Ozone nanobubble treatment effectively reduced pathogenic Gram positive and negative bacteria in freshwater and safe for tilapia

Chayuda Jhunkeaw^{1†}, Nareerat Khongcharoen^{1†}, Naruporn Rungrueng^{1†}, Pattiya Sangpo¹, Wattana Panphut¹, Anat Thapinta¹, Saengchan Senapin^{2, 3}, Sophie St-Hilaire⁴, Ha Thanh Dong^{1*}

¹Faculty of Science and Technology, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Bangkok, 10300, Thailand

²Fish Health Platform, Center of Excellence for Shrimp Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (Centex Shrimp), Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok, 10400, Thailand

³National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (BIOTEC), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA), Pathum Thani, 12120, Thailand

⁴Department of Infectious Diseases and Public Health, Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong

Running title: NB-O₃ reduces pathogenic bacteria in water

†Contribute equally

*Corresponding author: HT Dong (hathanh.do@ssru.ac.th)

Abstract

High concentrations of pathogenic bacteria in water usually results in outbreaks of bacterial diseases in farmed fish. Here, we explored the potential application of an emerging nanobubble technology in freshwater aquaculture. Specifically, we aimed to determine if this technology was effective at reducing the concentration of pathogenic bacteria in the water, and to assess whether it was safe for fish. An ozone nanobubble (NB-O₃) treatment protocol was established based on examination of nanobubble size, concentration, disinfection property, and impact on fish health. A 10-min treatment with NB-O₃ in 50 L water generated approximately $2\text{-}3 \times 10^7$ bubbles with majority sizes less than 130 nm and ozone level of ~800 mV ORP. A single treatment with water contaminated with either *Streptococcus agalactiae* or *Aeromonas veronii* effectively reduced 96.11-97.92 % of the bacterial load. This same protocol was repeated 3 times with 99.93-99.99 % reduction in the bacterial concentration. In comparison, bacterial concentration the control tanks remained the same level during the experiments. In fish-cultured water with the presence of organic matter (e.g. mucus, feces, bacterial flora, feed, etc.), the disinfection property of NB-O₃ was reduced i.e bacterial concentration was reduced by 42.94 %, 84.94 % and 99.27 % after the first, second and third treatments, respectively. To evaluate the safety of NB-O₃ to fish, juvenile Nile tilapia were exposed to NB-O₃ treatment for 10 minutes. No mortality was observed during the treatment or 48 h post treatment. Gill histology examination revealed that a single NB-O₃ treatment caused no alteration morphology. However, damage in the gill filaments was noticed in the fish receiving two or three consecutive exposures within the same day. Results of all the experiments conducted in this study suggest that NB-O₃ technology is promising for controlling pathogenic bacteria in aquaculture systems, and may be useful at reducing the risk of bacterial disease outbreaks in farmed fish.

Keywords: bacterial load, disinfection, NB-O₃, ozone nanobubble, tilapia

Introduction

The aquaculture sector has played a vital role in global food security. It supplies protein for approximately 4.5 billion peoples and employs 19.3 million people worldwide (Béné et al., 2015; FAO, 2018). Similar to other food sectors, aquaculture has faced increasing challenges with infectious diseases. Control of these diseases has led to an increase in the use of antimicrobials (Watts et al., 2017; World Bank, 2014). Of particular importance to public health has been the increase in antimicrobial resistance (AMR). Alternatives for these products to control bacterial infections in all food production sectors have increased over the last few years (Reverter et al., 2020; Watts et al., 2017). In the aquaculture sector, previous and current approaches focus mainly on antibacterial compounds derived from natural products, probiotics, immunostimulants, and vaccines for prevention strategies (Reverter et al., 2020; Watts et al., 2017).

Other prevention strategies, usually used in closed recirculating systems to reduce the bacterial concentration that fish are exposed to, include water treatments with UV or Ozone. Both of these treatments have issues for the aquaculture industry. UV requires that water be very clean when it is exposed to the light source, which renders it less than ideal in pond culture. Ozone has a low dissolution property, rapid decomposition in water and can be lethal to fish (Huyben et al., 2018; Xia et al., 2019). More effective non-chemical water treatment technology is needed to improve water quality for aquaculture systems such as intensive pond culture systems.

