1 Mediterranean oaks harbor more specific soil microbes at the dry end of a precipitation 2 gradient

3 Gemma Rutten^{1,2,3*}, Lorena Gómez-Aparicio², Beat Frey¹

4

5 ¹Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), Research Unit
6 Forest Soils and Biogeochemistry, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland

7 ²Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS), CSIC, LINCGlobal. P.O.
8 Box 1052, 41080 Sevilla, Spain

9 ³Current address: German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-
10 Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103, Leipzig, Germany. / Martin-Luther-University Halle-
11 Wittenberg, Institute of Biology/Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Am Kirchtor 1, 06108,
12 Halle, Germany

13 *corresponding author: +49 34555 26199, ggpmrutten@gmail.com

14

15 **Keywords**

16 plant-soil interactions, precipitation, indicators, *Quercus* L. (oaks), soil microbiomes,
17 gradient, host-specificity, Mediterranean, mycorrhiza, soil pathogens

18

19 Abstract

20 **Background:** Recent evidence suggests that soil microbial communities can regulate plant
 21 community dynamics. In addition, the drought tolerance of plants can be enhanced by soil
 22 microbes. So far, few studies have assessed the variation in the microbiome of specific plant
 23 species along environmental gradients. Yet understanding these dynamics is essential to
 24 improve predictions of plant-soil feedbacks and the consequences of ongoing climate
 25 changes. Here we characterized the soil microbiome of two co-occurring Mediterranean oaks
 26 along a precipitation gradient, using amplicon sequencing of phylogenetic marker genes for
 27 prokaryotes and fungi. Additionally, we identified tree-specific and locally-specific microbes
 28 potentially responsible for tree community dynamics.

29 **Results:** We show that two co-occurring, evergreen Mediterranean oak species harbor distinct
 30 microbiomes along a precipitation gradient. The soil microbial diversity increased along the
 31 precipitation gradient, for prokaryotic α and β diversity and for fungal β diversity. *Quercus*
 32 *ilex* harbored richer fungal communities than *Quercus suber*, and host-specific taxa more
 33 often belonged to fungi than to prokaryotes. Notably, the microbial communities at the dry
 34 end of the precipitation gradient harbored more locally-specific prokaryotic and fungal taxa
 35 than the microbial communities with a higher diversity, at the wet end of the gradient,
 36 suggesting higher specialization in drier areas.

37 **Conclusions:** Even congeneric tree species, belonging to the same functional group, can
 38 harbor distinct and specific soil microbiomes. These microbiomes become more similar and
 39 consist of more specialized taxa under drier compared with wetter conditions. With this, our
 40 study offers a step towards a better understanding of the context-dependency of plant-soil
 41 feedbacks by going beyond α and β diversities and focusing on specialized taxa potentially
 42 driving community changes along environmental gradients. We hope that our study will
 43 stimulate future research assessing the importance of context-dependency of interactions
 44 between plants and soil communities in a changing world.

45 **Introduction**

46 Interactions between plants and microbes affect ecosystem functioning [1–3], and plant-soil
47 feedbacks (PSFs) have been shown to be relevant drivers of forest community dynamics [4,5].
48 Moreover, specific root-associated microbes have been found to enhance the drought
49 tolerance and overall health of plants [6–8]. Few studies however have explored the variation
50 in plant-microbiome interactions along environmental gradients, yet understanding these
51 dynamics is essential for improving predictions of the consequences of ongoing climate
52 changes.

53 At the global and regional scales, precipitation is among the most important drivers of
54 soil microbial diversity [9–12], together with other variables like temperature [13,14] and soil
55 pH [15–18]. However, the diverse life history strategies of different taxa can result in
56 numerous responses along environmental gradients [18]. Reduced water availability and
57 increased drought intensity lead to reduced microbial growth and shifts in microbial
58 community composition [19,20]. In particular, decomposers, which contribute to the carbon
59 cycle, have been found to have a lower activity in drier sites than in wetter sites [21]. Food
60 webs with a fungal basis are generally more resistant to drier conditions than bacteria-based
61 food webs [22] but small changes in water availability can result in shifts in fungal species
62 dominance, whereas bacterial communities remain constant [23]. Repeated wet-dry cycles can
63 result in adapted soil communities that show fewer shifts in community composition with
64 fluctuations in water availability [24,25].

65 Soil microbial communities are not only shaped by abiotic factors such as precipitation
66 and water availability, also biotic drivers such as plants play an important role. Plants can
67 affect microbial communities both directly and indirectly. For example, mycorrhizal fungi
68 and fungal pathogens can accumulate directly in the rhizosphere of the host plant. Moreover,

species-specific litter quality and root exudates might indirectly affect the microbial community through changes in nutrient availability and soil properties [26,27]. Prokaryotes are more likely related to differences in soil properties [28], potentially partly caused by plants, even though they can also be plant species-specific [7,29]. Most existing studies have focused on associations between plants and fungi, as fungi are more host-specific than prokaryotes [30].

Under dry conditions, communities are often dominated by highly specialized species [31] and the interactions among species become more facilitative as predicted by the stress gradient hypothesis [32,33]. For instance, under dry conditions, species-specific microbes can mitigate water stress for their hosts [6,7,29]. Particularly mycorrhiza can help increase the resource uptake of plants and can defend a plant against pathogens [34]. Therefore, both mutualists and pathogens can play an important role in facilitative interactions [35]. Conspecific seedlings experience more positive effects from the soil microbial community when growing in drier than in wetter conditions, suggesting more beneficial effects of the soil community under drier conditions [3,36,37]. However, if specialized mutualists or pathogens are responsible for these shifts along environmental gradients remains largely untested [6]. To disentangle how plant-microbiome interactions vary along climatic gradients, we need to combine the microbial perspective, often focusing on variations in nutrient cycling and decomposition, with the plant perspective, focusing mainly on the mutualistic and antagonistic soil community components.

In this study, we simultaneously tested the effects of precipitation and tree species on soil microbial communities in a Mediterranean climate. Specifically, we used high throughput amplicon sequencing to characterize the prokaryotic and fungal communities under the two dominant evergreen oak species in southern Spain, *Quercus ilex* and *Quercus suber*, along six

93 sites ranging in precipitation (637, 672, 751, 890, 912, 948 mm year⁻¹). We expected 1) a
 94 reduced microbial community richness and diversity in areas with low precipitation,
 95 particularly for prokaryotes. Additionally, we expected 2) stronger effects of tree species on
 96 fungal than on prokaryotic community diversity. Moreover, we tested 3) the effects of local
 97 variations in soil properties to account for indirect effects of trees on soil microbial
 98 communities. Concerning the specialized soil community components, we expected 4) more
 99 fungal than prokaryotic taxa to be tree-specific. Finally, following the stress gradient
 100 hypothesis, we expected 5) more locally-specific microbes in drier areas and more generalist
 101 in more humid areas. Testing these hypotheses will help to better understand the variation in
 102 plant-microbiome interactions along environmental gradients and ultimately improve
 103 predictions of the consequences of ongoing climate changes.

