High-Resolution Linkage Map and QTL Analyses for Machine Harvest Traits in Autotetraploid Blueberry

Francesco Cappai^{1,†}, Rodrigo R. Amadeu^{1,†}, Juliana Benevenuto¹, Ryan Cullen¹, Alexandria Garcia¹, Adina Grossman², Luís Felipe V. Ferrão¹, Patricio Munoz^{1,*}

¹Blueberry Breeding and Genomics Lab, Horticultural Sciences Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

²Forage Breeding and Genetics Lab, Agronomy Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Correspondence*:

2550 Hull Rd, Fifield Hall Office 2211, Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA, 32611
p.munoz@ufl.edu

†: These authors have contributed equally to this work

2 ABSTRACT

Blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* and hybrids) is an autotetraploid crop whose commercial relevance has been growing steadily during the last twenty years. However, the ever-increasing cost of labor for hand-picking blueberry is one main constraint in competitive marketing of the fruit. Machine harvestability is, therefore, a key trait for the blueberry industry. Understanding the genetic architecture of traits through quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping is the first step towards implementation of molecular breeding for faster genetic gains. Despite recent advances in software development for autotetraploid genetic mapping, a high-resolution map is still not available for blueberry. In this study, we crafted a map for autotetraploid low-chill highbush blueberry containing 11,292 SNP markers and a total size of 1,953.97 cM (average density of 5.78 markers/cM). This map was subsequently used to perform QTL analyses for traits relevant to machine harvesting: firmness, firmness retention, and fruit detachment force. Significant QTL peaks were identified for all the traits. The QTL intervals were further explored for putative candidate genes. Genes related to cell wall remodeling were highlighted in the firmness and firmness retention intervals. For fruit detachment force, transcription factors involved in fruit abscission were detected. Altogether, our findings provide the basis for future fine-mapping and molecular breeding efforts for machine harvesting in blueberry.

19 **Keywords:** *Vaccinium*, southern highbush blueberry, firmness, detachment force, polyploid

1 INTRODUCTION

It is not uncommon to find plants with different ploidy levels within the same genus. In fact, such chromosomal alterations are not only tolerated by plant genomes, but can also drive evolution, for example, promoting speciation (Adams and Wendel, 2005). Polyploid organisms are classified as either allopolyploids or autopolyploids, depending on the degree of divergence between their subgenomes (Brubaker et al., 1999). Autopolyploids, such as blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.), potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.), contain multiple copies of the same chromosome set, which can all pair and exchange

genetic material during gamete formation. In contrast, allopolyploids, such as wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.), and strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) contain two or more divergent genomes, which usually show bivalent pairing and have disomic inheritance, like diploid organisms. While in diploid systems the study of allelic inheritance is relatively simple, polysomic inheritance in autopolyploids increases the number of possible genetic configurations and impacts downstream genetic analyses, including linkage map construction and quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping (Bever and Felber, 1992).

QTL mapping is the association study between phenotypic and genetic variants usually performed by tracking recombination events of the possible QTL along chromosomes (Broman and Sen, 2009). In breeding programs, QTL analysis has been historically used to understand the genetic basis of complex traits, which can ultimately support the implementation of marker-assisted selection. To this end, the development of a reliable linkage map and haplotype inference are both considered crucial steps. A classical approach for autopolyploids relies on building individual maps at each homolog level using a combination of diploid software and single-dose markers, i.e. makers that segregate in a diploid fashion (1:1 and 1:2:1) (Mollinari and Garcia, 2019). Although widely adopted this strategy has a few shortcomings, including the use of only a subset of markers, the low-power to detect markers in repulsion, and the use *ad-hoc* procedures to assemble the Linkage Groups (LGs) into homology groups. Just recently, new methods addressing autotetraploid genetics and higher orders of segregation patterns have been reported. Software such TetraploidSNPmap (Hackett et al., 2017), an updated version of the TetraploidMap (Hackett et al., 2007), polymapR (Bourke et al., 2018), and mappoly ((Mollinari and Garcia, 2019) overcame those limitations and allowed the construction of high-density linkage maps using SNP data and multi-dose markers. Potato (Da Silva et al., 2017), sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) (Mollinari et al., 2020) and forage grasses (Ferreira et al., 2019; Deo et al., 2020) are examples of autopolyploid crops that have benefited from the utilization of such modern methodologies in QTL inference and linkage mapping analyses. However, to the best of our knowledge, no high-density linkage map has been built for autotetraploid blueberries. Linkage maps reported for blueberry have relied on diploid populations (Rowland et al., 2014) and/or used a small number of markers and individuals (McCallum et al., 2016; Schlautman et al., 2018). A high-density linkage map has only been built for cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait.) (Schlautman et al., 2017), a diploid-relative of blueberry.

Highbush blueberry is an autotetraploid crop ($2n = 4x = 48$), considered the second most important soft fruit, after strawberry (Brazelton et al., 2017). Despite the considerable dedicated world acreage and varietal improvement through traditional breeding, blueberry still remains one of the most expensive commonly sold fruits by weight (USDA Economic Research Service, 2020). A main factor contributing to these sustained prices is the high production cost, mostly due to the laborious hand-picking process, which can represent more than 50% of the total production cost (Ehlenfeldt and Martin, 2002; Olmstead and Finn, 2014; Gallardo et al., 2018). In addition, a number of countries, such as the USA, are experiencing labor shortages, further exacerbating this issue (Roka and Guan, 2018). A possible solution that has been tested in blueberry fields is the mechanization of the harvesting operations. Mechanical harvesting of berries can lead to a considerable (up to 80%) reduction in cost, while also reducing food-borne illnesses caused by improper produce handling by laborers (Berger et al., 2010; Olmstead and Finn, 2014). Machine harvesting consists of metal rods shaking the blueberry bushes, forcing berries to fall. To successfully implement machine harvest, the following traits, alongside with plant architecture, are crucial: I) high berry firmness to withstand physical damage; II) high detachability in ripe (blue) fruit; and III) low detachability in unripe (green) fruit.

Firmness is a genetically controlled trait that has long been among the focus of blueberry breeding programs (Edwards et al., 1974; Cappai et al., 2018; Cellon et al., 2018; Ferrão et al., 2018). It is also a desirable trait across the whole market chain: for growers, less fruit is discarded due to physical damage; for retailers, firmer berries have a longer shelf life; and for consumers, firm texture positively correlates to liking (Mehra et al., 2013; Olmstead and Finn, 2014; Yu et al., 2014; Gilbert et al., 2015). At a molecular level, fruit firmness is intertwined with fruit ripening, and it is the result of a complicated network of interactions between two main hormones, ethylene and abscisic acid (ABA), and the cell wall disassembly process (Brummell, 2006; Vicente et al., 2007; Chiabrando and Giacalone, 2011; Cappai et al., 2018). The exact cascade of events that leads to fruit softening has not been completely elucidated in blueberry, even though current evidence indicates that pectin solubilization in the cell wall could be one of the main outcomes (Lara et al., 2004; Vicente et al., 2007; Angeletti et al., 2010; Beaudry et al., 2016). Fruit Detachment Force (FDF), or abscission force, is the force required for a single berry to detach from a stem at the point of the pedicel junction. Machine harvesting requires a large differential between the FDF of green and ripe berries in order to maximize the number of ripe berries that detach from the plant at a defined force point (Malladi et al., 2012; Vashisth et al., 2015). In addition, the force required to detach ripe berries should also be low in absolute terms to ensure efficient fruit removal while avoiding excessive damage to the plant itself. Molecular mechanisms underlying fruit abscission have been extensively studied in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) and *Arabidopsis*, which pointed to the role of MADS-box family transcription factors on the regulation of the pedicel-abscission zone development (Ferrándiz, 2002; Nakano et al., 2012; Ito and Nakano, 2015). Cell wall-modifying proteins and programmed cell death at the abscission zone have been shown to play a role in the cell separation processes (Roberts et al., 2002; Tsuchiya et al., 2015). There is also strong evidence for the interplay between phytohormones in regulating fruit abscission, with ethylene and auxin enhancing and inhibiting the process, respectively (Estornell et al., 2013; Patterson et al., 2016). In blueberry, comparison of two genotypes contrasting in FDF levels provided preliminary insights into differential expression of genes related to phytohormone pathways (Vashisth et al., 2015).

