Effects of cellular stress on pigment production in

Rhodotorula mucilaginosa/alborubescens AJB01 strain from the Caribbean region of Colombia

Alejandra Garcia Cortes^a, Julián Andres Garcia Vásquez^a, Yani Cristina Aranguren Díaz^b,
Mauricio Ramirez Castrillón^{a*}

^a Facultad de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Santiago de Cali, campus Pampalinda, Calle 5
62-00, Santiago de Cali, Colombia.

^b Facultad de Ciencias Básicas y Biomédicas, Universidad Simón Bolívar, Carrera 59 # 59-
65, Barranquilla, Colombia

ABSTRACT

Considering that a large proportion of industrially used pigments are toxic and potentially mutagenic, with some having carcinogenic effects, it is essential to replace them as well as eliminate them from industrial effluents. The discovery of biopigments has received considerable attention from the industry sector. The main objective of this study was to increase the production of carotenoid pigments in naturally pigmented yeast by subjecting the yeast to various cellular stresses. The fungal strain *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa/alborubescens* AJB01 was isolated from a food sample collected in Barranquilla, Colombia, and one of the pigments produced was β -carotene. This strain was subjected to various stress conditions, including osmotic stress using different salts, physical stress by ultraviolet (UV) light, and light stress using different photoperiods. The optimal growth conditions for carotenoid production were determined to be 1 min of UV

light, 0.5 mg/L of magnesium sulfate, and 18:6 h light/dark period, which resulted in a carotenoid yield of 118.3 µg of carotenoid per gram of yeast.

Keywords: Biopigment; Carotenoids; Box–Behnken; Plackett–Burman; Cellular stress; Pigmented yeast.

1. Introduction

Pigments are chemical substances that transmit color to other substances through the optical effect of sunlight or are powders that, when mixed with liquids, can dye the surfaces of other materials. Pigments are a significant raw material in textile, food, cosmetic, and clinical industries, where they are used as additives to improve certain products' appearance and make them more attractive to consumers. Dyes and pigments are currently obtained from animal, plant, or mineral sources, as well as by synthetic (production of molecules using chemical reactions) and biotechnological (pigments produced by microorganisms) processes [1].

Carotenoids are organic pigments derived from isoprene, formed by a 40-carbon chain characterized by the presence of a long polyene chain, in which the number of double bonds may vary from 3 to 15, which is responsible for the production of the colors perceived by the human eye. Carotenoids feature yellow, orange, and red colors, which are widely distributed in microorganisms and plants. Carotenoids are highly valuable in the pharmaceutical, cosmetic, food, and animal feed industries not only because they serve as vitamin A precursors but also because of their coloration, antioxidant properties, potential tumor-inhibiting activity, and the immune response they induce, which can protect against bacterial and fungal infections [2].

Plant extracts, particularly β -carotene and xanthophylls, have been used for over five decades as sources of carotenoids [3]. However, various microbiological processes have been commercially exploited for the production of these compounds [4]. Industrial carotenoid pigments such as β -carotene and astaxanthin are used as natural food coloring agents or as aquaculture feed additives [5]. Owing to the fact that most of the current chemically produced carotenoids can cause side effects in atopic patients, including clinical symptoms such as dermatitis, rhinitis, asthma, hives, and angioneurotic edema, researchers are exploring other ways to obtain these molecules from natural products, such as plants and those obtained from microbial sources [6].

Carotenoids are mainly produced by filamentous fungi, yeast, and some bacterial, algal, and lichen species. Among the microbial sources of carotenoids, in addition to the algae *Dunaliella* sp., two yeast species of commercial interest, *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* and *Rhodotorula glutinis*, have been identified. Among the microorganisms exploited for carotenoid production are yeasts used for specific industrial applications, such as *X. dendrorhous* for astaxanthin production, which has a globally established market [7]. Moreover, some *Rhodotorula* species, such as *R. rubra* and *R. glutinis*, produce β -carotene, torulene, and torularhodin. Torularhodin has the highest oxidation level among carotenoids in low-nitrogen conditions for yeast development [8]. Although *R. glutinis* produces carotenoids in various proportions, the β -carotene content is relatively low among wild strains [9].

Biopigments are increasingly used in applied research as a result of the need to eliminate the use of chemically produced pigments not only because of human health concerns but also because of their environmental impact. In Colombia, research conducted by

wastewater treatment plants reported that effluents contain high levels of chemical substances (e.g., colorants) that are hard to eliminate and highlight the need to develop new processes or protocols to completely eliminate these pollutants from water [10].

One of the main advantages of using synthetic pigments is their high yield at low costs. However, their high toxicity to humans and harmful environmental effects, such as contamination of water bodies, have spiked interest among researchers to develop new pigment types. The demand for pigments produced by microbes has been increasing because these pigments can be obtained using low-cost and low-maintenance growth media. Accordingly, the main objective of this study was to produce pigments of industrial interest by subjecting yeast isolated from samples collected in Barranquilla, Colombia, to osmotic, physical, and light stress.