104 **Methods**

105 *Experimental design and site selection*

106 We used the third Spanish National Forest Inventory (NFI3; Ministry of Environment, Madrid
 107 2006) to select study sites where the two most abundant evergreen Mediterranean oak species
 108 in the country (*Quercus suber* and *Quercus ilex*) co-occur. In November 2015, we selected six
 109 sites covering a precipitation gradient (637, 672, 751, 890, 912, 948 mm year⁻¹) in south-
 110 central Spain [36]. The sites were located between 100 and 594 m a.s.l and had mean annual
 111 temperatures between 15°C and 18°C. Each of the six sites contained four *Q. ilex* and four *Q.*
 112 *suber* adult trees (48 individual trees in total), which had no woody understory or overlapping
 113 crowns. In January 2016, we collected soil samples (0-15 cm depth) from under the crown of
 114 each tree using a soil corer (5 cm diameter). We pooled 9 to 15 cores to generate one
 115 composite soil sample per tree. The 48 pooled soil samples were kept in a cooling container
 116 until further processing in the lab.

Physical and chemical soil properties

In the lab, the soil samples were homogenized, sieved through a 2 mm sieve to remove roots and mesofauna, and split into two parts. One part was air dried for three days 25°C and subsequently analyzed for soil physical and chemical properties according to standardized protocols [58]. As we could not separate indirect tree species effects, through leaf litter input, nutrient depletion and root exudation, from inherent site differences, we assessed physical and chemical soil properties as a whole. In short, we assessed soil texture, pH, total soil organic matter (SOM) content, and concentrations of nitrate (NO₃⁻), ammonium (NH₄⁺), available phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg). For additional information see Supplement 1.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

We used the second part of the soil sample (0.25 g) to extract DNA using the PowerSoil isolation kit (Mo-Bio Laboratories Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted DNA was quantified using Picogreen (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). We amplified the partial prokaryotic small-subunit ribosomal RNA genes (region V3–V4 of 16S rRNA gene) and the fungal ribosomal internal transcribed spacers (region ITS2), as described previously [59]. Each sample containing 40 ng of DNA was amplified in triplicate and pooled before purification with Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Colter, Brea, CA, USA). The amplicons were sent to the Genome Quebec Innovation Centre (Montreal, Canada) for barcoding using Fluidigm Access Array technology and paired-end sequencing on the Illumina MiSeq v3 platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

Bioinformatics

For the quality control of prokaryotic and fungal reads, we used a customized pipeline [59]. Briefly, we matched paired-end reads [60] correcting for substitution errors [61], and trimmed the PCR primers, with one mismatch allowed [62]. We discarded prokaryotic sequences (16S rRNA gene, region V3V4) shorter than 300 bp, fungal sequences (region ITS2) shorter than 200 bp, and sequences without matching primers. The fastq_filter function in USEARCH was used for quality filtering of the trimmed reads with a maximum expected error threshold of one. All singletons were removed to reduce artificial OTU inflation while clustering [63]. We used the cluster_otu function in USEARCH, which includes an ‘on-the-fly’ chimera removal algorithm to cluster the sequences in OTUs applying a 97% similarity threshold. Metaxa2 [64] and ITSx [65] were used to test the centroid OTU sequences for the presence of ribosomal signatures, and unsupported centroid sequences were discarded. Remaining reads that passed the quality filtering, were mapped with USEARCH usearch_global algorithm (parameters: maxrejects 0, maxaccepts 0 and top_hit_only) against the final OTU list. All raw sequences were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the BioProject accession number PRJNA550539. We used MOTHUR with its implemented naïve Bayesian classifier (minimum bootstrap support 60%) to query the centroid sequences to the reference databases. SILVA Release 128 served as the reference database for the prokaryotic sequences [66]. Eukaryotic sequences were queried against the two databases in NCBI GenBank [67] and UNITE 8.0 [68]. We removed eukaryote sequences (0.6%) and unknown sequences (1.4%) from the prokaryotic OTUs, as well as OTUs not belonging to the fungal kingdom, including plants (2.2%), protists (0.4%) and unclassified eukaryotes (0.9%). To increase the probability of assessing ecologically relevant taxa [69], we pruned the prokaryotic and fungal datasets of rare OTUs (total abundance <10 reads;

occurring >3 samples).

Statistical analysis

To test for differences in alpha diversity between the oak species along the precipitation gradient we first made rarefaction curves. These curves visualize sampling effort and help determine the sample with the smallest number of reads (Figure S1). To correct for increasing species numbers with an increasing number of reads, we rarefied the data by the smallest total number of sequences per sample, resulting in 15,548 prokaryotic and 12,740 fungal reads per sample. This step led to the removal of 10 fungal OTUs from the data. Of the many indexes available to quantify alpha diversity, we chose to calculate the observed richness (S_{obs}), as it is widely used and easily comparable between studies. We calculated other alpha diversity measures for each sample, for both raw and rarified datasets [69], and found small differences depending on the measure used (data not shown). To test for the effects of precipitation and tree species on the diversity indexes, we used generalized linear mixed effects models (GLMM) using *lme4* [70], and included site as a random factor to control for multiple samples coming from the same site. In addition, we calculated the relative abundance of OTU counts for each phylum (with a total abundance >1%) and for each treatment combination. Finally, we assessed the effects of precipitation and tree species on the relative abundance of the microbial sequences, using PERMANOVAs based on Euclidian distances with 9999 permutations, using *vegan* [71]. To account for site effects, we included this factor in the random effects (strata).

To quantify differences in community structure (beta diversity) across the precipitation gradient and between tree species, we used PERMANOVAs based on Bray-Curtis distances with 9999 permutations, with site included in the strata. The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices were generated based on either relative or normalized OTU sequence counts of the

unrarefied data. To normalize the data, we performed a transformation by the weighted trimmed mean of M-values [72,73]. Applying alternative transformations [69,74,75] yielded similar results and are therefore only presented in the supplementary (Table S1). Differences in the community structure among sites and between tree species were visualized with unconstrained PCoA-ordinations and with site- and tree-species constrained CAP-ordinations [71]. To determine which edaphic variables (pH, SOM, NO_3^- , NH_4^+ , P, K, Ca, Mg and proportion of sand (as a measure of soil texture) significantly explained variation in the prokaryotic and fungal communities, we used non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) in *vegan* [71].

To identify which OTUs led to changes in multivariate patterns between tree species and along the precipitation gradient, we studied the relationship between OTUs and groups of sites using indicator species analysis [76,77]. First, we investigated which taxa were significantly associated to a tree species, hereafter referred to as species-specific taxa. We combined an indicator species analysis with GLMMs and log-likelihood-ratio tests implemented in the *EdgeR:glmLRT* and *EdgeR:topTag* [72,73]. To explore if more locally-specific taxa exist in drier sites, we tested which taxa in the prokaryotic and the fungal communities were more abundant than expected based on random distributions over the sites [38]. Based on this analysis, we calculated the proportion of locally-specific taxa for each site along the precipitation gradient, for prokaryotes and fungi separately. We then used a linear model, with precipitation and tree species as fixed factors, to test whether the proportion of locally-specific taxa decreased along the precipitation gradient. All statistics were performed in R [78] using the cited packages, functions and their dependencies.

