# Gut microbes and their genes are associated with brain development and cognitive function in healthy children

- 3
- 4 Kevin S. Bonham [1], Muriel M. K. Bruchhage [2], Sophie Rowland [1], Alexandra R. Volpe [2],
- 5 Kellyn Dyer [2], RESONANCE Consortium, Viren D'Sa [2,3], Curtis Huttenhower [4], Sean C. L.
- 6 Deoni [2,3,5,6], Vanja Klepac-Ceraj\* [1]
- 7
- 8 1. Department of Biological Sciences, Wellesley College, Wellesley, MA, 02481, USA
- 9 2. Advanced Baby Imaging Lab, Hasbro Children's Hospital, Rhode Island Hospital, Providence,
  10 RI, 02903, USA
- 3. Department of Pediatrics, Warren Alpert Medical School at Brown University, Providence, RI,
   02912, USA
- 13 4. Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA
- 14 5. Department of Radiology, Warren Alpert Medical School at Brown University, Providence, RI,
- 15 02912, USA
- 16 6. Maternal, Newborn, and Child Health Discovery & Tools, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation;
- 17 Seattle WA, USA
- 18
- 19 ORCID:
- 20 Kevin S. Bonham: 0000-0003-3200-7533
- 21 Muriel M. K. Bruchhage: 0000-0001-9637-0951
- 22 Viren D'Sa: 0000-0002-3476-8419
- 23 Curtis Huttenhower: 0000-0002-1110-0096
- 24 Vanja Klepac-Ceraj: 0000-0001-5387-5706
- 25
- 26 \* Address correspondence to vklepacc@wellesley.edu

# 27 Abstract

28 Both the brain and microbiome of humans develop rapidly in the first years of life, 29 enabling extensive signaling between the gut and central nervous system (dubbed the "microbiome-gut-brain axis"). Emerging evidence implicates gut microorganisms and 30 31 microbiota composition in cognitive outcomes and neurodevelopmental disorders (e.g., 32 autism), but the influence of gut microbial metabolism on typical neurodevelopment has 33 not been explored in detail. We investigated the relationship of the microbiome with the 34 neuroanatomy and cognitive function of 281 healthy children in a cross-sectional 35 analysis and demonstrated that differences in gut microbial taxa and gene functions are 36 associated with the size of brain regions and with overall cognitive function. Many 37 species, including Eubacterium eligens and Roseburia hominis, were associated with 38 higher cognitive function, while some species such as *Ruminococcus gnavus* was more 39 commonly found in children with low cognitive scores. Microbial enzymes involved in the 40 metabolism of neuroactive compounds such as glutamate and GABA, were also 41 associated with structure of the brain, including the first brain regions to develop such 42 as the cerebellum, and with overall cognitive function.

### 43 Introduction

The first years of life are a unique and dynamic period of neurological and cognitive development. Throughout childhood, a child's brain undergoes remarkable anatomical, microstructural, organizational, and functional change. By age 5, a child's brain has reached over 85% of its adult size, has achieved near-adult levels of myelination, and the pattern of axonal connections has been established (Silbereis et al., 2016). This development is profoundly affected by the child's environment and early life exposures (Fox et al., 2010). The first years of life also witness dramatic changes in the gut microbiome. The gut microbial community is seeded at birth, and develops over the course of the first year from a low-diversity
community dominated by Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, to a more diverse, adult-like
microbiome upon the introduction of solid food. This microbial community development is also
shaped by the environment, with factors such as mode of delivery and diet (breast milk vs
formula) known to have lasting effects on community composition (Bäckhed et al., 2015;
Dominguez-Bello et al., 2010).

57 The gut, the gut microbiome, and the central nervous system are intricately linked 58 through a system known as the gut-microbiome-brain axis (Clarke et al., 2013), and differences 59 in microbial communities are associated with, and in some cases cause, changes in 60 neurocognitive development (Flannery et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2019) and the outcome of 61 neurological disorders such as autism (Sharon et al., 2019). However, the study of the 62 relationships between environmental exposures, neurocognitive development, and the gut 63 microbiome during neurotypical development remains in its infancy (Carlson et al., 2018; 64 Sordillo et al., 2019).

65 Here, we focused on the relationship of microbial taxa and metabolic potential in the 66 structural development of the brain and in neurocognition. In particular, we show that microbial 67 taxa as well as genes with neuroactive potential, specifically genes encoding enzymes for the 68 metabolism of glutamate and GABA, are associated with the size of important brain regions and 69 with differences in cognitive function. Understanding the relationship of intestinal GABA and 70 glutamate metabolism may be particularly important to understanding the role of the gut 71 microbiome in early childhood cognitive development, as together, GABA and glutamate 72 response neurons make up the main cerebellar output pathways (Carletti & Rossi, 2008; 73 Hoshino, 2006). The cerebellum is one of the earliest brain regions to develop (Leto et al., 2016; 74 Silbereis et al., 2016), making it especially vulnerable for disorder and disease (S.H. Wang et 75 al., 2014). Understanding how the gut microbiome of healthy children interacts with the complex

76 metabolism of these and other neuroactive molecules will be critical in understanding the77 etiology of cognitive disorders and how to promote healthy neurological development.