Here, we aimed to develop a high-resolution linkage map for autotetraploid blueberry and perform QTL mapping for machine harvest-related traits to reveal their genetic architecture. To this end, we phenotyped a large mapping population for berry firmness and FDF, and genotyped using a high marker density. Genomic regions associated with the phenotypes were further explored for functional insights. Our results are promising for marker-assisted selection implementation and future fine-mapping to identify causal variants and elucidate the molecular basis underlying these traits.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant growth conditions

The population used in this study consisted of 237 individuals planted in 2010 derived from a cross between two varieties, 'Sweetcrisp' and 'Indigocrisp', both released by the Blueberry Breeding Program at the University of Florida (Lyrene, 2009, 2016). All plants were grown in Waldo, Florida (29°24'30.2"N 82°08'32.6"W) at a spacing of approximately 86 cm within rows and 2 m between rows. They received 5.68 L of water per plant a day injected with 180 ppm sulfuric acid through a drip irrigation system to adjust soil pH. During the growing season (February through October), a 10-5-5 liquid fertilizer was also applied through the irrigation system. Plants were pruned in the summer months of June and July. Insecticide for spotted wing drosophila as well as fungicides (Pristine, Switch, and Proline) were applied six times a year to manage diseases and crop damage. In winter months (December and January), freeze protection measures were applied as necessary.

2.2 Plant genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted in 2018 from leaf tissue of each individual in the mapping population and both parental cultivars using a 2 % CTAB extraction method (Xin and Chen, 2012). Library preparation and sequencing were performed by RAPiD Genomics (Gainesville, FL, USA) using a sequence capture approach. Briefly, 6,000 custom-designed biotinylated probes of 120-mer were selected based on the distribution on the 'Draper' reference genome (Benevenuto et al., 2019), and previously tested on the parental cultivars. Sequencing of the entire population was carried out in the Illumina HiSeq platform using 150 cycle paired-end runs. Raw reads were demultiplexed and barcodes were removed. Data was cleaned and trimmed at the 3' end by removing bases with quality scores lower than 20 and reads with more than 10% of the bases with quality scores lower than 20, using Fastx Toolkit v.0.0.14 (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/). Trimmed reads were aligned to the 'Draper' blueberry reference genome using MOSAIK v. 2.2.30 (Lee et al., 2014). The 12 largest chromosomes of each homeologous set from the 'Draper' genome were used as references (Colle et al., 2019). SNPs were called using FreeBayes v.1.0.1 (Garrison and Marth, 2012), targeting the 6,000 probe regions. SNPs were further filtered by I) minimum mapping quality of 30; II) mean depth of coverage of 40; III) maximum missing data of 50%; IV) minor allele frequency of 0.01; V) only biallelic loci; and VI) no monomorphism. A total of 21,513 SNPs were kept, after these filtering steps. Subsequently, read counts per allele and individual were extracted from the variant call file using vcftools v.0.1.16 (Danecek et al., 2011). The updog R package was used to call the tetraploid allele dosages based on the read counts and 'f1' model (Gerard et al., 2018). The "f1" model implemented in the software uses parental information as a baseline for estimating the allele dosages of the progenies. The posterior probability means per SNP for each individual were rounded toward the closest integer and used as our genotypes.

2.3 Plant phenotyping

2.3.1 Firmness

Mature fruits were collected at peak ripeness, in March and early April of 2018 and 2019. Berries were stored at 4°C overnight, brought to room temperature, and their firmness was measured using the Firmtech™ 2 firmness tester (BioWorks, Wamego, KS). Berries were placed on the machine with their equatorial axis perpendicular to the instrument's surface and probe. The force-deformation response of compressed berries was measured in grams per 1 mm of deflection (g/mm) (Ehlenfeldt and Martin, 2002). A sample size of 25 berries per genotype was used in 2018 and a sample size of 50 berries per genotype was used in 2019, as this sample size was reported to increase accuracy (Cappai et al., 2018). Berry firmness measurements were averaged per genotype within each year.

2.3.2 Firmness retention

In 2019, berries previously used to measure firmness were stored for four additional weeks in a climate-controlled chamber at 4°C in the dark. They were then removed from the chamber, brought to room temperature, and firmness was measured as described above. Firmness retention was computed as the deviation between firmness computed after one day of storage and firmness computed after four week of storage.

2.3.3 Fruit detachment force and differential fruit detachment force

Fruit detachment force (FDF) was measured in 2019 for ripe blueberries and unripe green berries using a DS2 Digital Force Gauge (Imada, Northbrook, Ill.) (Olmstead and Finn, 2014). Berries were placed in the prong of the force gauge and pulled at a 90° angle away from the bush. At the time of berry separation at the pedicel-berry junction, the force measurement was recorded in Newtons. If a berry fell from the bush without being pulled, a force measurement of 0.1 N was recorded. The sample size for fruit detachment force was 25 green berries and 25 ripe berries for each parent and offspring in the mapping population. The

157 differential fruit detachment force (or ΔFDF) was calculated per genotype as follows: $\Delta\text{FDF} = (\text{average}$
158 $\text{FDF of ripe berries}) - (\text{average FDF of green berries})$.

159 2.4 Phenotypic analysis

160 We computed adjusted means (LS-means) for each genotype based on a linear model, where genotype
161 and year were considered fixed effects; LS-means for each trait were used as our response variables
162 for the subsequent QTL mapping analyses. To compute genomic heritabilities, we used linear mixed
163 models to estimate the variance components using restricted maximum likelihood estimator approach
164 in the asreml-R software (Butler, 2019). For the firmness trait, we fit the following linear mixed model
165 $y = Xb + Zg + \varepsilon$, where y is the response variable; b is the fixed effect of year; g is the random effect
166 for the genotype nested within the year where $g \sim MVN(0, G_b \otimes K_g)$, being G_b a 2×2 unstructured
167 variance-covariance matrix for the year effect and K_g the genomic relationship matrix; ε is the residual
168 effects where $\varepsilon \sim MVN(0, \Sigma_b \otimes I_g)$, being Σ_b a 2×2 unstructured variance-covariance matrix for
169 the residual term associated with the year variation, and I_g an identity matrix. X and Z are the fixed
170 and random effects, respectively. K_g was estimated by the average of the genomic relationship matrices
171 computed with the identity-by-descent probabilities for every 1 cM with the genotypic probabilities derived
172 from the linkage map. Heritability (h^2) was computed within each year as $h^2 = \sigma_{g(b)}^2 / (\sigma_{g(b)}^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon(b)}^2)$. For
173 FDF, ΔFDF , and firmness retention, h^2 was computed based on a similar model, but with no year effect.

174 2.5 Map crafting and parameters

175 The linkage map was built based on the method proposed by (Mollinari and Garcia, 2019) using
176 the mappoly software and following a series of filtering steps as follows. After genotyping, markers
177 in small unassembled contigs were removed (21,513 remaining markers). Marker genotypes with a
178 probability of correct dosage assignment lower than 0.80 were treated as missing data. Markers with
179 higher than 20% of missing information across individuals were also removed (14,820 remaining markers).
180 Individuals with more than 10% of marker information missing were removed (237 remaining individuals).
181 Finally, markers with Mendelian segregation distortion were removed, considering a chi-square test with
182 Bonferroni correction assuming an alpha level of significance of 0.05 (14,792 remaining markers). Pairwise
183 recombination fractions for all the possible linkage phases between pairs of markers were estimated. The
184 phasing configuration with the highest logarithm of the odds (LOD) score was used to build a matrix of
185 recombination fractions. Based on the recombination fraction heatmap, we performed clustering analyses
186 with the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean algorithm to assign 12 clusters for our
187 marker data. Each cluster would represent one of the 12 blueberry linkage groups (LG). The marker group
188 assignment clusters was compared with the genome mapping results (i.e. on which chromosome the marker
189 mapped, based on the ‘Draper’ genome assembly). Mismatching markers were removed, resulting in
190 14,598 markers, which were grouped into 12 distinct LGs. Within each LG, the markers were ordered
191 using the ‘Draper’ reference genome. With the recombination fraction heatmap, the overall map order was
192 checked using the multidimensional scaling algorithm as proposed by Preedy and Hackett (2016), and was
193 also visually inspected (Margarido et al., 2007). Both methodologies showed similar results. Therefore, we
194 kept the mapping, since the multidimensional scaling order is not powerful enough to solve local inversions
195 (shorter range distance) and would introduce ordering errors (Preedy and Hackett, 2016; Mollinari et al.,
196 2020).

