Supramammillary neurons projecting to the septum regulate dopamine and motivation for environmental interaction

Andrew J. Kesner^{1,2}, Rick Shin¹, Coleman B. Calva¹, Reuben F. Don¹, Sue Junn¹, Christian T. Potter¹, Leslie A. Ramsey⁴, Ahmed F. Abou-Elnaga¹, Christopher G. Cover³, Dong V. Wang¹, Hanbing Lu³, Yihong Yang³, and Satoshi Ikemoto^{1*}

¹ Behavioral Neuroscience Research Branch, ³ Neuroimaging Research Branch, ⁴ Ex Vivo Electrophysiology Core, Intramural Research Program, National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, Maryland, 21224 USA.

² NIH-Johns Hopkins University Graduate Partnership Program, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA.

* Correspondence should be sent to:
Satoshi Ikemoto
NIDA-IRP
251 Bayview Boulevard, Suite 200
Baltimore, MD 21224, USA
Email: satoshi.ikemoto@nih.gov

Abstract

The supramammillary region (SuM) is a posterior hypothalamic structure, known to regulate hippocampal theta oscillations and arousal. However, recent studies reported that the stimulation of SuM neurons with neuroactive chemicals, including substances of abuse, is reinforcing. We conducted experiments to illuminate how SuM neurons mediate such effects. The excitation of SuM glutamatergic (GLU) neurons was reinforcing in mice; this effect was relayed by the projections to septal GLU neurons. SuM neurons were active during exploration and approach behavior and diminished activity during sucrose consumption. Consistently, inhibition of SuM neurons disrupted approach responses, but not sucrose consumption. Such functions are similar to those of mesolimbic dopamine neurons. Indeed, the stimulation of SuM-to-septum GLU neurons and septum-to-ventral tegmental area (VTA) GLU neurons activated mesolimbic dopamine neurons. We propose that the supramammillo-septo-VTA pathway is important in reinforcement and approach motivation and may play an important role in mood and substance-use disorders.

Introduction

The supramammillary region (SuM) is a posterior hypothalamic structure located just dorsal to the mammillary body (MB) and anterior to the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and robustly projects to much of the septohippocampal formation [1](#). Previous studies found that the SuM regulates hippocampal theta oscillations via the medial septum (MS) [2,3](#), implicating these structures in navigation and memory. More recent studies suggest roles for the SuM in motivation, reinforcement and substance abuse. Rats learn to self-administer neuroactive chemicals such as AMPA, picrotoxin, and nicotine, a key substance that reinforces tobacco consumption, directly into the SuM [4-6](#). Like the reinforcing effects mediated by dopamine (DA) [7](#), the reinforcing effects of SuM neuron stimulation are accompanied with arousal as indicated by heightened locomotor activity [8](#). Moreover, SuM glutamatergic neurons are found to drive wakefulness [9](#). Interestingly, the stimulation of SuM neurons increases DA concentrations in the ventral striatum (VStr) [4](#). These initial findings raise the possibility that in addition to reinforcement, SuM neurons may participate in increasing approach behavior, a motivation function that is firmly implicated in DA neuron activity [10,11](#).

DA signals are important in both reinforcement learning and motivation [12](#). Firing rates of DA neurons are known to convey reward-prediction error, the difference between expected and unexpected reward [13](#), and inactivation of the DA system disrupts reinforcement learning [14](#). In addition, increases in VStr DA activity invigorate approach behavior triggered not only by canonical rewards such as food and conditioned stimuli [10](#), but also non-canonical rewards such as unconditioned flashes of light [15](#). Consistently, inactivation of this DA system disrupts approach behavior, including instrumental (conditioned) and exploratory (unconditioned) behavior, and such effects can occur without disrupting food intake [16-20](#), suggesting that DA is more important during approach than consummatory behavior. Consistent with DA's role in both reinforcement and motivation, contingent stimulation of DA neurons reinforces responses as shown by intracranial self-stimulation procedures [21,22](#). Such a role was initially attributed to a subset of VTA-to-VStr DA neurons [23,24](#), consistent with the notion of functional heterogeneity among DA neurons [25,26](#). However, more recent studies show that contingent stimulation of DA neurons of the substantia nigra reinforces behavior similar to that of VTA DA neurons [21,27](#), suggesting some common functions shared among many DA neurons.

We conducted a series of operant self-stimulation experiments that suggest reinforcing effects are induced by the stimulation of SuM glutamatergic (GLU) neurons projecting to MS GLU neurons and MS GLU neurons projecting to the VTA. We then used in-vivo unit recording and chemical inhibition to examine functional roles of SuM neurons in approach and consummatory responses reinforced by sucrose reward. In addition, we conducted fMRI, fiber photometry and pharmacological experiments to establish the interactions between the SuM-to-septum-to VTA pathways and the VTA-to-VStr DA pathway.

Results

Phasic excitation of SuM neurons is reinforcing

We examined whether phasic excitation of SuM neurons is reinforcing using an optogenetic ICSS procedure with channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) expressed in SuM neurons of wild-type (C57BL/6J) mice (n=10; **Fig. 1a-b, Fig. S1**). To address the site-specificity issue, we prepared additional groups with viral vectors injected into the SuM with optic fibers placed adjacent to SuM in the MB (n=6) or the VTA (n=6). ICSS was measured in an operant-conditioning chamber equipped with two levers (**Fig. 1c**). One lever was assigned as the “active” lever on which a single depression (i.e. fixed ratio of 1) delivered a train of photostimulation (15 pulses at 25 Hz), and the other was the “inactive” lever, which had no programmed consequence. For the first 12 sessions (30 min per session), we examined whether experimentally-naïve mice would acquire, extinguish, and reacquire lever pressing reinforced by photostimulation. The first two sessions were a baseline period where lever pressing was not reinforced by photostimulation, and the three groups did not differ on active-lever presses (**Fig. 1d**; $3_{\text{group}} \times 2_{\text{session}}$ ANOVA: $F_{\text{group}}(2,19)=0.55$, $p=0.5845$). When active-lever pressing was reinforced by photostimulation in sessions 3-7, the mice increased active-lever pressing, but not inactive-lever pressing. The mice with optic fibers targeting the SuM had significantly greater active-lever presses than the mice with optic fibers targeting the MB or VTA, and MB and VTA mice did not differ from one another ($3_{\text{group}} \times 5_{\text{session}}$ ANOVA: $F_{\text{group}}(2,19)=15.79$, $p<0.0001$). The SuM-stimulation mice decreased active-lever pressing in sessions 8-10 when lever pressing was not reinforced, and reinstated it in sessions 11 and 12 when the contingent reinforcement returned (**Fig. 1d**; $3_{\text{group}} \times 2_{\text{reinforcer}} \times 2_{\text{session}}$ ANOVA for sessions 9-12, significant group x reinforcer interaction; $F_{\text{interaction}}(2,19)=9.69$, $p<0.01$).

To provide additional evidence for reinforcement, we reversed the active-inactive lever assignments for all mice. The SuM-stimulation mice quickly learned to reverse pressing between the two levers when the formerly active-lever became inactive and the formerly inactive lever became active (**Fig. 1e**; $2_{\text{lever}} \times 2_{\text{Assignment}} \times 2_{\text{session}}$ ANOVA: significant lever x assignment interaction; $F_{\text{interaction}}(1,9)=10.09$, $p<0.05$). Conversely, the MB-stimulation and VTA-stimulation mice failed to reverse pressing between the two levers (MB: $F_{\text{interaction}}(1,5)=2.1$, $p=0.2$; VTA: $F_{\text{interaction}}(1,9)=4.62$, $p=0.08$). These observations further support that direct stimulation of SuM neurons is reinforcing.

Excitation of SuM GLU neurons is reinforcing

The SuM is comprised of a heterogeneous population of neurons including GLU, DA and GABAergic neurons [3:9:28:29](#). To determine which type of neurons are responsible for the reinforcing effect, we selectively expressed ChR2 in these three respective neuron subtypes (**Fig. 1f-g; Fig. S2**). To address site specificity, we prepared a second cohort of vGlut2-Cre mice injected with a small volume (50nL, instead of 200nL) of the vector (n=6). Regardless of injection volumes, both vGlut2-Cre groups quickly learned to press on the active lever with no group difference (**Fig. 1h**; a $2_{\text{group}} \times 2_{\text{lever}} \times 7_{\text{session}}$ ANOVA, $F_{\text{group}}(1,16)=0.29$, $p=0.59$); thus, these data were combined. By contrast, TH-Cre and vGat-Cre mice failed to significantly acquire active-lever pressing (a $3_{\text{group}} \times 5_{\text{session}}$ ANOVA; $F_{\text{group}}(2,33)=5.79$; Tukey HSD posthoc tests for main group effects $P_{\text{vGlut2 vs Th}}=0.037$, $P_{\text{vGlut2 vs vGat}}=0.016$, $P_{\text{vGat vs Th}}=0.99$). These results suggest that the excitation of SuM GLU, but not DA or GABA, neurons is reinforcing.

SuM GLU neurons projecting to the septum and to the paraventricular thalamic nucleus mediate positive and negative reinforcement, respectively

To determine which downstream region receives reward signals from SuM, we examined three brain regions that receive prominent innervation from SuM GLU neurons and are known to be involved in motivation and affect: the septum, the ventral subiculum (vSub) and the paraventricular thalamic nucleus (PVT). Each vGlut2-Cre mouse received a Cre-dependent ChR2 vector into the SuM and optic fibers into the septum and vSub (n=7) or the septum and PVT (n=12), through which they would receive photostimulation to one region at a time. Mice quickly learned to increase lever pressing when they received contingent stimulation at the septum. By contrast, they did not increase lever pressing when they received stimulation at the vSub or PVT (**Fig. 2a-c**). Because septum placements in our first cohorts were confined to the dorsal part of the septum, and the SuM sends projections to the entire septal complex [1](#), we prepared a third cohort of mice that had a single implant targeting the ventral medial septum and the diagonal band of Broca (DBB). Overall mice with optic fibers in the corpus callosum or ventral to the septum near the anterior commissure did not lever press and were not included in any analysis (**Fig. S3**). In addition, the stimulation of VTA GLU neurons projecting to the septum (n=6) failed to reinforce lever pressing (**Fig. 2c**; a $4_{\text{group}} \times 5_{\text{session}}$ ANOVA: $F_{\text{group}}(3,45)=12.70$, $p<0.0001$, followed by Tukey HSD posthoc test, all p 's < 0.005). To confirm that terminal stimulation in vSub or PVT had activated local neurons, photostimulation was delivered to these regions 90 min before euthanasia, and brain tissue was stained for c-Fos, a marker for strong neuronal activation (**Fig. 2d-f**). c-Fos counts in the septum and PVT were significantly greater when stimulated than when not (**Fig. 2g**; unpaired t-tests, $p < 0.0001$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively). In the vSub, c-Fos counts were significantly greater in the hemisphere ipsilateral to stimulation (**Fig. 2g**; a $2_{\text{stimulation}} \times 2_{\text{hemisphere}}$ ANOVA: $F_{\text{interaction}}(1,13)=6.578$, $p<0.05$ followed by Bonferroni posthoc $p_{\text{ipsi-stim}} < 0.001$). Therefore, these results suggest that SuM GLU neurons projecting to the septum are more important in positive reinforcement than the other SuM pathways.

It was peculiar to find that stimulation of the SuM-to-Sept GLU pathway supported higher ICSS rates compared to SuM GLU cell body stimulation (cf. **Fig. 1h vs. 2b**; **Fig. S4**), since cell-body stimulation should activate neural populations more efficiently than that of terminal fibers. Furthermore, a growing body of literature suggests that PVT neurons mediate aversion [30-32](#). Therefore, the stimulation of SuM GLU cell bodies may have produced mixed effects of reinforcement: A positive effect via the septum and a possible negative effect via the PVT (**Fig. 2e**). To test this possibility, we used a real-time place preference/aversion procedure. Mice reduced time spent in the stimulation compartment when photostimulation was delivered at the PVT, whereas the same mice increased time spent in the stimulation compartment when photostimulation was delivered at the septum (**Fig. 2f-h**; $2_{\text{region}} \times 2_{\text{stimulation}}$ RM-ANOVA; $F_{\text{interaction}}(1,3)=548.7$, $p<0.001$; Bonferroni posthoc, SuM:PVT^{vGlut2} $p_{\text{baseline vs stim}} < 0.001$ and SuM:Sept^{vGlut2} $p_{\text{baseline vs stim}} < 0.01$). Thus, the results indicate that SuM GLU neurons projecting to the septum mediate positive reinforcement, whereas those projecting to the PVT mediate negative reinforcement.

Administration of AMPA into the septum is reinforcing and increases approach behavior

Since stimulation of SuM GLU terminals in the septum is reinforcing, we used an intracranial self-administration procedure (ICSA) [33](#) to determine whether the direct stimulation of septal neurons via AMPA receptors is reinforcing and, if so, which septal sub-regions mediate such effect. ICSA was performed in rats because rats offer larger brain areas for surveying site specificity than mice and because the head mounted microinjection pump [34](#) is too large in mice. Each rat ($n = 111$) received surgery for the implantation of a guide cannula targeting a septal site. While some rats had the opportunity to self-administer AMPA solutions (0.01-0.25 mM in 100 nl per infusion) into a single site, others had the opportunity to self-administer AMPA (0.03-0.1 mM in 100 nl per infusion) into multiple sites by systematically increasing the length of injection cannula over multiple sessions (**Fig. 3a-b**). Rats quickly learned to self-administer AMPA reliably into the zone along the midline of the septal area, but not the lateral part of the lateral septum (**Fig. 3a**). AMPA ICSA was completely abolished by co-administration of the AMPA receptor antagonist ZK 200775 (**Fig. 3c**; one-way RM ANOVA, $F(2,22) = 16.04$, $p < 0.005$; Tukey HSD test $p < 0.001$). Moreover, rats did not learn to self-administer AMPA into the nucleus accumbens shell or DBB. These results suggest that the medial part of the septum is particularly important in mediating the reinforcing effect induced by AMPA receptor stimulation. Interestingly, systemic injection of the DA receptor (DAR) antagonist SCH23390 significantly reduced intra-septal AMPA ICSA (**Fig. 3d**; RM-ANOVA; $F(1,6) = 21.701$, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that the self-administration behavior triggered by intra-septal AMPA depends on DA transmission.

