Computational studies of drug repurposing targeting P-glycoprotein mediated multidrug-resistance phenotypes in agents of neglected tropical diseases

Nivedita Jaishankar 1, Sangeetha Muthamilselvan 2, Ashok Palaniappan 1,2*

1 Department of Biotechnology, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Post Bag No. 1, Pennalur, Sriperumbudur Tk 602117. India

2 Department of Bioinformatics, School of Chemical and BioTechnology, SASTRA Deemed University, Thanjavur 613401. India

* Corresponding author: apalan@scbt.sastra.edu

ABSTRACT

Mammalian ABCB1 P-glycoprotein is an ATP- dependent efflux pump with broad substrate specificity associated with cellular drug resistance. Homologous to this role in mammalian biology, the P-glycoprotein of agents of neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) mediates the emergence of multidrug-resistance phenotypes. The clinical and socioeconomic implications of NTDs are exacerbated by the lack of research interest among Big Pharma for treating such conditions. This work aims to characterise P-gp homologues in certain agents of key NTDs, namely

(1) Protozoa: *Leishmania major*, *Trypanosoma cruzi*;

(2) Helminths: *Onchocerca volvulus*, *Schistosoma mansoni*.

PSI-BLAST searches against the genome of each of these organisms confirmed the presence of P-gp homologues. Each homologue was aligned against five P-gp sequences of known structure, to identify the most suitable template based on sequence homology, phylogenetic nearest neighbor, and query coverage. Antibiotics used in the current line of therapy against each of these pathogens were identified using PubChem and their SMILES structures were converted to PDB using BABEL software. Potential antibiotics to test against the set of FDA-approved antibiotics were identified based on similarity to the chemical class of the known drugs and repurposing of the existing drugs. Docking studies of the respective modelled Pgp structures and the set of antibiotic ligands were carried out using AutoDock and the most tenable target-ligand conformations were assessed. The interacting residues within 4.5 Å of the ligand were identified, and the binding pockets were studied. The relative efficacy of the new drugs and the interacting pump residues were identified. Our studies could lay the foundation for the development of effective synergistic or new therapies against key neglected tropical diseases.

Keywords: P-glycoprotein, neglected tropical diseases, multidrug resistance, homology modelling, receptor-ligand docking, differential ligand affinity, synergistic effects, leishmaniasis, trypanosomiasis, onchocerciasis, schistosomiasis.

1. Introduction

1.1 Multidrug resistance (MDR):

Bacterial evolution has been constrained to respond to the selection pressure of antibiotics and combined with their reckless use, has led to the emergence of varied defenses against antimicrobial agents. The main mechanisms whereby the bacteria develop resistance to antimicrobial agents include enzymatic inactivation, modification of the drug target(s), and reduction of intracellular drug concentration by changes in membrane permeability or by the over expression of efflux pumps [1]. Multidrug resistance efflux pumps are recognized as an important component of resistance in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria [2]. Some bacterial efflux pumps may be selective for one substrate or transport antibiotics of different classes, conferring a multiple drug resistance (MDR) phenotype. With respect to efflux pumps, they provide a self-defense mechanism whereby antibiotics are extruded from the cell interior to the external environment. This results in sublethal drug concentrations at the active site that in turn may predispose the organism to the development of high-level target-based resistance [3]. Therefore, efflux pumps are viable antibacterial targets and identification and development of potent efflux pump inhibitors is a promising and valid strategy potential therapeutic agents that can rejuvenate the activity of antibiotics that are no longer effective against bacterial pathogens. The world is searching for new tools to combat multidrug resistance

1.2 P-GLYCOPROTEIN:

P- glycoprotein is a mammalian multidrug resistance protein belonging to the ATP- binding cassette (ABC) superfamily (4). It is an ATP- dependent efflux pump encoded by the MDR1 gene and is primarily found in epithelial cells lining the colon, small intestine, pancreatic ductules, bile ductules, kidney proximal tubes, the adrenal gland, the blood-testis and the blood-brain barrier (5). This efflux activity of P-glycoprotein, coupled with its wide substrate specificity is responsible for the reduction in bioavailability of drugs as it extrudes all foreign substances such as drugs and xenobiotics out of the cells. ATP hydrolysis provides energy for the efflux of drugs from the inner leaflet of the cell membrane (6, 7). This protein is believed to have evolved as a defense mechanism against toxic compounds and prevent their entry into the cytosol (8).

P-glycoprotein confers resistance to a wide range of structurally and functionally diverse compounds, which has resulted in the emergence of multidrug resistance in medically relevant microorganisms. The pharmacodynamic role of P-glycoprotein in parasitic helminths has widespread clinical and socioeconomic implications, exacerbating the problem of neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) whose causative agents are helminths and protozoa.

Sheps et al., (9) reported that 15 P-glycoproteins are present in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and Laing et al., (10) reported that 10 homologous P-glycoproteins were present in *Haemonchus contortus*. A bioinformatic and phylogenetic study conducted by Bourguinat et al., (11) on the *Dirofilaria immitis* genome identified three orthologous ABC-B transporter genes. These genes are suspected to be responsible for the P-glycoprotein mediated drug extrusion of melarsomine in *D. immitis*, and other parasites.

1.3 Neglected tropical diseases:

Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs) encompass 17 bacterial, parasitic and viral diseases that prevail in tropical and subtropical conditions in 149 countries and affect more than 1 billion people worldwide (WHO - 2017).

1.3.1 Leishmaniasis

Leishmaniasis, is a disease caused by parasites of the *Leishmania* type (WHO, 2014). It is spread by the bite of certain types of sandflies (12). The disease can present in three main ways: cutaneous, mucocutaneous, or visceral leishmaniasis (13). The cutaneous form presents with skin ulcers, while the mucocutaneous form presents with ulcers of the skin, mouth, and nose (12). Leishmaniasis is transmitted by the bite of infected female phlebotomine sandflies (14) which can transmit the protozoa *Leishmania*.

Gammaro et al., (12) first reported that the overexpression of P-glycoprotein in *Leishmania* species was responsible the drug resistance of the organisms against drugs such as methotrexate. The multidrug resistance has been associated with several ATP-binding cassette transporters including MRP1 (ABCC1) and P-glycoprotein (ABCB1). Wyllie et al., (15) demonstrated the presence of metal efflux pumps in the cell membrane of all *Leishmania* species. Soares et al., (16) reported that natural or synthetic modulators of human P-glycoprotein such as flavonoids, restore sensitivity to pentamidine, sodium stibogluconate and miltefosine by modulating intracellular drug concentrations.

1.3.2 Onchocerciasis

Onchocerciasis, also known as river blindness, is a disease caused by infection with the parasitic worm *Onchocerca volvulus* and is transmitted by the bite of an infected black fly of the *Simulium* type. Symptoms include severe itching, bumps under the skin, and blindness. It is the second most common cause of blindness due to infection, after trachoma (WHO, 2014). Usually, many bites are required before infection occurs. A vaccine against the disease does not exist. Prevention is by avoiding being bitten by flies.

Ivermectin (IVM) is a semisynthesized macrocyclic lactone that belongs to the avermectin class of compounds. It is administered en masse and but is effective only against microfilariae (18). Bourguinat et al., (11) have found evidence of IVM resistance in *Onchocerca volvulus*. The clinical trial sampled patients before and after IVM treatment over a period of three years. The nodules collected from the patients contained IVM-resistant *O. volvulus* worms.

1.3.3 Schistosomiasis

Schistosomiasis is a disease caused by infection with one of the species of *Schistosoma* helminthic flatworms known as flukes belonging to the class Trematoda of the phylum Platyhelminthes. There are three main species of *Schistosoma* associated with human disease: *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Schistosoma japonicum* cause intestinal schistosomiasis, and *Schistosoma haematobium* causes genitourinary schistosomiasis. Other *Schistosoma* species have been recognized less commonly as agents of intestinal schistosomiasis in humans (18). Pinto-Almeida *et al.*, (19) demonstrated that drug resistance by *Schistosoma mansoni* to praziquantel (commonly employed drug) is mediated by efflux pump proteins, including P-glycoprotein and multidrug resistance-associated proteins.

