The seagrass methylome memorizes heat stress and is associated with variation in stress performance among clonal shoots

**Jueterbock A^{1*}, Boström C², James A Coyer^{1,3}, Olsen JL⁴ Kopp M¹, Dhanasiri AKS¹, Smolina I¹,
Arnaud-Haond S⁵, Van de Peer Y^{6,7}, Hoarau G¹**

¹Marine Molecular Ecology Group, Faculty of Biosciences and Aquaculture, Nord University,
Universitetsalleen 11, 8026 Bodø, Norway

²Environmental and Marine Biology, Åbo Akademi University, Artillerigatan 6 FI-20520 Åbo,
Finland

³Shoals Marine Laboratory, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA

⁴Ecological Genetics-Genomics Group, Groningen Institute of Evolutionary Life Sciences,
University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

⁵UMR MARBEC, Université de Montpellier, Ifremer, IRD, CNRS, Avenue Jean Monnet, CS 30171,
34203 Sète Cedex, France

⁶Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University and VIB Center for Plant
Systems Biology, Technologiepark 71, 9052, Ghent, Belgium

⁷Center for Microbial Ecology and Genomics, Department of Biochemistry, Genetics and
Microbiology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

*** Correspondence:**

Corresponding Author

Alexander-Jueterbock@web.de

Keywords: DNA methylation, ecological epigenetics, clonality, heat stress, seagrass, *Zostera marina*
(eelgrass), priming

Word count: Abstract 253, Main Article 7,239

24 **Number of figures:** 6

25 **Number of tables:** 0

26 **Number of supplementary figures:** 5

27 **Number of supplementary tables:** 11

28 **Number of supplementary files:** 5

29 **Abstract**

30 Evolutionary theory predicts that clonal organisms are more susceptible to extinction than sexually
 31 reproducing organisms, due to low genetic variation and slow rates of evolution. In agreement,
 32 conservation management considers genetic variation as the ultimate measure of a population's
 33 ability to survive over time. However, clonal plants are among the oldest living organisms on our
 34 planet. Here, we test the hypothesis that clonal seagrass meadows display epigenetic variation that
 35 complements genetic variation as a source of phenotypic variation. In a clonal meadow of the
 36 seagrass *Zostera marina* we characterized DNA methylation among 42 shoots. We also sequenced
 37 the whole genome of 10 shoots to correlate methylation patterns with photosynthetic performance
 38 under exposure to, and recovery from 27°C, while controlling for somatic mutations. Here, we show
 39 for the first time that clonal seagrass shoots display DNA methylation variation that is associated
 40 with variation in fitness-related traits: photosynthetic performance and heat stress resilience. The co-
 41 variation in DNA methylation and phenotype may be linked via gene expression because methylation
 42 patterns varied in functionally relevant genes involved in photosynthesis, and in the repair and
 43 prevention of heat-induced protein damage. A >five week epigenetic heat stress memory may heat-
 44 harden previously heat-exposed shoots. While genotypic diversity has been shown to enhance stress
 45 resilience in seagrass meadows, we suggest that epigenetic variation plays a similar role in meadows
 46 dominated by a single genotype. Consequently, conservation management of clonal plants should
 47 consider epigenetic variation as indicator of resilience and stability, and restoration efforts may
 48 benefit from stress-priming transplanted seeds or shoots.

1 Introduction

Genetic variation is considered key to long-term survival of populations (Bijlsma and Loeschcke, 2012), and is recognized as a main target for the conservation and restoration of biological diversity (Lande, 1988; Spielman et al., 2004; Laikre et al., 2009). In contrast, lack of genetic variation is regarded as an evolutionary dead-end (Lynch and Lande, 1998) but clonal growth challenges the expected relationship between genetic diversity and long-term survival. For example, conservation management follows the rough guideline that within a population >1,000 genetically different individuals must mate randomly to avoid inbreeding depression, and retain evolutionary potential (Frankham et al., 2014). Yet, roughly 40% of all plants can reproduce asexually (Tiffney and Niklas, 1985), mostly by clonal growth where parental genotypes (genets) grow vegetative modules (ramets) that often remain connected via underground stolons or rhizomes.

Although clones benefit from resource sharing, niche specialization, and rapid vegetative growth (Liu et al., 2016), they are predicted to survive only for short periods, and in stable environments (Silvertown, 2008). Asexual reproduction is assumed to lead to slow rates of genetic evolution, and the lack of DNA repair mechanisms afforded by meiosis (Muller's ratchet, mutational meltdown) (Muller, 1964; Gabriel et al., 1993; Lynch et al., 1993). Despite asexual reproduction, many of our most important crops are clones (McKey et al., 2010), including banana, garlic, hops, potatoes, and turmeric, as well as many of the earth's most invasive and oldest plants. For example, genets of Palmer's oak (*Quercus palmeri*) and seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) are estimated older than 10,000 years (May et al., 2009; Arnaud-Haond et al., 2012) Thus, long-term survival appears not to rely solely on sexual production.

Somatic mutations can create a certain level of genetic diversity, and may explain some evolutionary potential of clonal organisms (Whitham and Slobodchikoff, 1981; Loxdale et al., 2003; Lushai et al., 2003; Reusch and Boström, 2011). For example, ~7,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 597 in coding regions and 432 non-synonymous, distinguish ramets of a large Finnish clone of the seagrass *Zostera marina* (Yu et al., 2020). To set this in perspective ,139,321 biallelic SNPs were reported in coding regions among four populations of the same species (Jueterbock et al., 2016). The degree to which epigenetic variation can contribute to phenotypic heterogeneity in ecologically relevant traits, independently from the underlying genetic variation, is a key question in assessing its contribution to stress tolerance, and long-term survival of clonal organisms.

The definition of epigenetics is currently heavily debated (Ptashne, 2007; Grealley, 2018). Here, 'epigenetic' implies molecular variations that do not alter the DNA sequence but have the potential to change gene expression, and include non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), histone modifications, and DNA methylation (Bossdorf et al., 2008). DNA methylation is, from an evolutionary perspective, the most relevant epigenetic mechanism because it can be independent from genetic variation (Bossdorf et al., 2008; Schmitz et al., 2013; Kilvitis et al., 2014), and transgenerationally stable (Boyko et al., 2010; Verhoeven et al., 2010; Ou et al., 2012; Bilichak et al., 2015; Williams and Gehring, 2017). DNA methylation involves the addition of a methyl-group to the C5 position of a cytosine in DNA sequence motifs (CG, CHG, and CHH in plants, where H stands for A, C, or T) (Kilvitis et al., 2014). Depending on sequence context, methylation can be associated with gene activation or silencing (Bossdorf et al., 2008; Niederhuth and Schmitz, 2017). While CG methylation in gene bodies often correlates with increased gene expression, methylation in promoters and repeat regions, such as transposable elements (TEs), silences expression (Feng et al., 2010; Seymour et al., 2014; Dubin et al., 2015; Bewick and Schmitz, 2017; Zhang et al., 2018).

The methylome, or set of DNA methylation modifications in an organism's genome, can change spontaneously at a rate of 2.5×10^{-4} to 6.3×10^{-4} methylation polymorphisms per CG site per generation, which is about 7×10^4 higher than the genetic mutation rate of base substitutions per site per generation (Schmitz et al., 2011; van der Graaf et al., 2015). That methylome variation can enhance productivity, and pathogen resistance, has been shown in *Arabidopsis thaliana* plant populations (Latzel et al., 2013). Moreover, methylation variation explained the rapid invasive success of the Japanese knotweed (*Fallopia japonica*) by facilitating differentiation in response to new habitats despite decreased genetic variation (Richards et al., 2012). This suggests that methylation variation complements genetic variation as a source of phenotypic variation in plant populations deprived of genotypic diversity (Gao et al., 2010; Richards et al., 2012; Verhoeven and van Gurp, 2012; Latzel et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Vanden Broeck et al., 2018).

Unlike genetic variants, methylation variants can also switch state directly in response to the environment (Dowen et al., 2012) and, if stable enough, establish a molecular memory that can be involved in stress priming. Priming, also referred to as hardening or conditioning, is well studied in terrestrial plants (Hilker et al., 2016; Lämke and Bäurle, 2017; Pawar and Laware, 2018), and refers to the plants' ability to acquire a stress memory that enhances performance under second stress exposure by responding faster, stronger, or in response to a lower threshold as compared with naïve

110 plants (Balmer et al., 2015; Lämke and Bäurle, 2017). Stress responsive methylation marks that are
 111 transgenerationally stable can create adaptive phenotypic changes within a single generation (Bossdorf
 112 et al., 2008; Jablonka and Raz, 2009; Nicotra et al., 2010; Verhoeven et al., 2010; Hirsch et al., 2012;
 113 Richards et al., 2012; Douhovnikoff and Dodd, 2014; Verhoeven and Preite, 2014). This may explain
 114 why in some cases a primed state can improve plant performance even across generations (Rasman
 115 et al., 2012; Slaughter et al., 2012; Kuźnicki et al., 2019).

116 Under clonal growth, DNA methylation patterns are expected to be more stably inherited than under
 117 sexual reproduction (Verhoeven and Preite, 2014), because clonal growth circumvents epigenetic
 118 reprogramming during gameto- and embryogenesis. Although stable transmission across asexual
 119 generations has been shown for environment-specific phenotypes and DNA methylation patterns in
 120 clonal plants (Verhoeven et al., 2010, 2018; Verhoeven and van Gurp, 2012; Vanden Broeck et al.,
 121 2018), no link has been demonstrated between methylation variation and fitness-related traits. Thus,
 122 while epigenetic mechanisms have been suggested to contribute to clonal plant success
 123 (Douhovnikoff and Dodd, 2014; Verhoeven and Preite, 2014; Dodd and Douhovnikoff, 2016; Latzel
 124 et al., 2016), empirical evidence is virtually lacking.