Materials and Methods

Yeast Isolation

To isolate pigmented yeasts, samples were collected from wastewater, crab and fish intestines, soil, and food sold in street carts in Barranquilla, Colombia. Barranquilla is located in the Atlantico Department in northern Colombia in South America and is located on the western shore of the Magdalena River and is 15 km away from the river mouth in the Caribbean Sea. Barranquilla has a tropical dry climate, characterized by dry vegetation and a mean temperature of 27°C. Barranquilla features a dry and a wet season, which facilitates the isolation of various microorganisms capable of enduring a wide range of environmental conditions, ranging from dry to extremely wet conditions.

Samples were macerated and used to create serial dilutions (10^{-1} to 10^{-6}) in YPG broth (glucose 20 g/L, yeast extract 5 g/L, and peptone 10 g/L) and were inoculated on YPG agar plates (bacteriological agar 20 g/L). The inoculated agar plates were incubated for 5 days at a temperature of 27°C. Following isolation, the pigmented yeasts were maintained in Luria-Bertani medium (triptone 10 g/L, yeast extract 5 g/L, NaCl 10 g/L, and agar 20 g/L), neutral pH YPG agar, and YPG agar with pH adjusted to 5.5. The cultures were incubated for 48 h at 27°C. Finally, each strain was cryopreserved in YPG broth and glycerol 50% v/v solution at -20°C.

Molecular Identification

Genomic DNA was extracted using the GeneJet kit (Thermo Fisher, USA) using a modified protocol. A standard inoculum of the AJB01 strain was prepared and adjusted to a concentration of 1×10^8 cells/mL in YPG broth. All subsequent steps followed the GeneJet kit protocol. SYBR Green 1% (w/v) agar gel electrophoresis was used to assess the quality of the extracted genomic DNA.

For amplification of the ITS (ITS1-5.8S-ITS4) and LSU (large ribosomal subunit 26S) regions, a 25-μL PCR mastermix was prepared using 20 pmol/μL of primers ITS1 and ITS4 (ITS amplification) or NL1 and NL4 (LSU amplification), 25 mM MgCl, 10 μM dNTPs, 10x buffer, 2.4% DMSO (v/v) and 0.2 U Taq polymerase. After the PCR reaction, amplified products were run on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel to visualize the presence of 500–600-bp products. Finally, Sanger sequencing of the PCR products was outsourced at CORPOGEN (Colombia). The sequences were edited and compared to existing sequences deposited at GenBank and Mycobank. A threshold match $\geq 98.41\%$ (ITS) and $\geq 99.51\%$

(LSU) was used for species level identification [11]. Following bioinformatic analyses for each sequenced region, a phylogenetic analysis was performed using MEGA X, initially aligning each region's sequence using the Clustal X method, concatenating both alignments, and constructing a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree using the general time reversible with gamma distribution and 1000 bootstrap repetitions.

Pigment Extraction

Based on the screening process, 0.80 ng of biomass collected from each plate grown for 120 h was suspended in 3.5 mL of distilled water in 12-mL conical tubes, which were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was then suspended in 1 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and the tubes were placed in a water bath at 55°C for 1 h, with vortexing every 10 min. The tubes were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant was transferred to a chilled Falcon tube, which was covered with aluminum foil and stored at 0°C. This step was repeated twice, after which the pellet was suspended in 1 mL of acetone at 5°C and vortexed for 15 min. The tube was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant was transferred to the chilled tube containing pigments with DMSO. This step was repeated until no pigments were visible in the pellet or once the pellet started to detach from the tube. Following pigment extraction from the pellet, 1.5 mL of saturated NaCl solution and 1 mL of hexane were added to the chilled tube, which was then vortexed for 1 min. The chilled tube was then centrifuged for 5 min, and the supernatant (hexane-containing pigments) was transferred to a clean tube covered with aluminum foil. The tubes were stored at 0°C in the dark.

To determine the relationship between dry biomass and pigment production, the pigments were quantified using spectrophotometry with a hexane blank. Finally, the total carotenoid content ($\mu\text{g/g}$) for each extraction was estimated using equation (1) [12].

$$\text{Carotenoids} = \frac{(OD * \text{Volume} * 10^4 \frac{\mu\text{g}}{\text{mL}})}{(CE * \text{Biomass} \frac{\text{g}}{\text{mL}})} \quad (1)$$

OD = Optical density at 485nm

Volume = volume in mL

CE = Extinction coefficient of hexane = 2592

Biomass = Dried weight of the sample in g

Effect of Cellular Stress on Yeasts

Univariate Analysis of NaCl Stress

The AJB01 strain was cultured in YPG broth at increasing NaCl concentrations: 0.2 M, 0.4 M, 0.6 M, 0.8 M, 1 M, 1.2 M, 1.4 M, and 1.6 M). Yeast growth was determined by the turbidity method (absorbance at 600 nm), and pigment production was quantified at 485 nm. A comparative growth curve was plotted to determine the optimal NaCl concentration compared with a control grown without NaCl. Two strains, AJB01 and AJB02, were selected and monitored in YPG growth media. To evaluate the growth kinetics of these strains, cells were periodically counted using a Neubauer chamber.