# 78 Results and Discussion

79 To examine the relationships between early childhood gut microbiome and 80 neurocognitive development, we collected stool samples from 281 children enrolled in the 81 RESONANCE study of child development, part of the NIH initiative Environmental influences on 82 Child Health Outcomes (ECHO; Gillman & Blaisdell, 2018), an observational study of healthy 83 and neurotypical brain development that spans the fetal and infant to adolescent life stages, combining neuroimaging (magnetic resonance imaging, MRI), neurocognitive assessments, and 84 85 rich demographic, socioeconomic, family and medical history information (Table 1). As an initial 86 characterization step, we used shotgun metagenomic sequencing to generate taxonomic and 87 functional profiles for each of our child fecal samples. Participant age was the greatest driver of 88 both taxonomic and functional diversity, as expected (Figure 1b-c; Koenig et al., 2011). Children 89 under one year of age formed a distinct cluster from older children, characterized by high 90 aerobe load and low alpha (within-sample) diversity (Figure 1b, Supplementary Figure 2). 91 Comparing children's profiles with those of unrelated pregnant women (n=251), the 92 microbiomes of children over two years old were similar to those of adults (Supplementary 93 Figure 1a, 2a).

94 Table 1. Baseline characteristics of ECHO RESONANCE participants

Subjects (n)	281
Under 1yo (n)	60
Over 2yo (n)	192
With high resolution scan (n)	141
With cognitive function score (n)	274

Both scan and cognitive function (n)	134
Non-white (%)	56.77
Mixed race (%)	18.8
Age in years (mean, SD)	4.45, 3.5
BMI (mean, SD)	16.81, 2.61
Maternal SES (mean, SD)	5.93, 1.0

#### 96 Figure 1



#### 97

#### 98 The gut microbiome of healthy children changes dramatically over the first 2 years of life 99 and is associated with neurocognitive measures

Stool samples from children aged 0 to 15 years old (N=281, one sample per subject) were 100 analyzed with associated cognitive evaluations (N=274), structural and functional brain imaging 101 102 (N=141), and rich demographic and environmental exposure information. b, PERMANOVA 103 analysis for selected subject metadata vs. pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for species-level 104 taxonomic or functional profiles. Functional profiles include Kegg-Orthology (KO). Pfams or 105 accessory UniRef90s; subject and subject type include all subjects, 2+ includes only children over 2 years old (N=192), others include all children for which the measure was available 106 107 (breastfeeding: N=60, maternal socioeconomic status (SES): N=261, BMI: N=226); stars indicate significance after Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction. (\* <0.1, \*\* < 0.01, \*\*\* < 0.001). 108 c, First principle coordinate (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity in taxonomic (species) 109 110 profiles vs age; younger children cluster away from older children, and are lower in diversity. d, 111 same as (c) using the first PCo axis for functional profiles (UniRef90 accessory genes) after

- removing gene families that were present in >90% of subjects in a given age group; variation is
- 113 driven by similar effects as for taxonomic profiles.

114 As in previous adult and infant cohorts, functional beta diversity was generally lower than 115 taxonomic diversity (Supplementary Figure 2a), suggesting that healthy guts select for similar 116 aene functions even when different species contribute those functions. However, this 117 interpretation may be complicated by the fact that as many as 50% of sequencing reads in 118 some samples are not mapped to any of the reference genes used, and are thus unclassified 119 (Supplementary Figure 2b). Interestingly, although children under 1 (N=60) tended to have 120 substantially fewer species and, therefore, fewer total genes (Figure 1c, right), those genes 121 tended to be better characterized, likely because the taxa present in this age-range are better 122 represented in experimental studies (Supplementary Figure 2b, Vatanen et al., 2018). 123 Consistent with previous studies of adult cohorts from industrialized countries (Tett et al., 2019), 124 another major driver of variation visible from principal coordinates analysis was the presence of 125 Prevotella copri (Supplementary Figure 3). Like samples from very young children, samples 126 from children with *P. copri* had reduced diversity compared with samples from other children 127 and pregnant mothers without *P. copri* (Supplementary Figure 2, 3). Overall, these results are 128 consistent with prior studies of adult and childhood gut microbiomes (Koenig et al., 2011; Lloyd-129 Price et al., 2017).

130 To assess the potential role of the microbiome in neuro-structural and -cognitive 131 development, child stool samples were collected alongside MRI (N=141and age-appropriate 132 neurocognitive evaluations (N=274) (Figure 2a). Measures of overall cognitive ability (e.g., 133 intelligence guotient, IQ; Mullen & others, 1995; Wechsler, 1949), MRI measures of cortical 134 volume and morphometry, as well as other potentially relevant clinical metadata, were 135 compared to taxonomic and functional profile dissimilarity by PERMANOVA (Anderson, 2017; 136 McArdle & Anderson, 2001). Consistent with previous studies, inter-individual (subject) variation 137 accounted for the majority of variation in microbial taxonomic and functional profiles ([84%, 138 78%], q < 0.01) (Figure 1b, Supplementary Table 1). Subject type (child or mother) accounted 139 for a moderate amount of variation ([2%, 6%] q < 0.01), but this effect dropped when children

under 2 years of age were excluded, suggesting that age, rather than subject type, is
responsible for driving much of the taxonomic and functional variation. Among children's
samples, age accounted for 8-12% of variation in both taxonomic and functional profiles, but this
effect also largely disappeared when children under 2 were excluded (Figure 1b, Supplementary
Table 1), suggesting that the age effect is primarily driven by the enormous changes in the
microbiome over the first year.