125 Clonal propagation is especially well-developed in aquatic plants (Barrett, 2015). Seagrasses, the
 126 only plants to inhabit the marine world, form the foundational basis of some of the most productive
 127 and highly diverse coastal marine ecosystems on the planet, and are essential for the health and
 128 abundance of economically exploited marine species (Costanza et al., 1997; Larkum et al., 2006;
 129 Orth et al., 2006). Ecosystem services are worth more than € 16,000 ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (Costanza et al.,
 130 1997), including nursery grounds, habitat and food for fish and invertebrates, protection of the
 131 coastline from erosion, carbon sequestration of up to 186 g C m² yr⁻¹ (Fourqurean et al., 2012; Duarte
 132 et al., 2013), and nutrient fixation (Orth et al., 2006; Procaccini et al., 2007).

133 Over the last decades, losses of seagrass ecosystems have been documented worldwide due to
 134 increasing anthropogenic stressors such as invasive species, sediment and nutrient runoff, dredging,
 135 aquaculture, rising sea levels, and global warming (Orth et al., 2006; Chefaoui et al., 2018). Losses
 136 are expected to accelerate under projected global temperature increase, as ocean warming is
 137 considered the most severe threat among climate change factors (Repolho et al., 2017; Duarte et al.,
 138 2018) and seagrass meadows have tracked temperature changes in the past (Olsen et al., 2004). How

139 accurate these shifts and losses can be predicted depends on our knowledge of drivers of adaptive
140 potential, including epigenetic diversity (Duarte et al., 2018).

141 In this study, we characterize the functional relevance of epigenetic variation for heat stress resilience
142 in the seagrass *Zostera marina*. *Z. marina* is the most widely distributed seagrass in the northern
143 hemisphere, inhabiting highly contrasting habitats from sub-arctic to sub-tropical waters (Green and
144 Short, 2004; Olsen et al., 2004; Boström et al., 2014). Few plants display such dramatic range in
145 clonal diversity as *Z. marina* (Reusch et al., 2000; Olsen et al., 2004). Its clonal architecture varies
146 from genetically diverse meadows with high levels of sexual reproduction, to meadows composed of
147 a single large clone due to exclusive vegetative reproduction (Reusch et al., 1999; Olsen et al., 2004;
148 Becheler et al., 2010; Reusch and Boström, 2011). Clonality of *Z. marina* peaks in the Baltic Sea,
149 where large clones were estimated > 1,000 years old (Reusch et al., 1999). These meadows display
150 remarkable phenotypic variability and persistence across space and time, under perturbations (e.g.
151 high temperatures) that represent environmental stress predicted elsewhere for the future (Reusch et
152 al., 2018). Thus, clonal meadows (such predominated by a single genet) confound experimental
153 results showing a positive effect of genotypic (i. e. clonal) richness on the productivity and stress
154 resilience of *Z. marina* (Hughes and Stachowicz, 2004; Reusch et al., 2005; Reusch and Hughes,
155 2006; Ehlers et al., 2008; Hughes et al., 2008). In other words, if stress resilience and tolerance would
156 rely strongly on genotypic diversity, clones would not be able to survive for such long time periods.

157 The resilience, longevity, and adaptive potential of clonal seagrass meadows remain unknown
158 without a fundamental analysis of their epigenetic variation and its ecological relevance. Therefore,
159 this study tests the hypothesis that variation in DNA methylation can promote functional phenotypic
160 diversity and, thus, may explain how clonal seagrass meadows can persist over millennia.

161 Specific objectives were to: 1) Characterize DNA methylation variation in an ancient clonal meadow
162 of *Z. marina* (>1,000-years-old); 2) Identify the functional role of this variation in heat-stress
163 performance—specifically how photosynthetic performance is linked to DNA methylation variation
164 independently from underlying somatic mutations, and 3) Assess to what extent heat-responsive
165 methylation patterns are reset versus memorized after the stress is removed.

166 2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Sampling and cultivation

We sampled seagrass shoots from a clonal meadow (Reusch et al., 1999) in the Baltic Sea (Åland Islands, 60°09'50.4"N, 19°31'48.1"E) in 2015 (Figure 1) by collecting 2-3 shoots attached to the same rhizome (ramets) every 3 meters along a 250 m transect (Supplementary table S1). Shoots were transported in seawater-filled cooling boxes to the field station at Nord University, Norway. Leaf tissue of 42 shoots, randomly chosen from the 2-3 connected ramets, was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent DNA extraction (Supplementary table S1).

Ten ramets of the same genet (Supplementary table S1) were replanted in plastic containers (10x15 cm) filled with sand from the sampling site, placed in a 1,280 L aquarium at 15°C, and illuminated with a 16:8 h light:dark cycle, and 200-220 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (OSRAM Fluora, 150 W). Seawater at 5.5 PSU, corresponding to the salinity at the collecting site, was obtained by mixing freshwater and natural filtered seawater at 32 PSU. The water, filled to a 40 cm level, was kept in constant motion with airstones. Once a week, 50% of the water was renewed after removing epiphytic algae.

2.2 Heat stress experiments

After two weeks of acclimation, the 10 clonal ramets were exposed to heat stress (Supplementary table S1) in climate chambers (Fitotron, weissttechnik), where they were distributed in aquaria (60 L) filled with aerated brackish water (5.5 PSU) to 30 cm, of which 50% was weekly renewed. Light was kept at 240 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and a 16:8 h light:dark cycle. The temperature in the climate chambers was increased with a daily increment of 3°C from 15°C to 27°C, which can be lethal for *Z. marina* (Greve et al., 2003), but is reached in the Baltic Sea during summer heat waves. After three weeks at 27°C, the temperature was decreased by a daily increment of 3°C from 27°C to 15°C, after which all shoots were returned to the 1,280 L aquarium at 15°C for a recovery period of 5.5 weeks.

At three time points (control, stress, recovery), one leaf of each shoot was excised and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Control samples were collected at 15°C on the day before the temperature was increased. Stressed samples were collected at 27°C on the day before the temperature was decreased. Recovery samples were collected after the 5.5 week recovery period at 15°C.

2.3 Photosynthetic performance

194 At control, stress, and recovery time points, we measured for each shoot (two measurements for each
195 of the two inner leaves) the increase in chlorophyll a fluorescence upon illumination after a 10 min
196 dark period (OJIP curve) (Bussotti et al., 2010), with a PAM-Fluorometer (FluorPen FP100, Photon
197 Systems Instruments) using a saturating pulse of 75% at 455 nm. From the measurements, we
198 extracted the performance index PiABS (Strasser et al., 2000), reflecting the functionality of
199 photosystem II (PSII) and photosynthetic performance in general (Živčák et al., 2008; Bussotti et al.,
200 2010; Stefanov et al., 2011). We tested for correlation of PiABS values (mean values per shoot)
201 between time points using a two-sided Pearson's product moment correlation test in R v3.4.4 (R Core
202 Team, 2019).

203 2.4 DNA extraction

204 All flash-frozen tissue was freeze-dried for one day, then stored at -80°C until DNA extraction. DNA
205 was extracted with the HP Plant DNA mini kit (Omega Bio-Tek, protocol version May 2013) from ≥
206 5 mg of lyophilized leaf tissue after grinding with a mixer-mill (Retsch MM 400) in 2 mL Eppendorf
207 tubes with tungsten beads supplied (60 s at 30 Hz). We added 10 µL beta-mercaptoethanol at step 2,
208 and equilibrated the columns at step 8 of the standard protocol. The extracted DNA was eluted in 2 x
209 100 µL EB buffer (Qiagen), cleaned and concentrated with the Clean and Concentrator-5 kit (Zymo
210 Research, protocol v1.2.1) using 15,000 g for all centrifugation steps, and finally eluted in 2 x 30 µL
211 EB Buffer (Qiagen) at 60°C.

212 2.5 Clonality

213 In order to identify ramets belonging to the same genet, we genotyped each shoot for seven
214 microsatellite loci (ZosmarGA2, -GA3, -GA6, -CT3, -CT12, -CT19, -CT20) (Reusch and Boström,
215 2011). PCR was performed using a Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Life
216 Technologies) in two 10 µL multiplex reactions containing 2 µL cleaned genomic DNA, and 1X
217 AccuStart II PCR ToughMix (Quanta bio). One multiplex reaction contained forward and reverse
218 primers GA2, GA3, and GA6 at 0.5 µM each, and ran at 94°C for 4 min, followed by 30 cycles of
219 94°C for 60 s, 55°C for 90 s, and 72°C for 90 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The other
220 multiplex reaction contained forward and reverse primers CT3 (0.5 µM), CT12 (0.3 µM), CT19 (0.3
221 µM), and CT20 (0.3 µM), and ran at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 60 s, 57°C
222 for 60 s, and 72°C for 60 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

DNA fragment lengths were determined on an ABI 3500xl Genetic Analyzer from 1 µL of 1:99 diluted PCR products mixed with 8.9 µL of HiDi Formamide (Life Technologies), and 0.1 µL of Gene Scan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Life Technologies) after 5 min denaturation at 95°C. Alleles were called with the GeneMapper v4.1 Software (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The shoots were assigned to multi-locus genotypes using the R package 'RClone' (Bailleul et al., 2016).

2.6 Whole genome sequencing, SNP detection, and genetic distance

In order to detect SNPs resulting from somatic mutations in the 10 heat-stressed ramets, genomic DNA libraries were prepared according to the TruSeq DNA PCR-Free (Illumina) protocol, and sequenced on one Illumina HiSeq 3/4000 lane (2x150bp) at the Norwegian Sequencing Centre (University of Oslo, Norway). Raw reads (25.7 Million to 44.3 Million per library, Supplementary Table S2, NCBI BioProject PRJNA575339¹) were quality-checked with FastQC v0.11.8² to control for aberrant read base content, length distribution, duplication, and over-representation. We used TrimGalore! v0.6.0³ to remove adapter sequences with a stringency of 3 bp overlap, and low-quality bases with a Phred score $Q < 20$ (99% base call accuracy). The high-quality reads (25.5 to 44.0 Million per library, Supplementary Table S2) were mapped to the *Z. marina* genome v2.1 (Olsen et al., 2016) with BWA v0.7.17 (Li and Durbin, 2009). Read duplicates were removed with MarkDuplicatesSpark within GATK v4.1.4.1 (Auwera et al., 2013). SNPs were called with HaplotypeCaller, followed by CombineGVCFs and GenotypeGVCFs within GATK v4.1.4.1 (Auwera et al., 2013).