1.3.4 Trypanosomiasis

The trypanosomiasis consists of a group of diseases caused by parasitic protozoa of the genus *Trypanosoma*. There are two main parasites such as *Trypanosoma brucei* which causes the sleeping sickness or human African trypanosomiasis and *Trypanosoma cruzi* which causes the Chagas' disease or American trypanosomiasis (20). These diseases are transmitted by several arthropod vectors such as Glossina and Triatomine. Chagas' disease causes 21,000 deaths per year mainly in Latin America (21). Benznidazole and Nifortimox only available drugs however have limited efficacy in the advanced stages of the disease (22). Liu et al., (23) and Rappa et al., (24) concluded that *Trypanosoma cruzi* develops resistance to the drugs after prolonged treatment. It was shown that this happens due to the overexpression of the

MDR1 gene, at high levels of the drug, which accumulates in the cells over time. Campos et al., (25) demonstrated that the drug resistance is continued throughout the life cycle of the worm.

2. Methods

The methodology is essentially similar to that in our earlier study on P-glycoproteins in priority infectious agents (26).

2.1 Determining the full helminthic complement of efflux pump proteins homologous to mammalian p-glycoprotein

The protein sequence of the human P-glycoprotein (P08183) was obtained from the SWISS-PROT database. The position-specific iterated BLAST (PSI-BLAST) was performed against a search set of non-redundant protein sequences in the organism of interest, using hPGP as the query. Through a PSI-BLAST search, a large set of related proteins are compiled. It is used to identify distant evolutionary relationships between protein sequences (27). In The algorithm parameters were set with an E-value of 0.001, and the scoring matrix BLOSUM62 was used. This step was performed on all four organisms of interest (*Leishmania major*, *Onchocerca volvulus*, *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Trypanosoma cruzi*). Hundreds of hits were obtained for P-glycoprotein, and these results were prioritized according to pre-determined parameters such as medical relevance, annotation status and the presence of conserved regions. Sequences having a high percentage of sequence identity and query coverage were prioritized. Specific UniProt searches of these protein sequences were performed using the Accession number. The results were analyzed, and the P-glycoprotein sequence of each organism was finalized.

2.2 Multiple Sequence Alignment

The templates chosen for multiple sequence alignment (MSA) were 4M1M (*Mus musculus*), 4F4C (*Canorhabditis elegans*), 3WME (*Cyanidioschyzon merolae*), 2HYD (*Staphylococcus aureus*), 3B5Z (*Salmonella enterica*). These five metazoan, algal and bacterial templates were used due to their high sequence identity with the hPGP sequence (Palaniappan *et al.*, 2016). The target sequences and the five templates were aligned using ClustalX 2.1 (28). MSA was performed in order to infer the homology and evolutionary relationship between the sequences of the biological dataset. The clustering algorithm used was Neighbour Joining (NJ). The phylogenetic distance between the target sequence and the templates was calculated.

2.3 Homology Modelling

The chosen p-glycoprotein sequences were used as target sequences for modelling using software such as SWISS-MODEL. SWISS-MODEL is an open-source, structural bioinformatics tool used for the automated comparative modeling of three-dimensional protein structures (29, 30). Several P-glycoprotein structures were modelled for each organism, using multiple templates. The templates having high sequence similarity with the target sequences were given preference. The models were built, and the PDB files of the structures were obtained.

2.4 Structure validation

The validity of the structures was checked using Procheck, an open-source tool used to assess the reliability of the protein structure. It is a part of the SWISS-MODEL server. The structures were refined using energy-minimisation protocols and the least energetic structure corresponding to each protein was chosen for docking studies. The criteria used to assess the quality of the structure include model geometry and the Ramachandran plot. The Ramachandran plot describes the rotation of the polypeptide backbone around the N-C_α(ϕ) and C-C_α(ψ) bonds. It provides an overview of the distribution of the torsion angles over the core, allowed, generous

and disallowed regions. The three main parameters used to select the structures were:

1. Overall Ramachandran value
2. Phylogenetic tree distance
3. Taxonomy

2.5 Creation of the Ligand Dataset

The ligand dataset was created by surveying the literature to determine the drugs which the pathogenic helminths are both sensitive and resistant to. Drug resistance which was conferred via efflux pump activity was given importance. This set of ligands was created for each efflux pump, comprising of known and potential antibiotics. The canonical SMILES (*simplified molecular-input line-entry system*) of each drug was retrieved from the PubChem database. The PDB model of each antibiotic was then generated using MarvinView by converting the canonical SMILES (31).

2.6 Protein and Ligand Preparation

The efflux pump proteins and ligands were individually docked using the AutoDock Version 4.2.6 suite of programs (32). The software consists of two main programs: AutoGrid, which pre-calculates a set of grid points on the receptor, and AutoDock, which docks the ligand to the receptor through the grids. The PDB files of the P-glycoprotein structures and the ligands were modified through the addition of Gasteiger charges, followed by the addition and merging of hydrogen atoms to each structure. These modified structures were then saved as PDBQT files using the AutoDock tools. A uniform grid box was then defined and centered in the internal binding cavity of each P-glycoprotein structure, and the affinity maps were generated using AutoGrid. This procedure was repeated for each protein- drug complex.

2.7 Molecular Docking of the Helminthic Efflux Pumps with Known and Potential Antibiotics

Each drug was individually docked with each target protein using AutoDock 4.2.6. The local search algorithm used was the Lamarckian genetic algorithm, set to its default parameters. The docking parameters were set to 250000 cycles per run and 10 runs per protein-drug complex, to obtain the 10 best poses for each complex. The best pose was defined as the conformation having the least binding energy. The ten poses obtained for each receptor-ligand pair were clustered at 2.0 Å r.m.s. to validate the convergence to the best pose. The AutoDock was run, and the PDBQT file of the best pose of each docked complex was generated.

The results were analysed to verify whether the pathogenic strain could develop resistance to known antibiotics using efflux pump activity and if the novel antibiotics could be effective against the development of such resistance.

2.8 Calculation of Differential Ligand Binding Affinity

The differential binding affinities of the repurposed ligands were determined using the conventionally used drugs as a baseline. A lower value is indicative of a more stable complex. The differential affinity of the potential drug for a given efflux pump protein relative to the known drug is estimated as the difference in the binding energies of the known and potential drugs.

$$\Delta\Delta G_{\text{invest,known}} = \Delta G_{\text{bind,potential}} - \Delta G_{\text{bind,known}}$$

Where $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{invest,known}}$ = Differential ligand affinity, kcal/mol

ΔG_{bind} = Free energy of binding, kcal/mol

2.9 Identification of Interacting Residues in Each Docked Complex

The best pose of each docked complex was viewed using RasMol (33) and all interacting residues within a radius of 4.5 Å of the ligand were selected. The PDBQT file of each restricted complex was saved as a PDB file. The interacting residues of each docked complex were then analyzed.

3. Results and Discussion

Extensive literature searches on Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs) showed that leishmaniasis, onchocerciasis, schistosomiasis and trypanosomiasis have started exhibiting multidrug resistance, mediated by P-glycoprotein efflux pumps (11, 12, 25, 34). New drugs targeting NTD's are undergoing clinical trials (35-37) and efforts are being taken to uncover the mechanisms of drug resistance employed by the causative helminths. The sequence identity of each helminthic P-glycoprotein with the human P-glycoprotein (hPGP) which was retrieved from the UniProt database (UniProt ID: P08183) was determined by running a PSI-BLAST.

3.1 PSI-BLAST ANALYSIS:

The PSI-BLAST was performed on each target organism using hPGP as the query. The results were refined according to pre-determined parameters such as medical relevance, annotation status and the presence of conserved regions. The chosen efflux pump protein sequences were shown in table 1.

