Revisiting the gastrin-releasing peptide/bombesin system: A reverse-evolutionary study considering *Xenopus*

Asuka Hirooka^{1¶}, Mayuko Hamada^{1,2¶}, Daiki Fujiyama¹, Keiko Takanami^{1,#a}, Yasuhisa Kobayashi^{1,#b}, Takumi Oti^{1,#c}, Tatsuya Sakamoto,¹ and Hirotaka Sakamoto^{1,3*}

¹ Ushimado Marine Institute (UMI), Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University, Ushimado, Setouchi, Okayama, Japan

² Zoological Institute, Christian-Albrechts University Kiel, Am Botanischen Garten 1-9, Kiel, Germany

³ Department of Physiology, Anatomy & Genetics, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, United Kingdom

^{#a}Current Address: Mouse Genomics Resources Laboratory, National Institute of Genetics, Yata, Mishima, Shizuoka, Japan

^{#b}Current Address: Laboratory for Aquatic Biology, Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Agriculture, Kindai University, Nakamachi, Nara Japan

^{#c}Current Address: Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Kanagawa University, Hiratsuka, Kanagawa, Japan

Abbreviated title: GRP/bombesin system in *Xenopus* central nervous system (53/70 characters)

*Corresponding author

E-mail: hsakamo@okayama-u.ac.jp (HS)

¶These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP), first isolated from the porcine stomach, is a neuropeptide that modulates the autonomic system in mammals and has previously been considered to be the mammalian equivalent of bombesin, a fourteen amino acid peptide first isolated from the skin of the European fire-bellied toad, *Bombina bombina*. Bombesin-like peptides and the related neuromedin B (NMB) have since been identified in mammals. However, the orthologous relationships among GRP/NMB/bombesin and their receptors in vertebrates are still not well understood. Our studies have focused on the GRP system that is widely conserved among vertebrates. We have used phylogenetic analysis and reverse transcription-PCR, quantitative PCR, immunohistochemistry, and Western blotting experiments to examine the expression of both GRP and its receptor (GRPR) in a clawed frog (*Xenopus tropicalis*) and to understand the derivation of GRP system in the ancestor of mammals. We demonstrate, by phylogenetic and synteny analyses, that GRP is not a mammalian counterpart of bombesin and also that, whereas the GRP system is widely conserved among vertebrates, the NMB/bombesin system has diversified in certain lineages, in particular in frog species. In *Xenopus*, we found the expression of the mRNA for both *GRP* and *GRPR* in the brain and stomach. In addition, our quantitative PCR analysis shows that, in *Xenopus*, the expression of *GRP* mRNA is highest in the brain, whereas expression of *GRPR* mRNA is highest in the spinal cord. Our immunohistochemical analysis shows that GRP-immunoreactive cell bodies and fibers are distributed in several telencephalic, diencephalic, and rhombencephalic regions and spinal cord of *Xenopus*. Our Western blotting analysis also indicates the presence of GRPR protein in the brain and spinal cord of *Xenopus*. We conclude that GRP peptides and their receptors have evolved to play multiple roles in both the gut and brain of amphibians as one of the ‘gut-brain peptide’ systems.

(300/300 words *PLoS Genetics*)

Author Summary

Bombesin is a putative antibacterial peptide isolated from the skin of the frog, *Bombina bombina*. Two related (bombesin-like) peptides, gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) and neuromedin B (NMB) have been found in mammals. The history of GRP/bombesin discovery has caused little attention to be paid to the evolutionary relationship of GRP/bombesin and their receptors in vertebrates. We have classified the peptides and their receptors from the phylogenetic viewpoint using a newly established genetic database and bioinformatics. We demonstrate, by phylogenetic and synteny analyses, that GRP is not a mammalian counterpart of bombesin and also that, whereas the GRP system is widely conserved among vertebrates, the NMB/bombesin system has diversified in certain lineages, in particular in frogs. Gene expression analyses combined with immunohistochemistry and Western blotting experiments indicate that GRP peptides and their receptors have evolved from ancestral (GRP) homologues to play multiple roles in both the gut and the brain as one of the ‘gut-brain peptide’ systems of vertebrates, which is distinct from the frog bombesin lineage.

(165 words)

Introduction

The fourteen-amino acid peptide, bombesin, was initially described as a possible antibacterial peptide isolated from the skin of the European fire-bellied toad, *Bombina bombina*, and was shown to have potent bioactivity in the mammalian nervous system [1, 2]. Subsequently, the mammalian bombesin-like peptides, gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) [2] and neuromedin B (NMB) [3], were isolated. GRP is a 27-amino acid peptide (29-amino acids in rodents) originally isolated from the porcine stomach as the mammalian equivalent of bombesin [2]. Many studies have indicated that GRP is widely expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) in addition to the gastrointestinal tract in mammals [4, 5]. Because GRP could reproduce most of the biological effects of bombesin in many mammals, GRP had long been considered as the mammalian equivalent of amphibian bombesin [5-7]. Bombesin-like peptides appear to function *via* a family of three G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) [8], namely the GRP-preferring receptor (GRPR or BB2 receptor) [9], the NMB-preferring receptor (NMBR or BB1 receptor) [10], and the potential orphan receptor, bombesin receptor subtype-3 (BRS-3 or BB3 receptor) in mammals [11]. To date, in the mammalian CNS, it has been reported that the GRP system might be integral, through GRPR-mediated mechanisms [9], in a variety of autonomic-related functions, including food

intake [12-14], circadian rhythms [15-17], fear memory consolidation [18-20], male reproductive function [21], control of sighing [22], and itch sensation [23, 24].

In birds, the expression of orthologous genes for GRP and GRPR has been reported in the chicken CNS [25, 26]. To our knowledge, the only report of a central role of GRP in avian behavior is decreased feeding after the intracerebroventricular administration of GRP in chickens [27]. In amphibians, however, little information is currently available on the function of the GRP/GRPR system in the CNS, because GRP has long been considered as the mammalian equivalent of bombesin [5-7]. Thus, the central role of GRP in non-mammalian species remains unclear. However, it has been reported that frogs synthesize both GRP and bombesin, which are genetically distinct peptides, suggesting that GRP is not mammalian bombesin. In addition, molecular cloning analyses revealed that 3 classes of receptor subtypes were identified in the frog brain [28]. Based on amino acid sequence, two of the classes were clearly the amphibian orthologous genes of the GRPR and NMBR, but not the BRS-3 [28]. Moreover, a fourth class (BB4) of receptor from *Bombina* was identified in amphibians and, interestingly, this had a higher binding affinity for bombesin than for either GRP or NMB [28].

As described above, understanding of the orthologous relationships among

GRP/NMB/bombesin and their receptors in vertebrates is still in its infancy. In this study, we classified the peptides and their receptors from the viewpoint of phylogeny by using a newly established genetic database and the bioinformatics approach. In particular, we focus on the GRP system that is widely conserved among vertebrates, and examined its expression in a clawed frog (*Xenopus tropicalis*) to understand its derivation in the ancestor of mammals.

Results

Sequence and structure of *GRP* and *GRPR* in *Xenopus*

To verify sequences of *GRP* and *GRPR* in *X. tropicalis*, we cloned cDNA encoding *GRP* and the *GRPR* in *X. tropicalis* and confirmed they are identical to the sequences registered in the GenBank (GRP: XM_018090834.1, GRPR: XP_002938295.1). The deduced amino acid sequence of *Xenopus* prepro-GRP reveals three major components: a signal peptide (31aa in *Xenopus*; 31aa in medaka fish; 27aa in chicks; 23aa in rats; 23aa in humans) (S1 Fig, highlighted in gray); this is followed by the bioactive GRP₁₋₂₉ (mature GRP, GRP₁₋₂₄ in medaka fish; GRP₁₋₂₇ in chicks; GRP₁₋₂₉ in rats; GRP₁₋₂₇ in humans) (S1 Fig, highlighted in pink), including a motif encoding a 10-amino acid-peptide called neuromedin C (NMC or

131 GRP-10; GRP_{15–24} in medaka fish; GRP_{20–29} in chicks; GRP_{20–29} in rats; GRP_{18–27} in humans)
132 at the carboxyl-terminus of mature GRP (S1 Fig, magenta box). The motif is highly conserved
133 in vertebrates; and finally a carboxyl-terminal extension peptide termed pro-GRP_{33–121} in
134 *Xenopus* (pro-GRP_{28–99} in medaka fish; pro-GRP_{31–124} in chicks; pro-GRP_{33–124} in rats;
135 pro-GRP_{31–125} in humans) (S1 Fig, black bar). The mature GRP in *Xenopus* shared high
136 similarity, particularly at the identical [Ser²] form-NMC (GRP-10) region, with that in rodents
137 and birds but not with the [Asn²] form-NMC in humans and fishes (S1 Fig). Peptide sequences
138 of NMB are highly conserved among vertebrates, particularly 11 amino acids in the
139 carboxyl-terminus are identical between human, rat, chick, medaka, and zebrafish (S1 Fig).
140 Bombesin-like peptides have previously been identified in some frogs; *Rana catesbeiana*, *R.*
141 *pipiens* (ranatensin) [29], *Alytes maurus* (alytesin) [30], *Phyllomedusa sauvagii* ([Leu⁸]
142 form-phyllolitorin and [Phe⁸] form-phyllolitorin) [31], *Bombina orientalis* ([Ser³, Arg⁹, Phe¹³]
143 form-bombesin, [Phe¹³] form-bombesin, [Leu¹³] form-bombesin) [32, 33] and *Bombina*
144 *variegata* ([Phe¹³] form-bombesin, [Phe¹³] form-bombesin-like peptide, bombesin, and [His⁶]
145 form-bombesin) [34] (S1 Fig 1, highlighted in blue). Sequences of carboxyl-terminus regions
146 of GPR, NBM and bombesin peptides are quite similar, 4 amino acids (W, A, G, M) are
147 common between these peptides. On the other hands, those of signal peptide region and

carboxyl-terminal extension peptide region were diversified between GRP, NMB, and bombesin, and also between the animals.

