SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein undergoes liquid-liquid phase separation stimulated by RNA and partitions into phases of human ribonucleoproteins.

Theodora Myrto Perdikari^{*1}, Anastasia C. Murthy^{*2}, Veronica H. Ryan^{*3}, Scott Watters^{*4}, Mandar T. Naik⁴, Nicolas L. Fawzi^{4,5}

¹Center for Biomedical Engineering

²Molecular Biology, Cell Biology & Biochemistry Graduate Program

³Neuroscience Graduate Program

⁴Department of Molecular Pharmacology, Physiology, and Biotechnology

⁵Robert J. and Nancy D. Carney Institute for Brain Science
Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

*These authors contributed equally

Correspondence: nicolas_fawzi@brown.edu

Abstract

Tightly packed complexes of nucleocapsid protein and genomic RNA form the core of viruses and may assemble within viral factories, dynamic compartments formed within the host cells. Here, we examine the possibility that the multivalent RNA-binding nucleocapsid protein (N) from the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) compacts RNA via protein-RNA liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) and that N interactions with host RNA-binding proteins are mediated by phase separation. To this end, we created a construct expressing recombinant N fused to a N-terminal maltose binding protein tag which helps keep the oligomeric N soluble for purification. Using *in vitro* phase separation assays, we find that N is assembly-prone and phase separates avidly. Phase separation is modulated by addition of RNA and changes in pH and is disfavored at high concentrations of salt. Furthermore, N enters into *in vitro* phase separated condensates of full-length human hnRNPs (TDP-43, FUS, and hnRNPA2) and their low complexity domains (LCs). However, N partitioning into the

LC of FUS, but not TDP-43 or hnRNPA2, requires cleavage of the solubilizing MBP fusion. Hence, LLPS may be an essential mechanism used for SARS-CoV-2 and other RNA viral genome packing and host protein co-opting, functions necessary for viral replication and hence infectivity.

Introduction

The spread of the highly infectious severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is responsible for the ongoing global pandemic of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)¹. The novel SARS-CoV-2 is an enveloped, nonsegmented, positive-sense, single stranded ~30 kb RNA virus of the family *Coronaviridae*². This family includes the related SARS-CoV³ and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)⁴ coronaviruses, which have both caused previous outbreaks of pneumonia. Like all coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-2 forms a virion including its genomic RNA (gRNA) packaged in a particle comprised of four structural proteins – the crown-like spike (S) glycoprotein that binds to human ACE2 receptor to mediate the entry of the virus in the host cell^{5,6}, the membrane (M) protein that facilitates viral assembly in the endoplasmic reticulum, the ion channel envelope (E) protein, and the nucleocapsid protein (N) that assembles with viral RNA to form a helical ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex called the nucleocapsid^{7,8}. Though many current therapeutic efforts have focused on disrupting viral attachment to host cells⁹ and preventing viral protease function¹⁰, the molecular mechanisms that underlie the assembly of the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid through the binding of nucleoprotein to RNA are poorly understood and therefore have remained an uninvestigated target to inhibit viral replication.

Nucleocapsid protein of SARS-CoV-2 is a 46 kDa multivalent RNA-binding protein which is predicted to have 40% of its primary sequence remaining intrinsically disordered in addition to the two known folded domains. N has a folded N-terminal domain that participates in RNA-binding domain (NTD) preceded by a 44-amino acid N-terminal disordered region (N_{IDR}) and followed by a serine/arginine-rich 73-amino acid linker (LKR_{IDR}) (**Figure 1A**). The flexible linker is adjacent to the folded dimerization domain (CTD) followed by the 52-amino C-terminal disordered tail region (C_{IDR}) rich in lysine and glutamine (**Figure 1B**). Previous studies of SARS-CoV-1 N (91% sequence identity) have shown that both the NTD, the CTD and the disordered regions can bind RNA cooperatively to promote RNP packaging¹¹ and chaperoning¹². The structural details of these protein-RNA interactions are beginning to come into focus. A recent solution NMR structure of SARS-CoV-2 NTD-RNA complex suggested a right hand-like fold and highlights the role of arginine motifs and electrostatic interactions¹³. Furthermore, superimposition of the unbound state with previously solved coronavirus nucleoproteins bound to RNA revealed some potential protein-RNA recognition interactions involving Arg89, Tyr110 and Tyr112 in nitrogenous base binding¹⁴. The role of NTD in viral genome packaging has been investigated in HCoV-OC43 N-NTD¹⁵ and mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) N-NTD which organizes gRNA via specific interactions with a packaging signal (PS) located 20.3 kb from the 5' end of gRNA¹⁶. Importantly, the higher-order assembly of coronavirus N proteins has also begun to be examined. The CTD dimerization domain of SARS-CoV has been suggested to organize into an octamer stabilized via electrostatic interactions enhanced by phosphorylation¹⁷ to promote superhelical packaging of viral RNA¹⁸.

In recent years, liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) has emerged as a common cellular process to organize biological material into compartments. Many of these biomolecular condensates assembled by LLPS are multicomponent phases composed of multivalent RNA-binding proteins containing intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs) and RNA¹⁹. Biomolecular condensates are thought to be formed by weak, multivalent interactions to sequester and concentrate proteins involved in RNA processing, stress response and gene silencing²⁰. In eukaryotes, histone proteins are known to promote the compaction of chromatin into condensates²¹. Bacterial nucleoprotein complexes also have been shown to organize genomic DNA via phase separation²², suggesting that LLPS may serve to organize genome packaging across the domains of life. Recent evidence suggests that similar higher order genome organization is also present in viruses. For example, the measles virus nucleoprotein (MeV N) assembles with genomic RNA into a rigid helical capsid²³ which also undergoes LLPS in the presence of the phosphoprotein (P)²⁴. Like the eukaryotic heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) which bridges chromatin regions and can undergo LLPS²⁵, SARS-CoV-2 N is oligomeric with multiple binding sites for the genomic nucleic acid separated by disordered linkers. Hence, it is important to understand if SARS-CoV-2 N could also use phase separation to organize its genome.

Furthermore, viruses have long been known to hijack the host cell environment to facilitate gRNA transport from the site of viral genome replication to the site of viral assembly and maximize the replication efficiency by disrupting the organization of cellular organelles^{26,27}. A recent study on the network of protein-protein contacts formed by SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins shows that N interacts with several human

ribonucleoproteins known to be involved in the formation of phase-separated protein-RNA granules²⁸. Several of these proteins such as G3BP1/2 are composed of disordered regions with sequence characteristics that are known to contribute to stress granule formation via LLPS^{29,30}. Stress granules (SGs) are membraneless organelles that store translationally silent mRNA when the cell is exposed to stress to regulate mRNA metabolism³¹. Numerous studies have shown viral invasion can interfere with SG formation³² via inhibition of post translational modifications³³, exclusion of SG components such as TIA-1 and G3BP^{34,35}, and formation of stable viral RNP complexes with SG vital proteins³⁶. Hence, it would be important to understand if SARS-CoV-2 N can enter phase-separated assemblies formed by other ubiquitous, well-characterized SG human proteins. Here, we examine the phase separation of N *in vitro* as a function of solution condition and RNA concentration. Furthermore, we test the partitioning of N into phase separated droplets formed by intact human ribonucleoproteins or their disordered domains.