Organism	Name of protein	Sequence length	% of identity	Query coverage	Max score
<i>Leishmania major</i>	p-glycoprotein	1341	36%	98%	767
<i>Onchocerca volvulus</i>	p-glycoprotein	1278	37%	97%	776
<i>Schistosoma mansoni</i>	SMDR2	1254	40%	98%	889
<i>Trypanosoma cruzi</i>	p-glycoprotein	1034	29%	30%	79.7

Table 1: PSI-BLAST results of the target organisms using hPGP as the query.

The top hits of each PSI-BLAST were analyzed, and the hit having the highest Max Score was chosen only in the case of *Leishmania major* and *Onchocerca volvulus*. These protein sequences were fully annotated and had high sequence identities over a large portion of the protein sequence. The top hits of the PSI-BLAST of *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Trypanosoma cruzi* with hPGP yielded results having high Max Scores, but low query coverage. These protein sequences were also found to be unannotated. For these reasons, the proteins which had a lower Max Score in comparison to other results, but satisfied other parameters, were chosen.

3.2 Template Selection and Multiple Sequence Alignment:

The following metazoan, algal and bacterial crystal structures were selected as potential templates for homology modeling (38). This justifies their use as templates for MSA in all subsequent steps.

TEMPLATE	ORGANISM
4M1M	<i>Mus musculus</i>
4F4C	<i>Canorhabditis elegans</i>
3WME	<i>Cyanidioschyzon merolae</i>
2HYD	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
3B5Z	<i>Salmonella enteric</i>

Table 2: Templates chosen for multiple sequence alignment.

Each target protein sequence was aligned with the chosen template using ClustalX 2.1. The MSA between *Leishmania major* and the 4M1M and 4F4C templates showed the highest sequence identity, as shown in Figure 1. Thus, these two templates were given the highest priority in all succeeding steps.

Figure 1: Multiple sequence alignment of P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*] (target sequence) with the templates of interest. Identical residues are marked with *.

Additionally, the MSA was performed and the phylogenetic distance between the organisms was calculated (shown in Table. 3). The clustering algorithm used was NJ.

Template	PHYLOGENETIC DISTANCE			
	<i>Leishmania major</i>	<i>Onchocerca volvulus</i>	<i>Schistosoma mansoni</i>	<i>Trypanosoma cruzi</i>
4M1M	0.685	0.648	0.646	0.847*
4F4C	0.642	0.638	0.605	0.861
3WME	0.653	0.679	0.649	0.867
2HYD	0.72	0.709	0.694	0.826
3B5Z	0.731	0.707	0.698	0.841

Table 3: Phylogenetic distance between the target sequence of each organism and each template.

*The distance between T. cruzi and 4M1M is prioritized as the 4M1M and 4F4C templates were found to have a higher sequence identity with the helminths.

3.3 HOMOLOGY MODELLING:

The chosen P-glycoprotein sequences of the organisms were used as target sequences for homology modeling using the SWISS-MODELLER. Each protein was modeled using several templates, and the pre-determined templates were used if they were found to have a fairly high GMQE score. Each modeled structure was saved as a PDB file. The results are summarized in Table 4.

Organism	Template	Sequence identity	Query coverage	GMQE
----------	----------	-------------------	----------------	------

<i>Leishmania major</i>	4F4C	34.43	0.91	0.64
	4M1M	36.09	0.90	0.65
	3WME	37.30	0.43	0.29
	4Q9I	36.20	0.90	0.65
	4KSC	38.25	0.90	0.64
	4KSB	38.25	0.90	0.64
	5KPJ	38.25	0.90	0.66
<i>Onchocerca volvulus</i>	4F4C	38.83	0.97	0.69
	4M1M	37.10	0.96	0.69
	3WME	31.75	0.44	0.33
	3G5U	38.77	0.95	0.66
	4KSB	38.77	0.95	0.67
	4Q9I	36.97	0.95	0.69
	4LSG	38.77	0.95	0.67
<i>Schistosoma mansoni</i>	4F4C	38.60	0.97	0.69
	4M1M	36.09	0.90	0.65
	3G5U	39.41	0.97	0.68
	3G60	42.11	0.95	0.68
	5KPJ	39.52	0.97	0.70
	4KSC	42.11	0.95	0.69
	4KSB	42.11	0.95	0.69
<i>Trypanosoma cruzi</i>	4LSG	39.41	0.97	0.69
	4F4C	15.11	0.81	0.45
	4M1M	14.36	0.78	0.29
	3WME	18.37	0.51	0.33
	4KSC	14.23	0.79	0.44
	3G5U	14.46	0.78	0.43
	5TSI	23.43	0.90	0.52
	4LSG	14.46	0.78	0.43

Table 4: Homology modeling results.

GMQE (Global Model Quality Estimation) is a score which provides an estimation of the quality of the alignment. It is expressed as a value between 0 and 1, where the reliability of the model is directly proportional to the score. The GMQE of the homology models are found to be (mostly) between 0.60 and 0.70 for all organisms, with the exception of *Trypanosoma cruzi* which gave scores in the range 0.29 to 0.52.

The templates 4M1M, 4F4C and 3WME were found to be comparatively more reliable. Hence, only the protein structures modeled using these templates were used for further validation studies.

3.4 STRUCTURE VALIDATION:

The quality of each structure was assessed using Procheck. Criteria such as model geometry and the Ramachandran plot were used to validate the structures. The PDB file of each structure was used to run the Procheck, and the Ramachandran plot values were obtained. The Ramachandran values are summarized in Table 5.

The structures were finalized by analyzing overall Ramachandran value, Phylogenetic tree distance, Taxonomy parameters. The 4F4C template was found to be suitable for all the organisms excluding *Leishmania major*, for which the 4M1M template was, selected (Table 5).

<i>Leishmania major</i>								
Template	Core region	Additionally allowed region	Generally allowed region	Disallowed region	No. of residues	Query coverage	Sequence identity	Phylogenetic distance

4F4C	90.8	8.1	1.2	0	1250	0.43	34.43	0.685
4M1M	92	6.4	1.4	0.2	1188	0.9	36.09	0.642
3WME	94.6	4.6	0.4	0.4	573	0.43	36.46	0.653
<i>Onchocerca volvulus</i>								
Templat	Core region	Additionally allowed region	Generously allowed region	Disallowed region	No. of residues	Query coverage	Sequence identity	Phylogenetic distance
4F4C	90.8	8.1	1.2	0	1250	0.97	38.83	0.648
4M1M	91.1	7.6	1.2	0.1	571	0.95	37.1	0.638
3WME	93.1	5.8	0.6	0.6	1180	0.45	33.51	0.679
<i>Schistosoma mansoni</i>								
Templat	Core region	Additionally allowed region	Generously allowed region	Disallowed region	No. of residues	Query coverage	Sequence identity	Phylogenetic distance
4F4C	90.8	8.1	1.2	0	1250	0.97	38.6	0.646
4M1M	91.1	6.9	1.8	0.2	572	0.46	36.6	0.605
3WME	93.7	5.8	0.2	0.4	567	0.45	38.31	0.649
<i>Trypanosoma cruzi</i>								
Templat	Core region	Additionally allowed region	Generously allowed region	Disallowed region	No. of residues	Query coverage	Sequence identity	Phylogenetic distance
4F4C	90.8	8.1	1.2	0	1250	0.81	15.11	0.847
4M1M	86.0	11.9	1.8	0.2	1034	0.51	17.80	0.867
3WME	89.8	8	1.6	0.6	573	0.51	18.37	0.861

Table 5: Justification of the template chosen for each organism using the Ramachandran plot values and the phylogenetic distance between the target protein and the template.

3.4.1 Validation of the P-glycoprotein structure modeled using the 4M1M template for *Leishmania major*:

The Ramachandran plots having a core region of at least 90% are prioritized for further studies. The core, allowed, generous and disallowed regions are coloured and distinguished (Figure 2). The red, brown, and yellow regions represent the favored, allowed, and generously allowed regions.