197 Multipoint recombination fraction and haplotype phasing were estimated using Hidden Markov Models
198 (HMM) (Mollinari and Garcia, 2019). Briefly, the HMM procedure starts the chain with the first 20 markers,
199 estimates all the possible phases, selects the one with the highest likelihood, then, in order, adds one
200 marker at a time, and reevaluates the map likelihood and distance. We chose a combination of parameters

for the HMM procedure so that when a new marker is included, it minimizes the chance of inflating the map while keeping the chain open for other possible phasing configurations and maintaining a high phasing accuracy in a feasible computation time. In the mappoly software, we set the following HMM parameters: `start.set = 20`, `thres.twopt = 10`, `thres.hmm = 10`, `extend.tail = 200`, `info.tail = TRUE`, `sub.map.size.diff.limit = 10`, `phase.number.limit = 20`, `reestimate.single.ph.confirguration = TRUE`, `tol = 10e-3`, and `tol.final = 10e-4`. If the recombination fraction heatmap or the multidimensional scaling graphics showed possible inversions and/or misplacements of a map segment, alternative orders (chromosome rearrangements) were also evaluated with the HMM procedure and finally, the order with the highest likelihood was kept as the final order. Markers that inflated the map were manually removed during the process. Map density was evaluated graphically with LinkageMapView (Ouellette et al., 2018).

2.6 QTL mapping

The QTL mapping was performed with a random effect interval mapping using a simplified approach derived from (Pereira et al., 2020). First, within the final linkage map, we computed the conditional probabilities of each individual haplotype in relation to the 36 possible haplotype combinations of an autotetraploid biparental cross for every 1 cM of the map. To this end, we used an HMM procedure adapted from (Lander and Green, 1987) and estimated in the mappoly software (Mollinari and Garcia, 2019). The QTL mapping was performed for each trait using these haplotype probabilities as our predictor variables in a random-effect interval mapping adapted for autopolyploids. Considering n individuals, the random effects model for one QTL can be written as $y = \mu + g_q + \varepsilon$, where y is the response variable, μ is the overall mean effect (fixed), g_q is a vector $n \times 1$ with the individual random effects for the locus q , where $g_q \sim MVN(0, G_q \sigma_q^2)$ being G_q the genetic relationship matrix for this given locus, where $G_q = Z_q \Pi Z_q'$, Z_q is an incidence matrix $n \times 36$ containing the genotype conditional probabilities of the locus q , and Π is a 36×36 matrix containing the expected proportion of shared alleles by identity-by-descent between every genotype pair, σ_q^2 is the QTL variance in the locus q , and $\varepsilon \sim MVN(0, I_n \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$. After fitting a given model for a given QTL, the linear score statistic was computed, which provided a p-value for the given QTL that was then converted to its logarithm as $LOP = -\log_{10}(p - value)$. To determine the significance threshold for each trait, we performed 1,000 rounds of permutations. In each round, the phenotypic observations were shuffled, a random-effect interval mapping model was performed, and the highest LOP values were extracted. Based on the LOP values, we computed the 95% quantile of the second and third peak across all permutations (Doerge and Churchill, 1996; Chen and Storey, 2006). For comparison, we also performed the QTL mapping using fixed-effects interval mapping as proposed by Hackett et al. (2001). The QTL heritability was computed based on the variance estimates as $h_q^2 = \sigma_q^2 / (\sigma_q^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$. The individuals best linear unbiased predictions (BLUPs) were decomposed in order to estimate each allelic effect and the combination of alleles for each parent. The qtlpoly software was used to perform QTL analysis (Pereira et al., 2020). Support intervals for the QTL location were computed based on LOP 1-drop rule, similar to the standard LOD 1-drop rule (Lander and Botstein, 1989; Li, 2011).

2.7 Candidate gene screening

Intervals previously selected for each QTL peak based on the LOP 1-drop rule were explored in order to find candidate genes (Lander and Botstein, 1989; Li, 2011). For firmness, measured over the course of 2 years, overlapping QTL intervals were merged and the whole resulting area was further analyzed.

Predicted genes at the QTL intervals in the 'Draper' genome were extracted and the correspondent predicted protein sequences were retrieved (Colle et al., 2019). Functional annotation was performed using BLASTp (v.2.9.0) search against the eudicots non-redundant database with an e-value cut-off of 10^{-5} .

(Altschul et al., 1990). Domain and gene ontology terms were annotated using InterProScan v 5.35-74.0 (Quevillon et al., 2005).

3 RESULTS

We genotyped 237 individuals and obtained 21,513 SNPs markers. After filtering, we were able to create a tetraploid linkage map that included 11,292 SNP markers with a total size of 1,953.97 cM. This means an average density of 5.78 markers/cM (Table 1). Markers were well distributed throughout the 12 linkage groups (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). We found only two gaps between adjacent mapped markers higher than 15 cM (17.01 cM on LG 6 and 16.89 cM on LG 9). The length of each LG ranged from 128.45 cM to 193.78 cM, with an average of 162.83 cM. During map construction, multidimensional scaling graphical results showed two possible order mismatches between our mapping population and the ‘Draper’ genome. The order mismatches occurred at LG 2 and LG 9 in distal chromosomal segments comparing the reference genome with the suggested multidimensional scaling order (Supplementary Figure 1). All possible orders and inversions of the individual segments were tested, and the one with the highest likelihood was set as the true order for the map. LGs with their marker order, positions in cM, and parental phasing can be found in Supplementary Data 1.

Variance estimation for each trait was obtained using linear mixed models. Heritability ranged from 0.34 for FDF to 0.75 for firmness retention traits (Table 2). For the QTL mapping, the average threshold computed via permutations for the second and third highest peaks had a LOP score of 3.12, and 2.71 respectively. In total, we mapped five QTLs spanning three different LGs in the blueberry genome. Notably, for all traits, we observed individual QTLs explaining more than 15% of the phenotypic variation. For firmness retention, a single QTL on LG 8 explained 27% of the phenotypic variance. For firmness, the location of the QTL reported was consistent across both years (Figure (2 and Table 3). The QTL mapping LOP profile was similar to the fixed-effects interval mapping model (Supplementary Figure 2).

The biological significance of predicted genes identified within the QTL intervals was investigated considering *in silico* functional annotation (Supplementary Data 2). For firmness and firmness retention, we highlighted genes involved in calcium binding, transport and signaling pathways (e.g. *calcium-transporting ATPase 1*, *calcium uniporter protein 4*, *CBL-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 8*, *calcium-binding protein CML41*), and cell-wall modifying enzymes (e.g. *endo-1,4-beta-xylanase*, *beta-galactosidase 3*, *mannan endo-1,4-beta-mannosidase 7*, *galacturonosyltransferase-like 1*, *UDP-glucuronate:xylan alpha-glucuronosyltransferase 2*, *leucine-rich repeat extensin-like protein*). In the QTL interval for FDF of ripe berries and Δ FDF, we detected genes related to MADS-box transcription factors involved in fruit abscission, such as *FUL-like protein/AGL8* and *AGL15*. Since ripening is a fundamental process for all traits in this study, genes involved in hormone-induced senescence could also be plausible candidates. Several genes involved in phytohormone pathways and transport were detected, e.g. for ethylene (*ethylene responsive transcription factor ERF011* and *ERF114-like*), abscisic acid (*abscisic acid 8'-hydroxylase 4*, *molybdenum cofactor sulfurase ABA3*, *protein C2-DOMAIN ABA-RELATED 4*), auxin (*serine/threonine-protein kinase D6PKL2*, *ARF guanine-nucleotide exchange factor GNOM*, *indole-3-pyruvate monooxygenase YUCCA6*), among others (Supplementary Data 2).

