1 **Title:** Effects of male age and female presence on male associations in a large, polygynous
2 mammal in southern India

3

4 **Authors:** P. Keerthipriya, S. Nandini[†], T.N.C. Vidya^{*}

5

6 **Author affiliation (for all authors):** Evolutionary and Organismal Biology Unit,
7 Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research (JNCASR), Bengaluru 560064,
8 India.

9 [†] Present address: Azim Premji Foundation, Doddakannelli, Sarjapur Road, Bengaluru.

10 Email: P. Keerthipriya: keerthipriya@jncasr.ac.in; S. Nandini:

11 nandini.shetty@azimpremjifoundation.org; T.N.C. Vidya: tncvidya@jncasr.ac.in; ORCID

12 iD for T.N.C. Vidya: [0000-0002-7143-9008](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7143-9008).

13

14

15 ^{*} Corresponding author.

16

17 **Address for correspondence:**

18 T.N.C. Vidya

19 Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research

20 Jakkur

21 Bengaluru – 560 064, India.

22 Email: tncvidya@jncasr.ac.in

23 Phone: +91-80-22082968

24 Fax: +91-80-22082766

25

26

27 **Abstract (<=250 words)**

28

29 We present a detailed study of male associations in a roving species, the Asian elephant,
 30 using six years of data on identified, nonmusth males. Adult males spent greater proportions
 31 of their time solitarily than in mixed-sex or in all-male groups. Old (over 30 years) males
 32 were sighted more frequently with their age-peers and less frequently with young (15-30
 33 years) males than expected at random in all-male groups. Young males were not sighted
 34 more frequently with old males than with young males, and did not disproportionately
 35 initiate associations with old males. These results suggest that male associations, in the
 36 absence of females, primarily allow for old nonmusth males to test strengths against age-
 37 peers. Social learning from older individuals did not seem to be important in male
 38 associations, unlike that observed in African savannah elephants. We also found a constraint
 39 on the sizes of all-male groups, similar to that seen in female groups in our study
 40 population, and with male group sizes being smaller than that of African savannah
 41 elephants. However, most males had a significant top associate in female absence. In mixed-
 42 sex groups, male associations occurred at random, suggesting that males were tracking
 43 female groups independently. Thus, we find some differences in male social organisation
 44 compared to the phylogenetically related African savannah elephant that occupies a similar
 45 niche, and suggest that ecological factors might have shaped the differences in these male
 46 societies.

47

48 **Keywords**

49 Asian elephant, male associations, association networks, Kabini Elephant Project, testing-
 50 strengths hypothesis, social learning hypothesis, female presence/absence, male age.

51

52

53 **Introduction**

54

55 Adult males and females of many large mammals exhibit sexual dimorphism and strikingly
 56 different lifestyles, with female philopatry and male dispersal (see Greenwood 1980,
 57 Ruckstuhl and Neuhaus 2000). Following dispersal from their natal groups, males may
 58 spend some time alone or in all-male groups, and then form a) relatively stable bonds with
 59 female groups (for example, Hrdy 1977 in Hanuman langurs, Packer and Pusey 1987 in
 60 lions, Alberts and Altmann 1995 in baboons), b) form mixed-species groups during the
 61 breeding season and all-male groups outside of the breeding season (for example, Clutton-
 62 Brock *et al.* 1987 in red deer, Villaret and Bon 1995 in Alpine ibex, Mooring *et al.* 2003 in
 63 desert bighorn sheep), or c) rove between different female groups and form only temporary
 64 associations with female groups throughout the year (for example, Best 1979 in sperm
 65 whales, Poole 1982 in African savannah elephants, Desai and Johnsingh 1995 in Asian
 66 elephants, Baird and Whitehead 2000 in killer whales). The interactions between males
 67 themselves in polygynous species with these different kinds of lifestyles are expected to be
 68 competitive rather than affiliative, with males competing with one another for access to
 69 receptive females (van Hooff and van Schaik 1994). Therefore, strong associations are not
 70 expected between males in species with female-philopatry and may occur primarily in the
 71 context of coalitions to defend or to contest access to females (for example, Saayman 1971
 72 in baboons, Connor *et al.* 1992, Gerber *et al.* 2020 in bottlenose dolphins, van Hooff and
 73 van Schaik 1994 in non-human primates, Wagner *et al.* 2008 in hyaenas). However, male-
 74 male interactions in all-male groups may be less competitive or aggressive than those within
 75 mixed-sex groups (Pusey and Packer 1987 in primates, Robbins 1996 in mountain gorillas).
 76 All-male groups may provide an opportunity to test strength against and assess competitors
 77 in a more relaxed setting (Bon *et al.* 1993 in mouflon sheep, Chiyo *et al.* 2011 in African
 78 savannah elephants). Male associations in all-male groups may also be motivated by the

opportunities available for social learning from older, more experienced males (Evans and Harris 2008, Chiyo *et al.* 2011, 2012 in African savannah elephants, Bercovitch and Berry 2014 in giraffes). Increased efficiency in obtaining food resources (river otters, Blundell *et al.* 2002) and improved defense against predators (sperm whales, Curé *et al.* 2013) are also possible benefits from associating with other males, despite possible intrasexual competition. There has been little study on male association patterns in mammals overall, especially on those species that rove between female groups and do not form stable multimale-multifemale groups or large multimale groups in the non-breeding season. We, therefore, wanted to examine the extent and nature of male associations in such a roving species that is large, polygynous, and faces potentially high male-male competition and potential group size restriction, but is also phylogenetically related to a species with complex male association patterns.

91

Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) are polygynous, with males and females exhibiting different morphologies and adult lifestyles. Female society in this species is organised into clans that show fission-fusion dynamics (de Silva *et al.* 2011, Nandini *et al.* 2017, 2018), while pubertal males disperse from their natal groups and only temporarily associate with other males and with female groups thereafter (McKay 1973, Desai and Johnsingh 1995). There are often no clearly defined bull areas. Males are not known to form coalitions to defend females. Males can breed throughout the year, but females are sexually receptive only for a few days (Eisenberg *et al.* 1971) every four to five years, making receptive females a rare resource, for which males are expected to compete intensely. Male-male dominance interactions have been observed, indicating contest competition (McKay 1973, Daniel *et al.* 1987, Chelliah and Sukumar 2013, Keerthipriya 2018).

103

104 Male Asian and African elephants are thought to be more reproductively active during
 105 musth (a rut-like state); however, nonmusth males also acquire some mating and
 106 reproductive success (Hollister-Smith *et al.* 2007, Rasmussen *et al.* 2007, Chelliah and
 107 Sukumar 2015, Kabini Elephant Project, unpublished data). Therefore, competition for
 108 females may also exist among nonmusth males, and male-male affiliative associations are
 109 expected to be weak. However, males in the African savannah elephant have been shown to
 110 have complex relationships, with males preferring to associate with age-peers (Chiyo *et al.*
 111 2011, Goldenberg *et al.* 2014 – in the case of sexually inactive males) and related males
 112 (Chiyo *et al.* 2011), and there is some evidence for older males being preferred associates or
 113 being more central to male society than young males (Evans and Harris 2008, Chiyo *et al.*
 114 2011, Murphy *et al.* 2020). Male associations were also shown to facilitate social learning:
 115 bulls who had an older crop raider as a top associate were more likely to raid themselves
 116 (Chiyo *et al.* 2012). Thus, temporary all-male groups seem to provide an opportunity to spar
 117 and test strengths, and also possibly for younger males to learn from knowledgeable, older
 118 males in African savannah elephants.

119

120 Although Asian and African elephants were previously assumed to have similar societies,
 121 we now know that there are some differences between the female Asian elephant and
 122 African savannah elephant societies (de Silva and Wittemyer 2012, Nandini *et al.* 2018),
 123 probably because of a constraint on female group sizes due to ecology in the Asian elephant
 124 (Nandini *et al.* 2017, 2018). Since males, being larger and continuing to grow in size as they
 125 age (Sukumar *et al.* 1988), are likely to require more food than females, such a constraint
 126 might also exist in male Asian elephants and lead to differences in male societies across
 127 species, despite their phylogenetic closeness. Moreover, male African savannah elephants
 128 were known to return to the same bull areas (areas frequented by males and not by many
 129 female groups) when sexually inactive (Poole 1982), providing an opportunity for repeated

associations with specific individuals (although the selection of a bull area may also possibly be a decision to associate with other males in the area; see Lee *et al.* 2011). An absence of separate bull areas in Asian elephant populations might reduce the frequency of males meeting each other, and hinder the formation of strong male associations. We, therefore, wanted to examine associations among adult male Asian elephants to find out whether possible ecological differences correlated with a different male social structure than in the African savannah elephant, despite the phylogenetic similarity between species.

We analysed associations of nonmusth adult males in this paper because musth males spend only a small proportion of their time in all-male groups (Keerthipriya *et al.* 2020). We aimed to examine the prevalence, strength, and stability of associations among nonmusth Asian elephant males and the factors (male age, presence of female groups, restriction on group size) that might affect male associations. We hypothesized that male (adult, nonmusth, male Asian elephants in this paper, unless specified otherwise) associations might be based on opportunities available for a) social learning from older individuals and/or b) testing strengths. Increased efficiency in obtaining food resources was not likely to be a factor leading to adult male groupings in elephants because individuals require large amounts of food and grouping is expected to create food competition. Defense against predators was also not likely to be important because adult male elephants do not have any natural predators and our work was carried out in Protected Areas. We did not examine genetic relatedness as a cause for associations.