Results

N forms higher order oligomers

The SARS-CoV nucleocapsid protein contains multiple regions implicated in self-interactions^{17,37–39}, so we first sought to determine if the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein (N) was capable of higher order assembly. We purified recombinant full-length SARS-CoV-2 N with a TEV protease cleavable N-terminal maltose binding protein (MBP) tag to enhance solubility, due to previous studies showing that the N RNA complex was largely insoluble¹¹. Even with the solubility tag, some of the N protein deposited into inclusion bodies during bacterial expression (data not shown). However,

purification of MBP-N from the soluble fraction was efficient at high salt concentration via standard immobilized metal affinity chromatography. The chromatogram from subsequent gel filtration chromatography of both MBP-tagged and cleaved (tag removed) N have major absorbance peaks eluting much earlier than would be expected of their monomeric species (90 kDa, expected at about 230 mL and 46 kDa expected at about 240 mL, respectively) (**Figure 2A**). When analyzed by SDS-PAGE, the fractions containing these peaks correspond to the MBP-N and cleaved N respectively with some minor degradation products but no species of greater molecular weight (**Figure 2B, C**). These data imply that N is capable of stable self-assembly.

N undergoes LLPS *in vitro*

The SARS-CoV nucleocapsid protein associates with viral genomic RNA to form a ribonucleoparticle and is enriched in serine and arginine residues^{40,41}, a characteristic of some proteins that can undergo LLPS. We hypothesized that N is able to form liquid-like compartments to sequester RNA. To test if N is able to phase separate, we prepared samples containing MBP-tagged full-length N at a variety of conditions, added TEV, and measured the resulting turbidity of the solution coupled with microscopy as we have done for previous studies of LLPS-prone proteins⁴². First, we tested whether N could undergo LLPS in a variety of pH conditions in the presence and absence of torula yeast RNA extract (desalted to remove ions and small RNA pieces) (**Figure 3**). Like in our previous work examining the impact of RNA binding on phase separation of FUS which binds many RNA sequences and structures⁴³, we used RNA extract as N has been shown to bind with little specificity to nucleic acids including ssRNA, ssDNA and dsDNA^{11,38}. At pH 7.4, mixtures containing 50 μ M MBP-N with 0.3 mg/mL RNA in the

presence of TEV protease displays initial increased turbidity over time (**Figure 3A**) followed by a decrease, characteristic for the formation of turbid droplet assemblies triggered by TEV cleavage which then fuse and settle^{44,45}. This increase in turbidity is coupled with the appearance of small, spherical droplets visible by microscopy (**Figure 3B**). Interestingly, at lower pH conditions the turbidity of the reaction increases and persists over time as has been observed for protein aggregates or gels⁴⁶ (**Figure 3A**). At pH 5.5, the droplets still appear spherical; however, the persistent turbidity suggests that the droplets may be less fluid (more “gel-like”) in these conditions. Consistent with this hypothesis, at lower pH conditions the assemblies no longer remain spherical and resemble droplets that were unable to complete fusion (**SI Figure 1A**). Further, addition of MgCl₂ or CaCl₂ does not substantially alter LLPS of N (**SI Figure 2**), demonstrating that presence of divalent metal ions does not affect LLPS. Together, these data suggest that SARS-CoV2 N is able to undergo LLPS at physiological buffer conditions.

N LLPS is modulated by RNA concentration and ionic strength

Because RNA is involved in the assembly of the viral RNP, we sought to determine if RNA concentration and interactions were important for droplet assembly. We conducted turbidity and microscopy experiments with fixed protein concentration and varying protein:RNA mass ratios in low salt conditions (**Figure 4A,C**). In low salt conditions in the absence of RNA, there is an increase in turbidity along the formation of small, spherical droplets, suggesting that N is able to undergo LLPS even in the absence of RNA (**Figure 4A,C**). At conditions of 1:0.25 MBP-N:RNA, the turbidity of the solution is enhanced compared to the no RNA control (**Figure 4A**). Interestingly, at

higher RNA concentrations turbidity and droplet formation are diminished (**Figure 4A,C**), a characteristic of reentrant phase separation behavior⁴⁷. To test if the electrostatic interactions between N and RNA are important for phase separation, we measured turbidity accompanied by microscopy of solutions containing varying sodium chloride concentrations (**Figure 4B,D**). We found that at higher salt concentrations (300 mM and 1M), both turbidity and droplet formation was reduced (**Figure 4B**). Together, these data show protein-RNA interactions stimulate N phase separation and suggest that screening out the electrostatic interactions between N and RNA reduces LLPS.

N partitions into hnRNPA2, TDP-43, and FUS droplets

SARS-CoV-2 N interacts with stress granule proteins²⁸ and the interaction between one stress granule protein, hnRNPA1, and SARS-CoV-1 N has been examined with biochemical detail³⁷. As we have previously shown co-partitioning of many granule-associated heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) into liquid phases including intermixing of FUS, hnRNPA2, and TDP-43^{45,48}, we decided to test if full length N could partition into liquid phases formed by hnRNPA2, FUS, and TDP-43. We used conditions where N does not phase separate on its own (i.e. ~5 nM concentration with no RNA) to be sure that N was the “client” and the hnRNP was the “scaffold” protein, following common terminology to classify the molecules as drivers (“scaffold”) or partitioning members (“clients”) of phase separation, respectively^{49,50}. We found that N partitions into hnRNPA2 LC and TDP-43 CTD droplets even when attached to the maltose binding protein (MBP) solubility tag (**Figure 5A-B**). In contrast, MBP-N did not partition into FUS LC (**Figure 5C**), suggesting a weaker interaction with FUS LC than with TDP-43 CTD or hnRNPA2 LC. However, N did partition into FUS LC

droplets when cleaved from MBP (**Figure 5C**). We further tested whether N could partition into droplets formed by the full-length hnRNPs. We found that N was able to partition into full-length hnRNPA2, FUS, and TDP-43 droplets (**Figure 5D-F**). As the hnRNPs are only able to undergo LLPS after cleavage of the MBP solubility tag, we could not observe partitioning of MBP-N and hnRNPs. These results indicate that N can interact with and partition into liquid phases formed by many human RNA-binding proteins, suggesting the presence of weak protein-protein interactions.