4 DISCUSSION

Blueberry production costs are subjected to increasing high hand-harvesting prices. Machine harvesting is an economically viable solution that requires plants with particular characteristics, such as firm berries and fruits that easily detach only when ripe (Olmstead and Finn, 2014). Despite their importance, the genetic basis underlying the variation of these machine harvest-related traits remain poorly understood in blueberry.

Our contributions in this paper are three-fold: I) we crafted a high-density genetic map for low chill (also known as southern highbush) autotetraploid blueberry; II) we mapped potential QTLs associated with machine harvest-related traits, showing their location and effect; and III) we provided some insights into potential molecular mechanisms for firmness and FDF based on predicted gene functions at significant QTL intervals.

To date, most of the blueberry genetic maps reported in the literature have relied on diploid methods, ignoring the fact that allele dosages, polysomic segregation, and multilocus information should be considered in the analyses for a more robust inference. By combining such information with the current genomic resources available, we have created a unifying framework to develop high-quality genetic maps that could be used, for example, as a scaffolding strategy to accomplish future genome assembly projects. Furthermore, the map provides a statement about the inheritance pattern involved in the transmission of genes aiding in the task of haplotype inference. Although other genetic maps were reported in blueberry, high-density polyploid maps are still lacking, which have been already reported for other autopolyploid crop species (Da Silva et al., 2017; Ferreira et al., 2019; Schlautman et al., 2018; Deo et al., 2020; Mollinari et al., 2020). Moreover, previous blueberry linkage maps were not assembled considering prior genomic information was based on smaller populations, lower marker density and/or, more importantly, did not consider most of the genetic particularities that are intrinsic to a tetraploid system (Rowland et al., 2014; McCallum et al., 2016; Schlautman et al., 2018). While our map shows map inflation, this is lower than has been found in another genetic map built with similar methodology (Mollinari et al., 2020). Three main reasons can be pointed out for this apparent inflation: I) misplacement of the marker order, II) genotyping errors, and III) genotyping dosage errors (Mollinari and Garcia, 2019). Aside from differences in species ploidy level, the genotyping quality and the methodology used for allele dosage calling are also potential reasons to explain the difference in map inflation between these two species.

In this report, we present the first high-density map for tetraploid blueberry (*Vaccinium* spp), which brings new advances for the blueberry community but also opens questions that warrant further investigation. First, we detected order mismatches between our map and the previously released 'Draper' genome (Colle et al., 2019) in LGs 2 and 9. These could be due to real chromosomal translocations specific to these genotypes, genome assembling issues, or mapping artifacts. Another possible investigation is regarding double-reduction landscape in blueberry. Even though there is no strong evidence of its presence (Krebs and Hancock, 1989; Amadeu et al., 2016; McCallum et al., 2016), with such high marker density, double-reduction phenomena could also be investigated extensively in blueberry as it is being done in potato (Hackett et al., 2013; Bourke et al., 2015).

After the genetic map construction, QTL mapping was performed to understand the genetic architecture of machine harvest-related traits. By estimating the number, position, and effect of markers underling phenotypic variation, QTL results open new opportunities for marker-assisted selection implementation, which can ultimately accelerate and maximize genetic gains. Despite their potential contribution to breeding programs, phenotype-genotype association studies in blueberry have not been fully utilized. Only recently were the first genome-wide association studies (GWAS) reported for fruit quality and aroma traits (Ferrão et al., 2018, 2020), while QTL mapping has been performed only for chilling requirement and cold hardiness traits (Rowland et al., 2014). Herein, using a random-effect model for multiple-QTL mapping, we identified four major QTLs associated with four important traits related to machine harvesting in blueberry. Markers associated with QTLs explained low-to-moderate portions of the phenotypic variances, which suggest a quantitative nature of these traits. In accordance to this, previous GWAS analyses in blueberry also detected few and scattered associations between SNPs and fruit firmness using dominant gene action

models, which also explained a small proportion of the trait variation (Ferrão et al., 2018; Benevenuto et al., 2019).

QTL regions using the LOP 1-drop rule spanned genomic intervals from 5.96 to 44.05 cM, encompassing from 1.68 to 9.71 Mb in the reference genome, with each interval harboring hundreds of genes. Given the large size of linkage blocks inherent in most QTL mapping populations, it is difficult at this stage to point out specific candidate genes underlying the variability of the traits. However, a number of biologically plausible candidate genes can be highlighted. Overall, all traits considered in this study are tightly related to fruit ripening, senescence, and abscission. These processes are the result of complex interactions of plant hormones, signaling pathways, and transcriptional and cellular modifications (Seymour et al., 2002; Giovannoni, 2004; Estornell et al., 2013; Cappai et al., 2018).

For firmness and firmness retention traits, the most striking candidates found at the QTL intervals were those related to cell wall modification, such as glycosyltransferases (EC 2.4), glycosylases (EC 3.2) and leucine-rich repeat extensin-like proteins. Fruit softening, at both *in planta* and in storage conditions, has been mainly associated with depolymerization and solubilization of hemicellulose and pectin in the cell wall (Huber, 1983; Brummell, 2006; Chen et al., 2015).

For ripe FDF and Δ FDF traits, genes potentially related to the transcriptional regulation of the differentiation and activation of the abscission zone are interesting candidates, such as *FRUITFULL/AGL8* and *AGL15*. *FRUITFULL* (*FUL*) is a MADS-BOX transcription factor associated with the differentiation of the dehiscence zone of the silique in *Arabidopsis* (Ferrández et al., 2000), and also has significant sequence similarities with the tomato gene *MACROCALYX* (Nakano et al., 2012). The constitutive expression of *FRUITFULL* has been shown to be sufficient to prevent formation of the dehiscence zone (Ferrández et al., 2000). *AGAMOUS like 15* (*AGL15*) is also a MADS-BOX transcription factor that maintains a non-senescent state of plant tissues, and whose constitutive expression resulted in delayed floral organ abscission in *Arabidopsis* (Fernandez et al., 2000; Estornell et al., 2013). Other transcription factors detected at the Δ FDF QTL region could also have an indirect role in abscission, such as *OF7* (Wang et al., 2013), *SPATULA* (Heisler et al., 2001; Girin et al., 2011), *TCP13* (Koyama et al., 2007), and *HHO5* (Butenko and Simon, 2015; Moreau et al., 2016).

Several genes involved in phytohormone pathways were detected at the QTL intervals for all traits. For firmness and firmness retention traits, ethylene and ABA are well-known for their role as ripening promoters and, subsequently, senescence and softening (Suzuki et al., 1997; Zhu et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2013; Cappai et al., 2018). Within the QTL interval for firmness, for example, there were two putative 'ethylene-responsive transcription factor (ERFs)' encoding genes. A fine QTL mapping in tomato also detected an ERF gene underlying firmness variation and its increased expression was associated with soft fruit texture in the tomato mapping population (Chapman et al., 2012). Genes related to phytohormone pathways could also be involved in fruit abscission and, therefore, underlying FDF and Δ FDF traits (Lipe and Morgan, 1972; Riov et al., 1990; Chauvaux et al., 1997; Estornell et al., 2013). Despite the copious literature supporting the role of hormones in fruit softening and dehiscence, their pathways and effects are extremely complex and interconnected with other hormones, so many candidates can be speculated to have a role. In addition to hormone-related genes, a diverse set of calcium-related genes were also found in the QTL regions, including some with potential binding, transport, and calcium-activated signal transduction functions. Calcium also has well-documented roles in signaling, water relations, and cell wall modification during fruit ripening in various fruit crops; therefore, these genes may also be underlying the variation of the traits (Conway and Sams, 1987; García et al., 1996; Pilar Hernandez et al., 2006; Ciccacese et al., 2013; Beaudry et al., 2016; Munir et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2019).