Results

Diversity of prokaryotes and fungi under two oak species along a precipitation gradient.

The α diversity was higher for prokaryotes than for fungi and differed across our treatments (Table 1, Figure 1). For prokaryotes, an increased precipitation tended to result in more diverse communities, whereas their alpha diversity did not differ among tree species (Table 1, Figure 1a). For fungi, a higher precipitation did not affect the α diversity, but more diverse communities were found under *Q. ilex* than under *Q. suber* (Table 1, Figure 1b). Specifically, the relative abundance of *Ascomycota*, *Basidiomycota*, *Verrucomicrobia* and *Gammaproteobacteria* showed significant tree species effects (Table S1, Figure S1), whereas *Mortierellomycota*, *Acidobacteria* and *Patescibacteria* showed significant interactions between tree species and the precipitation gradient (Table S1, Figure S1).

The β diversity of fungal and prokaryotic communities changed along the precipitation gradient (Table 2), with both prokaryotic (Figure 1c) and fungal (Figure 1d) communities becoming more dissimilar with increasing precipitation. Fungal communities were generally more variable whereas prokaryotic communities showed more overlap along the precipitation gradient and between tree species (Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure S2). Additionally, significant interactions between precipitation and tree species for prokaryotic (P x T interaction, $P = 0.05$, Table 2) and fungal communities (P x T interaction $P = <0.001$, Table 2), indicate that the dissimilarity of the soil microbiome under the two tree species is determined by the precipitation regime. Moreover, a significant tree species effect on the β diversity of fungi ($P = <0.001$, Table 2) indicates that the fungal communities under *Q. ilex* were less dissimilar than those under *Q. suber* (Table 2; Figure 1).

The most influential edaphic conditions on prokaryotic and fungal communities were

calcium (explaining 57% of prokaryotic structure and 60% of fungal structure), followed by pH (50% and 53%), and nitrate (24% and 45%), potassium (19% and 24%) and finally phosphorus (10% and 12, Table 3). Additionally, sand and ammonium explained 29% and 19% of the variation in prokaryotic communities, respectively (Table 3, Figure 2), whereas these variables did not affect fungal communities. Conversely, magnesium and SOM explained 23% and 15 % of the variation in fungal communities, respectively, whereas these variables did not impact the prokaryotic communities (Table 3, Figure 2).

Specialization of prokaryotes and fungi under two oak species along a precipitation gradient.

There was a clear difference in the taxa frequency distribution between the prokaryotic and fungal communities (Figure 3). Only a small proportion of taxa was found in all samples (Figure 3), namely 2.51% (115 OTUs) for prokaryotes and 0.65% (20 OTUs) for fungi respectively. These ubiquitous fungi taxa, included nine saprotrophs, six unassigned guilds, two animal pathogens, two plant pathogens (*Mycosphaerella tassiana* and *Trichoderma asperelluman*) and one endophyte (*Clonostachys rosea*). In terms of abundance, these ubiquitous OTUs accounted for 27% and 18% of the total reads for prokaryotes and fungi respectively. Particularly the peaks in distribution of OTUs over the samples differed between the prokaryotic and fungal community components. The prokaryotic distribution peaked around 8 samples (>200 OTUs), corresponding to the number of samples per site and suggesting an important role for locally-specific taxa in prokaryotic communities. On the hand, the fungal distribution peaked around 4 samples (>300 OTUs) and exponentially declined with occurrence, suggesting a role for both locally-specific and tree-specific taxa in the fungal communities.

Both tree-specific prokaryotic and fungal taxa were found using logfold² changes in abundance under *Q. ilex* versus *Q. suber* trees, independent of precipitation regime. Within

prokaryotes, 61 taxa belonging to unique 44 families were significantly associated to one of the tree species (21 taxa to *Q. ilex*, 40 taxa to *Q. suber*; Figure 4a). Of those taxa, 23% belonged to *Chloroflexi*, 11% to *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Planctomycetes* and *Patescibacteria*, 10% to *Actinobacteria* and *Gammaproteobacteria*, 7% to *Verrucomicrobia*, and 2-3 % to each of the remaining phyla (*Firmicutes*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Euryarchaeota*, *Acidobacteria*, WPS-2, *Bacteroidetes*). Even more fungi (126 taxa), belonging to 62 unique genera, were significantly associated to a particular tree species (72 taxa to *Q. ilex*, 54 taxa to *Q. suber*; Figure 4b). Of those taxa, 71% belonged to *Ascomycota*, 20% to *Basidiomycota*, 4% to *Chytridiomycota*, and 1% to each of the remaining phyla (*Mucoromycota*, *Rozellomycota*, *Monoblepharomycota*, *Mortierellomycota*). Another 2% remained unclassified. The OTUs that could be classified to the genus level (75 of 126) mostly belonged to unique families and genera. Except within the *Ascomycota*, many families (*Herpotrichiellaceae*, *Coniochaetaceae*, *Dothioraceae*, *Helotiaceae*, *Myxotrichaceae*, *Nectriaceae*, *Ophiostomataceae*, *Pyronemataceae*, *Sympoventuriaceae*, *Teratosphaeriaceae*, *Trichocomaceae*) harbored multiple tree-associated taxa, including mainly saprotrophic taxa. Within the *Basidiomycota*, four families (*Bulleraceae*, *Cortinariaceae*, *Russulaceae*, *Thelephoraceae*) harbored multiple tree-specific taxa, three of which were ectomycorrhizal. *Russulaceae* included the genera *Gymnomyces* and *Russula*. The *Thelephoraceae*, included *Pseudotomentella* and *Tomentella*, and the *Cortinariaceae* harbored more than one taxon (*Cortinarius* 4 OTUs). Finally, we found one family within the *Chytridiomycota* (*Spizellomyetaceae*), that harbored the tree-specific pathogenic genera (*Kochiomyces* (1 OTU) and *Spizellomyces* (2 OTUs).

Locally-specific taxa, defined as taxa which were more abundant than expected based on random distributions over sites [38], were found in both prokaryotic and fungal communities (Figure S3). The proportion of locally-specific taxa for each site decreased along

the precipitation gradient (prokaryotes and fungi analyzed together: $F_{1,8}=8.26$, $R^2_{adj}=0.32$, $P=0.021$, Figure 5), indicating higher specialization rates for prokaryotes and fungi occurring at the drier end of the precipitation gradient.