146 Microbiome taxonomic and functional variation was also associated with small but 147 significant differences in several neurocognitive measures including age-appropriate measures 148 of cognitive ability (1.3%, q < 0.01, N=274). We found significant associations between regional 149 brain volumes and microbial taxonomic and functional variation, including the sizes of the 150 cerebellum ([0.9%, 1.8%], g [NS, < 0.01]), the subcortex ([1.7%, 3.7%], g < 0.01), and limbic 151 regions ([2.3%, 4.9%], g < 0.01) (N = 141 for all high resolution scans) after correcting for the 152 effect of age on brain volume. These results are on par with the magnitude of previously 153 reported drivers of microbial diversity such as antibiotics use and Inflammatory Bowel Disease 154 diagnosis (Lloyd-Price et al., 2019), and suggest that there is a strong relationship between the 155 gut microbiome and neurocognitive development (Figure 1b). Though the direction of causality 156 cannot be determined, experimental models of brain development and neurological disorders 157 have demonstrated that microbes in the intestine may have causal effects on the functioning of 158 the central nervous system through their metabolic action or interactions with the immune 159 system (Blacher et al., 2019; Clarke et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2019; Hsiao et al., 2013).

### 160 Figure 2



#### 161

# 162 Differences in microbial taxa are associated with cognitive function in neurotypical

#### 163 children

**a**, Cognitive function measured using age-appropriate IQ-like tests, allowing comparison across

165 multiple developmental stages. Inset is the same as a, but shows top (orange, N=66) and

bottom (purple, N=65) quartiles for children older than 1 year used in b-e. **b-e**, relative

abundances of taxa that are significantly (q < 0.1 after FDR correction) different in the top and

- 168 bottom quartiles of cognitive score for children over 1 year.
- 169

# 170 Table 2. Gut microbial taxa associated with cognitive scores in children

# 171 older than 1 year.

Species	Association	Lower 25% median	Upper 25% median	N samples	P value	Q value
Ruminococcus gnavus	-	0.004214	0.000492	102	0.000055	0.016523
Coprococcus sp ART55 1	+	< 1E-6	< 1E-6	25	0.000320	0.032148
Eubacterium eligens	+	0.001354	0.005472	101	0.000238	0.032148
Coprobacillus unclassified	-	0.000205	< 1E-6	53	0.000619	0.044157
Roseburia hominis	+	0.000279	0.002013	95	0.000738	0.044157
Adlercreutzia equolifaciens	+	< 1E-6	0.000413	71	0.000935	0.044157
Lachnospiraceae bacterium 2 1 58FAA	-	< 1E-6	< 1E-6	47	0.001027	0.044157
Clostridium symbiosum	-	0.000092	< 1E-6	55	0.001825	0.068654

173 To determine if any specific microbial taxa may be associated with these differences, we divided children into guartiles for cognitive function score, and tested for differences in microbe 174 175 abundance in the top and bottom quartiles (Table 2: Figure 2b-e: Supplementary Table 2). Due 176 to the rapid changes in the microbiomes of very young children, those under 1 year old were 177 excluded from this analysis. Several taxa were significantly different in the upper and lower 178 guartiles (Mann-Whitney U test, q < 0.1 after FDR correction). For example, Ruminococcus 179 gnavus, which has previously been associated with depression in children (Chahwan et al., 180 2019) and inflammatory bowel disease (Hall et al., 2017; Schirmer et al., 2019), was more 181 abundant in children that tested in the lowest quartile for cognitive function (Figure 2b). By 182 contrast, Eubacterium eligens (Figure 1c) and Roseburia hominis (Figure 1d), both of which 183 have been associated with regulating inflammation in the gut (Chung et al., 2016; Patterson et 184 al., 2017), were more abundant in the stool samples of children from the top quartile of cognitive 185 scores. Adlercreutzia equolifaciens (Figure 1e) has been linked to autism and multiple sclerosis 186 (Chen et al., 2016; Li et al., 2019) and was also more abundant in children with higher cognitive 187 scores. Multivariate linear models including all children over the age of 1 year showed similar 188 trends in these taxa, but none were significant after FDR correction.

189 While identifying important taxa in cognitive development is useful to direct further 190 research, the effects of microbes on their hosts are ultimately driven by their metabolism. To 191 investigate potential mechanisms through which the gut microbiome might affect neurostructural 192 and neurocognitive development in infants and young children, we focused on a group of 193 microbial genes that code for enzymes that metabolize neuroactive compounds (Valles-Colomer 194 et al., 2019). We analyzed the association of each of these gene sets with our neurocognitive 195 measures using feature set enrichment analysis (FSEA; de Leeuw et al., 2016; Metwally et al., 196 2018; Figure 3a, Supplementary Table 3). Briefly, we calculated the Pearson correlation 197 between the relative abundance of all identified UniRef90 gene families with each 198 neurocognitive measure, then calculated the Mann-Whitney U statistic for each potentially

- 199 neuroactive subset. Using this analysis, we observed that catabolic and anabolic pathways for
- several molecules known to be important in the developing brain were significantly associated
- 201 with overall cognitive function scores and the size of brain subregions.

#### 202 Figure 3



203

# 204 Microbial genes involved in the metabolism of neuroactive compounds are associated

#### 205 with cognition and brain structure

a, FSEA analysis for gene sets with neuroactive potential (see Methods); many gene sets with
 neuroactive potential are associated with cognitive function and brain structure. b-e, Species

208 contributions of GABA and glutamate synthesis and degradation gene sets; the microbiomes of

209 children over 1 year old have substantially lower capacity for degradation of glutamate and

210 GABA. There is a clear shift in glutamate synthesis from *B. longum* and other *Bifidobacterium* 

spp. to *F. prausnitzii* and *Bacteroides* spp. (common species in adult microbiomes) when

212 comparing children under 1 year old to older children.