Nanobubble technology is an emerging technology for wastewater treatment (Agarwal et al., 2011; Yamasaki et al., 2005) and recently being applied in aquaculture for the increasing concentration of dissolved oxygen in intensive aquaculture systems (Agarwal et al., 2011; Anzai et al., 2019; Mahasri et al., 2018; Rahmawati et al., 2020). This technology involves the injection of nano or ultrafine bubbles with a chosen gas into water (Agarwal et al., 2011; Anzai et al., 2019). Unlike macro- and microbubbles, these nanobubbles with a diameter less than 200 nm, have neutral buoyancy, thus remain in water for days (Agarwal et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2007).

Kurita et al. (2017) investigated the effect of exposing parasitic planktonic crustaceans to nanobubbles created from ozone (NB-O₃). They reported that a 25 min treatment with NB-O₃ successfully reduced 63% of the parasites compared to the untreated group. Most importantly, this treatment condition was safe for both sea cucumbers (*Apostichopus japonicas*) and sea urchins (*Strongylocentrotus intermedius*), which are commonly infected with these pathogenic crustaceans

in Japanese aquaculture systems. In another study, Imaizumi et al. (2018) reported that NB-O₃ could be used for disinfection of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, a unique strain causing early mortality syndrome/acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (EMS/AHPND) in whiteleg shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*). However, in their study NB-O₃ showed a negative effect on shrimp when administered at a high level (970 mV ORP). When the NB-O₃ treated water was diluted by 50% and the results revealed that all shrimp exposing to pathogenic *V. parahaemolyticus* survived from the bacterial infection, while all shrimp died in the group without the NB-O₃ treatment (Imaizumi et al., 2018). Preliminary results of NB-O₃ in marine aquaculture is promising. The impact of nanobubbles in water of different salinity suggests that this technology may be even more effective in fresh water (Li et al., 2013). However, there is a lack of studies on its effect on fresh water fish and their pathogens. This study aims at the assess whether NB-O₃ can be used on fresh water fish pathogens and is safe for tilapia.

Materials and Methods

Concentration and size of nanobubbles

Two trials were carried out separately using the nanobubble generator (model: aQua+075MO; maker: AquaPro Solutions Pte Ltd, Singapore) to determine the size of the air and oxygen nanobubbles. The generator was operated in 100 L-fiberglass tanks containing 50 L distilled water for 30 min, with either natural air or oxygen gas with a flow rate of 1 L/min. 50 mL of water was sampled from each tank at 10, 15, 20, and 30 min. Water samples prior to the addition of nanobubbles were used as baseline standards. The concentration and size of nanobubbles were determined by NanoSight NS300 (Malvern Panalytical Ltd) with three replicates for each sample. Ozone nanobubble measurement was not done due to its oxidation effect on the NanoSight machine.

Effect of ozone nanobubbles (NB-O₃) treatment on water parameters

The experiment was performed in two separate tanks to evaluate the effect of NB-O₃ on water parameters. Each tank contained 50 L of de-chlorinated tap water. Nanobubble generator was operated for 10 min in each tank. The temperature in degree Celsius (T°), dissolved oxygen (DO), pH and oxidation reduction potential (ORP) were measured using a multi-parameter meter (YSI

Professional Plus) every 1-2 min during 10 min-run and 15 min after stopping the nanobubble generator.

Bacterial isolates and growth conditions

The Gram-positive bacterium *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolated from a tilapia farm which was experiencing an outbreak of Streptococcosis, and Gram negative bacterium *Aeromonas veronii* associated with hemorrhagic septicemia in tilapia (Dong et al., 2017) were used in this study. Prior to experiments, the bacterial isolates were recovered from bacterial stocks stored at -80 °C using tryptic soy agar (TSA) medium, incubated at 30 °C. To prepare bacterial inoculum, single bacterial colonies were inoculated in 10 mL of tryptic soy broth (TSB) overnight at 30 °C on a shaker platform (150 rpm). Five mL of bacterial culture was then sub-cultured in 500 mL of TSB, incubated with gentle shaking (150 rpm) at 30 °C until OD₆₀₀ reached 0.8 (equivalent to ~10⁸ CFU/mL). For subsequent trials, 100 mL of the bacterial culture was added into a tank containing 50 L de-chlorinated tap water.