We set out to address the following specific questions:

- 1) *What are the proportions of time that nonmusth males spend in all-male groups and mixed-sex groups and how are they related to male age?* While examining male associations regardless of the males' musth status, we had found that age did not affect

the time spent in mixed-sex or all-male groups (Keerthipriya *et al.* 2018). We had also found that old males increased their association with females when they entered musth, while young males decreased their association with females when they were in musth, compared to their nonmusth associations (Keerthipriya *et al.* 2020). Therefore, we expected that nonmusth older males would spend less time with female groups than nonmusth younger males. Although older males were seen more often than younger males in all-male groups of the African savannah elephant (see Chiyo *et al.* 2011, Goldenberg *et al.* 2014), that pattern might be reversed among nonmusth males in Kabini if there was a constraint on group size.

165

2) *How does male age and the presence or absence of females in the vicinity affect patterns of associations between nonmusth males?* We expected male age to affect associations differently depending on whether males associated primarily for social learning or for testing strength. We expected males to use their time in all-male groups, rather than in mixed-sex groups, to test strength. However, social learning from older males could occur in female absence (learning related to resources) and/or female presence (learning related to reproduction). If male associations were primarily based on social learning from older individuals, younger males would seek out older males more often than expected by chance alone. If male associations were primarily driven by opportunities for social learning, but older males were restricted in the amount of time they spent with other males (possibly due to group size constraints), older males might not spend more time in all-male groups, but the proportion of sightings of young males in which young males associated with older males would be higher than the proportion of sightings of young males in which young males associated with other young males. Older males would also be better connected in male networks if they were preferred as associates by young and old males, although better connectedness of old males could also be observed

if old males had stronger age-peer associations than younger males. If the primary purpose of male associations was to test strengths, males would be expected to associate (relative to population age-structure) to a greater extent with age-peers than with much younger or older individuals, whose relative strengths are easily assessed by size differences. We did not have *a priori* expectations about whether old or young males would be more likely to associate amongst themselves if there were preferential associations with age-peers. It was possible that young males might show greater preferential association with age-peers than old males since old males might better know their strengths (through experience) than young males. However, it was also possible that old males might show greater preferential association with age-peers because, being more competitive breeders than young males, resolving dominance relationships might be more crucial for them.

Since competition for females could play a major role in how males associated, we examined male associations in the immediate presence and absence of females. Unlike the case of the African savannah elephants, no clear indicators of active and inactive sexual states outside of musth have been recognised in Asian elephants. We expected the average number of other males that males met to be lower, and associations to be weaker, in the presence of females than in their absence. It should be noted that if males spent a greater amount of time in the absence of females than in their presence, males would likely also meet other males more frequently in female absence, resulting in the male association network in female absence being denser and better connected than that in female presence. However, this difference should not be significant after controlling for the difference in the number of sightings, if the greater number of associations was merely an effect of the greater amount of time spent. Due to the expected competition among males, we also predicted smaller experienced group sizes of the males in female

presence than absence. It was also possible that males approached female groups by tracking them independent of other males, in which case, we expected males to associate with each other at random. In such a case, we had no *a priori* expectation about whether the group sizes of males would be higher in female presence or in female absence.

3) *Is there a restriction on male group size?* If there was a constraint on the group sizes of all-male groups, we expected a trade-off between the number of associates of a male and how often the focal male was sighted with those associates. We also expected older males to spend less time in all-male groups or to form smaller all-male groups than younger males because of greater food competition (due to larger body size). However, a similar pattern could also be observed if young males had stronger age-peer associations than old males. On the other hand, if there were stronger age-peer associations among old than young males, we would expect old males to spend more time in all-male groups or to form larger all-male groups than young males, although group-size restriction might reduce the difference.

4) *Are there preferential associations between nonmusth males and, if so, are they stable over time?* We did not have any *a priori* expectation about whether preferred, stable associations should be present or not, but, if they occurred, we expected them to be less frequent than that in the African savannah elephant due to possible group size constraints.

Methods

Field data collection

The field study was carried out in Nagarahole and Bandipur National Parks and Tiger Reserves (Nagarahole: 11.85304°-12.26089° N, 76.00075°-76.27996° E, 644 km²; Bandipur: 11.59234°-11.94884° N, 76.20850°-76.86904° E, 872 km²) in southern India from March 2009 to July 2014. Nagarahole and Bandipur National Parks are separated by the Kabini reservoir, and we refer to the elephants in the two parks as the Kabini population. Because of the high density of elephants around the reservoir and better visibility for behavioural observations, our sampling was centred around the reservoir, and extended to the forests in either direction with lower frequency of sampling (see Nandini *et al.* 2017). We sampled pre-selected forest routes (see Nandini *et al.* 2017 for details) in the study area from early morning to late evening (~6:30 AM to 6:00-6:45 PM depending on field permits and light conditions). There were no distinct bull areas in our study area. The adult sex ratio in the study area was 1 male : 4-5 females (Gupta *et al.* 2016).

We tried to sex, age, and identify all the elephants sighted. Asian elephants are sexually dimorphic, with males being taller and bulkier than females apart from differences in genitalia. Females do not possess tusks, although some males (called makhnas) are also tuskless. We estimated age based on shoulder height, body length, skull size, and skin folds (see Vidya *et al.* 2014), with semi-captive elephants in the same area serving as a reference for ageing older animals. We placed males into the following age categories, based on their estimated ages: calves (<1 year), juveniles (1-<5 years), sub-adults (5-<15 years), young adults (15-<30 years), and old adults (>=30 years). Young adult males were likely to have completely dispersed from their natal herds but were possibly less reproductively competitive than old adult males, based on studies of African savannah elephants (Poole 1982, Poole *et al.* 2011). The ages used for classifying males into these categories were those calculated at the mid-point of the study period (November 2012). We identified individuals based on a combination of ear, back, tail, tusk, and body characteristics (detailed

in Vidya *et al.* 2014). We recorded group size, GPS location, time of sighting, and whether adult males were in the presence or absence of females. Females were classified as adults when they were 10 years old (see Nandini *et al.* 2018). Adult males were said to associate with a female group (one or more adult females and their young that were in close proximity and showed coordinated movement; see Nandini *et al.* 2018) if they fed within 10 m (easy physical reach) of a group member or interacted with any group member. When two males associated with the same female group at the same time, they were said to be associating with each other in female presence. Rarely (only three different sightings), males were seen to associate with subadult females (5-10 years old) in the absence of an adult female and this was also considered to be association in female presence. Males were said to associate with each other in female absence if they fed within about 50 m of each other and there were no females in the vicinity. At this distance, the males would be able to display or react to visual signals, apart from sensing one another through sound or smell. Males could indulge in sparring during their associations, but if males, upon encountering each other, displayed only aggressive interactions and moved away, they were not considered to be associating.

276

277 *Data Analysis*

Data analysis was carried out using only those sightings in which all adult males were aged and identified and female group compositions (if applicable) were known. Of the 878 days of field work between 2009 and 2014, elephants were sighted on 853 days, identified adult males were sighted on 718 days, and nonmusth adult males on 681 days. In many of the analyses mentioned below, only males who were sighted at least 10 times in that particular category (such as group composition type or female presence) while not in musth were used, as associations of males seen rarely are unlikely to represent their actual association patterns and may bias the results. Similarly, if there was a comparison between different categories

(such as associations in female presence and absence), common males sighted at least 10 times (when not in musth) in each of the categories were used, unless otherwise mentioned. ANOVAs, GLMs/GLMEs (General Linear Models/Generalised Linear Mixed-Effects Models), and non-parametric tests were performed using Statistica 7 (StatSoft, Inc. 2004) and randomisations (as randomisations of observational data can account for potential sampling bias in social network analyses; see Farine 2017) were carried out using MATLAB (MATLAB R2011a, MathWorks, Inc, 1984-2011, www.mathworks.com) unless specified otherwise.

Proportions of time spent in all-male and mixed-sex groups and their relationship with male age

We calculated the number of minutes individual males (that were seen on at least 5 different days when not in musth) were seen in the following group types and calculated the proportions of each individual's time spent in such groups: 1) solitary, 2) all-male groups with only one adult male (but including subadult or juvenile males and, therefore, not solitary), 3) all-male groups with more than one adult male, and 4) mixed-sex groups. Since the four proportions add up to one and are, therefore, not independent, and the number of males seen in group type 2 was small, we compared only two of the four categories – all-male groups with more than one adult male and mixed-sex groups. Males were categorized into old and young adults as explained in the *Field data collection* section above. We carried out Wilcoxon's matched-pairs tests, separately on old and young males, to test whether the proportions of time spent in the different group types differed significantly. Since the proportions were calculated for each male, each male was represented only once in this analysis, effectively making male identity random. In order to find out whether old males spent a smaller proportion of their time in mixed-sex groups than young males did, we used a Mann-Whitney *U* test.

312

313 *Changes in male associations and time to sighting independence*

314 Using data from the last two years of observation (2013-2014), we examined continuous
 315 observations of individual males and recorded the number of changes in that male's
 316 associations in five-minute bins (all observations that lasted less than five minutes were
 317 removed for this calculation). If there were changes in the identities of the adult males in the
 318 focal male's group or if the focal male's association changed from female presence to
 319 absence (or vice versa), they were counted as changes in the focal male's associations. The
 320 time interval at which there was roughly equal probability of a male's association changing
 321 or not would indicate the time interval at which sightings could be recorded as independent
 322 of each other (in order to avoid pseudoreplication of data). We found this time interval to be
 323 about 80 minutes (see Supplementary Material 1). Therefore, for all subsequent analyses of
 324 sighting data, we only included independent sightings based on this time interval.