Discussion

Microbial community diversity under two oak species change along a precipitation gradient

Climate and precipitation in particular, can enhance overall prokaryotic and fungal diversity [12] and biomass [11]. In line with this, most microbial diversity measures showed positive trends along the precipitation gradient. However, fungal α diversity (richness) was not affected by precipitation, suggesting that precipitation has stronger effects on prokaryotes than fungi. Such differences in bacterial and fungal communities along precipitation gradients, suggest that fungi are more resistant to drier conditions than bacteria [39,40], however also opposing trends have been reported. Such differences could potentially be explained by the copiotroph–oligotroph trade-off, also known as r- and K-selection theory [17]. For example, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria tended to be more ubiquitous in the nutrient-rich soils in the wetter soils. On the contrary, Acidobacteria are known to be ubiquitous, diverse, desiccation tolerant, and largely oligotrophic bacteria, abundant under low resource availability [41]. Yet, it is known that subgroups within a phylum might show different responses than the one observed at the phylum level, potentially leading to misinterpretations. For example, different subgroups in Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria might occupy different ecological niches and can show mixed responses to precipitation [20]. Former studies find fewer oligotrophs and more copiotrophs with increasing precipitation [17,20]. In our study, the relative abundances of the most abundant fungal phyla like *Ascomycota* and *Basidiomycota* did not vary significantly along the precipitation gradient. However, the relative abundance of the prokaryotic *Acidobacteria* increased along the

precipitation gradient. Whereas changes in the relative abundances of *Patescibacteria* and *Mortierellomycota* along the precipitation gradient depended on tree species. Such opposing patterns between taxonomic groups have been reported before [10,42]. Notably, our results are in line with those from earlier studies focusing on mycorrhizal community changes in oaks across sites varying in water availability. For example, a long-term precipitation reduction experiment resulted in modified EMF communities under *Q. ilex* [43], and the mycorrhizal status of *Quercus agrifolia* shifted with soil moisture from predominantly EMF in wet to almost exclusively arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) during severe droughts [44]. Here, we show that besides ectomycorrhizal fungi, also prokaryotic and fungal communities under several specific plant species vary along a precipitation gradient.

Host plant family and functional group determined the community composition of ectomycorrhizal fungi (EMF) in a global analysis [45] and in dry areas [46]. In Mediterranean ecosystems and temperate forests, even lower taxonomic levels (plant species identity) drove fungal richness and fungal community structure [47,48]. Despite the close phylogenetic relationship between the *Quercus* species, each species harbored distinct soil microbial communities. Moreover, we show that even within the functional group of evergreen oaks, differences in the relative abundance of *Basidiomycota* (which includes the EMF-rich *Agaricomycetes* class) can be found. This adds to studies comparing the EMF communities of evergreen and deciduous oaks [27,49]. It would be interesting to conduct further studies including a larger pool of congeneric tree species to better understand what root or leaf traits might drive this variation in soil communities. Particularly because it has been shown that such variation in the soil microbiome can affect the performance of seedlings growing under congeneric trees [36].

The setup of our study sites was intended to test for differences in the soil microbiome

between oak species along a precipitation gradient, but it also included a natural variation in soil properties, possibly partly caused by the different tree species (e.g. litter input and root exudates). The majority of earlier studies along environmental gradients have acknowledged the importance of additional or indirect factors (e.g. edaphic conditions, soil properties and plant communities) in structuring the soil microbiome [10–12]. Our study confirmed this, and we found that soil calcium and pH were highly correlated and important variables for explaining variation in both prokaryotic and fungal communities. At the global scale, these same two variables emerged as important predictors of fungal richness [10], but pH did not drive patterns in prokaryotic communities in drylands [12]. Future studies and experiments are needed to better understand the separate effects of calcium and pH on soil geochemical processes.

In line with our expectations, edaphic conditions affected prokaryotes more than fungi. Notably, only prokaryotes were affected by variations in soil texture and ammonium, whereas only fungi were impacted by changes in soil organic matter content. Whereas fungi can spread their mycelium around, attaching to resource patches and plant roots, prokaryotes are more constrained to the soil matrix and pores, which makes it more likely for the latter to be affected by soil chemistry [17,28]. Taken together with differences in litter inputs and root exudates among trees [26,27], this finding might also explain why we found fungal diversity, in terms of richness and community structure, to be more related to tree species than prokaryotic communities. Related to this, with increasing precipitation we found greater phosphorus concentrations under *Q. suber*, whereas calcium concentrations decreased under *Q. ilex* along the precipitation gradient (Table S3). Long-term field experiments designed to separate precipitation from other tree-related processes, including litter input and root exudation, would be particularly valuable for exploring possible explanations in more detail.

Specialized prokaryotes and fungi under two oak species along a precipitation gradient

Unravelling the individual contributions of soil microbial taxa facilitates an improved understanding of the role that interactions between plants and soil microbes play in shaping the responses of plant community composition and ecosystem processes to global environmental changes [50]. Soil microbial components including enemies, symbionts and decomposers, may show different responses resulting in different net magnitudes and directions of plant–soil feedbacks along various environmental gradients [3,6,51], . Plant-soil feedbacks require specific variations in the soil communities of a host species, leading to asymmetric fitness differences in subsequent plant growth [52]. Our study provides evidence for such mechanisms as we found proportion of ubiquitous taxa in the fungal communities (0.65%) as well as in the prokaryotic communities (2.46%), suggesting that not all microbes occur everywhere, but implying a certain degree of specialization, particularly within the fungal communities. Particularly, we found more fungal taxa (173 OTUs) than prokaryotic taxa (40 OTUs) associated with a specific tree species. None of the fungal genera harbored specialized taxa under both tree species, except for the saprotrophic genera *Cladophialophora* and *Cryptococcus* and the plant pathogens *Spizellomyces*. Even on a family level, we only identified two families that harbored plant-specific taxa for both oak species. First, some ectomycorrhizas within *Russulaceae* (genus *Lactarius* and *Gymnomyces*) were specifically associated with *Q. suber*, whereas others from the confamiliar genus *Russula* were associated with *Q. ilex*. Second, in the family *Spizellomycetaceae* (*Chytridiomycota*), the plant pathogens *Kochiomyces* and an unclassified genus were associated with *Q. ilex*, whereas the confamiliar *Spizellomyces* was associated with *Q. suber*. These results suggest that a fraction of the soil microbiome harbors associated fungi, including mycorrhiza, saprotrophs and pathotrophs that are specific to a plant species, even when the plants are closely related.