Before filtering SNPs, we excluded indels, non-variant sites, and alternate alleles not present in any genotypes from the vcf file with SelectVariants within GATK v4.1.4.1 (Auwera et al., 2013). This set of 759,407 raw SNPs was reduced to 105,443 SNPs after hard-filtering with vcffilter from vcflib (Garrison, 2020) with thresholds that were based on density plots drawn with ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016): QualByDepth ($QD < 15.0$), FisherStrand ($FS > 12.0$), RMSMappingQuality ($MQ < 38$), MappingQualityRankSumTest ($MQRankSum < -1.5$), ReadPositionRankSumTest ($ReadPosRankSum < -4.0$), and Depth ($DP > 4000.0$, in order to remove SNPs potentially caused by genome duplication). Subsequently, we used VCFtools v0.1.15 to remove genotypes with genotype

¹Available upon publication

²<http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>

³https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/

quality < 30 (--minGQ 30) or depth < 20 (--minDP 20), and to remove SNPs with more than 2 alleles
 (--min-alleles 2 --max-alleles 2), with a minor allele frequency of 0.01 (--maf 0.01), and with any
 missing genotype (--max-missing-count 0). From the remaining 15,508 high-quality SNPs
 (Supplementary File S1) we excluded all that shared the same genotypes among all 10 heat-stressed
 shoots, as these reflected genetic differences only to the reference genome. The remaining 1,079
 SNPs (Supplementary File S2) were used to estimate euclidean genetic distances among the 10
 shoots using the R package *vcfR* v1.9.0 (Knaus and Grünwald, 2017) and the *dist* function of the R
 package 'stats' v3.6.9 (R Core Team, 2019). We tested for correlation between genetic and physical
 distance among the 10 heat-stressed shoots with Mantel tests in the R package 'vegan' v1.4-2
 (Oksanen et al. 2019), using 1,000 permutations, and the Pearson's product moment correlation
 method.

2.7 Methylome characterization

Sequencing libraries were prepared according to the MethylRAD protocol (Wang et al., 2015) with
 few adjustments. MethylRAD is a genome-reduction method based on the methylation-dependent
 restriction enzyme *FspEI* that targets fully methylated CCGG and CCWGG motifs, thus capturing
 methylation in CG and CHG sequence contexts. MethylRAD has the potential to reveal genome-wide
 DNA methylation patterns that are consistent with those generated from Whole Genome Bisulfite
 Sequencing (Wang et al., 2015). First, sense and anti-sense oligos of adapters A1 and A2
 (Supplementary File S3) were annealed in 10 µL containing 10 µM of each oligo (Eurofins), 10 mM
 Tris HCl (Thermo Fisher), 50 mM NaCl (Thermo Fisher), and 1 mM EDTA (Thermo Fisher).
 Library preparation began with digestion of 100 ng cleaned genomic DNA at 37°C for 4 h in 15 µL
 containing 4 U *FspEI* (NEB), 1X CutSmart Buffer (NEB), and 30X Enzyme Activator Solution
 (NEB). Digestion was verified on a TapeStation 2200 with a D1000 ScreenTape. Secondly, adapters
 were ligated to the digested fragments over night at 4°C in 26µL containing 13 µL digestion solution,
 0.1 µM each of two annealed adapters, 1X T4 ligase buffer (NEB), 1.5 µM ATP (NEB) and 1040 U
 of T4 DNA ligase (NEB). Ligation products were amplified in 20 µL reactions containing 7 µL
 ligated DNA, 0.05 µM of each primer (P1 and P2, Supplementary File S3), 0.3 mM dNTP, 1X
 Phusion HF buffer (NEB) and 0.4 U Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB). PCR was
 conducted using a Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies) with 16
 cycles of 98°C for 5s, 60°C for 20 s, 72°C for 10 s, and a final extension of 5 min at 72°C. The target
 band (approx. 100 bp) was extracted from a 2% E-Gel (Thermo Fisher). For multiplex sequencing,

shoot barcodes were introduced by means of PCR. Each 20 μ L PCR reaction contained 12 μ L of gel-extracted PCR product, 0.2 μ M of each primer (P3 and index primer, Supplementary File S3), 0.3 mM dNTP, 1X Phusion HF buffer (NEB) and 0.4 U Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB). PCR was conducted with the same PCR cycling program outlined above. PCR products were purified using AMPURE XP beads (Beckman Coulter) using a 1.8:1 volume ratio of beads to product, and a final elution in 22 μ L EB buffer (Qiagen). The purified fragments were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 (1x75bp) using a high-output flow-cell.

The sequenced reads were quality-trimmed with TrimGalore! v0.4.1⁴ by removing the adapter sequences with a stringency of 3 bp overlap, low-quality bases with a Phred score $Q < 20$, and the terminal 2 bp from both ends in order to eliminate artifacts that might have arisen at the ligation position. Quality was checked with FastQC v0.11.8⁵ to control for aberrant read base content, length distribution, duplication and over-representation.

The high-quality reads were mapped with SOAP v1.11 (Li et al., 2008) to 628,255 *in silico* predicted MethylRAD tags that were extracted from the *Z. marina* genome v2.1 from ORCAE (Sterck et al., 2012) with the custom python script *InSilicoTypeIIbDigestion.py*⁶. For mapping, we allowed for two mismatches, filtered reads with >1 N, and used a seed size of 8 bp. Based on the uniquely mapped reads, we counted the coverage of each methylated site for each shoot using htseq-count (v0.7.2). Methylation calls were retained only for sites with ≥ 2 x coverage, which reduced the false-positive rate from 1.10% to 0.23% for CG sites and from 2.50% to 0.89% for CHG sites. False-positive rates were estimated as the percentage of methylation sites supported by at least two reads in the generally unmethylated chloroplast genome. For each shoot, raw counts were normalized to reads-per-million by dividing reads per site through the total number of reads per shoot library, times one million.

The methylated sites were annotated with the v2.1 gff3 file from ORCAE (Sterck et al., 2012), and separated into genes, intergenic regions, and TEs. TEs were located in both genes and intergenic regions, and contained repeats of the rnd-1/2/3/4 families and some selected repeats (rnd-5-family-

⁴https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/

⁵<http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>

⁶<http://marinetics.org/2017/04/11/REdigestions.html>

305 7328, rnd-5-family-287, rnd-5-family-4856). The methylated sites were further separated into such
306 containing CG and CHG recognition sites.

307 **2.8 Methylation variation between the transect shoots**

308 In order to describe the level of intra- and inter-clonal methylation differences among the 42 transect
309 shoots, we converted the normalized counts to bivariate states (methylated/non-methylated). We then
310 calculated the number of sites with different methylation states pairwise between all 41 ramets of the
311 same genet, and between these ramets and the single other seven-microsatellite-genotype (transect
312 shoot 27, Supplementary table S1).

313 We tested for correlations between epigenetic and physical distance among the 41 ramets with
314 Mantel tests using the R package 'vegan' v1.4-2 (Oksanen et al., 2019) with 1,000 permutations, and
315 the Pearson's product moment correlation method. *P*-values were adjusted according the Benjamini-
316 Hochberg method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Epigenetic distance was calculated as the
317 Euclidean distance between shoots based on their coordinates in the 2-dimensional PCA (Principal
318 Component Analysis) plot using the *dist* function of the R package 'stats' v3.6.0 (R Core Team,
319 2019). PCA was done on reads-per-million for all shoots with the PCA function of the R package
320 'FactoMineR' (Lê et al., 2008).

321 **2.9 Correlation between methylome variation and photosynthetic performance**

322 For the 10 heat-stressed samples, we tested for correlations between epigenetic distance and
323 performance difference (PiABS values) among shoots while controlling for genetic distance with
324 Partial Mantel tests using the function *partial.mantel.test* of the R package 'nfc' v1.2.9 (Bjornstad,
325 2020). Only significant correlations ($p < 0.05$, adjusted for multiple comparisons according to
326 Benjamini and Hochberg (1995) in R (R Core Team, 2019)) with coefficients $R > 0.65$, when
327 controlled for genetic distance, were considered strong enough to be reckoned as biologically linked.
328 The same analysis ran Mantel tests for correlation between genetic and epigenetic distance, and
329 between genetic distance and performance difference.

330 **2.10 Methylome heat stress response**

The methylome of the 10 heat-stressed shoots was characterized under control, stress, and recovery conditions (3 shoots died from the stress), and compared with the methylome of field transect samples with PCA on reads-per-million data using the PCA function of the R package 'FactoMineR' (Lê et al., 2008). Epigenetic distance between the samples was estimated for each sequence context (gene, intergene, TE, each in CG and CHG regions, respectively) as the Euclidean distance in the 2-dimensional PCA plot using the *dist* function of the R package 'stats' v3.6.9 (R Core Team, 2019).

