Supplementary Figures and Tables



1

Figure S1 Behavioural performance during scan. Reaction times (left panel) for each manipulation
level. Accuracy levels (right panel) for each manipulation level. To compare between conditions with
different number of options (i.e. low and high action uncertainty) accuracy is normalised with
respect to the probability to respond correctly when guessing (i.e. chance level) as follows: (accuracy
- chance)/(1-chance). Chance levels are 25 % and 75% for low and high uncertainty, respectively.
Red dots indicate individual data, error-bars represent standard error of mean.



Figure S2. Shannon's equitability index quantifies how much a given choice is biased by previous
responses. Permutation tests showed that Shannon's equitability index estimated from participants'
responses did not differ significantly from that generated by random permutations of trials order
(red lines show the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold of p = 0.05; two-tailed). This confirms
that over a series of trials subjects' choices were not biased by previous responses.



- 20 Figure S3. Correlation between trial-by-trial predictions and MEEG activity in source space. Colours
- 21 indicate the overall z-score for difference of empirical correlations from chance in the Beta (top
- 22 panel) and Gamma (bottom panel) frequency ranges. Empirical correlations were compared against
- 23 surrogate correlation distributions obtained by correlating predictions to phase randomized MEEG
- 24 signals (10000 randomization for each ROIs). Grey indicates non significant difference between
- 25 empirical and surrogate correlations at p<0.05 FDR corrected for multiple comparisons.
- 26



Figure S4. Temporal cascade of information-representation in beta (planar gradiometers in sensor
space). Similarly to figure 4, the left panel shows power plots ranked by reaction times (red line).
The topographic plot in the middle shows sensors where correlations between power-envelopes and
model's predictions survived random permutation testing. Sensors are coloured depending on their
position along the caudo-rostral axis. The right panel shows a gradient of latencies that increases
from posterior areas up to central regions and decreases afterwards. No significant correlations were
observed in the gamma band.



40

41

42 Figure S5. Eye-tracking data from 5 participants show that fixation could be easily maintained during 43 the scan session (heat-maps). In the plots on the right hand confidence ellipses encompassing 95% of 44 fixations population are shown for each condition. The colored points indicate the location of the 45 ellipses' centroids. The semimajor and semiminor axes and the orientation of the ellipses were 46 computed from the first and second principal components of the fixations scatter. The position of the 47 ellipses' centroid with respect to the fixation point (centre of cross-hair) gives a measure of fixation 48 accuracy, whereas its area provides an overall measure of fixation dispersion. Neither accuracy nor 49 dispersion of subjects' fixation differed between conditions (2 perceptual uncertainty x 2 action 50 uncertainty repeated-measures ANOVA. Accuracy: perception [$F_{1,4}(0.379)$ p = 0.57] action [$F_{1,4}(0.013)$ p = 0.91]; Dispersion: perception $[F_{1.4}(0.078) p = 0.79]$ action $[F_{1.4}(0.587) p = 0.48])$ 51

Subj #	Boundary (b)	Accumulation Rate (v)				Accumulation Rate StDev	Non-decision time (t ₀)
		Uncertainty Levels (p :perceptual a :action)			a :action)		
		LpLa	HpLa	LpHa	НрНа		
1	1.73	7.96	5.42	4.48	2.06	4.63	0.51
2	4.34	18	11.7	5.6	3.47	5.59	0.42
3	8.03	18.33	15.54	7.08	6	5.62	0.28
4	3.73	14.26	11.46	4.65	2.47	6.53	0.4
5	5.02	13.99	9.49	4.52	3.27	6.21	0.37
6	4.94	9.98	5.86	6.36	5.42	4.36	0.31
7	4.79	8.77	6.94	3.65	3.1	4.66	0.42
8	2.77	5.46	4.02	2.91	2.18	3.12	0.37
9	2.94	5.86	4.72	3	2.59	4.56	0.39
10	5.13	11.55	9.64	6.7	5.01	4.76	0.25
11	1.29	4.16	3.5	2.15	1.22	3.28	0.39
12	2.05	5.68	3.94	2.41	1.54	3.98	0.43
13	4.23	10.59	8.13	4.8	3.36	4.25	0.35
14	6.23	6.65	5.63	5.88	5.23	6.72	0.3
15	2.33	6.54	5.28	2.74	1.53	4.62	0.38
16	1.33	5.98	4.41	1.39	1.3	3.01	0.5
17	3.5	14.66	11.74	3.79	2.27	5.65	0.47
18	8.78	17.52	14.99	8.65	8.13	4.96	0.2
Mean	4.06	10.33	7.91	4.49	3.34	4.81	0.37
Std Dev	2.13	4.74	3.85	1.94	1.90	1.09	0.08

Table S1. Parameters for the winning LBA model

Acronym	Anatomycal label				
Opol	Occipital Pole				
SCC	Supracalcarine Cortex				
LING	Lingual Gyrus				
OFG	Occipital Fusiform Gyrus				
CUN	Cuneal Cortex				
ICC	Intracalcarine Cortex				
LOCinf	Lateral Occipital Cortex, inferior division				
LOCsup	Lateral Occipital Cortex, superior division				
pCUN	Precuneous Cortex				
ITGto	Inferior Temporal Gyrus, temporo-occipital part				
MTGto	Middle Temporal Gyrus, temporo-occipital part				
TOFC	Temporal Occipital Fusiform Cortex				
AngG	Angular Gyrus				
SMrgp	Supramarginal Gyrus, posterior division				
SPL	Superior Parietal Lobule				
CGp	Cingulate Gyrus, posterior division				
PosCG	Postcentral Gyrus				
ITGp	Inferior Temporal Gyrus, posterior division				
PHGp	Parahippocampal Gyrus, posterior division				
TFCp	Temporal Fusiform Cortex, posterior division				
SMrga	Supramarginal Gyrus, anterior division				
РОрС	Parietal Operculum Cortex				
MTGp	Middle Temporal Gyrus, posterior division				
PreCG	Precentral Gyrus				
HG	Heschls Gyrus (includes H1 and H2)				
STGp	Superior Temporal Gyrus, posterior division				
PTem	Planum Temporale				
MTGa	Middle Temporal Gyrus, anterior division				
STGa	Superior Temporal Gyrus, anterior division				
Ppol	Planum Polare				
COpC	Central Opercular Cortex				
TFCa	Temporal Fusiform Cortex, anterior division				
CGa	Cinfulate Gyrus, anterior division				
ITGa	Inferior Temporal Gyrus, anterior division				
PHGa	Parahippocampal Gyrus, anterior division				
SMA	Juxtapositional Lobule Cortex (formerly Supplementary Motor Area)				
TPO	Temporal Pole				
Ins	Insular Cortex				
IFGop	Inferior Frontal Gyrus, pars opercularis				
MFG	Middle Frontal Gyrus				
SFG	Superior Frontal Gyrus				
FOpC	Frontal Operculum Cortex				
subCC	Subcallosal Cortex				
FOC	Frontal Orbital Cortex				
IFGtr	Inferior Frontal Gyrus, pars triangularis				
PCG	Paracingulate Gyrus				
FMC	Frontal Medial Cortex				
Fpol	Frontal Pole				
-					

Table 2S. Abbreviations for the cortical regions in Figure 5c. Regions in boldface formed the set of
posterior regions (ROI centroids MNI coordinate Y ≤ 42; Y(42) = Postcentral Gyrus) defined with respect
to the central sulcus. Thus defined posterior and anterior regions were used to calculate the posterior to

58 anterior index (PAx) to quantify the posterior-to-anterior pattern of information flow.