-
280 nization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28**(12): 1647–1649.
281 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199.
- 282 Lenaers G, Maroteaux L, Michot B, Herzog M. 1989. Dinoflagellates in evolution.
283 A molecular phylogenetic analysis of large subunit ribosomal RNA. *Journal*
284 *of Molecular Evolution* **29**(1): 40–51.
- 285 Lepère C, Demura M, Kawachi M, Romac S, Probert I, et al. 2011. Whole-
286 genome amplification (WGA) of marine photosynthetic eukaryote popula-
287 tions. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **76**: 513–523. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.
288 2011.01072.x.
- 289 Orlova TY, Efimova KV, Stonik IV. 2016. Morphology and molecular phylogeny
290 of *Pseudohaptolina sorokinii* sp . nov . (Prymnesiales, Haptophyta) from the
291 Sea of Japan , Russia. *Phycologia* **55**(5): 506–514. doi:10.2216/15-107.1.
- 292 Paasche E, Edvardsen B, Eikrem W. 1990. A possible alternate stage in the life
293 cycle of *Chrysochromulina polylepis* Manton et Parke (Prymnesiophyceae).
294 *Nova Hedwigia Beiheft* **100**(May 2014): 91–99.
- 295 Rapp JZ, Fernández-Méndez M, Bienhold C, Boetius A. 2018. Effects of ice-algal
296 aggregate export on the connectivity of bacterial communities in the central
297 Arctic Ocean. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **9**(May): 1035. doi:10.3389/fmicb.
298 2018.01035.
- 299 Takahashi E. 1981. Floristic study of ice algae in the sea ice of a lagoon, Lake
300 Saroma, Hokkaido, Japan. *Memoirs of the National Institute of Polar Re-*
301 *search* **34**: 49–56.
- 302 Zhu F, Massana R, Not F, Marie D, Vaulot D. 2005. Mapping of picoeucaryotes

303 in marine ecosystems with quantitative PCR of the 18S rRNA gene. *FEMS*
304 *Microbiology Ecology* **52**: 79–92. doi:10.1016/j.femsec.2004.10.006.

305 **Contributions**

306 Contributed to conception and design: CGR, IP, DV, BE

307 Contributed to acquisition of data: CGR, ALS, IP, DV, BE

308 Contributed to analysis and interpretation of data: CGR, ALS, IP, DV, BE

309 Drafted and/or revised the article: CGR, ALS, IP, DV, BE

310 Approved the submitted version for publication: CGR, ALS, IP, DV, BE

311

312 **Acknowledgments**

313 We are grateful to Sophie Le Panse from the Merimage microscopy platform at the
314 Roscoff Marine Station for assistance with the transmission electron micrographs
315 and to the Roscoff Culture Collection for maintenance of the algal strain.

316 **Funding information**

317 Financial support for this work was provided by the Green Edge project (ANR-
318 14-CE01-0017, Fondation Total), the ANR PhytoPol (ANR-15-CE02-0007) and
319 TaxMArc (Research Council of Norway, 268286/E40). ALS was supported
320 by FONDECYT grant PiSCOSouth (N1171802). CGR was supported by the
321 FONDECYT project 3190827.

322 **Competing interests**

323 The authors have no competing interests.

324 **Data accessibility statement**

325 Supporting data have been deposited to GitHub <https://github.com/vaulot/Paper->
326 2020-Ribeiro-Pseudohaptolina.

Table 1. Datasets considered for metabarcode analysis. These 21 datasets correspond to the V4 (20) and V9 (1) regions of the 18S rRNA gene. All datasets have been processed with the dada2 software (Callahan et al., 2016) to extract ASV (amplicon single variants) and assigned using the PR2 database (Guillou et al., 2013).