2.11 Differential methylation analyses

To estimate the number of sites that changed in methylation state from control to stress and recovery conditions, we applied differential methylation analyses using the R package 'edgeR' 3.20.9 (Robinson et al., 2009) within the 'SARTools' pipeline v1.6.6 (Varet et al., 2016). Read counts were normalized using a trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) between each pair of samples (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010). *P*-values, indicating the significance of increased or decreased methylation, were adjusted according the Benjamini-Hochberg method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

To identify how the methylome differed between samples of high and low performance, we used differential methylation analyses using the R package 'edgeR' 3.20.9 (Robinson et al., 2009) within the 'SARTools' pipeline v1.6.6 (Varet et al., 2016). Read counts were normalized using a trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) between each pair of samples (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010). Differential methylation analysis was done only for conditions and sequence contexts where a positive correlation was found between performance differences and epigenetic distance. For control conditions, we compared methylation levels in genes (CG regions) between the two samples of highest performance (79.1, and 13.2) and the two samples of lowest performance (63.1 and 59.2, Supplementary Figure S1). For recovery conditions, we compared methylation levels in intergenic TEs (CHG regions) between the two samples of highest performance (79.1 and 17.1) and the two samples of lowest performance (57.1 and 59.2).

For differentially methylated sites within gene bodies, we tested with Fisher's exact tests for enrichment of gene ontology terms of biological processes with the R package 'topgo' (Alexa and Rahnenführer, 2010) using Fisher's exact tests. GO terms were obtained from the v2.1 *Zostera* genome annotation from the ORCAE database (Sterck et al., 2012). To reduce redundancy in the significantly enriched GO terms (*p*-values < 0.05), we calculated 'sim rel' scores (Schlicker et al.,

2006) (Allowed similarity=0.5), based on the *A. thaliana* GO-term database, using the REVIGO web server (Supek et al., 2011).

3 Results

3.1 Methylome characterization and variation among the clonal transect shoots

On average, 74 million high-quality reads were obtained per sequencing library, ranging from 0.7 to 151 million (Supplementary Table S3). DNA raw reads are accessible from NCBI under BioProject number PRJNA575339⁷. On average, 35% of the high-quality reads mapped to the *in silico* digested *Z. marina* genome, and 11% mapped uniquely (annotated reads-per-million in Supplementary File S4). In total, 144,420 sites were methylated (covered by at least two reads) across all transect shoots. Across all transect shoots, 84,640 methylated CG sites and 59,780 methylated CHG sites were detected, which represents 59% and 41% of all methylated sites, respectively (Supplementary Table S4). About 23% of all methylated sites fell in gene bodies (of which 41% in TEs), and 77% in intergenic regions (of which 42% in TEs) (Supplementary Figure S2). In gene bodies, 67% of the methylated sites fell in CG regions, in intergenic regions only 56%.

Based on their multi-locus microsatellite genotype, 41 of 42 shoots sampled along the transect (except sample 27 in Supplementary table S1) belonged to the same genet (Supplementary Table S5). Methylome variation between these 41 clonal ramets exceeded the methylome shift induced by heat stress (Figure 2), and was not higher between shoots of different genets (Figure 3A,B, Supplementary Table S4). Methylation differences were generally lower in CHG than in CG sequence contexts (Figure 3A,B). Epigenetic distance was not significantly correlated with physical distance in any sequence context (Supplementary Table S6).

3.2 Genetic variation among heat-stressed shoots

Based on whole genome sequences of the 10 heat-stressed shoots, we identified 15,508 high-quality SNPs (Supplementary File S1). That all 10 shoots shared the same heterozygous state in 14,431 (93%) of all SNPs, and shared the same multi-locus microsatellite genotype (Supplementary Table

⁷Available upon publication

385 S5), suggests that they were clones having originated from one ancestral zygote (Supplementary
386 Figure S3) (Yu et al., 2020).

387 Despite clonal, the 10 shoots differed in 1,079 SNPs resulting from somatic mutations. Based on
388 these SNPs, euclidean genetic distances ranged from 9 to 35 (frequency distribution in
389 Supplementary Figure S4), and were not significantly correlated with physical distances between
390 shoots ($R=0.18$, $p=0.12$).

391 **3.3 Link between epigenetic distance and performance changes under heat stress**

392 Photosynthetic performance (PiABS) declined in all 10 shoots under heat stress (Figure 1,
393 Supplementary Table S7). Seven of the 10 shoots recovered from the heat stress, yet performances
394 did not reach pre-stress levels. Performances under control and recovery conditions were positively
395 correlated (adjusted $p<0.05$, $R=0.93$, Figure 4).

396 Genetic distance correlated moderately ($4<R<6$, adjusted $p<0.05$) with performance differences in
397 recovered samples, and with epigenetic distance in some sequence contexts of stressed and recovered
398 samples (Figure 4). Nevertheless, epigenetic distance correlated strongly ($R>0.65$, adjusted $p<0.05$)
399 with performance differences even after controlling for genetic distance based on 1,079 SNPs: 1)
400 epigenetic distance among control samples in CG gene body regions correlated with performance
401 differences prior to stress, and after recovery (stress resilience, Figure 4); epigenetic distance among
402 recovered samples in CHG regions of intergenic TEs correlated with performance differences after
403 recovery (Figure 4).

404 **3.4 Methylome heat stress response**

405 Methylation patterns in all sequence contexts changed under heat stress and did not return to but
406 instead diverged further from control (pre-stress) patterns during the recovery period (Figure 5 for all
407 sequence contexts combined, annotated reads-per-million in Supplementary File S5, Supplementary
408 figure S5 showing the methylation shift for the different sequence contexts). More sites became
409 hyper- than hypo-methylated in response to stress (Figure 6A,D). Methylation levels differed
410 significantly at 437 sites between control and stress conditions (257 hyper- and 180 hypo-
411 methylated), at 1788 sites between control and recovery conditions (1141 hyper-, and 647 hypo-

412 methylated), and only at 39 sites between stress and recovery conditions (18 hyper-, and 21 hypo-
413 methylated, Supplementary Table S8). After recovery, CG methylation had been more heat-
414 responsive in gene body regions, and CHG methylation in intergenic regions (Figure 6B,E).

415 After recovery, methylation increased in gene bodies with functions including DNA transcription and
416 replication; catabolism of misfolded proteins, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), and
417 neurotransmitter; as well as amino acid synthesis (Supplementary Table S9). DNA methylation had
418 decreased in gene bodies with functions including transmembrane transport of cations, ions, and
419 protons; transport of ammonium and phospholipids; localization of lipids and organelles; exocytosis;
420 and secretion (Supplementary Table S9).

421 3.5 Differential methylation between shoots of high and low photosynthetic performance

422 Recovered shoots of high and low performance presented highly similar methylation patterns. Only
423 seven CHG sites in intergenic TEs were hyper-methylated in recovery samples of high
424 photosynthetic performance (Supplementary Table S10). In contrast, 89 CG gene body sites were
425 hyper-methylated (1 hypo-methylated) in control samples of high photosynthetic performance
426 (Supplementary Table S10). Enriched biological processes in the 89 hyper-methylated CG sites
427 included 'light harvesting in photosystem I' and 'protein folding' (Supplementary Table S11).

428 4 Discussion

429 Plant genets persisting >1,000 years challenge the positive correlation between genetic variation
430 retained through recombination, and long-term survival. Our study shows for the first time that
431 ramets of the same seagrass genet display DNA methylation variation that is associated with
432 phenotypic variation in the fitness-related traits of photosynthetic performance and heat stress
433 resilience. Heat responsive methylation changes were memorized for at least five weeks in the
434 recovered shoots. This supports the hypothesis (Dodd and Douhovnikoff, 2016) that methylation
435 variation, via variation in gene regulation, compensates potential costs of clonal reproduction (Lynch
436 et al., 1993; Lynch and Lande, 1998), and contributes to the long-term survival of clonal seagrass
437 meadows by increasing temporally stable variation in ecologically relevant traits that can not be
438 simply explained by the underlying somatic genetic variation.

4.1 Methylome variation of functional relevance

The seagrass methylome is flexible and responds directly to environmental change, given its strong change from field transect samples to acclimated control samples within two weeks (Figure 2). Methylome variation among ramets of the same genet resulted either from random epimutations or from microscale variations in the environment because its correlation with geographic distance between shoots was weak. The change in depth of 3 meters and, thus, gradual changes in environmental factors along the sampled transect may not have been extreme enough to impose a result. The disagreement between methylome variation and transect position may also result from recent uprooting and re-settling of some shoots. This was suggested to explain disagreement between genetic similarity of clonal shoots and their transect position in another clonal meadow of *Z. marina* (Yu et al., 2020), and would further explain the absence of correlation between genetic and physical distance in our heat-stressed ramets. In such case, the re-settled shoots would display a methylome shaped by distant environmental conditions. Whether environmentally induced or not, methylome variation in CG gene regions predicted pre- and post-stress performance (Figure 4) and, thus, is likely functionally relevant for thermal acclimation and stress resilience.

Although difficult to prove (Crisp et al., 2016), the correlations between methylome and photosynthetic performance differences appear to be causal, given that samples of high performance showed hyper-methylated CG sites in gene bodies with relevant functions: 'light harvesting in PSI', 'protein folding', 'protein refolding', and 'misfolded or incompletely synthesized protein catabolic process' (Supplementary Table S11). These functions can prime against heat stress when increased methylation is associated with expression of the underlying genes and, thus, with accumulation of protective molecules like heat-shock proteins that are involved in repair and prevention of heat-induced protein damage (Feder and Hofmann, 2002), and that can facilitate a fast stress response. Indeed, while genes are generally repressed by methylated promoter regions (Lisch, 2009), genes are often activated by CG gene body methylation (Zhang et al., 2006; Schmitz et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014; Dubin et al., 2015; Bewick and Schmitz, 2017; Niederhuth and Schmitz, 2017) that prevents aberrant expression from intragenic promoters (Takuno and Gaut, 2012; Neri et al., 2017). Thus, via association with gene expression, the observed methylome variation can putatively create ecologically relevant phenotype variation.