The initial concentration of the inoculum was 1×10^8 cells/mL, and 10 mL was used as inoculum for each strain. To determine the yeast generation time and cell viability in media, cells were counted every hour for AJB01 strain. Simultaneously, 2-mL aliquots were

collected every 12 h for 120 h and were stored throughout the week in previously sterilized tubes and dried and weighted using an analytical scale (Mettler Toledo, ME204 model). Aliquots were centrifuged, and the pellet was dried in an oven at 70°C until constant weight was recorded. Finally, the pellet's weight was recorded using an analytical scale. The calculated weight difference was reported in g/L. All experiments were conducted in technical triplicate.

Screening for Other Stress Factors using Plackett–Burman Design

A Plackett–Burman experimental design was used to evaluate the effect of different types of cellular stress on AJB01 strain biomass and carotenoid production. The experimental design included 11 combinations to induce cellular stress. The components of the base MMS growth media are shown in Table 2 and included glucose 1% w/v, yeast extract 0.1% w/v, (NH₄)₂SO₄ 0.2% w/v, KH₂PO₄ 0.2% w/v, MgSO₄·7H₂O 0.05% w/v, and Cl₂Ca·2H₂O 0.0075% w/v [13]. LED lamps (6 W; 500 lumens), placed 25 cm above the cultures were used to control photoperiods and germicidal lamps (30 W; wavelength, 256 nm) were used to subject the cultures to ultraviolet (UV) radiation. Temperatures were controlled using refrigeration chambers. Three technical replicates were performed for each treatment, which was run for 96 h. At the end of the experiment, 0.80 g of yeast biomass was collected for pigment extraction (see section 2.3), from which biomass (g/L) and carotenoid yield (µg/g) were calculated. Stress factors were statistically evaluated to determine the optimal growth conditions and therefore maximize carotenoid production.

Box–Behnken Experimental Design

The STATISTICA Academic software, version 13, was used to generate a Box–Behnken 3^3 experimental design to assess the following factors: magnesium sulfate concentration, UV light exposure time, and photoperiods combined into 15 different treatments (Table 4). A pre-inoculum standard was prepared using the AJB01 strain at a concentration of 4.6×10^7 cells/mL, which was used to inoculate each of the 15 treatments. Successful inoculation was confirmed by counting the cells in a Neubauer chamber after 48 h of growth at 27°C. The responses of the dependent variables, biomass production (g/mL), and carotenoid yield (µg/g), were evaluated.

Results and Discussion

Pigmented yeast can be isolated from acidic, glacial, and seawater samples [14]. Moreover, *Rhodotorula* sp. has been isolated from dairy and meat products as well as from water and soil samples [15].

Table 1 shows the microbial count of all samples analyzed, with 10 pigmented yeasts obtained: two were grown from crab samples and eight from food samples. From these ten pigmented yeast strains, two were selected for subsequent experiments: AJB01 and AJB02. The other eight yeast strains were discarded as they had similar macro- and microscopic characteristics. From initial trials using the AJB01 and AJB02 strains, the first was found to be the most viable, growing in saline media, which was considered the first qualifying factor (i.e., osmotic cellular stress) to select strains for the subsequent experiments.

Table 1. Plate count of sampling matrices for isolation of pigmented yeast.

Sample	Matrix	Colony Forming Units (CFU/mL or CFU/g)			
		10 ⁻¹	10 ⁻²	10 ⁻³	Pigmented Yeast
M00	Seawater	>300	254	143	Negative
M01	Wastewater	>300	>300	284	Negative
M02	Clinical Wastewater	>300	>300	274	Negative
M03	Stream Water	>300	239	167	Negative
M04	Fish digestive tract	278	138	67	Negative
M05	Crab	201	115	48	2
M06	Food	170	92	16	8

The ITS and LSU regions were compared using BLAST and matches above 99% sequence similarity were identified to the type isolate sequences (Genbank accessions **XX** and **XX**). However, species level identification could not be achieved for *R. mucilaginosa* and *R. alborubescens*. The phylogenetic tree constructed using the concatenated ITS and LSU regions suggests that the *R. mucilaginosa* and *R. alborubescens* clade makes up a species complex for these regions (Figure S1).