Effect of treatment time on disinfection property of NB-O₃

An initial trial was carried out to investigate the effect of treatment time on the disinfection property of NB-O₃. *S. agalactiae* was used as a representative bacterium in this time-course trial. The experiment was performed in two 100 L fiberglass tanks containing 50 L of de-chlorinated tap water each mixed with 100 mL bacterial culture (OD₆₀₀ = 0.8). One tank was treated with NB-O₃ while another tank was served as a control without NB-O₃. Water was sampled from the four corners and the center of the tank (1 mL per spot). The samples were pooled together for conventional plate count enumeration at different time points. Samples were collect prior to inoculation (0 min), during treatment (5, 10 and 15 min) and after treatment (5, 10, and 15 min). The samples were 10 fold-serially diluted with sterile saline solution (NaCl 0.85%) and 100 µL of each dilution was spread on TSA in duplicates, incubated at 30 °C for 36 h. Dilutions with a number of colonies ranging from 30-300 were used for counting and mean bacterial colonies of two replicate plates were calculated and expressed as CFU/mL. The percentage of bacterial reduction was calculated based on the formula below.

$$\% \text{ reduction} = \left(\frac{\text{Mean bacterial } \frac{\text{CFU}}{\text{mL}} \text{ before treatment} - \text{Mean bacterial } \frac{\text{CFU}}{\text{mL}} \text{ after treatment}}{\text{Mean bacterial } \frac{\text{CFU}}{\text{mL}} \text{ before treatment}} \right) \times 100$$

We compared the reduction in bacterial concentration in the tank exposed to ozone and the control tank for differences.

Effect of NB-O₃ on pathogenic Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria

To evaluate the effect of NB-O₃ on bacterial pathogens of tilapia, *S. agalactiae* and *A. veronii* were used as representative Gram positive and Gram-negative bacteria, respectively. Each set of experiment comprised of 1 control tank (having normal aerator) and 3 treatment tanks (10 min-treating with NB-O₃ 1 to 3 times at 15 min intervals). Each tank containing 50 L de-chlorinated tap water was mixed with 100 mL of bacterial suspension (OD₆₀₀ = 0.8) as described above. Water was sampled from control and treatment tanks before (0 min) and 15 min after the end of each treatment to establish the bacterial concentration and the percentage of bacterial reduction. Temperature, pH, DO and ORP were also recorded during the experiment.

To investigate the ultrastructure of bacteria before and after treatment with NB-O₃, two experimental tanks were set up in the same manner as the aforementioned treatment tanks, one tank contained *S. agalactiae* and the other contained *A. veronii*. Each tank was treated with NB-O₃ for 10 min. Water (200 ml) was collected and concentrated to a 0.5 mL suspension before and 15 minutes after the NB-O₃ treatment. The bacterial suspension was smeared on coverslips coated with Poly-L-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich) and air-dried for 3 hrs. The samples were subsequently fixed with glutaraldehyde 2.5% and 1% osmium tetroxide before dehydration with ethanol as described by Thanomsub et al. (2002). The ultrastructure of the bacteria was examined and photographed under a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (SU8000, Japan) operated at 10 kV.

Effect of NB-O₃ treatment on total bacteria in fish-culture water

Investigation of the disinfection property of NB-O₃ was also evaluated using “culture” water (water from the fish-culture tanks which contained organic matter e.g. fish feces, mucus, left over feed and unknown aquatic bacterial flora). Fish-culture water was taken from tanks containing juvenile Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). A trial using three 10 min- NB-O₃ exposure times administered 15 minutes apart was applied in to three fiberglass tanks containing 50 L fish-cultured water each. Water sampling scheme for total bacterial counts was conducted before and 15 min after the end of each treatment. Water temperature, pH, DO and ORP were monitored.

Effect of NB-O₃ on fish health and gill morphology

Animal use protocol in this study was granted by the Thai Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (MUSC62-039-503). To investigate whether NB-O₃ treatment had negative effects on gill morphology and fish life, we carried out a trial which included 2 control and 2 treatment tanks, each tank containing 20 apparently healthy *O. niloticus* juveniles of 6-8 g body weight. The 100 L fiberglass tanks had 50 L of de-chlorinated tap water. For the treatment tanks, NB-O₃ was applied at 15 minute intervals 3 times for 10 minutes. The control tanks were treated with normal aeration. Two fish from each tank were randomly sampled after every treatment for wet-mount examination and histological study of the gills and the remaining fish were monitored for 48 h. For histological analysis, gill arches from one side of each fish were preserved in 10% neutral buffer formalin with a ratio of 1 sample/10 fixative (v/v) for 24 h before being placed in 70% ethanol for storage. The samples were then processed for routine histology and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Gill morphology of the experimental fish was examined under a microscope equipped with a digital camera. We compared fish behavior, the gills of treated and untreated fish visually. Fish were also monitored for mortality over a period of 48 hours post treatment.