Discussion

Virion assembly requires the formation of dense protein-nucleic acid compartments that sequester host cell proteins as a means of protection from the host immune system and concentrate viral components to increase the efficiency of replication²⁶. Previous studies on herpes virus have reported the existence of perinuclear and cytoplasmic puncta in infected cells called prereplicative sites^{51,52}. Apart from SARS CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2, a vast number of viruses like paramyxoviruses⁵³, flaviviruses⁵⁴, and mononegaviruses such as rabies^{55,56}, influenza A virus^{57,58} and Lassa virus⁵⁹ are also known to hijack their host cellular machinery via their highly disordered nucleoprotein with some of them resulting to the formation of cytoplasmic puncta such as the Negri bodies of *Mononegavirales*⁵⁶. Most recently, the co-phase separation of full-length nucleoprotein (N) and phosphoprotein (P) of measles virus (MeV) was shown to require both the folded and the disordered domain of N, highlighting the importance of LLPS during MeV replication²⁴. Understanding the mechanisms that underlie LLPS of SARS-CoV-2 N is essential to identify key potentially targetable steps in the viral replication cycle. To unravel the molecular details of this phenomenon, we tested the

ability of N protein to undergo phase separation in the presence of RNA and other human ribonucleoproteins that are located in membraneless organelles of the eukaryotic cytoplasm and nucleoplasm⁶⁰.

Here, we found that N of SARS-CoV-2 is able to phase separate and its phase behavior is tuned by pH, salt, and RNA concentration. At physiological conditions (pH = 7.4, NaCl = 183 mM), we observed the formation of *in vitro* N droplets after addition of TEV protease and 0.3 mg/ml desalted total torula yeast RNA. Remarkably, at lower pH values, the turbidity of the RNA-protein mixtures increased monotonically, and the droplets persisted, albeit the sphericity and the fluidity were diminished (**SI Figure 1**). After determining conditions where N phase separates, we tested the dependence of LLPS on RNA and salt concentration. Surprisingly, we found in the absence of RNA at 100 mM NaCl, N formed small spherical droplets. At 1:0.25 protein:RNA ratio, the size and the sphericity of the droplets further increased. However, higher RNA ratios eliminated phase separation, suggesting that N of SARS-CoV-2 exhibits RNA-mediated phase-behavior typical of many liquid-like transcriptional machineries with reentrant phase transition⁴⁷. Lastly, we tested the effect of ionic strength on LLPS of N and we showed that at higher salt concentrations (300 mM, 1 M), N no longer phase separates as supported by our microscopy and turbidity data. It is unclear which domains of N are essential for phase separation, although previous studies on N of SARS-CoV-1 have shown that the NTD¹⁸, the CTD⁶¹, and the disordered linker can bind RNA cooperatively with a degree of specificity being attributed to the positive electrostatic surface of these regions. Thus, here we demonstrate that the nucleocapsid protein from a virus of the *Coronaviridae* family undergoes phase separation with RNA *in vitro*.

Recent proteomic studies have constructed a putative SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map where many RNA processing factors and stress granule regulation factors like G3BP1/2²⁹ have been delineated as crucial nodes of the N interactome²⁸. In addition, early reports on molecules interacting with N of SARS-CoV-1 highlighted high binding affinity to granule-associated proteins – hnRNPA1³⁷, the stress-granule and phase-separating protein⁶²; nucleophosmin (nucleolar phosphoprotein B23)⁶³, the primary component of the phase separated liquid granular component of the nucleolus⁶⁴, and cyclophilinA⁶⁵, the hnRNP chaperone⁶⁶. During the steps of genomic replication in the infected cells, the local concentration of cellular organelles is altered dramatically by the shuttling of the replication complexes in the cytoplasm and the anchorage of the structural proteins to the cellular membranes⁷. We hypothesized that N facilitates SARS-CoV-2 replication by recruiting stress granule components present in the host cellular environment. Here, we identified the interaction between full-length N of SARS-CoV-2 and human ribonucleoproteins like FUS, hnRNPA2 and TDP-43 full-length and their respective low complexity domains. It is possible that abundant host cytoplasmic proteins, like these hnRNPs, serve as scaffolds to promote the formation of multicomponent dense N-RNA phases to enable or accelerate viral replication. Given that we have shown here the potential role of phase separation in stabilizing nucleocapsid formation and the ability of viral nucleocapsid proteins to enter phase separated assemblies formed by host cell RNA-binding proteins, it will be important to investigate if therapies targeting viral or host condensates could disrupt cycles of SARS-CoV-2 replication.

Acknowledgements

We thank Gerwald Jogl and Walter Atwood for helpful input and Geoff Williams and Christoph Schorl for technical assistance. We thank Abigail Janke and Alexander Conicella for making available stocks of their FUS and TDP-43 full-length protein, respectively. Research was supported by a COVID-19 Research Seed Award from Brown University (to M.T.N., N.L.F, Gerwald Jogl, and Walter Atwood), the Division of Biology and Medicine at Brown University, the National Institute of General Medical Sciences R01GM118530 (to N.L.F.), the National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke and the National Institute on Aging R01NS116176 (to N.L.F.). A.C.M. was supported by an NSF graduate fellowship (1644760). V.H.R. was supported by the National Institutes of Health F31NS110301.

Author contributions

T.M.P performed bioinformatics analysis and wrote the introduction and discussion. A.C.M. performed phase separation turbidity assays and microscopy of N. V.H.R performed N partitioning assays and turbidity screening of divalent metal salts. S.W. designed the expression construct and purified the protein. N.L.F. and M.T.N. contributed to research design and funding acquisition. T.M.P led the writing of the manuscript with text, figures and comments provided by all authors (V.H.R, A.C.M, S.W, M.T.N. and N.L.F).

Methods

Constructs

- MBP-SARS-CoV-2 N full-length, soluble histag purification
- hnRNPA2 LC, insoluble histag purification (Addgene: 98657)

- TDP-43 CTD, insoluble histag purification (Addgene: 98670)
- FUS LC, insoluble anion purification (Addgene: 98656)
- MBP-hnRNPA2 FL, soluble histag purification (Addgene: 139109)
- MBP-TDP-43 FL, soluble histag purification (Addgene: 104480)
- MBP-FUS FL, soluble histag purification (Addgene: 98651)

MBP-N full-length expression and purification

MBP-tagged (pTHMT) full-length SARS-CoV2 nucleocapsid protein was expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 Star (DE3) cells (Life Technologies). Bacterial cultures were grown to an optical density of 0.7 to 0.9 before induction with 1 mM isopropyl- β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 4 hrs at 37°C. Cell pellets were harvested by centrifugation and stored at -80°C. Cell pellets were resuspended in 20 mM Tris 1M NaCl 10 mM imidazole pH 8.0 with one EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablet (Roche) and lysed using an Emulsiflex C3 (Avestin). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 20,000 rpm for 50 min at 4°C, filtered using a 0.2 μ m syringe filter, and loaded onto a HisTrap HP 5 mL column. The protein was eluted with a gradient from 10 to 300 mM imidazole in 20 mM Tris 1.0 M NaCl pH 8.0. Fractions containing MBP-N full-length were loaded onto a HiLoad 26/600 Superdex 200 pg column equilibrated in 20 mM Tris 1.0 M NaCl pH 8.0. Fractions with high purity were identified by SDS-PAGE and concentrated using a centrifugation filter with a 10 kDa cutoff (Amicon, Millipore).