Brief presentation of an unconditioned, visual-stimulus (VS) is known to serve as a reward in rodents [35-37](#). We took advantage of the properties of VS to investigate whether increased AMPA transmission in the septum can facilitate on-going reward-seeking behavior reinforced by VS. We used a VS because canonically used food rewards elicit consummatory responses, which suppress approach-behavior and can confound interpretation of modulatory effects of a manipulation. When 0.1 or 0.25 mM AMPA was infused into the midline septum in a non-contingent manner, rats increased active lever pressing reinforced by the 1-s presentation of a VS, while inactive lever pressing, which did not produce a VS, remained unchanged (**Fig. 3e**; $6_{\text{concentration}} \times 2_{\text{lever}}$ repeated measures ANOVA; $F_{\text{interaction}}(5,25) = 8.71$, $p < 0.0001$; Tukey HSD test $p < 0.01$; $n = 6$). These results suggest that the stimulation of septal neurons via AMPA receptors is not only reinforcing, but also potentiates ongoing approach behavior.

Excitation of septal GLU neurons is reinforcing

The above experiment with AMPA injections confirmed that glutamatergic inputs to the septum mediate motivation and reinforcement. Subsequently, we used optogenetic procedures in mice to understand which neurons are responsible for glutamate-mediated positive reinforcement. Here, we first found that nonselective excitation of septal neurons is reinforcing in wildtype mice (WT: C57Bl/6J; $n=10$) (**Fig. 4a-c**). Then, we determined what neuronal phenotype is responsible for the effect. The septum contains GLU, GABAergic, and cholinergic neurons: GLU and cholinergic neurons are primarily located in the medial septum (MS), while GABAergic neurons are found throughout the septum [38-39](#). We found that vGlut2-Cre, but not vGat-Cre or ChAT-Cre, mice increased active lever-presses (**Fig. 4c, Fig. S5**; $4_{\text{group}} \times 5_{\text{session}}$ ANOVA:

$F_{\text{interaction}}(12,168)=4.03$, $p<.0001$ followed by Tukey posthoc HSD test). The results suggest that the excitation of septal GLU neurons is reinforcing.

The SuM-to-MS pathway is glutamatergic

We examined the extent to which SuM-to-MS neurons are glutamatergic. We used a retrograde tracer, AAV2-retro-EF1a-Nuc-flox(mCherry)-eGFP, which results in retrograde eGFP labeling in Cre-containing neurons and mCherry labeling in neurons without Cre ($n = 4$). Intra-MS injections of the vector in vGlut2-Cre mice (**Fig. 4d**) resulted in retrogradely-eGFP-labeled, but not mCherry-labeled, cells densely distributed in the SuM, sparsely distributed in the posterior hypothalamic region and absent in the mammillary region (**Fig. 4e**). The same injection produced both eGFP and mCherry labeling at the injection sites as well as in the medial horizontal limb of the DBB (**Fig. 4f**), which is known to project to the MS. The results suggest that the SuM-to-MS pathway is primarily glutamatergic.

SuM neurons monosynaptically excite septal GLU neurons

We performed a slice patch-clamp electrophysiology procedure to determine whether the afferents from the SuM provide excitatory inputs to MS GLU neurons via GLU transmission. We expressed ChR2 in SuM GLU neurons and labeled MS GLU neurons with AAV1-DIO-nucTdTomato for subsequent stimulation and recording (**Fig. 4g**). All recorded neurons were adjacent to SuM terminals expressing ChR2-eYFP (**Fig. 4h**). Of 14 cells recorded, 12, including both tdTomato-positive and tdTomato-negative neurons, displayed an optogenetically induced excitatory postsynaptic potential (oEPSP) upon 2-ms photostimulation (**Fig. 4i**). There was no significant difference in the magnitude of the EPSP between the 2 groups (unpaired t-test $p=0.49$) (**Fig. 4j**). In addition, a cocktail of the GLU antagonists DNQX and AP5 abolished the oEPSPs (**Fig. 4k**; paired t-test, $p=0.01$). Finally, the latency to oEPSP from onset of photostimulation was in the sub-millisecond range (**Fig. 4l**; mean = 0.92, stdv = +0.15), which is in line with previous results showing that monosynaptic connections have a response latency of 1.1 milliseconds [40](#). These results are consistent with the finding that MS GLU neurons receive synaptic contacts from SuM neurons [41](#) and provide functional evidence that SuM neurons monosynaptically excite MS GLU neurons via GLU transmission.

Consummatory behavior decreases the activity of SuM neurons

We next performed in-vivo extracellular single-unit recordings to examine the activity of SuM neurons during motivated behavior (**Fig. 5a**). Mice were trained to respond on a lever that led to the presentation of auditory stimuli signaling whether or not sucrose-solution reward would be delivered (**Fig. 5b**). Over 5 sessions, mice displayed increased latency to enter the sucrose port upon presentation of an auditory stimulus signaling no reward (CS-), while maintaining relatively short latency when presented with the stimulus signaling the reward (CS+) (**Fig. 5c**; $2_{\text{cue}} \times 5_{\text{session}}$ RM-ANOVA; $F_{\text{interaction}}(4,20)=4.37$; $p=0.01$), suggesting that the mice learned to discriminate CS+ from CS-. The mice also spent more time in the sucrose port upon CS+ presentation (mean times spent 14.8 s $\pm$ stdv 7.1) compared to CS- (3.1 s $\pm$ 3.3), reflecting a consummatory response and no response, respectively. When the mice displayed stable responses between sessions, unit-recording sessions started. The most striking observation was that SuM neurons uniformly and markedly decreased firing rates when the mice entered the

sucrose port following CS+ compared to CS- and remained low until mice exited from the port (**Fig. 5d-f**). This suggests that consummatory behavior suppresses SuM neuron activity.

This observation is reminiscent of hippocampal theta oscillations, which occur when the host interacts with the environment and diminish when it engages in self-care activities such as feeding, drinking or grooming [42,43](#), and this is not surprising given the previous findings that the SuM modulates hippocampal theta oscillations [2,3](#). We examined whether the activity of SuM neurons coincided with locomotion. We found that the activity of 29.6% (45/152) of the neurons was significantly correlated (correlation coefficient R-value > 0 and P-value < 0.01) with locomotor activity during the session. We further analyzed the unit-locomotor activity data, with respect to the mice's location within the chamber, based on the rationale that if SuM neurons are involved in reward-seeking process, correlations may change depending on the location within the chamber ('near' vs 'away' from the port; **Fig. S6a-b**). After excluding locomotor and single-unit data during the period that the mice were immobile at the port, we found decreased correlations between the unit activity and locomotor activity and no difference in such correlation between the compartments: 14.4% (22/152) and 16.4% (25/152) for 'near' and 'away', respectively (**Fig. S6c**). Most neurons that significantly correlated to locomotor activity did so with relatively small R-values (**Fig. S6d**). In addition, we examined SuM neuron activity with respect to grooming as mice occasionally performed this behavior during the session. We found that while subsets of SuM neurons show changes in firing rate either before or after initiating or ending a grooming bout, the majority show no change in firing rate to these behavioral events (**Fig. S6e**). These results suggest that locomotor activity or grooming is not strongly correlated with unit activity of SuM neurons, and therefore the decreased activity of SuM neurons is attributable to consummatory behavior, rather than behavioral immobility associated with the reward consumption.

With respect to the reward-seeking task, we found that SuM neurons responded heterogeneously. During the two-seconds before lever pressing, some of SuM neurons increased (38.8%, 59/152) and 8.6% (13/152) decreased firing rates, while others remain unchanged (52.6%, 80/152). The presentation of CS+ resulted in increased firing rates for 36.2% (n=55/152) of neurons, decreased for 23.0% (n=35/152) and no change for 40.8% (n=62/152) (determined by z-score normalized to time period -10 to -6 s before lever press events with values ≥ 1 , ≤ 1 , or absolute value <1, respectively). The presentation of CS- resulted in 38.1% increase (n=58/152), 7.9% decrease (n=12/152), and 53.9% no change (n=82/152) in firing rate (**Fig. 5d-f**). These activity patterns of SuM neurons appear to be similar whether they project to the septum or not (**Fig. S7**). In summary, while SuM neurons did not selectively respond for reward-associated events, they tended to increase activity upon environmental events. Together with their decreased activity during consummatory behavior, we propose that SuM neurons are important for exploration during appetitive tasks.

Inhibition of SuM neurons disrupts sucrose-seeking, but not consummatory, responses

Our in-vivo single unit recording data suggests that SuM neuron activity is involved in behavioral interaction with the environment during reward seeking, and less so during consummatory behaviors. We examined whether the inhibition of SuM neurons selectively disrupts behavioral interaction with the environment, namely approach responses, while having little or no effects on

consummatory responses. Mice were trained to perform the same sucrose-seeking task described above (**Fig. 6a**), and then several metrics of approach and consummatory responses for sucrose were assessed while temporarily inhibiting SuM neurons by intracranial infusions of a mixture of the GABA_A and GABA_B receptor antagonists, muscimol and baclofen (mus+bac; **Fig. 6b**) [44](#). Mice all learned to discriminate between cues by the end of training (**Fig. 6c**), while injections of mus+bac into the SuM increased latency to approach the sucrose port following both CS+ and CS- cues (**Fig. 6d-e**); caused poorer cue discrimination (**Fig. 6f**); and decreased general locomotor activity during the task (**Fig. 6g**). In addition, intra-SuM mus+bac decreased the overall number of sucrose rewards earned (**Fig. 6h**), thereby decreasing time spent in the sucrose port (**Fig. 6i**). Thus, intra-SuM injections of mus+bac disrupted both approach behaviors and appetitive response measures associated with reward seeking. These observations could be explained by drowsiness, reduced approach responses, reduced appetite for sucrose, or one or a combination of these consequences. To address this issue, we conducted another experiment that examined ad-lib sucrose intake over a 30-min session after intra-SuM mus+bac injections in the same mice (**Fig. 6j**). In this experiment, we found no significant difference in overall sucrose consumption between mus+bac and vehicle infusions (**Fig. 6k**). Interestingly, mus+bac injections caused them to consume sucrose more at the beginning of the session (**Fig. 6l**; $6_{\text{epoch}} \times 2_{\text{treatment}}$ ANOVA; $F_{\text{interaction}(5,25)}=4.579$, $p<0.005$) and increased the bout length of sucrose drinking (**Fig. 6m**). Again, mus+bac injections decreased locomotion (**Fig. 6n**). These results are not consistent with drowsiness or reduced appetite, but with the notion that SuM inhibition disrupts interaction with the environment, including reward-approach responses and exploratory behavior, indicated by locomotor activity. Similarly, the inhibition of the VStr-VTA DA pathway is also known to disrupt approach and locomotor behavior while having little or no effect on consummatory behavior [16,19](#).

SuM-to-septum GLU ICSS depends on DA transmission

Because of the emerging functional similarities between SuM GLU neurons and VTA DA neurons described in experiments above, we examined whether the ICSS reinforced by the stimulation of SuM-to-MS GLU neurons depends on DA transmission. To this end, we compared effect of DAR blockade on ICSS reinforced between the SuM-to-septum GLU and VTA-VStr DA pathways. We selectively expressed ChR2 in SuM GLU or VTA DA neurons to stimulate their terminals at the septum of vGlut2-Cre mice ($n=6$) or the VStr of Th-Cre mice ($n=8$), respectively (**Fig. 7a**). Each of these groups acquired ICSS of their respective pathways (**Fig. 7b**, sessions 3-9). The SuM-to-MS GLU group tended to respond at faster rates than the VTA-VStr DA group. To make appropriate comparisons between these two groups, laser intensity was adjusted in such that ICSS responses per session of each mouse were around 200 active presses per session (**Fig. 7b**, sessions 10-12). After ICSS responses of each mouse stabilized to <20% change over two sessions, effects of the DAR1 antagonist SCH 39166 and the DAR2 antagonist raclopride on ICSS and locomotor activity were examined. SCH 39166 injections decreased active lever-presses ($2_{\text{group}} \times 3_{\text{dose}}$ ANOVA: $F_{\text{dose}(2,24)}=29.82$, $p<0.0001$) for both SuM-to-septum GLU and VTA-VStr DA groups in a similar manner, while having no effect on inactive lever-presses ($F_{\text{dose}(2,24)}=2.05$, $p=0.15$) and with no interaction or group differences detected for either metric. SCH 39166 injections also decreased locomotor activity for both groups in a similar degree ($F_{\text{dose}(2,24)}=39.52$, $p<0.0001$) but the VTA-VStr DA group displayed greater locomotor activity than the SuM-to-septum GLU group during ICSS sessions

($F_{\text{group}}(1,12)=4.79$, $p<0.05$) (**Fig. 7c**). Similarly, raclopride injections significantly decreased active lever-presses ($F_{\text{dose}}(2,24)=10.49$, $p<0.001$) and locomotor activity (crossing $F_{\text{dose}}(2,24)=5.36$, $p<0.05$), but not inactive lever-presses ($F_{\text{dose}}(2,24)=2.71$, $p<0.09$) (**Fig. 7d**). Additionally, the VTA-VStr DA group again tended to display greater locomotor activity than the SuM-to-septum GLU group ($F_{\text{group}}(1,12)=4.59$, $p = 0.053$). These results suggest that the excitation of VTA-VStr DA neurons was accompanied with stronger movement arousal than that of SuM-to-septum GLU neurons and that the reinforcing effect mediated by SuM-to-septum GLU neurons depends on DA transmission to a similar degree as that mediated by VTA-to-VStr DA neurons.

fMRI: Induced BOLD signal distributions are similar between SuM-to-septum GLU and VTA-VStr DA pathway stimulation

We next examined whether the stimulation of SuM-to-septum GLU neurons activates brain structures similar to that of VTA-VStr DA neurons. fMRI imaging was performed in the same mice used for the DAR antagonist experiments. The mice were anesthetized and received experimenter-delivered stimulation of these two pathways (**Fig. 7e-f**). Similar patterns of blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) activation were observed upon stimulation between the two pathways (**Fig. 7g**, top and middle). The photostimulation of either pathway significantly increased BOLD activity ($p_{\text{corrected}} < 0.01$) in both VStr and septum. In addition, the activation of the SuM-to-septum GLU circuit increased BOLD in areas corresponding to ventral pallidum and pre-optic areas, the lateral hypothalamus, and midline thalamic nuclei. The activation of the VTA-VStr DA pathway additionally increased BOLD in a large portion of the anterior thalamus. Interestingly, a between-group comparison showed that VTA-to-VStr DA pathway stimulation activated both the VStr and septum more strongly than SuM-to-septum GLU circuit stimulation (**Fig. 7g**, bottom). These results indicate that SuM-to-septum GLU pathway stimulation can activate the VStr, while VTA-VStr DA pathway stimulation can activate the septum. Although technical limitations did not enable us to detect reliable signals in the deep brain structures, including the SuM and VTA, the results support the notion that self-administration behavior reinforced by the stimulation of the SuM-to-septum GLU pathway share common circuitry with that reinforced by the stimulation of the VTA-VStr DA pathway.