325

326 *Effect of male age and the presence or absence of females on male association patterns*

327 Male age and social learning

328 We examined the initiation of associations and the pattern of associations between males to
 329 find out whether social learning might be a reason for associating. As mentioned in the
 330 Introduction, if associations were primarily based on social learning, younger males would
 331 be expected to seek out older males more often than expected by random chance. We,
 332 therefore, examined all the instances in which two males (dyadic combinations in the small
 333 number of cases where there were more than two males) of different ages were in close
 334 proximity and one approached the other and associated with it. It was possible under such
 335 circumstances for either of the males to approach the other. We randomly chose one of the
 336 males as the focal male and used a generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLME) with a
 337 binomial dependent variable (0 if the focal male approached the associate and 1 if the focal

male was approached by the associate), age difference (age of the focal male minus the age of the associate) as a continuous predictor variable, and dyad identity as a random factor. If younger males approached older males more often than expected, a significant positive effect of the age difference on the dependent variable would be found. We used the *fitglm* function in MATLAB R2011a, with a logit link function and Laplace estimation method, and the model was fit using maximum likelihood. For this analysis, we used data only from the years 2011-2014, during which detailed behavioural observations were available, and did not include dyads that were already present when we began the observation. We only used instances (of all males) when observers were present during the beginning of the association and one of the males clearly moved towards the other.

We had expected that if social learning was the primary reason for the formation of all-male groups, but older males were restricted in the amount of time they spent with other males, the proportion of young males' time (sightings) that was spent with older males would still be higher than the proportion of young males' sightings spent with other young males. Therefore, we compared these proportions, in female presence and absence, using Wilcoxon's matched-pairs tests. (Young males would spend a greater proportion of their sightings with other young males than with old males if the testing-strength hypothesis was correct.)

We had also expected older males to be better connected in male networks if they were preferred as associates by both young and old males (or disproportionately by old males). We examined this by analysing social networks of males. We first calculated the association index (AI; Ginsberg and Young 1992) between pairs of identified males as the number of times (independent sightings) two males were sighted together (N_{AB}) divided by the total number of (independent) sightings of the two males. AI between each pair of males was

calculated separately in female presence and female absence (for instance, $AI_{AB(F_abs)} = N_{AB(F_abs)} / (N_{A(F_abs)} + N_{B(F_abs)} - N_{AB(F_abs)})$, where $N_{A(F_abs)}$ is the total number of sightings of A in female absence and $N_{B(F_abs)}$ is the total number of sightings of B in female absence). We used these AIs to calculate association strength (or weighted degree) for each individual male, as the sum of the AI values between a male and all his associates. We calculated the difference in association strength between the two age-classes (mean association strength of old males – mean association strength of young males) in the observed dataset. We then obtained the same value from each of 5000 permuted datasets (see the section below for details of permutations) and compared the difference in the observed dataset with those from the permuted datasets (see section below; $P < 0.025$ for statistical significance as we had no prior expectation about whether the observed values would be lower or higher than the permuted values).

Male age and testing strengths

In order to find out whether males preferentially associated with age-peers (as predicted by the testing-strengths hypothesis) more often than expected by chance, we used a permutation procedure following Whitehead (2008, pg. 124). This procedure was carried out on the datasets of males in female absence. We generated 5000 *males permuted* datasets, by flipping (switching) adult males across independent sightings, while keeping the group size and the number of sightings for each male constant, in each permuted dataset. The number of flips performed in each permutation was five times the number of sightings in that dataset. We calculated the number of times old (≥ 30 years) and young (15-30 years) males were sighted with other males of the same or different age class in the observed dataset and compared these observed values with the values from the permuted datasets. We used the distribution of values from the permuted datasets to determine whether the observed value was greater or lower than that expected by chance (P = proportion of

permuted values that were higher – if the observed value was higher than more than half of the permuted values – or lower – if the observed value was lower than more than half of the permuted values – than the observed value; $P < 0.025$ for statistical significance).

We also compared the proportion of their sightings that old males spent associating with other old males with the proportion of their sightings that they spent associating with young males using Wilcoxon’s matched-pairs tests. A higher proportion of sightings with other old males than young males would be seen if the testing-strengths hypothesis was correct.

Male associations in the presence and absence of females

We performed a similar permutation procedure as described in the section above on the female presence dataset, comparing the observed numbers of sightings in which males associated with their age-peers and the other age-class. If association between males was influenced by competition for females, we expected old males to associate less frequently than that expected by random chance. We had also expected the male association network to be denser and better connected in female absence than in female presence because of potential competition between males in the presence of females. We, therefore, compared the following network statistics between male association networks in female presence and absence: mean *degree* (number of associates of a focal male), mean *clustering coefficient* (the proportion of the total possible connections between a male’s associates that exist), mean *path length* (the smallest number of connections between two individuals in the network), and *network density* (the proportion of all possible connections that exist in the network) (Latapy 2008; see Wasserman and Faust 1994). We compared these network statistics and mean AIs between female presence and absence using a sampled randomization test (Sokal and Rohlf 1981, pp. 791-794). We created 5000 permuted datasets (permuted by randomly assigning sightings to female presence or absence, while

416 conserving the sample sizes for both the categories and ensuring that at least ten sightings of
 417 each male were assigned to each category in each permutation). We then compared the
 418 observed differences in network statistics and AI between the original female presence and
 419 female absence datasets with the differences in statistics between the permuted ‘female
 420 presence’ and ‘female absence’ datasets. The probability of a significant difference between
 421 the observed values was calculated as the proportion of permutations in which the difference
 422 in statistic between the permuted datasets was greater than or equal to the difference in
 423 statistic between the observed datasets. Since the total numbers of sightings in female
 424 presence and female absence were conserved, a significant difference in this test would
 425 indicate a difference in network statistic that could not be attributed to the difference in the
 426 numbers of sightings.

427

428 We compared the degree distributions of association networks in female presence and
 429 absence with their Poisson expectations (expected for a Erdős-Rényi random network;
 430 Erdős and Rényi 1960) to test whether males were associating with each other at random.

431

432 We examined the effect of female presence on male group size by performing a GLM on
 433 experienced group sizes (experienced by individual adult males; counted as the number of
 434 adult males in each sighting of the focal male, including the focal male). We used female
 435 presence/absence as a fixed factor and male identity as a random factor.

436

437 *Restriction on all-male group size*

438 If there was a constraint on group size in all-male groups, a negative relationship would be
 439 seen between the number of associates of a male and how frequently the focal male was
 440 sighted with those associates. We, therefore, calculated the average of the proportions of
 441 sightings of a focal male (out of all the sightings of that male, including solitary sightings)

that the male spent with his different associates. This average proportion of the focal male's sightings spent with his associates was correlated with the number of associates of that male (in female absence) using a Spearman's rank-order correlation. We also examined whether old males spent less time than young males in all-male groups by using the data on proportions of time spent in different types of groups (section towards the beginning of the Methods) and performing a Mann-Whitney U test. We also examined the relationship between the mean experienced group size of a male and his age using Spearman's rank-order correlation.

Preferred male associations and stability of associations

We tested for preferred associations or avoidance amongst identified males across sampling periods smaller than the entire dataset using SOCPROG 2.6 (Whitehead 2009). We used a sampling period of 14 days and 10,000 permutations with 10,000 flips for each permutation. We used the '*permute associations within samples*' method, which tests for long-term (across sampling period) preferences and avoidances (Whitehead 2009). The presence of long-term preference/avoidance is indicated by significantly (>95% of the values of the randomised datasets) higher SD and CV of AI values from the real dataset when compared to the randomised datasets. We used sightings of all males for this analysis.

We additionally determined a top associate (based on AI value) for males, separately in female presence and in female absence. Directed networks of males and their top associates were constructed using Gephi 0.8.2 (Bastian *et al.* 2009). In order to examine whether the identity and strength (AI) of a male's association with his top associate was different from random, we again used the procedure for permuting associations (Whitehead 2008, pg. 124) explained in a section above. We permuted associations between males separately in the female presence and female absence datasets (5000 permutations on each dataset, with the

number of flips in each permutation being five times the number of sightings in that dataset). We compared the AI of a male and his observed top associate with AI values for the same dyad from the permuted dataset, and considered the association to be significant if the observed AI was greater than 95% of the permuted values. In each permutation, for each male, we also recorded the identity of his permuted top associate. We examined how often the male had the same top associate in the permuted datasets, and considered the identity of his top associate to be significantly different from random if the observed top associate was not the top associate in greater than 95% of the permuted datasets.

In order to find out whether male associations were stable across years, we compared AI matrices between consecutive years, using those males that were common to and sighted at least five times in both years, by performing Mantel tests of matrix correlation (Mantel 1967), with 5000 permutations, in MATLAB (MATLAB R2011a, MathWorks, Inc, 1984-2011, www.mathworks.com). Due to limitations of the number of sightings, only data from 2011-2014 and only in female absence were used for this analysis.