The effects of abiotic conditions on interactions between plants and their soil microbes remain unclear. For example, a reduced water availability has been shown to result in smaller net negative effects of the soil microbial community on conspecific plant performance [36,37]. This suggests either a decrease in specific antagonistic interactions, an increase in mutualistic interactions or a combination of both [51–53]. Most studies so far have generally found negative effects of the conspecific soil community on subsequent plant growth [2,52]. A test with savanna tree species found positive heterospecific feedbacks suggesting an important role for mutualists in drier environments [53]. Droughts can reducing plant-microbe associations and neutralize plant soil feedbacks [54] and inconsistent abiotic conditions between training phase and feedback phase resulted in variable feedback effects [55]. We found that microbial communities harbored more locally-specific taxa in the drier sites than in the wetter sites, and particularly locally-specific prokaryotes decreased with increasing precipitation. The occurrence of more specialized taxa at the dry end of our gradient, could be driven by facilitation, whereas more generalist taxa in more humid areas, are possibly driven by competition [3,36]. A similar pattern was observed for the locally-specific fungal taxa along the precipitation gradient, although no significant relationship was detected. It is possible that the difference between prokaryotic and fungal communities was found because fungal communities are more buffered by host plants whereas bacteria are more strongly dependent on edaphic conditions [17,28]. An additional explanation could be that fungi are more resistant to drier conditions than bacteria [22], reinforcing our first hypothesis. Our study serves as a proof of concept, which to our knowledge has not been demonstrated before. It is bringing us one step closer to identify potential crucial habitat-specific prokaryotes and fungi of particular ecological importance for plant-soil interactions along gradients.

Conclusion

In the last years, several studies have discussed the climate-dependency of microbial communities, their interactions with and feedbacks on plants [55–57]. Here we show that plants can harbor distinct associated microbes when climatic conditions change. Particularly, drier conditions resulted in a higher proportion of locally-specific taxa as compared with soil communities at the wetter end of the precipitation gradient. Moreover, we show that besides frequently studied fungal associations such as mycorrhiza, also prokaryotes associate with tree species and might therefore potentially play a prominent role generating plant-soil feedbacks.

Our approach highlights taxa in the soil microbial community which are potentially important in generating precipitation depended differences between host plant species. Such approach goes beyond alpha and beta diversity measures of the microbiome and is not limited to taxonomic or functional knowledge of the taxa. This can help to discover microbial taxa which are important in generating context-dependent plant-soil feedbacks, which in turn help to better understand underlying mechanisms of ecosystem functioning and vegetation dynamics under global change.

Acknowledgements

We thank P. Ruiz-Benito and L. Matías for help with third national forest inventory (NFI3) and field site selection. We are grateful to E. Gutiérrez-González and B. Stierli for their help in the laboratory, J. Domiguez, M. Renner, C. Saladin and A. Locher for help in the field, the Genetic Diversity Center (GDC) at ETH Zürich and the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Center in Montreal, Canada for performing Illumina MiSeq sequencing. M. Dawes for textual improvements and A. Frossard and T. Wubet for useful comments on

423 earlier versions of the manuscript.

424 *Availability of data and material*

425 The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the NCBI
426 Sequence Read Archive repository, under the BioProject accession number PRJNA550539
427 [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA550539]

428

429 *Authors' contributions*

430 The study was initiated and performed by GR, who gained funding for the study. Laboratory
431 analyses were facilitated by LGA and BF. BF conducted the bioinformatics. GR analyzed the
432 resulting data and wrote the first draft, with the help of LGA and BF. All authors contributed
433 to revisions of the manuscript.

References

1. Schnitzer SA, Klironomos JN, HilleRisLambers J, Kinkel LL, Reich PB, Xiao K, et al. Soil microbes drive the classic plant diversity–productivity pattern. *Ecology*. Wiley Online Library; 2011;92:296–303.
2. Van der Putten WH, Bardgett RD, Bever JD, Bezemer TM, Casper BB, Fukami T, et al. Plant–soil feedbacks: the past, the present and future challenges. *J Ecol*. Wiley Online Library; 2013;101:265–76.
3. Lekberg Y, Bever JD, Bunn RA, Callaway RM, Hart MM, Kivlin SN, et al. Relative importance of competition and plant–soil feedback, their synergy, context dependency and implications for coexistence. *Ecol Lett*. Wiley Online Library; 2018;21:1268–81.
4. McCarthy Neumann S, Kobe RK. Conspecific and heterospecific plant–soil feedbacks influence survivorship and growth of temperate tree seedlings. *J Ecol*. Wiley Online Library; 2010;98:408–18.
5. Bennett JA, Maherali H, Reinhart KO, Lekberg Y, Hart MM, Klironomos J. Plant-soil feedbacks and mycorrhizal type influence temperate forest population dynamics. *Science* (80-). American Association for the Advancement of Science; 2017;355:181–4.
6. Classen AT, Sundqvist MK, Henning JA, Newman GS, Moore JAM, Cregger MA, et al. Direct and indirect effects of climate change on soil microbial and soil microbial–plant interactions: What lies ahead? *Ecosphere*. Wiley Online Library; 2015;6:1–21.
7. Fitzpatrick CR, Copeland J, Wang PW, Guttman DS, Kotanen PM, Johnson MTJ. Assembly and ecological function of the root microbiome across angiosperm plant species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci. National Acad Sciences*; 2018;115:E1157–E1165.
8. Delavaux CS, Smith Ramesh LM, Kuebbing SE. Beyond nutrients: a meta-analysis of the diverse effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on plants and soils. *Ecology*. 2017;98:2111–9.
9. Angel R, Soares MIM, Ungar ED, Gillor O. Biogeography of soil archaea and bacteria along a steep precipitation gradient. *ISME J. Nature Publishing Group*; 2010;4:553.
10. Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Põlme S, Kõljalg U, Yorou NS, Wijesundera R, et al. Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science* (80-). American Association for the Advancement of Science; 2014;346:1256688.
11. Chen D, Mi J, Chu P, Cheng J, Zhang L, Pan Q, et al. Patterns and drivers of soil microbial communities along a precipitation gradient on the Mongolian Plateau. *Landsc Ecol*. Springer; 2015;30:1669–82.
12. Maestre FT, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Jeffries TC, Eldridge DJ, Ochoa V, Gozalo B, et al. Increasing aridity reduces soil microbial diversity and abundance in global drylands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci. National Acad Sciences*; 2015;112:15684–9.
13. Zhou J, Deng Y, Shen L, Wen C, Yan Q, Ning D, et al. Temperature mediates continental-scale diversity of microbes in forest soils. *Nat Commun*. Nature Publishing Group; 2016;7:12083.
14. Větrovský T, Kohout P, Kopecký M, Machac A, Man M, Bahnmann BD, et al. A meta-analysis of global fungal distribution reveals climate-driven patterns. *Nat Commun*. Nature Publishing Group; 2019;10:1–9.