N full-length cleavage from MBP, MBP-tag removal, and N gel filtration

MBP-N was incubated at ~600 μ M in 20 mM Tris 1.0 M NaCl pH 8.0 with 0.03 mg/mL in-house TEV protease overnight. The protein was then buffer exchanged into 20 mM Tris 1.0 M NaCl pH 8.0 10 mM imidazole using a centrifugation filter with a 10 kDa

cutoff (Amicon, Millipore). MBP (and his-TEV) was then removed (“subtracted”) using a HisTrap HP 5 mL column and flow through fractions containing cleaved N full-length were loaded onto a HiLoad 26/600 Superdex 200 pg column equilibrated in 20 mM Tris 1.0 M NaCl pH 8.0. Fractions from gel filtration were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

hnRNP purification

hnRNPA2 LC⁴⁵, MBP-hnRNPA2 FL⁴⁸, TDP-43 CTD⁶⁷, MBP-TDP-43 FL⁶⁸, FUS LC⁴², and MBP-FUS FL⁴⁴ were purified as described.

AlexaFluor labeling

Proteins were labeled with NHS-ester AlexaFluor dyes by diluting protein stocks into 20 mM HEPES pH 8.3 1 M NaCl (for N, hnRNPA2 FL, TDP-43 FL, and FUS FL) or 20 mM HEPES pH 8 with 8 M urea (FUS LC, hnRNPA2 LC, TDP-43 CTD). AlexaFluor dissolved in DMSO was added at less than 10% total reaction volume. Reactions were incubated for an hour and unreacted AlexaFluor was removed by desalting with 1 mL Zeba spin desalting columns equilibrated in the appropriate buffer for protein solubility. Labeled proteins were then concentrated and buffer exchanged into appropriate storage buffers and flash frozen.

Turbidity measurements

Turbidity was used to evaluate phase separation of 50 μ M MBP-N full-length in the presence of 0.01 mg/mL in-house TEV protease (~0.3 mg/mL in 50 mM Tris 1 mM EDTA 5 mM DTT pH 7.5 50% glycerol 0.1% Triton-X-100) in the appropriate conditions. To test the effect of pH on LLPS, the experiment was conducted in 50 mM Tris 183 mM NaCl pH 7.4, 20 mM MES 183 mM pH 6.1, 20 mM MES 183 mM pH 5.5, 20 mM MES 183 mM pH 4.9, 20 mM MES 183 mM pH 4.5 with 0.3 mg/mL desalted (into the

appropriate buffer using a Zeba 0.5 mL spin column) torula yeast RNA extract in the appropriate buffer conditions. To test the effect of different salt concentrations on LLPS, the experiments were conducted in 50 mM Tris pH 7.4 with 60 mM, 100 mM, 300 mM or 1000 mM NaCl with 1.1 mg/mL desalted torula yeast RNA extract. To test the effect of RNA on LLPS, the experiments were conducted in 50 mM Tris 100 mM NaCl pH 7.4 with 0, 1.1 or 2.3 mg/mL desalted torula yeast RNA extract. Turbidity experiments were performed in a 96-well clear plate (Costar) with 70 μ L samples sealed with optical adhesive film to prevent evaporation (MicroAmp, ThermoFisher). The absorbance at 600 nm was monitored over time using a Cytation 5 Cell Imaging Multi-Mode Reader (BioTek) at 5 min time intervals for up to 12 hr with mixing. To subtract background noise, the turbidity of a no TEV control (i.e. replaced with TEV storage buffer) for each condition was subtracted from the turbidity of the experimental conditions. Experiments were conducted in triplicate and averaged.

DIC microscopy

For 50 μ M MBP-N full-length, the samples were incubated with 0.03 mg/mL in-house TEV protease for 20 min before visualization. Samples were spotted onto a glass coverslip and droplet formation was evaluated by imaging with differential interference contrast on an Axiovert 200M microscopy (Zeiss).

hnRNP mixing microscopy

Each protein was prepared for microscopy based on our previously established methods for that protein^{42,44,45,48,67,68}. Briefly: hnRNPA2 was diluted from 8 M urea into the appropriate buffer to a final concentration of 150 mM urea and appropriate protein concentration; hnRNPA2 FL with a C-terminal MBP tag was diluted from 1 M NaCl to 50

mM NaCl and appropriate protein concentration; TDP-43 CTD was desalted into MES pH 6.1 using a 0.5 mL Zeba spin desalting column and diluted to the appropriate protein concentration; TDP-43 FL with a C-terminal MBP tag was diluted from storage buffer into appropriate buffer at indicated concentration; FUS LC was diluted from 20 mM CAPS to appropriate concentration in indicated buffer; and FUS FL with an N-terminal MBP tag was diluted from 1 M NaCl to a final NaCl concentration of 150 mM and indicated protein concentration. 1 μ L of 0.3 mg/mL TEV was added as appropriate, if no TEV was needed for the sample, TEV storage buffer was added instead. Buffer conditions for each protein are listed below:

- hnRNPA2 LC: 20 μ M hnRNPA2 LC, 20 mM MES pH 5.5, 50 mM NaCl, 150 mM urea (residual), ~5 nM AlexaFluor labeled protein (each, if both N and hnRNP are present)
- hnRNPA2 FL: 20 μ M hnRNPA2 FL, 20 mM TRIS pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl, ~5 nM AlexaFluor labeled protein (each, if both N and hnRNP are present)
- TDP-43 CTD: 20 μ M TDP-43 CTD, 20 mM MES pH 6.1, 150 mM NaCl, ~5 nM AlexaFluor labeled protein (each, if both N and hnRNP are present)
- TDP-43 FL: 2.5 μ M TDP-43 FL, 20 mM HEPES pH 7, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, ~5 nM AlexaFluor labeled protein (each, if both N and hnRNP are present)
- FUS LC: 300 μ M FUS LC, 20 mM MES pH 5.5, 150 mM NaCl, ~5 nM AlexaFluor labeled protein (each, if both N and hnRNP are present)
- FUS FL: 5 μ M FUS FL, 20 mM TRIS pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, ~5 nM AlexaFluor labeled protein (each, if both N and hnRNP are present)

Fluorescence confocal microscopy images were taken on an LSM 880 (Zeiss).

AlexaFluor-tagged proteins were doped in at 0.2 μ L (~5 nM final concentration) to prevent oversaturation of the detector. Snapshots were taken of the red, green, and brightfield channels and merged using ImageJ (NIH).