The excitation of SuM-to-septum GLU neurons activates VTA-to-VStr DA neurons

We conducted several experiments to examine functional linking between SuM-to-MS GLU neurons and VTA-VStr DA neurons. We performed three experiments using fiber-photometry imaging procedures and one tracer experiment. First, we found that the stimulation of SuM-to-septum GLU neurons activated VTA- DA neurons (**Figs. 8a, S8a and S9a**). Second, we found that the stimulation of MS GLU neurons increased DA release in the VStr (**Figs. 8b, S8b and S9b**). Third, we showed that MS GLU neurons project to the paranigral zone of the VTA and observed numerous terminal boutons of MS GLU neurons within the VTA (**Fig. 8c**). Finally, we found that the stimulation of MS-to-VTA GLU neurons increased DA release in the VStr (**Figs. 8d, S8c and S9c**). Moreover, we gave mice the opportunity to lever-press for the stimulation of MS-to-VTA GLU neurons and found that the levels of ICSS responding were positively correlated with those of VStr dLight signals (**Figs. 8d**). These results suggest that SuM-to-

septum GLU neurons can regulate VTA-VStr DA neurons for reinforcement via MS-to-VTA GLU neurons.

Discussion

We found that SuM GLU neurons regulate reinforcement and motivation and propose that such roles of SuM GLU neurons are mediated, in part, by their projections to septal GLU neurons that, in turn, project to the VTA, which regulate VTA-to-VStr DA neurons. Specifically, we propose that SuM GLU neurons regulate the host's interaction with the environment (arousal^{interaction} for a shorthand). It is not clear at this time whether the same SuM GLU neurons and the same MS GLU neurons mediate both reinforcement and motivation. Although our discussion below may imply the SuM-to-MS-to-VTA pathway for reinforcement and SuM-to-MS-to-hippocampal formation for arousal^{interaction}, such characterization is most likely too simplistic, and this issue should be addressed by future research.

Many lines of research suggest the role of SuM neurons, including those projecting to the MS, and MS neurons in arousal. For over decades, multiple neural populations have been implicated in arousal, including norepinephrine, histamine, cholinergic and orexinergic systems, which project broadly to the forebrain, and each population must be involved in different aspects or types of arousal [45-46](#). Briefly, the SuM and the MS are implicated in arousal with the following findings: First, SuM GLU neurons are shown to regulate wakefulness: the stimulation of SuM GLU neurons prolongs wakefulness, while the inhibition does not necessarily cause somnolence [9](#). Second, our unit activity experiment showed that the activity of SuM neurons markedly and uniformly decreased when the mice consumed sucrose reward. Third, the inhibition of SuM neurons disrupted sucrose-seeking responses and decreased locomotor activity without decreasing sucrose consummatory responses. Fourth, disinhibition of SuM neurons induced by GABA_A receptor blockade markedly increases locomotor activity and results in robust c-Fos expression in the SuM as well as in the septum [8](#). Fifth, intra-septal injections of AMPA increased behavioral interaction with an unconditioned visual stimulus. Sixth, neural activity of septal GLU neurons is positively correlated with locomotor speed, accompanied by hippocampal theta oscillations [41-47](#). These findings suggest arousing role of the SuM and the MS.

The supramammillo-septal system has been implicated in arousal related to cognitive processes. Previous research found that SuM neurons modulate theta oscillations through the projections to the MS, which in turn regulates theta oscillations [2-3](#). This mechanism can explain how theta oscillations are active during environmental interaction, but not during self-care activities such as food consumption [43](#), an observation that is similar to those of SuM neuron activity. Therefore, SuM neurons most likely play an important role in spatial navigation and latent learning, i.e. learning without rewards, involving spatial relationship of landmarks or events involving objects and other experiences, which are hallmark learning processes associated with theta oscillations [48](#).

The arousal role of SuM GLU neurons has little to do with the anticipation of rewards, but more to do with coordinating activities of other brain structures with respect to space navigation. While

some SuM neurons increased firing rates just prior to lever pressing, these activities do not seem to reflect prediction since the presentation of CS+ or CS- resulted in variable responses: increase, decrease or no change, and unit activity did not discriminate for a large part between CS+ and CS-. However, SuM neurons are involved in coordinating other structures for navigating the host to rewards [49](#). The inhibition of SuM neurons reduces coherences between theta oscillations and both prefrontal cortical and reuniens thalamic activity. Interestingly, while unit activities of the prefrontal cortex and the reuniens contain trajectory information for rewards, SuM activity does not [49](#). Thus, SuM neurons appear to coordinate activities of other structures in environmental interaction without guiding behavior. Such SuM's capacity in coordinating hippocampus-related structures is consistent with its proposed role in arousal^{interaction}.

An interesting implication is that the supramammillo-septal system plays an important role in latent learning and motivated behavior in the absence of rewards. As discussed above, SuM GLU neurons responds to broad environmental stimuli, including positive and negative, conditioned and unconditioned stimuli, and they are important in regulating the host's interaction with the environment, but not food intake. Animals and humans voluntarily engage in behavioral activities that are not readily linked with canonical rewards, such as wheel-running (in rodents), dancing (in humans) and exploration (animals and humans), which can be reinforcing. Animal research shows that animals work to obtain the opportunity for exploration [50-51](#) or wheel-running [52-53](#), and these activities results in significant c-Fos expressions in the SuM [54-55](#). Therefore, in contrast to DA neurons, which play an important role in motivation and learning involved in canonical rewards, supramammillo-septal neurons are important in motivation and learning in the absence of canonical rewards.

Additional notable implications concern addiction, depression and the regulation of DA neurons. While nicotine is found to act at the SuM for its reinforcing effect (Ikemoto et al. 2006), the present data implicates the supramammillo-septal system in substance abuse more prominently than previously thought. The present study found that MS glutamate neurons specifically MS-to-VTA GLU neurons support optogenetic self-stimulation and regulate VTA DA neurons and VStr DA levels. In addition, fMRI data indicated that VTA-to-VStr DA neurons can regulate SuM-to-septal GLU neurons. These results raise a possible positive-feedback relationship between the systems and raises the possibility that any substance that stimulates VTA DA neurons also activates SuM-to-septal GLU neurons and, in turn, further activates the VTA DA neurons. This new understanding of functional connections between these systems has important implications for future research on neural mechanism of substance abuse, but also normal motivated behaviors and psychiatric disorders such as depression.

Finally, brief discussion is warranted on the finding that the stimulation of SuM GLU neurons projecting to the PVT is aversive. This unexpected finding is interesting and potentially important because recent findings implicated the PVT in aversive-related affective functions. Opioid withdrawal is found to induce aversion via the activation of PVT neurons projecting to the VStr [30](#). Similarly, PVT neurons projecting to the central amygdaloid nucleus are shown to regulate the acquisition and expression of fear memory [32](#). Thus, it is possible that SuM neurons participate in such functions. Consistent are the findings that morphine withdrawal induces high c-Fos expression in the SuM, and the presentation of conditioned stimuli associated with sickness induces expressions of c-Fos in the SuM and PVT [56](#). As far as we know, our data is

the first to show an afferent pathway to PVT that mediates aversion. The notion of a role of SuM in arousal^{interaction} is consistent with an involvement in positive and negative emotional valence, since a balance between these states is necessary for appropriate environmental interaction. However, further research is needed to understand how SuM neurons participate in aversion and whether or how SuM neurons involved in negative affective state are independent of those involved in positive state.

Figures

Figure 1: Phasic excitation of SuM glutamatergic neurons is reinforcing

(a) Schematic of hSyn-ChR2 viral injection targeting SuM neuron cell bodies and optic fiber implantation targeting SuM with MB and VTA placements as site controls. Below is a key explaining group naming based on optogenetic procedures in all figures.

(b) Examples of histology showing ChR2-eYFP expression in SuM and surrounding tissues with optic fiber placements (yellow dotted) in SuM (top), MB (middle), and VTA (bottom). Scale bar = 200uM.

(c) Schematic of mouse operant-conditioning chamber equipped with two levers.

(d) Active (closed circles) and inactive (open circles) lever presses per session (means ± SEM). SuM-stimulation group have significantly higher ICSS rates than the other two groups during acquisition sessions 3-7 and reacquisition sessions 11-12. All animals pressed very little on inactive lever, so their data is shown but not further analyzed. *** p < 0.001 and ** p < 0.01, with Tukey posthoc HSD test.

(e) Lever reversal experiment. The data are mean lever presses + SEM. SuM-stimulation group responded on lever 1 significantly more than lever 2 when lever 1 was active, #p < .012; and they reversed responding and responded on lever 2 significantly more than lever 1 when lever 2 became active, *p < 0.001.

(f) Schematic showing Cre specific ChR2 viral injection targeting SuM neurons and optic fiber implantation targeting SuM performed in vGlut2-Cre (n=12), TH-Cre (n=9) and vGat-Cre (n=11) mice.

(g) Examples of histology showing ChR2-eYFP expression in SuM in vGlut2-Cre (top), Th-Cre (middle), and vGat-Cre mice with optic fiber tracts (yellow) in SuM. Scale bar = 200uM.

484 (h) Active lever presses per session (means $\pm$ SEM). Photostimulation reinforced active lever
 485 pressing of vGlut2-Cre mice, but not Th- or vGat-Cre mice: vGlut2-Cre mice responded greater
 486 than Th- or vGat-Cre mice. * $p < 0.05$. One of the vGlut2-Cre group received a 50-nl volume of
 487 the AAV, to minimize the diffusion of the vector, while the other received 200 nl.

Figure 2. SuM GLU neurons projecting to the septum and to the paraventricular thalamic nucleus mediate positive and negative reinforcement, respectively

(a) Schematics showing Cre-specific virus injections into SuM and optogenetic stimulation sites in sept vs vSub or sept vs PVT. Line graph data show mean lever presses with SEM for each group.

(b) Summary of active lever presses (means $\pm$ SEM) per session. AAV was injected into the SuM with optic fibers targeting septum (n=23), PVT (n=12), or vSub (n=7), or AAV was injected into VTA with fiber placed in septum (n=6). SuM:Sept^{vGlut2} mice responded more than any other groups over sessions 3-7. **p<0.002.

(c) c-Fos expression after stimulation at respective sites. Arrows indicate the tips of optic fiber; green labels indicate vGlut2 neurons expressing ChR2 (scale bar = 200uM); and c-Fos-ir is shown in red (scale bar = 100uM).

(d) Summary of c-Fos counts (mean + SEM). c-Fos counts in septum and PVT were significantly greater when stimulated than when not (unpaired t-test, p<0.0001, and p=0.0008, respectively). In the vSub, c-Fos counts were significantly greater in the hemisphere ipsilateral to stimulation than contralateral to stimulation or when not stimulated ($2_{\text{stimulation}} \times 2_{\text{hemisphere}}$ ANOVA: $F_{\text{interaction}}=0.0235$, p=0.0235; Bonferroni posthoc $p_{\text{ipsi-stim}}<0.001$).

(e) Schematic of optogenetic preparation of within subject comparison of SuM:Sept^{vGlut2} vs SuM:PVT^{vGlut2} pathways in real-time place preference.

(f) Schematic of real-time place preference chamber. The stimulation compartment (STM) is shown with a dotted line.

(g) Time spent in stimulation side (mean $\pm$ SEM) of the real-time place preference/aversion chamber during baseline (no stimulation) session and stimulation session with septal or PVT stimulation (**p<0.001, *p<0.01).

(h) Example heatmaps of mouse position showing time spent in each compartment. Warmer colors indicate more time spent in that area.

Figure 3: Administration of AMPA into the septum is reinforcing and increases approach behavior

(a) Effectiveness of AMPA self-administration sites. The septum is indicated in light orange, while the diagonal band of Broca is light green. Injection sites are shown in rectangles with three different colors, which indicate the effectiveness of self-administration at each injection site (observations from 111 rats): high rate (red; when the highest injection rate is 0.667 injections/min or greater); medium rate (orange; when the site supported 0.456 injections/min in 2 sessions or more); and low rates (gray; when rates fall into all other cases). The numbers indicate distances from bregma.

(b) Photomicrograph showing a cannula track with estimated injection sites. This rat received AMPA injections at 5 sites along the dorso-ventral track (black squares), and self-administered AMPA at a medium rate with cannula 1, high rates with cannulae 2 and 3, and low rates with cannulae 4 and 5.

(c) Effect of the AMPA receptor antagonist on AMPA self-administration. Rats ($n = 12$) received vehicle, 0.25 mM AMPA alone, and a mixture of 0.1 mM ZK200775 and 0.25 mM AMPA over 3 consecutive sessions. Co-administration of ZK with AMPA significantly reduced self-administration (mean + SEM; $*p < 0.001$).

(d) Effect of the DA receptor antagonist SCH 23390. ICASA rates of AMPA into the septum is significantly greater than those of vehicle (aCSF) or AMPA with the pretreatment of SCH 23390 (0.025 mg/kg, i.p.; mean + SEM; $*p < 0.01$).