ID	Gene region	Description	Oceanic region	Bioproject or Repository	DOI paper	Reads	Substrate
5	V4	Arctic Ocean, Beaufort Sea, MALINA cruise - 2009	Arctic Ocean	PRJNA202104	10.1038/nature.2014.197		
6	V4	Central Arctic Ocean - 2012	Arctic Ocean	PRJEB7577	10.1080/09670262.2015.1077395	16	ice
9	V4	Nansen Basin - 2012	Arctic Ocean	PRJEB11449	10.1371/journal.pone.0148512		
37	V4	Baffin Bay - 2013	Arctic Ocean	PRJNA383398	10.1038/41598-018-27705-6		
38	V4	White Sea - 2013-2015	Arctic Ocean	PRJNA368621	10.1007/s00248-017-1076-x	62	ice
39	V4	Arctic Ocean - Polarstern expedition ARK-XXV/II/3 - 2012	Arctic Ocean	PRJEB23005	10.3389/fmicb.2018.01035	14212	algae, ice, water
40	V4	Arctic Ocean Survey - 2005-2011	Arctic Ocean	PRJNA243055	10.1128/AEM.02737-14	17	water
41	V4	Chukchi Sea - ICESCAPE - 2010	Arctic Ocean	PRJNA217438	10.1128/AEM.02737-14		
42	V4	Nares Strait - 2014	Arctic Ocean	PRJEB24314	10.3389/fmars.2019.00479	65898	water
20	V4	Oslo fjord - 2009-2011	Atlantic Ocean	PRJNA497792	10.1111/jeu.12700	127118	first year ice, water
19	V4	Gulf of Finland - 2012-2013	Baltic Sea	PRJEB21047	10.3354/meps12645		
43	V4	Gdansk Gulf - 2012	Baltic Sea	PRJEB23971	10.1002/lno.11177		
36	V4	Blanes Time Series - 2004-2013	Mediterranean Sea	PRJEB23788	10.1111/mec.14929		
49	V4	Bay of Naples - 2011	Mediterranean Sea	PRJEB24595	10.1093/femsec/fiw200		
1	V4	Ocean Sampling Day 2014 V4 LGC	Ocean survey	PRJEB8682	10.1186/s13742-015-0066-5		
2	V4	Ocean Sampling Day 2015 V4	Ocean survey	https://github.com/MicroB3-IS/osd-analysis/wiki/Guide-to-OSD-2015-data	10.1186/s13742-015-0066-5		
3	V4	Ocean Sampling Day 2014 V4 LW	Ocean survey	https://github.com/MicroB3-IS/osd-analysis/wiki/Guide-to-OSD-2014-data	10.1186/s13742-015-0066-5		
34	V4	Malaspina expedition - vertical profiles - 2010-2011	Ocean survey	PRJEB23771	10.1038/41396-019-0506-9		
35	V4	Malaspina expedition - surface - 2010-2011	Ocean survey	PRJEB23913			
11	V4	Fielde Bay, Antarctic - 2013	Southern Ocean	PRJNA254097			
15	V9	Tara Oceans - 2009-2012	Ocean survey	PRJEB6610	10.1007/s00300-015-1815-8		
					10.1126/science.1261605		

Table 2. Comparison of organic scale measurements between RCC5270, *P. sorokinii* original description (Orlova et al., 2016), *P. sorokinii* independent measurements, and *C. birgeri* original description (Hällfors & Niemi, 1974).