15. Rousk J, Bååth E, Brookes PC, Lauber CL, Lozupone C, Caporaso JG, et al. Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *ISME J. Nature Publishing Group*; 2010;4:1340.
16. Lanzén A, Epelde L, Garbisu C, Anza M, Martín-Sánchez I, Blanco F, et al. The community structures of prokaryotes and fungi in mountain pasture soils are highly correlated and primarily influenced by pH. *Front Microbiol. Frontiers*; 2015;6:1321.
17. Fierer N. Embracing the unknown: disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. *Nat Rev Microbiol [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.*; 2017;15:579. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.87>
18. Peay KG, von Sperber C, Cardarelli E, Toju H, Francis CA, Chadwick OA, et al. Convergence and contrast in the community structure of Bacteria, Fungi and Archaea along a tropical elevation–climate gradient. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol. Oxford University Press*; 2017;93.
19. Felsmann K, Baudis M, Gimbel K, Kayler ZE, Ellerbrock R, Bruehlheide H, et al. Soil bacterial community structure responses to precipitation reduction and forest management in forest ecosystems across Germany. *PLoS One. Public Library of Science*; 2015;10:e0122539.
20. Hartmann M, Brunner I, Hagedorn F, Bardgett RD, Stierli B, Herzog C, et al. A decade of irrigation transforms the soil microbiome of a semi-arid pine forest. *Mol Ecol. Wiley Online Library*; 2017;26:1190–206.
21. Yuste JC, Penuelas J, Estiarte M, Garcia-Mas J, Mattana S, Ogaya R, et al. Drought-resistant fungi control soil organic matter decomposition and its response to temperature. *Glob Chang Biol. Wiley Online Library*; 2011;17:1475–86.
22. de Vries FT, Griffiths RI, Bailey M, Craig H, Girlanda M, Gweon HS, et al. Soil bacterial networks are less stable under drought than fungal networks. *Nat Commun. Nature Publishing Group*; 2018;9:3033.
23. Kaisermann A, Maron PA, Beaumelle L, Lata JC. Fungal communities are more sensitive indicators to non-extreme soil moisture variations than bacterial communities. *Appl Soil Ecol. Elsevier*; 2015;86:158–64.
24. Evans SE, Wallenstein MD. Soil microbial community response to drying and rewetting stress: does historical precipitation regime matter? *Biogeochemistry. Springer*; 2012;109:101–16.
25. Lau JA, Lennon JT. Rapid responses of soil microorganisms improve plant fitness in novel environments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet].* 2012;109:14058–62. Available from: <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1202319109>
26. Wardle DA, Bardgett RD, Klironomos JN, Setälä H, Van Der Putten WH, Wall DH. Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. *Science (80-). American Association for the Advancement of Science*; 2004;304:1629–33.
27. Aponte C, García L V, Marañón T, Gardes M. Indirect host effect on ectomycorrhizal fungi: Leaf fall and litter quality explain changes in fungal communities on the roots of co-occurring Mediterranean oaks. *Soil Biol Biochem. Elsevier*; 2010;42:788–96.
28. Navrátilová D, Tláškalová P, Kohout P, Dřevojan P, Fajmon K, Chytrý M, et al. Diversity of fungi and bacteria in species-rich grasslands increases with plant diversity in shoots but not in roots and soil. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol. Oxford University Press*; 2018;95:fiy208.

29. Compant S, Van Der Heijden MGA, Sessitsch A. Climate change effects on beneficial plant–microorganism interactions. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd Oxford, UK; 2010;73:197–214.
30. Urbanová M, Šnajdr J, Baldrian P. Composition of fungal and bacterial communities in forest litter and soil is largely determined by dominant trees. *Soil Biol Biochem*. Elsevier; 2015;84:53–64.
31. Berdugo M, Maestre FT, Kéfi S, Gross N, Le Bagousse P, Pinguet Y, Soliveres S. Aridity preferences alter the relative importance of abiotic and biotic drivers on plant species abundance in global drylands. *J Ecol*. Wiley Online Library; 2019;107:190–202.
32. He Q, Bertness MD, Altieri AH. Global shifts towards positive species interactions with increasing environmental stress. *Ecol Lett*. 2013;16:695–706.
33. Li H, Colica G, Wu P, Li D, Rossi F, De Philippis R, et al. Shifting Species Interaction in Soil Microbial Community and Its Influence on Ecosystem Functions Modulating. *Microb Ecol* [Internet]. 2013;65:700–8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-012-0171-2>
34. Lambers H, Alborno F, Kotula L, Laliberté E, Ranathunge K, Teste FP, et al. How belowground interactions contribute to the coexistence of mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal species in severely phosphorus-impooverished hyperdiverse ecosystems. *Plant Soil*. Springer; 2018;1–23.
35. Wright AJ, Wardle DA, Callaway R, Gaxiola A. The overlooked role of facilitation in biodiversity experiments. *Trends Ecol Evol*. Elsevier; 2017;32:383–90.
36. Rutten G, Gómez-aparicio L. Plant-soil feedbacks and root responses of two Mediterranean oaks along a precipitation gradient. *Plant and Soil*; 2018;
37. Snyder AE, Harmon-Threatt AN. Reduced water-availability lowers the strength of negative plant–soil feedbacks of two *Asclepias* species. *Oecologia*. Springer; 2019;1–8.
38. Mariadassou M, Pichon S, Ebert D. Microbial ecosystems are dominated by specialist taxa. *Ecol Lett*. Wiley Online Library; 2015;18:974–82.
39. Si G, Lei T, Xia Y, Yuan Y, Zhang G. Microbial Nonlinear Response to a Precipitation Gradient in the Northeastern Tibetan Plateau. *Geomicrobiol J*. Taylor & Francis; 2016;33:85–97.
40. Vries FT de, Griffiths RI, Bailey M, Craig H, Girlanda M, Gweon HS, et al. Soil bacterial networks are less stable under drought than fungal networks. *Nat Commun*. Nature Publishing Group; 2018;9:3033.
41. Fierer N, Bradford MA, Jackson RB. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology*. Wiley Online Library; 2007;88:1354–64.
42. Hendershot JN, Read QD, Henning JA, Sanders NJ, Classen AT. Consistently inconsistent drivers of microbial diversity and abundance at macroecological scales. *Ecology*. Wiley Online Library; 2017;98:1757–63.
43. Richard F, Roy M, Shahin O, Sthultz C, Duchemin M, Joffre R, et al. Ectomycorrhizal communities in a Mediterranean forest ecosystem dominated by *Quercus ilex*: seasonal dynamics and response to drought in the surface organic horizon. *Ann For Sci*. Springer; 2011;68:57–68.
44. Querejeta J, Egerton-Warburton LM, Allen MF. Topographic position modulates the mycorrhizal response of oak trees to interannual rainfall variability. *Ecology*. Wiley Online

Library; 2009;90:649–62.

45. Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Toots M, Diedhiou AG, Henkel TW, Kjøller R, et al. Towards global patterns in the diversity and community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Mol Ecol. Wiley Online Library*; 2012;21:4160–70.

46. Bauman D, Raspe O, Meerts P, Degreef J, Ilunga Muledi J, Drouet T. Multiscale assemblage of an ectomycorrhizal fungal community: the influence of host functional traits and soil properties in a 10-ha miombo forest. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol. Oxford University Press*; 2016;92.