(e) Effects of non-contingent intra-septal AMPA injections on visual-stimulus seeking behavior (mean + SEM; $*p < 0.01$).

Figure 4: Excitation of septal GLU neurons is reinforcing and SuM neurons monosynaptically excites septal GLU neurons.

(a) Schematic showing septal site of AAV injection and optic fiber placement. This was done in WT (n=10), vGat- (n=18), vGlut2- (n=12), and ChAT-Cre (n=6) mice, to stimulate all, GABAergic, glutamatergic, or cholinergic neurons in the septum.

- 547 (b) Example histology of ChR2-eYFP expression in the septum and optic fiber placements
548 (yellow dotted outline) for the 4 strains of mice used. Scale bar = 100uM.
- 549 (c) Active lever press (means $\pm$ SEM) per session. Group effects for session 3-7; *, $p_{WT \text{ vs } vGat} =$
550 0.0008, $p_{WT \text{ vs } ChAt} = 0.0071$, and $p_{vGlut2 \text{ vs } vGat} = 0.0077$, $p_{vGlut2 \text{ vs } ChAt} = 0.0397$, and WT and vGlut2-
551 Cre mice did not differ, $p=0.8036$, nor did vGat- and ChAt-Cre mice, $p=0.99$.
- 552 (d) Schematic showing an injection of the retrograde vector into the MS of the vGlut2-Cre
553 mouse.
- 554 (e-f) Photomicrogram showing retrogradely-labeled glutamatergic cells in green and non-
555 glutamatergic cells in red. Abbreviations: cc, corpus callosum; DBB, diagonal band of Broca; fr,
556 fasciculus retroflexus; LS, lateral septum; ml, medial lemniscus; MM, medial mamillary nucleus;
557 MS, medial septum; PH, posterior hypothalamic region; SHi, septohippocampal nucleus; VStr,
558 ventral striatum.
- 559 (g) Schematic showing injection preparation for ex vivo brain slice electrophysiology
560 experiments to determine whether SuM vGlut2 neurons can monosynaptically excite septal
561 vGlut2 neurons.
- 562 (h) Top row shows an example of a septum neuron expressing Td-tomato, and therefore
563 glutamatergic, surrounded by ChR2-eYFP fibers, the image was taken before patch-clamp
564 electrophysiology of this neuron. Bottom row shows example histology of the septal area with
565 Td-tomato positive cells localized primarily to medial septum and SuM:Sept^{vGlut2} terminals
566 innervating the septal complex. Scale bar top = 10uM, bottom = 200uM.
- 567 (i) Representative EPSPs from recorded septum neurons. Traces are calculated from average
568 responses of cells at the midpoint of data sets. Postsynaptic response is abolished by GLU
569 antagonists. Blue square represents 2ms blue-light laser stimulation.
- 570 (j) Summary data showing the amplitude of EPSPs in septum neurons.
- 571 (k) Summary data showing the effect of GLU antagonists on EPSP amplitude. In all recorded
572 cells, treatment with GLU receptor antagonists resulted in a significant decrease in the
573 amplitude of the EPSP (paired t-test, ** $p=0.01$).
- 574 (l) Summary data showing the latency to EPSP responses after onset of blue light pulse.

Figure 5: Consummatory behavior decreases the activity of SuM neurons

(a) Example histology showing tetrode bundle track (dotted yellow line) passing through SuM with the tip marked by lesion (dotted red circle) ending in dorsal MB. Green fluorescence is ChR2-eYFP expression. Scalebar 200uM.

(b) Diagram showing operant sucrose-seeking procedure with cue discrimination

(c) Latencies to enter sucrose port (mean $\pm$ SEM) after lever pressing and hearing either CS+ or CS- cues during training sessions. * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

(d-f) Top: Heatmaps of normalized firing rates of SuM neurons during lever presses that initiate CS+ or CS- events (d), nose poke entry (e) and nose poke withdrawing (f) that follow CS+ or CS- lever presses. Neurons are arranged in the same sequence from top to bottom across all heatmaps. Bottom: Traces indicate mean normalized firing rate ($\pm$ SEM) of neurons that are categorized as increasing, decreasing, or non-responding to CS+ and CS- with the same time scale as the raster plot above. The percentage of neurons in each category is shown in the boxes below.

Figure 6: Inhibition of SuM neurons disrupts reward seeking, but not consumption

(a) Diagram showing operant sucrose-seeking procedure with cue discrimination

(b) SuM cannulation for muscimol + baclofen infusions. Left: Schematic showing a guide cannula targeting the SuM. Middle: Darkfield histological example showing SuM guide-cannula track with injection-cannula track outlined in dotted-yellow line; scale bar = 500uM. Right: Diagram showing coronal sections with the placements of cannulae.

(c-i) Performance measures during the operant sucrose-seeking task. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, paired-t-test.

(j) Schematic of mouse operant-conditioning chamber equipped with two levers

(k-n) Performance measures during the sucrose drinking test. Sucrose intake over the course of the 30-min test are shown in L. **p<0.01, paired-t-test.

Figure 7: SuM-to-septum GLU ICSS depends on DA transmission and induced BOLD signal distributions are similar between SuM-to-septum GLU and VTA-VStr DA pathway stimulation

(a) Schematics showing sites of AAV injections and optic fibers.

- 611 (b) Lever presses per session (mean $\pm$ SEM). In sessions 10-12, laser power was titrated for
 612 each individual mouse as to support ICSS rates ~200 active presses per session.
- 613 (c) Effects of low and high doses of DAR1 antagonism on active and inactive lever press rates
 614 and locomotor activity (mean $\pm$ SEM). See text for description of statistical differences.
- 615 (d) Effects of low and high doses of DAR2 antagonism on active and inactive lever press rates
 616 and locomotor activity (mean $\pm$ SEM). See text for description of statistical differences.
- 617 (e) Cartoon showing an anesthetized mouse with passive stimulation in a fMRI scanner.
- 618 (f) Diagram of block design for experimenter-delivered stimulation-trains during discrete
 619 ON/OFF epochs.
- 620 (g) BOLD response patterns. Coronal sections roughly correspond to Franklin and Paxinos
 621 Mouse Brain Atlas images 21, 26, 31, 35, and 41 (from left to right). Only data from
 622 diencephalonic regions are shown as the present fMRI method was not optimized for detecting
 623 lower brain structures such as the VTA. All BOLD responses shown are significant to $p < 0.01$.
- 624
- 625

Figure 8: The excitation of SuM-to-septum GLU neurons activates VTA DA neurons and vice versa

(a) Top: Schematics showing sites of AAV injections and optic fibers. Bottom: Mean traces of GCaMP transients evoked by the stimulation of SuM-to-MS GLU neurons for individual mice (n=5).

(b) Top: Schematics showing sites of AAV injections and optic fibers. Bottom: Mean traces of dLight transients evoked by the stimulation of MS GLU neurons for individual mice (n=6).

(c) Fluorophores induced by MS injection of AAV-FLEX-mGFP-2A-SYP-mRuby in Vglut2-Cre mice. This vector fills the entire Cre (i.e. GLU)-containing cells with mGFP and their terminal boutons with mRuby. Abbreviations: cc, corpus callosum; DBB, diagonal band of Broca; LS, lateral septum; MS, medial septum; PBP, parabrachial pigmented nucleus of the VTA; PN, paranigral nucleus of the VTA; RN, red nucleus; VStr, ventral striatum. Top left: Example photomicrogram showing injection site. Bottom left: Example photomicrogram showing fluorophores expressed in the VTA. Right: Enlarged squared region showing terminal boutons in the VTA.

(d) Top: Schematics showing sites of AAV injections and optic fibers. Bottom: Mean traces of dLight transients evoked by the stimulation of MS-to-VTA GLU neurons for individual mice (n=6). Insert: Correlation coefficient between evoked dLight-signals in the VStr and the ICSS levels in session 5.

Online Methods

Animals

Mice: We used adult male and female mice (2-4 mo.) weighing 25-35g at the time of surgery. C57BL6J were obtained from Jackson Labs, while transgenic mice were obtained and then bred at the National Institute on Drug Abuse Intramural Research Program animal facility: (Vglut2)::IRES-Cre (Slc17a6tm2(cre)Lowl); Jackson Labs), (vGat)::IRES-Cre (Slc32a1tm2(cre)Lowl); Jackson Labs), ChAT-IRES-Cre::ftr-neo-ftr (Chattm2(cre)Lowl); Jackson Labs), and (Th)::IRES-Cre knock-in mice (Lindeberg et al., 2004). When not being tested, all mice were individually housed in a vivarium and maintained on a 12:12 light-dark cycle (lights on at 07:00 AM).

Rats: We used 111 male Wistar rats (Envigo, Indianapolis, IN) weighing 250-350 g at the time of surgery. The colony room was maintained at consistent temperature and humidity on a reverse 12 h dark 12 h light cycle (light on at 8:00 PM). Food and water were freely available except during testing.

For all animals performing intracranial self-stimulation or self-administration, food and water were freely available except during testing. Mice performing sucrose-seeking were water restricted for ~20 hours before training and were provided additional access to water in home cages in the afternoon before returning to the animal colony. All procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Intramural Research Program, National Institute on Drug Abuse and were in accordance with the Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals (National Research Council, 2011).

Mouse in-vivo optogenetic stimulation

Viral vectors

AAVs (**Method Table 1**) were obtained from NIDA Genetic Engineering and Viral Vector Core (GEVVC; Baltimore, MD), and Addgene (Watertown, MA).

Method Table 1: List of AAVs

AAV	Titer (vg/m)	Source
AAV1-hSyn-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP	7×10^{12}	GEVVC
pAAV1-Ef1a-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-EYFP	7×10^{12}	Addgene
AAV1-Syn-ChrimsonR-tdTomato-WPRE	2.3×10^{13}	Addgene
AAV2-retro-EF1a-Nuc-flox(mCherry)-eGFP	3.4×10^{12}	GEVVC
AAV9-Syn-FLEX-GCaMP7f-WPRE	2.7×10^{11}	Addgene
pAAV5-Syn-FLEX-rc[ChrimsonR-tdTomato]	2.0×10^{13}	Addgene
pAAV5-hSyn-dLight1.2	8.7×10^{12}	Addgene
AAV1-syn1-FLEX-mGFP-2A-SYP-mRuby	6.9×10^{11}	GEVVC
AAV1-EF1a-DIO-Nuc-dTom	7.2×10^{12}	GEVVC

Surgery

Coordinates used for various brain regions are described in **Methods Table 2**. These coordinates represent the placement of the tip of optic fiber. Injections of ChR2 viral vectors are

always 0.2mm ventral to these coordinates. Mice were anesthetized using isoflourane and placed in a stereotaxic apparatus for surgery. For each experiment, one of the viral vectors (exp. 1: 300nL; exp.2: 150-200nL, and 50nL in a subset of vGlut2-Cre mice; exp. 3a 200nL; all other viral injections for other experiments were 150nL) was microinjected by a syringe pump (Micro 4, World Precision Instruments) at 50 nL/min, with additional 5-10 min waiting before removal of the injection needle (34 gauge, beveled). Then, optic fibers (200 μ m core size with numerical aperture of 0.37), constructed as described before [57](#), were chronically implanted secured on the skull with dental cement (Geristor A and B cement, Denmat; part #s 4506 and #034522101). For experiments stimulating SuM or adjacent cell bodies, hSyn-driven ChR2 was injected into the SuM, and a single optic fiber was implanted to stimulate one of the following regions – SuM, MB, or VTA. Similarly, to study SuM neuron subtypes, a Cre-dependent ChR2 was injected into SuM and fibers placed above SuM. For experiments studying SuM neuron terminals, Cre-dependent ChR2 was injected into SuM and fibers placed in the septum (either MS or LS) and PVT or vSub. In these studies, one cohort received implants in both the vSub and septal area; another cohort received implants in both PVT and septal area; a third cohort had a single implant in the ventral septal area/diagonal band of Broca, to stimulate ventrally to those placed in the dorsal septal area; and a fourth cohort received injections in the VTA and optic fibers in the septum. For real-time place preference experiments studying septum and PVT, each mouse received injections of Cre-dependent ChR2 in SuM and one fiber in septal area and another in PVT. For experiment directly stimulating septal neurons, hSyn-driven or Cre-dependent viral vector injections and optic fibers we placements were in the LS or MS.

Recovery and experimenter habituation

For cell body stimulation a two-week recovery/incubation period was followed by handling for 3 days, 5-10min each day, by the experimenter conducting behavioral tests, followed by 30min habituation session in the test chambers the day before experimentation began. The same post-surgery procedure was followed for neuron terminal stimulation experiments, except a six-week recovery/incubation period was given to allow for trafficking of opsins to projection terminals.

Optogenetic intracranial self-stimulation

Self-stimulation experiments were conducted in standard operant conditioning chambers (15.9 x 14.0 x 12.7 cm; Med Associates, St. Albans, VT) equipped with two levers and a small cue lamp above each lever, a house lamp, and 4 pairs of infrared detectors along the cage floor to detect locomotion. Mice were gently connected to a patch cable connected to a 473-nm laser for ChR2 stimulation via an optical swivel. Computer software (MEDPC; Med Associates, St. Albans, VT) controlled a pulse generator (MASTER 9; AMPI, Jerusalem, Israel) that controlled lasers. Each ICSS session lasted for 30 minutes, and sessions were typically separated by one day. For the first 10 sessions, a response on the “active” lever illuminated a cue lamp above the lever for one second. This cue procedure has been commonly used in intravenous self-administration procedure to facilitate the acquisition of lever pressing. A response on the “inactive” had no programmed consequence. To confirm that mice display ICSS without the visual cue, no cue was provided in session 11 and subsequent sessions.