In terms of receptors for bombesin-like peptides, hydrophobicity analysis showed that *Xenopus* GRPR, NMBR, and BRS-3 contain seven transmembrane domains (TM1–7) as well as those in other vertebrates (*e.g.* human, rat, chicken, and zebrafish) (S2 Fig). Homology was highest in the hydrophobic domains, and the [Asp⁸⁵] in the second hydrophobic domain that has possibly been linked to ligand binding is well conserved in vertebrates [35] (S2 Fig).

Phylogenetic analysis of GRP/NMB/bombesin and GRPR/NMBR/BRS-3

To clarify the relation among GRP/NMB/bombesin family, phylogenetic analysis of GRP/NMB/bombesin precursors (preprohormone) was performed (Fig 1). Homologous genes for GRP/NMB/bombesin family peptides were found in Gnathostomata (higher animals than Cyclostomata/Agnatha)/(vertebrates except Cyclostomata/Agnatha). For the analysis, we used the deduced amino acid sequence in Mammalia *Homo sapiens* and *Rattus norvegicus*, Aves *Gallus gallus*, Reptilia *Gekko japonicus*, Amphibia *X. laevis*, *X. tropicalis*, *Nanorana parkeri* (frogs), *Rhinatrema bivittatum* and *Microcaecilia unicolor* (Caecilians), teleost fish *Danio rerio* and *Oryzias latipes*, cartilaginous fish *Callorhynchus milii* and *Rhincodon typus*, because

their genomes have been decoded. In addition, the sequences of prepro-bombesin-like peptides, which have been reported for other frog species were also included (Fig 1).

The prepro-GRP/NMB/bombesin sequences were divided into two major clades: GRP and NMB/bombesin clades (Fig 1). A single GRP gene was found in almost all animals examined, although *X. laevis* which is allotetraploid has one gene on each of chromosome L and S (Fig 1, pink box). The NMB/bombesin clade was further divided into the sub-clades: the NMB clade (Fig 1, yellow box) and the bombesin clade (Fig 1, blue box); the bombesin clade and NMB clade were found only in frogs; and all the other animals including caecilians, respectively. *X. tropicalis* and *Nanorana parkeri* have single bombesin gene, and *X. laevis* has the gene on each of chromosome L and S, but these frogs do not possess any genes of the NMB group (Fig 1). In addition, all the precursors of bombesin-like peptides which have previously been identified in other frogs: one in *Rana catesbeiana*, *R. pipiens*, and *Alytes maurus*; two in *Phyllomedusa sauvagii*; three in *Bombina orientalis*; and four in *Bombina variegata* [34] were also included in the bombesin clade (Fig 1).

These results indicate two possibilities for the evolution of NMB/bombesin: one is the specialization of NMB into bombesin in the frog lineage; the other is the divergence into NMB and bombesin clades resulting, respectively, in the undetectability of frog NMB and the

disappearance of bombesin in vertebrates other than frogs. We therefore examined synteny in genes surrounding the amphibian NMB/bombesin locus (Fig 2). Comparison of the genome of *X. tropicalis*, *Nanorana parkeri*, *Microcaecilia unicolor* and *Rhinatrema bivittatum*, indicates that the order of genes around the caecilian NMB genes and the frog bombesin genes were highly conserved, although an inversion of the *ZNF11* - *SEC592A* – *bombesin* - *KTII2* region of *X. tropicalis* genome has occurred. Thus, it can be concluded that bombesin and NMB are respective orthologs and that specialization of the NMB sequence in the frog lineage resulted in bombesin (Fig 2).

We also performed phylogenetic analysis of the receptors for the GRP/NMB/bombesin family; GRPR, NMBR and BRS-3 (Fig 3). The results suggest that Gnathostomata basically have orthologs in each of the three groups with no specialization in the frog lineage as seen in NMB/bombesin. The BB4 receptor in *Bombina* also belongs to the mammalian BRS-3 group. In addition, the conservation of GRPR and NMB, BRS3 was not found in teleosts (*e.g. Danio rerio* and *Oryzias latipes*) and cartilaginous fish (*e.g. Callorhinchus milii* and *Rhincodon typus*), but only in archaic fish such as *Latimeria chalumnae* (coelacanth), *Erpetoichthys calabaricus* (reedfish), *Lepisosteus oculatus* (gar), and *Acipenser ruthenus* (sturgeon) (S1 Table).

Reverse transcription (RT)-PCR of *GRP* and *GRPR* mRNA in *Xenopus*

In contrast to the diversification of NMB/bombesin in the frog lineage and the loss of the BRS-3 gene in some fish lineages, GPR and the GRPR are widely conserved in vertebrates. In this study, we used frogs to investigate the principal (conserved, original) role of these bombesin-family systems. We confirmed the expression of *GRP* and *GRPR* mRNA in a variety of *Xenopus* tissues (brain, spinal cord, heart, lung, and stomach) by RT-PCR. Bands were detected at the expected sizes for *GRP* and *GRPR* genes in the brain (Fig 4). *GRP* mRNA was highly expressed in the brain, spinal cord, stomach, and weakly expressed in the lung (Fig 4; *upper panel*). Although *GRPR* mRNA was detected in all tissues, the expression level was low in the heart (Fig 4; *middle panel*). As the internal control in *Xenopus*, nearly equivalent amounts of *GAPDH* cDNA were amplified from RNA preparations among these tissues, which showed that no significant RNA degradation had occurred and a proper RT was obtained (Fig 4; *bottom panel*).

Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) of *GRP* and *GRPR* mRNA in *Xenopus* CNS

To quantify the *GRP* and *GRPR* expression at the transcription level, we performed

real-time qPCR analyses for four parts of the central nervous system of males and females: (1) the telencephalon; (2) the diencephalon/mesencephalon/pons/cerebellum; (3) the medulla oblongata; and (4) the spinal cord. Although *GRP* and *GRPR* mRNA expression was detectable in these all tissues of both sexes, no sex differences were detected in any of the tissues we examined (blue bars indicate means of males, red bars indicate means of females) (Fig 5). In both sexes, the expression of *GRP* mRNA was higher in the diencephalon/mesencephalon/pons/cerebellum (2) and the medulla oblongata (3), than in the telencephalon (1) and the spinal cord (4) (Fig 5a). In contrast, the expression of *GRPR* mRNA was the highest in the spinal cord (4), and the lowest in the telencephalon (1) (Fig 5b).

Distribution of GRP in *Xenopus* CNS

The expression of GRP was next localized in *Xenopus* CNS. The examination of transverse (from rostral to caudal) brain and spinal cord sections revealed the presence of many cell bodies and fibers of GRP-immunoreactive (+) neurons in *Xenopus* CNS. The overall neuroanatomical distribution of GRP⁺ neuronal cell bodies and their fiber projections is schematically summarized in Fig 6 and Table 1. The specificity of the GRP antiserum reactivity was confirmed by control absorption experiments in which the primary rabbit

233 antiserum against *Xenopus* GRP₂₀₋₂₉ was preabsorbed with an excess of *Xenopus* GRP₂₀₋₂₉
 234 antigen peptide (²[Ser] form-NMC); in these experiments no immunostaining was seen (Fig 7).
 235 In the spinal cord, GRP⁺ fibers and numerous varicosities were found throughout the spinal
 236 grey matter area (Fig 7a, b, d, and e). A cluster of GRP⁺ cell bodies was located mainly in the
 237 dorsal field of spinal grey in the cervical spinal cord (df; Fig 7a, b, and e). In the thoracic and
 238 lumbosacral spinal cord, similar GRP⁺ fibers were frequently observed, but few GRP⁺ cell
 239 bodies could be detected.