References

- (1) Zhu, N.; Zhang, D.; Wang, W.; Li, X.; Yang, B.; Song, J.; Zhao, X.; Huang, B.; Shi, W.; Lu, R.; Niu, P.; Zhan, F.; Ma, X.; Wang, D.; Xu, W.; Wu, G.; Gao, G. F.; Tan, W. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *382* (8), 727–733. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001017>.
- (2) Zhou, P.; Yang, X.-L.; Wang, X.-G.; Hu, B.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, W.; Si, H.-R.; Zhu, Y.; Li, B.; Huang, C.-L.; Chen, H.-D.; Chen, J.; Luo, Y.; Guo, H.; Jiang, R.-D.; Liu, M.-Q.; Chen, Y.; Shen, X.-R.; Wang, X.; Zheng, X.-S.; Zhao, K.; Chen, Q.-J.; Deng, F.; Liu, L.-L.; Yan, B.; Zhan, F.-X.; Wang, Y.-Y.; Xiao, G.-F.; Shi, Z.-L. A Pneumonia Outbreak Associated with a New Coronavirus of Probable Bat Origin. *Nature* **2012**, *579*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7>.
- (3) Drosten, C.; Günther, S.; Preiser, W.; Van der Werf, S.; Brodt, H. R.; Becker, S.; Rabenau, H.; Panning, M.; Kolesnikova, L.; Fouchier, R. A. M.; Berger, A.; Burguière, A. M.; Cinatl, J.; Eickmann, M.; Escriou, N.; Grywna, K.; Kramme, S.; Manuguerra, J. C.; Müller, S.; Rickerts, V.; Stürmer, M.; Vieth, S.; Klenk, H. D.; Osterhaus, A. D. M. E.; Schmitz, H.; Doerr, H. W. Identification of a Novel Coronavirus in Patients with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2003**, *348* (20), 1967–1976. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa030747>.
- (4) Zaki, A. M.; Van Boheemen, S.; Bestebroer, T. M.; Osterhaus, A. D. M. E.;

- Fouchier, R. A. M. Isolation of a Novel Coronavirus from a Man with Pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2012**, 367 (19), 1814–1820.
<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1211721>.
- (5) Walls, A. C.; Park, Y.-J.; Tortorici, M. A.; Wall, A.; McGuire, A. T.;
Correspondence, D. V. Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2
Spike Glycoprotein. **2020**. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058>.
- (6) Wang, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, L.; Zhou, H.; Yan, J.; Correspondence, J. Q. Structural
and Functional Basis of SARS-CoV-2 Entry by Using Human ACE2. *Cell* **2020**,
181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.045>.
- (7) Lai, M. M. C.; Cavanaght, D. *THE MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF
CORONAVIRUSES*; 1997; Vol. 48.
- (8) Singh Saikatendu, K.; Joseph, J. S.; Subramanian, V.; Neuman, B. W.;
Buchmeier, M. J.; Stevens, R. C.; Kuhn, P. Ribonucleocapsid Formation of
Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus through Molecular Action of the
N-Terminal Domain of N Protein. *J. Virol.* **2007**, 81 (8), 3913–3921.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02236-06>.
- (9) Du, L.; He, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, S.; Zheng, B. J.; Jiang, S. The Spike Protein of
SARS-CoV - A Target for Vaccine and Therapeutic Development. *Nat. Rev.
Microbiol.* **2009**, 7 (3), 226–236. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2090>.
- (10) Zhang, L.; Lin, D.; Sun, X.; Curth, U.; Drosten, C.; Sauerhering, L.; Becker, S.;
Rox, K.; Hilgenfeld, R. Crystal Structure of SARS-CoV-2 Main Protease Provides
a Basis for Design of Improved α -Ketoamide Inhibitors. *Science (80-.)*. **2020**, 368
(6489), 409–412. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb3405>.

- (11) Chang, C.-K.; Hsu, Y.-L.; Chang, Y.-H.; Chao, F.-A.; Wu, M.-C.; Huang, Y.-S.; Hu, C.-K.; Huang, T.-H. Multiple Nucleic Acid Binding Sites and Intrinsic Disorder of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein: Implications for Ribonucleocapsid Protein Packaging. *J. Virol.* **2009**, 83 (5), 2255–2264. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.02001-08>.
- (12) Zúñiga, S.; Sola, I.; Moreno, J. L.; Sabella, P.; Plana-Durán, J.; Enjuanes, L. Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein Is an RNA Chaperone. **2006**. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2006.07.046>.
- (13) Dinesh, D. C.; Chalupska, D.; Silhan, J.; Veverka, V.; Boura, E. Structural Basis of RNA Recognition by the SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid Phosphoprotein. *bioRxiv* **2020**, 2020.04.02.022194. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.02.022194>.
- (14) Kang, S.; Yang, M.; Hong, Z.; Zhang, L.; Huang, Z.; Chen, X.; He, S.; Zhou, Z.; Zhou, Z.; Chen, Q.; Yan, Y.; Zhang, C.; Shan, H.; Chen, S. Crystal Structure of SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid Protein RNA Binding Domain Reveals Potential Unique Drug Targeting Sites. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B* **2020**, No. April, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2020.04.009>.
- (15) Grosseohme, N. E.; Li, L.; Keane, S. C.; Liu, P.; Dann, C. E.; Leibowitz, J. L.; Giedroc, D. P. Coronavirus N Protein N-Terminal Domain (NTD) Specifically Binds the Transcriptional Regulatory Sequence (TRS) and Melts TRS-CTRS RNA Duplexes. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2009**, 394 (3), 544–557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2009.09.040>.
- (16) Kuo, L.; Koetzner, C. A.; Masters, P. S. A Key Role for the Carboxy-Terminal Tail of the Murine Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein in Coordination of Genome

Packaging. **2020**, No. January.

- (17) Chang, C.-K.; Chen, C.-M. M.; Chiang, M.-H.; Hsu, Y.-L.; Huang, T.-H. Transient Oligomerization of the SARS-CoV N Protein-Implication for Virus Ribonucleoprotein Packaging. **2013**.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065045>.
- (18) Chen, C.-Y.; Chang, C.; Chang, Y.-W.; Sue, S.-C.; Bai, H.-I.; Riang, L.; Hsiao, C.-D.; Huang, T. Structure of the SARS Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein RNA-Binding Dimerization Domain Suggests a Mechanism for Helical Packaging of Viral RNA. **2007**. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.02.069>.
- (19) Banani, S. F.; Lee, H. O.; Hyman, A. A.; Rosen, M. K. Biomolecular Condensates: Organizers of Cellular Biochemistry. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2017**, *18* (5), 285–298. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.7>.
- (20) Alberti, S.; Carra, S. Quality Control of Membraneless Organelles. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2018**, *430* (23), 4711–4729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JMB.2018.05.013>.
- (21) Gibson, B. A.; Doolittle, L. K.; Schneider, W. G.; Gerlich, D. W.; Redding, S.; Rosen, M. K. Organization of Chromatin by Intrinsic and Regulated Phase Separation. *Cell* **2019**, *179*, 470-484.e21.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.037>.
- (22) Monterroso, B.; Zorrilla, S.; Sobrinos-Sanguino, M.; Robles-Ramos, M. A.; López-Álvarez, M.; Margolin, W.; Keating, C. D.; Rivas, G. Bacterial FtsZ Protein Forms Phase-separated Condensates with Its Nucleoid-associated Inhibitor SlmA. *EMBO Rep.* **2019**, *20* (1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201845946>.
- (23) Milles, S.; Jensen, M. R.; Communie, G.; Maurin, D.; Schoehn, G.; Ruigrok, R. W.