374 Altogether, we reported a high-quality linkage map and candidate QTL regions for four machine harvest-
375 related traits in autotetraploid blueberry. We used state-of-art algorithms for the linkage analysis applied
376 to polyploids and, therefore, our results can be relevant for the polyploid community. We detected QTL
377 associated with machine harvesting traits and characterized their genetic architecture and the potential for
378 marker-assisted selection implementation in the breeding program. Finally, we highlighted some plausible
379 candidate genes at the QTL intervals. Future efforts to identify causal genes and variants can include a
380 combination of fine mapping, transcriptomics, and functional testing of the genes, such as CRISPR-Cas9
381 inactivation.

5 NOMENCLATURE

382 Fruit Detachment Force (FDF), Linkage Group (LG), Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS), Hidden
383 Markov Models (HMMs), Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL), Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP),
384 Logarithm of the Odds (LOD), Logarithm of the p-value (LOP), Absciscic Acid (ABA).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

385 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
386 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

387 PM and FC conceived and designed the study. FC, RC, AGA, and AGR conducted the field experiment,
388 phenotyping, and DNA extraction. RRA and LFVR performed statistical genetics analyses. FC and JB
389 performed the SNP filtering and gene annotation. FC and RRA wrote the manuscript. All authors read,
390 reviewed and approved the final version of this manuscript.

FUNDING

391 This work was supported by the University of Florida royalty fund generated by the licensing of blueberry
392 cultivars.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

393 We thank Dr. Marcelo Mollinari and Dr. Guilherme da Silva Pereira for their valuable comments during
394 mapping and QTL analyses. We also thank Lauren Scott and Werner Collante for their support and guidance
395 in the laboratory.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

396 For this preprint version is available upon request to the authors.

397 **Supplementary Data 1.** It contains 11 columns by 11,292 rows, where rows are the markers and columns
398 present the assembled linkage group (LG), SNP name (scaffold + position), position in cM, parent 1 phase
399 (a, b, c, d homologues), parent 2 phase (e, f, g, h). ' | ' represents the alternative SNP allele, and ' o ' otherwise
400 (reference allele).

401 **Supplementary Data 2.** It contains four spreadsheets, one per trait, where rows are the predicted genes
402 in QTL intervals, and columns have in silico annotation information: transcript ID, blastp description,
403 protein length, e-value, mean percentage of identity, GO terms, GO description, enzyme codes, enzyme
404 names, InterPro domains, and manual annotations, respectively.

REFERENCES

- 405 Adams, K. L. and Wendel, J. F. (2005). Polyploidy and genome evolution in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*
406 8, 135–141. doi:10.1016/J.PBI.2005.01.001
- 407 Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search
408 tool. *J Mol. Bio.* 215, 403–410. doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
- 409 Amadeu, R. R., Cellon, C., Olmstead, J. W., Garcia, A. A. F., Resende, M. F. R., and Muñoz, P. R. (2016).
410 AGHmatrix: R package to construct relationship matrices for autotetraploid and diploid species: A
411 blueberry example. *The Plant Genome* 9, 1–10. doi:10.3835/plantgenome2016.01.0009
- 412 Angeletti, P., Castagnasso, H., Miceli, E., Terminiello, L., Concellón, A., Chaves, A., et al. (2010). Effect
413 of preharvest calcium applications on postharvest quality, softening and cell wall degradation of two
414 blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*) varieties. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 58, 98–103. doi:10.1016/j.
415 postharvbio.2010.05.015
- 416 Beaudry, R. M., Hanson, E. J., Beggs, J. L., and Beaudry, R. M. (2016). Applying calcium chloride
417 postharvest to improve highbush blueberry firmness. *HortScience* 28, 2–4. doi:10.21273/HORTSCI.28.
418 10.1033
- 419 Benevenuto, J., Ferrão, V. L. F., Amadeu, R. R., and Munoz, P. (2019). How can a high-quality genome
420 assembly help plant breeders? *GigaScience* 8. doi:10.1093/gigascience/giz068
- 421 Berger, C. N., Sodha, V. S., Shaw, R. K., Griffin, P. M., Pink, D., Hand, P., et al. (2010). Fresh fruit and
422 vegetables as vehicles for the transmission of human pathogens. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 2385–2397.
423 doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02297.x
- 424 Bever, J. D. and Felber, F. (1992). The theoretical population genetics of autopolyploidy. In *Oxford*
425 *surveys in evolutionary biology volume 8*, eds. D. Futuyma and J. Antonovics (Oxford University Press).
426 185–217
- 427 Bourke, P. M., van Geest, G., Voorrips, R. E., Jansen, J., Kranenburg, T., Shahin, A., et al. (2018).
428 polypmapR — linkage analysis and genetic map construction from F1 populations of outcrossing
429 polyploids. *Bioinformatics* 34, 3496–3502. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty371
- 430 Bourke, P. M., Voorrips, R. E., Visser, R. G. F., and Maliepaard, C. (2015). The double-reduction
431 landscape in tetraploid potato as revealed by a high-density linkage map. *Genetics* 201, 853–863.
432 doi:10.1534/genetics.115.181008
- 433 Brazelton, C., Kayla, Y., and Bauer, N. (2017). *Global Blueberry Statistics and Intelligence Report*. Tech.
434 rep., International Blueberry Organization
- 435 Broman, K. W. and Sen, S. (2009). *A Guide to QTL Mapping with R/qtl* (Springer)
- 436 Brubaker, C. L., Paterson, A. H., and Wendel, J. F. (1999). Comparative genetic mapping of allotetraploid
437 cotton and its diploid progenitors. *Genome* 42, 184–203
- 438 Brummell, D. A. (2006). Cell wall disassembly in ripening fruit. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 33, 103–119.
439 doi:10.1071/FP05234
- 440 Butenko, M. A. and Simon, R. (2015). Beyond the meristems: similarities in the CLAVATA3 and
441 INFLORESCENCE DEFICIENT IN ABSCISSION peptide mediated signalling pathways. *J. Exp. Bot.*
442 66, 5195–5203. doi:10.1093/jxb/erv310
- 443 Butler, D. (2019). *asreml: fits the linear mixed model*. R Package (VSN International)
- 444 Cappai, F., Benevenuto, J., Ferrão, L., and Munoz, P. (2018). Molecular and genetic bases of fruit firmness
445 variation in blueberry — a review. *Agronomy* 8, 174. doi:10.3390/agronomy8090174
- 446 Cellon, C., Amadeu, R. R., Olmstead, J. W., Mattia, M. R., Ferrao, L. F. V., and Munoz, P. R. (2018).
447 Estimation of genetic parameters and prediction of breeding values in an autotetraploid blueberry
448 breeding population with extensive pedigree data. *Euphytica* 214, 87. doi:10.1007/s10681-018-2165-8