240 In the telencephalon, abundant but weakly GRP-immunoreactive cell bodies and
 241 fibers were located throughout the ventral telencephalic area, *e.g.* cell bodies and fibers in the
 242 nucleus accumbens (Acc) and thin fibers in the tractus olfactorius accessorius (ola) (Fig 6a and
 243 Fig 8a and c). Immunoreactive cell bodies were abundant in the amygdala pars medialis
 244 (Apm; Fig 6b and c and Fig 8c and d), and in the amygdala pars lateralis (Apl; Fig 6c and Fig
 245 8e and f). In the diencephalon, a small number of labeled cells were located in the nucleus
 246 ventromedialis thalami (VM; Fig. 6e and f and Fig 8g and h). In the hypothalamus, many
 247 intensely labeled cell bodies and fibers were found in the tuberculum posterius (TP; Fig 6 f
 248 and g and Fig 8i and j). In the brainstem, large but only weakly immunoreactive cell bodies
 249 and fibers were found in the nucleus raphe (Ra; Fig 6i and j and Fig 8k and l), the nucleus

motorius nervi vagi (Xm; Fig 6k and Fig 8m and n), and the nucleus reticularis inferior (Ri; Fig 6k and Fig 8o and p).

GRP⁺ fibers were widely distributed throughout the CNS (Fig 6 and Table 1). A fine network of GRP⁺ fibers was also observed in the Apm, in the Apl, and prominently in the striatum, pars ventralis (Strv; Fig 6a and Fig 9a and b). GRP⁺ fibers were also found in some diencephalic nuclei surrounding the third ventricle; *e.g.* VM (Fig 6e and f and Fig 8g and h), nucleus preopticus anterior (Poa; Fig 6c and d and Fig 9c and d), and nucleus preopticus magnocellularis (Mg; Fig 6d and e and Fig 9e and f). A weak distribution of GRP⁺ fibers was also found in the area near the tractus opticus lateralis (optl), the tractus opticus basalis (optb; Fig 6g and Fig 9g and h), and the nucleus reticularis isthmi (Ris; Fig 6h and Fig 9i and j). In the posterior part of the medulla oblongata, the network of GRP⁺ fibers spreading in the nucleus descendens nervi trigemini (Vds) was intensely immunoreactive and such fibers were also scattered in the tractus descendens nervi trigemini (trVds; Fig 6k and Fig 9k and l).

Expression of GRPR protein in *Xenopus* CNS

Western immunoblot analysis with the polyclonal antiserum against *Xenopus* GRPR was performed to determine the presence of GRPR protein in homogenates derived from the

brain and spinal cord of adult male *Xenopus*. An intense protein band was observed in the brain and spinal cord extracts, and its electrophoretic mobility was located at ~43 kDa, which is the expected molecular weight of *Xenopus* GRPR (Fig 10). Preabsorption of the antiserum with an excess of antigen peptides (50 µg/mL) prevented the immunostaining of the ~43-kDa protein band in the brain and spinal cord (Fig 10). Immunoblot analyses were repeated independently three times by using different three frogs and gave similar results.

Discussion

GRP was first identified from the porcine stomach as a mammalian counterpart of the anuran bombesin [2]. Thus, GRP has long been considered as the mammalian equivalents of bombesin [5-7]. It has also been reported that frogs have independent genes for both GRP and bombesin, and this raises the possibility that mammals have an as yet uncharacterized gene encoding a true mammalian bombesin [36]. However, because of the historical background of the GRP discovery [1, 2], little attention has yet been paid to the evolutionary relationship of GRP/bombesin and its receptors in vertebrates. In *Bombina*, it has been suggested that bombesin functions as an antibacterial peptide secreted from the skin [1]. Our

phylogenetic analysis indicates that GRP/NMB/bombesin can be divided into two clades; GRP and NMB/bombesin clades (Fig 1). We further found by using synteny analysis that bombesin and NMB are relative orthologs and that specialization of the NMB sequence only in the frog lineage resulted in bombesin (Fig 2). When tetrapods emerged from the sea, they may have started to produce bombesin in the skin as exocrine secretions to protect themselves from bacterial infection and/or predators. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of the gene divergence of bombesin and bombesin-like peptides in vertebrates, which appeared to be divided into the two families (*i.e.* GRP and NMB/bombesin) from the vertebrate ancestor (Fig 11).

For bombesin-like peptide receptors, we found that most gnathostomes have an orthologous gene in each of the three groups (*GRPR/NMBR/BRS-3*), although BRS-3 was not found in Teleostei and Chondrichthyes (Fig 3). This suggests that *GRPR/NMBR/BRS-3* diverged into three branches in the ancestor of gnathostomes but that the BRS-3 genes have been lost in Teleostei and Chondrichthyes lineages. Otherwise, it is possible that there were two genes for GRPR and NMBR respectively at the divergence of cartilaginous fish, and that the BRS-3 gene then appeared by duplication of the GRPR gene or NMBR gene in the ancestor of Sarcopterygii while the BRS-3 gene was lost in teleost fish. If this is the case, the

301 amino acid sequence of BRS-3 appears to be specialized, considered from the standpoint of
302 the phylogenetic tree. In addition, no specialization for the receptors was observed in the frog
303 lineage as seen in bombesin-like peptides. In mammals, despite the molecular characterization
304 of BRS-3, determination of its function has been difficult as a result of its low affinity for GRP
305 and NMB and its lack of an identified natural ligand [37-39]. BRS-3-deficient mice develop a
306 mild obesity, associated with hypertension and impairment of glucose metabolism [40]. These
307 results indicate that BRS-3 is required for the regulation of endocrine processes responsible
308 for energy balance in mammals [40]. Because the natural ligand (corresponding to bombesin)
309 for this receptor has never been identified in mammals, birds, or reptiles, BRS-3 is currently
310 considered to be an orphan receptor [37-39]. Thus, BRS-3 might not be essential for life.

311 Knockout mouse studies have also demonstrated that neither *GRPR* nor *NMBR* is on its own
312 essential [9], suggesting that these three bombesin-like peptide receptors can compensate for
313 each others function in mammals. It is often the case that a number of GPCRs with different
314 affinities can be coupled to just one neuropeptide. Recently, it was reported that, in placental
315 mammals, BRS-3 has lost its binding affinity for NMB/GRP and is constitutively active in a
316 ligand-independent manner, in contrast to BRS-3 in non-placental vertebrates including
317 *Xenopus*, which has significant affinity for NMB/GRP [38]. Particularly in *Bombina*, BRS-3

was suggested to be a ‘bombesin-preferring receptor’ [28]. This rather promiscuous relationship between GPCR and ligand could be important in the diversity of cellular functions controlling different life phenomena.

Despite the diversification of NMB/bombesin in the frog lineage and the loss of the BRS-3 gene in some fish lineages, GRP and GRPR are conserved through vertebrates. Peptides synthesized in endocrine cells of the gastrointestinal tract and in neurons are traditionally considered not only as modulators of metabolism, energy balance, appetite, *etc.*, but also as neuromodulators (neuropeptides); so called ‘*gut-brain peptides*’ in mammals [41]. GRP appears to be one of gut-brain peptides, and the GRP system might play multiple roles in both the gut and the brain [5]. Indeed, Holmgren *et al.* [42] reported immunohistochemical evidence that the myenteric plexus and circular muscle layer of the stomach of the mudpuppy (*Necturus maculosus*), a salamander, are richly innervated by GRP⁺ fibers, and Kim *et al.* [43] reported that endogenous GRP potently stimulates the contraction of longitudinal muscle and relaxes circular muscle in *Xenopus* stomach, suggesting that GRP is important in the regulation of gastric motility in *Xenopus*. Furthermore, in *Xenopus*, we found expression of the mRNA for both *GRP* and *GRPR* in the brain and stomach, suggesting that *Xenopus* GRP systems play physiological roles locally in the CNS as well as in the stomach. In addition, our

qPCR analysis suggests that, in *Xenopus* CNS, the expression of *GRP* mRNA is highest in the brain, whereas *GRPR* mRNA expression was highest in the spinal cord. Our immunohistochemical analysis shows that GRP⁺ cell bodies are distributed in several telencephalic, diencephalic, and rhombencephalic regions and spinal cord of *Xenopus* (see Fig. 6 and Table 1). In particular, we observed many GRP⁺ cell bodies in the hypothalamus and putative limbic system in *Xenopus*, which corresponds well with the mammalian case [5]. GRP⁺ fibers were also distributed widely throughout the CNS of *Xenopus* (Fig 6 and Table 1). Thus, GRP might play an important role in multiple physiological functions in *Xenopus* CNS. In mammals, it is reported that orofacial pruriceptive inputs are processed mainly in the superficial layers of the trigeminal sensory nucleus caudalis in the medulla oblongata, which is similar to the spinal dorsal horn [44]. Therefore, GRP/GRPR signaling in the trigeminal ganglion-trigeminal sensory nuclei of the medulla oblongata appears to play an important role in orofacial itch sensation in mammals [45, 46]. We also found that, in *Xenopus*, abundant GRP⁺ fibers are distributed in the trVds and Vds areas, which appear to correspond to the trigeminal somatosensory system in mammals. Thus, GRP may modulate neurotransmission and integration of somatosensory information in *Xenopus*. Taken together, these results indicate that GRP functions not only as a gastrointestinal bioactive peptide but also as a

neuropeptide in *Xenopus* CNS, and that GRP functions as a gut-brain peptide in both amphibians and mammals.