(a)

Plot statistics

Residues in most favoured regions [A,B,L]	974	92.0%
Residues in additional allowed regions [a,b,l,p]	68	6.4%
Residues in generously allowed regions [-a,-b,-l,-p]	15	1.4%
Residues in disallowed regions	2	0.2%
Number of non-glycine and non-proline residues	1059	100.0%
Number of end-residues (excl. Gly and Pro)	4	
Number of glycine residues (shown as triangles)	95	
Number of proline residues	30	
Total number of residues	1188	

Based on an analysis of 118 structures of resolution of at least 2.0 Angstroms and R-factor no greater than 20%, a good quality model would be expected to have over 90% in the most favoured regions.

(b)

Figure 2: (a) The Ramachandran plot generated for P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*], modeled using the 4M1M template **(b)** Plot statistics of the P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*], modeled using the 4M1M template.

A more comprehensive analysis of the structure is provided by other programs which generate other data such as Phi- Psi graphs and Chi1-Chi2 plots for each residue type. Each Phi- Psi plot provides an analysis of the torsion angle of each residue type. The red, brown, and yellow regions represent the favored, allowed, and generously allowed regions (shown in Figure 3).

Figure 3: Phi- Psi plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of *Leishmania major*, modeled using the 4M1M template (a) Ala, (b) Arg and (c) Asn

The Chi1-Chi2 plot describes the side-chain torsion angles combinations for each amino acid (28). The darker regions indicate a more favourable angle combination (shown Figure 4).

Figure 4: Chi1- Chi2 plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of *Leishmania major*, modeled using the 4M1M template (a)Arg, (b)Asn and (c)Asp

3.4.2 Validation of the P-glycoprotein structure modeled using the 4F4C template for *Onchocerca volvulus*:

The Ramachandran plot obtained for this P-glycoprotein structure, modeled using the 4F4C template shows a core region value of 90.8% (Figure 5). Figure 6 provides an analysis of the torsion angle of each residue type. The darker regions indicate a more favourable angle combination (shown Figure 7)

Figure 5: (a) The Ramachandran plot generated for P-glycoprotein [*Onchocerca volvulus*], modeled using the 4F4C template (b) Plot statistics of the P-glycoprotein [*Onchocerca volvulus*], modeled using the 4F4C template.

Figure 6: Phi- Psi plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of *Onchocerca volvulus*, modeled using the 4F4C template (a) Ala, (b) Arg and (c) Asn

Figure 7: Chi1- Chi2 plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of *Onchocerca volvulus*, modeled using the 4F4C template. (a) Arg, (b) Asn and (c) Asp

3.4.3 Validation of the P-glycoprotein structure modeled using the 4F4C template for *Schistosoma mansoni*:

The Ramachandran plot obtained for this P-glycoprotein structure, modeled using the 4F4C template shows a core region value of 90.8% (Figure 8). Figure 9 provides an analysis of the torsion angle of each residue type. The darker regions indicate a more favourable angle combination (shown Figure 10)

Figure 8: (a) The Ramachandran plot generated for P-glycoprotein [*Schistosoma mansoni*], modeled using the 4F4C template (b) Plot statistics of the P-glycoprotein [*Schistosoma mansoni*], modeled using the 4F4C template.

Figure 9: Phi- Psi plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of *Schistosoma mansoni*, modeled using the 4F4C template. (a) Ala, (b) Arg and (c) Asn

Figure 10: Chi1- Chi2 plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of *Schistosoma mansoni*, modeled using the 4F4C template (a) Arg, (b) Asn and (c) Asp

3.4.4 Validation of the P-glycoprotein structure modeled using the 4F4C template for *Trypanosoma cruzi*:

The Ramachandran plot obtained for this P-glycoprotein structure, modeled using the 4F4C template shows a core region value of 90.8% (11). Figure 12 provides an analysis of the torsion angle of each residue type. The darker regions indicate a more favourable angle combination (shown Figure 13)

Figure 11: (a) The Ramachandran plot generated for P-glycoprotein [*Trypanosoma cruzi*], modeled using the 4F4C template (b) Plot statistics of the P-glycoprotein [*Trypanosoma cruzi*], modeled using the 4F4C template.

Figure 12: Phi- Psi plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, modeled using the 4F4C template (a) Ala, (b) Arg and (c) Asn

Figure 13: Chi1- Chi2 plot of residues of the P-glycoprotein structure of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, modeled using the 4F4C template (a) Arg, (b) Asn and (c) Asp

5.5 CREATION OF THE LIGAND DATASET:

Upon extensive survey of the literature, a comprehensive dataset of the known and potential drugs was compiled. The list of potential drugs comprises of both unapproved, investigational drugs which are undergoing phase trials, and FDA approved antibiotics. In this study, these known drugs have been repurposed for other helminthic diseases.

S. no.	Drug	PubChem CID	3-D Structure
--------	------	-------------	---------------

1.	Albendazole	2082
2.	Amphotericin B	5280965
3.	Artesunate	65664
4.	Benznidazole	5798
5.	Cladosporin	13990016
6.	Dapsone	2955
7.	Diethylcarbamazine	15432
8.	Emodepside	6918632
9.	Fexinidazole	68792
10.	Flubendazole	35802
11.	Fluconazole	3365
12.	Furozan	67517
13.	Imatinib	5291
14.	Ivermectin	6321424

15.	Jaspamide	9831636
16.	Mebendazole	4030
17.	Metrifonate	5853
18.	Miltefosine	3599
19.	Moxidectin	9832912
20.	Niclosamide	4477
21.	Nifurtimox	6842999
22.	Oxamniquine	4612
23.	Paromomycin	165580
24.	Pentamidine	4735
25.	Posaconazole	468595
26.	Praziquantel	4891
27.	Ravuconazole	467825
28.	Sodium stibogluconate	76968133

29.	Suramin	5361
30.	Terbinafine	1549008
31.	Thiabendazole	5430
32.	Tipifarnib	159324

Table 6: PubChem Compound ID and 3-D structure of the ligands used for docking studies. 3-D structures of the drugs are visualized using Python Molecular Viewer (PMV-1.5.6).

3.6 MOLECULAR DOCKING OF THE HELMINTHIC EFFLUX PUMPS WITH KNOWN AND POTENTIAL ANTIBIOTICS:

The molecular docking was carried out using the AutoDock suite of tools. The search algorithm used was the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm, and the docking parameters were set to 10 runs per protein-drug complex. Each docked complex yielded 10 poses, and the best pose was defined as the conformation possessing the least free binding energy.

3.6.1 Molecular docking results of benznidazole with P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*]:

The drug benznidazole is docked with P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*], and their interaction is studied (Table 7). The best pose has a free binding energy of -5.00 kcal/mol. The clustering was performed at 2.0 Å r.m.s. to validate the convergence to the best pose. The clustering figure (Figure 14) shows closer peaks near -2.5 kcal/mol, whereas the least binding energy of the complex, i.e. most clustering is at -5.66 kcal/mol. This shows that convergence to the best pose can be achieved through consecutive dockings with more iterations. Figure 14(b) depicts the binding site on the receptor and figure 15(c) shows the interacting residues in the benznidazole- P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*] docked complex viewed through RasMol 2.1

Rank of Complex	Free Binding Energy (kcal/mol)
1	-5.00
2	-4.84
3	-4.2
4	-4.41
5	-3.77
6	-3.48
7	-2.96
8	-2.64
9	-2.54
10	-2.48

Table 7: Interaction of the drug benznidazole with P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*]

Figure 14: (a) Clustering analysis of the benznidazole- P-glycoprotein docked complex. (b) Location of the binding site on the receptor (P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*]). (c) The interacting residues in the benznidazole- P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*] docked complex is viewed using RasMol 2.1.