Results

Concentration and size of nanobubbles

The results of NanoSight readings from the air nanobubbles (NB-Air) (Fig. 1A) and the oxygen nanobubbles (NB-O₂) (Fig. 1B) were similar. Majority of nanobubbles (or particles) were less than 130 nm in size. The concentration of these bubbles after a 10 min treatment was of $2.39 \times 10^7 \pm 1.01 \times 10^7$ particles/mL for NB-Air and $3.03 \times 10^7 \pm 1.11 \times 10^6$ particles/mL for NB-O₂. Increasing treatment times (15, 20 and 30 min) generated larger bubbles with quantity in the same order of magnitude (Fig. 1). The result confirmed that the nanobubbler used in this study produced nanobubbles and 10 min operation in 50 L of water generated the most uniform nano-sizes. Thus, this scheme was also applied to generate ozone nanobubbles (NB-O₃).

Effect of NB-O₃ treatment on water parameters

Changes of water parameters (T°, DO, pH and ORP) during and after treatment with NB-O₃ were consistently similar between the two trials (Fig. 2). Significant changes were observed in DO and ORP values while T° increased slightly (~2°C) and pH remained relatively stable during and after NB-O₃ treatment. With respect to DO, the value increased rapidly reaching to 23-25 mg/L after 10

min treatment and reduced slowly to ~20 mg/L 15 min post treatment. By contrast, ORP increased quickly, reaching over 700 mV within 6 min and ~800 mV within 10 min and dropped back to the starting level (~300 mV) 15 min post treatment.

A 10-min NB-O₃ treatment reduced >90% bacterial loads in water

As shown in Fig. 3, similar bacterial loads (*S. agalactiae*) at the starting point were used in the control tank (1.17×10^6 /mL) and treatment tank (1.83×10^6 /mL). However, upon NB-O₃ treatment, bacterial density reduced quickly during exposure time in the treatment tank. The percentage drop in concentration in the treated group during the treatment at 5, 10 and 15 min were 62.30%, 97.76% and 99.40%, respectively, indicating that disinfection occurred rapidly during the treatment process. Bacterial concentration remained low 15 min after treatment. In contrast, bacterial concentration in the control tank remained stable at $\sim 10^6$ CFU/mL during the same time period (Fig. 3). With respect to water quality, changes were observed only in the treatment tank. DO increased from 6.2 mg/L (before treatment) to 21.8 mg/L (at 5 min), 25.8 mg/L (at 10 min) and 27.9 mg/L (at 15 min) and dropped to 23.3 mg/L at 15 min post treatment. Water temperature increased approximately 1 °C every 5 min of the treatment, from 26.5 °C (before treatment) to 29.2 °C (at 15 min) and remained at this temperature 15 min post treatment. Relatively no change was observed in pH (7.6-7.7) and ORP (293-306 mV) during the experiment.

NB-O₃ treatment effectively reduced both pathogenic Gram positive and negative bacteria

The trial with *S. agalactiae* started with similar bacterial loads; 1.17×10^6 CFU/mL in the control tank and 3.45×10^6 CFU/mL in treatment tanks (Fig. 4A). A single 10-min treatment with NB-O₃ effectively reduced 96.11% bacterial load in the tank. When the same protocol was repeated for the second and third time, 99.93% and 99.99% bacteria were inactivated, respectively. The bacterial concentration in the control tank (without the NB-O₃ treatment) was maintained at 10^6 CFU/mL (Fig. 4A). Similar patterns were also observed in the trials with the Gram negative bacterium *A. veronii*. Average initial bacterial counts of *A. veronii* for control and treatment tanks were 1.03×10^6 CFU/mL and 1.65×10^6 CFU/mL, respectively. Following the 1st, 2nd and 3rd NB-O₃ exposure, bacterial loads were reduced by 97.92, 99.99 and 99.99%, respectively (Fig. 4B). No significant changes in bacterial counts were observed in the control tank during the experiment (Fig. 4B). Changes in water quality were shown in Table 1. Temperature changes in the NB-O₃ treatment tanks were 1.9-2.6 °C after the 1st treatment, and 4.3-4.7 °C after 3rd treatment, whereas

pH values were relatively stable at 7.4 to 8.0. Notably, DO increased sharply (from 3.9-4.4 to 26.4-29.9 mg/L) and was maintained at this high level after every treatment, while ORP values did not increase as much as seen in the water study without bacteria (Fig. 2).

Ultrastructural examination of the bacterial surface by SEM revealed that the majority of bacterial cells (both *S. agalactiae* and *A. veronii*) were collapsed and destroyed after treatment with NB-O₃ for 10 min compared to the normal intact surface structure of bacteria before treatment (Fig. 5).