Osmotic Stress

One of the stress factors considered was the saline concentration that AJB01 strain was capable of withstanding and using to overproduce biomass and carotenoids. Therefore, its performance in this yeast strain was studied in broth with various NaCl concentrations. NaCl was selected because of its relatively low cost and availability to be used at a large scale. AJB01 strain showed optimal growth at a salinity of 0.2 M (Figure 1A), whereas AJB02 strain was entirely inhibited across the NaCl gradient, exhibiting no growth, and was therefore excluded from the cellular stress experiments.

Figure 1. Effect of NaCl concentration on *R. mucilaginosa/alborubescens* AJB01 growth (A) and Growth kinetics of AJB01 strain (B) The dotted line represents the control, and the solid line represents AJB01 strain growth in 0.2 M NaCl-containing media.

In general, yeast biomass and metabolite production is linked to stress conditions in terms of dissolved oxygen, osmotic pressure, temperature, pH, and presence of metabolites such as ethanol [16]. Owing to high salt concentrations, osmotic stress can negatively or positively affect cellular functions. Loss of turgor pressure and lysis caused by metabolic

sodium ion toxicity are some of the negative effects, whereas the positive effects include cellular adaptations to conditions requiring induction to osmoregulatory mechanisms, which, in the case of pigmented yeasts, generate metabolites to prevent cell lysis. Yeasts, being unicellular organisms, rely on physiological and composition change mechanisms to withstand stress conditions such as osmotic pressure and display a wide range of metabolic responses [17]. In certain cases, the yeast's growth rate can decrease, whereas in other cases, the metabolic responses can result in an increased growth rate or production of osmoprotectant metabolites such as pigments [18]. In fact, a previous study found that NaCl significantly increases the concentration of carotenoids such as β -carotene in *Sporidiobolus pararoseus* [18], *R. glutinis*, *R. mucilaginosa*, and *R. gracilis* and decreases the concentration of other pigments, such as torulene and torularhodin [19]. Comparative growth kinetics of the AJB01 strain cultured with and without 0.2 M NaCl (control, Figure 1B), suggested that at a 0.2 M NaCl concentration (11.69 g/L), biomass production was higher than in the YPG broth control. Other researchers have reported that lower NaCl concentrations (0.5–2 g/L) did not significantly affect biomass production of *Phaffia rhodozyma* [20], which indicates that only higher salt concentrations, such as those assessed in the present study, result in osmotic stress. When exposed to various types of stress (e.g., osmotic stress), yeasts are capable of activating metabolic pathways that result in increased growth rates and consequently larger biomasses.

After selecting the AJB01 strain, the factors that most influenced biomass and carotenoid production were evaluated. The Plackett–Burman design shows the different combinations of each treatment in addition to biomass concentration, estimated carotenoid concentration, and pigment production as response variables (Table 2).

Table 2. *Screening* generated by the experimental design Plackett Burman.

Treatmen	UV (min)	Temp (°C)	Fotoperiods (hours)	MgSO ₄ %	NaCl %	(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄ %	Yeast extract %	Biomasa g/mL	Carotenoids µg/g	Culture medium
1	0.0	4.0	12.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.105	30.830
2	2.0	4.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.061	72.440
3	0.0	28.0	12.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.126	35.580
4	2.0	28.0	12.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.059	54.140
5	0.0	4.0	24.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.138	32.860
6	2.0	4.0	24.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.023	151.300
7	0.0	28.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.119	56.016
8	2.0	28.0	24.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.204	38.240
9	1.0	16.0	18.0	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.114	43.509
10	1.0	16.0	18.0	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.109	48.066
11	1.0	16.0	18.0	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.164	32.880

Pareto charts were plotted in accordance with statistical analyses to illustrate the carotenoid- (Figure 2) associated with stress factors. The two factors that mostly affected carotenoid production were UV radiation and presence of MgSO_4 , with significant effects with regard to the statistical model value ($P < 0.05$) (Table 3, Figure 2). Exposure to UV radiation had a positive effect on carotenoid production in AJB01 strain, whereas MgSO_4 had a negative effect. The increased pigment production by the strain when exposed to UV radiation could represent a protective mechanism, whereby the pigments protect the yeast cell from UV radiation, free radicals, enzymatic lysis, or high temperatures [21]. In contrast to our results, MgSO_4 has been reported to increase pigment production up to 1.7x in *R. glutinis* [22].