213 In particular, microbial genes for GABA synthesis were positively associated with 214 neocortical (q < 0.01, Figure 3a, Supplementary Table 3), subcortical (q < 0.01), and limbic (q < 0.01). 215 (0.001) volume, and negatively associated with cerebellar volume (q < 0.01) and overall 216 cognitive function (q < 1e-5). Interestingly, GABA degradation genes were also positively 217 associated with the size of the subcortex and negatively associated with cognitive function (q < 1218 0.05). This may be due to higher GABA synthesis selecting for the ability to catabolize this 219 molecule, making it difficult to assess how actual GABA concentrations in the gut are associated 220 with brain development. GABA synthesis genes that could be assigned to specific taxa were 221 found primarily in E. coli in children under 1 year old, and in several different Bacteroides 222 species in older children (Figure 3b). GABA degradation in younger children was also seen 223 extensively in *E. coli*, but declines dramatically in abundance in older kids (Figure 3c).

224 Unlike the metabolism of GABA, glutamate synthesis and degradation genes have an 225 inverse relationship with neurocognitive measures (Figure 3a, Supplementary Table 3). The 226 glutamate degradation gene set was negatively associated with cognitive function (q < 1e-4) 227 and cerebellar volume (q < 0.05) and positively associated with the size of the neocortex (q < 0.05) 228 0.05), while glutamate synthesis was marginally negatively associated with overall cognitive 229 function and the size of the neocortex, while positively associated with the size of the 230 cerebellum (q < 0.05). However, it remains difficult to predict how gut concentrations of 231 glutamate might be related to microbial metabolism; while it might be intuitive to expect that 232 higher glutamate synthesis and lower glutamate degradation would lead to higher gut 233 concentrations of glutamate, it might also be the case that lower glutamate concentrations 234 select for microbes that can synthesize it and against those that break it down. Glutamate is 235 also far more prevalent in the diet and can be rapidly metabolized by gut epithelial cells, making 236 the relationship between gut concentrations and microbial metabolism even more complex 237 (Reeds et al., 2000). Unsurprisingly, as glutamate is an essential amino acid, Glu synthesis

238 genes were found in a variety of taxa, including the most common taxa for each age group (eg. 239 B. longum for children under 1 year old and F. prausnitzii in older children; Figure 3d). 240 This is the first look at an ongoing study of child neurocognitive and microbiome 241 development. Using cross-sectional data, we have shown that differences in gut microbial taxa 242 and genes are associated with the structural development of the brain and with cognitive 243 development. In addition, we have shown that particular microbial gene sets with neuroactive 244 potential are associated with neurocognitive development, thus perhaps playing a direct role in 245 the gut luminal exposure of children to neuroactive metabolites. Glutamate and GABA 246 metabolism are of particular interest, since these are critical molecules for signaling from the 247 cerebellum during early development and learning, and the cerebellum is one of the first brain 248 structures to develop (Leto et al., 2016; Silbereis et al., 2016). Neurodevelopmental disorders, 249 such as autism spectrum disorder, have been associated with an imbalance of the 250 inhibitory/excitatory system regulated by glutamate and GABA, with recent evidence suggesting 251 an impaired conversion of glutamate to GABA in the disorder (Fatemi et al., 2012), and 252 understanding these pathological outcomes will depend on a deeper understanding of 253 developmental exposures in neurotypically developing children.

254 This study is ongoing, and we are collecting additional clinical data such as resting state 255 functional brain imaging, participant genetic profiles, lead exposure, air quality data and 256 nutritional information to understand how the environment, microbiome, and biological 257 development interact to shape neurocognition. Future studies assessing gut metabolite pools 258 combined with MR spectroscopic methods to quantify concentrations of neurotransmitters such 259 as GABA and glutamate-glutamine in the brain, as well humanized mouse models and 260 longitudinal human data, will provide further insight into the interactions of microbial metabolism 261 and neurocognitive development. As we continue to follow these subjects, we will be able to 262 identify how early-life microbial exposures, including exposures in utero, might affect future 263 neurocognitive outcomes.

# 264 Materials and methods

#### 265 Cohort description

266 Data used in this study were drawn from the ongoing longitudinal RESONANCE study of 267 healthy and neurotypical brain and cognitive development, based at Brown University in 268 Providence, RI, USA. From the RESONANCE cohort, 281 typically-developing children between the ages of 47 days and 15 years old and 251 healthy unrelated pregnant women were selected 269 270 for analysis in this study. Only one stool sample per subject was analyzed; either the sample 271 associated with the first time point collected or the first stool sample with an associated 272 neurocognitive measure for the same time point. General participant demographics are provided 273 in **Table 1**. Complete metadata are available in Supplementary Table 4, with children being 274 representative of the RI population. As a broad background, children in the RESONANCE cohort 275 were born full-term (>37 weeks gestation) with height and weight average for gestational age, and 276 from uncomplicated singleton pregnancies. Children with known major risk factors for 277 developmental abnormalities at enrollment were excluded. In addition to screening at the time of 278 enrollment, on-going screening for worrisome behaviors using validated tools was performed to 279 identify at-risk children and remove them from subsequent analysis.