Effect of NB-O₃ treatment on total bacterial counts in fish-cultured water

In this trial, the bacterial load was compared before and after treatment. Before treatment, the total bacterial concentration in the fish-cultured water was $6.93 \times 10^5 \pm 7.81 \times 10^5$ CFU/mL (Fig. 6). After exposure to NB-O₃ for 10 min, 42.94% of the bacteria was inactivated. When the same protocol was repeated, 84.94% and 99.27% bacteria were reduced in these treatments (Fig. 6).

During the experiment, DO increased sharply from very low at the beginning 0.6 ± 0.1 mg/L to 27.7 ± 0.6 mg/L after the first 10 min treatment. The DO was 30.8 ± 7.7 mg/L after the second 10 min treatment, and 28.7 ± 7.6 mg/L after the third treatment. Water temperature was increased slightly from 26.7 ± 0.3 to 28.3 ± 0.4 , 29.8 ± 0.3 and 31.2 ± 0.2 °C after the 1st, 2nd and 3rd treatment, respectively. In contrast, pH and ORP were stable during the experiment (7.5-7.6 for pH, 210-250 mV for ORP).

Effect of NB-O₃ on fish health and gill morphology

No Fish died during the NB-O₃ treatments or up to 48 h post treatment when we stopped the experiment. However, abnormal signs were observed in the gills in all fish examined after receiving the second and third treatments. These signs included reddening at the base of the fins, erratic swimming, and the attachment of bubbles to the body surface. These bubbles disappeared after several minutes of fish movement.

The wet-mount examination of the gills revealed no significant difference between control and treatments at any of the treatment times (Fig. 7A-D). There were no gross clinical signs of gas bubble disease. H&E stained sections of the gills showed the normal structure of the gills in the first treatment group (Fig. 7F) compared to the control group (Fig. 7E). However, abnormal changes were observed in the fish exposed to the second treatment. Aggregates of basal cells at the base of the secondary lamellae were apparent with increasing severity corresponding to the

dose of ozone exposure (Fig. 7G, arrows). Gills in the third experiment had some loss of the secondary lamella (Fig. 7H, arrows) and infiltration of red blood cells (blood congestion) (Fig. 7H).

During the treatment, water parameter (T° , DO and pH) fluctuations were similar (Table 2) to the experiment with clean water spiked with *S. agalactiae* or *A. veronii* and NB-03 with the exception that tanks exposed to ozone had ORP levels of 860-885 mV after each 10 min treatment.

Discussion

Application of ozone gas using nanobubble technology is relatively new to aquaculture. A previous study reported the sterilization efficacy of NB- O_3 against pathogenic *V. parahaemolyticus*, a Gram negative bacteria causing disease in marine shrimp (Imaizumi et al., 2018). In this study, we first revealed that NB- O_3 has disinfection property against two common bacterial pathogens of freshwater farmed tilapia, *S. agalactiae* and *A. veronii*.

The disinfection effectiveness of NB- O_3 likely depended on the organic load in the water. In clean de-chlorinated tap water spiked with a known concentration of either *S. agalactiae* or *A. veronii*, a single treatment (10 min) with NB-03 could successfully reduce more than 96% of the bacteria. However, the same protocol applied to water that was taken from a tilapia-cultured tank, resulted in a reduction in the disinfection potential by roughly half. Ozone is known as a strong oxidizing agent (Powell et al., 2016; Summerfelt, 2003); thus, it was possible that organic matter (e.g. feces, mucus, etc.) in the dirty tank water competed for the oxidation potential of the NB-03 thus slowing down the speed of disinfection. This finding suggests that increased treatment time or increasing the frequency of treatments, as was evaluated in this study, may be required for water with abundant organic matter.

Interestingly, we also noticed that when bacteria (organic matter) was added to water, oxidation reaction potential (ORP) value did not increase as seen in the treatment with clean water that did not have bacteria. Similarly, ORP did not increase during treatment with the fish-cultured water (rich of organic matter). This indicated that the measurement of ORP as an indicator of O_3 level administered by the nanobubbler is not reliable in the presence of organic matter. It was probably due to the rapid oxidation and degradation of O_3 molecules when contacting organic matters. Therefore, to accurately measure ORP in NB- O_3 water, clean water without organic

matters is required. In clean water, ORP dropped relatively quick and returned to normal after we ceased to introduce NB-O₃ (Fig. 2), indicating that O₃ molecules might be unstable even in the form of nanobubbles. This is consistent with the high levels of DO maintained after treatment (Fig. 2), most likely derived from the degradation of O₃ into O₂ molecules (Batakliiev et al., 2014). If this is the case, the treatment of NB-O₃ in aquaculture farms could have dual benefits: disinfection of bacteria and improvement of DO.