- 449 Chapman, N. H., Bonnet, J., Grivet, L., Lynn, J., Graham, N., Smith, R., et al. (2012). High-resolution
450 mapping of a fruit firmness-related quantitative trait locus in tomato reveals epistatic interactions
451 associated with a complex combinatorial locus. *Plant Physiol.* 159, 1644–1657. doi:10.1104/pp.112.
452 200634
- 453 Chauvaux, N., Child, R., John, K., Ulvskov, P., Borkhardt, B., Prinsen, E., et al. (1997). The role of
454 auxin in cell separation in the dehiscence zone of oilseed rape pods. *J. Exp. Bot.* 48, 1423–1429.
455 doi:10.1093/jxb/48.7.1423
- 456 Chen, H., Cao, S., Fang, X., Mu, H., Yang, H., Wang, X., et al. (2015). Changes in fruit firmness, cell
457 wall composition and cell wall degrading enzymes in postharvest blueberries during storage. *Scientia*
458 *Horticulturae* 188, 44–48. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2015.03.018
- 459 Chen, L. and Storey, J. D. (2006). Relaxed significance criteria for linkage analysis. *Genetics* 173,
460 2371–2381. doi:10.1534/genetics.105.052506
- 461 Chiabrando, V. and Giacalone, G. (2011). Shelf-life extension of highbush blueberry using 1-
462 methylcyclopropene stored under air and controlled atmosphere. *Food Chem.* 126, 1812–1816.
463 doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.12.032
- 464 Ciccicarese, A., Stellacci, A. M., Gentile, G., and Rubino, P. (2013). Effectiveness of pre- and post-
465 veraison calcium applications to control decay and maintain table grape fruit quality during storage.
466 *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 75, 135–141. doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2012.08.010
- 467 Colle, M., Leisner, C. P., Wai, C. M., Ou, S., Bird, K. A., Wang, J., et al. (2019). Haplotype-phased
468 genome and evolution of phytonutrient pathways of tetraploid blueberry. *GigaScience* 8. doi:10.1093/
469 gigascience/giz012
- 470 Conway, W. S. and Sams, C. E. (1987). The effects of postharvest infiltration of calcium, magnesium, or
471 strontium on decay, firmness, respiration, and ethylene production in apples. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*
- 472 Da Silva, W. L., Ingram, J., Hackett, C. A., Coombs, J. J., Douches, D., Bryan, G. J., et al. (2017). Mapping
473 loci that control tuber and foliar symptoms caused by PVY in autotetraploid potato (*Solanum tuberosum*
474 L.). *G3 (Bethesda)* 7. doi:10.1534/g3.117.300264
- 475 Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., et al. (2011). The variant
476 call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2156–2158. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
- 477 Deo, T. G., Ferreira, R. C. U., Lara, L. A. C., Moraes, A. C. L., Alves-Pereira, A., de Oliveira, F. A.,
478 et al. (2020). High-resolution linkage map with allele dosage allows the identification of regions
479 governing complex traits and apospory in guinea grass (*Megathyrsus maximus*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 11.
480 doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.00015
- 481 Doerge, R. W. and Churchill, G. A. (1996). Permutation tests for multiple loci affecting a quantitative
482 character. *Genetics* 142, 285–294
- 483 Edwards, T. J., Sherman, W., and Sharpe, R. (1974). Evaluation and inheritance of fruit color, size, scar,
484 firmness and plant vigor in blueberry. *HortScience* 9, 20–22
- 485 Ehlenfeldt, M. K. and Martin, R. B. (2002). A survey of fruit firmness in highbush blueberry and
486 species-introgressed blueberry cultivars. *HortScience* 37, 386–389. doi:10.21273/HORTSCI.37.2.386
- 487 Estornell, L. H., Agustí, J., Merelo, P., Talón, M., and Tadeo, F. R. (2013). Elucidating mechanisms
488 underlying organ abscission. *Plant Sci.* 199, 48–60. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2012.10.008
- 489 Fernandez, D. E., Heck, G. R., Perry, S. E., Patterson, S. E., Bleecker, A. B., and Fang, S.-C. (2000). The
490 embryo MADS domain factor AGL15 acts postembryonically: inhibition of perianth senescence and
491 abscission via constitutive expression. *Plant Cell* 12, 183–197. doi:10.1105/tpc.12.2.183
- 492 Ferreira, R. C. U., Lara, L. A. d. C., Chiari, L., Barrios, S. C. L., do Valle, C. B., Valério, J. R., et al.
493 (2019). Genetic mapping with allele dosage information in tetraploid *Urochloa decumbens* (Stapf) R.

- 494 D. Webster reveals insights into spittlebug (*Notozulia entreciana* Berg) resistance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10.
495 doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.00092
- 496 Ferrándiz, C. (2002). Regulation of fruit dehiscence in *Arabidopsis*. *J. Exp. Bot.* 53, 2031–2038
- 497 Ferrándiz, C., Liljegren, S. J., and Yanofsky, M. F. (2000). Negative regulation of the SHATTERPROOF
498 genes by FRUITFULL during *Arabidopsis* fruit development. *Science* 289, 436–438. doi:10.1126/
499 science.289.5478.436
- 500 Ferrão, L. F. V., Johnson, T. S., Benevenuto, J., Edger, P. P., Colquhoun, T. A., and Munoz, P. R. (2020).
501 Genome-wide association of volatiles reveals candidate loci for blueberry flavor. *New Phytol.* Early
502 View. doi:10.1111/nph.16459
- 503 Ferrão, V. L. F., Benevenuto, J., Oliveira, I. d. B., Cellon, C., Olmstead, J., Kirst, M., et al. (2018). Insights
504 into the genetic basis of blueberry fruit-related traits using diploid and polyploid models in a GWAS
505 context. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 6, 107. doi:10.3389/fevo.2018.00107
- 506 Gallardo, R. K., Stafne, E. T., DeVetter, L. W., Zhang, Q., Li, C., Takeda, F., et al. (2018). Blueberry
507 producers' attitudes toward harvest mechanization for fresh market. *HortTechnology* 28, 10–16. doi:10.
508 21273/HORTTECH03872-17
- 509 Gao, Q., Xiong, T., Li, X., Chen, W., and Zhu, X. (2019). Calcium and calcium sensors in fruit development
510 and ripening. *Scientia Horticulturae* 253, 412–421. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2019.04.069
- 511 García, J. M., Herrera, S., and Morilla, A. (1996). Effects of postharvest dips in calcium chloride on
512 strawberry. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 44, 30–33. doi:10.1021/jf950334l
- 513 Garrison, E. and Marth, G. (2012). Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. *arXiv*
514 doi:arXiv:1207.3907
- 515 Gerard, D., Ferrão, L. F. V., Garcia, A. A. F., and Stephens, M. (2018). Genotyping polyploids from messy
516 sequencing data. *Genetics* 210, 789–807. doi:10.1534/GENETICS.118.301468
- 517 Gilbert, J. L., Guthart, M. J., Gezan, S. A., De Carvalho, M. P., Schwieterman, M. L., Colquhoun, T. A.,
518 et al. (2015). Identifying breeding priorities for blueberry flavor using biochemical, sensory, and
519 genotype by environment analyses. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0138494. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138494
- 520 Giovannoni, J. J. (2004). Genetic regulation of fruit development and ripening. *Plant Cell* 16, S170–S180.
521 doi:10.1105/tpc.019158
- 522 Girin, T., Paicu, T., Stephenson, P., Fuentes, S., Körner, E., O'Brien, M., et al. (2011). INDEHISCENT
523 and SPATULA interact to specify carpel and valve margin tissue and thus promote seed dispersal in
524 *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 23, 3641–3653. doi:10.1105/tpc.111.090944
- 525 Hackett, C. A., Boskamp, B., Vogogias, A., Preedy, K. F., and Milne, I. (2017). TetraploidSNPMap:
526 Software for linkage analysis and QTL mapping in autotetraploid populations using SNP dosage data.
527 *Heredity* 108, 438–442. doi:10.1093/jhered/esx022
- 528 Hackett, C. A., Bradshaw, J. E., and McNicol, J. W. (2001). Interval mapping of quantitative trait loci in
529 autotetraploid species. *Genetics* 159, 1819–1832
- 530 Hackett, C. A., McLean, K., and Bryan, G. J. (2013). Linkage analysis and QTL mapping using SNP dosage
531 data in a tetraploid potato mapping population. *PLoS ONE* 8, e63939. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063939
- 532 Hackett, C. A., Milne, I., Bradshaw, J. E., and Luo, Z. (2007). TetraploidMap for Windows: Linkage map
533 construction and QTL mapping in autotetraploid species. *Heredity* 98, 727–729. doi:10.1093/jhered/
534 esm086
- 535 Heisler, M. G., Atkinson, A., Bylstra, Y. H., Walsh, R., and Smyth, D. R. (2001). SPATULA, a gene that
536 controls development of carpel margin tissues in *Arabidopsis*, encodes a bHLH protein. *Development*
537 128, 1089–1098