5.6.2 Molecular docking results of niclosamide with P-glycoprotein [*Onchocerca volvulus*]:

The best pose has a free binding energy of -5.29 kcal/mol (Table 8). The clustering figure shows the most number of conformations at -1.30 kcal/mol (Figure 15). Figure 15(b) depicts the binding site on the receptor and figure 15(c) shows the interacting residues in the niclosamide- P-glycoprotein [*Onchocerca volvulus*] docked complex viewed through RasMol 2.1

Rank of Complex	Free binding energy (kcal/mol)
1	-5.29
2	-5.01
3	-4.78
4	-5.14
5	-5.08
6	-5.02
7	-4.59
8	-4.53
9	-4.42
10	34.78

Table 8: Interaction of the drug niclosamide with P-glycoprotein [*Onchocerca volvulus*]

Figure 15: (a) Clustering analysis of the niclosamide- P-glycoprotein docked complex. (b) Location of the binding site on the receptor (P-glycoprotein [*Onchocerca volvulus*]). (c) The interacting residues in the niclosamide- P-glycoprotein [*Onchocerca volvulus*] docked complex is viewed using RasMol 2.1.

3.6.3 Molecular docking results of praziquantel with P-glycoprotein [*Schistosoma mansoni*]:

The best pose has a free binding energy of -5.83 kcal/mol (Table 9). The clustering figure (Figure 16) shows the most number of conformations at -5.0 kcal/mol. Figure 16(b) depicts the binding site on the receptor and figure 22 shows the interacting residues in the Praziquantel - P-glycoprotein [*Schistosoma mansoni*] docked complex viewed through RasMol 2.1

Rank of Complex	Free binding energy (kcal/mol)
1	-5.83
2	-5.51
3	-5.21
4	-4.71
5	-4.47
6	-4.15
7	-3.57
8	9.34
9	29.83
10	36.47

Table 9: Interaction of the drug Praziquantel with P-glycoprotein [*Schistosoma mansoni*].

Figure 16: (a) Clustering analysis of the Praziquantel- P-glycoprotein docked complex. (b) Location of the binding site on the receptor (P-glycoprotein [*Schistosoma mansoni*]). (c) The interacting residues in the Praziquantel - P-glycoprotein [*Schistosoma mansoni*] docked complex is viewed using RasMol 2.1.

3.6.4 Molecular docking results of cladosporin with P-glycoprotein [*Trypanosoma cruzi*]:

The best pose has a free binding energy of -6.23 kcal/mol (Table 10). The clustering figure (Figure 17) shows the most number of conformations at -5.0 kcal/mol. Figure 17(b) depicts the binding site on the receptor and figure 17(c) shows the interacting residues in the the cladosporin - P-glycoprotein [*Trypanosoma cruzi*] docked complex viewed through RasMol 2.1

Rank of Complex	Free binding energy (kcal/mol)
1	-6.23
2	-6.04
3	-5.67
4	-4.81
5	-5.92
6	-5.25

7	-4.89
8	-4.83
9	-4.15
10	-3.34

Table 10: Interaction of the drug cladosporin with P-glycoprotein [*Trypanosoma cruzi*]

Figure 17: (a) Clustering analysis of the cladosporin- P-glycoprotein docked complex. (b) Location of the binding site on the receptor (P-glycoprotein [*Trypanosoma cruzi*]). (c) The interacting residues in the cladosporin - P-glycoprotein [*Trypanosoma cruzi*] docked complex is viewed using RasMol 2.1.

These steps were carried out for each receptor-ligand complex, and the least free binding energy of each docked complex was determined. These results are summarized in Table 11:

	KNOWN DRUGS	FREE BINDING ENERGY	INVESTIGATIONAL DRUGS	FREE BINDING ENERGY
L. major	Amphotericin B	-6.44	Cladosporin	-6.42
	Fluconazole	-3.12	Jaspamide	-5.98
	Pentamidine	-2.67	Nifurtimox	-5.66
	Miltefosine	1.21	Praziquantel	-5.59
			Dapsone	-5.48
			Benznidazole	-5
			Tipifarnib	-4.54
			Flubendazole	-4.36
			Terbinafine	-4.25
			Sodium stibogluconate	-3.7
			Paromomycin	-3.07
			Meglumine antimoniate	
T. cruzi	Nifurtimox	-5.22	Cladosporin	-6.23
	Benznidazole	-5.02	Tipifarnib	-5.87
			Jaspamide	-5.82
			Fexinidazole	-4.62
			Suramin	-4.25
			Ravuconazole	-3.69
			Posaconazole	-2.52

			AN2690	
O. volulus	Mebendazole	-5.36	Praziquantel	-6.16
	Albendazole	-5.22	Moxidectin	-5.53
	Suramin	-4.79	Niclosamide	-5.29
	Diethylcarbamazine	-3.76	Flubendazole	-4.58
	Ivermectin	-1.35	Thiabendazole	-4.35
			Metrifonate	-2.09
			Emodepside	-1.92
S. mansoni	Praziquantel	-5.83	Cladosporin	-6.07
	Mebendazole	-5.1	Jaspamide	-6.06
	Oxamniquine	-4.4	Niclosamide	-5.72
	Albendazole	-3.83	Nifurtimox	-5.62
			Artesunate	-5.12
			Benznidazole	-4.41
			Tipifarnib	-4.38
			Imatinib	-4.29
			Furozan	-4.27
			Suramin	-3.85
			Metrifonate	-3.54

Table 11: Free binding energy of all known and investigational drugs, including repurposed antibiotics.

3.7 Calculation of Differential Ligand Binding Affinity:

The differential affinity of the potential drug for a given efflux pump protein relative to the known drug is estimated as the difference in the binding energies of the known and potential drugs.

$$\Delta\Delta G_{\text{invest.}} = \Delta G_{\text{bind, potential}} - \Delta G_{\text{bind, known}}$$

Where $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{invest.}}$ = Differential ligand affinity, kcal/mol

ΔG_{bind} = Free energy of binding, kcal/mol

For each disease, the differential ligand binding affinity is calculated for every known-potential drug pair. The $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{investational}}$ values are given in Table 12. All values are expressed in kcal/mol. The drugs having $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{invest}}$ values greater than the $\Delta G_{\text{invest.}}$ values may have better antihelminthic activity.

Leishmaniasis	ΔG unknown	$\Delta\Delta G$ amphotericin B	$\Delta\Delta G$ Fluconazole	$\Delta\Delta G$ Pentamidine	$\Delta\Delta G$ Miltefosine	
Cladosporin	-6.42	0.02	-3.3	-3.75	-7.63	
Jaspamide	-5.98	0.46	-2.86	-3.31	-7.19	
Nifurtimox	-5.66	0.78	-2.54	-2.99	-6.87	
Praziquantel	-5.59	0.85	-2.47	-2.92	-6.8	
Dapsone	-5.48	0.96	-2.36	-2.81	-6.69	
Benznidazole	-5	1.44	-1.88	-2.33	-6.21	
Tipifarnib	-4.54	1.9	-1.42	-1.87	-5.75	
Flubendazole	-4.36	2.08	-1.24	-1.69	-5.57	
Terbinafine	-4.25	2.19	-1.13	-1.58	-5.46	
Sodium stibogluconate	-3.7	2.74	-0.58	-1.03	-4.91	
Paromomycin	-3.07	3.37	0.05	-0.4	-4.28	
Trypanosomiasis	ΔG unknown	$\Delta\Delta G$ Benznidazole	$\Delta\Delta G$ Nifurtimox			
Cladosporin	-6.23	-1.21	-1.01			
Tipifarnib	-5.87	-0.85	-0.65			
Jaspamide	-5.82	-0.8	-0.6			
Fexinidazole	-4.62	0.4	0.6			
Suramin	-4.25	0.77	0.97			