Measurements	RCC5270	<i>P. sorokinii</i> description	<i>P. sorokinii</i> images	<i>C. birgeri</i> description
Scale length (μm)				
small	1.1 - 1.4 (1.2 $\pm$ 0.1)	1.6 - 2.0 (1.9 $\pm$ 0.03)	1.67 - 1.73	1.5 - 1.7
medium	1.5 - 2.4 (1.8 $\pm$ 0.2)	NA	1.8 - 2	NA
large	1.9 - 2.5 (2.2 $\pm$ 0.2)	2.1 - 3.2 (2.6 $\pm$ 0.1)	2.7 - 2.8	2.2 - 2.6
Scale width (μm)				
small	0.6 - 1 (0.8 $\pm$ 0.1)	1.2 - 1.9 (1.5 $\pm$ 0.05)	0.90 - 0.95	1.1 - 1.4
medium	1.1 - 1.7 (1.3 $\pm$ 0.2)	NA	1.3 - 2	NA
large	1.1 - 1.8 (1.5 $\pm$ 0.2)	1.6 - 2.3 (1.9 $\pm$ 0.1)	1.5 - 1.61	1.7 - 2.1
Distance between horn bases (μm)				
small	0.2 - 0.4 (0.3 $\pm$ 0.04)	0.3 - 0.4 (0.4 $\pm$ 0.02)	0.34 - 0.37	0.3 - 0.4
medium	0.3 - 0.6 (0.4 $\pm$ 0.1)	NA	0.4 - 0.5	NA
large	0.6 - 1.1 (0.7 $\pm$ 0.1)	0.5 - 0.9 (0.7 $\pm$ 0.04)	1.02 - 1.03	0.4 - 0.8
Horn measurements (μm)				
small	0.1 - 0.2 (0.1 $\pm$ 0.1)	0.2 - 0.4 (0.3 $\pm$ 0.02)	0.26 - 0.3	0.1 - 0.2
medium	0.1 - 0.2 (0.2 $\pm$ 0.03)	NA	0.3 - 0.4	NA
large	0.2 - 0.6 (0.3 $\pm$ 0.1)	0.5 - 0.9 (0.7 $\pm$ 0.03)	0.7 - 0.8	0.2 - 0.6
Number of ribs per quadrant				
small	37 - 39	49 - 57 (52.2 $\pm$ 0.8)	NA	c. 38
medium	54 - 56	NA	54- 60	NA
large	63 - 68	52 - 64 (57.8 $\pm$ 1.5)	NA	55 - 68

	790	800	810	
1. KF684962 - Pseudohaptolina sorokinii	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	T T T T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
2. KU589286 - Pseudohaptolina sorokinii	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
3. MT311519 - Pseudohaptolina sp.	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
4. AF163147 - Phaeocystis cordata	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
5. AF163148 - Phaeocystis jahnii	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
6. AJ246264 - Pleurochrysis elongata	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
7. AJ544120 - Chrysotila carterae	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
8. AJ544121 - Pleurochrysis dentata	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
9. AM491017 - Chrysochromulina leadbeateri	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
10. AF107080 - unidentified prymnesiophyte clone OLI16029	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
11. AM491021 - Chrysochromulina simplex	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
12. AJ246274 - Chrysochromulina scutellum	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
13. AM491018 - Chrysochromulina cymbium	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
14. AJ246273 - Chrysochromulina campanulifera	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
15. FN599060 - Chrysochromulina strobilus	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
16. AM491019 - Chrysochromulina parva	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
17. AJ246277 - Chrysochromulina thronsenii	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
18. FN599059 - Chrysochromulina acantha	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
19. AB199882 - Chrysochromulina sp. MBIC10513	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
20. AM491025 - Chrysochromulina rotalis	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
21. AJ246266 - Isochrysis galbana	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
22. AJ246276 - Geophyrocapsa oceanica	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
23. AJ246262 - Cruciplacolithus neohelis	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
24. AJ544117 - Coccolithus braarudii	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
25. AM491022 - Chrysocampanula spinifera	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
26. AB183265 - Prymnesium neolepis	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
27. AJ246267 - Imantonia rotunda	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
28. AM491015 - cf. Imantonia sp. CCMP1404	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
29. AM491016 - Pseudohaptolina arctica	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
30. AB058358 - Haptolina brevifila	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
31. AM491010 - Prymnesium minus	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
32. AM491011 - Haptolina cf. herdensis	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
33. AJ246272 - Haptolina hirta	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
34. AM491030 - Haptolina ericina	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
35. AM491012 - Haptolina brevifila	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
36. AM491013 - Haptolina fragaria	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
37. AM491003 - Prymnesium pigrum	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
38. AJ246268 - Prymnesium nemamethecum	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
39. AJ246271 - Prymnesium kappa	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
40. AM491029 - Prymnesium chiton	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
41. AJ004866 - Prymnesium polyplepis	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
42. AJ004868 - Prymnesium aff. polyplepis	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
43. AM491000 - Prymnesium sp. ALGO HAP pt	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
44. AM491001 - Prymnesium zebrinum	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
45. AM491027 - Prymnesium pienaarii	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
46. AM491028 - Prymnesium simplex	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
47. AM491008 - Prymnesium calathiferum	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
48. AM491005 - Prymnesium faveolatum	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		
49. AM491007 - Prymnesium annuliferum	A A A T A G G A C T T T G	G T G C T A T T T T G T T G T T T C G A A C		

Figure 1. Partial V4 18S rRNA gene sequence alignment showing RCC5270 and *P. sorokinii* KF684962 sequence in comparison to closely related groups; three substitutions are visible in the latter, but they are not shared by any other sequence, including *P. sorokinii* KU589286.