- 538 Huber, D. J. (1983). Polyuronide degradation and hemicellulose modifications in ripening tomato fruit. *J.*
539 *Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 108, 405–409
- 540 Ito, Y. and Nakano, T. (2015). Development and regulation of pedicel abscission in tomato. *Front. Plant*
541 *Sci.* 6, 442. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00442
- 542 Koyama, T., Furutani, M., Tasaka, M., and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2007). TCP transcription factors control the
543 morphology of shoot lateral organs via negative regulation of the expression of boundary-specific genes
544 in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 19, 473–484. doi:10.1105/tpc.106.044792
- 545 Krebs, S. L. and Hancock, J. F. (1989). Tetrasomic inheritance of isoenzyme markers in the highbush
546 blueberry, *Vaccinium corymbosum* L. *Heredity* 63, 11–18. doi:10.1038/hdy.1989.70
- 547 Lander, E. S. and Botstein, S. (1989). Mapping mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using
548 RFLP linkage maps. *Genetics* 121, 185
- 549 Lander, E. S. and Green, P. (1987). Construction of multilocus genetic linkage maps in humans. *Proc. Natl.*
550 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 84, 2363–2367. doi:10.1073/pnas.84.8.2363
- 551 Lara, I., García, P., and Vendrell, M. (2004). Modifications in cell wall composition after cold storage of
552 calcium-treated strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 34, 331–339.
553 doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2004.05.018
- 554 Lee, W.-P., Stromberg, M. P., Ward, A., Stewart, C., Garrison, E. P., and Marth, G. T. (2014). MOSAIK:
555 a hash-based algorithm for accurate next-generation sequencing short-read mapping. *PLoS ONE* 9.
556 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090581
- 557 Li, H. (2011). A quick method to calculate QTL confidence interval. *J. Genetics* 90, 355–360
- 558 Lipe, J. A. and Morgan, P. W. (1972). Ethylene: role in fruit abscission and dehiscence processes. *Plant*
559 *Physiol.* 50, 759–764. doi:10.1104/pp.50.6.759
- 560 Lyrene, P. M. (2009). ‘Sweetcrisp’ southern highbush blueberry plant (USA: USPP20027P3)
- 561 Lyrene, P. M. (2016). Blueberry plant named ‘FL98-325’ (USA: US20150106980P1)
- 562 Malladi, A., Vashisth, T., and Johnson, L. K. (2012). Ethephon and methyl jasmonate affect fruit detachment
563 in rabbiteye and southern highbush blueberry. *HortScience* 47, 1745–1749. doi:10.21273/HORTSCI.47.
564 12.1745
- 565 Margarido, G. R. A., Souza, A. P., and Garcia, A. A. F. (2007). OneMap: software for genetic mapping in
566 outcrossing species. *Hereditas* 144, 78–79. doi:10.1111/j.2007.0018-0661.02000.x
- 567 McCallum, S., Graham, J., Jorgensen, L., Rowland, L. J., Bassil, V. N., Hancock, J. F., et al. (2016).
568 Construction of a SNP and SSR linkage map in autotetraploid blueberry using genotyping by sequencing.
569 *Mol. Breed.* 36, 41. doi:10.1007/s11032-016-0443-5
- 570 Mehra, L. K., MacLean, D. D., Savelle, A. T., and Scherm, H. (2013). Postharvest disease development
571 on southern highbush blueberry fruit in relation to berry flesh type and harvest method. *Plant Dis.* 97,
572 213–221. doi:10.1094/PDIS-03-12-0307-RE
- 573 Mollinari, M. and Garcia, A. A. F. (2019). Linkage analysis and haplotype phasing in experimental
574 autopolyploid populations with high ploidy level using hidden Markov models. *G3 (Bethesda)* 9,
575 3297–3314. doi:10.1534/g3.119.400378
- 576 Mollinari, M., Olukolu, B. A., Da Pereira, G. S., Khan, A., Gemenet, D., Craig Yench, G., et al. (2020).
577 Unraveling the hexaploid sweetpotato inheritance using ultra-dense multilocus mapping. *G3 (Bethesda)*
578 10, 281–292. doi:10.1534/g3.119.400620
- 579 Moreau, F., Thévenon, E., Blanvillain, R., Lopez-Vidriero, I., Franco-Zorrilla, J. M., Dumas, R., et al.
580 (2016). The Myb-domain protein ULTRAPETALA1 INTERACTING FACTOR 1 controls floral
581 meristem activities in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* 143, 1108–1119. doi:10.1242/dev.127365

- 582 Munir, S., Khan, M. R. G., Song, J., Munir, S., Zhang, Y., Ye, Z., et al. (2016). Genome-wide
583 identification, characterization and expression analysis of calmodulin-like (CML) proteins in tomato
584 (*Solanum lycopersicum*). *Plant Phys. Biochem.* 102, 167–179. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.02.020
- 585 Nakano, T., Kimbara, J., Fujisawa, M., Kitagawa, M., Ihashi, N., Maeda, H., et al. (2012). MACROCALYX
586 and JOINTLESS interact in the transcriptional regulation of tomato fruit abscission zone development.
587 *Plant Physiol.* 158, 439–450. doi:10.1104/pp.111.183731
- 588 Olmstead, J. W. and Finn, C. E. (2014). Breeding highbush blueberry cultivars adapted to machine harvest
589 for the fresh market. *HortTechnology* 24, 290–294. doi:10.21273/HORTTECH.24.3.290
- 590 Ouellette, L. A., Reid, R. W., Blanchard, S. G., and Brouwer, C. R. (2018). LinkageMapView-rendering
591 high-resolution linkage and QTL maps. *Bioinformatics* 34, 306–307. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx576
- 592 Patterson, S. E., Bolivar-Medina, J. L., Falbel, T. G., Hedtcke, J. L., Nevarez-McBride, D., Maule, A. F.,
593 et al. (2016). Are we on the right track: can our understanding of abscission in model systems promote
594 or derail making improvements in less studied crops? *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 1268. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.
595 01268
- 596 Pereira, G. S., Gemenet, D. C., Mollinari, M., Olukolu, B. A., Wood, J. C., Mosquera, V., et al. (2020).
597 Multiple QTL mapping in autopolyploids: a random-effect model approach with application in a
598 hexaploid sweetpotato full-sib population. *Genetics* doi:10.1534/genetics.120.303080
- 599 Pilar Hernandez, M., Maria, J., and Rafael, G. (2006). Effect of calcium dips and Chitosan coating
600 on postharvest life of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananasa*). *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 39, 247–253.
601 doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2005.11.006
- 602 Preedy, K. F. and Hackett, C. A. (2016). A rapid marker ordering approach for high-density genetic linkage
603 maps in experimental autotetraploid populations using multidimensional scaling. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*
604 129, 2117–2132. doi:10.1007/s00122-016-2761-8
- 605 Quevillon, E., Silventoinen, V., Pillai, S., Harte, N., Mulder, N., Apweiler, R., et al. (2005). InterProScan:
606 protein domains identifier. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, W116–W120. doi:10.1093/nar/gki442
- 607 Riov, J., Dagan, E., Goren, R., and Yang, S. F. (1990). Characterization of abscisic acid-induced ethylene
608 production in citrus leaf and tomato fruit tissues. *Plant Physiol.* 92, 48–53. doi:doi.org/10.1104/pp.92.1.
609 48
- 610 Roberts, J. A., Elliott, K. A., and Gonzalez-Carranza, Z. H. (2002). Abscission, dehiscence, and other
611 cell separation processes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 53, 131–158. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.53.092701.
612 180236
- 613 Roka, F. M. and Guan, Z. (2018). Farm labor management trends in Florida, USA-challenges and
614 opportunities. *Intl. J. Agric. Manage.* 7, 1–9. doi:10.22004/ag.econ.292479
- 615 Rowland, L. J., Ogden, E. L., Bassil, N., Buck, E. J., McCallum, S., Graham, J., et al. (2014). Construction
616 of a genetic linkage map of an interspecific diploid blueberry population and identification of QTL for
617 chilling requirement and cold hardiness. *Mol. Breed.* 34, 2033–2048. doi:10.1007/s11032-014-0161-9
- 618 Schlautman, B., Covarrubias-Pazaran, G., Diaz-Garcia, L., Iorizzo, M., Polashock, J., Grygleski, E., et al.
619 (2017). Construction of a high-density american cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait.) composite
620 map using genotyping-by-sequencing for multi-pedigree linkage mapping. *G3 (Bethesda)* 7, 1177–1189.
621 doi:10.1534/g3.116.037556
- 622 Schlautman, B., Diaz-Garcia, L., Covarrubias-Pazaran, G., Schlautman, N., Vorsa, N., Polashock, J., et al.
623 (2018). Comparative genetic mapping reveals synteny and collinearity between the American cranberry
624 and diploid blueberry genomes. *Mol. Breed.* 38. doi:10.1007/s11032-017-0765-y
- 625 Seymour, G. B., Manning, K., Eriksson, E. M., Popovich, A. H., and King, G. J. (2002). Genetic
626 identification and genomic organization of factors affecting fruit texture. *J. Exp. Bot.* 53, 2065–2071.