Ravuconazole	-3.69	1.33	1.53			
Posaconazole	-2.52	2.5	2.7			
Onchocerciasis	ΔG unknown	$\Delta\Delta G$ Mebendazole	$\Delta\Delta G$ Albendazole	$\Delta\Delta G$ Suramin	$\Delta\Delta G$ Diethylcarbamazine	$\Delta\Delta G$ Ivermectin
Praziquantel	-6.16	-0.8	-0.94	-1.37	-2.4	-4.81
Moxidectin	-5.53	-0.17	-0.31	-0.74	-1.77	-4.18
Nidocamide	-5.29	0.07	-0.07	-0.5	-1.53	-3.94
Flubendazole	-4.58	0.78	0.64	0.21	-0.82	-3.23
Thiabendazole	-4.35	1.01	0.87	0.44	-0.59	-3
Metrifonate	-2.09	3.27	3.13	2.7	1.67	-0.74
Emodepside	-1.92	3.44	3.3	2.87	1.84	-0.57
Schistosomiasis	ΔG unknown	$\Delta\Delta G$ Praziquantel	$\Delta\Delta G$ Mebendazole	$\Delta\Delta G$ Oxamniquine	$\Delta\Delta G$ Albendazole	
Cladosporin	-6.07	-0.24	-0.97	-1.67	-2.24	
Jaspamide	-6.06	-0.23	-0.96	-1.66	-2.23	
Nidocamide	-5.72	0.11	-0.62	-1.32	-1.89	
Nifurtimox	-5.62	0.21	-0.52	-1.22	-1.79	
Artesunate	-5.12	0.71	-0.02	-0.72	-1.29	
Benznidazole	-4.41	1.42	0.69	-0.01	-0.58	
Tipifarnib	-4.38	1.45	0.72	0.02	-0.55	
Imatinib	-4.29	1.54	0.81	0.11	-0.46	
Furozan	-4.27	1.56	0.83	0.13	-0.44	
Suramin	-3.85	1.98	1.25	0.55	-0.02	
Metrifonate	-3.54	2.29	1.56	0.86	0.29	

Table 12: Differential ligand binding affinity for each known-potential drug pair.

All values are expressed in kcal/mol. It can be inferred from these results, that many of the repurposed anti-parasitic drugs show promise for treatment against other helminths. The results shown in table 12 serve as an indicator of which drugs may be promising antihelminthics:

1. Leishmaniasis: Cladosporin (-7.63 kcal/mol), Jaspamide (-7.19 kcal/mol) and Nifurtimox (-6.87 kcal/mol).
2. Trypanosomiasis: Cladosporin (-1.21 kcal/mol) and Tipifarnib (-0.85 kcal/mol)
3. Schistosomiasis: Cladosporin (-2.24 kcal/mol) and Jaspamide (-2.23 kcal/mol)
4. Onchocerciasis: Praziquantel (-4.81 kcal/mol) and Moxidectin (-4.18 kcal/mol)

3.8 Analysis of Interacting Residues in each Docked Complex:

The best pose of each docked complex was viewed using RasMol 2.1, and all interacting residues within a radius of 4.5 Å of the ligand were restricted and analyzed. The results are summarized in Tables 13 -16.

The interacting residues are shown and the binding pockets found in each protein sequence with respect to different drugs are highlighted. Analysis of the interacting residues, showed certain binding pockets in each efflux pump protein studied. Certain residues were found to be preferred over others, for drug binding. These preferred binding pockets are:

1. P-glycoprotein [*Leishmania major*]:
(Ser470, Glu472, Val474, Ile897, Glu898, Asn899, Phe900, Arg901, Thr902, Ser905)
2. P-glycoprotein [*Onchocerca volvulus*]:
(Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Arg867)
3. P-glycoprotein [*Schistosoma mansoni*]:
(Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys276)
4. P-glycoprotein [*Trypanosoma cruzi*]:
(Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Arg867)
(Gly917, Arg918, Arg919, Phe920, Gly922, Lys923)
(Phe1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Pro1039)

Receptor	Drug	Interacting residues
4M1M	Amphotericin B	Thr172, Asp173, Ser176, Ala683, Asp687, Ser876, Ala879, Leu880, Lys883, Lys884, Glu887, Lys996
	Fluconazole	Val129, Cys133, Ala136, Asn179, Glu180, Gly181, Gly183, Asp184, Lys185, Met188, Leu875, Asp882, Lys930, Phe934
	Pentamidine	Glu239, Leu240, Ala242, Tyr243, Ala244, Gly247, Ala248, Glu251, Arg785, Thr811, Ala815, Asn816, Ala819, Gln820
	Miltefosine	Asp173, Ser176, Lys177, Glu180, Lys185, Leu875, Ala879, Leu880, Lys883
	Cladosporin	Gln434, Leu437, Leu439, Val468, Ser470, Glu472, Val474, Asn899, Arg901, Thr902, Ser905
	Jaspamide	Leu254, Ala255, Ala256, Ile257, Arg258, Thr259, Phe800, Asn805, Thr806, Thr807, Gly808, Leu810, Glu1115, Ile1117
	Nifurtimox	Ala288, Asn292, Gln769, Gly770, Phe773, Gly774, Glu778, Ala819, Gln820, Lys822, Gly823, Ser827, Phe990, Pro992
	Praziquantel	Leu254, Ala255, Ala256, Ile257, Arg258, Thr259, Phe800, Asn805, Thr806, Thr807, Leu810, Ser1113
	Dapsone	Val474, Leu475, Phe476, Ala477, Gly521, Glu522, Lys523, Lys891, Thr894, Glu895, Glu898, Asn899, His1003, Arg1006, Ile1007, Lys1010
	Benznidazole	Asp685, Val688, Pro689, Trp799, Asp802, Lys804, Asn805, Arg813, His1003, Arg1006, Ile1007, Lys1010
	Tipifarnib	Ala244, Gly247, Ala248, Val249, Glu251, Glu252, Asp1120, Gly1166, Asp1167, Lys1168
	Flubendazole	Phe159, Asp160, His162, Asp163, Val164, Ser470, Glu472, Val474, Ile897, Gly898, Asn899, Phe900, Arg901, Thr902
	Terbinafine	Phe159, Val164, Gln434, Gln437, Leu439, Val468, Ser470, Glu472, Val474, Ile897, Glu898, Asn899, Phe900, Arg901, Thr902, Ser905
	Sodium stibogluconate	Ser470, Glu472, Pro473, Val474, Leu475, Ala477, Glu522, Lys532, Glu895, Glu898, Asn899, Arg901, Thr902
	Paromomycin	Val164, Glu472, Pro473, Val474, Glu522, Glu898, Asn899

Table 13: Interacting residues between the P-glycoprotein of *Leishmania major* and the chosen drugs.

Receptor	Drug	Interacting residues
4F4C	Mebendazole	Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys276, Lys315, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Arg867
	Albendazole	Glu36, Gly37, Asp38, Ile40, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Val305, Ala308, Lys309, Glu823, Thr826, Arg827, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Arg867
	Suramin	Lys720, Leu723, Ser724, Lys727, Lys923, Val925, Lys936
	Diethylcarbamazine	Arg918, Arg919, Phe920, Gly922, Lys923, Asn924, Gln979
	Ivermectin	Arg172, Thr197, Phe200, Asp201, Glu204, Lys720, Lys923, Asn924, Val925, Ala928, Phe931, Ala932, Gly935, Lys936, Ile939
	Praziquantel	Leu11, Glu165, Lys207, Asp212, Arg918, Phe920, Lys923, Asn924, Ser927, Phe931, Ala972, Glu975, Gln979
	Moxidectin	Leu11, Arg12, Asp15, Lys26, Lys30, Glu33, Pro374, Gln913, Tyr914, Arg916, Gly917, Gly1032, Phe1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Pro1039
	Niclosamide	Asn4, Gly5, Ser6, Leu7, Ile48, Thr49, Val56, Lys59, Gly380, Thr381, Gln383, Gly384
	Flubendazole	Glu36, Gly37, Ser42, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Asn865, Arg867, Lys1043
	Thiabendazole	Phe504, Asn505, Cys506, Asp933, Lys936, Ile937, Glu940, Phe957, Asn960, Lys964
	Metrifonate	Gln840, His841, Gly843, Phe844, Ser847, Gln849, Asn850, Lys1057, Ile1058, Lys1060
	Emodepside	Arg172, Thr197, Phe200, Asp201, Glu204, Asp550, Val925, Ser929, Phe931, Ala932, Gly935, Lys936, Ile939

Table 14: Interacting residues between the P-glycoprotein of *Onchocerca volulus* and the chosen drugs.