In conclusion, GRP has long been considered as the mammalian equivalents of bombesin [6, 7] (Fig 11a). We now demonstrate, by phylogenetic and synteny analyses, that GRP is not a mammalian counterpart of bombesin, and that the GRP system is widely conserved throughout vertebrates, whereas the NMB/bombesin system diversified in some lineages (Fig 11b). Furthermore, we demonstrate that the GRP system might play multiple roles both in the gut and in the brain of amphibians as one of the ‘*gut-brain peptide*’ systems. Indeed, it has recently been reported that the expression of the common ancestral genes for *GRP/NMB/bombesin* (possibly *GRP* as an ancestral gene) in amphioxus (which belongs to the subphylum Cephalochordata, an extant representative of the most basal chordates) is abundant in the gut, and is also observed in the cerebral vesicle that has been proposed as the homologue of the vertebrate brain [47]. However, the original roles of GRP as an ancestral gene remains unclear at the moment and further functional studies by using lower vertebrates are needed to draw a firm conclusion.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All experimental procedures were approved in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals prepared by Okayama University (Okayama, Japan). All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and reduce the number of animals used in this study.

Animals

Male and female adult clawed frogs (*X. tropicalis*), the Golden strain, were provided by the Amphibian Research Center (Hiroshima University, Japan) through the National Bio-Resource Project of the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED), Japan. Frogs were maintained according to well established protocols.

Phylogenetic analysis and gene synteny analysis

For a molecular phylogenetic analysis of precursors of GRP/NMB/bombesin family peptides and GRPR/NMBR/BRS3, protein sequences were obtained from NCBI protein database. Multiple alignments were produced with CLUSTALX (2.1) with gap trimming [48].

Sequences of poor quality that did not well align were deleted using BioEdit [49].

Phylogenetic analyses were performed using the Neighbor-Joining method [50] by CLUSTALX with the default parameters (1,000 bootstrap tests and 111 seeds). Representative phylogenetic trees were drawn by using NJ plot [51]. Signal peptide site in GRP/NMB/bombesin and transmembrane domain in GRPR/NMB/BRS-3 were predicted by SignalP-5.0 [52] and TMHMM2.0 program [53].

For comparison of gene synteny around the NMB/bombesin gene in the amphibian genome, the genome regions upstream and downstream of NMB/bombesin genes were examined using assembled genome sequences of aMicUni1.1 (GCF_901765095.1) for *Microcaecilia unicolor*, aRhiBiv1.1 (GCF_901001135.1) for *Rhinatrema bivittatum*, ASM93562v1 (GCF_000935625.1) for *Nanorana parkeri*, *X. tropicalis*_v9.1 (GCF_000004195.3) for *X. tropicalis*.

Genome and amino acid sequences of *Homo sapiens*, *Rattus norvegicus* [54], *Gallus gallus* [55], *Gekko japonicus* [56], *X. laevis* [57], *X. tropicalis* [58], *Nanorana parkeri* [59], *Rhinatrema bivittatum* [60, 61], *Microcaecilia unicolor*, *Danio rerio* [62], *Oryzias latipes* [63], *Callorhinchus milii* [64], *Rhincodon typus* [65], *Rana catesbeiana*, *Rana pipiens* [29], *Alytes maurus* [30], *Phyllomedusa sauvagii* [31], *Bombina orientalis* [32, 33], *Bombina*

403 *variegata* [34], *Latimeria chalumnae* [66, 67], *Erpetoichthys calabaricus* [68], *Lepisosteus*
 404 *oculatus* [69], *Acipenser ruthenus* (Cheng et al., 2019), *Branchiostoma floridae* [70], *Octopus*
 405 *bimaculoides* [71] and *Drosophila melanogaster* [72] used for the analysis were obtained from
 406 GenBank. Accession IDs were shown in S1 Table.

407

408 **cDNA cloning of *GRP* and *GRPR* in *Xenopus***

409 Adult male frogs ($n = 2$) were anesthetized with 1% MS-222 (tricaine,
 410 Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and sacrificed by decapitation. Immediately, dissected
 411 tissues (hypothalamus, spinal cord, and stomach) from frogs were fixed with RNALater
 412 solution (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) and stored at -30°C until RNA extraction. Total RNA
 413 was extracted from samples using the illustra RNAspin Mini RNA Isolation kit (GE
 414 Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) according to the manufacture's protocol. The
 415 concentration of total RNA was measured using a Qubit RNA assay kit (ThermoFisher
 416 Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). In order to identify genomic sequences of *Xenopus* GRP and
 417 GRPR, the 1st cDNA was synthesized from 200 ng of total RNA of stomach origin by using
 418 oligo-dT primers and an iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA,
 419 USA). The sequences of primers for cDNA cloning were designed based on the genome

resource (see Table 2). The resulting RT-PCR amplicons of the stomach sample (full open reading frame sequence for *GRP* or partial sequence for *GRPR*) were subcloned into the pGEM-T easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI) followed by transfection into *Escherichia coli* DH5 α competent cells (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan). Positive clones were identified by blue-white screening and at least three positive clones were sequenced. The primer sequences used in this study are shown in Table 2.

RT-PCR

To determine the tissue distribution of *GRP* and *GRPR* mRNA in *X. tropicalis* ($n = 3$ of each sex), RT-PCR analysis was performed. Total RNA was extracted from various tissues (brain, spinal cord, heart, lung, stomach), using illustra RNAspin Mini RNA Isolation Kit (GE Healthcare). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 200 ng of total RNA in a 20- μ L reaction volume using oligo-dT primers and iScript RT (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the internal control. Primer pairs are shown in Table 2. The resultant PCR amplicons were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels. RT-PCR studies were repeated four times using independently extracted RNA samples from different animals. Consistent results were obtained from each run.

437

438 **Real-time qPCR**

439 The quantification of *GRP* and *GRPR* in *Xenopus* CNS was performed using

440 real-time qPCR. In brief, whole brains and spinal cords of males or females were quickly

441 removed and placed on ice ($n = 3$ of each sex). Brains were divided into 3 parts: 1 the

442 telencephalon; 2 the diencephalon/mesencephalon/pons/cerebellum; and 3 the medulla

443 oblongata. The preparations were carefully dissected under a dissecting microscope (Olympus,

444 Tokyo, Japan). Total RNA was isolated from samples using illustra RNAspin Mini RNA

445 Isolation Kit with RNase-free DNase I (GE Healthcare) according to the manufacture's

446 protocol. Samples were reverse transcribed from 500 ng of total RNA in a 20 μ L volume using

447 iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Real-time qPCR was carried out on a

448 C1000TM Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Reactions were performed in 20 μ L

449 solution, with 200 nM of each primers, 1 μ L of 5 ng cDNA samples and SYBER-green master

450 mix (KAPA SYBER FAST qPCR kit, KAPA Bio-systems, Boston, MA, USA) according to

451 the manufacture's instructions. Assays (in triplicate) were repeated at least twice with the

452 constitutive *Drosha* as a normalizing control. Primer pairs are shown in Table 2. The data

453 relative changes in mRNA expression were determined using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. Statistical

tests were performed using IBM SPSS ver. 24 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Immunohistochemistry

Adult male frogs ($n = 4$) were killed by decapitation under deep anesthesia (see above). Brains and spinal cords were immediately dissected out and immersion-fixed in Bouin's fixative solution [saturated picric acid:10% unbuffered formalin:acetic acid = 15:5:1 (v/v)] overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, tissues were dehydrated and embedded in paraffin wax. Serial sections of each tissue were cut transversely or sagittally on a microtome at 10-μm in thickness. We performed immunohistochemical analysis according to our established methods [21]. In brief, endogenous peroxidase activity was eliminated from the sections by incubation in a 0.1% H₂O₂ in absolute methanol solution for 20 minutes followed by three 5-minute rinses with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4). After blocking nonspecific binding with 1% normal goat serum and 1% BSA in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 for 30 minutes at room temperature, sections were then incubated in Can Get Signal A (TOYOBO) with a 1:2,000 dilution of primary rabbit antiserum against rat GRP₂₀₋₂₉, a 10-amino acid-peptide called NMC or GRP-10 (AssayPro, St. Charles, MO, USA) for 48 hours at 4°C. This GRP antiserum has previously been shown to be specific for rodent GRP [21, 45]. The amino acid

sequence of NMC (epitope residues) is identical between frogs and rodents.

Immunoreactive-products were detected with a streptavidin-biotin kit (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan), followed by diaminobenzidine (DAB) development according to our previous method [21]. GRP-expressing cells in the spinal cord were localized using an Olympus FSX100 microscope. Nomenclature of brain areas and nuclei was based on the stereotaxic atlas of the CNS of anurans [73].

Control procedures for the DAB method were performed using pre-absorption of the working dilution (1:2,000) of the primary antiserum with saturating concentration of frog GRP₂₀₋₂₉ antigen peptide, (GSHWAVGHLM; 50 µg/mL: ²[Ser]-NMC, produced in AnaSpec; San Jose, CA) overnight at 4°C before use. The information of GRP antibody used in this study is showed in Table 3.