In this study, extreme treatment conditions (repeating treatments 3 times at 15 minute intervals) was designed to evaluate the acute effect of NB-O₃ on the fish. Although multiple NB-O₃ treatments were not harmful to fish life, increased exposure caused damage to the fish gills. In fact, a single treatment with 10-min NB-O₃ is enough to effectively reduce bacterial loads in water, and it was safe for fish. If more than one 10-minute treatment of NB-O₃ was used there was some evidence of irritation to the gills. In reality, if this technology is applied in fish ponds, chances of contact between fish and NB-O₃ will inevitably be low. However, given the evidence of gill damage after 3 consecutive treatments more in-depth investigations are required prior to scaling up NB-O₃ technology for commercial applications.

One of the limitations of this study was the limited sample size with the experiments. Our tank numbers were limited by the number of nanobubble generators we had. Also we could not include a normal ozone air-stone treatment group due to the personnel safety issue in our laboratory. However, when we consider all the experiments together there is strong evidence to suggest that NB-O₃ technology is not only a promising disinfection method but also enriches dissolved oxygen in freshwater aquaculture and in low dose it is not harmful to the fish. As a disease prevention tool, NB-O₃ treatment might be a novel approach to controlling overgrowth of pathogenic bacteria in water, thus reducing the risk of bacterial diseases. This nonchemical disinfection technology may be a promising alternatives to antibiotics as a means of reducing antibiotic use in aquaculture, and possibly inadvertently reducing the risk of AMR. We are currently investigating the effect of NB-O₃ on fish immunity and stress response, microbiome, plankton profiles and growth performance.

303 **Acknowledgements**

304 This work was carried out with financial support from UK government – Department of Health
305 and Social Care (DHSC), Global AMR Innovation fund (GAMRIF) and the International
306 Development Research Center (IDRC), Ottawa, Canada.

307 **Disclaimers**

308 The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of IDRC or its Board of Governors.

309 **Credit Author Statement**

310 HTD, SSH: Conceptualization, Methodology. HTD, SS, SSH: Data curation, Writing- Original
311 draft preparation. CJ, NK, NP, PS: Visualization, Investigation. HTD, WP, AT: Supervision,
312 Validation. HTD, SS, SSH, WP, AT: Writing- Reviewing and Editing. HTD, SSH: Funding
313 acquisition.

Tables and Figures

Table 1: Comparative water parameters in control and NB-O₃ treatment groups with the presence of either *S. agalactiae* or *A. veronii* in the water

Parameter	Measurement time	<i>S. agalactiae</i>		<i>A. veronii</i>	
		Control	NB-O ₃ treatment	Control	NB-O ₃ treatment
T ⁰	Before treatment	26.9	27.2 ± 0.3	27.5	25.9 ± 0.8
	10 min (1 st)	26.9	29.8 ± 1.3	27.4	27.8 ± 0.6
	10 min (2 nd)	27.0	30.4 ± 0.2	27.3	29.3 ± 0.6
	10 min (3 rd)	27.0	31.5 ± 0.3	27.4	30.6 ± 0.5
DO	Before treatment	4.3	3.9 ± 0.5	4.7	4.4 ± 0.2
	10 min (1 st)	4.3	27.8 ± 1.6	4.6	30.3 ± 2.4
	10 min (2 nd)	4.2	26.9 ± 0.4	4.6	29.9 ± 0.1
	10 min (3 rd)	4.2	26.4 ± 0.6	4.5	29.5 ± 1.0
pH	Before treatment	7.8	7.6 ± 0.2	7.8	8.0 ± 0.1
	10 min (1 st)	7.8	7.5 ± 0.0	8.0	7.8 ± 0.1
	10 min (2 nd)	7.8	7.4 ± 0.0	7.9	7.7 ± 0.1
	10 min (3 rd)	7.8	7.4 ± 0.0	7.9	7.6 ± 0.0
ORP	Before treatment	325	290 ± 16	279	294 ± 6
	10 min (1 st)	314	281 ± 7	289	271 ± 8
	10 min (2 nd)	306	275 ± 4	261	270 ± 6
	10 min (3 rd)	304	273 ± 3	265	272 ± 4

T⁰, temperature in degree Celsius; DO, dissolved oxygen; ORP, oxidation reduction potential.