- H.; Blackledge, M. Self-Assembly of Measles Virus Nucleocapsid-like Particles: Kinetics and RNA Sequence Dependence. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55 (32), 9356–9360. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201602619>.
- (24) Guseva, S.; Milles, S.; Jensen, R.; Salvi, N.; Kleman, J.-P.; Maurin, D.; Ruigrok, R. W. H.; Blackledge, M. *Measles Virus Nucleo-and Phosphoproteins Form Liquid-like Phase-Separated Compartments That Promote Nucleocapsid Assembly*; 2020.
- (25) Larson, A. G.; Elnatan, D.; Keenen, M. M.; Trnka, M. J.; Johnston, J. B.; Burlingame, A. L.; Agard, D. A.; Redding, S.; Narlikar, G. J. Liquid Droplet Formation by HP1 α Suggests a Role for Phase Separation in Heterochromatin. *Nature* **2017**, 547 (7662), 236–240. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22822>.
- (26) Novoa, R. R.; Calderita, G.; Arranz, R.; Fontana, J.; Granzow, H.; Risco, C. Virus Factories: Associations of Cell Organelles for Viral Replication and Morphogenesis. *Biol. Cell* **2005**, 97 (2), 147–172. <https://doi.org/10.1042/bc20040058>.
- (27) Onomoto, K.; Yoneyama, M.; Fung, G.; Kato, H.; Fujita, T. Antiviral Innate Immunity and Stress Granule Responses. *Trends Immunol.* **2014**, 35 (9), 420–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2014.07.006>.
- (28) Gordon, D. E.; Jang, G. M.; Bouhaddou, M.; Xu, J.; Obernier, K.; White, K. M.; O'meara, M. J.; Rezelj, V. V.; Guo, J. Z.; Swaney, D. L.; Tummino, T. A.; Huettenhain, R.; Kaake, R. M.; Richards, A. L.; Tutuncuoglu, B.; Foussard, H.; Batra, J.; Haas, K.; Modak, M.; Kim, M.; Haas, P.; Polacco, B. J.; Braberg, H.; Fabius, J. M.; Eckhardt, M.; Soucheray, M.; Bennett, M. J.; Cakir, M.; McGregor,

- M. J.; Li, Q.; Meyer, B.; Roesch, F.; Vallet, T.; Kain, A. Mac; Miorin, L.; Moreno, E.; Zar, Z.; Naing, C.; Zhou, Y.; Peng, S.; Shi, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Shen, W.; Kirby, I. T.; Melnyk, J. E.; Chorba, J. S.; Lou, K.; Dai, S. A.; Barrio-Hernandez, I.; Memon, D.; Hernandez-Armenta, C.; Lyu, J.; Mathy, C. J. P.; Perica, T.; Pilla, K. B.; Ganesan, S. J.; Saltzberg, D. J.; Rakesh, R.; Liu, X.; Rosenthal, S. B.; Calviello, L.; Venkataramanan, S.; Liboy-Lugo, J.; Lin, Y.; Huang, X.-P.; Liu, Y.; Wankowicz, S. A.; Bohn, M.; Safari, M.; Ugur, F. S.; Koh, C.; Sadat Savar, N.; Tran, Q. D.; Shengjuler, D.; Fletcher, S. J.; O'neal, M. C.; Cai, Y.; Chang, J. C. J.; Broadhurst, D. J.; Klippsten, S.; Sharp, P. P.; Wenzell, N. A.; Kuzuoglu, D.; Wang, H.-Y.; Trenker, R.; Young, J. M.; Caverio, D. A.; Hiatt, J.; Roth, T. L.; Rathore, U.; Subramanian, A.; Noack, J.; Hubert, M.; Stroud, R. M.; Frankel, A. D.; Rosenberg, O. S.; Verba, K. A.; Agard, D. A.; Ott, M.; Emerman, M.; Jura, N.; Von Zastrow, M.; Verdin, E.; Ashworth, A.; Schwartz, O.; D'enfert, C.; Mukherjee, S.; Jacobson, M.; Malik, H. S.; Fujimori, D. G.; Ideker, T.; Craik, C. S.; Floor, S. N.; Fraser, J. S.; Gross, J. D.; Sali, A.; Roth, B. L.; Ruggero, D.; Taunton, J.; Kortemme, T.; Beltrao, P.; Vignuzzi, M.; García-Sastre, A.; Shokat, K. M.; Shoichet, B. K.; Krogan, N. J. A SARS-CoV-2 Protein Interaction Map Reveals Targets for Drug Repurposing. *Nature*. *Nature* **2020**. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2286-9>.
- (29) Yang, P.; Mathieu, C.; Kolaitis, R. M.; Zhang, P.; Messing, J.; Yurtsever, U.; Yang, Z.; Wu, J.; Li, Y.; Pan, Q.; Yu, J.; Martin, E. W.; Mittag, T.; Kim, H. J.; Taylor, J. P. G3BP1 Is a Tunable Switch That Triggers Phase Separation to Assemble Stress Granules. *Cell* **2020**, *181* (2), 325-345.e28.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.046>.
- (30) Guillén-Boixet, J.; Kopach, A.; Holehouse, A. S.; Wittmann, S.; Jahnel, M.; Schlüssler, R.; Kim, K.; Trussina, I. R. E. A.; Wang, J.; Mateju, D.; Poser, I.; Maharana, S.; Ruer-Gruß, M.; Richter, D.; Zhang, X.; Chang, Y. T.; Guck, J.; Honigsmann, A.; Mahamid, J.; Hyman, A. A.; Pappu, R. V.; Alberti, S.; Franzmann, T. M. RNA-Induced Conformational Switching and Clustering of G3BP Drive Stress Granule Assembly by Condensation. *Cell* **2020**, *181* (2), 346-361.e17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.049>.
- (31) Ryan, V. H.; Fawzi, N. L. Physiological, Pathological, and Targetable Membraneless Organelles in Neurons. *Trends Neurosci.* **2019**. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2019.08.005>.
- (32) White, J. P.; Lloyd, R. E. Regulation of Stress Granules in Virus Systems. *Trends Microbiol.* **2012**, *20* (4), 175–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.02.001>.
- (33) Linero, F. N.; Thomas, M. G.; Boccaccio, G. L.; Scolaro, L. A. Junín Virus Infection Impairs Stress-Granule Formation in Vero Cells Treated with Arsenite via Inhibition of Eif2 α Phosphorylation. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2011**, *92* (12), 2889–2899. <https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.033407-0>.
- (34) Emara, M. M.; Brinton, M. A. Interaction of TIA-1/TIAR with West Nile and Dengue Virus Products in Infected Cells Interferes with Stress Granule Formation and Processing Body Assembly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, *104* (21), 9041–9046. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0703348104>.
- (35) Nikolic, J.; Civas, A.; Lama, Z.; Lagaudrière-Gesbert, C.; Blondel, D. Rabies Virus Infection Induces the Formation of Stress Granules Closely Connected to the Viral