Western immunoblotting

Western blotting was conducted according to our previously described methods [74]. In brief, adult male frogs ($n = 3$) were sacrificed by decapitation under deep anesthesia (see above). Brains and spinal cords were quickly removed and placed on ice, and were snap-frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen. The preparations (100 µg protein/lane) were

488 boiled in 10 μ L sample buffer containing 62.5 mM trishydroxymethyl-aminomethane-HCl
 489 (Tris-HCl; pH. 6.8), 2% SDS, 25% glycerol, 10% 2-mercaptoethanol, and a small amount of
 490 bromophenol blue. Samples were run on a 4–20% SDS-PAGE and electroblotted onto a
 491 polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories) using a semidry blotting
 492 apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Membranes were blocked with PVDF Blocking Reagent for
 493 Can Get Signal (TOYOBO, Tokyo, Japan) for 30 minutes at room temperature and incubated
 494 for 1 hour at room temperature in Can Get Signal Solution 1 (TOYOBO) with a 1:100,000
 495 dilution of rabbit polyclonal antibody against GRPR. The polyclonal antibodies were produced
 496 in a rabbit using a *Xenopus* GRPR fragment peptide. The sequence of antigen peptide was
 497 195–208 amino acid residues (CAPYPHSNGLHPRI) of *Xenopus* GRPR (GenBank accession
 498 No. XP_002938295.1). Blotted membranes were washed three times with 0.05% Tween 20 in
 499 Tris-HCl buffered saline (TBST) and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat
 500 polyclonal antibody against rabbit IgG (Bio-Rad Laboratories) at 1:10,000 dilution in Can Get
 501 Signal Solution 2 (TOYOBO) for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing for three times
 502 with TBST, blots were visualized by Immun-Star WesternC Chemiluminescence Kit (Bio-Rad
 503 Laboratories). Images of the different immunoblots were slightly adjusted in brightness and
 504 contrast to provide a uniform background. Control procedures were performed by

pre-absorption of the working dilution (1:100,000) of the primary antiserum with a saturating concentration of *Xenopus* GRPR_{195–208} antigen peptide, CAPYPHSNGLHPRI (50 µg/mL, produced in Sigma-Aldrich) overnight at 4°C before use. The information of GRPR antibody used in this study is showed in Table 3.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the National Bio-Resource Project (NBRP) of '*X. tropicalis*' in the Amphibian Research Center (Hiroshima University, Japan) for providing *X. tropicalis*, Golden strain. We are grateful to Prof. John F. Morris (University of Oxford, UK) for valuable discussion and for reading this manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

A.H., D.F., K.T., and T.O. performed immunohistochemical experiments. A.H. and K.T. performed Western blotting. A.H., M.H., Y.K., and T.O. performed molecular biology, phylogenetic, and gene synteny analyses. T.S. interpreted the data and provided the advice. M.H. and H.S. wrote the paper. A.H. and M.H. contributed equally to this study. H.S. supervised the whole study. All authors had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

527

528 **Funding**

529 This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS)

530 KAKENHI (to H.S.; 15K15202, 15KK0257, 15H05724, 16H06280)

531 (<https://www.jsps.go.jp/english/e-grants/index.html>), the Japan Agency for Medical Research

532 and Development (AMED) (to H.S.; 961149)

533 (<https://www.amed.go.jp/en/aboutus/index.html>), and Okayama University Dispatch Project

534 for Female Faculty members (M.H.)

535 (<https://okayama-u-diversity.jp/grant-support-activities/dispatch-project-female-faculty/>). K.T.

536 and T.O. were supported by Research Fellowships of JSPS for Young Scientists

537 (<https://www.jsps.go.jp/english/e-pd/index.html>).

References

1. Anastasi A, Erspamer V, Bucci M. Isolation and structure of bombesin and alytesin, 2 analogous active peptides from the skin of the European amphibians *Bombina* and 541 *Alytes*. *Experientia*. 1971;27(2):166-167.
2. McDonald TJ, Jornvall H, Nilsson G, Vagne M, Ghatei M, Bloom SR, et al. 543 Characterization of a gastrin releasing peptide from porcine non-antral gastric tissue. 544 *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1979;90(1):227-233.
3. Minamino N, Kangawa K, Matsuo H. Neuromedin B: a novel bombesin-like peptide 546 identified in porcine spinal cord. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 547 1983;114(2):541-548.
4. Panula P, Nieminen O, Falkenberg M, Auvinen S. Localization and development of 549 bombesin/GRP-like immunoreactivity in the rat central nervous system. *Ann N Y* 550 *Acad Sci*. 1988;547:54-69.
5. Sakamoto H. Gastrin-Releasing Peptide (Subchapter 22A). In: Takei Y Ando H, 552 Tsutsui K, editors. *Handbook of Hormones*. 1st ed. Oxford: Academic Press; 2016. pp. 553 191-192.
6. Roesler R, Kent P, Schroder N, Schwartzmann G, Merali Z. Bombesin receptor 555 regulation of emotional memory. *Rev Neurosci*. 2012;23(5-6):571-586. doi: 556 10.1515/revneuro-2012-0046.
7. Takanami K, Sakamoto H. The gastrin-releasing peptide receptor (GRPR) in the spinal 558 cord as a novel pharmacological target. *Curr Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2014;12(5):434-443. 559 doi: 10.2174/1570159X12666140923201432.
8. Kroog GS, Jensen RT, Battey JF. Mammalian bombesin receptors. *Med Res Rev*. 561 1995;15(5):389-417.
9. Battey J, Wada E. Two distinct receptor subtypes for mammalian bombesin-like 563 peptides. *Trends Neurosci*. 1991;14(12):524-528.
10. Wada E, Way J, Shapira H, Kusano K, Lebacqz-Verheyden AM, Coy D, et al. cDNA 565 cloning, characterization and brain region-specific expression of neuromedin 566 B-preferring bombesin receptor. *Neuron*. 1991;6:421-430.
11. Fathi Z, Corjay MH, Shapira H, Wada E, Benya R, Jensen R, et al. BRS-3: a novel 568 bombesin receptor subtype selectively expressed in testis and lung carcinoma cells. *J* 569 *Biol Chem*. 1993;268(8):5979-5984.
12. Ladenheim EE, Taylor JE, Coy DH, Moore KA, Moran TH. Hindbrain GRP receptor 571 blockade antagonizes feeding suppression by peripherally administered GRP. *Am J* 572

- 573 Physiol. 1996;271(1 Pt 2):R180-184.
- 574 13. Yamada K, Wada E, Wada K. Bombesin-like peptides: studies on food intake and
575 social behaviour with receptor knock-out mice. *Ann Med.* 2000;32(8):519-529.
- 576 14. Ladenheim EE, Behles RR, Bi S, Moran TH. Gastrin-releasing peptide messenger
577 ribonucleic acid expression in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus is altered by
578 melanocortin receptor stimulation and food deprivation. *Endocrinology.*
579 2009;150(2):672-678.
- 580 15. Shinohara K, Tominaga K, Isobe Y, Inouye ST. Photic regulation of peptides located
581 in the ventrolateral subdivision of the suprachiasmatic nucleus of the rat: daily
582 variations of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide, gastrin-releasing peptide, and
583 neuropeptide Y. *J Neurosci.* 1993;13(2):793-800.
- 584 16. Okamura H, Ibata Y. GRP immunoreactivity shows a day-night difference in the
585 suprachiasmatic nuclear soma and efferent fibers: comparison to VIP
586 immunoreactivity. *Neurosci Lett.* 1994;181(1-2):165-168.
- 587 17. Karatsoreos IN, Romeo RD, McEwen BS, Silver R. Diurnal regulation of the
588 gastrin-releasing peptide receptor in the mouse circadian clock. *Eur J Neurosci.*
589 2006;23(4):1047-1053.
- 590 18. Shumyatsky GP, Tsvetkov E, Malleret G, Vronskaya S, Hatton M, Hampton L, et al.
591 Identification of a signaling network in lateral nucleus of amygdala important for
592 inhibiting memory specifically related to learned fear. *Cell.* 2002;111(6):905-918.
- 593 19. Roesler R, Lessa D, Venturella R, Vianna MR, Luft T, Henriques JA, et al.
594 Bombesin/gastrin-releasing peptide receptors in the basolateral amygdala regulate
595 memory consolidation. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2004;19(4):1041-1045.
- 596 20. Merali Z, Bedard T, Andrews N, Davis B, McKnight AT, Gonzalez MI, et al.
597 Bombesin receptors as a novel anti-anxiety therapeutic target: BB1 receptor actions on
598 anxiety through alterations of serotonin activity. *J Neurosci.*
599 2006;26(41):10387-10396.
- 600 21. Sakamoto H, Matsuda K-I, Zuloaga DG, Hongu H, Wada E, Wada K, et al. Sexually
601 dimorphic gastrin releasing peptide system in the spinal cord controls male
602 reproductive functions. *Nat Neurosci.* 2008;11(6):634-636.
- 603 22. Li P, Janczewski WA, Yackle K, Kam K, Pagliardini S, Krasnow MA, et al. The
604 peptidergic control circuit for sighing. *Nature.* 2016;530(7590):293-297. doi:
605 10.1038/nature16964.
- 606 23. Sun YG, Chen ZF. A gastrin-releasing peptide receptor mediates the itch sensation in
607 the spinal cord. *Nature.* 2007;448(7154):700-703.