During the first 2 sessions, lasers were turned off and intracranial photostimulation was not delivered to assess baseline response levels. During sessions 3-7, active lever pressing was rewarded with an intracranial photostimulation via the implanted optic fiber. For all optogenetic ICSS procedures in this study we maintained the following parameters for delivery of photostimulation: 15 pulses of blue light (473nm), with 5 ms pulse duration delivered at 25Hz. For experiments targeting SuM cell bodies, we used 5 mW light intensity at the tip of the optic fiber implant in the brain to limit the spread of light to adjacent anatomical structures. In experiments stimulating terminals, as well as to stimulate septal neuron cell bodies, we used 10mW laser intensity at the tip of the optic fiber in the brain to better recruit these larger populations of ChR2 expressing tissue. Acquisition sessions 3-7 were followed by three extinction sessions where active lever pressing delivered no photostimulation (sessions 8-10). Thereafter, two reacquisition sessions were carried out with photostimulation (sessions 11-12). In addition, the mice received a lever reversal test over 4 sessions in which the assignment of active and inactive levers with respect to the right and left levers was reversed without any cue before session 15 (sessions 13-16). In other experiments, mice were tested through session 7 to test for acquisition of ICSS only. For experiments testing SuM to septum/PVT/vSub pathway stimulation, mice were hooked up to one of their fiber implants and tested in the same manner as described above through session 7. Then for sessions 8-12, testing identical to sessions 3-7 were repeated, but mice were now connected to the fiber implant in the second region. We tested the mice in a counterbalanced way, such that in sessions 1-7 half of the mice performed ICSS for one region followed by ICSS for the second region in sessions 8-12, while the other half of mice performed ICSS for the same regions in the opposite order. Before euthanasia, a subset of these mice (vSub, n=10; PVT, n=6; septal area, n=6) received non-contingent photostimulation (one pulse train every second) for 15 minutes in operant chambers to stimulate ChR2 in terminals and analyze c-Fos, or were handled and placed similarly in chambers but received no photostimulation (n=8) to serve as control. Mice were left in their home cage for 45 minutes after this final session and then euthanized. In addition, for vSub c-Fos counts, tissue ipsilateral to stimulation was compared to the same tissue contralateral to stimulation. For all optogenetic ICSS experiments, any mouse that failed to press active lever more than 15 times total during acquisition sessions were removed from the study for lack of exposure to effects of the stimulation. When comparing ICSS rates between SuM to septum GLU and VTA to VStr DA pathway stimulation, we set lasers to achieve 10-mW laser intensity at the tip of the optic fiber in the brain during acquisition sessions and then in sessions 10-12 we titrated the laser intensity to tune lever pressing rates in each group to roughly 200 lever presses in the thirty-minute session. To achieve this, in general, laser power was increased up to 20-30mW for most VTA to VStr DA mice and was decreased down to 3mW for some SuM to septum GLU mice.

Note that in our experiment testing SuM GLU/GABA/DAergic cell bodies for ICSS, four mice that failed to lever-press more than 15 times total throughout the 7-day training period were removed from the study. Four vGat-cre mice had optic fibers placed posterior to SuM and were removed from the study. Three Th-cre mice did not show expression of ChR2-eYFP, likely due to an injection error, and were removed from the study. We note that two Th-Cre mice showed robust lever pressing rates, far higher than any other self-stimulating mouse in this study, and akin to optogenetic stimulation of midbrain DA neurons previously observed by our group [21](#). Indeed, these mice had strong viral expression throughout the extent of the VTA, an observation

consistent with the view that photostimulation activated VTA DA neurons; thus, they were also removed from the study.

Real-time place preference/aversion

Mice were acclimated in the room one hour prior to experiments. On the first day of testing each mouse was gently attached via either septum or PVT targeting optic fiber to a patch cable connected to a 473-nm laser for ChR2 stimulation via an optical swivel allowing for freedom to explore the entire arena (ANY-maze mouse place preference box; Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL). Video tracking software (EthoVision XT, Noldus, Leesburg, VA) tracked animal position and generated controlled laser via connection to a pulse generator (OPTOG-8, Doric Lenses, Quebec, Canada). After a 20-minute baseline period, light pulses [15pulses, 25Hz, 10mW; generated every second] were delivered only when mice centers crossed the border from center connector region of the chamber into room with striped walls. Mice were tested in this procedure on separate days, for a total of two sessions, to test the effects of each pathway in a counterbalanced manner.

DA antagonist procedures

DA receptor antagonists were made to stock concentrations and frozen. In the morning of drug administration sessions, aliquots of drug were thawed and diluted to working concentrations. Drugs were diluted with sterile saline, and HCL was used to aid in dissolving as needed, after which NaOH was used to return solution to near pH 7.3. Vehicle consisted of the same amount of acid and base added as drug solutions. DAR1 antagonists R(+)-SCH-23390 hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich; D054); SCH 39166 hydrobromide (Tocris Bioscience; Cat. No. 2299), and DAR2 antagonist S(-)-Raclopride (+)-tartrate salt (Sigma-Aldrich; R121) were used. For experiment 9, mice received intraperitoneal (IP) injections of saline for three sessions (sessions 10-12) to habituate to the injection procedure. These mice were then required to exhibit stabilized ICSS rates, as determined by $\leq 20\%$ change in active lever presses over two sessions, before moving on to DAR1 antagonist injection sessions. Mice were tested in three consecutive daily sessions, i.e. one session per day in the following order: Receiving in the first session vehicle, followed by a low dose of DAR1 antagonist SCH36199 (0.025mg/kg) on the next day, and then a high dose of SCH36199 (0.050mg/kg) on the third session. Next, mice performed 4-10 sessions of free ICSS before being tested with the DAR2 antagonist, raclopride, in the same daily session dose scheme – vehicle, followed by low dose (0.05mg/kg), and lastly the high dose (0.1mg/kg).

Fiber photometry for calcium imaging with optogenetic stimulation

We used the fiber photometry system manufactured by Doric Lenses (Quebec, Canada). The light pulse generator, consisting of a driver and LED units, produced light wavelengths (465 nm and 405 nm) in sinusoidal waveforms (208 Hz and 530 Hz, respectively), which were fed into a fluorescence mini-cube via patchcables (NA: 0.48; core diameter: 400 μ m). The mini-cube combined these wavelength lights and sent the combined beam into the brain via a patchcable (NA: 0.48; core diameter: 400 μ m) connected to the implanted optic fiber (NA: 0.48; core diameter: 200 or 400 μ m). The same patchcable/optic fiber assembly, in turn, carried the

emission of GCaMP or dLight (525 nm) as well as control (430 nm) back to the mini-cube, which then separated emission bandpass with beamsplitters and sent them to photoreceiver modules (Newport: model 2151) via patchcables (NA: 0.48; core diameter: 600 μ m). The photoreceiver modules quantified signals and sent them to the Fiber Photometry Console, which was controlled by Doric Neuroscience Studio software and synchronized the acquisition of the data with the output of lights. Recording data were collected at the rate of 1200 Hz.

Med Associates' system (Fairfax, VT) produced the trains (2, 4, 8 or 16 pulses at 25 Hz or 16 pulses at 25 or 50 Hz) delivered in a random order on a variable-interval schedule with the mean interval of 15 s by controlling the pulse generator (Doric Lenses) that, in turn, controlled a laser for generating a 3-ms pulse of a 635-nm wavelength. Each mouse received 41 trains for each pulse at the MS, and signals were detected at the VTA for GCaMP and the VStr for dLight.

ICSS following the Fiber photometry experiment

The mice that were tested for the effects of the stimulation of MS-to-VTA GLU neurons on VStr dLight signal were placed in operant conditioning chambers and given the opportunity stimulate MS-to-VTA GLU neurons upon a response on the active lever. Each mouse was placed in a chamber for 30 min per day for 5 days. Their active lever-presses in the last session were used for the correlation analysis with their dLight signals.

Fiber photometry data analysis

We used custom-written MATLAB code to transform and analyze photometry data. We first binned the separate GCaMP/dLight and Control channels into one-minute epochs. We transformed the Control channels of each bin to a linear fit of its respective GCaMP/dLight channel, and calculated dF/F for each bin with the formula (GCaMP/dLight-FittedControl)/FittedControl [58](#). We then extracted the dF/F trace corresponding to 3 s before and after every light train, extracted the corresponding area under the curve, and performed a repeated measures ANOVA with Time (before and after stimulation train) and Stimulation (2, 4, 8 and 16 pulses or 25 and 50 Hz) (GraphPad Prism) on the extracted area under the curve for each region of individual animal. We performed posthoc t-tests with Benjamini and Hochberg correction on Time for each pulse when the interaction was significant.

Histology

After completion of behavioral experiments, all animals were intracardially perfused with ice-cold 0.9% saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. Brains were coronally sectioned at 40 μ m, mounted directly onto slides, and coverslipped with DAPI nuclear counterstain (#H-1200, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) in Mowiol 4-88 (Sigma-Aldrich, #81381). Optical fiber placements and EYFP expression were determined with fluorescent microscopy.

c-Fos Staining and Counting

Brains were coronally sectioned at 40 μ m. Sections were collected alternating between 4 different wells containing 0.1M PB buffer, then transferred to a cryoprotectant solution and kept at -80 °C until further processing. EYFP and c-Fos were labeled via immunohistochemistry using rabbit anti-c-Fos (1:2000; #SC-52, Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX), goat anti-GFP/YFP (1:3000; #A11120, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) with donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor 488 and donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 594 (1:300; Life Technologies). Mounted sections were

coverslipped with a mixture of Mowiol 4-88 and DAPI nuclear counterstain. c-Fos-ir cells were counted using ImageJ (<http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>) software, by automatically counting cells within a 500x500uM area beneath the tip of the optic fiber in the region of interest.

Intracranial self-administration of AMPA in rats

Surgery

Each rat was stereotactically implanted with a permanent unilateral guide cannula (24 gauge) under sodium pentobarbital (31 mg/kg, i.p.) and chloral hydrate (142 mg/kg, i.p.) anesthesia. The incisor bar was set at 3.3 mm below the interaural line. Stereotaxic coordinates are shown in **Methods Table 2**. Each cannula was subsequently anchored to the skull by 4 stainless steel screws and dental acrylic, and a stainless-steel wire (31 gauge) was inserted to keep it patent. Rats were housed singly to prevent other rats from chewing the implant after the surgery, which was followed by a minimum of 5 days recovery before the start of experimentation.

Method Table 2: Stereotaxic coordinates for injections and implantations.

Region	AP	ML	DV	Deg
MOUSE				
SuM	-2.7	0.0	-4.6	
MB	-2.7	0.0	-5.1	
VTA	-3.2	0.5	-4.0	
MS	+0.8	0.0	-3.5	
LS	+0.8	0.2	-3.1	
DBB	+0.8	0.2	-3.8	
vSub	-3.3	2.8	-4.3	
PVT	-1.8	0.0	-2.7	
VStr	+1.0	0.5	-4.0	
RAT				
MS	+0.6 to +1.1	0.0 to +1.0	-4.1 to -5.9	
LS	+1.1 to +1.4	+1.1	-5.5 to -6.0	20°
DBB	+1.3	+1.9	-7.0 to -7.6	20°
VStr	+1.5 to +2.0	+1.5 to +1.6	-6.8 to -7.6	20°
AP = Anterior/Posterior. ML = Medial/Lateral. DV = Dorsal Ventral. Deg = degree of angle from vertical. All DV coordinates are measured from skull surface. All distances in mm from bregma. Negative AP indicates positions posterior to bregma.				

Chemicals

(S)-AMPA (Sigma-Aldrich, MO) and ZK 200775, a competitive AMPA receptor antagonist (Tocris Bioscience, MO) were dissolved in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) consisting of (in millimolars): 148 NaCl, 2.7 KCl, 1.2 CaCl₂, and 0.85 MgCl₂, pH adjusted to 7.0–7.5.

AMPA self-administration

Each rat was placed in an operant conditioning chamber (30 x 22 x 24 cm; Med Associates, St. Albans, VT) equipped with a lever, a tone speaker, a cue light, and a house light. An injection cannula (31 gauge) was inserted into the guide cannula and extended 1 mm beyond the tip of the guide with the exception of experiments examining multiple injection depths, described below. Then, the guide was connected by polyethylene tubing to a micropump consisting of a drug reservoir and step motor [34](#) that hung a few millimeters above the rat's head. When activated, the micropump's step motor shaft turned in eight incremental steps (9° per step) over five seconds, driving its threaded shaft into the drug reservoir and, in turn, pushing a 100- μ l volume out of the reservoir into the brain. Each session lasted 90 minutes or until the rats received a total of 60 infusions.

A response on the lever delivered an infusion over 5 s, during which a tone and cue light above the lever were presented, followed by a 5 s timeout period during which responding on the lever produced no infusion. The majority of rats (N = 67) received infusions of AMPA concentrations as follows: 0, 0.01, 0.01, 0.05, 0.05, 0.25, and 0.25 mM in this order over 7 sessions. Other rats received AMPA infusions as follows: 0, 0.1, 0.1, 0.25 and 0.25 mM over 5 sessions (N = 16); 0, 0.03, 0.03, 0.1, 0.1, 0.3, and 0.3 mM over 7 sessions (N = 8); 0, 0.15, 0.15, 0.3, 0.3, 0.6, 0.6, and 0 mM over 8 sessions (N = 16); or 0, 0.3, 0.3, 0.6, and 0.6 mM over 5 sessions (N = 4). In addition, self-administration of AMPA was examined with varying lengths of injection cannulae along the dorso-ventral axis, to determine boarder between AMPA-effective and ineffective zones within the septal area. Four to 5 sites along the vertical axis were examined with the increment of 0.7 mm. At each site, 3 concentrations of AMPA (0, 0.03, and 0.1 mM in this order over 3 sessions) were examined.

AMPA receptor antagonist

Twelve rats that had gone through one of the self-administration procedures described above received vehicle, 0.25 mM AMPA alone, and a mixture of 0.1 mM ZK 200775 and 0.25 mM AMPA over 3 consecutive sessions. The order of these treatments was counterbalanced among the rats.

DA dependent effects of (S)-AMPA

Effects of the D1 receptor antagonist SCH 23390 on self-administration of (S)-AMPA into the medial septum were examined over 3 consecutive sessions. After receiving 0.9% saline (1 ml/kg, i.p.) 30 minutes before the start of session 1, rats received intracranial vehicle infusions. Thirty minutes before sessions 2 and 3, the rats were treated with saline and SCH 23390 (0.025 mg/kg, i.p.) and given the opportunity to self-administer 0.25 mM (S)-AMPA. The order of these pretreatments was counterbalanced among the rats.