Figure 2. Transmission electron microscopy images of RCC5270. A) Three types of scales: small in the top, medium (short connecting bridges) in the middle and large scales in the bottom. B) Detail of a small scale with approximately 38 ribs in each quadrant. C) medium ellipsoid scale. D) Detail of the slightly curved bridge from a large scale. Scale bars have 1 μm for A and C and 0.5 μm for B and D.

Figure 3. Transmission electron microscopy images for *P. sorokinii* and *C. birgeri* modified from Orlova et al. (2016) and Hällfors & Thomsen (1979), respectively. A) *P. sorokinii* organic body scales showing three types of scales: small (arrow heads), large (arrows) and medium (white arrow, not mentioned in the description paper). B) *P. sorokinii* scales identified as small by Orlova et al. (2016), although its measurements fall within the medium scales size range, being noticeably bigger than the small scales identified in the previous image. C) *P. sorokinii* scale identified as small in the description paper; its round structure, length and width are similar to medium scales. D) *C. birgeri* image with yellow lines highlighting differences in the connecting bridge between the horn bases, the main feature used to distinguish large from medium scales in the present study. For comparison regarding size, one small scale can be seen in the upper right corner of the image. E) *C. birgeri* identified as large by Hällfors & Thomsen (1979) although its features, including the number of ribs per quadrant (54), would correspond to a medium size scale. F) *C. birgeri* true large scale, with a longer distance between horn bases and a slight curved bridge. Scale bars correspond to 1 μm for *P. sorokinii* and no scale bar is available for *C. birgeri*.

Figure 4. Organic scales measurements from RCC5270 (in grey) and *P. sorokinii* independent measurements from images displayed in Orlova et al. (2016) (in blue). A) Scale length versus width; B) Scale length versus the distance between the horns. Scales visually identified as small scales are represented by squares, medium scales by triangles and large scales by circles. The size limits for *C. birgeri* described in Hällfors & Niemi (1974) and for *P. sorokinii* in Orlova et al. (2016) are displayed as yellow and blue boxes, respectively.

Figure 5. RCC5270 metabarcodes. A) Localisation of stations where 18S rRNA metabarcodes 100% identical to RCC5270 sequence have been detected in public sequence datasets (see Table 1). Color corresponds to substrate. The location of samples where these metabarcodes have not been detected are marked by grey crosses. B) Zoom on the North Pole region. C) Maximum fraction of RCC5270 metabarcodes (excluding Metazoa) as a function of date in the Gulf of Finland (Baltic Sea) in ice and water (Enberg et al., 2018).

327 **Supplementary material**

328 *Supplementary Data*

329 Supplementary data are available on GitHub at [https://github.com/vaulot/Paper-](https://github.com/vaulot/Paper-2020-Ribeiro-Pseudohaptolina)
330 2020-Ribeiro-Pseudohaptolina

- 331 • **Supplementary Data S1:** Alignment of sequences for 18S rRNA gene
332 (fasta file).
- 333 • **Supplementary Data S2:** Alignment of sequences for 28S (fasta file).
- 334 • **Supplementary Data S3:** Scale measurements (xlsx file).
- 335 • **Supplementary Data S4:** Number of *P. sorokinii* reads in each of the
336 metabarcode samples analyzed (xlsx file).
- 337 • **Supplementary Data S5:** Alignment of the V4 region of the 18S rRNA for
338 *Pseudohaptolina* reference sequences and metabarcodes (fasta file).

339 *Supplementary Figures*

Figure S1. Partial 18S rRNA gene sequence alignment showing RCC5270 and *P. sorokinii* sequences with the *P. sorokinii* metabarcodes identified in the public datasets analyzed (Table 1).