Figure 2. Standardized effects Pareto chart for carotenoid production

Table 3. ANOVA results of the different cellular stress factors analyzed using a Plackett–Burman design

Factor	SS	df	MS	F	P
UV	3233.08	1	2333.082	12.35081	0.039075
Temperature	1337.77	1	1337.767	5.11045	0.108863
Fotoperiods	911.88	1	911.879	3.4835	0.158817
MgSO ₄	3170.82	1	3170.819	12.11296	0.040045
NaCl	205.06	1	205.064	0.78337	0.441297
(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	728.91	1	728.907	2.78452	0.193771
Yeast extract	1600.75	1	1600.745	6.11506	0.089836
Error	785.31	3	261.771		
Total SS	11973.58	10			

We obtained optimal values for each cellular stress factor to maximize carotenoid yield of the AJB01 strain, which enabled us to establish a growth media to maximize pigment production. This does not include the exogenous factors temperature, UV radiation, and photoperiods. The proposed growth media provides a source of carbon and nitrogen and contains 1% w/v glucose, 0.1% w/v yeast extract, 0.1% w/v (NH₄)SO₄, 0.2% w/v NaCl, 0.2M, KH₂PO₄, and 0.0075% w/v Cl₂Ca.H₂O. These ingredients are essential to maximize

carotenoid production in AJB01 strain, likely because the yeast may produce photoinducible compounds as a photoprotective mechanism against oxidative stress [23]. In contrast, certain salts, such as ammonium sulfate and magnesium sulfate, are required in low concentrations because they induce osmotic stress driven by cation exchange. Therefore, active transport is prioritized through cytoplasmic activation, in addition to other mechanisms, to preserve cellular structure, which could be destabilized by these salts [24] and result in decreased pigment production.

Considering the previous results, a Box–Behnken predictive model was established, including UV radiation (min), photoperiods (h), and magnesium sulfate percentage (w/v) as factors and total carotenoids and biomass as response variables (Table 4).

Table 4. Total carotenoids predicted using a Box–Behnken 3³ design.

Treatmen	UV min	MgSO4 %	Fotoperiods hours	Carotenoids (observed) µg/g	Values expected by the model	Residual values	Culture medium
1	0	0	18	72.006	78.576	-6.569
2	2	0	18	297.958	281.440	16.518
3	0	1	18	85.051	60.834	24.217
4	2	1	18	229.533	263.698	-34.165
5	0	0.5	12	41.3692	51.163	-9.794
6	2	0.5	12	247.725	254.027	-6.302
7	0	0.5	24	11.511	19.364	-7.853
8	2	0.5	24	246.178	222.229	23.949
9	1	0	12	69.758	62.818	6.940
10	1	1	12	54.232	45.076	9.155
11	1	0	24	14.129	31.019	-16.889

12	1	1	24	14.071	13.278	0.793
13	1	0.5	18	63.711	64.472	-0.760
14	1	0.5	18	78.106	64.472	13.634
15	1	0.5	18	51.599	64.472	-12.873

UV radiation and photoperiods are the exogenous factors that mostly affect carotenoid production ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 3, Table 5). On the other hand, magnesium sulfate did not significantly affect pigment production ($P = 0.3$). Moreover, only UV radiation significantly affected biomass production. Ultraviolet radiation triggers a defense mechanism against oxidative stress through the cell's production of photo-protectant molecules, such as carotenoids and mycosporines [25]. Based on the determination coefficient obtained was $R^2 = 0.97$, the model fitting suggested a strong correlation between each variable. It could be said that 97% of data can be explained by the model obtained, whereas it is impossible to account for only 3% of the response variation.

Figure 3. Carotenoids pareto charts reporting the standardized effect of the independent variables

Table 5. Analysis of variance Box–Behnken design

Factor	SS	df	MS	F	P
UV L+Q	121218.6	2	60609.32	134.2857	0.000001
MgSO ₄ L+Q	688.8	2	344.41	0.7631	0.297384
Fotoperiods L+Q	5442	2	2720.98	6.0286	0.025309
Error	3610.8	8	451.35		
Total SS	132943	10			

Using a Box–Behnken experimental design, a model fit of 97% were obtained and, based on these results, the equation was formulated (2).

$$\text{Carotenoids} = -160,5008 - 103,8812 * \text{UV (min)} + 102,6566 * [\text{UV (min)}]^2 + 27,7829 * \text{Fotoperiods (hours of light)} - 0,8453 * [\text{Fotoperiods (hour of light)}]^2 \quad (2)$$

Using equation (2), response surface analysis plots were created, which suggest that carotenoid production correlates positively with exposure to UV radiation, as approximately 350 µg/g of carotenoids are produced after 2 min of UV exposure (Figure 4A), compared to previous studies of *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*, which produced between 82 and 184 µg/mL of carotenoids under stressful conditions [26]. However, magnesium sulfate did not significantly affect pigment production, and MgSO₄ concentration around 1 g/mL resulted in ~40 µg/g of carotenoids.