Results

Proportions of time spent in all-male and mixed-sex groups and their relationship with male age

We sighted 83 nonmusth males in all (see Supplementary Material 2), of which 44 were seen in the presence of females and 81 in the absence of females. Based on the set of nonmusth males seen on at least five different days ($N=38$), we found that males spent an average ($\pm$ SD) of 12.3% ($\pm$ 11.79%) of their time in all-male groups and 29.6% ($\pm$ 22.73%) of their time in mixed-sex groups (Figure 1a). Old males spent similar proportions of their

time in all-male and mixed-sex groups (Wilcoxon's matched-pairs test: $T=63.00$, $Z=0.259$, $N=16$, $P=0.796$), whereas young males spent a significantly higher proportion of their time in mixed-sex groups than in all-male groups (Wilcoxon's matched-pairs test: $T=9.00$, $Z=3.815$, $N=22$, $P<0.001$, see Figure 1b). Old males spent a significantly smaller proportion of their time in mixed-sex groups than young males did (Mann-Whitney U test: $U=79.50$, $Z_{adj}=-2.853$, $P=0.004$, see Figure 1b).

Effect of male age and the presence or absence of females on male association patterns

Based on the 80-minute cutoff, there were 2466 independent sightings of adult nonmusth males (374 sightings in female presence and 2092 sightings in female absence) in the study period. Fourteen males (4 old and 10 young males) were sighted at least 10 times in female presence, and 32 males (13 old males and 19 young males) were sighted at least 10 times in female absence. Only 12 males were sighted at least 10 times each in the presence and absence of females.

Male age and social learning

The age difference between the focal male and the other male did not significantly affect who approached whom either in female presence (GLME: *Estimated coefficient*_{Age difference} = -0.035, $t_{stat} = -0.821$, $N=19$ approaches, $df=17$, $P=0.423$) or in female absence (GLME: *Estimated coefficient*_{Age difference} = -0.026, $t_{stat} = -0.772$, $N=48$ approaches, $df=46$, $P=0.444$) (Figure 2). Thus, old and young males were equally likely to approach each other to initiate association. We also found no significant difference in the proportion of its sightings that a young male spent with old males (Female presence: mean $\pm$ SD 0.058 ± 0.035 ; Female absence: 0.073 ± 0.086) and the proportion of its sightings a young male spent with other young males in female presence or absence (Female presence: mean $\pm$ SD: 0.111 ± 0.090 ;

519 Wilcoxon's matched-pairs test: $T=6.50$, $Z=1.610$, $N=10$, $P=0.107$; Female absence: $0.065 \pm$
520 0.045 ; Wilcoxon's matched-pairs test: $T=58.00$, $Z=0.114$, $N=19$, $P=0.910$).

521

522 Examining the effect of male age-class on association strength, we found old males to have
523 only a slightly higher association strength (mean= 0.087) than young males (mean= 0.052) in
524 the absence of females (difference in mean association strength (old-young): observed
525 dataset: 0.035 ; permuted datasets: mean (2.5 and 97.5 percentiles): 0.003 (-0.015 , 0.020),
526 $P<0.001$, Table 1). The observed difference in mean association strengths between the age-
527 classes was not different from the permuted values in female presence (see Table 1).

528

529 Male age and testing strengths

530 In female absence, old males were sighted together more frequently than expected by
531 chance (permutation test: observed: 42 sightings, *males permuted* mean (2.5, 97.5
532 percentiles): 25.5 (18, 34) sightings, $P<0.001$; Figure 3a). Old and young males were
533 sighted together less frequently than expected by chance (observed: 51 sightings, permuted:
534 69.4 (58, 80) sightings, $P=0.001$) and young males were sighted together as expected by
535 chance (observed: 38 sightings, permuted: 42.9 (33, 53) sightings, $P=0.178$; Figure 3a).
536 Although these tests were based on small numbers of males, the results remained unchanged
537 when we repeated the analysis using all the identified males (Supplementary Material 3).

538

539 The proportion of their sightings that old males spent with other old males (mean $\pm$ SD:
540 0.141 ± 0.116) was higher than the proportion that they spent with young males (mean $\pm$
541 SD: 0.085 ± 0.069 ; Wilcoxon's matched-pairs test: $T=5.00$, $Z=2.489$, $N=13$, $P=0.013$), in
542 female absence.

543

544 *Male associations in the presence and absence of females*

We found that old males were sighted together as expected by chance in the presence of females (permutation test: observed: 4 sightings, *males permuted* mean (2.5 and 97.5 percentiles): 1.6 (0, 4) sightings, $P=0.069$). The numbers of sightings were not different from those expected by chance in the case of old and young males seen together (observed: 12 sightings, permuted: 11.1 (7, 16) sightings, $P=0.439$) and young males seen together either (observed: 13 sightings, permuted: 14.7 (10, 19) sightings, $P=0.289$) (Figure 3b). These results remained unchanged when we repeated the analyses using all identified males without a sighting cutoff (Supplementary Material 3). All three age-class combinations of males were sighted together more often in female absence than in female presence (Figure 3). However, while the observed male association network appeared more connected and denser (higher mean degree, mean clustering coefficient, and density, and lower mean path length) in female absence than in female presence, none of these statistics was significantly different based on sampled randomisation tests (all $P>0.05$, 12 males seen at least 10 times each in female presence (249 sightings) and in female absence (855 sightings), Table 2). There was also no significant difference in mean AI between males in the presence and absence of females (Table 2, see Supplementary Material 4 for distributions). Thus, the seemingly more connected network in female absence was probably a result of greater time spent by males in female absence.

The association network of adult males in female presence was not significantly different from a random network ($\chi^2=6.122$, $df=8$, $P=0.633$), but the network in female absence was significantly different from random ($\chi^2=184.647$, $df=15$, $P<0.001$) (see Supplementary Material 5).

Group sizes (number of nonmusth adult males in the sighting) were small in general, with the modal group size of all groups that had an adult male being 1 and the modal group size

of all multi-male groups being 2 (Figure 4a). The experienced group sizes of common males ($N=12$, seen 273 times in all in the presence of females and 1304 times in all the absence of females) were larger in female presence (mean $\pm$ SD: 1.6 ± 0.80) than in female absence (mean $\pm$ SD: 1.2 ± 0.52 ; $F_{1,1553}=48.520$, $P<0.001$). There was a significant main effect of male identity ($F_{11,1553}=2.918$, $P=0.045$) and a significant interaction effect between male identity and female presence ($F_{11,1553}=2.157$, $P=0.014$; Figure 4b).

Restriction on all-male group size

The mean proportions of sightings that a male spent with an associate was significantly negatively correlated with the number of associates of that male (Spearman's rank-order correlation: $N=31$ males, each with at least one associate and seen at least ten times in female absence, $r_s = -0.582$, $P<0.001$; Figure 5). The relationship was even more negative when the mean proportion of all-male group sightings (rather than all sightings) spent with an associate was correlated with the number of associates of those males ($r_s = -0.984$, $P<0.001$; Figure 5). Thus, in female absence, males that associated with a greater number of males spent a smaller proportion of their sightings on average with each of their associates. However, contrary to expectation, old and young males did not spend significantly different proportions of their time in all-male groups (Mann-Whitney U test: $U=157.50$, $Z_{adj}=0.547$, $N_{Old}=16$, $N_{Young}=22$, $P=0.584$), and there was no significant relationship between male age and mean experienced group size (Spearman's rank-order correlation: $N=31$ males, each with at least one associate and seen at least ten times in female absence, $r_s=0.213$, $P>0.05$).

Preferred male associations and stability of associations

We found no evidence of preferred male associations across 14-day sampling periods in female presence or in female absence (details in Supplementary Material 6). Only 14.3% (2 out of 14 males; 2 out of 15 dyads as one male had two top associates) of the males had a

significant association with their top associates in female presence, whereas 61.3% (19 of 31 males; one male did not associate with any of the other males) of the males had a significant association with their top associate in female absence (mean significant AI with top associate in female absence=0.032, see Supplementary Material 4 for AI distribution; networks shown in Figure 6). We found that the identity of the focal male-top associate dyad was significantly different from random in 13.3% (2 out of 15) of the dyads in female presence and in 83.9% (26 out of 31) of the dyads in female absence.

Mantel tests showed no significant correlation between association matrices across years, in two out of the three comparisons (Supplementary Material 7).

Discussion

This is the first detailed study of nonmusth adult male associations in Asian elephants in a relatively undisturbed natural habitat. We found male-male affiliative associations to be weak as expected, and in contrast to that found in the African savannah elephant.

Proportions of time spent in all-male and mixed-sex groups and their relationship with male age

Nonmusth adult males spent only ~12% of their time in all-male groups in Kabini, in contrast to ~63% after adjusting for age-effects (Chiyo *et al.* 2011) and 30.5% of all bull sightings (Lee *et al.* 2011) in the Amboseli African savannah elephant population. Unlike Kabini, Amboseli has distinct “bull areas” (Poole 1982) that ‘sexually inactive’ adult male elephants inhabit but females seldom do, and it is possible that adult males may be more likely to encounter and form strong associations with one another in such bull areas.

623 However, Chiyo *et al.* (2011) used observations of all-male groups of males in an area used
 624 by both males and females; therefore, the difference cannot be attributed to bull areas in that
 625 case. We found evidence for a restriction of all-male group sizes in Kabini (see below), and
 626 this restriction may contribute to the smaller time spent in all-male groups. We expected and
 627 found old nonmusth males to spend less time with female groups than young nonmusth
 628 males. Whereas old males spent a similar proportion of their time in all-male and mixed-sex
 629 groups, young males spent more of their time in mixed-sex than all-male groups. As young
 630 males invest less in a competitive breeding strategy like musth (see Keerthipriya *et al.*
 631 2020), their greater associations with females might facilitate learning about female groups
 632 in areas they have dispersed to and possible opportunistic matings (see Chelliah and
 633 Sukumar 2015, Kabini Elephant Project, unpublished data), or reflect a reduced cost of
 634 feeding alongside females compared to that faced by old males. Further data are required to
 635 examine these possibilities.