#### 280 Additional data collection

Demographic and other non-biospecimen data such as race and ethnicity, parental education and occupation, feeding behavior (breast- and formula-feeding), child weight and height, were collected through questionnaires or direct examination as appropriate. All data were collected at every assessment visit, scheduled on the same day of the MRI scan or at least within 2 weeks of the scan date.

#### 286 Approval for human subject research

All procedures for this study were approved by the local institutional review board at Rhode Island Hospital, and all experiments adhered to the regulation of the review board. Written informed consent was obtained from all parents or legal guardians of enrolled participants.

#### 291 Stool sample collection and handling

292 Stool samples (n=532) were collected by parents in OMR-200 tubes (OMNIgene GUT, 293 DNA Genotek, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada), immediately stored on ice, and brought within 24 hrs 294 to the lab in RI where they were immediately frozen at -80°C. Stool samples were not collected 295 if the infant had taken antibiotics within the last two weeks.

#### 296 DNA extraction and sequencing of metagenomes

All processing of the samples was done at Wellesley College (Wellesley, MA). Nucleic acids were extracted from stool samples using the RNeasy PowerMicrobiome kit automated on the QIAcube (Qiagen, Germantown, MD), excluding the DNA degradation steps. Extracted DNA was sequenced at the Integrated Microbiome Resource (IMR, Dalhousie University, NS, Canada).

Shotgun metagenomic sequencing was performed on all samples. A pooled library (max
96 samples per run) was prepared using the Illumina Nextera Flex Kit for MiSeq and NextSeq
from 1 ng of each sample. Samples were then pooled onto a plate and sequenced on the
Illumina NextSeq 550 platform using 150+150 bp paired-end "high output" chemistry, generating
~400 million raw reads and ~120 Gb of sequence.

#### 306 Computational methods, statistical analyses and data availability

307 Raw and processed data (excluding PHI) is available through SRA and Zenodo.org 308 (Bonham et al., 2020). All code used for statistical and other analysis is available on github 309 (Kevin Bonham, 2020). Software packages included vegan (R package) for PERMANOVAs. 310 MultivariateStats.jl for MDS analysis, HypothesisTests.jl for Mann-Whitney U tests (used in 311 FSEA analysis), MultipleTesting, il for false discovery rate correction, and Makie, il for plotting. 312 FSEA analyses (de Leeuw et al., 2016)were performed by assessing the Pearson 313 correlation of the relative abundance of each gene with a given measure (brain region volume or 314 cognitive score) across all subjects. The difference between genes within a gene set to all other 315 genes measured was assessed using Mann-Whitney U, a non-parametric test of the null 316 hypothesis that the correlation of a random gene from within the gene set has an equal 317 probability of being higher or lower than a random gene from outside the gene set against the 318 alternative hypothesis that these probabilities are not equal.

Metagenomic data were analyzed using the bioBakery (McIver et al., 2018) family of tools with default parameters. Briefly, KneadData (v0.7.1) was used to trim and filter raw sequence reads and to separate human reads from bacterial sequences. Samples that passed quality control were taxonomically profiled to the species level using MetaPhIAn2 (v2.7.7). Stratified functional profiles were generated by HUMAnN2 (v0.11.1).

#### 324 MRI Acquisition and data processing

325 Structural T<sub>1</sub>-weighted MRI scans were acquired on a 3T Siemens Trio scanner with a 326 12-channel head RF array, preprocessed using a multistep registration procedure. Cortical 327 reconstruction and volumetric segmentation were performed with the Freesurfer image analysis 328 suite, which is documented and freely available for download online

329 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). Brain regions were divided into neocortex, cerebellum,

limbic and subcortical regions (for more details on acquisition and processing, see extendedmethods).

332 Neurocognitive assessments

333 Overall cognitive function was defined by the Early Learning Composite as assessed via

the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (MSEL; Mullen & others, 1995), a standardized and

population normed tool for assessing fine and gross motor, expressive and receptive language,

and visual reception functioning in children from birth through 68 months of age.

337 The third edition of the Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development (Bayley's III) is

a standard series of measures used primarily to assess the development of infants and toddlers,

ranging from 1 to 42 months of age (Bayley, 2006).

340 The Wechsler Intelligence Quotient for Children (WISC; Wechsler, 2012) is an 341 individually administered standard intelligence test for children aged 6 to 16 years. It derives a 342 full scale intelligence quotient (IQ) score, which we used to assess overall cognitive functioning. 343 The fourth edition of the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (WPPSI-344 IV; Wechsler, 2012) is an individually administered standard intelligence test for children aged 2 345 years 6 months to 7 years 7 months, trying to meet the increasing need for the assessment of 346 preschoolers. Just as the WISC, it derives a full scale IQ score, which we used to assess overall 347 cognitive functioning.

# 348 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Christopher Loiselle and Jennifer Beauchemin for assistance with biospecimen and metadata collection, Nisreen Abo-Sido for sample preparation and DNA extraction, Katherine Lemon and Libusha Kelly for edits and comments, and Julius Krumbiegel for extensive help with figure generation code.