Figure 4B shows how photoperiods and UV radiation exposure time affect carotenoid production. Carotenoid yield ranged from <10 µg/g to > 300 µg/g and was highest after 2 min of UV radiation, with a carotenoid yield of 300 µg/g. β-carotene has been linked to response mechanisms and acts as a photoprotectant against reactive oxygen species, which accelerate cellular aging and may cause cellular lysis [27], increasing the transcription of genes associated with carotenoid production, such as in the case of *R. toruloides* [27]. This may explain the increased carotenoid production observed, as a photoprotectant, of the AJB01 exposed to UV radiation. Although photoperiod has been found to promote carotenoid production under extensive and or intense lighting exposure [28], in this study, the more aggressive wavelength of the UV light (256 nm) and its effect on nitrogenous bases may account for the stronger response of the AJB01 strain to UV exposure.

Figure 4. Effect of $MgSO_4$ vs. UV exposure (A) and photoperiods vs. UV exposure (B) on carotenoid production

The effect of photoperiod and magnesium sulfate on biomass was relatively low (<0.07 g/mL and 0.09 g/mL, respectively). Since light affects the key genes involved with carotenoid production and their expression in *R. toruloides*, these results may suggest that the *R. mucilaginosa/alborubescens* AJB01 strain may be responding in a similar manner

[29]. On the other hand, magnesium sulfate did not significantly affect biomass production (<0.07 g/mL). Magnesium sulfate is not a stressor for yeasts, and it stimulates fatty acid synthesis for membrane regulation and takes part in cellular stability [30]. Similarly, the lowest biomass (<0.1 g/mL) was observed when the AJB01 strain was exposed to high MgSO_4 concentrations and long UV radiation exposures. Although the stressors assessed in this study did not significantly affect biomass production [31], our model suggests that low MgSO_4 concentrations and short UV radiation exposure times result in the highest yield > 0.08 g/mL.

Based on our model, the optimal UV radiation time, photoperiod length and MgSO_4 taking into account both biomass and carotenoid production at the same time (Figure 5). To maximize carotenoid yield, we suggest exposing the AJB01 strain to UV radiation for 1.5 min since, at longer exposure times, the yeast is photo-activated and increases the production of photoprotectants, including carotenoids and other pigments, to reduce the adverse effects of UV radiation. It is advised to prepare growth media with low MgSO_4 concentrations, because it did not significantly affect carotenoid production. The optimal photoperiod was found to be 18 h light. Although the wavelengths emitted by white LEDs cover a wide visible range (400–760 nm), these wavelengths are not as aggressive as those of UV radiation and therefore do not affect pigment production as much. Moreover, the desirability plot suggests that these factors do not promote biomass production, indicating that MgSO_4 concentration, UV radiation exposure time, and photoperiod should be minimal.

Figure 5. Desirability plot highlighting the optimal cellular stress parameters to maximize biomass and carotenoid production

To validate the model, β -carotene was quantified from the AJB01 strain exposed to the treatments recommended by the desirability plot (Figure 5) and compared it to a control treatment (strain without stressors). β -carotene was higher in the treatment than in the control (2.8 vs. 0.6 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). Total carotenoids were quantified as 118.3 $\mu\text{g/g}$ in the treatment sample compared to 41.9 $\mu\text{g/g}$ in the control. According to our model, the expected yield was 140.87 ± 4.23 $\mu\text{g/g}$ under optimal conditions compared to 51.17 ± 1.54 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for the control. Thus, other yields reported for *R. mucilaginosa* (110.8 $\mu\text{g/g}$ and 117 $\mu\text{g/g}$) [32] cultured in different growth media were lower than the ones reported in this study as a result of cellular stress.

The results from our model suggest that these models do not consider errors associated with pigment extraction methods, as this model calculates total carotenoid production assuming that all cells were lysed, and carotenoids were fully extracted. Alternatively, slight variations may have taken place when implementing the extraction technique, which may be complex to solve in a laboratory setting. This highlights the importance of optimizing extraction methods to maximize the extraction of all pigments produced by the AJB01 strain.

Future studies should explore methods and analysis for other pigments, such as mycosporine, which is produced by *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa/alborubescens* subjected to UV radiation [33]. Mycosporine acts as a photoprotectant capturing wavelengths emitted by UV rays (265 nm), which are harmful to yeasts, resulting in the deamination of nitrogenous bases and preventing the replication of genetic material [34].

Conclusions

Two pigmented yeast strains were obtained from samples, one of which was identified as *R. mucilaginosa/alborubescens* and was selected for further experiments. The optimal treatment to maximize the production of pigments by the *R. mucilaginosa/alborubescens* AJB01 strain was UV radiation exposure for 1.5 min and a photoperiod of 18:6 light:dark hours. Although the highest carotenoid yield recorded was 350 µg/g, the optimal conditions also considering biomass production resulted in a carotenoid yield of 118.3 µg/g. the AJB01 strain can adapt to different growth conditions, including conditions that are lethal to other microorganisms, such as UV radiation exposure for more than 2 min, when this strain produced more carotenoids.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Universidad Santiago de Cali for approving and funding this study.