24. Sun YG, Zhao ZQ, Meng XL, Yin J, Liu XY, Chen ZF. Cellular basis of itch sensation. *Science*. 2009;325(5947):1531-1534.
25. Maekawa F, Tsukahara S, Tanaka K, Ohki-Hamazaki H. Distributions of two chicken bombesin receptors, bombesin receptor subtype-3.5 (chBRS-3.5) and gastrin-releasing peptide receptor (chGRP-R) mRNAs in the chicken telencephalon. *Neuroscience*. 2004;125(3):569-582. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.01.057.
26. Mo C, Huang L, Cui L, Lv C, Lin D, Song L, et al. Characterization of NMB, GRP and their receptors (BRS3, NMBR and GRPR) in chickens. *J Mol Endocrinol*. 2017;59(1):61-79. doi: 10.1530/JME-17-0020.
27. Tachibana T, Matsuda K, Khan SI, Ueda H, Cline MA. Feeding and drinking response following central administrations of bombesin-like peptides in chicks. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol*. 2010;156(4):394-399. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.03.008.
28. Nagalla SR, Barry BJ, Creswick KC, Eden P, Taylor JT, Spindel ER. Cloning of a receptor for amphibian [Phe13]bombesin distinct from the receptor for gastrin-releasing peptide: identification of a fourth bombesin receptor subtype (BB4). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1995;92(13):6205-6209.
29. Krane IM, Naylor SL, Helin-Davis D, Chin WW, Spindel ER. Molecular cloning of cDNAs encoding the human bombesin-like peptide neuromedin B. Chromosomal localization and comparison to cDNAs encoding its amphibian homolog ranatensin. *J Biol Chem*. 1988;263(26):13317-13323.
30. Konig E, Zhou M, Wang L, Chen T, Bininda-Emonds OR, Shaw C. Antimicrobial peptides and alytesin are co-secreted from the venom of the Midwife toad, *Alytes maurus* (Alytidae, Anura): implications for the evolution of frog skin defensive secretions. *Toxicon*. 2012;60(6):967-981. Epub 2012/07/18. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2012.06.015.
31. Nagalla SR, Barry BJ, Spindel ER. Cloning of complementary DNAs encoding the amphibian bombesin-like peptides Phe8 and Leu8 phyllolitorin from *Phyllomedusa sauvagei*: potential role of U to C RNA editing in generating neuropeptide diversity. *Mol Endocrinol*. 1994;8(8):943-951. doi: 10.1210/mend.8.8.7997236.
32. Spindel ER, Gibson BW, Reeve JR, Jr., Kelly M. Cloning of cDNAs encoding amphibian bombesin: evidence for the relationship between bombesin and gastrin-releasing peptide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1990;87(24):9813-9817. Epub 1990/12/01. doi: 10.1073/pnas.87.24.9813.
33. Nagalla SR, Barry BJ, Falick AM, Gibson BW, Taylor JE, Dong JZ, et al. There are three distinct forms of bombesin. Identification of [Leu13]bombesin,

- [Phe13]bombesin, and [Ser3,Arg10,Phe13]bombesin in the frog *Bombina orientalis*. *J Biol Chem*. 1996;271(13):7731-7737. Epub 1996/03/29. doi: 10.1074/jbc.271.13.7731.
34. Bai B, Zhang Y, Wang H, Zhou M, Yu Y, Ding S, et al. Parallel peptidome and transcriptome analyses of amphibian skin secretions using archived frozen acid-solvated samples. *Mol Biotechnol*. 2013;54(2):187-197. Epub 2012/05/11. doi: 10.1007/s12033-012-9551-6.
35. Spindel ER, Giladi E, Brehm P, Goodman RH, Segerson TP. Cloning and functional characterization of a complementary DNA encoding the murine fibroblast bombesin/gastrin-releasing peptide receptor. *Mol Endocrinol*. 1990;4(12):1956-1963. doi: 10.1210/mend-4-12-1956.
36. Nagalla SR, Gibson BW, Tang D, Reeve JR, Jr., Spindel ER. Gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) is not mammalian bombesin. Identification and molecular cloning of a true amphibian GRP distinct from amphibian bombesin in *Bombina orientalis*. *J Biol Chem*. 1992;267(10):6916-6922.
37. Ohki-Hamazaki H, Iwabuchi M, Maekawa F. Development and function of bombesin-like peptides and their receptors. *Int J Dev Biol*. 2005;49(2-3):293-300. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.041954ho.
38. Tang H, Shu C, Chen H, Zhang X, Zang Z, Deng C. Constitutively active BRS3 is a genuinely orphan GPCR in placental mammals. *PLoS Biol*. 2019;17(3):e3000175. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3000175.
39. Xiao C, Reitman ML. Bombesin-Like Receptor 3: Physiology of a Functional Orphan. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*. 2016;27(9):603-605. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2016.03.003.
40. Ohki-Hamazaki H, Watase K, Yamamoto K, Ogura H, Yamano M, Yamada K, et al. Mice lacking bombesin receptor subtype-3 develop metabolic defects and obesity. *Nature*. 1997;390(6656):165-169.
41. Vадnie CA, Park JH, Abdel Gawad N, Ho AM, Hinton DJ, Choi DS. Gut-brain peptides in corticostriatal-limbic circuitry and alcohol use disorders. *Front Neurosci*. 2014;8:288. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2014.00288.
42. Holmgren S, Jensen J, Jonsson AC, Lundin K, Nilsson S. Neuropeptides in the gastrointestinal canal of *Necturus maculosus*. Distribution and effects on motility. *Cell Tissue Res*. 1985;241(3):565-580. doi: 10.1007/bf00214577.
43. Kim JB, Johansson A, Holmgren S, Conlon JM. Gastrin-releasing peptides from *Xenopus laevis*: purification, characterization, and myotropic activity. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2001;281(3):R902-908.
44. Olszewski J. On the anatomical and functional organization of the spinal trigeminal

- nucleus. *J Comp Neurol.* 1950;92(3):401-413. doi: 10.1002/cne.900920305.
45. Takanami K, Sakamoto H, Matsuda KI, Satoh K, Tanida T, Yamada S, et al. Distribution of gastrin-releasing peptide in the rat trigeminal and spinal somatosensory systems. *J Comp Neurol.* 2014;522(8):1858-1873. doi: 10.1002/cne.23506.
46. Takanami K, Inoue K, Mukai H, Tamura K, Jogahara T, Oda S, et al. Comparative anatomy of gastrin-releasing peptide pathways in the trigeminal sensory system of mouse and the Asian house musk shrew *Suncus murinus*. *Acta Histochem Cytochem.* 2016;49(6):181-190.
47. Wang P, Zhang L, Li H, Wang Y, Zhang S, Liu Z. Characterization of GRP as a functional neuropeptide in basal chordate amphioxus. *Int J Biol Macromol.* 2020;142:384-394. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.09.109.
48. Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, et al. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics.* 2007;23(21):2947-2948. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404.
49. Hall. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acid Symposium Series.* 1999;41:95-98.
50. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution.* 1987;4(4):406-425. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454.
51. Perriere G, Gouy M. WWW-query: an on-line retrieval system for biological sequence banks. *Biochimie.* 1996;78(5):364-369. Epub 1996/01/01.
52. Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S, et al. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. *Nature Biotechnology.* 2019;37(4):420-423. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z.
53. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden markov model: application to complete genomes11Edited by F. Cohen. *Journal of Molecular Biology.* 2001;305(3):567-580. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315>.
54. Gibbs RA, Weinstock GM, Metzker ML, Muzny DM, Sodergren EJ, Scherer S, et al. Genome sequence of the Brown Norway rat yields insights into mammalian evolution. *Nature.* 2004;428(6982):493-521. Epub 2004/04/02. doi: 10.1038/nature02426.
55. International Chicken Genome Sequencing C. Sequence and comparative analysis of the chicken genome provide unique perspectives on vertebrate evolution. *Nature.* 2004;432(7018):695-716. Epub 2004/12/14. doi: 10.1038/nature03154.
56. Liu Y, Zhou Q, Wang Y, Luo L, Yang J, Yang L, et al. Gekko japonicus genome