353 This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (UH3 ODD023313 and R01

354 MH087510).

# 355 References

- 356 Anderson, M. J. (2017). Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA). In
- Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online (pp. 1–15). American Cancer Society.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat07841
- Bäckhed, F., Roswall, J., Peng, Y., Feng, Q., Jia, H., Kovatcheva-Datchary, P., Li, Y., Xia, Y.,
- 360 Xie, H., Zhong, H., Khan, M. T., Zhang, J., Li, J., Xiao, L., Al-Aama, J., Zhang, D., Lee,
- 361 Y. S., Kotowska, D., Colding, C., ... Wang, J. (2015). Dynamics and stabilization of the
- human gut microbiome during the first year of life. *Cell Host & Microbe*, *17*(5), 690–703.
- 363 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.04.004
- Bayley, N. (2006). *Bayley scales of infant and toddler development*. PsychCorp, Pearson.
- Blacher, E., Bashiardes, S., Shapiro, H., Rothschild, D., Mor, U., Dori-Bachash, M., Kleimeyer,
- 366 C., Moresi, C., Harnik, Y., Zur, M., Zabari, M., Brik, R. B.-Z., Kviatcovsky, D., Zmora, N.,
- 367 Cohen, Y., Bar, N., Levi, I., Amar, N., Mehlman, T., ... Elinav, E. (2019). Potential roles
- of gut microbiome and metabolites in modulating ALS in mice. *Nature*, 572(7770), 474–
- 369 480. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1443-5
- Bonham, K. S., Rowland, S., Bruchage, M., Volpe, L., Dyer, K., D'Sa, V., Deoni, S., & Klepac-
- 371 Ceraj, V. (2020). Data for "Gut microbial genes are associated with neurocognition and
- 372 *brain development in healthy children*" [Data set]. Zenodo.
- 373 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3633793
- 374 Carletti, B., & Rossi, F. (2008). Neurogenesis in the cerebellum. *The Neuroscientist*, *14*(1), 91–
  375 100.
- 376 Carlson, A. L., Xia, K., Azcarate-Peril, M. A., Goldman, B. D., Ahn, M., Styner, M. A.,

- 377 Thompson, A. L., Geng, X., Gilmore, J. H., & Knickmeyer, R. C. (2018). Infant gut
- 378 microbiome associated with cognitive development. *Biological Psychiatry*, 83(2), 148–
- 379 159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.06.021
- Chahwan, B., Kwan, S., Isik, A., van Hemert, S., Burke, C., & Roberts, L. (2019). Gut feelings: A
   randomised, triple-blind, placebo-controlled trial of probiotics for depressive symptoms.
- 382 *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 253, 317–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.04.097
- 383 Chen, J., Chia, N., Kalari, K. R., Yao, J. Z., Novotna, M., Paz Soldan, M. M., Luckey, D. H.,
- 384 Marietta, E. V., Jeraldo, P. R., Chen, X., Weinshenker, B. G., Rodriguez, M., Kantarci, O.
- 385 H., Nelson, H., Murray, J. A., & Mangalam, A. K. (2016). Multiple sclerosis patients have
- a distinct gut microbiota compared to healthy controls. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 28484.
- 387 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28484
- 388 Chung, W. S. F., Walker, A. W., Louis, P., Parkhill, J., Vermeiren, J., Bosscher, D., Duncan, S.
- 389 H., & Flint, H. J. (2016). Modulation of the human gut microbiota by dietary fibres occurs
- 390 at the species level. *BMC Biology*, *14*(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-015-0224-3
- 391 Clarke, G., Grenham, S., Scully, P., Fitzgerald, P., Moloney, R. D., Shanahan, F., Dinan, T. G.,
- 392 & Cryan, J. F. (2013). The microbiome-gut-brain axis during early life regulates the
- 393 hippocampal serotonergic system in a sex-dependent manner. *Molecular Psychiatry*,
- 394 *18*(6), 666–673. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2012.77
- de Leeuw, C. A., Neale, B. M., Heskes, T., & Posthuma, D. (2016). The statistical properties of
   gene-set analysis. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *17*(6), 353–364.
- 397 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2016.29
- 398 Dominguez-Bello, M. G., Costello, E. K., Contreras, M., Magris, M., Hidalgo, G., Fierer, N., &
- 399 Knight, R. (2010). Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial
- 400 microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. *Proceedings of the National*
- 401 Academy of Sciences, 107(26), 11971–11975. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002601107
- 402 Fatemi, S. H., Aldinger, K. A., Ashwood, P., Bauman, M. L., Blaha, C. D., Blatt, G. J., Chauhan,