Values in the NB-O₃ treatment are expressed as mean ± SD from 3 replicates.

Table 2: Water parameter fluctuation in fish tanks with and without and NB-O₃ treatment.

Parameter	Measurement time	Control	NB-O ₃ treatment
T ⁰	Before treatment	28.7 ± 0.1	28.8 ± 0.0
	10 min (1 st)	ND	29.6 ± 0.5
	10 min (2 nd)	ND	30.7 ± 0.4
	10 min (3 rd)	26.7 ± 0.1	31.6 ± 0.3
DO	Before treatment	4.9 ± 0.1	4.6 ± 0.1
	10 min (1 st)	ND	28.2 ± 0.1
	10 min (2 nd)	ND	28.5 ± 0.6
	10 min (3 rd)	5.1 ± 0.0	26.9 ± 0.2
pH	Before treatment	8.0 ± 0.0	8.0 ± 0.0
	10 min (1 st)	ND	7.6 ± 0.1
	10 min (2 nd)	ND	7.6 ± 0.1
	10 min (3 rd)	7.15 ± 0.2	7.3 ± 0.0
ORP*	Before treatment	314 ± 13	337 ± 6
	10 min (1 st)	ND	860 ± 42
	10 min (2 nd)	ND	875 ± 18
	10 min (3 rd)	313 ± 12	885 ± 15

T^o, temperature in degree Celsius; DO, dissolved oxygen; ORP, oxidation reduction potential; ND, not done. Values are expressed as mean ± SD from 3 replicates. *ORP dropped to normal (~330 mV) after 15 min of every treatment time.

Figure 1: Concentration and size of bubbles generated using air (A) or oxygen (B) following treatment for 10, 15, 20 and 30 min. Peaks represent the concentration of dominant bubbles with similar sizes and blue numbers indicate the bubble sizes. Total concentrations of bubbles are shown at the bottom of each graph. Values were calculated from 3 replicate experiments.

Figure 2: Water parameters (temperature, pH, DO and ORP) during 10 min treatment and 15 min after exposure to ozone nanobubbles. The experiment was carried out in 2 replicates.

Figure 3: Number of *S. agalactiae* colony counts from the water with and without NB-O₃ exposure (treatment and control group, respectively). NB-O₃ treatment was performed for 15 min and stopped for 15 min. The water sample was collected from both the control and treatment groups every 5 min for plate count. Arrows indicated significant % reduction of bacterial counts compared to the starting point of the NB-O₃ treatment group

(A) Effect of NB-O₃ on *S. agalactiae*

(B) Effect of NB-O₃ on *A. veronii*

Figure 4: Bacterial counts of *S. agalactiae* (A) and *A. veronii* (B) upon exposure to NB-O₃ 10 min three times continuously (orange lines) compared to that of the control water without NB-O₃ (blue lines). Arrows indicated % reduction of bacterial loads compared to the starting bacterial concentration. Bars represent standard deviation from 3 replicates.

Figure 5: Scanning electron micrographs of *S. agalactiae* (A-C) and *A. veronii* (D-F) before and after treatment with NB-O₃ for 10 min. Bacterial morphology was normal before treatment while cell destruction was observed after treatment with NB-O₃. Scale bar, 1 μm.

346

347 **Figure 6:** Total bacterial counts from fish-cultured water upon exposure to NB-O₃ 10 min three
348 times continuously. Arrows indicated % reduction of bacterial loads compared to the starting
349 bacterial concentration. Bars represent standard deviation from 3 replicates.

Figure 7: Photomicrographs of wet-mount (A-D) and H&E stained sections (E-H) of the gills of tilapia from control and NB-O₃ treatment. No significant difference in gill morphology by wet-mount between control (A) and treatment (B-D) groups. H&E staining revealed the normal structure of the gill filaments in both control (E) and the first treatment with NB-O₃ (F). Slight damage and shrunken of the basal lamellae (arrows) were observed in the fish received second exposure (G) and increasing damage of the gill filaments, loss of some secondary lamella (arrows) and severe blood congestion in the secondary lamellae were observed in the fish received the third exposure (H).