- reveals evolution of adhesive toe pads and tail regeneration. Nat Commun. 2015;6:10033. Epub 2015/11/26. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10033.
57. Session AM, Uno Y, Kwon T, Chapman JA, Toyoda A, Takahashi S, et al. Genome evolution in the allotetraploid frog *Xenopus laevis*. Nature. 2016;538(7625):336-343. Epub 2016/10/21. doi: 10.1038/nature19840.
58. Hellsten U, Harland RM, Gilchrist MJ, Hendrix D, Jurka J, Kapitonov V, et al. The genome of the Western clawed frog *Xenopus tropicalis*. Science. 2010;328(5978):633-636. Epub 2010/05/01. doi: 10.1126/science.1183670.
59. Sun YB, Xiong ZJ, Xiang XY, Liu SP, Zhou WW, Tu XL, et al. Whole-genome sequence of the Tibetan frog *Nanorana parkeri* and the comparative evolution of tetrapod genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(11):E1257-1262. Epub 2015/03/04. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1501764112.
60. San Mauro D, Gower DJ, Muller H, Loader SP, Zardoya R, Nussbaum RA, et al. Life-history evolution and mitogenomic phylogeny of caecilian amphibians. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2014;73:177-189. Epub 2014/02/01. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.01.009.
61. Torres-Sanchez M, Creevey CJ, Kornobis E, Gower DJ, Wilkinson M, San Mauro D. Multi-tissue transcriptomes of caecilian amphibians highlight incomplete knowledge of vertebrate gene families. DNA Res. 2019;26(1):13-20. Epub 2018/10/24. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dsy034.
62. Howe K, Clark MD, Torroja CF, Torrance J, Berthelot C, Muffato M, et al. The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. Nature. 2013;496(7446):498-503. Epub 2013/04/19. doi: 10.1038/nature12111.
63. Kasahara M, Naruse K, Sasaki S, Nakatani Y, Qu W, Ahsan B, et al. The medaka draft genome and insights into vertebrate genome evolution. Nature. 2007;447(7145):714-719. Epub 2007/06/08. doi: 10.1038/nature05846.
64. Venkatesh B, Kirkness EF, Loh YH, Halpern AL, Lee AP, Johnson J, et al. Survey sequencing and comparative analysis of the elephant shark (*Callorhynchus milii*) genome. PLoS Biol. 2007;5(4):e101. Epub 2007/04/05. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050101.
65. Read TD, Petit RA, 3rd, Joseph SJ, Alam MT, Weil MR, Ahmad M, et al. Draft sequencing and assembly of the genome of the world's largest fish, the whale shark: *Rhincodon typus* Smith 1828. BMC Genomics. 2017;18(1):532. Epub 2017/07/16. doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-3926-9.
66. Amemiya CT, Alföldi J, Lee AP, Fan S, Philippe H, Maccallum I, et al. The African

- coelacanth genome provides insights into tetrapod evolution. *Nature*. 2013;496(7445):311-316. Epub 2013/04/20. doi: 10.1038/nature12027.
67. Nikaido M, Noguchi H, Nishihara H, Toyoda A, Suzuki Y, Kajitani R, et al. Coelacanth genomes reveal signatures for evolutionary transition from water to land. *Genome Res*. 2013;23(10):1740-1748. Epub 2013/07/24. doi: 10.1101/gr.158105.113.
68. Sun Y, Huang Y, Li X, Baldwin CC, Zhou Z, Yan Z, et al. Fish-T1K (Transcriptomes of 1,000 Fishes) Project: large-scale transcriptome data for fish evolution studies. *Gigascience*. 2016;5:18. Epub 2016/05/06. doi: 10.1186/s13742-016-0124-7.
69. Braasch I, Gehrke AR, Smith JJ, Kawasaki K, Manousaki T, Pasquier J, et al. The spotted gar genome illuminates vertebrate evolution and facilitates human-teleost comparisons. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48(4):427-437. Epub 2016/03/08. doi: 10.1038/ng.3526.
70. Putnam NH, Butts T, Ferrier DE, Furlong RF, Hellsten U, Kawashima T, et al. The amphioxus genome and the evolution of the chordate karyotype. *Nature*. 2008;453(7198):1064-1071. Epub 2008/06/20. doi: 10.1038/nature06967.
71. Albertin CB, Simakov O, Mitros T, Wang ZY, Pungor JR, Edsinger-Gonzales E, et al. The octopus genome and the evolution of cephalopod neural and morphological novelties. *Nature*. 2015;524(7564):220-224. Epub 2015/08/14. doi: 10.1038/nature14668.
72. Adams MD, Celniker SE, Holt RA, Evans CA, Gocayne JD, Amanatides PG, et al. The genome sequence of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science*. 2000;287(5461):2185-2195. Epub 2000/03/25. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5461.2185.
73. ten Donkelaar HJ. Anurans (Chapter 19). In: Nieuwenhuys R, ten Donkelaar, HJ, Nicholson C, editors. *The Central Nervous System of Vertebrates Volume 2*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 1998. pp. 1151-1314.
74. Satoh K, Oti T, Katoh A, Ueta Y, Morris JF, Sakamoto T, et al. In vivo processing and release into the circulation of GFP fusion protein in arginine vasopressin enhanced GFP transgenic rats: response to osmotic stimulation. *FEBS J*. 2015;282(13):2488-2499. doi: 10.1111/febs.13291.

Figure Legends

Fig 1. Molecular phylogeny of precursors of GRP/NMB/bombesin family peptides.

GRP/NMB/bombesin family peptides are classified into a GRP clade (pink box) and an NMB/bombesin clade (gray frame). While GRP was found in all the animals examined, genes included in NMB clade were not found in frogs (yellow box). All bombesin-like peptides in frogs appeared to form a single bombesin clade (blue box). Species name in red: amphibians; bold: frogs. IDs for the protein sequences used in this analysis are shown in S1Table.

Fig 2. Schematic representation of gene synteny around the NMB/bombesin gene in

amphibians. Horizontal lines (gray) indicate chromosome fragments. Genes are represented as arrows (Red: NMB/bombesin gene, Blue: Other genes) according to their transcriptional orientation. Protein IDs are shown below the genes. Yellow lines indicate correspondences of orthologous genes. Gene synteny around the NMB/bombesin gene in amphibians are highly conserved, suggesting an orthologous relationship between NMB in caecilians and bombesin in frogs.

Fig 3. Molecular phylogeny of GRPR/NMBR/BRS-3. The GRPR/NMBR/BRS-3 family gene diverged into GRPR (pink box), NMBR (yellow box) and BRS-3 (blue box) in the ancestor of vertebrates. All the three receptors are widely conserved among vertebrates including frogs, although BRS-3 genes were not found in teleost and cartilaginous fish (blue characters). Species name in red: amphibians; bold: frogs. IDs for the protein sequences used in this analysis are shown in S1 Table.

Fig 4. Reverse transcription (RT)-PCR analysis of gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) and GRP receptor (GRPR) mRNA expression in *Xenopus tropicalis*. RT-PCR for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) was performed as the internal control.

Fig 5. The expression levels of GRP and GRPR mRNA in *Xenopus* central nervous system were measured by the real-time quantitative PCR. Relative expression levels of GRP (a) and GRPR (b) in the central nervous system: (1) the telencephalon, (2) the diencephalon/mesencephalon/pons/cerebellum, (3) the medulla oblongata, and (4) the spinal cord of males and females were analyzed. P values indicate two-way ANOVA with Tukey's honestly significant difference test (vs. telencephalon (1), $*P < 0.05$; vs.

diencephalon/mesencephalon/pons/cerebellum (2), $\dagger P < 0.05$; vs. medulla oblongata (3), $\ddagger P < 0.05$; vs. spinal cord (4), $\S P < 0.05$). No sex differences were detected in any of the tissues. Dots and bars indicate values of each sample and means of the samples, respectively. Blue: males, red: females.

Fig 6. Schematic cross sections illustrating the distribution of GRP-like immunoreactivity in the central nervous system (CNS) of *Xenopus*. A series of illustration of the CNS from telencephalon (a) to cervical spinal cord (l). Immunoreactive cell bodies and fibers in the CNS are shown by red circles and red lines, respectively. The anatomical structures are indicated on the right hemispheres according to the nomenclature of ten Donkelaar HJ [73]. The density of the symbols shown on the left hemispheres is roughly proportional to the relative density of the immunoreactive elements. The letters (a–l) in the schematic lateral profile of the brain (inset) indicates the rostrocaudal level of each transverse section. For abbreviations, see Table 1.

Fig 7. Immunohistochemical identification of gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) in the cervical spinal cords of *Xenopus*. GRP-immunoreactive cell bodies in the spinal cord were

present in the dorsal field of spinal grey (df) (arrows). GRP-immunoreactive fibers and series of varicosities were found throughout the spinal grey (arrowheads). Preabsorbition of the working dilution (1:2,000) of the primary GRP antiserum with a saturating concentration of GRP antigen peptide [neuromedin C; 50 mg/mL] overnight at 4°C before use eliminated the staining. Scale bars = 100 µm, 10 µm in enlarged images. For abbreviations, see Table 1.