636

637 *Effect of male age on association patterns: Reasons for adult male associations*

638 As adult Asian elephants do not face any major risks of predation within protected areas and
 639 are not known to form coalitions, we had hypothesised that all-male groups could provide
 640 an opportunity for younger males to learn from older males or for males to test strengths
 641 against age-peers (learning about their relative dominance status, instead of resources). Our
 642 results on male associations favour the testing-strengths rather than the social learning
 643 hypothesis. Similar-aged males have been shown to preferentially associate with each other
 644 and test strengths in all-male groups of other species (Villaret and Bon 1995 - Alpine ibex,
 645 Cransac *et al.* 1998 - mouflon sheep) and all-male groups in the Kabini elephant population
 646 may similarly provide a relaxed setting for old nonmusth males to test strengths with age-
 647 peers. However, young males in Kabini were sighted together as expected by chance both in
 648 female presence and absence. Similar to our results, old (30+ years) males associated more

with age-peers, while the within age-class associations were as expected by chance in younger age-classes in all-male groups in the Amboseli population (Chiyo *et al.* 2011). As old males regularly enter musth, it may be more important for them than for young males to clarify their relationships when they are not in musth. It would be interesting to find out if dominance relationships formed when not in musth have a bearing on males entering into musth. Old males have also spent more time in their post-dispersal home ranges and might have formed significant associations with familiar age-peers. Male African savannah elephants in Amboseli picked familiar age-peers as sparring partners (Chiyo *et al.* 2011), and, in other species, interactions with familiar opponents tended to be less aggressive than those with unfamiliar opponents (Smith *et al.* 1999, López and Martin 2001, Wich and Sterck 2007). It would be interesting to examine the identities of sparring partners amongst males and whether familiarity plays a role in the Kabini population. Murphy *et al.* (2020) suggested that, perhaps, younger (20-30-year-old African savannah male elephants in their study) males had not yet established a consistent nonmusth home range, leading to lowered stability in association strength compared to older males. However, we found no difference between the turnover rates of young and old nonmusth males (see Supplementary Material 2); therefore, this is unlikely to be the reason for the difference observed in our six-year dataset.

We did not find any evidence for the social learning hypothesis, which suggests that the superior knowledge of experienced, older males would attract younger males to associate with them in order to learn about the location of food resources in all-male groups or about interactions with females in mixed-sex groups. Contrary to the expectations based on the social learning hypothesis, we found that young males were not sighted with old males to a greater extent than with other young males, either in female presence or absence. During the small amount of time that old and young males spent together, males were equally likely to

675 approach the other to associate regardless of their relative ages, both in female presence and
676 absence. Therefore, young males did not seek out old males. Although old males had higher
677 association strengths than young males in female absence, this was due to the stronger old
678 male-old male associations, rather than old male-young male associations.

679

680 In African savannah elephants, older males were found to be important in male networks.
681 Older males spent more time with other males than younger males did (Poole 1982, Chiyo
682 *et al.* 2011, Lee *et al.* 2011) and had a greater number of associates in all-male groups
683 (Chiyo *et al.* 2011) in the Amboseli population. In the Samburu population, sexually
684 inactive older males showed significant affiliation with a higher proportion of available
685 dyads compared to sexually inactive younger males (Goldenberg *et al.* 2014). Old males had
686 higher centrality in association networks based on all-male groups in Amboseli (Chiyo *et al.*
687 2011), although when males were classified based on their sexual state (sexually active and
688 inactive) in the Samburu population, there was no correlation between centrality and age in
689 sexually inactive networks and a negative correlation between centrality and age in sexually
690 active networks (Goldenberg *et al.* 2014). In a recent study in South Africa that examined
691 nonmusth male associations, older males showed higher stability in association strength
692 than younger males across sampling periods; however, age of the male did not affect the
693 stability of the identity of top ranked associates across sampling periods, eigenvector
694 centrality, or association strength of individual males in the network (Murphy *et al.* 2020).
695 Further, younger males preferentially associated with older males, unlike what we found in
696 Kabini. In Amboseli, males of the 20-29 year age-class associated with older (30+) males
697 more than expected by chance (Chiyo *et al.* 2011), and male associations seemed to
698 facilitate social learning; males who had an older crop raider as a top associate were more
699 likely to raid themselves (Chiyo *et al.* 2012). Older African savannah elephant males were
700 also preferred as neighbours while associating by males of all ages, based on observations in

a bull area in Okavango Delta, Botswana (Evans and Harris 2008), and have been considered analogous to the knowledgeable matriarchs of female groups in the species (McComb *et al.* 2001, Evans and Harris 2008). More generally, preferred association with, and social learning from older, more experienced individuals has been observed in the context of mating behaviour (Pereira 1988, Beecher *et al.* 1994), and foraging strategies (Rajpurohit *et al.* 1995, Biro *et al.* 2003) in other species.

The greater social role of older males in the African savannah elephant (with younger males preferentially associating with older males) compared to the Asian elephant in female absence may stem from differences in the habitats they occupy. Asian elephants occupy moister, more forested habitats, in which food is possibly more dispersed and unpredictable in space at a very local scale (but possibly more predictable at a larger spatiotemporal scale due to clear seasonality). This local heterogeneity, along with possibly limited food in a feeding patch, and greater predictability at a larger scale might make social learning as adults sub-optimal, as the habitat experienced by individual males might be different (see Boyd and Richardson 1988), and/or superfluous. We also did not find any evidence for social learning in female presence. As young male Asian elephants have been observed to obtain some mating success through opportunistic matings (see Chelliah and Sukumar 2015, Kabini Elephant Project, unpublished data), it is possible that learning in the context of reproductive behaviours occurs at a younger age. It would be interesting to examine whether there is evidence for subadult male Asian elephants socially learning from adults in mixed-sex groups. In our study population, matriarchs of female clans were not the most central individuals (Shetty 2016), which has also been suggested in Uda Walawe in Sri Lanka (de Silva *et al.* 2011). However, while female group size constraints were found to result in clans being split among small groups (Nandini *et al.* 2017), which might result in the matriarch not being central to the clan, group size constraint alone may not lead to the

727 pattern we see amongst males. Although males also associated in small group sizes, young
 728 males did not prefer older age-class males as associates more than their age-peers, and
 729 young males did not preferentially approach old males when associations did occur.
 730 Therefore, it appears that social learning is not the primary reason for adult male
 731 associations, even accounting for limitations on group size.

732

733 *Male associations in the presence and absence of females*

734 We found that the association network of males was non-random in female absence but
 735 random in female presence. There were no significant differences in network statistics and
 736 AI values between female presence and absence, after accounting for the different number
 737 of sightings in the two categories. Thus, the seemingly better connected network in female
 738 absence was due to the greater amount of time that males spent in female absence.
 739 Contrastingly, in African savannah elephants in Samburu, association networks of sexually
 740 inactive males were significantly denser and more clustered than those of sexually active
 741 males (Goldenberg *et al.* 2014). However, sexually active males included musth males and
 742 it is not clear to what extent this might contribute to the significant difference between the
 743 networks in Samburu.

744

745 If males were approaching female groups solely due to the presence of females and
 746 independent of the presence of other males, we had predicted that male-male associations in
 747 female presence would be random, both at an individual and at an age-class level. Our
 748 results (network degree distribution being random and all age-classes meeting each other as
 749 expected by chance) agree with these predictions. Moss and Poole (1983) observed that
 750 males in Amboseli also associated at random when in mixed-sex groups. Males in multi-
 751 male mixed-sex groups of other species have also been found to invest in affiliations with
 752 females rather than with each other (for example, mountain gorillas, see Sicotte 1994). The

slightly larger male group size in female presence than in female absence could be a result of multiple males tracking the same resource (female groups).

Restriction on all-male group size

We found a negative relationship between how many associates a male had and how much time he spent with them, indicating that all-male groups have a constraint on their group size. Males of both age-classes spent similar proportions of time in all-male groups and experienced similar all-male group sizes, which was expected if there was age-peer association among old but not young males, and a restriction on group size. Female group sizes had also been found to be constrained in the Kabini population (Nandini *et al.* 2017) and smaller compared to an African savannah elephant population (Nandini *et al.* 2018). The group size of independent sightings of all-male groups was lower in Kabini than in the Amboseli population of African savannah elephants (mean $\pm$ SD group size of all-male groups with more than one male: Kabini: 2.159 ± 0.502 , Amboseli: 3.325 ± 1.995 ; Supplementary Material 8, see Chiyo *et al.* 2011). Low male group sizes have also been observed in other Asian elephant populations. The average group size of adult males (including solitary males) was 1.1 in Mudumalai Wildlife Sanctuary, southern India (Daniel *et al.* 1987). The maximum all-male group size was 2 in Mudumalai (Daniel *et al.* 1987), 6 in Kabini (although observed in only one sighting), and 5 in Gal Oya in Sri Lanka (McKay 1973). In contrast, the maximum group size in Amboseli was 40 (Lee *et al.* 2011). Lee *et al.* (2011) classified gregarious males (who spend most of their nonmusth time in all-male groups) as promiscuous (males who spent a lot of time with many associates) or discriminating (males who associated with fewer males for a lot of time). The presence of promiscuous males, along with much larger group sizes, suggest that there is no strong negative relationship between how many associates a male has and how strong his associations are with them in Amboseli.