- Factories. *PLoS Pathog.* **2016**, 12 (10), 1–27.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005942>.
- (36) Abrahamyan, L. G.; Chatel-Chaix, L.; Ajamian, L.; Milev, M. P.; Monette, A.; Clément, J. F.; Song, R.; Lehmann, M.; DesGroseillers, L.; Laughrea, M.; Boccaccio, G.; Mouland, A. J. Novel Staufen1 Ribonucleoproteins Prevent Formation of Stress Granules but Favour Encapsidation of HIV-1 Genomic RNA. *J. Cell Sci.* **2010**, 123 (3), 369–383. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.055897>.
- (37) Luo, H.; Chen, Q.; Chen, J.; Chen, K.; Shen, X.; Jiang, H. The Nucleocapsid Protein of SARS Coronavirus Has a High Binding Affinity to the Human Cellular Heterogeneous Nuclear Ribonucleoprotein A1. *FEBS Lett.* **2005**, 579 (12), 2623–2628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2005.03.080>.
- (38) Yu, I. M.; Gustafson, C. L. T.; Diao, J.; Burgner, J. W.; Li, Z.; Zhang, J.; Chen, J. Recombinant Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein Forms a Dimer through Its C-Terminal Domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, 280 (24), 23280–23286. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M501015200>.
- (39) Cong, Y.; Kriegenburg, F.; De Haan, C. A. M.; Reggiori, F. Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Proteins Assemble Constitutively in High Molecular Oligomers. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, 7 (1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06062-w>.
- (40) Castello, A.; Fischer, B.; Eichelbaum, K.; Horos, R.; Beckmann, B. M.; Strein, C.; Davey, N. E.; Humphreys, D. T.; Preiss, T.; Steinmetz, L. M.; Krijgsveld, J.; Hentze, M. W. Insights into RNA Biology from an Atlas of Mammalian mRNA-Binding Proteins. *Cell* **2012**, 149 (6), 1393–1406.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.031>.

- (41) Chong, P. A.; Vernon, R. M.; Forman-Kay, J. D. RGG/RG Motif Regions in RNA Binding and Phase Separation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2018**, *430* (23), 4650–4665.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2018.06.014>.
- (42) Burke, K. A.; Janke, A. M.; Rhine, C. L.; Fawzi, N. L. Residue-by-Residue View of In Vitro FUS Granules That Bind the C-Terminal Domain of RNA Polymerase II. *Mol. Cell* **2015**, *60* (2), 231–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.09.006>.
- (43) Schwartz, J. C.; Wang, X.; Podell, E. R.; Cech, T. R. RNA Seeds Higher-Order Assembly of FUS Protein. *Cell Rep.* **2013**.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.11.017>.
- (44) Monahan, Z.; Ryan, V. H.; Janke, A. M.; Burke, K. A.; Rhoads, S. N.; Zerbe, G. H.; O'Meally, R.; Dignon, G. L.; Conicella, A. E.; Zheng, W.; Best, R. B.; Cole, R. N.; Mittal, J.; Shewmaker, F.; Fawzi, N. L. Phosphorylation of the FUS Low-complexity Domain Disrupts Phase Separation, Aggregation, and Toxicity. *EMBO J.* **2017**. <https://doi.org/10.15252/emboj.201696394>.
- (45) Ryan, V. H.; Dignon, G. L.; Zerbe, G. H.; Chabata, C. V.; Silva, R.; Conicella, A. E.; Amaya, J.; Burke, K. A.; Mittal, J.; Fawzi, N. L. Mechanistic View of HnRNPA2 Low-Complexity Domain Structure, Interactions, and Phase Separation Altered by Mutation and Arginine Methylation. *Mol. Cell* **2018**, *69* (3), 465–479.e7.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.12.022>.
- (46) Murthy, A. C.; Dignon, G. L.; Kan, Y.; Zerbe, G. H.; Parekh, S. H.; Mittal, J.; Fawzi, N. L. Molecular Interactions Underlying Liquid–liquid Phase Separation of the FUS Low-Complexity Domain. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-019-0250-x>.

- (47) Banerjee, P. R.; Milin, A. N.; Moosa, M. M.; Onuchic, P. L.; Deniz, A. A. Reentrant Phase Transition Drives Dynamic Substructure Formation in Ribonucleoprotein Droplets *Angewandte*. 11354–11359. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201703191>.
- (48) Ryan, V. H.; Perdikari, T. M.; Naik, M. T.; Saueressig, C. F.; Lins, J.; Dignon, G. L.; Mittal, J.; Hart, A. C.; Fawzi, N. L. C. *Elegans* ,. **2020**, 1–38.
- (49) Li, P.; Banjade, S.; Cheng, H.-C.; Kim, S.; Chen, B.; Guo, L.; Llaguno, M.; Hollingsworth, J. V.; King, D. S.; Banani, S. F.; Russo, P. S.; Jiang, Q.-X.; Nixon, B. T.; Rosen, M. K. Phase Transitions in the Assembly of Multivalent Signalling Proteins. *Nature* **2012**, 483 (7389), 336–340. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10879>.
- (50) Alberti, S.; Gladfelter, A.; Mittag, T. Considerations and Challenges in Studying Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation and Biomolecular Condensates. *Cell*. Cell Press January 24, 2019, pp 419–434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.035>.
- (51) Ishov, A. M.; Maul, G. G. The Periphery of Nuclear Domain 10 (ND10) as Site of DNA Virus Deposition. *J. Cell Biol.* **1996**, 134 (4), 815–826. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.134.4.815>.
- (52) Uprichard, S. L.; Knipe, D. M. Assembly of Herpes Simplex Virus Replication Proteins at Two Distinct Intranuclear Sites. *Virology* **1997**, 229 (1), 113–125. <https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1996.8430>.
- (53) Karlin, D.; Ferron, F.; Canard, B.; Longhi, S. Structural Disorder and Modular Organization in Paramyxovirinae N and P. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2003**, 84 (12), 3239–3252. <https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.19451-0>.
- (54) Tompa, P.; Csermely, P. The Role of Structural Disorder in the Function of RNA and Protein Chaperones. *FASEB J.* **2004**, 18 (11), 1169–1175.

<https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.04-1584rev>.

- (55) Albertini, A.A., Wernimont, A.K., Muziol, T., Ravelli, R.B., Clapier, C.R., Schoehn, G., Weissenhorn, W. and Ruigrok, R.W. Crystal Structure of the Rabies Virus Nucleoprotein-RNA Complex. *Science* (80-.). **2006**, No. July, 360–364.
- (56) Nikolic, J.; Le Bars, R.; Lama, Z.; Scrima, N.; Lagaudrière-Gesbert, C.; Gaudin, Y.; Blondel, D. Negri Bodies Are Viral Factories with Properties of Liquid Organelles. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, 8 (1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00102-9>.
- (57) Martín-Benito, J.; Ortín, J. Influenza Virus Transcription and Replication. *Adv. Virus Res.* **2013**, 87, 113–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-407698-3.00004-1>.
- (58) Turell, L.; Lyall, J. W.; Tiley, L. S.; Fodor, E.; Vreede, F. T. The Role and Assembly Mechanism of Nucleoprotein in Influenza A Virus Ribonucleoprotein Complexes. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, 4, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2589>.
- (59) Hastie, K. M.; Liu, T.; Li, S.; King, L. B.; Ngo, N.; Zandonatti, M. A.; Woods, V. L.; De La Torre, J. C.; Saphire, E. O. Crystal Structure of the Lassa Virus Nucleoprotein-RNA Complex Reveals a Gating Mechanism for RNA Binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2011**, 108 (48), 19365–19370. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108515108>.
- (60) Ryan, V. H.; Fawzi, N. L. Physiological, Pathological, and Targetable Membraneless Organelles in Neurons. *Trends Neurosci.* **2019**, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2019.08.005>.
- (61) Takeda, M.; Chang, C. ke; Ikeya, T.; Güntert, P.; Chang, Y. hsiang; Hsu, Y. lan;