47. Saitta A, Anslan S, Bahram M, Brocca L, Tedersoo L. Tree species identity and diversity drive fungal richness and community composition along an elevational gradient in a Mediterranean ecosystem. *Mycorrhiza. Springer*; 2018;28:39–47.

48. Bahnmann B, Mašínová T, Halvorsen R, Davey ML, Sedlák P, Tomšovský M, et al. Effects of oak, beech and spruce on the distribution and community structure of fungi in litter and soils across a temperate forest. *Soil Biol Biochem. Elsevier*; 2018;119:162–73.

49. Morris MH, Smith ME, Rizzo DM, Rejmánek M, Bledsoe CS. Contrasting ectomycorrhizal fungal communities on the roots of co-occurring oaks (*Quercus* spp.) in a California woodland. *New Phytol. Wiley Online Library*; 2008;178:167–76.

50. van der Putten WH, Bradford MA, Pernilla Brinkman E, van de Voorde TFJ, Veen GF. Where, when and how plant–soil feedback matters in a changing world. *Funct Ecol [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd*; 2016;30:1109–21. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12657>

51. Smith-Ramesh LM, Reynolds HL. The next frontier of plant-soil feedback research: unraveling context dependence across biotic and abiotic gradients. Michalet R, editor. *J Veg Sci [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jan 15];28:484–94. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/jvs.12519*

52. Bever JD. Soil community feedback and the coexistence of competitors: conceptual frameworks and empirical tests. *New Phytol. Wiley Online Library*; 2003;157:465–73.

53. Rutten G, Prati D, Hemp A, Fischer M. Plant–soil feedback in East-- African savanna trees. *Ecology. 2016;97:294–301.*

54. Fry EL, Johnson GN, Hall AL, Pritchard WJ, Bullock JM, Bardgett RD. Drought neutralises plant–soil feedback of two mesic grassland forbs. *Oecologia. Springer*; 2018;186:1113–25.

55. Duell EB, Zaiger K, Bever JD, Wilson GWT. Climate Affects Plant-Soil Feedback of Native and Invasive Grasses: Negative Feedbacks in Stable but Not in Variable Environments [Internet]. *Front. Ecol. Evol. . 2019. p. 419. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fevo.2019.00419*

56. Pugnaire FI, Morillo JA, Peñuelas J, Reich PB, Bardgett RD, Gaxiola A, et al. Climate change effects on plant-soil feedbacks and consequences for biodiversity and functioning of terrestrial ecosystems. *Sci Adv. American Association for the Advancement of Science*; 2019;5:eaaz1834.

57. Bardgett RD, Caruso T. Soil microbial community responses to climate extremes: resistance, resilience and transitions to alternative states. *Philos Trans R Soc B. The Royal Society*; 2020;375:20190112.

58. Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR. Methods of soil analysis, part 2. Chem Microbiol Prop. Madison: American Society of Agronomy; 1982;2.
59. Frey B, Rime T, Phillips M, Stierli B, Hajdas I, Widmer F, et al. Microbial diversity in European alpine permafrost and active layers. FEMS Microbiol Ecol [Internet]. 2016;92:fiw018–fiw018. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiw018>
60. Edgar RC, Flyvbjerg H. Error filtering, pair assembly and error correction for next-generation sequencing reads. Bioinformatics. Oxford University Press; 2015;31:3476–82.
61. Nikolenko SI, Korobeynikov AI, Alekseyev MA. BayesHammer: Bayesian clustering for error correction in single-cell sequencing. BMC Genomics. BioMed Central; 2013. p. S7.
62. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet J. 2011;17:10–2.
63. Edgar RC. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat Methods. Nature Publishing Group; 2013;10:996.
64. Bengtsson □Palme J, Hartmann M, Eriksson KM, Pal C, Thorell K, Larsson DGJ, et al. METAXA2: improved identification and taxonomic classification of small and large subunit rRNA in metagenomic data. Mol Ecol Resour. Wiley Online Library; 2015;15:1403–14.
65. Bengtsson □Palme J, Ryberg M, Hartmann M, Branco S, Wang Z, Godhe A, et al. Improved software detection and extraction of ITS1 and ITS2 from ribosomal ITS sequences of fungi and other eukaryotes for analysis of environmental sequencing data. Methods Ecol Evol. Wiley Online Library; 2013;4:914–9.
66. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res. Oxford University Press; 2012;41:D590–6.
67. Benson DA, Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res. Oxford University Press; 2015;43:D30.
68. Abarenkov K, Henrik Nilsson R, Larsson K, Alexander IJ, Eberhardt U, Erland S, et al. The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi—recent updates and future perspectives. New Phytol. Wiley Online Library; 2010;186:281–5.
69. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2013;8:e61217.
70. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. arXiv Prepr arXiv14065823. 2014;
71. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, et al. vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.4–4. 2017. 2018.
72. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. Oxford University Press; 2010;26:139–40.
73. Hartman K, van der Heijden MGA, Wittwer RA, Banerjee S, Walser J-C, Schlaeppi K. Cropping practices manipulate abundance patterns of root and soil microbiome members paving the way to smart farming. Microbiome. BioMed Central; 2018;6:14.
74. Rime T, Hartmann M, Brunner I, Widmer F, Zeyer J, Frey B. Vertical distribution of the soil microbiota along a successional gradient in a glacier forefield. Mol Ecol. Wiley Online

647 Library; 2015;24:1091–108.

648 75. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
649 RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol. BioMed Central*; 2014;15:550.

650 76. Cáceres M De, Legendre P. Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and
651 statistical inference. *Ecology. Wiley Online Library*; 2009;90:3566–74.

652 77. Cáceres M De, Legendre P, Moretti M. Improving indicator species analysis by
653 combining groups of sites. *Oikos. Wiley Online Library*; 2010;119:1674–84.

654 78. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found Stat
655 Comput Vienna, Austria [Internet]. 2018; Available from: <https://www.r-project.org/>.

656

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Alpha diversity of the prokaryotic and fungal communities, measured as Observed Richness (S_{obs}). Linear models were performed on rarefied community data, with precipitation (P), tree species (T) and their interaction (P x T) as fixed effects and site as a random factor. Significant terms ($P < 0.1$) are shown in bold.

<i>Observed richness</i>	Prokaryotic communities				Fungal communities		
	DF	ΔAIC	Chi-Sq	<i>P</i> -value	ΔAIC	Chi-Sq	<i>P</i> -value
Precipitation (P)	4,5	1.59	3.59	0.058	-1.71	0.29	0.589
Tree species (T)	4,5	-1.89	0.11	0.736	5.44	7.44	0.006
P x T	5,6	-1.75	0.25	0.617	-1.14	0.86	0.354

Table 2. Beta diversity of prokaryotic and fungal communities. PERMANOVAs were performed on *edgeR* normalized data, with precipitation (P) and tree species (T) and their interactions as fixed factors. All models were run with 9999 permutations and site included in strata to correct for site effects. Significant terms ($P < 0.1$) are shown in bold.