Visual-stimulus seeking behavior

Experimentally naïve rats were individually placed in the same operant conditioning chambers described above except that they were equipped with two levers. Responding on the active lever illuminated the cue light just above the lever for 1 s and extinguished the house light for 7 s (during which lever-pressing was counted but produced no programmed consequence). Responding on the inactive lever had no programmed consequence throughout the session. The left-right locations of the active and inactive levers were counterbalanced among rats, and the assignment of active and inactive levers remained the same for each rat throughout the experiment. In addition, the number of lever-presses required to produce VS increased by 1 for every 10 VS presentations that the rat earned in order to facilitate differential responding between the two levers. The rats received 0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, and 0 mM AMPA in this order over 6 sessions. Infusions (75 nl each) were delivered on a fixed 90-s interval schedule. Each session lasted 90 minutes and sessions were separated by one day.

Mouse ex-vivo electrophysiology

vGlut2-Cre mice received intracranial injections as described above. We injected 150nL of pAAV1-Ef1a-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-EYFP-WPRE-pA into the SuM, and 300nL of AAV1-EF1a-DIO-nuc-tdTom (titer 2.60E+12 vg/mL; NIDA OTTC), which expresses Cre-specific nuclear-localized tdTomato fluorophore, into the MS. Mice were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane (60-90 seconds) and then rapidly decapitated. Coronal slices containing the septum were cut in ice-cold solution containing (in mM) 92 NMDG, 20 HEPES, 25 Glucose, 30 NaHCO₃, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, 2.5 KCl, 5 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 2 Thiourea, 10 MgSO₄, 0.5 CaCl₂, saturated with 95% O₂ 5% CO₂ (pH 7.3-7.4, ~305 mOsm/kg) and incubated for 5-10 minutes at 35 degrees Celsius in the same solution. Slices were allowed to recover for a minimum of 30 minutes at room temperature in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM) 126 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 MgCl₂, 2.4 CaCl₂, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, 21.4 NaHCO₃, 11.1 Glucose, 3 Na-pyruvate, 1 Na-ascorbate. Recordings were made at 32-35°Celsius in the same solution which was bath perfused at 2-3 ml/min. Intracellular solution contained (in mM) 115 K-gluconate, 20 KCl, 1.5 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 0.025 EGTA, 2 Mg-ATP, 0.2 Na₂-GTP, 10 Na₂-phosphocreatine (pH 7.2-7.3, ~285 mOsm/kg). Virus-infected cells (Td-tomato+) and axon terminals (eYFP+) were identified using scanning disk confocal microscopy (Olympus FV1000), and differential interference contrast optics were used to patch neurons. Whole cell current clamp recordings were performed in visually-identified neurons in the septum. For ChR2 experiments, a 473 nm laser (OEM laser systems, maximum output 500 mW) attached to a fiber optic cable was used to deliver light to the slice. Light intensity of 8-12 mW was used to stimulate ChR2-expressing terminals in slice recordings. For experiments measuring optogenetic evokes EPSPs, 2 ms light pulses were delivered at a frequency of 0.5 Hz. Following a ten-minute baseline period, DNQX (10 µM) and AP5 (50 µM) were added to the recording bath solution. Recordings were discarded if series resistance or input resistance changed >20% throughout the course of the recording. An Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices) and Axograph X software (Axograph Scientific) were used to record and collect the data, which were filtered at 10 kHz and digitized at 4-20 kHz.

In-vivo electrophysiology

Surgery

Mice received injections of Cre-specific ChR2 vector into SuM, were left to recover for 1 week, and then participated in sucrose-seeking training. Mice showing cue-discrimination, as determined by greater latency to approach sucrose port for CS- than CS+, then received a second surgery to implant tetrode assemblies into the SuM and an optic fiber into the MS. We used a bundle of 4 tetrodes (16 channels) for in vivo recording in the SuM. The tetrode bundle was coupled with a movable (screw-driven) microdrive assembly (~1 g weight) [59](#). Each tetrode consisted of four wires (90% platinum and 10% iridium; 18-μm diameter with an impedance of ~1–2 MΩ for each wire; California Fine Wire). All mice were then acclimated to the electrophysiology system tethering, and performed the conditioned operant sucrose seeking for several more sessions until behavioral metrics, e.g. number of rewards earned, latency to approach after CS+ and CS-, had again stabilized.

Spikes

Neural signals were pre-amplified, digitized and recorded using a Neuralynx Digital Lynx acquisition system. Spikes were digitized at 32 kHz and filtered at 600–6,000 Hz using one recording electrode that lacked obvious spike signals as the reference. The electrode bundle was lowered by ~80 μm after completing one successful recording session. Several depths of recording in the SuM were performed in each mouse, and the recording depth used for data analysis was determined upon histological analysis of tetrode endpoint and the distance the tetrodes were driven. We used multiple spike-sorting parameters (for example, principle component analysis, energy analysis) of Plexon OfflineSorter to isolate recorded spikes. Sorted spikes were processed and analyzed in NeuroExplorer (Nex Technologies) and Matlab (Mathworks). Interspike interval histograms were made to confirm that no discharges occurred during refractory period (<1.0 ms). Low-frequency firing neurons of less than 0.5 Hz were excluded from the study's analyses due to insufficient number of spikes during behaviors of interest.

Electrophysiological data analyses

Key analyses on electrophysiological data were based on the sample size of 152 SuM neurons from 6 mice with 25 ± 7 (mean $\pm$ SEM) neurons per mouse. These numbers are generally considered sufficient in conducting statistical analyses on electrophysiological data. Neurons were categorized into SuM-septum system neurons by whether they were activated upon photostimulation of SuM terminals in the septum. Following each sucrose seeking behaviors session, we delivered 50-75 trains consisting of 15 light pulses (10 ms pulse in duration) at 22 Hz with a variable interval of 10, 12, or 15 s per testing session. To categorize recorded neurons as laser-increase, laser-decrease, or laser-unaffected, we calculated the firing rate of each neuron 2 s directly before each laser pulse train. We used the mean and standard deviation of these values to calculate z-scores for the firing rate of each neuron for 0.5 s after the onset of the laser pulse train. Neurons were classified as SuM:Sept^{vGlut2} circuit-increase if the mean of

these z-scores was ≥ 1 , and SuM:Sept^{vGlut2} circuit-decrease if the mean z-score was ≤ -1 . All other neurons were classified as SuM non-laser responsive.

To determine the general event-related activity of all neurons, we generated perievent histograms with 200 ms bins 10 s before and after each event. This data was smoothed using a moving average filter with a span of 3 data points (600 ms) on either side of a given bin. The firing rates for each individual unit were normalized by converting to z scores calculated using mean baseline firing rates from -10 s to -6 s before lever press and nose poke events, and +6 to +10 s after nose poke-withdrawal events. We generated heatmaps using the smoothed and normalized perievent data to visualize the effect of CS+ and CS- cues on SuM neuron firing rates. In the heatmaps, neurons were sorted and displayed by the difference in their firing rates during the 2-s cue period, with neurons having the greatest positive difference between CS+ and CS- (i.e. CS+ higher than CS-) on top and vice versa for neurons responding more to CS- cue on bottom. To easily follow the event-correlated activity for each neuron during sucrose-seeking and cue discrimination, on through sucrose drinking behaviors, we additionally generated heatmaps to visualize sucrose port entries and exits directly after CS+ and CS- events using the same display-order used in the lever press heatmap. Additionally, neurons were categorized as to whether their mean z-score during CS+ (and again for CS-) increased, decreased, or did not have an absolute value $z \geq 1$ (i.e. no change). The cumulative traces of their perievent data were plotted on the same time scale as heatmap data.

To examine changes in SuM single-unit activity during grooming behaviors occurring during the sucrose seeking behavioral assay, we scored video recordings of these sessions for grooming bouts that were longer than 2 seconds in duration. A trained researcher scored videos based on standard mouse grooming behaviors [60](#) and perievent heatmaps were created as described above for start and end of grooming bouts. We also analyzed the number of neurons that were sensitive to grooming behavior by calculating firing rate change (either $z \geq 1$ or $z \leq -1$) during 2 s before and/or after the start and end of grooming bouts. Not all mice had scorable grooming bouts due to the image-quality issue, so some sessions were excluded from this analysis.

Locomotor activity and single-unit firing rate correlation

Correlations of mouse locomotor activity during single-unit recording sessions were determined by offline analysis of overhead video recordings acquired during conditioned operant sucrose seeking recording sessions. The video and single-unit data were synchronized by the Neuralynx acquisition software. X and Y position of the center of the mouse were determined using ezTrack [61](#) and all tracks were visually inspected for accuracy. To unbiasedly determine when the mouse was 'near' the side of the chamber that contained the sucrose port and lever, the mean X-position (X-axis being perpendicular to the wall of the chamber that housed the sucrose port and lever) was calculated and used to define epochs where the mouse was either 'near' (X-coordinate < mean X-position) or 'away' (X-coordinate > mean X-position) for time periods greater-than or equal to two-seconds. In addition, time periods when the mouse was in the sucrose port, i.e. when the sucrose port IR-beam was broken, were used to determine locomotion during 'near' epochs that excluded consummatory behavior, or 'near, not in sucrose port'. Using X and Y position during 'away', 'near', or 'near, minus in-sucrose port' epochs, distance traveled was calculated, and along with single-unit spike data were binned into 2

second time bins and correlation coefficients, R value, and P values calculated using Matlab's corrcoef function.

Histology for tetrode location verification

At the completion of the electrophysiology recordings, the final electrode position was marked by passing a 20 s 10 μ A current using a linear constant current stimulus isolator (Neurolog System) through two tetrodes that showed good spiking data. Mice were deeply anesthetized and intracardially perfused with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline followed by 10% formalin. Brains were removed and post-fixed in formalin for at least 24 h before being rapidly frozen and sliced on a cryostat (40- μ m coronal sections). Sections from the septum and SuM were collected and mounted with the Mowiol mounting medium mixed with DAPI for fluorescent microscopic examination of viral vector expression, as well as optical fiber and tetrode placements.

Conditioned operant sucrose seeking

Mice were acclimated to water restriction over several days. Training for sucrose seeking procedure is as follows:

First training procedure: Both levers are available throughout the 30-minute session. A lever-press results in a two-second auditory cue (CS+), which begins after the lever-press, followed by delivery of the sucrose solution (~20 μ L of 8% sucrose in facility water) at the end of the cue. Additional lever-presses do nothing until mice retrieve the sucrose reward as detected by breaking the photobeams in the sucrose port. Occasionally sucrose solution droplets are added to the levers to facilitate approach to the lever and training in general. Mice had to successfully earn ≥ 21 rewards during the session before moving to the second training procedure.

Second training procedure: Only one lever (same lever throughout the session) enters the chamber after a random intertrial interval of 25-65 seconds. Once the lever is presented, the mouse has unlimited time to press the lever which again results in the same CS+ two-second auditory cue after the lever-press followed by delivery of the sucrose solution at the end of the cue. After the sucrose is delivered, the lever is retracted and presented again after the random intertrial interval. Mice had to successfully earn ≥ 21 rewards during the session before moving to the conditioned operant sucrose seeking procedure.

Conditioned operant sucrose seeking procedure: This procedure is identical to the second training procedure, except a second auditory cue is added and serves as a predictor of no-sucrose reward (CS-). A no-sucrose-cue is presented after lever-press occur in 50% of the trials, randomly, while the sucrose-reward-cue (CS+) occurs in the other 50% of trials. We record latency to enter the sucrose port after CS+ vs CS- to measure discrimination between the two cues to determine whether the mice learn the predictive nature of the cues. For all mice, either a 5 kHz pure tone or 5 Hz click served as the CS+ or CS- in a counterbalanced manner.

Mouse cannula experiments

Cannula implant

Surgeries were performed as described above for optogenetic fiber implantation, however, here, a 24-gauge guide cannula (Plastics One; Roanoke, VA) was stereotactically implanted into wildtype (c57bl/6J; $n = 11$) mice to a depth of 3.7mm from skull surface above SuM. We implanted cannula targeting the SuM in 11 mice. We later removed 5 mice due to the cannula being outside of the SuM. Five out of the 6 mice with cannulas in the SuM successfully met criteria for CS+ vs CS- cue discrimination and received intra-SuM mus+bac or vehicle (sterile saline) in a counterbalanced order. Mice recovered for one week before onset of water restriction and sucrose-seeking training. Dummy cannulas extending just past the end of guide cannula were used and were occasionally removed and replaced during behavioral acquisition training to habituate mice to cannula procedural handling.

Sucrose seeking and temporary inactivation

See methods for in-vivo electrophysiology for description of conditioned operant sucrose seeking. Once mice acquired CS+ vs CS- cue discrimination, a baclofen (Tocris Bioscience; #0417) + muscimol (Tocris Bioscience; #0289) cocktail (25 ng baclofen + 25 ng muscimol, dissolved in 0.25 μ L sterile saline) was infused via a 31-gauge injection cannula (Plastics One; Roanoke, VA) extending 1 mm past guide cannula tip (into SuM) over one minute. Cannulas were left in place for an additional minute to allow for diffusion of the drug into brain tissue. Immediately after the infusion, mice were placed into behavioral chambers for the 30-minute sucrose-seeking session. Each mouse was tested after receiving the mus+bac cocktail or vehicle (saline) infusions to serve as control. The order of infusions was counterbalanced with a day of a free sucrose-seeking session in between to minimize carryover effects between infusion sessions.

Sucrose drinking and temporary inactivation

After mice finished sucrose-seeking testing described above, they were retrained in the operant chamber to receive sucrose solution, ad-lib. The amount of sucrose consumed, time spent in sucrose port (as measured by photobeams placed just in front of sucrose spigot), and chamber crossing were recorded. Once the daily volume of sucrose consumed stabilized, mice were again tested using the same counterbalanced mus+bac infusion procedure described above, with a free day of sucrose drinking between mus+bac or saline infusions.