- 403 A., Chauhan, V., Dager, S. R., Dickson, P. E., & others. (2012). Consensus paper:
- 404 Pathological role of the cerebellum in autism. *The Cerebellum*, *11*(3), 777–807.
- 405 Flannery, J. E., Stagaman, K., Burns, A. R., Hickey, R. J., Roos, L. E., Giuliano, R. J., Fisher, P.
- 406 A., & Sharpton, T. J. (2020). Gut feelings begin in childhood: The gut metagenome
- 407 correlates with early environment, caregiving, and behavior. *MBio*, *11*(1).
- 408 https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02780-19
- 409 Fox, S. E., Levitt, P., & Nelson, C. A. (2010). How the timing and quality of early experiences
- 410 influence the development of brain architecture. *Child Development*, *81*(1), 28–40.
- 411 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01380.x
- 412 Gao, W., Salzwedel, A. P., Carlson, A. L., Xia, K., Azcarate-Peril, M. A., Styner, M. A.,
- 413 Thompson, A. L., Geng, X., Goldman, B. D., Gilmore, J. H., & Knickmeyer, R. C. (2019).
- 414 Gut microbiome and brain functional connectivity in infants-a preliminary study focusing
- 415 on the amygdala. *Psychopharmacology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-018-5161-8
- Gillman, M. W., & Blaisdell, C. J. (2018). Environmental influences on child health outcomes, a
  research program of the NIH. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*, *30*(2), 260.
- 418 Hall, A. B., Yassour, M., Sauk, J., Garner, A., Jiang, X., Arthur, T., Lagoudas, G. K., Vatanen,
- 419 T., Fornelos, N., Wilson, R., Bertha, M., Cohen, M., Garber, J., Khalili, H., Gevers, D.,
- 420 Ananthakrishnan, A. N., Kugathasan, S., Lander, E. S., Blainey, P., ... Huttenhower, C.
- 421 (2017). A novel Ruminococcus gnavus clade enriched in inflammatory bowel disease
- 422 patients. *Genome Medicine*, *9*(1), 103. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-017-0490-5
- 423 Hoshino, M. (2006). Molecular mechanisms underlying glutamatergic vs. GABAergic neuronal
- 424 subtype specification in the cerebellum. *Seikagaku. The Journal of Japanese*425 *Biochemical Society*, 78(2), 130.
- 426 Hsiao, E. Y., McBride, S. W., Hsien, S., Sharon, G., Hyde, E. R., McCue, T., Codelli, J. A.,
- 427 Chow, J., Reisman, S. E., Petrosino, J. F., Patterson, P. H., & Mazmanian, S. K. (2013).
- 428 Microbiota modulate behavioral and physiological abnormalities associated with

- 429 neurodevelopmental disorders. *Cell*, *155*(7), 1451–1463.
- 430 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.11.024
- 431 Kevin Bonham. (2020). *Klepac-Ceraj-Lab/resonance\_paper1: Zenodo Release*. Zenodo.
- 432 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3648677
- 433 Koenig, J. E., Spor, A., Scalfone, N., Fricker, A. D., Stombaugh, J., Knight, R., Angenent, L. T.,
- 434 & Ley, R. E. (2011). Succession of microbial consortia in the developing infant gut
- 435 microbiome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(Supplement 1),
- 436 4578–4585. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000081107
- 437 Leto, K., Arancillo, M., Becker, E. B., Buffo, A., Chiang, C., Ding, B., Dobyns, W. B., Dusart, I.,
- Haldipur, P., Hatten, M. E., & others. (2016). Consensus paper: Cerebellar development. *The Cerebellum*, *15*(6), 789–828.
- Li, N., Yang, J., Zhang, J., Liang, C., Wang, Y., Chen, B., Zhao, C., Wang, J., Zhang, G., Zhao,
- 441 D., Liu, Y., Zhang, L., Yang, J., Li, G., Gai, Z., Zhang, L., & Zhao, G. (2019). Correlation
- 442 of gut microbiome between ASD children and mothers and potential biomarkers for risk
- 443 assessment. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, *17*(1), 26–38.
- 444 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gpb.2019.01.002
- Lloyd-Price, J., Arze, C., Ananthakrishnan, A. N., Schirmer, M., Avila-Pacheco, J., Poon, T. W.,
- 446 Andrews, E., Ajami, N. J., Bonham, K. S., Brislawn, C. J., Casero, D., Courtney, H.,
- 447 Gonzalez, A., Graeber, T. G., Hall, A. B., Lake, K., Landers, C. J., Mallick, H., Plichta, D.
- 448 R., ... Huttenhower, C. (2019). Multi-omics of the gut microbial ecosystem in
- inflammatory bowel diseases. *Nature*, 569(7758), 655–662.
- 450 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1237-9
- 451 Lloyd-Price, J., Mahurkar, A., Rahnavard, G., Crabtree, J., Orvis, J., Hall, A. B., Brady, A.,
- 452 Creasy, H. H., McCracken, C., Giglio, M. G., McDonald, D., Franzosa, E. A., Knight, R.,
- 453 White, O., & Huttenhower, C. (2017). Strains, functions and dynamics in the expanded
- 454 Human Microbiome Project. *Nature*, *550*(7674), 61–66.

455 ht	ps://doi.org/10	.1038/nature23889

- 456 McArdle, B. H., & Anderson, M. J. (2001). Fitting Multivariate Models to Community Data: A
- 457 Comment on Distance-Based Redundancy Analysis. *Ecology*, 82(1), 290–297.
- 458 https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[0290:FMMTCD]2.0.CO;2
- 459 McIver, L. J., Abu-Ali, G., Franzosa, E. A., Schwager, R., Morgan, X. C., Waldron, L., Segata,
- 460 N., & Huttenhower, C. (2018). bioBakery: A meta'omic analysis environment.
- 461 *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 34(7), 1235–1237.
- 462 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx754
- Metwally, A. A., Aldirawi, H., & Yang, J. (2018). *A review on probabilistic models used in microbiome studies*.
- 465 http://indigo.uic.edu:8080/bitstream/handle/10027/22858/Yang\_CIS2018.pdf?sequence=
  466 1&isAllowed=y
- 467 Mullen, E. M., & others. (1995). *Mullen scales of early learning*. AGS Circle Pines, MN.
- 468 Patterson, A. M., Mulder, I. E., Travis, A. J., Lan, A., Cerf-Bensussan, N., Gaboriau-Routhiau,
- 469 V., Garden, K., Logan, E., Delday, M. I., Coutts, A. G. P., Monnais, E., Ferraria, V. C.,
- 470 Inoue, R., Grant, G., & Aminov, R. I. (2017). Human Gut Symbiont *Roseburia hominis*
- 471 Promotes and Regulates Innate Immunity. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 8.
- 472 https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01166
- 473 Reeds, P. J., Burrin, D. G., Stoll, B., & Jahoor, F. (2000). Intestinal Glutamate Metabolism. *The*474 *Journal of Nutrition*, *130*(4), 978S-982S. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/130.4.978S
- 475 Schirmer, M., Garner, A., Vlamakis, H., & Xavier, R. J. (2019). Microbial genes and pathways in
- 476 inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *17*(8), 497–511.
- 477 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0213-6
- 478 Sharon, G., Cruz, N. J., Kang, D.-W., Gandal, M. J., Wang, B., Kim, Y.-M., Zink, E. M., Casey,
- 479 C. P., Taylor, B. C., Lane, C. J., Bramer, L. M., Isern, N. G., Hoyt, D. W., Noecker, C.,
- 480 Sweredoski, M. J., Moradian, A., Borenstein, E., Jansson, J. K., Knight, R., ...