	Prokaryotic communities						Fungal communities					
Terms	DF	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F _{model}	R ²	P value	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F _{model}	R ²	P value	
Precipitation (P)	1	0.57	0.57	3.69	0.07	0.08	0.79	0.79	2.52	0.05	<0.001	
Tree species (T)	1	0.11	0.11	0.70	0.01	0.20	0.44	0.44	1.40	0.03	<0.001	
P x T	1	0.16	0.16	1.06	0.02	0.05	0.41	0.41	1.31	0.03	<0.001	
Residuals	44	6.81	0.15				13.77	0.31				

668 **Table 3.** Non-metric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (NMDS) of prokaryotic and fungal
669 communities. Significant correlations between measured soil properties and NMDS are
670 shown in bold and ordered by decreasing explanatory power, measured as R^2 . Soil properties
671 significantly explaining variation in both prokaryotic and fungal communities are shown in
672 italics. Significant terms ($P < 0.1$) are shown in bold.

Vectors	Prokaryotic communities				Fungal communities			
	NMDS1	NMDS2	R^2	P value	NMDS1	NMDS2	R^2	P value
<i>Calcium</i>	-0.98	0.18	0.57	0.001	0.52	0.85	0.60	0.001
<i>pH</i>	-1.00	0.10	0.50	0.001	0.49	0.87	0.53	0.001
<i>Nitrate (NO₃⁻)</i>	-0.80	-0.60	0.24	0.004	0.86	0.52	0.45	0.001
<i>Potassium (K)</i>	-0.93	-0.36	0.19	0.011	0.47	0.88	0.23	0.004
Texture (% sand)	0.55	0.83	0.29	0.001	-0.99	0.17	0.07	0.191
Magnesium (Mg)	-0.98	-0.18	0.03	0.445	-0.59	0.81	0.23	0.006
<i>Phosphorus (P)</i>	0.65	-0.76	0.10	0.076	0.60	0.80	0.12	0.043
SOM	0.99	-0.14	0.06	0.252	-0.01	1.00	0.15	0.023
Ammonium (NH₄⁺)	0.89	-0.46	0.19	0.008	0.72	0.70	0.02	0.665

Figure legends

Figure 1. Diversity of microbial communities under *Quercus ilex* trees (triangles) and *Quercus suber* trees (circles) along a precipitation gradient. Alpha diversity (a, b) measured as observed richness of rarefied a) prokaryotic and b) fungal OTUs. Beta diversity (c, d) measured as Euclidean distance in principle coordinate between a sample and the group centroid for c) prokaryotic and d) fungal communities. Dissimilarity indices were calculated using Bray-Curtis distances of relative abundance per sample.

Figure 2. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) based on the Bray-Curtis distances. Community structure was based on the squared-root relative abundances of (a) prokaryotic OTUs and (b) fungal OTUs, along the precipitation gradient (indicated by the different colors) and between *Quercus ilex* trees (triangles) and *Quercus suber* trees (circles). Stress values indicate the goodness of fit. Vectors indicate the strength and direction of significant environmental variables ($P < 0.01$).

Figure 3. The prokaryotic (a) and fungal (b) frequency distribution of OTUs over the samples, where each OTU is assigned to the number of samples it occurs in. Taxa occurring in all samples ('ubiquitous taxa') are shown in gray, whereas most OTUs only occurred in fewer than 10 samples. Total number of OTUs per domain is indicated in brackets behind the title.

Figure 4. Tree-specific prokaryotic (a) and fungal (b) taxa, grouped by order (prokaryotes) and genus (fungi) and colored by phyla (legends). Log₂fold change values indicate the strength and direction of the association to *Quercus ilex* (<0) and *Quercus suber* (>0). Significance ($P < 0.01$) based on FDR corrected likelihood-ratio tests. Legends show phyla sorted by log₂fold change abundance, with OTU richness per phyla given in brackets. Number of host-specific taxa per domain is indicated in brackets behind the title.

Figure 5. Proportion of locally-specific taxa for prokaryotes (filled circles) and fungi (open circles) along the precipitation gradient. More local indicator taxa were found in drier than in wetter areas. The trend line corresponds to the linear model including both community types ($F_{1,8} = 8.26$, $R^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.32$, $P = 0.021$). Separate linear model for prokaryotes ($F_{1,4} = 7.37$, $R^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.56$, $P = 0.053$) and for fungi ($F_{1,4} = 2.27$, $R^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.20$, $P = 0.20$) respectively.

Fig. 1

Figure 1. Diversity of microbial communities under *Quercus ilex* trees (triangles) and *Quercus suber* trees (circles) along a precipitation gradient. Alpha diversity (a, b) measured as observed richness of rarefied a) prokaryotic and b) fungal OTUs. Beta diversity (c, d) measured as Euclidean distance in principle coordinate between a sample and the group centroid for c) prokaryotic and d) fungal communities. Dissimilarity indices were calculated using Bray-Curtis distances of relative abundance per sample.

Fig. 2

Figure 2. Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) based on the Bray-Curtis distances. Community structure was based on the squared-root relative abundances of (a) prokaryotic OTUs and (b) fungal OTUs, along the precipitation gradient (indicated by the different colors) and between *Quercus ilex* trees (triangles) and *Quercus suber* trees (circles). Stress values indicate the goodness of fit. Vectors indicate the strength and direction of significant environmental variables ($P < 0.01$).

720 Fig.

3

721

722 **Figure 3.** The prokaryotic (a) and fungal (b) frequency distribution of unique OTUs over the
723 samples, where each OTU is assigned to the number of samples it occurs in. Taxa occurring
724 in all samples ('ubiquitous taxa') are shown in gray, whereas most OTUs only occurred in
725 fewer than 10 samples. Total number of OTUs per domain is indicated in brackets behind the
726 title.

727

728

729 Fig. 4

Figure 4. Tree-specific prokaryotic (a) and fungal (b) taxa, grouped by order (prokaryotes) and genus (fungi) and colored by phyla (legends). Log₂fold change values indicate the strength and direction of the association to *Quercus ilex* (<0) and *Quercus suber* (>0). Significance ($P < 0.01$) based on FDR corrected likelihood-ratio tests. Legends show phyla sorted by log₂fold change abundance, with OTU richness per phyla given in brackets. Number of host-specific taxa per domain is indicated in brackets behind the title.

Fig. 5

Figure 5. Proportion of locally-specific taxa for prokaryotes (filled circles) and fungi (open circles) along the precipitation gradient. More local indicator taxa were found in drier than in wetter areas. The trend line corresponds to the linear model including both community types ($F_{1,8} = 8.26$, $R^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.32$, $P = 0.021$). Separate linear model for prokaryotes ($F_{1,4} = 7.37$, $R^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.56$, $P = 0.053$) and for fungi ($F_{1,4} = 2.27$, $R^2_{\text{adj}} = 0.20$, $P = 0.20$), respectively.