779

780 There are methodological differences between the current study and the one in Amboseli.

781 We considered 50-m as the radius for male association while Chiyo *et al.* (2011) used a 100-

782 m radius. However, we did not find a large number of instances of males within a 100-m

783 radius but outside a 50-m radius. Chiyo *et al.* (2011) also included males regardless of

784 musth status/sexual state (which may artificially reduce strength of association; see

785 Goldenberg *et al.* 2014). However, since musth males in Amboseli associated more with

786 female groups than in all-male groups, and the reverse was true for nonmusth males (Poole

787 1987), it is unlikely that the removal of musth males from the data will lead to a substantial

788 reduction in the all-male group sizes reported in Chiyo *et al.* (2011).

789

790 We expected a group size constraint to affect old males to a greater extent than young males

791 due to greater food requirements. However, we found no effect of male age on the time

792 spent in all-male groups. We also found no relationship between male age and the mean

793 experienced group size, but the mean experienced group sizes were close to 1.2, precluding

794 much further reduction in group sizes. Larger Asian elephant male groups are reported

795 elsewhere when they raid crops in risky, but resource-rich environments (see Srinivasaiah *et*

796 *al.* 2012), which would relax any constraints on group size. However, anthropogenic threat

797 that could result in larger group sizes is a confounding factor. Intra-group feeding

798 competition has been discussed as a constraint on group sizes in primates (see Chapman *et*

799 *al.* 1995), including in all-male groups (Rajpurohit 1995, Steenbeek *et al.* 2000). Future

800 studies on foraging in male elephants are required to find out the extent to which foraging

801 constraints exist and affect group sizes.

802

803 *Preferred male associations and stability of associations*

We did not find high correlations between associations across years, in female absence, or evidence for preferred associations among males across two week intervals in either female absence or presence. However, when we examined the males' top associates, we found, in female absence, that the identity of the top associate was different from random for most (84%) males and a majority of the pairs (61%) had a higher AI than expected by chance, although the absolute values of these AIs were quite low (Supplementary Material 4). Thus, there were males who showed preference in their choice of top associate but did not or could not spend more time with those associates, and group size restriction may possibly play a role. Such nonrandom top associates were hardly observed in the presence of females. In all-male groups in Amboseli, most of the associations were not significant, with less than 10% of all the observed AI values being greater than that (AI=0.1) predicted under a model of random associations (Chiyo *et al.* 2011). However, similar to our findings in Kabini, older (>20 years old) adult males in Amboseli also had at least one significant top associate (Lee *et al.* 2011). Stable and significant affiliation among adult males have been observed in several other species (Packer and Pusey 1982 - lions, Connor *et al.* 2001 - bottlenose dolphins, Mitani 2009 - chimpanzees, Berghänel *et al.* 2011 - barbary macaques) but as mentioned in the Introduction, significant relationships among adult males are often thought to be a means to form coalitions to defend females. Adult male coalitions have not been observed in Asian elephants and are unlikely, given the low probability of finding a receptive female and the small sizes of female groups (Nandini *et al.* 2017). It will be interesting to explore other possible reasons for the significant top male associates we find in Kabini. It is possible that significant affiliations occur between males who are related (see Vidya and Sukumar 2005).

In summary, we show that associations among nonmusth adult male Asian elephants, although temporary, were affected by male age and the immediate presence of females. We

830 found associations with age-peers among old males in the absence of females, likely
 831 allowing for testing strengths. There was no evidence for social learning driving male
 832 associations. There was a constraint on all-male group size, which probably contributed to
 833 the small proportion of time males spent in all-male groups. Males associated with each
 834 other at random in the presence of females. When we compared our results with those
 835 observed in African savannah elephants, we found that Kabini males spent a much smaller
 836 proportion of their time in all-male groups of smaller sizes, possibly due to the group size
 837 constraints found in Kabini. We also found no evidence for young males preferentially
 838 associating with older males in Kabini, unlike the case of African savannah elephants. We
 839 posit that the difference in the role of older males is due to the difference in the dispersion
 840 of food resources in habitats they occupy, making social learning about resources less
 841 valuable in adult male Asian elephants. Overall, while there were some similarities in male
 842 associations, ecological differences possibly result in the differences in male social structure
 843 between the two species, despite phylogenetic similarity. The relative extents to which the
 844 presence/absence of bull areas and differences in feeding competition in non-bull areas
 845 explain differences in group size and association time between adult males in the African
 846 savannah and Kabini would be interesting to examine. Differences in the nature of male
 847 associations between phylogenetically related species have been observed in other taxa:
 848 chimpanzees and bonobos show different levels of agonism (Furuichi and Ihobe 1994),
 849 macaque populations/species differ in the frequencies of male affiliations depending on
 850 group sizes and group sex ratios (Hill 1994). However, while differences between related
 851 species in overall social organisation (dolphin species: Parra *et al.* 2011, Grevy's zebra and
 852 onager: Rubenstein *et al.* 2015) or female social organisation (colobine species: Korstjens *et*
 853 *al.* 2002, African savannah and Asian elephants: Nandini *et al.* 2018) that are consistent
 854 with resource distributions are known, there is little information on food resource
 855 distributions differently affecting male social organisation in related species of large

mammals. Studies on the foraging ecology of male elephants are required in the future to further understand the differences in social organisation between species.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Department of Science and Technology's (Government of India) Ramanujan Fellowship (to TNCV) under Grant No. SR/S2/RJN-25/2007 (dated 09/06/2008), Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India, under Grant No. 37(1375)/09/EMR-II and No. 37(1613)/13/EMR-II, National Geographic Society, USA, under Grant #8719-09 and #9378-13, and JNCASR. PK was supported as a Ph.D. student by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (No. 09/733(0152)/2011-EMR-I). This work is part of PK's Ph.D. thesis. JNCASR also provided logistic support. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, preparation of the manuscript, or decision to publish.

We thank the offices of the PCCF, Karnataka Forest Department, and of the Conservators of Forests of Nagarahole and Bandipur National Parks and Tiger Reserves for field permits. We also thank various officials, from various PCCFs and APCCFs, to the Conservators of Forests and Range Forest Officers, to the staff of Nagarahole and Bandipur National Parks for their support across the years. We thank Krishna, Althaf, Ranga, Shankar, Gunda, Rajesh, Binu, and others for field assistance. We thank Deepika Prasad and Arjun Ghosh for help with the initial field data collection. We thank Ajay Desai for useful discussions.

References

1. Alberts SC, Altmann J. 1995. Balancing costs and opportunities: dispersal in male

- 882 baboons. *Am Nat.* 145:279-306.
- 883 2. Baird RW, Whitehead H. 2000. Social organization of mammal-eating killer whales:
884 group stability and dispersal patterns. *Can J Zool.* 78:2096-2105.
- 885 3. Bastian M, Heymann S, Jacomy M. 2009. Gephi: an open source software for exploring
886 and manipulating networks. *Proc Third Internat ICWSM Conf.* 2009:361–362.
- 887 4. Beecher MD, Campbell SE, Stoddard PK. 1994. Correlation of song learning and
888 territory establishment strategies in the Song Sparrow. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 91:1450-
889 1454.
- 890 5. Bercovitch FB, Berry PS. 2015. The composition and function of all-male herds of T
891 hornicroft's giraffe, *Giraffa camelopardalis thornicrofti*, in Zambia. *Afr J Ecol.* 53:167-
892 174.
- 893 6. Berghänel A, Ostner J, Schröder U, Schülke O. 2011. Social bonds predict future
894 cooperation in male Barbary macaques, *Macaca sylvanus*. *Anim Behav.* 81:1109-1116.
- 895 7. Best PB. 1979. Social organisation in sperm whales, *Physeter macrocephalus*. In: Winn
896 HE, Olla BL, editors. *Behavior of marine mammals, Volume 3: Cetaceans*. New York:
897 Plenum press. p. 227-289.
- 898 8. Biro D, Inoue-Nakamura N, Tonooka R, Yamakoshi G, Sousa C, Matsuzawa T. 2003.
899 Cultural innovation and transmission of tool use in wild chimpanzees: evidence from
900 field experiments. *Anim Cogn.* 6:213-223.
- 901 9. Blundell GM, Ben-David M, Bowyer RT. 2002. Sociality in river otters: cooperative
902 foraging or reproductive strategies? *Behav Ecol.* 13:134-141.
- 903 10. Bon R, Dubois M, Maublanc ML. 1993. Does age influence between-rams
904 companionship in mouflon (*Ovis gmelini*)? *Rev Ecol-Terre Vie.* 48:57-64.
- 905 11. Boyd R, Richerson PJ. 1988. An Evolutionary Model of Social Learning: The Effects of
906 Spatial and Temporal Variation. In: Zentall T and Galef BG, editors. *Social Learning:*