References

- Agarwal, A., Ng, W.J., Liu, Y., 2011. Principle and applications of microbubble and nanobubble technology for water treatment. *Chemosphere*. 84, 1175-1180.
- Anzai, S., Yokohama-shi., Kanagawa., 2019. Ultrafine bubble generation device for aquaculture or wastewater treatment. United States. Patent No. US 2019 / 0262783 A1.
- Batakliiev, T., Georgiev, V., Anachkov, M., Rakovsky, S., Zaikov, G.E., 2014. Ozone decomposition. *Interdiscip Toxicol*. 7, 47-59.
- Béné, C., Barange, M., Subasinghe, R., Andersen, P.P., Merino, G., Hemre, G.I., Williams, M., 2015. Feeding 9 billion by 2050 – Putting fish back on the menu. *Food Sec*. 7, 261–274.
- Dong, H.T., Techatanakitarnan, C., Jindakittikul, P., Thaiprayoon, A., Taengphu, S., Charoensapsri, W., Khunrae, P., Rattanarojpong, T., Senapin, S., 2017. *Aeromonas jandaei* and *Aeromonas veronii* caused disease and mortality in Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *J Fish Dis*. 40, 1395-1403.
- FAO, 2018. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. The state of world fisheries and Aquaculture 2018- Meeting the sustainable development goals. Rome. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- Huyben, D., Bevan, D., Stevenson, R., Zhou, H., Moccia, R., 2018. Evaluation of membrane filtration and UV irradiation to control bacterial loads in recirculation aquaculture systems. *Aquacult Int*. 26, 1531–1540.
- Imaizumi, K., Tinwongger, S., Kondo, H., Hirono, I., 2018. Disinfection of an EMS/AHPND strain of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* using ozone nanobubbles. *J Fish Dis*. 41, 725-727.
- Kurita, Y., Chiba, I., Kijima, A., 2017. Physical eradication of small planktonic crustaceans from aquaculture tanks with cavitation treatment. *Aquaculture International*. 25, 2127– 2133.
- Li, H., Hu, L., Xia, Z., 2013. Impact of Groundwater Salinity on Bioremediation Enhanced by Micro-Nano Bubbles. *Materials (Basel)*. 6, 3676-3687.
- Mahasri, G., Saskia, A., Apandi, P.S., Dewi, N.N., Rozi., N.M., U., 2018. Development of an aquaculture system using nanobubble technology for the optimization of dissolved oxygen in culture media for nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci*. 137, 012046.
- Powell, A., Scolding, J.W.S., 2016. Direct application of ozone in aquaculture systems. *Rev Aquacult*. 10, 424-438.
- Rahmawati, A.I., Saputra, R.N., Hidayatullah, A., Dwiarto, A., Junaedi, H., Cahyadi, D., Saputra, H.K.H., Prabowo, W.T., Kartamiharja, U.K.A., Shafira, H., Noviyanto, A., Rochman, N.T., 2020. Enhancement of *Penaeus vannamei* shrimp growth using nanobubble in indoor raceway pond. *Aquaculture and Fisheries*. In Press.
- Reverter, M., Sarter, S., Caruso, D., Avarre, J.C., Combe, M., Pepey, E., Pouyaud, L., Heredia, S.H., de Verdal, H., Gozlan, R.E., 2020. Aquaculture at the crossroads of global warming and antimicrobial resistance. *Nat Commun* 11, 1870.

397 Summerfelt, S.T., 2003. Ozonation and UV irradiation - an introduction and examples of current
398 applications. *Aquacult Eng.* 28, 21-36.

399 Takahashi, M., Chiba, K., Li, P., 2007. Free-radical generation from collapsing microbubbles in
400 the absence of a dynamic stimulus. *J Phys Chem B.* 111, 1343-1347.

401 Thanomsub, B., Anupunpisit, V., Chanphetch, S., Watcharachaipong, T., Poonkhum, R.,
402 Srisukonth, C., 2002. Effects of ozone treatment on cell growth and ultrastructural changes
403 in bacteria. *J Gen Appl Microbiol.* 48, 193–199.

404 Watts, J.E.M., Schreier, H.J., Lanska, L., Hale, M.S., 2017. The Rising Tide of Antimicrobial
405 Resistance in Aquaculture: Sources, Sinks and Solutions. *Mar Drugs.* 15.

406 World Bank, 2014. Reducing disease risks in aquaculture. World Bank Report #88257-GLB.

407 Xia, Z., Hu, L., 2019. Treatment of organics contaminated wastewater by ozone micro-nano-
408 bubbles. *Water.* 11, 55.

409 Yamasaki, K., Sakata, K., Chuhjoh, K., 2005. Water treatment method and water treatment system.
410 United States Patent No. US 7662288 B2