Although our study was based on clonal ramets that presented identical seven-locus microsatellite genotypes, the 10 heat-stressed ramets varied genetically at 1,079 SNPs generated by somatic mutations. Epigenetic differentiation between populations can be tightly linked to genetic differentiation (Herrera and Bazaga, 2010; Gáspár et al., 2019). Accordingly, trans-acting SNPs appeared to explain the correlation between gene body methylation and latitude in *Arabidopsis* (Dubin et al., 2015). In contrast, part of the correlation between DNA methylation with ecological factors in landscape epigenomic studies on non-model plant species could not be predicted from the observed underlying patterns of genetic relatedness (Schulz et al., 2014; Foust et al., 2016; Gugger et al., 2016). Genetic variation could also not explain the association between epigenetic variation and phenotypic variation (leaf, petiole and functional traits) in natural populations of the perennial herb *Helleborus foetidus* (Medrano et al., 2014), and in clonal populations of the introduced clonal herb *Hydrocotyle vulgaris* (Wang et al., 2020). In agreement, the correlation between methylome variation and stress performance/recovery in our study could not be predicted from the underlying genetic variation. Independent from genetic variation was also the methylome stress-response that provides potential for temporally stable phenotypic change at time scales unattainable by somatic mutations. Thus, our results provide a first indication that DNA methylation variation provides a layer of ecologically relevant, and potentially selectable, phenotypic variation that is independent from genetic variation in clonal seagrass meadows.

4.2 Potential of the epigenetic heat stress response for heat-hardening

Stress recovery is a critical period during which the degree of resetting versus memory of epigenetic stress response is determined (Crisp et al., 2016). *Z. marina* memorized much of the methylome stress response after a 5-week recovery period (Figure 5). The methylation changes from control to recovery conditions were initiated in the stress phase, and then continued to diverge from control conditions. This is illustrated by the strong similarities in methylation profiles between the stress and recovery phase, with an apparently stronger divergence of recovery profiles from the control ones (Figure 6B,E, Supplementary Table S8). This agrees with increasing divergence of gene transcription profiles from heat-stress to recovery conditions in a Danish *Z. marina* population (Franssen et al., 2011). We speculate that gene expression changes, and other molecular mechanisms involved in the heat-stress response, could have triggered additional methylation changes after the stress was removed (e.g. Secco et al. 2015) The memory of the epigenetic heat stress response is long enough to potentially heat-harden the same generation of previously exposed shoots.

499 In agriculture, hardening/priming of seeds is a long-standing practice to enhance crop resistance to
 500 environmental challenges, including hot, cold, dry, or saline conditions, or pathogen infections
 501 (Ibrahim, 2016; Wojtyla et al., 2016; Pawar and Laware, 2018). Heat-priming has only very recently
 502 been described in seagrass (Nguyen et al., 2020). *Zostera muelleri* and *Posidonia australis* both
 503 performed better under a second heat-wave, in terms of photosynthetic capacity, leaf growth, and
 504 chlorophyll a content, when they had been previously exposed to a first heatwave as compared with
 505 naïve controls (Nguyen et al., 2020). This could explain why no mortality was reported for the
 506 seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* after intense and long-lasting heat-waves in 2012, 2015, and 2017
 507 (Darmaraki et al., 2019), although it had suffered high mortality rates after the 2006 heatwave
 508 ((Marbà and Duarte, 2010), as discussed in Nguyen et al. (2020).

509 Molecular mechanisms involved in forming a stress memory include stalled RNA polymerase II,
 510 storage of chemical signaling factors, accumulation and phosphorylation of transcription factors, and
 511 epigenetic mechanisms such as microRNAs, histone modifications, and DNA methylation (Iwasaki
 512 and Paszkowski, 2014; Crisp et al., 2016; Hilker et al., 2016; Gallusci et al., 2017; Lämke and
 513 Bäurle, 2017). In heat-primed seagrass, the significant regulation of methylation related genes, in
 514 particular histone methyltransferases, suggests that epigenetic modifications contribute to form a
 515 thermal stress memory (Nguyen et al., 2020). Our study adds evidence that also DNA methylation is
 516 likely involved in this process. While the memory of histone modifications lasts generally not longer
 517 than hours or days (Cedar and Bergman, 2009; Huang et al., 2013; Lämke and Bäurle, 2017; Kumar,
 518 2018), DNA methylation modifications have been shown to be partly transgenerationally stable
 519 under both sexual (Ou et al., 2012; Herman and Sultan, 2016), and asexual reproduction (Raj et al.,
 520 2011; Verhoeven and van Gurp, 2012; González et al., 2017). For example, that DNA methylation
 521 memories can mediate adaptive transgenerational plasticity was shown in the plant *Polygonum*
 522 *persicaria*, in which offspring demethylation with zebularine removed the adaptive effect of parental
 523 drought in form of longer root systems and greater biomass (Herman and Sultan 2016).

524 Across mitotically grown generations, epigenetic patterns can be expected to be more faithfully
 525 inherited than across sexual generations, because clonal growth circumvents epigenetic
 526 reprogramming during meiosis and embryogenesis (Hirsch et al., 2012; Douhovnikoff and Dodd,
 527 2014; Verhoeven and Preite, 2014; Crisp et al., 2016; Latzel et al., 2016). CG methylation,
 528 maintained by a homologue of mammalian DNA METHYLTRANSFERASE (MET1) (Law and
 529 Jacobsen, 2010), is likely more stable than CHG methylation, which is maintained by a dynamic

process involving plant-specific CHROMOMETHYLASE and a feed-forward loop involving histone 3 lysine 9 methylation (Law and Jacobsen, 2010). Thus, we propose that memorized changes in CG gene body methylation (Figure 6B), are the most likely methylation marks that may contribute to stress priming with potential for transgenerational plasticity across mitotically grown seagrass generations. Under global warming, however, seagrasses may increasingly investigate in sexual reproduction (Ruiz et al., 2018; Marín-Guirao et al., 2019) and, thus, may be able to transmit only methylome-based stress memories that escape epigenetic reprogramming.

Heat-responsive DNA methylation changes in plants appear not to show a consistent trend across different species, and little is yet known about their functional role (Liu et al., 2015). In *Brassica rapa*, heat-responsive DNA methylation was shown to be associated with differential expression of genes involved in heat stress signal transduction and in RNA metabolic processing (Liu et al., 2018). In *Z. marina*, biological functions affected by stress-responsive methylation changes suggest that the plants were investing after stress in the breakdown and repair of misfolded proteins, synthesis of new proteins, growth (DNA replication), and the regulation of transmembrane transport of ions and protons, and neurotransmitter levels (Supplementary Table S9). Of particular interest is the CG hyper-methylation and, thus, potentially constitutive upregulation (Zhang et al., 2006; Schmitz et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014; Dubin et al., 2015; Niederhuth and Schmitz, 2017) of genes involved in the catabolism of misfolded proteins (Supplementary Table S9). Increased investment in the breakdown of heat-denatured proteins (Feder and Hofmann, 2002), may prime/adapt the affected shoots to future heat stress. This function was also hyper-methylated in control samples of highest performance and stress resilience (Supplementary Table S11). Experimental removal of DNA methylation, e.g. using zebularine or 5-Azacytidine (Griffin et al., 2016), or the targeted change of methylation patterns, e.g. via CRISPR (Xu et al., 2016), will ultimately allow to identify the relationship between heat-responsive methylation patterns and adaptive phenotypic changes.

4.3 Conclusions and Perspectives

Our study suggests that DNA methylation is functionally relevant for photosynthetic performance and heat stress resilience, independent from underlying somatic mutations. A portion of the stress-responsive changes in methylation appears sufficiently stable (Figure 5) to allow for medium to long-term acclimation/heat hardening in clonal lineages. In seagrass meadows composed of several genotypes, stress resilience, growth, and associated invertebrate species diversity is enhanced by

genotypic variation (Hughes and Stachowicz, 2004; Reusch et al., 2005; Ehlers et al., 2008). In clonal meadows, epigenetic variation may play a similar role in the potential to secure function and resilience not only of *Z. marina* plants, but also of the entire associated ecosystem.

Due to anthropogenic stressors, nearly one-third of global seagrass area has disappeared over the last 100 years, and the rate of loss accelerated from ca. 1% yr⁻¹ before 1940 to 7% yr⁻¹ since 1990 (Waycott et al., 2009). At the same time, rising temperatures open up new thermally suitable habitat in the Arctic (Krause-Jensen and Duarte, 2014). How fast and far warm-temperate and subarctic range edges will move polewards depends on the ability of seagrass to rapidly acclimate and adapt to rising temperatures and other environmental changes (Duarte et al., 2018). Thus, future studies are needed to assess the adaptive value and transgenerational stability of the epigenetic stress response, and to compare the ability to build up epigenetic variation between seagrass meadows composed of a single or multiple clones, as well as between range center *versus* edge populations.

The functional role of methylation variation in plant genets is not only of fundamental interest but also of applied interest for management programs of clonal organisms designed to assess evolutionary potential and population stability, and to minimize the loss of biodiversity. Our results can be relevant for restoration of seagrass ecosystems that largely depends on the success of replanted shoots to overcome natural variability/stress (Suykerbuyk et al., 2016; van Katwijk et al., 2016). Together with Nguyen et al. (2020) our study suggests that heat priming may improve restoration success of meadows damaged after heat waves (e.g. Arias-Ortiz et al. 2018). Given that 40% of all plant species can reproduce clonally (Tiffney and Niklas, 1985), our findings are further important to other fields, such as invasion biology and crop breeding strategies (Bilichak and Kovalchuk, 2016) of clonal plants.

5 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

6 Acknowledgements

We thank Marvin Choquet from Nord University for help with re-planting the shoots in the wet lab. We acknowledge Steinar Johnsen from Nord University for setting up the aquaria and water flow in the wet lab. This work was supported by the Norwegian Research Council (Havkyst project 243916), the Åbo Akademi University Foundation sr to CB, and a personal research talents grant from Nord University to AJ. Open access publication fees were covered by Nord University's Open Access fund. The content of this manuscript has previously appeared online as a preprint in bioRxiv (Jueterbock et al., 2019).