We are also grateful to the Universidad Simón Bolívar in Barranquilla for their intellectual and logistical support, and for allowing us to use the Microbiology Research Laboratory (LIM, by its Spanish acronym) to begin our study. This study was funded by the Universidad Santiago de Cali (934-621118-8, 934-621119-E07). The authors thank Crimson Interactive Pvt. Ltd. (Enago) – <https://www.enago.com/es/> for their assistance in manuscript translation and editing.

References

- [1] P. Bhosale, “Environmental and cultural stimulants in the production of carotenoids from microorganisms,” *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*; Vol. 63, No. 4, pp. 351-361, 2004.
- [2] I. Mannazzu, S. Landolfo, T. L. da Silva, and P. Buzzini, “Red yeasts and carotenoid production: outlining a future for non-conventional yeasts of biotechnological interest,” *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*; Vol. 31, pp. 1665-1673, 2015.
- [3] Nguyen, T. Thu, Hanh Phan-Thi, Bao Ngoc Pham-Hoang, Phuong Thao Ho, Thi Thu Thuy Tran, and Yves Waché. “Encapsulation of Hibiscus Sabdariffa L. Anthocyanins as Natural Colours in Yeast.” *Food Research International*; Vol. 107, pp. 275-280, 2018.
- [4] A. M. Kot, S. Błażej, A. Kurcz, I. Gientka, and M. Kieliszek, “Rhodotorula glutinis—potential source of lipids, carotenoids, and enzymes for use in industries,” *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*; Vol. 100, No. 14, pp. 6103-6117, 2016.
- [5] P. Yue, Z. X. Wei, X. H. Wu, H. D. Zhang, and F. Ye, “Synthesis, characterization and synergistic photocatalytic properties of yeast-assisted composite La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO₃/TiO₂,” *RSC Adv.* Vol. 7, No. 78, pp. 49166-49827, 2017.
- [6] Y. T. Cheng and C. F. Yang, “Using strain *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* to produce carotenoids using food wastes,” *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.*; Vol. 61, pp. 270-275, 2016.
- [7] Y. Zhao, L. Guo, Y. Xia, X. Zhuang, and W. Chu, “Isolation, identification of carotenoid-producing *Rhodotorula* sp. From marine environment and optimization for carotenoid production,” *Mar. Drugs*; Vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 163-167, 2019.

- [8] J. Liippo and K. Lammintausta, "An oral challenge test with carmine red (E120) in skin prick test positive patients," *Eur. Ann. Allergy Clin. Immunol.*; Vol. 47, No. 6, pp. 206-210, 2015.
- [9] M. J. García, G. Ríos, R. Ali, J. M. Bellés, and R. Serrano, "Comparative physiology of salt tolerance in *Candida tropicalis* and *saccharomyces cerevisiae*," *Microbiology*; Vol. 143, No. 4, pp. 1125-1131, 1997.
- [10] K. K. Philippe, R. Timmers, R. Van Grieken, and J. Marugan, "Photocatalytic Disinfection and Removal of Emerging Pollutants from Effluents of Biological Wastewater Treatments, Using a Newly Developed Large-Scale Solar Simulator," *Ind. Eng. Chem; Res*, Vol. 55, No. 11, pp. 2952-2958, 2016.
- [11] D. Vu *et al.*, "DNA barcoding analysis of more than 9 000 yeast isolates contributes to quantitative thresholds for yeast species and genera delimitation," *Stud. Mycol*; Vol. 85, No. 1, pp. 91-105, 2016.
- [12] Ceballos. H et al., "Preliminary study of inheritance of the carotenoids content in roots from cassava (*Manihot esculenta Crantz*) segregating populations," , Vol. 61, No. 3, pp. 47-54, 2012.
- [13] M. Moliné, D. Libkind, and M. Van Broock, "Production of torularhodin, torulene, and β -carotene by *rhodotorula* yeasts," *Methods Mol. Biol*, pp.275-283, 2012.
- [14] C. Guamán-Burneo and J. Carvajal-Barriga, "Caracterización e identificación de aislados de levaduras carotenogénicas de varias zonas naturales del Ecuador," *Univ. Sci.*, 2009
- [15] Reyes, I., Pérez, L and Barletta, J., "Aislamiento de *Rhodotorula*. Presentación de un caso en paciente con leucemia mieloide aguda," *Medisur*, 2013.
- [16] D. Libkind, M. Moliné, J. P. Sampaio, and M. Van Broock, "Yeasts from high-altitude lakes: Influence of UV radiation," *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol*; Vol. 69, No. 3, pp. 353-362, 2009.
- [17] Cooke, Thomas F., Curt R. Fischer, Ping Wu, Ting Xin Jiang, Kathleen T. Xie, James Kuo, Elizabeth Doctorov, et al. "Genetic Mapping and Biochemical Basis of Yellow Feather Pigmentation in *Budgerigars*." *Cell*; Vol. 171, No. 2, pp. 427-439, 2017.
- [18] E. C. P. Baker, D. Peris, R. V. Moriarty, X. C. Li, J. C. Fay, and C. T. Hittinger, "Mitochondrial DNA and temperature tolerance in lager yeasts," *Sci. Adv.*, 2019.
- [19] A. M. Kot, S. Błażej, M. Kieliszek, I. Gientka, and J. Bryś, "Simultaneous Production of Lipids and Carotenoids by the Red Yeast *Rhodotorula* from Waste Glycerol Fraction and Potato Wastewater," *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol*; Vol. 189, pp. 589-607,2019.