- 907 Psychological and Biological Perspectives. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum
908 Associates. p. 29-48.
- 909 12. Chapman CA, Chapman LJ, Wrangham RW. 1995. Ecological constraints on group
910 size: an analysis of spider monkey and chimpanzee subgroups. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol.*
911 36:59-70.
- 912 13. Chelliah K, Sukumar R. 2013. The role of tusks, musth and body size in male–male
913 competition among Asian elephants, *Elephas maximus*. *Anim Behav.* 86:1207-1214.
- 914 14. Chelliah K, Sukumar R. 2015. Interplay of male traits, male mating strategies and
915 female mate choice in the Asian elephant, *Elephas maximus*. *Behaviour.* 152:1113-1144.
- 916 15. Chiyo PI, Archie EA, Hollister-Smith JA, Lee PC, Poole JH, Moss CJ, Alberts SC.
917 2011. Association patterns of African elephants in all-male groups: the role of age and
918 genetic relatedness. *Anim Behav.* 81:1093-1099.
- 919 16. Chiyo PI, Moss CJ, Alberts SC. 2012. The influence of life history milestones and
920 association networks on crop-raiding behavior in male African elephants. *PLoS*
921 *One.* 7:e31382.
- 922 17. Clutton-Brock TH, Iason GR, Guinness FE. 1987. Sexual segregation and
923 density-related changes in habitat use in male and female Red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *J*
924 *Zool.* 211:275-289.
- 925 18. Connor RC, Smolker RA, Richards AF. 1992. Two levels of alliance formation among
926 male bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops* sp.). *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 89:987-990.
- 927 19. Connor RC, Heithaus MR, Barre LM. 2001. Complex social structure, alliance stability
928 and mating access in a bottlenose dolphin ‘super-alliance.’ *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol*
929 *Sci.* 268:263-267.
- 930 20. Cransac N, Gerard JF, Maublanc ML, Pépin D. 1998. An example of segregation
931 between age and sex classes only weakly related to habitat use in mouflon sheep (*Ovis*
932 *gmelini*). *J Zool.* 244:371-378.

- 933 21. Curé C, Antunes R, Alves AC, Visser F, Kvadsheim PH, Miller PJ. 2013. Responses of
934 male sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) to killer whale sounds: implications for
935 anti-predator strategies. *Sci Rep.* 3:1579.
- 936 22. Daniel JC, Desai AA, Sivaganesan N, Datye HS, Rameshkumar S, Baskaran N,
937 Balasubramanian M, Swaminathan S. 1987. Ecology of the Asian elephant (Final
938 report). Bombay: Bombay Natural History Society.
- 939 23. de Silva S, Ranjeewa AD, Kryazhimskiy S. 2011. The dynamics of social networks
940 among female Asian elephants. *BMC Ecol.* 11:17.
- 941 24. de Silva S, Wittemyer G. 2012. A comparison of social organization in Asian elephants
942 and African savannah elephants. *Int J Primatol.* 33:1125–1141.
- 943 25. Desai AA, Johnsingh AJT. 1995. Social organization and reproductive strategy of the
944 male Asian elephant (*Elephas maximus*). In: Daniel JC and Datye H, editors. A week
945 with elephants. Bombay Natural History Society: Oxford University Press. p. 532-532.
- 946 26. Eisenberg JF, McKay GM, Jainudeen MR. 1971. Reproductive behavior of the Asiatic
947 elephant. *Behaviour.* 38:193-224.
- 948 27. Erdős P, Rényi A. 1960. On the evolution of random graphs. *Publ Math Inst Hungarian*
949 *Acad Sci.* 5:17–61.
- 950 28. Evans KE, Harris S. 2008. Adolescence in male African elephants, *Loxodonta africana*,
951 and the importance of sociality. *Anim Behav.* 76:779-787.
- 952 29. Farine DR. 2017. A guide to null models for social network analysis. *Methods Ecol*
953 *Evol.* 8:1309-1320.
- 954 30. Furuichi T, Ihobe H. 1994. Variation in male relationships in bonobos and chimpanzees.
955 *Behaviour.* 130:211-228.
- 956 31. Gerber L, Connor RC, King SL, Allen SJ, Wittwer S, Bizzozzero MR, Friedman WR,
957 Kalberer S, Sherwin WB, Wild S, Willems EP, Krützen M. (2020). Affiliation history

- 958 and age similarity predict alliance formation in adult male bottlenose dolphins. Behav
959 Ecol. 31: 361-370.
- 960 32. Ginsberg JR, Young TP. 1992. Measuring association between individuals or groups in
961 behavioural studies. Anim Behav. 44:377-379.
- 962 33. Goldenberg SZ, de Silva S, Rasmussen HB, Douglas-Hamilton I, Wittemyer G. 2014.
963 Controlling for behavioural state reveals social dynamics among male African elephants,
964 *Loxodonta africana*. Anim Behav. 95:111-119.
- 965 34. Greenwood PJ. 1980. Mating systems, philopatry and dispersal in birds and
966 mammals. Anim Behav. 28:1140-1162.
- 967 35. Gupta M, Ravindranath S, Prasad D, Vidya TNC. 2016. Short-term variation in sex ratio
968 estimates of Asian elephants due to space use differences between the sexes. Gajah
969 44:5-15.
- 970 36. Hill DA. 1994. Affiliative behaviour between adult males of the genus
971 *Macaca*. Behaviour. 130:293-308.
- 972 37. Hollister-Smith JA, Poole JH, Archie EA, Vance EA, Georgiadis NJ, Moss CJ, Alberts
973 SC. 2007. Age, musth and paternity success in wild male African elephants, *Loxodonta*
974 *africana*. Anim Behav. 74:287-296.
- 975 38. Hrdy SB. 1977. The langurs of Abu- Female and Male Strategies of Reproduction.
976 Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- 977 39. Keerthipriya P. 2018. Associations, dominance interactions, and musth in male Asian
978 elephants in Nagarhole and Bandipur national parks, southern India. Doctoral
979 dissertation. JNCASR.
- 980 40. Keerthipriya P, Nandini S, Gautam H, Revathe T, Vidya TNC. 2020. Musth and its
981 effects on male-male and male-female associations in Asian elephants in Nagarhole-
982 Bandipur, southern India. J Mammal. 101:259-270.

- 983 41. Keerthipriya P, Nandini S, Vidya TNC. 2018. Effects of male age and female presence
984 on male associations in a large, polygynous mammal in southern India. bioRxiv.
985 485144.
- 986 42. Korstjens AH, Sterck EH, Noë R. 2002. How adaptive or phylogenetically inert is
987 primate social behaviour? A test with two sympatric colobines. Behaviour. 139:203-226.
- 988 43. Latapy M. 2008. Main-memory triangle computations for very large (sparse (power-
989 law)) graphs. Theor Comput Sci. 407:458–473.
- 990 44. Lee PC, Poole JH, Njiraini N, Sayialel CN, Moss CJ. 2011. Male social dynamics:
991 Independence and beyond. In: Moss CJ, Croze H, Lee PC, editors. The Amboseli
992 elephants: a long-term perspective on a long-lived mammal. Chicago: University of
993 Chicago Press. p. 224-237.
- 994 45. López P, Martín J. 2001. Fighting rules and rival recognition reduce costs of aggression
995 in male lizards, *Podarcis hispanica*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 49:111-116.
- 996 46. Mantel N. 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression
997 approach. Cancer Res. 27:209-220.
- 998 47. McComb K, Moss C, Durant SM, Baker L, Sayialel S. 2001. Matriarchs as repositories
999 of social knowledge in African elephants. Science. 292:491-494.
- 1000 48. McKay GM. 1973. Behavior and ecology of the Asiatic elephant in southeastern Ceylon.
1001 Smithsonian Contrib Zool. 125:1–113.
- 1002 49. Mitani JC. 2009. Male chimpanzees form enduring and equitable social bonds. Anim
1003 Behav. 77:633-640.
- 1004 50. Mooring MS, Fitzpatrick TA, Benjamin JE, Fraser IC, Nishihira TT, Reisig DD,
1005 Rominger EM. 2003. Sexual segregation in desert bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*
1006 *mexicana*). Behaviour. 140:183-207.

- 1007 51. Moss, CJ, Poole JH. 1983. Relationships and social structure of African elephants. In:
1008 Hinde RA, editor. Primate social relationships: An integrated approach. London:
1009 Blackwell Scientific Publications. p. 315-325.
- 1010 52. Murphy D, Mumby HS, Henley MD. 2020. Age differences in the temporal stability of a
1011 male African elephant (*Loxodonta africana*) social network. Behav Ecol. 31:21-31.
- 1012 53. Nandini S, Keerthipriya P, Vidya TNC. 2017. Seasonal variation in female Asian
1013 elephant social structure in Nagarahole-Bandipur, southern India. Anim
1014 Behav. 134:135-145.
- 1015 54. Nandini S, Keerthipriya P, Vidya TNC. 2018. Group size differences may mask
1016 underlying similarities in social structure: a comparison of female elephant
1017 societies. Behav Ecol. 29:145-159.
- 1018 55. Packer C, Pusey AE. 1982. Cooperation and competition within coalitions of male lions:
1019 kin selection or game theory? Nature. 296:740-742.
- 1020 56. Packer C, Pusey AE. 1987. The evolution of sex-biased dispersal in lions.
1021 Behaviour. 101:275-310.
- 1022 57. Parra GJ, Corkeron PJ, Arnold P. 2011. Grouping and fission–fusion dynamics in
1023 Australian snubfin and Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins. Anim Behav. 82:1423-1433.
- 1024 58. Pereira ME. 1988. Effects of age and sex on intra-group spacing behaviour in juvenile
1025 savannah baboons, *Papio cynocephalus cynocephalus*. Anim Behav. 36:184-204.
- 1026 59. Poole JH. 1982. Musth and male-male competition in the African elephant. Doctoral
1027 dissertation. University of Cambridge.
- 1028 60. Poole JH. 1987. Rutting behavior in African elephants: the phenomenon of musth.
1029 Behaviour. 102:283-316.
- 1030 61. Poole JH, Lee PC, Njiraini N, Moss CJ. 2011. Longevity, competition and musth: a
1031 long-term perspective on male reproductive strategies. In: Moss CJ, Croze H, Lee PC,