7 Author Contributions

GH (project leader) and AJ were planning the project and designing the experiments. AJ, CB, IS, and GH collected the shoots. AJ analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. AJ, MK, AKSD, JAC, and IS performed the DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing. SAH, JLO, and YVdP were involved in data interpretation. All co-authors read and commented on the manuscript.

8 References

- Alexa, A., and Rahnenführer, J. (2010). Enrichment analysis for Gene Ontology. *R Packag. "topGO"* v2.16.0. Available at: <http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/2.11/bioc/html/topGO.html>.
- Arias-Ortiz, A., Serrano, O., Masqué, P., Lavery, P. S., Mueller, U., Kendrick, G. A., et al. (2018). A marine heatwave drives massive losses from the world's largest seagrass carbon stocks. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 8, 1–7. doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0096-y.
- Arnaud-Haond, S., Duarte, C. M., Diaz-Almela, E., Marbà, N., Sintes, T., and Serrão, E. A. (2012). Implications of extreme life span in clonal organisms: Millenary clones in meadows of the threatened seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*. *PLoS One* 7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030454.
- Auwer, G. A., Carneiro, M. O., Hartl, C., Poplin, R., del Angel, G., Levy-Moonshine, A., et al. (2013). From FastQ Data to High-Confidence Variant Calls: The Genome Analysis Toolkit Best Practices Pipeline. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma.* 43, 11.10.1-11.10.33. doi:10.1002/0471250953.bi1110s43.

613 Bailleul, D., Stoeckel, S., and Arnaud-Haond, S. (2016). RClone: a package to identify MultiLocus
614 Clonal Lineages and handle clonal data sets in r. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 7, 966–970.
615 doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12550.

616 Balmer, A., Pastor, V., Gamir, J., Flors, V., and Mauch-Mani, B. (2015). The “prime-ome”: Towards
617 a holistic approach to priming. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 443–452.
618 doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2015.04.002.

619 Barrett, S. C. H. (2015). Influences of clonality on plant sexual reproduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
620 112, 8859–8866. doi:10.1073/pnas.1501712112.

621 Becheler, R., Diekmann, O., Hily, C., Moalic, Y., and Arnaud-Haond, S. (2010). The concept of
622 population in clonal organisms: Mosaics of temporally colonized patches are forming highly
623 diverse meadows of *Zostera marina* in Brittany. *Mol. Ecol.* 19, 2394–2407. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
624 294X.2010.04649.x.

625 Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate - a Practical and
626 Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-
627 Methodological 1995.pdf. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B* 57, 289–300. doi:10.2307/2346101.

628 Bewick, A. J., and Schmitz, R. J. (2017). Gene body DNA methylation in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant*
629 *Biol.* 36, 103–110. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2016.12.007.

630 Bijlsma, R., and Loeschcke, V. (2012). Genetic erosion impedes adaptive responses to stressful
631 environments. *Evol. Appl.* 5, 117–129. doi:10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00214.x.

632 Bilichak, A., Ilnytskyy, Y., Wóycicki, R., Kepeshchuk, N., Fogen, D., and Kovalchuk, I. (2015). The
633 elucidation of stress memory inheritance in *Brassica rapa* plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 1–17.
634 doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00005.

635 Bilichak, A., and Kovalchuk, I. (2016). Transgenerational response to stress in plants and its
636 application for breeding. *J. Exp. Bot.* 67, 2081–2092. doi:10.1093/jxb/erw066.

637 Bjornstad, O. N. (2020). ncf: Spatial Covariance Functions. Available at:
638 <https://cran.r-project.org/package=ncf>.

639 Bossdorf, O., Richards, C. L., and Pigliucci, M. (2008). Epigenetics for ecologists. *Ecol. Lett.* 11,
640 106–115. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01130.x.

641 Boström, C., Baden, S., Bockelmann, A.-C. C., Dromph, K., Fredriksen, S., Gustafsson, C., et al.
642 (2014). Distribution, structure and function of Nordic eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) ecosystems:
643 Implications for coastal management and conservation. *Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.*
644 24, 410–434. doi:10.1002/aqc.2424.

645 Boyko, A., Blevins, T., Yao, Y., Golubov, A., Bilichak, A., Ilnytsky, Y., et al. (2010).
646 Transgenerational adaptation of *Arabidopsis* to stress requires DNA methylation and the
647 function of dicer-like proteins. *PLoS One* 5. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009514.

648 Bussotti, F., Desotgiu, R., Pollastrini, M., and Cascio, C. (2010). The JIP test: a tool to screen the
649 capacity of plant adaptation to climate change. *Scand. J. For. Res.* 25, 43–50.
650 doi:10.1080/02827581.2010.485777.

651 Cedar, H., and Bergman, Y. (2009). Linking DNA methylation and histone modification: Patterns
652 and paradigms. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 10, 295–304. doi:10.1038/nrg2540.

653 Chefaoui, R. M., Duarte, C. M., and Serrão, E. A. (2018). Dramatic loss of seagrass habitat under
654 projected climate change in the Mediterranean Sea. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* doi:10.1111/gcb.14401.

655 Costanza, R., Arge, R., Groot, R. De, Farberk, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., et al. (1997). The value of
656 the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature* 387, 253–260.
657 doi:10.1038/387253a0.

658 Crisp, P. A., Ganguly, D., Eichten, S. R., Borevitz, J. O., and Pogson, B. J. (2016). Reconsidering
659 plant memory: Intersections between stress recovery, RNA turnover, and epigenetics. *Sci. Adv.*
660 2, e1501340–e1501340. doi:10.1126/sciadv.1501340.

661 Darmaraki, S., Somot, S., Sevault, F., and Nabat, P. (2019). Past Variability of Mediterranean Sea
662 Marine Heatwaves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46, 9813–9823. doi:10.1029/2019GL082933.

663 Dodd, R. S., and Douhovnikoff, V. (2016). Adjusting to Global Change through Clonal Growth and
664 Epigenetic Variation. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 4, 1–6. doi:10.3389/fevo.2016.00086.

665 Douhovnikoff, V., and Dodd, R. S. (2014). Epigenetics: a potential mechanism for clonal plant
666 success. *Plant Ecol.* 216, 227–233. doi:10.1007/s11258-014-0430-z.

667 Downen, R. H., Pelizzola, M., Schmitz, R. J., Lister, R., Downen, J. M., Nery, J. R., et al. (2012).
668 Widespread dynamic DNA methylation in response to biotic stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
669 A. 109, E2183-91. doi:10.1073/pnas.1209329109.

- 670 Duarte, B., Martins, I., Rosa, R., Matos, A. R., Roleda, M. Y., Reusch, T. B. H., et al. (2018).
671 Climate change impacts on seagrass meadows and macroalgal forests: an integrative perspective
672 on acclimation and adaptation potential. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 5, 190.
673 doi:10.3389/FMARS.2018.00190.
- 674 Duarte, C. M., Kennedy, H., Marbà, N., and Hendriks, I. (2013). Assessing the capacity of seagrass
675 meadows for carbon burial: Current limitations and future strategies. *Ocean Coast. Manag.* 83,
676 32–38. doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2011.09.001.
- 677 Dubin, M. J., Zhang, P., Meng, D., Remigereau, M. S., Osborne, E. J., Casale, F. P., et al. (2015).
678 DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis* has a genetic basis and shows evidence of local adaptation.
679 *Elife* 4, 1–23. doi:10.7554/eLife.05255.
- 680 Ehlers, A., Worm, B., and Reusch, T. B. H. (2008). Importance of genetic diversity in eelgrass
681 *Zostera marina* for its resilience to global warming. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 355, 1–7.
682 doi:10.3354/meps07369.
- 683 Feder, M. E., and Hofmann, G. E. (2002). Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress
684 response: Evolutionary and ecological physiology. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 61, 243–282.
685 doi:10.1146/annurev.physiol.61.1.243.
- 686 Feng, S., Cokus, S. J., Zhang, X., Chen, P.-Y. P.-Y., Bostick, M., Goll, M. G., et al. (2010).
687 Conservation and divergence of methylation patterning in plants and animals. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
688 *Sci.* 107, 8689–8694. doi:10.1073/pnas.1002720107.
- 689 Fourqurean, J. W., Duarte, C. M., Kennedy, H., Marbà, N., Holmer, M., Mateo, M. A., et al. (2012).
690 Seagrass ecosystems as a globally significant carbon stock. *Nat. Geosci.* 5, 505–509.
691 doi:10.1038/ngeo1477.
- 692 Foust, C. M., Preite, V., Schrey, A. W., Alvarez, M., Robertson, M. H., Verhoeven, K. J. F. F., et al.
693 (2016). Genetic and epigenetic differences associated with environmental gradients in replicate
694 populations of two salt marsh perennials. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 1639–1652. doi:10.1111/mec.13522.
- 695 Frankham, R., Bradshaw, C. J. A., and Brook, B. W. (2014). Genetics in conservation management:
696 Revised recommendations for the 50/500 rules, Red List criteria and population viability
697 analyses. *Biol. Conserv.* 170, 56–63. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.12.036.