- [20] J. Zhang, Q. R. Li, M. H. Zhang, Y. You, Y. Wang, and Y. H. Wang, "Enhancement of carotenoid biosynthesis in *Phaffia rhodozyma* PR106 under stress conditions," *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem*; pp. 2375- 2385, 2019.
- [21] Kumar, Abhishek, Hari Shankar Vishwakarma, Jyoti Singh, Shipra Dwivedi, and Mahendra. Kumar. "Microbial Pigments: Production and Their Applications in Various Industries." *International Journal of Pharmaceutical, Chemical and Biological Sciences*; Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 203-212, 2015.
- [22] N. Elfeky, M. Elmahmoudy, Y. Zhang, J. L. Guo, and Y. Bao, "Lipid and carotenoid production by *Rhodotorula glutinis* with a combined cultivation mode of nitrogen, sulfur, and aluminium stress," *Appl. Sci.*, 2019.
- [23] A. Morata *et al.*, "Yeast influence on the formation of stable pigments in red winemaking," *Food Chem*; Vol. 197, No. 1, pp. 686-691, 2016.
- [24] F. H. Hussein, "Effect of photocatalytic treatments on physical and biological properties of textile dyeing wastewater," *Asian J. Chem*; Vol. 25, No. 16, pp. 9387-9392, 2013.
- [25] A. Leyton, M. E. Lienqueo and C. Shene, "Macricystis pyrifera: sustrato para la producción de compuestos bioactivos," *Journal of a applied Phycology*, 2019.
- [26] R. L. Leverenz *et al.*, "A 12 Å carotenoid translocation in a photoswitch associated with cyanobacterial photoprotection," *Science*; Vol. 348, No. 62, pp. 1463-1466, 2015.
- [27] A. M. Kot *et al.*, "Effect of initial pH of medium with potato wastewater and glycerol on protein, lipid and carotenoid biosynthesis by *Rhodotorula glutinis*," *Electron. J. Biotechnol*; Vol. 27, pp. 25-31, 2017.
- [28] G. Gong, X. Zhang, and T. Tan, "Simultaneously enhanced intracellular lipogenesis and β -carotene biosynthesis of *Rhodotorula glutinis* by light exposure with sodium acetate as the substrate," *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2020.
- [29] T. Yimyoo, W. Yongmanitchai, and S. Limtong, "Carotenoid production by *rhodosporidium paludigenum* dmku3-lpk4 using glycerol as the carbon source," *Kasetsart J. - Nat. Sci*; Vol. 45, pp. 90-100, 2011.
- [30] T.V. Dias Rodrigues, T.D. Amore " Carotenoid production by *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* in Batch and Fed- Batch Fermentation Using Agroindustrial Byproducts," *Journal Food Technol Biotechnol*; Vol. 57, No. 3, pp.388-398. 2019.
- [31] N. Elfeky, M. Elmahmoudy, Y. Zhang, J. L. Guo, and Y. Bao, "Lipid and carotenoid production by *Rhodotorula glutinis* with a combined cultivation mode of nitrogen, sulfur, and aluminium stress," *Appl. Sci.*, 2019.

[32] T.V. Dias Rodrigues, T.D. Amore " Carotenoid production by *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* in Batch and Fed- Batch Fermentation Using Agroindustrial Byproducts," *Journal Food Technol Biotechnol*; Vol. 57, No. 3, pp.388-398. 2019.

[33] Villarreal, P., Carrasco, M., Barahona, S. *et al.* Tolerance to Ultraviolet Radiation of Psychrotolerant Yeasts and Analysis of Their Carotenoid, Mycosporine, and Ergosterol Content. *Curr Microbiol*; Vol. 72, pp. 94–101, 2016.

[34] Lawrence KP, Long PF, Young AR. Mycosporine-Like Amino Acids for Skin Photoprotection. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*; Vo. 25, No. 40, 2018, pp. 5512-5527, 2018.

Figure S1. Phylogenetic relationships based on the ITS and LSU regions. Clustering was performed using maximum likelihood based on a general time reversible with gamma distribution evolutionary model. This analysis includes 20 concatenated sequences covering 1160 positions and 1000 bootstrap repetitions.