Mouse anesthetized fMRI with optogenetic stimulation

Animal Preparation

Animal preparation procedures for fMRI in anesthetized rodents were detailed previously [62](#). We used a combination of low dose of gaseous anesthesia isoflurane and subcutaneous dexmedetomidine. This protocol has been shown to preserve neurovascular coupling, and the default mode network has been successfully identified with this regime [63](#). Anesthesia was induced with 2% isoflurane inside an induction chamber, and dexmedetomidine was

administered at 0.01 mg/kg (I.P.) (Zoetis, Parsippany, NJ). Mice were subsequently placed onto a customized holder in the prone position, with their heads secured in place via a nose-cone bite bar and ear bars. During scans, rodent's rectal temperature, respiratory rate and non-invasive pulse-oximetry and heart-rate (SA Instruments, Inc., NY, USA) were monitored. Body temperature was maintained at 37.1 ± 0.5 °C using a water-circulating heating pump. Prior to functional scans, isoflurane was systematically reduced to about 0.5%, while dexmedetomidine (0.015 mg/kg/h) was continuously infused subcutaneously. The level of hemoglobin oxygen saturation (SpO₂) was maintained above 96% by adjusting the oxygen concentration in the inhaled gas mixture (oxygen + air).

MRI scan

MRI data were acquired with a 9.4 T Bruker Biospin scanner equipped with an active-shielded gradient coil, a quadrature volume coil for radiofrequency (RF) excitation, and a single-turn 2 cm diameter surface coil for MR signal reception (Bruker Medizintechnik, Karlsruhe, Germany). High-resolution T2-weighted anatomical images were acquired with the following scan parameters: TR = 2200 ms, TE = 35 ms, field of view (FOV) = 30×30 mm², matrix size = 256×256, with 25 slices acquired at a slice thickness of 0.6 mm. Functional images were acquired using a single-shot gradient echo echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence with the following scan parameters: TR = 1000 ms, TE = 15 ms, FOV = 25×15 mm², matrix size = 96×58, with 15 slices acquired at a slice thickness of 0.6 mm. Distortions in EPI images were corrected using a reversal k-space strategy [64](#).

fMRI data analysis

The imaging experiment consisted of a block design paradigm with a 20s baseline followed by five cycles of 20s ON and 40s OFF, each ON epoch consisted of continuous trains of photostimulation (1 pulse train per second, each train consisted of 15 pulses delivered at 25 Hz with a pulse duration of 5ms). Laser intensity was set to deliver 10 mW of 473 nm light at the tip of the optic fiber in the brain. fMRI data were analyzed using the AFNI software package (V17.0.16) [65](#). Image processing steps are as follows: High-resolution anatomical images were co-registered onto a template using AFNI function *3dAllineate*. fMRI time series were then co-registered using the *3dvolreg* function. EPI voxels were resampled to a larger voxel size (0.375 mm × 0.375 mm × 0.6 mm) and spatially normalized using a full-width half-max kernel of 0.5 mm to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). BOLD activation was quantified as follows: The experimental block design was convolved with the canonical hemodynamic function using AFNI's waver function to model the hypothesized ideal BOLD response; and the motion corrected preprocessed fMRI data was deconvolved with the ideal BOLD time course with the six motion parameters (x-, y-, z- translation, yaw-, pitch-, roll- rotation) used as nuisance variables. Output data from *3dDeconvolve* was fed into a generalized least squares time series fit using AFNI's *3dREMLfit* to calculate the correlation, beta-weights and associated t-value in relation to the ideal BOLD response. Group activation maps were derived through a voxel-wise beta-weighted t-test against zero using *3dttest*. AFNI's function *3dcluststim* was used to control for false discovery rate and estimate statistical significance level with a cluster size of 20 voxels. This resulted in a $P_{\text{corrected}} < 0.01$ for a voxel to be considered significant. Activation maps were overlaid onto high-resolution anatomical images for display.

1142

1143 **Statistical Analysis**

1144 Data were analyzed using Statistica (version 6.1, StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA), Graphpad
 1145 Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California, USA) and MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc.,
 1146 Natick, Massachusetts, USA). Specific procedures for statistical tests are described thought the
 1147 results sections and figure legends.

1148

Acknowledgement

The present work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health. We thank the NIDA-IRP Optogenetics and Transgenic Technology Core and the Stanford Optogenetic Innovations lab for providing viral vectors and vector plasmids, respectively. We also thank Deon Harvey for editorial assistance.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, A.J.K. and S.I.; Methodology, A.J.K. and S.I.; Investigation, A.J.K., R.S., R.F.D., S.J., C.G.C., and L.R.W.; Writing – Original Draft, A.J.K. and S.I.; Writing – Review & Editing, A.J.K., R.S., R.F.D., S.J., C.G.C., D.V.W., L.R.W., H.L., Y.Y., and S.I.; Funding, L.R.W., Y.Y., and S.I.; Supervision, D.V.W., H.L. and S.I.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

- 1 Vertes, R. P. PHA-L analysis of projections from the supramammillary nucleus in the rat. *The Journal of comparative neurology* **326**, 595-622, doi:10.1002/cne.903260408 (1992).
- 2 Kirk, I. J. Frequency modulation of hippocampal theta by the supramammillary nucleus, and other hypothalamo-hippocampal interactions: mechanisms and functional implications. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **22**, 291-302, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634\(97\)00015-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634(97)00015-8) (1998).
- 3 Pan, W. X. & McNaughton, N. The supramammillary area: its organization, functions and relationship to the hippocampus. *Progress in neurobiology* **74**, 127-166, doi:10.1016/j.pneurobio.2004.09.003 (2004).
- 4 Ikemoto, S., Witkin, B. M., Zangen, A. & Wise, R. A. Rewarding effects of AMPA administration into the supramammillary or posterior hypothalamic nuclei but not the ventral tegmental area. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **24**, 5758-5765, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5367-04.2004 (2004).
- 5 Ikemoto, S. The supramammillary nucleus mediates primary reinforcement via GABA A receptors. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**, 1088-1095, doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1300660 (2005).
- 6 Ikemoto, S., Qin, M. & Liu, Z. H. Primary reinforcing effects of nicotine are triggered from multiple regions both inside and outside the ventral tegmental area. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **26**, 723-730, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4542-05.2006 (2006).
- 7 Volkow, N. D. *et al.* Relationship between subjective effects of cocaine and dopamine transporter occupancy. *Nature* **386**, 827-830 (1997).
- 8 Shin, R. & Ikemoto, S. Administration of the GABAA receptor antagonist picrotoxin into rat supramammillary nucleus induces c-Fos in reward-related brain structures. Supramammillary picrotoxin and c-Fos expression. *BMC Neurosci* **11**, 101, doi:10.1186/1471-2202-11-101 (2010).
- 9 Pedersen, N. P. *et al.* Supramammillary glutamate neurons are a key node of the arousal system. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1405, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01004-6 (2017).
- 10 Ikemoto, S. & Panksepp, J. The role of nucleus accumbens dopamine in motivated behavior: a unifying interpretation with special reference to reward-seeking. *Brain Res Rev* **31**, 6-41, doi:10.1016/s0165-0173(99)00023-5 (1999).
- 11 Ikemoto, S. Brain reward circuitry beyond the mesolimbic dopamine system: a neurobiological theory. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **35**, 129-150, doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.02.001 (2010).
- 12 Berke, J. D. What does dopamine mean? *Nat Neurosci*, doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0152-y (2018).

1201 13 Schultz, W., Dayan, P. & Montague, P. R. A neural substrate of prediction and reward.
1202 *Science* **275**, 1593-1599, doi:10.1126/science.275.5306.1593 (1997).

1203 14 Wise, R. A. Dopamine, learning and motivation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **5**, 483-494,
1204 doi:10.1038/nrn1406 (2004).

1205 15 Shin, R., Cao, J., Webb, S. M. & Ikemoto, S. Amphetamine administration into the
1206 ventral striatum facilitates behavioral interaction with unconditioned visual signals in rats.
1207 *PLoS One* **5**, e8741, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008741 (2010).

1208 16 Ikemoto, S. & Panksepp, J. Dissociations between appetitive and consummatory
1209 responses by pharmacological manipulations of reward-relevant brain regions. *Behav*
1210 *Neurosci* **110**, 331-345, doi:10.1037/0735-7044.110.2.331 (1996).

1211 17 Baldo, B. A., Sadeghian, K., Basso, A. M. & Kelley, A. E. Effects of selective dopamine
1212 D1 or D2 receptor blockade within nucleus accumbens subregions on ingestive behavior
1213 and associated motor activity. *Behavioural Brain Research* **137**, 165-177,
1214 doi:10.1016/s0166-4328(02)00293-0 (2002).

1215 18 Bardo, M. T., Donohew, R. L. & Harrington, N. G. Psychobiology of novelty seeking and
1216 drug seeking behavior. *Behav Brain Res* **77**, 23-43 (1996).

1217 19 Koob, G. F., Riley, S. J., Smith, S. C. & Robbins, T. W. Effects of 6-hydroxydopamine
1218 lesions of the nucleus accumbens septi and olfactory tubercle on feeding, locomotor
1219 activity, and amphetamine anorexia in the rat. *J Comp Physiol Psychol* **92**, 917-927
1220 (1978).

1221 20 Liu, Z. H., Shin, R. & Ikemoto, S. Dual role of medial A10 dopamine neurons in affective
1222 encoding. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **33**, 3010-3020, doi:10.1038/npp.2008.4 (2008).

1223 21 Ilango, A. *et al.* Similar roles of substantia nigra and ventral tegmental dopamine
1224 neurons in reward and aversion. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the*
1225 *Society for Neuroscience* **34**, 817-822, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1703-13.2014 (2014).

1226 22 Witten, I. *et al.* Recombinase-driver rat lines: Tools, techniques, and optogenetic
1227 application to dopamine-mediated reinforcement. *Neuron* **72**, 721-733,
1228 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.10.028 (2011).

1229 23 Lammel, S. *et al.* Input-specific control of reward and aversion in the ventral tegmental
1230 area. *Nature* **491**, 212-217, doi:10.1038/nature11527 (2012).

1231 24 Ikemoto, S. Dopamine reward circuitry: two projection systems from the ventral midbrain
1232 to the nucleus accumbens-olfactory tubercle complex. *Brain Res Rev* **56**, 27-78,
1233 doi:10.1016/j.brainresrev.2007.05.004 (2007).

1234 25 Matsumoto, M. & Hikosaka, O. Two types of dopamine neuron distinctly convey positive
1235 and negative motivational signals. *Nature* **459**, 837-841, doi:10.1038/nature08028
1236 (2009).

1237 26 Engelhard, B. *et al.* Specialized coding of sensory, motor and cognitive variables in VTA
1238 dopamine neurons. *Nature* **570**, 509-513, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1261-9 (2019).

1239 27 Saunders, B. T., Richard, J. M., Margolis, E. B. & Janak, P. H. Dopamine neurons create
1240 Pavlovian conditioned stimuli with circuit-defined motivational properties. *Nat Neurosci*
1241 **21**, 1072-1083, doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0191-4 (2018).

1242 28 Root, D. H. *et al.* Selective Brain Distribution and Distinctive Synaptic Architecture of
1243 Dual Glutamatergic-GABAergic Neurons. *Cell Rep* **23**, 3465-3479,
1244 doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.05.063 (2018).

1245 29 Hayakawa, T. & Zyo, K. Fine structure of the supramammillary nucleus of the rat:
1246 analysis of the ultrastructural character of dopaminergic neurons. *The Journal of*
1247 *comparative neurology* **346**, 127-136, doi:10.1002/cne.903460109 (1994).

1248 30 Zhu, Y., Wienecke, C. F., Nachtrab, G. & Chen, X. A thalamic input to the nucleus
1249 accumbens mediates opiate dependence. *Nature* **530**, 219-222,
1250 doi:10.1038/nature16954 (2016).

1251 31 Bubser, M. & Deutch, A. Y. Stress induces Fos expression in neurons of the thalamic
1252 paraventricular nucleus that innervate limbic forebrain sites. *Synapse* **32**, 13-22, doi:Doi
1253 10.1002/(Sici)1098-2396(199904)32:1<13::Aid-Syn2>3.0.Co;2-R (1999).

1254 32 Penzo, M. A. *et al.* The paraventricular thalamus controls a central amygdala fear circuit.
1255 *Nature* **519**, 455-459, doi:10.1038/nature13978 (2015).

1256 33 Ikemoto, S. & Wise, R. A. Mapping of chemical trigger zones for reward.
1257 *Neuropharmacology* **47 Suppl 1**, 190-201, doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2004.07.012
1258 (2004).

1259 34 Ikemoto, S. & Sharpe, L. G. A head-attachable device for injecting nanoliter volumes of
1260 drug solutions into brain sites of freely moving rats. *J Neurosci Methods* **110**, 135-140,
1261 doi:10.1016/S0165-0270(01)00428-9 (2001).

1262 35 Stewart, J. Reinforcing Effects of Light as a Function of Intensity and Reinforcement
1263 Schedule. *Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology* **53**, 187-193, doi:DOI
1264 10.1037/h0047315 (1960).

1265 36 Keller, K. L., Vollrath-Smith, F. R., Jafari, M. & Ikemoto, S. Synergistic interaction
1266 between caloric restriction and amphetamine in food-unrelated approach behavior of
1267 rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **231**, 825-840, doi:10.1007/s00213-013-3300-9 (2014).

1268 37 Lloyd, D. R., Gancarz, A. M., Ashrafioun, L., Kausch, M. A. & Richards, J. B. Habituation
1269 and the reinforcing effectiveness of visual stimuli. *Behav Processes* **91**, 184-191,
1270 doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2012.07.007 (2012).

1271 38 Risold, P. Y. & Swanson, L. W. Chemoarchitecture of the rat lateral septal nucleus. *Brain*
1272 *Res Brain Res Rev* **24**, 91-113, doi:10.1016/S0165-0173(97)00008-8 (1997).

1273 39 Manseau, F., Danik, M. & Williams, S. A functional glutamatergic neurone network in the
1274 medial septum and diagonal band area. *J Physiol* **566**, 865-884,
1275 doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2005.089664 (2005).