- 698 Franssen, S. U., Gu, J., Bergmann, N., Winters, G., Klostermeier, U. C., Rosenstiel, P., et al. (2011).
699 Transcriptomic resilience to global warming in the seagrass *Zostera marina*, a marine
700 foundation species. *Proc. ...* 108, 19276–19281. doi:10.1073/pnas.1107680108.
- 701 Gabriel, W., Lynch, M., and Bürger, R. (1993). Muller’s Ratchet and Mutational Meltdowns.
702 *Evolution (N. Y.)*. 47, 1744–1757. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1993.tb01266.x.
- 703 Gallusci, P., Dai, Z., Génard, M., Gauffretau, A., Leblanc-Fournier, N., Richard-Molard, C., et al.
704 (2017). Epigenetics for Plant Improvement: Current Knowledge and Modeling Avenues. *Trends*
705 *Plant Sci.* 22, 610–623. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2017.04.009.
- 706 Gao, L., Geng, Y., Li, B., Chen, J., and Yang, J. (2010). Genome-wide DNA methylation alterations
707 of *Alternanthera philoxeroides* in natural and manipulated habitats: implications for epigenetic
708 regulation of rapid responses to environmental fluctuation and phenotypic variation. *Plant. Cell*
709 *Environ.* 33, 1820–1827. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02186.x.
- 710 Garrison, E. (2020). C++ library and cmdline tools for parsing and manipulating VCF files. Available
711 at: <https://github.com/vcflib/vcflib>.
- 712 Gáspár, B., Bossdorf, O., and Durka, W. (2019). Structure, stability and ecological significance of
713 natural epigenetic variation: a large-scale survey in *Plantago lanceolata*. *New Phytol.* 221,
714 1585–1596. doi:10.1111/nph.15487.
- 715 González, A. P. R., Dumalasová, V., Rosenthal, J., Skuhrovec, J., and Latzel, V. (2017). The role of
716 transgenerational effects in adaptation of clonal offspring of white clover (*Trifolium repens*) to
717 drought and herbivory. *Evol. Ecol.* 31, 345–361. doi:10.1007/s10682-016-9844-5.
- 718 Greally, J. M. (2018). A user’s guide to the ambiguous word “epigenetics.” *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*
719 19, 207–208. doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.135.
- 720 Green, E. P., and Short, F. (2004). *World atlas of seagrasses*. Berkeley, USA: University of
721 California Press doi:10.1515/BOT.2004.029.
- 722 Greve, T. M., Borum, J., and Pedersen, O. (2003). Meristematic oxygen variability in eelgrass
723 (*Zostera marina*). *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 48, 210–216. doi:10.4319/lo.2003.48.1.0210.
- 724 Griffin, P. T., Niederhuth, C. E., and Schmitz, R. J. (2016). A comparative analysis of 5-azacytidine-
725 and zebularine-induced DNA demethylation. *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* 6, 2773–2780.
726 doi:10.1534/g3.116.030262.

- 727 Gugger, P. F., Fitz-Gibbon, S., Pellegrini, M., and Sork, V. L. (2016). Species-wide patterns of DNA
728 methylation variation in *Quercus lobata* and their association with climate gradients. *Mol. Ecol.*
729 25, 1665–1680. doi:10.1111/mec.13563.
- 730 Herman, J. J., and Sultan, S. E. (2016). DNA methylation mediates genetic variation for adaptive
731 transgenerational plasticity. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 283, 20160988.
732 doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.0988.
- 733 Herrera, C. M., and Bazaga, P. (2010). Epigenetic differentiation and relationship to adaptive genetic
734 divergence in discrete populations of the violet *Viola cazorlensis*. *New Phytol.* 187, 867–876.
735 doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03298.x.
- 736 Hilker, M., Schwachtje, J., Baier, M., Balazadeh, S., Bäurle, I., Geiselhardt, S., et al. (2016). Priming
737 and memory of stress responses in organisms lacking a nervous system. *Biol. Rev.* 91, 1118–
738 1133. doi:10.1111/brv.12215.
- 739 Hirsch, S., Baumberger, R., and Grossniklaus, U. (2012). Epigenetic variation, inheritance, and
740 selection in plant populations. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 77, 97–104.
741 doi:10.1101/sqb.2013.77.014605.
- 742 Huang, C., Xu, M., and Zhu, B. (2013). Epigenetic inheritance mediated by histone lysine
743 methylation: Maintaining transcriptional states without the precise restoration of marks? *Philos.*
744 *Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 368. doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0332.
- 745 Hughes, A. R., Inouye, B. D., Johnson, M. T. J., Underwood, N., and Vellend, M. (2008). Ecological
746 consequences of genetic diversity. *Ecol. Lett.* 11, 609–623. doi:10.1111/j.1461-
747 0248.2008.01179.x.
- 748 Hughes, A. R., and Stachowicz, J. J. (2004). Genetic diversity enhances the resistance of a seagrass
749 ecosystem to disturbance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 101, 8998–9002.
750 doi:10.1073/pnas.0402642101.
- 751 Ibrahim, E. A. (2016). Seed priming to alleviate salinity stress in germinating seeds. *J. Plant Physiol.*
752 192, 38–46. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2015.12.011.
- 753 Iwasaki, M., and Paszkowski, J. (2014). Epigenetic memory in plants. *EMBO J.* 33, 1987–1998.
754 doi:10.15252/embj.201488883.

755 Jablonka, E., and Raz, G. (2009). Transgenerational Epigenetic Inheritance: Prevalence,
756 Mechanisms, and Implications for the Study of Heredity and Evolution. *Q. Rev. Biol.* 84, 131–
757 176. doi:10.1086/598822.

758 Jueterbock, A., Boström, C., James, A. C., Olsen, J., Kopp, M., Dhanasiri, A., et al. (2019).
759 Methylation variation promotes phenotypic diversity and evolutionary potential in a millenium-
760 old clonal seagrass meadow. *bioRxiv*, 787754. doi:10.1101/787754.

761 Jueterbock, A., Franssen, S. U., Bergmann, N., Gu, J., Coyer, J. A., Reusch, T. B. H. H., et al. (2016).
762 Phylogeographic differentiation versus transcriptomic adaptation to warm temperatures in
763 *Zostera marina*, a globally important seagrass. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 5396–5411.
764 doi:10.1111/mec.13829.

765 Kilvitis, H. J., Alvarez, M., Foust, C. M., Schrey, A. W., Robertson, M., and Richards, C. L. (2014).
766 “Ecological Epigenetics,” in *Ecological Genomics: Ecology and the Evolution of Genes and*
767 *Genomes* Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology., eds. C. R. Landry and N. Aubin-
768 Horth (Springer Netherlands), 191–210. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-7347-9.

769 Knaus, B. J., and Grünwald, N. J. (2017). <scp>vcfr</scp> : a package to manipulate and visualize
770 variant call format data in R. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 17, 44–53. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12549.

771 Krause-Jensen, D., and Duarte, C. M. (2014). Expansion of vegetated coastal ecosystems in the
772 future Arctic. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 1, 1–10. doi:10.3389/fmars.2014.00077.

773 Kumar, S. (2018). Epigenomics of Plant Responses to Environmental Stress. *Epigenomes* 2, 6.
774 doi:10.3390/epigenomes2010006.

775 Kuźnicki, D., Meller, B., Arasimowicz-Jelonek, M., Braszewska-Zalewska, A., Drozda, A., and
776 Floryszak-Wieczorek, J. (2019). BABA-induced DNA methylome adjustment to
777 intergenerational defense priming in potato to *Phytophthora infestans*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 1–
778 16. doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.00650.

779 Laikre, L., Allendorf, F. W., Aroner, L. C., Baker, C. S., Gregovich, D. P., Hansen, M. M., et al.
780 (2009). Neglect of genetic diversity in implementation of the Convention on Biological
781 Diversity. *Conserv. Biol.* 24, 86–88. Available at: <https://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/37018>.

782 Lämke, J., and Bäurle, I. (2017). Epigenetic and chromatin-based mechanisms in environmental
783 stress adaptation and stress memory in plants. *Genome Biol.* 18, 1–11. doi:10.1186/s13059-017-
784 1263-6.

785 Lande, R. (1988). Genetics and demography in biological conservation. *Science* (80-.). 241, 1455–
786 1460. doi:10.1126/science.3420403.

787 Larkum, A. W. D. D., Orth, R. J., and Duarte, C. M. (2006). *Seagrasses : Biology, Ecology, and*
788 *Conservation.* , eds. A. W. D. D. Larkum, R. J. Orth, and C. M. Duarte Springer Netherlands
789 doi:10.1111/j.1439-0485.2006.00138.x.

790 Latzel, V., Allan, E., Bortolini Silveira, A., Colot, V., Fischer, M., and Bossdorf, O. (2013).
791 Epigenetic diversity increases the productivity and stability of plant populations. *Nat. Commun.*
792 4, 2875. doi:10.1038/ncomms3875.

793 Latzel, V., Rendina González, A. P., and Rosenthal, J. (2016). Epigenetic Memory as a Basis for
794 Intelligent Behavior in Clonal Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1354. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01354.

795 Law, J. A., and Jacobsen, S. E. (2010). Establishing, maintaining and modifying DNA methylation
796 patterns in plants and animals. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 11, 204–220. doi:10.1038/nrg2719.

797 Lê, S., Josse, J., and Husson, F. (2008). FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate Analysis. *J.*
798 *Stat. Softw.* 25, 1–18. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2008.06.007.

799 Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
800 transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.

801 Li, R., Li, Y., Kristiansen, K., and Wang, J. (2008). SOAP: Short oligonucleotide alignment program.
802 *Bioinformatics* 24, 713–714. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn025.

803 Lisch, D. (2009). Epigenetic Regulation of Transposable Elements in Plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*
804 60, 43–66. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092744.

805 Liu, F., Liu, J., and Dong, M. (2016). Ecological Consequences of Clonal Integration in Plants.
806 *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1–11. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00770.

807 Liu, G., Xia, Y., Liu, T., Dai, S., and Hou, X. (2018). The DNA methylome and association of
808 differentially methylated regions with differential gene expression during heat stress in *Brassica*
809 *rapa*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19. doi:10.3390/ijms19051414.

810 Liu, J., Feng, L., Li, J., and He, Z. (2015). Genetic and epigenetic control of plant heat responses.
811 *Front. Plant Sci.* 06, 1–21. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00267.