- 481 Mazmanian, S. K. (2019). Human Gut Microbiota from Autism Spectrum Disorder
- 482 Promote Behavioral Symptoms in Mice. *Cell*, *177*(6), 1600-1618.e17.
- 483 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.004
- 484 Silbereis, J. C., Pochareddy, S., Zhu, Y., Li, M., & Sestan, N. (2016). The cellular and molecular
- 485 landscapes of the developing human central nervous system. *Neuron*, 89(2), 248–268.
- 486 Sordillo, J. E., Korrick, S., Laranjo, N., Carey, V., Weinstock, G. M., Gold, D. R., O'Connor, G.,
- 487 Sandel, M., Bacharier, L. B., Beigelman, A., Zeiger, R., Litonjua, A. A., & Weiss, S. T.
- 488 (2019). Association of the Infant Gut Microbiome With Early Childhood
- 489 Neurodevelopmental Outcomes: An Ancillary Study to the VDAART Randomized Clinical
- 490 Trial. *JAMA Netw Open*, *2*(3), e190905.
- 491 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.0905
- 492 Tett, A., Huang, K. D., Asnicar, F., Fehlner-Peach, H., Pasolli, E., Karcher, N., Armanini, F.,
- 493 Manghi, P., Bonham, K., Zolfo, M., De Filippis, F., Magnabosco, C., Bonneau, R.,
- 494 Lusingu, J., Amuasi, J., Reinhard, K., Rattei, T., Boulund, F., Engstrand, L., ... Segata,
- 495 N. (2019). The Prevotella copri complex comprises four distinct clades that are
- 496 *underrepresented in Westernised populations*. https://doi.org/10.1101/600593
- 497 Valles-Colomer, M., Falony, G., Darzi, Y., Tigchelaar, E. F., Wang, J., Tito, R. Y., Schiweck, C.,
- 498 Kurilshikov, A., Joossens, M., Wijmenga, C., Claes, S., Van Oudenhove, L., Zhernakova,
- 499 A., Vieira-Silva, S., & Raes, J. (2019). The neuroactive potential of the human gut
- 500 microbiota in quality of life and depression. *Nature Microbiology*, *4*(4), 623–632.
- 501 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0337-x
- 502 Vatanen, T., Franzosa, E. A., Schwager, R., Tripathi, S., Arthur, T. D., Vehik, K., Lernmark, Å.,
- 503 Hagopian, W. A., Rewers, M. J., She, J.-X., Toppari, J., Ziegler, A.-G., Akolkar, B.,
- 504 Krischer, J. P., Stewart, C. J., Ajami, N. J., Petrosino, J. F., Gevers, D., Lähdesmäki, H.,
- 505 ... Xavier, R. J. (2018). The human gut microbiome in early-onset type 1 diabetes from
- 506 the TEDDY study. *Nature*, 562(7728), 589–594. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-

507 0620-2

508 Wang, S. S.-H., Kloth, A. D., & Badura, A. (2014). The cerebellum, sensitive periods, and

509 autism. *Neuron*, *83*(3), 518–532.

- 510 Wechsler, D. (1949). Wechsler intelligence scale for children.
- 511 Wechsler, D. (2012). Wechsler preschool and primary scale of intelligence—Fourth edition. *The*
- 512 Psychological Corporation San Antonio, TX.

513

# 515 Supplemental Figures

516 Supplementary Figure 1



Supplementary Figure 2



519

# 520 Supplementary Figure 3



MDS1 (9.81 %)



# 522 Supplementary Figure 4



subject-	0.840	0.813	0.761	0.779
subject type-	0.023	0.016	0.030	0.063
2+ subject type-	0.018	0.013	0.028	0.041
age-	0.082	0.060	0.093	0.124
2+ age-	0.016	0.014	0.011	0.016
gender-	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001
race-	0.037	0.035	0.050	0.052
bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.13.944181; thi was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has	0.003 s version posted June 6, 2020. The o granted bioRxiv a license to display the	0,003 copyright holder for this preprint (which he preprint in perpetuity. It is made	0.004	0.003
breastfeeding-	0.041	0.039	0.040	0.033
mother SES-	0.019	0.015	0.029	0.022
BMI-	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.004
cognitive function-	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.013
neocortical-	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.009
subcortical-	0.016	0.013	0.045	0.036
limbic-	0.022	0.016	0.058	0.049
cerebellar-	0.009	0.008	0.017	0.017
	species	accessory	pfams	kos



MDS1 (13.02 %)



Cognitive score

Cognitive score