Receptor	Drug	Interacting residues
4F4C	Nifurtimox	Asn733, Asn734, Gln849, Asn850, Arg1056, Lys1057, Ile1058
	Benznidazole	Asn4, Gly5, Ser6, Leu7, Thr49, Glu55, Val56, Arg205, Thr381, Gly384, Ala385
	Cladosporin	Tyr35, Glu36, Ile40, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys315, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Arg867
	Tipifarnib	Gly373, Asp38, Ile40, Asp41, Ser42,

		Asn43, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Arg830, Ser856, Thr857, Ala860, Thr861, Arg867
	Jaspamide	Gln913, Tyr914, Arg916, Gly917, Arg918, Arg919, Lys923, Gly1032, Phe1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Phe1038, pro1039
	Fexinidazole	Gly37, Asp38, Ile40, Asp41, Ser42, Asn43, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Arg830, Ser856, Ala860, Thr861, Pro864, Arg867
	Suramin	,Lys727, Lys923, Val925
	Ravuconazole	Ala910, Gln913, Tyr914, Gly917, Arg919, Gly1032, Phe1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Pro1039
	Posaconazole	Glu33, Leu161, Gly917, Arg918, Arg919, Phe920, Gly922, Lys923, Asn924, Glu975, Ala976, Gln979, Phe1033, Thr1035, Ser1036, Pro1039

Table 15: Interacting residues between the P-glycoprotein of *Schistosoma mansoni* and the chosen drugs.

Receptor	Drug	Interacting residues
4F4C	Praziquantel	Asn505, Arg551, Asp933, Lys936, Ile937, Ile939, Glu940, Glu943, Asn944, Lys964
	Mebendazole	Arg172, Thr197, Phe200, Asp201, Glu204, Lys207, Asn924, Ala928, Phe931, Ala932, Gly935, Ile939
	Oxamniquine	Ile40, Asp41, Ser42, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Arg830, Ser856, Ala860, Pro864, Arg867
	Albendazole	Ser42, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys276, Lys315, Arg830, Ala860, Arg867
	Cladosporin	Tyr35, Glu36, Gly37, Ile40, Phe263, Ala264, Ile265, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Lys315, Arg830, Thr861, Asn865, Arg867, Thr868, Glu1040, Lys1043
	Jaspamide	Leu161, Lys207, Glu208, Gly211, Asp212, Lys213, Gly917, Arg918, Arg919, Phe920, Gly922, Lys923, Asn924, Gln979
	Niclosamide	Lys26, Lys30, Ala910, Gln913, Tyr914, Arg916, Gly917, Leu1031, Gly1032, Phe1033, Thr1035
	Nifurtimox	Tyr35, Glu36, Gly37, Ile40, Phe263, Ala264, Glu267, Thr268, Lys315, Pro864, Asn865, Arg867, Thr868, Glu1040, Lys1043
	Artesunate	Arg8, Leu11, Arg12, Asp15, Lys26, Lys30, Leu371, Pro374, Arg916,

		Phe1033, Thr1035
	Benznidazole	Asn505, Arg551, Asp933, Lys936, Ile937, Glu940, Lys964
	Tipifarnib	Leu161, Glu204, Lys207, Glu208, Gly211, Asp212, Lys213, Val378, Asn924
	Imatinib	Ser42, Asn43, Glu267, Tr268, Tyr271, Ala272, Gly275, Lys276, Arg830, Ala860, Thr861, Arg867,
	Furozan	Ala910, Gln913, Tyr914, Arg916, Gly917, Arg919, Lys923, Gly1032, Thr1035, Ser1036, Phe1038, Pro1039
	Suramin	Asn4, Arg8, Asp51, Glu55, Thr194, Asp201, Asn202, Arg205, Glu716, Gly719, Lys720, Asp721
	Metrifonate	Ile40, Phe263, Ala264, Glu267, Thr268, Tyr271, Ala308, Lys315, Arg830, Ala860, Pro864, Arg867

Table 16: Interacting residues between the P-glycoprotein of *Trypanosoma cruzi* and the chosen drugs.

4. Conclusions

The study of the human P-glycoprotein homologs, namely the P-glycoproteins of *Leishmania major*, *Onchocerca volvulus*, *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Trypanosoma cruzi* has provided an insight into their drug resistance mechanisms. The investigational drugs like Cladosporin, jaspamide, nifurtimox and tipifarnib are strong contenders for novel antihelminthic treatment. Known drugs such as praziquantel and moxidectin have shown great promise for use as treatment against other helminthic diseases

5. References

1. Nikaido H. Multidrug resistance in bacteria. Annu Rev Biochem. 2009;78:119–146. DOI:10.1146/annurev.biochem.78.082907.145923
2. Jadwiga Handzlik , Anna Matys, Katarzyna Kieć-Kononowicz. Recent Advances in Multi-Drug Resistance (MDR) Efflux Pump Inhibitors of Gram-Positive Bacteria *S. aureus*. Antibiotics. 2013;2(1):28-45. DOI: 10.3390/antibiotics2010028
3. Sharma A, Gupta VK, Pathania R. Efflux pump inhibitors for bacterial pathogens: From bench to bedside. Indian J Med Res. 2019;149(2):129–145. DOI:10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_2079_17
4. Higgins C. F, Callaghan R., Linton K. J., Rosenberg M.F. and Ford R.C. Seminars in Cancer Biology. Structure of the multidrug resistance P-glycoprotein. 1997; 8:135-142
5. Amin ML. P-glycoprotein Inhibition for Optimal Drug Delivery. Drug Target Insights. 2013;7:27–34. DOI:10.4137/DTI.S12519
6. Jin, M.S., Oldham M.L., Zhang Q., and Chen J. Crystal structure of the multidrug transporter P-glycoprotein from *C. Elegans*. Nature. 2012;490(7421):566-9. DOI: 10.1038/nature11448
7. Sauna, Z.E., Muller, M.M., Kerr, K.M. and Ambudkar, S.V. The mechanism of Action of Multidrug-Resistance- Linked P-glycoprotein. Journal of Bioenergetics and Biomembranes. 2001;33(6):481-491. DOI: 10.1023/A:1012875105006