1276 40 Frick, A., Feldmeyer, D., Helmstaedter, M. & Sakmann, B. Monosynaptic connections
1277 between pairs of L5A pyramidal neurons in columns of juvenile rat somatosensory
1278 cortex. *Cereb Cortex* **18**, 397-406, doi:10.1093/cercor/bhm074 (2008).

1279 41 Fuhrmann, F. *et al.* Locomotion, Theta Oscillations, and the Speed-Related Firing of
1280 Hippocampal Neurons Are Controlled by a Medial Septal Glutamatergic Circuit. *Neuron*
1281 **86**, 1253-1264, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.001 (2015).

1282 42 Buzsaki, G. Theta oscillations in the hippocampus. *Neuron* **33**, 325-340 (2002).

1283 43 Whishaw, I. Q. & Vanderwolf, C. H. Hippocampal EEG and behavior: changes in
1284 amplitude and frequency of RSA (theta rhythm) associated with spontaneous and
1285 learned movement patterns in rats and cats. *Behav Biol* **8**, 461-484, doi:10.1016/S0091-6773(73)80041-0 (1973).

1287 44 McFarland, K. & Kalivas, P. W. The circuitry mediating cocaine-induced reinstatement of
1288 drug-seeking behavior. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society*
1289 *for Neuroscience* **21**, 8655-8663 (2001).

1290 45 Jones, B. E. Arousal systems. *Front Biosci* **8**, s438-451, doi:10.2741/1074 (2003).

1291 46 Robbins, T. W. Arousal systems and attentional processes. *Biol Psychol* **45**, 57-71,
1292 doi:10.1016/s0301-0511(96)05222-2 (1997).

1293 47 Justus, D. *et al.* Glutamatergic synaptic integration of locomotion speed via
1294 septoentorhinal projections. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 16-19, doi:10.1038/nn.4447 (2017).

1295 48 Buzsaki, G. & Moser, E. I. Memory, navigation and theta rhythm in the hippocampal-
1296 entorhinal system. *Nature Neuroscience* **16**, 130-138, doi:10.1038/nn.3304 (2013).

1297 49 Ito, H. T., Moser, E. I. & Moser, M. B. Supramammillary Nucleus Modulates Spike-Time
1298 Coordination in the Prefrontal-Thalamo-Hippocampal Circuit during Navigation. *Neuron*
1299 **99**, 576-587 e575, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.07.021 (2018).

1300 50 Butler, R. A. Discrimination learning by rhesus monkeys to visual-exploration motivation.
1301 *J Comp Physiol Psychol* **46**, 95-98, doi:10.1037/h0061616 (1953).

1302 51 Montgomery, K. C. The role of the exploratory drive in learning. *J Comp Physiol Psychol*
1303 **47**, 60-64, doi:10.1037/h0054833 (1954).

1304 52 Kagan, J. & Berkun, M. The reward value of running activity. *J Comp Physiol Psychol*
1305 **47**, 108, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0058877> (1954).

1306 53 Meijer, J. H. & Robbers, Y. Wheel running in the wild. *Proc Biol Sci* **281**,
1307 doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0210 (2014).

1308 54 Castillo-Ruiz, A., Nixon, J. P., Smale, L. & Nunez, A. A. Neural activation in arousal and
1309 reward areas of the brain in day-active and night-active grass rats. *Neuroscience* **165**,
1310 337-349, doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.10.019 (2010).

1311 55 Ito, M., Shirao, T., Doya, K. & Sekino, Y. Three-dimensional distribution of Fos-positive
1312 neurons in the supramammillary nucleus of the rat exposed to novel environment.
1313 *Neuroscience Research* **64**, 397-402 (2009).

1314 56 Yasoshima, Y., Scott, T. R. & Yamamoto, T. Involvement of the supramammillary
1315 nucleus in aversive conditioning. *Behav Neurosci* **119**, 1290-1297, doi:10.1037/0735-
1316 7044.119.5.1290 (2005).

1317 57 Sparta, D. R. *et al.* Construction of implantable optical fibers for long-term optogenetic
1318 manipulation of neural circuits. *Nat Protoc* **7**, 12-23, doi:10.1038/nprot.2011.413 (2011).

1319 58 Calipari, E. S. *et al.* In vivo imaging identifies temporal signature of D1 and D2 medium
1320 spiny neurons in cocaine reward. **113**, 2-7, doi:10.1073/pnas.1521238113 (2016).

1321 59 Wang, D. V. *et al.* Mesopontine median raphe regulates hippocampal ripple oscillation
1322 and memory consolidation. *Nat Neurosci* **18**, 728-735, doi:10.1038/nn.3998 (2015).

1323 60 Berridge, K. C., Aldridge, J. W., Houchard, K. R. & Zhuang, X. Sequential super-
1324 stereotypy of an instinctive fixed action pattern in hyper-dopaminergic mutant mice: a
1325 model of obsessive compulsive disorder and Tourette's. *BMC Biol* **3**, 4,
1326 doi:10.1186/1741-7007-3-4 (2005).

1327 61 Pennington, Z. T. *et al.* ezTrack: An open-source video analysis pipeline for the
1328 investigation of animal behavior. *Sci Rep* **9**, 19979, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-56408-9
1329 (2019).

1330 62 Brynildsen, J. K. *et al.* Physiological characterization of a robust survival rodent fMRI
1331 method. *Magn Reson Imaging* **35**, 54-60, doi:10.1016/j.mri.2016.08.010 (2017).

1332 63 Lu, H. *et al.* Rat brains also have a default mode network. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**,
1333 3979-3984, doi:10.1073/pnas.1200506109 (2012).

1334 64 Zakszewski, E., Schmit, B., Kurpad, S. & Budde, M. D. Diffusion imaging in the rat
1335 cervical spinal cord. *J Vis Exp*, doi:10.3791/52390 (2015).

1336 65 Cox, R. W. & Hyde, J. S. Software tools for analysis and visualization of fMRI data. *NMR*
1337 *Biomed* **10**, 171-178 (1997).

1338

1 Supplemental Figures

2
3 **Figure S1, related to Figure 1A-1E: Optic fiber placements and effectiveness for SuM**
4 **subpopulation stimulation**

5 For mice in experiment 2. Diagram of coronal sections indicating placements of optic fibers and
6 relative active lever-press levels at that location. Rectangles indicate tips of optic fiber and
7 below is approximate area affected by illumination. Colors indicate levels of active lever
8 presses in 30-minute session; see key in figure; values are calculated from average lever
9 presses from last three acquisition sessions. The SuM is outlined in green.

Figure S2, related to Figure 1F-1H: Optic fiber placements and effectiveness for SuM glutamatergic neuron terminal stimulation

Diagram of coronal sections indicating placements of optic fibers and relative active lever-press levels at each location. Rectangles indicate tips of optic fiber and below is approximate area affected by illumination. Colors indicate levels of active lever presses in 30-minute session; see key in figure; values are calculated from average lever presses from last three acquisition sessions. The SuM is outlined in green.

Figure S3, related to Figure 2: Optic fiber placements and effectiveness for terminal stimulation

Diagram of coronal sections indicating placements of optic fibers and relative active-lever press levels at each location. Rectangles indicate tips of optic fiber and below is approximate area affected by illumination. Colors indicate levels of active lever presses in 30-minute session; see key in figure; values are calculated from average lever presses from last three acquisition sessions. Mice with septal area fiber placements in the corpus collosum or past the level of anterior commissure did not lever press and were removed from analysis (marked by dotted circle in figure; n=6)

Figure S4, related to Figure 2: Summary of ICSS responses for SuM glutamate cell body vs septum terminals

Active lever presses per session were compared between SuM:SuM^{vGlut2} and SuM:Sept^{vGlut2} (means $\pm$ SEM). Terminal stimulation supported greater lever press rates during sessions 3-7 ($2_{\text{group}} \times 5_{\text{session}}$ ANOVA; $F_{\text{group}}(1,22)=7.619$, *** $p=0.009$).

Figure S5, related to Figure 4: Optic fiber placements and effectiveness for terminal stimulation

Diagram of coronal sections indicating placements of optic fibers and relative active-lever press levels at each location. Rectangles indicate tips of optic fiber and below is approximate area affected by illumination. Colors indicate levels of active lever presses in 30-minute session; see key in figure; values are calculated from average lever presses from last three acquisition

44 sessions. vGat-Cre mice with fiber placements very ventral to the septum, and near nucleus
 45 accumbens or bed nucleus of stria terminalis lever pressed at extremely high rates, likely due
 46 to stimulation of these known reward nuclei and were removed from analysis (marked by dotted
 47 circle in figure; n=2)

48

Figure S6, related to Figure 5: Categorization of SuM neurons while mice locomote or groom during seeking behavior recordings

(a) Example snap-shot image from a video used to track a mouse during single-unit recording sessions. Sucrose solution reward port, retracted lever, and software determined center point of animal are labeled.

(b) X and Y coordinate plot of every center point measurement of an example video tracking analysis. The mean X-coordinate position is marked with a vertical red line (mean x-pos). Time points where the center point is to the left (or less-than) this position on the x-axis were categorized as “near” and the opposite for “away”. Notice the density of points are skewed towards the sucrose port and lever side of the chamber, and as such the mean x-pos is shifted towards that side as well.

(c) Vendiagram of single-units (132/152) that correlate to locomotor activity during epochs when the mouse is ‘away’ (red), ‘near’ (green), or near but not inside the sucrose port (blue), and whether correlations exist across different epochs.

(d) Histogram plots of the range in R-value correlation coefficients for single-units who’s firing rate significantly correlated to locomotor activity when mice are ‘near’ (left), ‘near’ but not inside sucrose port (middle), and ‘away’ from sucrose port.

(e) Time stamps corresponding to grooming (cartoon on left) bout starts and ends were scored visually offline by a trained researcher using video recordings of the behavior sessions. Only bouts greater than 2 seconds in length were used for analysis. Some animals did not have such bouts during a few sessions, so number of neurons recorded is less in this analysis since some sessions were discarded. Middle-Top: Heatmaps of normalized firing rates of SuM neurons during start and end of grooming bouts. Middle-Bottom: Traces indicate mean normalized firing rate (+ SEM) of neurons that either increase, decrease or show no change in firing rate in response to beginning and ending of grooming bouts. Plotted on the same time scale as the raster plot above. The percentage of neurons in each category is shown in the boxes below. Left: Changes in firing rate of neurons before and after grooming starts and ends.

Figure S7, related to Figure 5: Similar neural activities between SuM neurons that were confirmed to project to the septum and those were not

(a) Schematic showing the preparation of SuM:Sept^{vGlut2} optogenetic stimulation and microdrive tetrode recordings in SuM.

(b) Traces (mean $\pm$ SEM) of neurons categorized as SuM:Sept^{vtGlut2} circuit neurons (blue) and neurons that did not respond to laser pulse train, i.e. SuM non-laser responding neurons (red). We found that 37.5% (n=57/152) of SuM neurons significantly increased firing rate to laser stimulation (z-score normalized to a baseline period 2 s before laser pulse train was ≥ 1) and were further analyzed as SuM:Sept^{vtGlut2} circuit neurons. Additionally, 60.5% (n=92/152) of SuM neurons showed no change in firing rate as a function of laser stimulation (z-score absolute value < 1). It should be noted that some of non-responsive neurons most likely projected to the septum, since photostimulation must have recruited only a fraction of SuM neurons projecting to the septum. Finally, 2% (n=3/152) of the neurons showed decreased firing rate but were not further analyzed.

(c-e) Heatmaps of normalized firing rates of SuM:Sept^{vtGlut2} circuit neurons during lever presses that initiate CS+ or CS- events (c), and nose poke entry (d) and nose poke withdrawing (e) that follow CS+ or CS- lever presses. The neuron order is constant across the heatmaps and are based off cue responsiveness in (a). Below heatmaps are respective traces indicating mean normalized firing rate ($\pm$ SEM) of neurons that are categorized as increasing, decreasing, or non-responding to CS+ and CS- with the same time scale as the raster plot above. The percentage of neurons in each category is shown in the boxes below.

(f) Proportions of neurons that are categorized as SuM:Sept^{vtGlut2} circuit neurons responding to CS+ and CS- cues in particular manner (firing rate increase = green, decrease = red, no response to cue = black).

(g-j) Same analysis as in c-f, but for SuM neurons that showed no change in firing rate as a function of SuM:Sept^{vtGlut2} stimulation.

Figure S8. Results of fiber-photometry Ca^{+2} -imaging experiments

(a-c) The number on the upper left corner of each plot indicates subject ID. Each line shows the signal change of each stimulation parameter (Pulse: 2, 4, 8 and 16 pulses for A and B; frequency: 25 and 50 Hz for C). The F- and P-values shown within each graph indicate the interaction of a repeated ANOVA with Time (before and after stimulation train) and stimulation parameter on areas under curve. When the interaction was significant, multiple comparison t-tests with Benjamini and Hochberg correction were conducted on Time for each pulse. * $P < 0.05$. When the interaction was not significant, F and P-values of main Time effect is shown. # $P < 0.05$, with stimulation parameters combined. (a) VTA GCaMP signals (Z-score dF/F) of VTA DA neurons as a function of stimulation (25 Hz; 2, 4, 8 and 16 pulses) delivered at the SuM-to-septum pathway. (b) VStr dLight signals as a function of stimulation (25 Hz; 2, 4, 8 and 16 pulses) delivered at MS GLU neurons. (c) VStr dLight signals as a function of stimulation (16 pulses; 25 and 50 Hz) delivered at MS-to-VTA GLU neurons.

Figure S9. Fiber-photometry Ca²⁺ signal data showing the activation of the VTA-VStr DA system.

(a-c) Diagram of coronal sections indicating placements of optic fibers used for optogenetic stimulation (triangles) and fiber photometry fibers for photometric recording (rectangles), used for experiments testing SuM-MS GLU stimulation on VTA DA neuron activity (a), and MS GLU (b) or MS-VTA GLU (c) neuron stimulation on DA release in VStr. The respective placements for each mouse of each experiment are color matched.