- Huang, T. huang; Kainosho, M. Solution Structure of the C-Terminal Dimerization Domain of SARS Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein Solved by the SAIL-NMR Method. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2008**, 380 (4), 608–622.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.11.093>.
- (62) Molliex, A.; Temirov, J.; Lee, J.; Coughlin, M.; Kanagaraj, A. P.; Kim, H. J.; Mittag, T.; Taylor, J. P. Phase Separation by Low Complexity Domains Promotes Stress Granule Assembly and Drives Pathological Fibrillization. *Cell* **2015**, 163 (1), 123–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.015>.
- (63) Zeng, Y.; Ye, L.; Zhu, S.; Zheng, H.; Zhao, P.; Cai, W.; Su, L.; She, Y.; Wu, Z. The Nucleocapsid Protein of SARS-Associated Coronavirus Inhibits B23 Phosphorylation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2008**, 369 (2), 287–291.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.01.096>.
- (64) Feric, M.; Vaidya, N.; Harmon, T. S.; Kriwacki, R. W.; Pappu, R. V; Correspondence, C. P. B.; Mitrea, D. M.; Zhu, L.; Richardson, T. M.; Brangwynne, C. P. Coexisting Liquid Phases Underlie Nucleolar Subcompartments Article Coexisting Liquid Phases Underlie Nucleolar Subcompartments. *Cell* **2016**, 165, 1686–1697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.047>.
- (65) Luo, C.; Luo, H.; Zheng, S.; Gui, C.; Yue, L.; Yu, C.; Sun, T.; He, P.; Chen, J.; Shen, J.; Luo, X.; Li, Y.; Liu, H.; Bai, D.; Shen, J.; Yang, Y.; Li, F.; Zuo, J.; Hilgenfeld, R.; Pei, G.; Chen, K.; Shen, X.; Jiang, H. Nucleocapsid Protein of SARS Coronavirus Tightly Binds to Human Cyclophilin A. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2004**, 321 (3), 557–565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.07.003>.
- (66) Pan, H.; Luo, C.; Li, R.; Qiao, A.; Zhang, L.; Mines, M.; Nyanda, A. M.; Zhang, J.;

- Fan, G. H. Cyclophilin A Is Required for CXCR4-Mediated Nuclear Export of Heterogeneous Nuclear Ribonucleoprotein A2, Activation and Nuclear Translocation of ERK1/2, and Chemotactic Cell Migration. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2008**, 283 (1), 623–637. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M704934200>.
- (67) Conicella, A. E.; Zerze, G. H.; Mittal, J.; Fawzi, N. L. ALS Mutations Disrupt Phase Separation Mediated by α -Helical Structure in the TDP-43 Low-Complexity C-Terminal Domain. *Structure* **2016**, 24 (9), 1537–1549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.STR.2016.07.007>.
- (68) Wang, A.; Conicella, A. E.; Schmidt, H. B.; Martin, E. W.; Rhoads, S. N.; Reeb, A. N.; Nourse, A.; Ramirez Montero, D.; Ryan, V. H.; Rohatgi, R.; Shewmaker, F.; Naik, M. T.; Mittag, T.; Ayala, Y. M.; Fawzi, N. L. A Single N-Terminal Phosphomimic Disrupts TDP-43 Polymerization, Phase Separation, and RNA Splicing. <https://doi.org/10.15252/emboj.201797452>.

Figure 1: Domain structure, sequence comparison, and sequence composition of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein.

A) SARS-CoV-2 N contains three putatively disordered regions, a globular N-terminal and a globular C-terminal oligomerization domain. Sequence alignment of N from SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV showing 91% sequence identity. B) Sequence composition of the intrinsically disordered regions of SARS-CoV-2 N.

Figure 2: MBP-CoV-2 N and cleaved CoV-2 N elute larger than their predicted molecular weights. A) Gel filtration chromatogram of ~7ml of ~500 μ M MBP tagged CoV-2 N (top) and ~300 μ l ~50 μ M CoV-2 N (previously cleaved from MBP) (bottom). Vertical lines represent peak elution volumes of gel filtration protein calibration standards. Brackets represent range of gels lanes. Predicted molecular weight of the tagged and untagged N are 90 kDa and 46 kDa respectively, much smaller than their corresponding calibrated peak elution volumes, consistent with oligomerization. B) SDS-PAGE showing the peak fractions of the MBP-CoV-2 N chromatogram showing expected 90 kDa MW. C) SDS-PAGE showing the peak fractions of the cleaved CoV-2 N chromatogram showing expected 46 kDa MW. Circular feature in SDS-PAGE gels is a divet in plastic on which pictures were taken.

Figure 3: SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein undergoes LLPS at physiological conditions. A) Phase separation over time as monitored by turbidity of 50 μM MBP-N after addition of TEV protease in varying pH conditions. B-C) DIC micrographs of 50 μM MBP-N in 50 mM Tris 183 mM NaCl pH 7.4 or 20 mM MES 183 mM NaCl pH 5.5 with and without TEV protease (to cleave MBP from N) or 0.3 mg/mL desalted total torula yeast RNA. Scale bars represent 50 μm .

Figure 4: SARS-CoV-2 N LLPS is modulated by salt and RNA. A-B) Phase separation over time as monitored by turbidity of 50 μM MBP-N in 50 mM Tris pH 7.4 after addition of TEV protease with varying torula yeast RNA (at 100 mM sodium chloride) or varying sodium chloride concentrations. C-D) DIC micrographs of 50 μM MBP-N in 50 mM Tris NaCl pH 7.4 with varying torula yeast RNA or sodium chloride concentrations with and without TEV protease (to cleave MBP from N). Scale bars represent 50 μm .

Figure 5: SARS-CoV-2 N partitions into liquid phases of hnRNPs. A) N partitions into hnRNP2 LC droplets even with the MBP tag attached. B) N partitions into TDP-43 CTD droplets even with the MBP tag attached. C) N partitions into FUS LC droplets only after cleavage of the MBP tag. D-F) N partitions into hnRNP2 FL (D), TDP-43 FL (E), FUS FL (F) droplets.

A

SI Figure 1: Low pH conditions induce aggregation of MBP-NP. A) DIC micrographs of 50 μM MBP-N in varying pH conditions. At lower pH conditions, droplets appear to be non-spherical, consistent with less fluid behavior. Scale bars represent 50 μm .

SI Figure 2: Divalent metal salts do not substantially alter N LLPS. Addition of 2 mM MgCl_2 or CaCl_2 does not alter LLPS of 50 μM MBP-N in the presence of 0.5 mg/mL RNA and 70 mM NaCl.