- 1032 editors. The Amboseli elephants: a long-term perspective on a long-lived mammal.
1033 Chicago: University of Chicago Press. p. 272-290.
- 1034 62. Pusey AE, Packer C. 1987. Dispersal and Philopatry. In: Smuts BB, Cheney DL,
1035 Seyfarth RM, Wrangham RW, Struhsaker TT, editors. Primate societies. Chicago:
1036 University of Chicago Press. p. 250-266.
- 1037 63. Rajpurohit LS. 1995. Temporary splitting or subgrouping in male bands of Hanuman
1038 langurs, *Presbytis entellus*, around Jodhpur, western India. Mammalia. 59:3-8.
- 1039 64. Rajpurohit LS, Mohnot SM, Sommer V. 1995. Wanderers Between Harems and
1040 Bachelor Bands: Male Hanuman Langurs (*Presbytis entellus*) At Jodhpur in Rajasthan.
1041 Behaviour. 132:255–299.
- 1042 65. Rasmussen HB, Okello JBA, Wittemyer G, Siegismund HR, Arctander P, Vollrath F,
1043 Douglas-Hamilton I. 2007. Age-and tactic-related paternity success in male African
1044 elephants. Behav Ecol. 19:9-15.
- 1045 66. Robbins MM. 1996. Male-male Interactions in heterosexual and all-male wild mountain
1046 gorilla groups. Ethology. 102:942-965.
- 1047 67. Rubenstein DI, Sundaresan SR, Fischhoff IR, Tantipathananandh C, Berger-Wolf TY.
1048 2015. Similar but different: dynamic social network analysis highlights fundamental
1049 differences between the fission-fusion societies of two equid species, the onager and
1050 Grevy's zebra. PLoS One. 10:e0138645.
- 1051 68. Ruckstuhl KE, Neuhaus P. 2000. Sexual segregation in ungulates: a new
1052 approach. Behaviour. 137:361-377.
- 1053 69. Saayman GS. 1971. Behaviour of the adult males in a troop of free-ranging chacma
1054 baboons (*Papio ursinus*). Folia Primatol. 15:36-57.
- 1055 70. Shetty N. 2016. Social Structure, Genetic Relatedness, and Dominance Relationships in
1056 Female Asian Elephants in Nagarhole and Bandipur National Parks, Southern India.
1057 Doctoral dissertation. JNCASR.

- 1058 71. Sicotte P. 1994. Effect of male competition on male-female relationships in bi-male
1059 groups of mountain gorillas. *Ethology*. 97:47-64.
- 1060 72. Smith LK, Fantella SL, Pellis SM. 1999. Playful defensive responses in adult male rats
1061 depend on the status of the unfamiliar opponent. *Aggress Behav*. 25:141-152.
- 1062 73. Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. 1981. *Biometry* (second edition). New York: WH Feeman and
1063 Company.
- 1064 74. Srinivasaiah NM, Anand VD, Vaidyanathan S, Sinha A. 2012. Usual populations,
1065 unusual individuals: insights into the behavior and management of Asian elephants in
1066 fragmented landscapes. *PLoS One*. 7:e42571.
- 1067 75. StatSoft, Inc. 2004. STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 7.0. StatSoft,
1068 Inc., Tulsa. www.statsoft.com.
- 1069 76. Steenbeek R, Sterck EHM, deVries H, van Hooff JARAM. 2000. Costs and benefits of
1070 the one-male, age-graded, and all-male phases in wild Thomas's langur groups. In:
1071 Kappeler PM, editor. *Primate males*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 130–
1072 145.
- 1073 77. Sukumar R, Joshi NV, Krishnamurthy V. 1988. Growth in the Asian elephant. *Proc*:
1074 *Anim Sci*. 97:561-571.
- 1075 78. The MathWorks, Inc. 2004. MATLAB 7 (R14). The MathWorks, Inc., Natick.
1076 www.mathworks.com.
- 1077 79. Van Hooff JA, Van Schaik CP. 1994. Male bonds: affiliative relationships among
1078 nonhuman primate males. *Behaviour*. 130:309-337.
- 1079 80. Vidya TNC, Prasad D, Ghosh A. 2014. Individual identification in Asian elephants.
1080 *Gajah*. 40:3–17.
- 1081 81. Vidya TNC, Sukumar R. 2005. Social organization of the Asian elephant (*Elephas*
1082 *maximus*) in southern India inferred from microsatellite DNA. *J Ethol*. 23:205–210.

- 1083 82. Villaret JC, Bon R. 1995. Social and spatial segregation in Alpine ibex (*Capra ibex*) in
1084 Bargy, French Alps. *Ethology*. 101:291-300.
- 1085 83. Wagner AP, Frank LG, Creel S. 2008. Spatial grouping in behaviourally solitary striped
1086 hyaenas, *Hyaena hyaena*. *Anim Behav*. 75:1131-1142.
- 1087 84. Wasserman S, Faust K. 1994. *Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications*.
1088 Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- 1089 85. Whitehead H. 2008. *Analyzing Animal Societies: Quantitative Methods for Vertebrate*
1090 *Social Analysis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 1091 86. Whitehead H. 2009. SOCPROG programs: analyzing animal social structures. *Behav*
1092 *Ecol Sociobiol*. 63:765-778.
- 1093 87. Wich S, Sterck E. 2007. Familiarity and threat of opponents determine variation in
1094 Thomas langur (*Presbytis thomasi*) male behaviour during between-group encounters.
1095 *Behaviour*. 144:1583-1598.
- 1096
- 1097
- 1098

Figure legends

Figure 1. The proportion of time that a) males (sighted on five days or more) spent in different group types and b) old and young males (sighted on five days or more) spent in mixed-sex and adult all-male groups. Pairwise comparisons with significant results are marked with an asterisk, and those with non-significant results are marked as *NS* (Not Significant). Error bars are standard deviations.

Figure 2. The proportion of instances when the younger male approached the older male, and the proportion when the older male approached the younger male, to initiate association, in female presence and absence. The proportions were not different from one another in female presence and absence and hence, pairwise comparisons results are marked as *NS* (Not Significant).

Figure 3. Permuted and observed numbers of times adult males (sighted 10 times or more in that category) of the same and different age-classes were sighted together in a) female absence and b) female presence. Comparisons where the observed value was significantly different from those of the permuted datasets are marked with an asterisk. Old males are ≥ 30 years and young males are 15-30 years old. Please note that the Y axis is on different scales in the two panels.

Figure 4. a) Proportion of sightings of all groups containing at least one male, of different group sizes (different numbers of adult males) and b) the experienced group sizes of common males in female presence and absence. Error bars are 95% CI.

1124 Figure 5. The mean proportion of a) sightings (out of the total sightings of the male) and b)
 1125 all-male group sightings (out of the total all-male group sightings of the male), that a male
 1126 spent with an associate plotted against the number of associates of that male. Results from
 1127 Spearman's rank-order correlations are written in the plot.

1128

1129 Figure 6. Networks of males and their top associates in a) female presence and b) female
 1130 absence. Nodes representing old males are coloured dark blue and those representing young
 1131 males are coloured light blue.

1132

1133

1134 **Tables**

1135

1136 Table 1. Difference in mean association strength between males of the two age-classes in
 1137 observed and permuted datasets. The associated probability values (P =number of
 1138 permutations whose difference in mean association strength is higher than that based on the
 1139 observed dataset / number of permutations) are provided. Significant comparisons
 1140 ($P<0.025$) are marked in bold.

1141

Category	Observed	Difference in mean association		<i>P</i>
	difference in	strength (Old-Young) in		
	mean association	permuted datasets		
	strength	Mean (2.5 and 97.5 percentiles)		
	(Old-Young)			
Female presence				
Age-class (Old)	0.061	-0.003 (-0.0625, 0.0621)		0.027
Female absence				
Age-class (Old)	0.035	0.003 (-0.0147, 0.0199)		<0.001

1142

1143

1144

1145 Table 2. Network statistics based on observed and permuted male associations in female
 1146 presence and female absence. $P = (\text{number of times difference}_{\text{random}} \geq \text{difference}_{\text{observed}}) /$
 1147 number of randomisations (5000). None of the comparisons was significant.
 1148

Category	Mean AI	Mean degree	Mean clustering coefficient	Mean path length	Density
Female presence observed	0.005	2.167	0.300	2.345	0.197
Female absence observed	0.003	4.500	0.577	1.697	0.409
Female presence permuted mean (SD)	0.004 (0.0011)	1.463 (0.4246)	0.224 (0.2263)	2.054 (0.4013)	0.133 (0.0386)
Female absence permuted mean (SD)	0.003 (0.0002)	4.887 (0.3184)	0.592 (0.0723)	1.623 (0.0621)	0.444 (0.0289)
<i>P</i> value	0.1126	0.9948	0.6316	0.2784	0.9448

1149
 1150
 1151

Figures

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

a) Female absence

b) Female presence

1170 Figure 4.

1171

a)

b)

1172

1173

1174

Figure 5.

1181 Figure 6.

1182

a) Female presence

b) Female absence

1183

1184