- 627 doi:10.1093/jxb/erf087
- 628 Sun, Y., Hou, Z., Su, S., and Yuan, J. (2013). Effects of ABA, GA3 and NAA on fruit development and
- 629 anthocyanin accumulation in blueberry. *J. South China Agr. Univ.* 34, 6–11
- 630 Suzuki, A., Kikuchi, T., and Aoba, K. (1997). Changes of ethylene evolution, ACC content, ethylene
- 631 forming enzyme activity and respiration in fruits of highbush blueberry. *J. Japan Soc. Hort. Sci.* 66,
- 632 23–27. doi:10.2503/jjshs.66.23
- 633 Tsuchiya, M., Satoh, S., and Iwai, H. (2015). Distribution of XTH, expansin, and secondary-wall-related
- 634 CesA in floral and fruit abscission zones during fruit development in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*).
- 635 *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 323. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00323
- 636 [Dataset] USDA Economic Research Service (2020). Fruit and vegetable prices. Accessed: 2020-02-10
- 637 Vashisth, T., NeSmith, D. S., and Malladi, A. (2015). Anatomical and gene expression analyses of two
- 638 blueberry genotypes displaying differential fruit detachment. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 140, 620–626.
- 639 doi:10.21273/JASHS.140.6.620
- 640 Vicente, A. R., Ortugno, C., Rosli, H., Powell, A. L., Greve, L. C., and Labavitch, J. M. (2007). Temporal
- 641 sequence of cell wall disassembly events in developing fruits. 2. Analysis of blueberry (*Vaccinium*
- 642 species). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 55, 4125–4130. doi:10.1021/jf063548j
- 643 Wang, X., Liu, D., Li, A., Sun, X., Zhang, R., Wu, L., et al. (2013). Transcriptome analysis of tomato
- 644 flower pedicel tissues reveals abscission zone-specific modulation of key meristem activity genes. *PLoS*
- 645 *ONE* 8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055238
- 646 Xin, Z. and Chen, J. (2012). A high throughput DNA extraction method with high yield and quality. *Plant*
- 647 *Methods* 8, 26. doi:10.1186/1746-4811-8-26
- 648 Yu, P., Li, C., Takeda, F., and Krewer, G. (2014). Visual bruise assessment and analysis of mechanical
- 649 impact measurement in southern highbush blueberries. *Appl. Eng. Agric.* 30, 29–37. doi:10.13031/aea.
- 650 30.10224
- 651 Zhu, Y., Zheng, P., Varanasi, V., Shin, S., Main, D., Curry, E., et al. (2012). Multiple plant hormones and
- 652 cell wall metabolism regulate apple fruit maturation patterns and texture attributes. *Tree Genet Genome*
- 653 8, 1389–1406. doi:10.1007/s11295-012-0526-3

TABLES

Table 1. Summary statistics of the blueberry map showing the linkage groups (LG), total number of markers assembled (Markers), LG length in cM, log-likelihood of the LG (LL), and total number of gaps assuming three different thresholds (5, 10, and 15 cM).

LG	Markers	Length	LL	Total gaps		
				>5 cM	>10 cM	>15 cM
1	932	150.40	-9,360.05	1	0	0
2	1,093	189.12	-10,724.5	3	1	0
3	1,060	168.28	-10,551.79	3	0	0
4	826	153.23	-8,961.25	2	0	0
5	1,129	187.46	-11,084.64	3	2	0
6	795	154.01	-8,094.59	4	1	1
7	749	128.45	-7,231.92	2	0	0
8	911	149.05	-10,013.13	1	0	0
9	889	193.78	-9,367.19	3	1	1
10	955	151.38	-9,569.56	2	0	0
11	1,085	175.09	-11,101.22	0	0	0
12	868	153.72	-9,638.22	1	0	0
Total	11,292	1,953.97	-115,698.06	25	5	2

Table 2. Phenotypic averages ($\bar{y}$) for the parents 'Sweetcrisp', 'Indigocrisp', and their offspring, estimated genotypic (s_g^2) and error (s_e^2) variances, and genotypic heritability (h^2) for each trait. NA when not measured.

Trait	$\bar{y}_{sweet}$	$\bar{y}_{indigo}$	$\bar{y}_{offspring}$	s_g^2	s_e^2	h^2
Firmness 2018	259.71	238.06	237.7	298.04	356.76	0.46
Firmness 2019	283.26	267.46	271.52	569.29	549.37	0.51
Firmness retention	NA	NA	8.62	563.38	192.14	0.75
Ripe FDF	0.43	0.74	0.84	0.02	0.04	0.34
Δ FDF	-0.87	-1.34	1.93	0.11	0.22	0.34

Table 3. QTL position inferred for each trait, linkage group (LG), highest logarithm of the p-value of the QTL (LOP), the peak position in the map in centiMorgans (Peak) with confidence interval assuming 1-LOP drop rule (Lower and Upper bound), SNP position with the maximum LOP score of the peak (Loci), and the QTL heritability (h^2).

Trait	LG	LOP	Peak (cM)	Lower (cM)	Upper (cM)	Loci	h^2
Firmness 2018	7	3.08	43.13	15.06	53.39	12_11134097	0.16
Firmness 2019	7	4.29	31.11	26.42	38.38	12_9191799	0.16
Firmness retention	8	3.78	73.01	69.51	75.47	13_14221092	0.27
Ripe FDF	12	3.13	50.04	36.44	55.79	22_10452951	0.19
Δ FDF	8	2.88	81.26	39.11	83.16	13_16002128	0.16

FIGURES

Rendered by LinkageMapView

Figure 1. Linkage map density. The 12 linkage groups are represented and colored according to the marker density. Warmer colors represent denser marker region and each black line shows the marker position. Figure built with LinkageMapView software.

Figure 2. QTL mapping profiles for blueberry machine harvesting traits throughout the 12 linkage groups. LOP is the negative logarithm score of the p-values for the presence of the QTL in the model. Triangles indicate inferred QTL positions for each trait. Black dashed lines represent the permutation thresholds considering 95% quantile of the second (top) and third (bottom) highest peak. **(A)** Fruit detachment force profiles for ripe fruit and for the difference (Δ) between ripe and unripe fruit. **(B)** Firmness after one day of storage (for 2018 and 2019 seasons) and firmness retention (difference between 2019 firmness after one day of storage and after four weeks of storage).