S1 Appendix. Genotypic hidden Markov model

In this Appendix, we explicit the transition and emission probabilities for the genotypic hidden Markov model. For that purpose, we start by considering a pair of ordered haplotypes $H^a = (H_1^a, \dots, H_p^a) \in \{0, 1\}^p$ and $H^b = (H_1^b, \dots, H_p^b) \in \{0, 1\}^p$. We recall that the two haplotypes correspond to the same positions. The hidden variables $Z^a = (Z_1^a, \dots, Z_p^a)$ and $Z^b = (Z_1^b, \dots, Z_p^b)$ represent cluster memberships. They take discrete values in $\{1, \dots, K\}^p$. Scheet and Stephens [1] define the clusters as a "(common) combination of alleles at tightly linked SNPs". The underlying hidden Markov models for the two alleles have identical forms. We then focus on the first allele *a*. We follow the notations of [2].

The marginal distribution of the first hidden state can be written as:

$$q_1^{hap}(k) = \alpha_{1,k}, \quad k \in \{1, \cdots, K\}.$$

For $j \in \{2, \cdots, p\}$, the transition matrix Q_j^{hap} is given by:

$$Q_j^{hap}(k'|k) = P(H_j = k'|H_{j-1} = k') = \begin{cases} e^{-r_j} + (1 - e^{-r_j}) \alpha_{j,k'}, & k' = k \\ (1 - e^{-r_j}) \alpha_{j,k'}, & k' \neq k \end{cases}.$$

The parameter $r = (r_2, \dots, r_p)$ can be assimilated to the recombination rate between loci j - 1and j, although Scheet and Stephens [1] point out the general mismatch between the observed recombination rates and the estimate of r. The parameter $\alpha = (\alpha_{j,k})_{(j,k)\in\{1,\dots,p\}\times\{1,\dots,K\}}$ is the relative frequency of the cluster k in locus j.

Conditionally on the latent state $Z_j^{hap} = z_j$, the allele H_j is a Bernoulli random variable, $H_j | Z_j \sim \mathcal{B}(\theta_{j,z_j})$. θ_{j,z_j} is the frequency of allele 1 in cluster z_j at the position j:

$$f_{j}^{hap} = (h_{j}; z_{j}, \theta) = \begin{cases} 1 - \theta_{j, z_{j}}, & h_{j} = 0\\ \theta_{j, z_{j}}, & h_{j} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Under the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), a third hidden Markov model for the unphased genotype can be derived by combining the HMMs of the two alleles a and b. The emission states $X = (X_1, \dots, X_p) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^p$ are given by the sum of the emission states, $H^a + H^b = (H_1^a + H_1^b, \dots, H_p^a + H_p^b)$. Because of the phase indetermination, the latent states are unordered pairs of haplotype latent states, $Z = (\{Z_1^a, Z_1^b\}, \dots, \{Z_p^a, Z_p^b\})$. Thus, the dimensionality of the latent variable space is K(K+1)/2. The different probabilities of the genotype model are computed by considering the two cases: $Z_j^a = Z_j^b$ and $Z_j^a \neq Z_j^b$.

The initial latent state distribution is given by:

$$q_1^{gen}(\{k^a, k^b\}) = \begin{cases} (\alpha_{1,k^a})^2, & k^a = k^b\\ 2\alpha_{1,k^a}\alpha_{1,k^b} & k^a \neq k^b \end{cases}$$

In a similar fashion, the transition probabilities:

$$Q_{j}^{gen}(\{\underline{k}^{a},\underline{k}^{b}\}|\{k^{a},k^{b}\}) = \begin{cases} Q_{j}^{hap}(\underline{k}^{a}|k^{a})Q_{j}^{hap}(\underline{k}^{b}|k^{b}) + Q_{j}^{hap}(\underline{k}^{b}|k^{a})Q_{j}^{hap}(\underline{k}^{a}|k^{b}), & \underline{k}^{a} \neq \underline{k}^{b} \\ Q_{j}^{hap}(\underline{k}^{a}|k^{a})Q_{j}^{hap}(\underline{k}^{b}|k^{b}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and, the emission probabilities are

$$f_j(x_j; \{k^a, k^b\}, \theta) = \begin{cases} (1 - \theta_{j,k^a})(1 - \theta_{j,k^b}), & x_j = 0\\ \theta_{j,k^a}(1 - \theta_{j,k^b}) + (1 - \theta_{j,k^a})\theta_{j,k^b}, & x_j = 1\\ \theta_{j,k^a}\theta_{j,k^b}, & x_j = 2 \end{cases}$$

For the estimate of the parameters $\nu = (\alpha, r, \theta)$, we use the imputation software fastPHASE [1] which fits the hidden Markov model using an expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm [3]. Its computational complexity is $\mathcal{O}(npK^2)$. The complexity scales linearly for both p and n, rendering fastPHASE well-suited for real case-control datasets where the number of SNPs is typically in the hundreds of thousands and the number of samples in the thousands. In practice, as a trade-off between a rich representation of the clusters and the ensuing quadratic complexity, we chose K = 12.

References

- 1. Scheet P, Stephens M. A fast and flexible statistical model for large-scale population genotype data: applications to inferring missing genotypes and haplotypic phase. American journal of human genetics. 2006;78(4):629–44. doi:10.1086/502802.
- 2. Sesia M, Sabatti C, Candès EJ. Gene hunting with hidden Markov model knockoffs. Biometrika. 2018;doi:10.1093/biomet/asy033.
- 3. Dempster AP, Laird NM, Rubin DB. Maximum Likelihood from Incomplete Data via the EM Algorithm. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological). 1977;39(1):1–38.