Fig 8. Cell bodies and fibers in *Xenopus* brain immunohistochemically labeled with the anti-gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) serum. Representative photomicrographs of transverse sections are shown. Dorsal is up and lateral is right. Relative weakly immunoreactive cell bodies and fibers are observed throughout the ventral telencephalic area, *e.g.* in the nucleus accumbens (Acc; a and b). Immunoreactive cell bodies are abundant in the amygdala, *e.g.* in the amygdala pars medialis (Apm; c and d), and in the amygdala pars lateralis (Apl; e and f). In the diencephalon, GRP-immunoreactive somata are detected in the nucleus ventromedialis thalami (VM; g and h) and in the tuberculum posterius (TP; i and j). In the brainstem, GRP-immunoreactive cell bodies and fibers are observed in the nucleus raphes (Ra; k and l), the nucleus motorius nervi vagi (Xm; m and n), and the nucleus reticularis inferior (Ri; o and p). Scale bars = 100 µm, 10 µm in enlarged images. Arrows indicate representative

GRP-immunoreactive cell bodies. Arrowheads indicate representative GRP-immunoreactive fibers. For abbreviations, see Table 1.

Fig 9. Fibers in *Xenopus* brain immunohistochemically labeled with the anti-gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) serum. A fine network of GRP-immunoreactive fibers is observed in the striatum, ventral part (Strv; a and b). GRP-immunoreactive fibers are also observed in the preoptic area (Poa; c and d) and in the nucleus preopticus magnocellularis (Mg; e and f). A weak distribution of GRP-immunoreactive fibers is also found in the area near the tractus opticus basalis (optb; g and h), and the nucleus reticularis isthmi (Ris; i and j). In the posterior part of the medulla oblongata, GRP-immunoreactive fibers are observed in the nucleus descendens nervi trigemini (Vds) and in the tractus descendens nervi trigemini (trVds; k and l). Scale bars = 100 μ m, 10 μ m in enlarged images. Arrowheads indicate representative GRP-immunoreactive fibers. For abbreviations, see Table 1.

Fig 10. Western immunoblotting of gastrin-releasing peptide receptor (GRPR). The number on the left indicates the molecular weight (kD). Extracts of protein from the *Xenopus* brain and spinal cord were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes and probed

with the rabbit polyclonal antibody against *Xenopus* GRPR (1:100,000). The antibody recognized a single band at the expected molecular weight of GRPR (~43 kDa) on a Western blot of the brain and spinal cord. Preabsorption of the antiserum with an excess of antigen peptides (50 µg/ml) eliminated the staining of the ~43-kDa protein band.

Fig 11. The origin of the gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP)/bombesin lineage. (a) Previous study suggested that GRP (pink box) and neuromedin B (NMB, blue box) should be considered as the mammalian equivalents of bombesin (yellow box). The peptide like bombesin (black box) was thought to be an ancestral form of the bombesin-like family peptides. (b) Our current study demonstrates that GRP is not mammalian counterparts of bombesin and also that, whereas the GRP system is widely conserved among vertebrates, the NMB/bombesin system has diversified in certain lineages, in particular in frog species. The ancestral peptide (black box) is considered to be similar to GRP from the research of the basal chordate amphioxus (Wang et al., 2020).

Table 1. Localization of gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP)-immunoreactive cell bodies and fibers in *Xenopus* central nervous system.

Brain area	Cell bodies	Fibers
Acc nucleus accumbens	++	+
Apl amygdala pars lateralis	++	+
Apm amygdala pars medialis	++	+
Av anteroventral tegmental nucleus	-	+
ca commissura anterior	-	-
Cb nucleus cerebelli	-	++
df dorsal field of spinal grey	++	+++
Ea nucleus entopeduncularis anterior	-	+
fr fasciculus retroflexus	-	+
ftg fasciculi tegmentales	-	++
Hbv nucleus habenularis ventralis	-	+
Iflm nucleus interstitialis of flm	-	+
lf lateral field of spinal grey	-	++
lfb lateral forebrain bundle	-	++
ll lemniscus lateralis	-	++
mfb medial forebrain bundle	-	++
Mg nucleus preopticus magnocellularis	-	++
mmf medial motor field of spinal grey	-	++
Mp Medial pallium	-	-
ola tractus olfactorius accessorius	+	+
optb tractus opticus basalis	-	++
optl tractus opticus lateralis	-	++
Pb nucleus parabrachialis	-	+
Poa nucleus preopticus anterior	-	++
Pv posteroventral tegmental nucleus	-	+
Ra nucleus raphe	+	++
Ri nucleus reticularis inferior	+	-
Ris nucleus reticularis isthmi	-	++
Rm nucleus reticularis medius	-	++
SC nucleus suprachiasmaticus	-	+
Strd striatum, dorsal part	-	-
Strv striatum, ventral part	-	+

TP	tuberculum posterius	+++	+
trVds	tractus descendens nervi trigemini	-	+
VH	nucleus hypothalamicus ventralis	-	-
VL	nucleus ventrolateralis thalami	-	++
vlf	ventrolateral field of spinal grey	-	++
VLs	superficial ventral nucleus	-	+
VM	nucleus ventromedialis thalami	++	++
vmf	ventromedial field of spinal grey	-	++
vt	ventriculus tertius	-	+
Vds	nucleus descendens nervi trigemini	-	++
VIIIv	nucleus ventralis nervi vestibulocochlearis	-	+
IXm	nucleus motorius nervi glossopharyngei	-	+
Xm	nucleus motorius nervi vagi	++	+
XII	nucleus motorius nervi hypoglossi	-	+

The relative density of labeling was classified as weak (+), moderate (++), and strong (+++). cc: central canal; LV: lateral ventricle; 3V: third ventricle.

Table 2. Primer sequences for cloning, reverse transcription-PCR, and real-time quantitative PCR.

Gene product	Forward primer (5'-3')	Reverse primer (5'-3')
Cloning		
<i>GRP</i>	TCTGACTGCAGACACATCATC	TTTGTGGAGCGGAAACCTCTG
<i>GRPR</i>	GAGGCTAACCCTGAATCTGATTACT	TAGGTGCTTTGTAGGTAGGTCAATG
Reverse transcription-PCR		
<i>GRP</i>	TCTGACTGCAGACACATCATC	TTTGTGGAGCGGAAACCTCTG
<i>GRPR</i>	ATTGCGAAGAATTTGATGAGGAGTG	GTGGTTAGTGCTTTTGAGAGAAGTC
<i>GAPDH</i>	TTCACTGCCACCCAGAAGA	GTGGCTGTAGCCCACTCG
Real-time quantitative PCR		
<i>GRP</i>	CCCAGCAACACACAGAACCG	GCTCGCTCCACTCCCTCAT
<i>GRPR</i>	GTCCATGACTCTCGCCATCC	ATGGATTCTGGGGTGCAGTC
<i>Drosha</i>	TTACAGACCGCTGTTTGCTG	CAATTCGAGAGGGAGTTTCG

Abbreviations: GRP, gastrin-releasing peptide; GRPR, GRP receptor.

Table 3. Primary antibodies used in this study.

Antigen	Description	Source, host species, cat#, or code#	Working dilution	RRID
GRP	Synthetic peptide mapping at 20–29 amino acids (GSHWAVGHLM) of rat GRP	AssayPro, rabbit polyclonal, 11081-05015	1:2,000	AB_2571636
GRPR	Synthetic peptide mapping at 195–208 amino acid residues (CAPYPHSNGLHPRI) of <i>Xenopus</i> GRPR	Generated by our laboratory, rabbit polyclonal, XGR14	1:100,000	AB_2832951

Abbreviations: RRID, Research Resource Identifier; GRP, gastrin-releasing peptide; GRPR, GRP-preferring receptor.

Legends for Supplementary Figures

S1 Fig. Amino acid sequence alignment of gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP), neuromedin

B (NMB) and bombesin. The signal peptide in each sequence by SignalP is shown in a gray box. Bioactive GRP (mature GRP) and neuromedin C (NMC) /GRP-10 site are highlighted in pink and a magenta box respectively. Bioactive NMB in human and rat and bombesin-like peptides previously found in frogs are highlighted in yellow and blue respectively.

Carboxyl-terminal extension peptide sites are represented by black line. IDs for the protein sequences used in this analysis are shown in S1 Table.

S2 Fig. Amino acid sequence alignment of gastrin-releasing peptide-preferring receptor

(GRPR), neuromedin B-preferring receptor (NMB) and bombesin receptor subtype-3

(BRS-3). The seven transmembrane domains (TM1-7) in each sequence identified by

TMHMM2.0 program are shaded in gray. IDs for the protein sequences used in this analysis are shown in S1 Table.

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.093955>; this version posted May 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 1

Xenopus tropicalis: Xenopus_tropicalis_v9.1 (GCF_000004195.3) Chr 3 (NC_030679.1)

Nanorana parkeri: ASM93562v1 (GCF_000935625.1) NW_017307346.1

Microcaecilia unicolor: avicun1.1 (GCF_801765095.1) Chr 1 (NC_044031.1)

Rhinatrema bivittatum: aRhiBiv1.1 (GCF_901001135.1) Chr 13 (NC_042627.1)

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 2

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 3

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 5

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.093935>; this version posted May 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 6

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 7

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.093955>; this version posted May 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 8-1

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.093955>; this version posted May 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 8-2

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.093955>; this version posted May 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 9-1

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.093955>; this version posted May 13, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Hirooka *et al.*, Figure 9-2

(a) Previous

(b) Current study