8. Fortuna, A., Alves, G. and Falcao, A. In vitro and in vivo relevance of the P-glycoprotein probe substrates in drug discovery and development: Focus on Rhodamine 123, Digoxin and Talinolol. *Journal of Bioequivalence and Bioavailability*. 2012;01(02):22. DOI: 10.4172/jbb.S2-001
9. Sheps, J.A., Ralph, S., Zhao, Z. *et al.* The ABC transporter gene family of *Caenorhabditis elegans* has implications for the evolutionary dynamics of multidrug resistance in eukaryotes. *Genome Biol.* 5:R15. DOI:10.1186/gb-2004-5-3-r15
10. Laing, N., Speicher, Smith, C.D., Tew, K.D. P-glycoprotein binding and modulation of the multidrug resistance phenotype by Estramustine. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 1994;86(9):688-94. DOI:10.1093/jnci/86.9.688
11. Bourguinat, C., Ardelli, B.F., Pion, S.D.S, Kamgno, J., Gardon, J., Duke, B.O.L., Boussinesq, M., Prichard, R.K. P-glycoprotein-like protein, a possible genetic marker for ivermectin resistance selection in *Onchocerca volvulus*. *Molecular & Biochemical Parasitology*. 2008;158(2):101-11. DOI: 10.1016/j.molbiopara.2007.11.017
12. Gamarro, F., Chiquero, M.J., Amador, M.V. and Castanys. P-Glycoprotein overexpression in methotrexate-resistant *Leishmania*. *Biochemical Pharmacology*. 1994;47(11):1939-1947. DOI: 10.1016/0006-2952(94)90067-1
13. Piscopo TV, Mallia Azzopardi C. Leishmaniasis. *Postgrad Med J*. 2007;83(976):649–657. DOI:10.1136/pgmj.2006.047340corr1
14. Barrett, M.P and Croft, S.L. Management of trypanosomiasis and leishmaniasis. *British Medical Bulletin*. 2012; 104:175-96. DOI: 10.1093/bmb/ldso31.
15. Wyllie S, Cunningham ML, Fairlamb AH. Dual action of antimonial drugs on thiol redox metabolism in the human pathogen *Leishmania donovani*. *J Biol Chem*. 2004;279(38):39925–39932. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M405635200
16. Renata O.A. Soares, Aurea Echevarria, Myrtes S.S. Bellieny, Rosa T. Pinho, Rosa M.M. de Leo, Wellington S. Seguin, Gêrzia M. Machado, Marilene M. Canto-Cavalheiro, Leonor L. Leon. Evaluation of thiosemicarbazones and semicarbazones as potential agents anti-*Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Experimental Parasitology*. 2011;129(4):381-387. DOI:10.1016/j.exppara.2011.08.019.
17. Nilanthi R de Silva, Simon Brooker, Peter J Hotez, Antonio Montresor, Dirk Engels, Lorenzo Savioli. Soil-transmitted helminth infections: updating the global picture. *Trends in Parasitology*. 2003;19(12):547-551. DOI:10.1016/j.pt.2003.10.002
18. Colley DG, Bustinduy AL, Secor WE, King CH. Human schistosomiasis. *Lancet*. 2014;383(9936):2253–2264. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61949-2
19. Pinto-Almeida A, Mendes T, Armada A, et al. The Role of Efflux Pumps in *Schistosoma mansoni* Praziquantel Resistant Phenotype. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(10):e0140147. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0140147
20. Fernando Cobo, 10 - Trypanosomiasis, Editor(s): Fernando Cobo, Imported Infectious Diseases, Woodhead Publishing, 2014, Pages 137-153, ISBN 9781907568572, DOI:10.1533/9781908818737.137
21. Maya, J.D., Cassels, B.K., Iturriaga-Vásquez, P. Mode of action of natural and synthetic drugs against *Trypanosoma cruzi* and their interaction with the mammalian host. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A Molecular and Integrative Physiology*. 2007;146 (4):601–620. DOI:10.1016/j.cbpa.2006.03.004

22. Bahia MT, de Andrade IM, Martins TA, et al. Fexinidazole: a potential new drug candidate for Chagas disease. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6(11):e1870. DOI:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001870
23. Liu J, Hajibeigi A, Ren G, Lin M, Siyambalapitiyage W, Liu Z, Simpson E, Parkey RW, Sun X, Oz OK. Retention of the radiotracers ⁶⁴Cu-ATSM and ⁶⁴Cu-PTSM in human and murine tumors is influenced by MDR1 protein expression. J Nucl Med. 2009;50(8):1332–1339. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.109.061879
24. Rappa G, Lorico A, Liu MC, Kruh GD, Cory AH, Cory JG, Sartorelli AC. Overexpression of the multidrug resistance genes *mdr1*, *mdr3* and *mrp* in L1210 leukemia cells resistant to inhibitors of ribonucleotide reductase. Biochem Pharmacol. 1997; 54:649–655. DOI: 10.1016/s0006-2952(97)00210-4
25. Campos, M.C.O., Castro-Pinto, D.B., Ribeiro, G.A., Berredo-Pinho, M.M., Gomes, L.H.F., Bellieny, M.S., Goulart, C.M., Echevarria, Á., Leon, L.L. P-glycoprotein efflux pump plays an important role in *Trypanosoma cruzi* drug resistance. Parasitology Resource. 2010;112:2341–2351. DOI: 10.1007/s00436-010-1988-6
26. Arvindh Kumar, Sangeetha Muthamilselvan and Ashok Palaniappan, Computational Studies of Drug Repurposing Targeting P-Glycoprotein-Mediated Multidrug Resistance Phenotypes in Priority Infectious Agents, 2020. Creatinine - A Comprehensive Update. Intechopen DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.90745
27. Bhagwat M, Aravind L. PSI-BLAST Tutorial. In: Bergman NH, editor. Comparative Genomics: Volumes 1 and 2. Totowa (NJ): Humana Press; 2007. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2590/.ch10>
28. Chenna R., Sugawara H., Koike T., Lopez R., Gibson T.J., Higgins D.G. and Thompson J.D. Multiple sequence alignment with the Clustal series of programs. Nucleic Acids Research. 2013;31(13): 3497–3500. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkg500
29. Biasini, M., Bienert, S., Waterhouse, A., Arnold, K., Studer, G., Schmidt, T., Kiefer, F., Cassarino, M. Berton, T.G., Bordoli, L., Schwede, T. SWISS-MODEL: Modelling Protein Tertiary And Quaternary Structure Using Evolutionary Information. Nucleic Acids Research. 2014;42 (Web Server issue):W252–W258. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gku340
30. Schwede, T., Kopp, J., Guex, N. and Peitsch, M.C. SWISS-MODEL: an automated protein homology-modeling server. Nucleic Acids Research. 2003;31(13):3381–3385. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkg520
31. D. Weininger, A. Weininger, J. L. Weininger. SMILES 2. Algorithm for Generation of Unique SMILES Notation. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1989; 29(2): 97–101. DOI: 10.1021/ci00062a008
32. Morris, G. M., Huey, R., Lindstrom, W., Sanner, M. F., Belew, R. K., Goodsell, D. S. and Olson, A. J. Autodock4 and AutoDockTools4: automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. J. Computational Chemistry. 2009;30(16): 2785–91. DOI: 10.1002/jcc.21256
33. Sayle R.A., Milner-White, E.J. RASMOL: Biomolecular Graphics For All. Trends in Biochemical Science. 1995; 20(9):374. DOI: 10.1016/s0968-0004(00)89080-5
34. Messerli, S.M., Kasinathan, R.S., Morgan, W., Spranger, S., and Greenberg, R.M. *Schistosoma mansoni* P-glycoprotein levels increase in response to praziquantel exposure and correlate with reduced praziquantel susceptibility. Molecular Biochemistry Parasitology. 2009;167(1):54–59. DOI: 10.1016/j.molbiopara.2009.04.007
35. Buckner, F.S., Waters, N.C., Avery, V.M. Recent highlights in anti-protozoan drug development and resistance research. International

- Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance. 2012;2: 230–235. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpddr.2012.05.002
36. García, M.T., Lara-Corrales, I., Kovarik, C.L., Pope, E., Arenas, R. Tropical Skin Diseases in Children: A Review-Part II. Pediatric Dermatology. 2016;33:264-74. DOI: 10.1111/pde.12778
37. Kappagoda, S., Singh, S.M.U, and Blackburn, B.G. Antiparasitic Therapy. Mayo Clinical Proceedings. 2011;86(6): 561–583. DOI: 10.4065/mcp.2011.0203
38. Sindhu Varghese and Ashok Palaniappan. Computational Pharmacogenetics of P-Glycoprotein Mediated Antiepileptic Drug Resistance. The Open Bioinformatics Journal. 2018;11:197-207. DOI: 10.2174/1875036201811010197
39. Appendix 1 FASTA sequences of PSI – BLAST results
40. Appendix 2 Ramachandran plots for each organism for different templates used
41. Appendix 3 Results of Autodocking
42. Appendix 4 Best pose analysis as viewed in Rasmol