- 812 Loxdale, H. D., Lushai, G., Aaf, A. C., Cereal, E., and Ecor, C. (2003). Intracolonial genetic
813 variation : ecological and evolutionary aspects. Rapid changes in clonal lines : the death of a
814 'sacred cow. *Philos. Perspect.*, 3–16.
- 815 Lushai, G., Loxdale, H. D., and Allen, J. A. (2003). Intracolonial genetic variation : ecological and
816 evolutionary aspects . The dynamic clonal genome and its adaptive potential. *Society*, 193–208.
- 817 Lynch, M., Butcher, D., Bürger, R., and Gabriel, W. (1993). The mutational meltdown in asexual
818 populations. *J. Hered.* 84, 339–344. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a111354.
- 819 Lynch, M., and Lande, R. (1998). The critical effective size for a genetically secure population.
820 *Anim. Conserv.* 1, 70–72. doi:doi:10.1017/S136794309822110X.
- 821 Marbà, N., and Duarte, C. M. (2010). Mediterranean warming triggers seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*)
822 shoot mortality. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16, 2366–2375. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02130.x.
- 823 Marín-Guirao, L., Entrambasaguas, L., Ruiz, J. M., and Procaccini, G. (2019). Heat-stress induced
824 flowering can be a potential adaptive response to ocean warming for the iconic seagrass
825 *Posidonia oceanica*. *Mol. Ecol.* 28, 2486–2501. doi:10.1111/mec.15089.
- 826 May, M. R., Provance, M. C., Sanders, A. C., Ellstrand, N. C., and Ross-Ibarra, J. (2009). A
827 pleistocene clone of palmer's oak persisting in Southern California. *PLoS One* 4, 1–5.
828 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008346.
- 829 McKey, D., Elias, M., Pujol, M. E., and Duputié, A. (2010). The evolutionary ecology of clonally
830 propagated domesticated plants. *New Phytol.* 186, 318–332. doi:10.1111/j.1469-
831 8137.2010.03210.x.
- 832 Medrano, M. M., Herrera, C. M., and Bazaga, P. (2014). Epigenetic variation predicts regional and
833 local intraspecific functional diversity in a perennial herb. *Mol. Ecol.* 23, 4926–4938.
834 doi:10.1111/mec.12911.
- 835 Muller, H. J. (1964). The relation of recombination to mutational advance. *Mutat. Res. - Fundam.*
836 *Mol. Mech. Mutagen.* 1, 2–9. doi:10.1016/0027-5107(64)90047-8.
- 837 Neri, F., Rapelli, S., Krepelova, A., Incarnato, D., Parlato, C., Basile, G., et al. (2017). Intragenic
838 DNA methylation prevents spurious transcription initiation. *Nature* 543, 72–77.
839 doi:10.1038/nature21373.

- 840 Nguyen, H. M., Kim, M., Ralph, P. J., Marín-Guirao, L., Pernice, M., and Procaccini, G. (2020).
841 Stress memory in seagrasses: first insight into the effects of thermal priming and the role of
842 epigenetic modifications. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11, 494. doi:10.3389/FPLS.2020.00494.
- 843 Nicotra, A. B., Atkin, O. K., Bonser, S. P., Davidson, A. M., Finnegan, E. J., Mathesius, U., et al.
844 (2010). Plant phenotypic plasticity in a changing climate. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 684–692.
845 doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2010.09.008.
- 846 Niederhuth, C. E., and Schmitz, R. J. (2017). Putting DNA methylation in context: from genomes to
847 gene expression in plants. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Gene Regul. Mech.* 1860, 149–156.
848 doi:10.1016/j.bbagr.2016.08.009.
- 849 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., et al. (2019). vegan:
850 Community Ecology Package. Available at: <https://cran.r-project.org/package=vegan>.
- 851 Olsen, J. L., Rouzé, P., Verhelst, B., Lin, Y., Bayer, T., Collen, J., et al. (2016). The genome of the
852 seagrass *Zostera marina* reveals angiosperm adaptation to the sea. *Nature* 530, 331–335.
853 doi:10.1038/nature16548.
- 854 Olsen, J. L., Stam, W. T., Coyer, J. A., Reusch, T. B. H. H., Billingham, M., Boström, C., et al.
855 (2004). North Atlantic phylogeography and large-scale population differentiation of the seagrass
856 *Zostera marina* L. *Mol. Ecol.* 13, 1923–1941. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02205.x.
- 857 Orth, R. J., Carruthers, T. J. B., Dennison, W. C., Duarte, C. M., Fourqurean, J. W., Heck, K. L., et
858 al. (2006). A Global Crisis for Seagrass Ecosystems. *Bioscience* 56, 987–996.
859 doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2006)56[987:agcfse]2.0.co;2.
- 860 Ou, X., Zhang, Y., Xu, C., Lin, X., Zang, Q., Zhuang, T., et al. (2012). Transgenerational Inheritance
861 of Modified DNA Methylation Patterns and Enhanced Tolerance Induced by Heavy Metal
862 Stress in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *PLoS One* 7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041143.
- 863 Pawar, V. A., and Laware, S. L. (2018). Seed Priming A Critical Review. *Int. J. Sci. Res. Biol. Sci.* 5,
864 94–101. doi:10.26438/ijrbs/v5i5.94101.
- 865 Procaccini, G., Olsen, J. L., and Reusch, T. B. H. (2007). Contribution of genetics and genomics to
866 seagrass biology and conservation. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.* 350, 234–259.
867 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2007.05.035.

868 Ptashne, M. (2007). On the use of the word “epigenetic.” *Curr. Biol.* 17, 233–236.
869 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.02.030.

870 R Core Team (2019). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Available at:
871 <https://www.r-project.org/>.

872 Raj, S., Bräutigam, K., Hamanishi, E. T., Wilkins, O., Thomas, B. R., Schroeder, W., et al. (2011).
873 Clone history shapes *Populus* drought responses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 12521–
874 12526. doi:10.1073/pnas.1103341108.

875 Rasmann, S., De Vos, M., Casteel, C. L., Tian, D., Halitschke, R., Sun, J. Y., et al. (2012). Herbivory
876 in the Previous Generation Primes Plants for Enhanced Insect Resistance. *Plant Physiol.* 158,
877 854–863. doi:10.1104/pp.111.187831.

878 Repolho, T., Duarte, B., Dionísio, G., Paula, J. R., Lopes, A. R., Rosa, I. C., et al. (2017). Seagrass
879 ecophysiological performance under ocean warming and acidification. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 41443.
880 doi:10.1038/srep41443.

881 Reusch, T. B. H., and Boström, C. (2011). Widespread genetic mosaicism in the marine angiosperm
882 *Zostera marina* is correlated with clonal reproduction. *Evol. Ecol.* 25, 899–913.
883 doi:10.1007/s10682-010-9436-8.

884 Reusch, T. B. H., Boström, C., Stam, W. T., and Olsen, J. L. (1999). An ancient eelgrass clone in the
885 Baltic. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 183, 301–304. doi:10.3354/meps183301.

886 Reusch, T. B. H., Dierking, J., Andersson, H. C., Bonsdorff, E., Carstensen, J., Casini, M., et al.
887 (2018). The Baltic Sea as a time machine for the future coastal ocean. *Sci. Adv.* 4, eaar8195.
888 doi:10.1126/sciadv.aar8195.

889 Reusch, T. B. H., Ehlers, A., Hammerli, A., and Worm, B. (2005). Ecosystem recovery after climatic
890 extremes enhanced by genotypic diversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 102, 2826–2831. doi:10.1073/
891 pnas.0500008102.

892 Reusch, T. B. H., and Hughes, A. R. (2006). The Emerging Role of Genetic Diversity for Ecosystem
893 Functioning : Estuarine Macrophytes as Models. *Estuaries and Coasts* 29, 159–164.
894 doi:10.1007/BF02784707.

895 Reusch, T. B. H., Stam, W. T., and Olsen J.L. (2000). A microsatellite-based estimation of clonal
896 diversity and population subdivision in *Zostera marina*, a marine flowing plant. *Mol. Ecol.* 9,
897 127–140.

898 Richards, C. L., Schrey, A. W., and Pigliucci, M. (2012). Invasion of diverse habitats by few
899 Japanese knotweed genotypes is correlated with epigenetic differentiation. *Ecol. Lett.* 15, 1016–
900 1025. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01824.x.

901 Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. (2009). edgeR: A Bioconductor package for
902 differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140.
903 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616.

904 Robinson, and Oshlack (2010). A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis
905 of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol.* 11, R25. Available at:
906 <http://genomebiology.com/2010/11/3/R25>.

907 Ruiz, J. M., Marín-Guirao, L., García-Muñoz, R., Ramos-Segura, A., Bernardeau-Esteller, J., Pérez,
908 M., et al. (2018). Experimental evidence of warming-induced flowering in the Mediterranean
909 seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 134, 49–54.
910 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.10.037.

911 Schlicker, A., Domingues, F., Rahnenfuhrer, J., and Lengauer, T. (2006). A new measure for
912 functional similarity of gene products based on Gene Ontology. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7, 302.

913 Schmitz, R. J., Schultz, M. D., Lewsey, M. G., O'Malley, R. C., Urich, M. a, Libiger, O., et al.
914 (2011). Transgenerational epigenetic instability is a source of novel methylation variants.
915 *Science* 334, 369–73. doi:10.1126/science.1212959.

916 Schmitz, R. J., Schultz, M. D., Urich, M. A., Nery, J. R., Pelizzola, M., Libiger, O., et al. (2013).
917 Patterns of population epigenomic diversity. *Nature* 495, 193–8. doi:10.1038/nature11968.

918 Schulz, B., Eckstein, R. L., and Durka, W. (2014). Epigenetic variation reflects dynamic habitat
919 conditions in a rare floodplain herb. *Mol. Ecol.* 23, 3523–3537. doi:10.1111/mec.12835.

920 Secco, D., Wang, C., Shou, H., Schultz, M. D., Chiarenza, S., Nussaume, L., et al. (2015). Stress
921 induced gene expression drives transient DNA methylation changes at adjacent repetitive
922 elements. *Elife* 4. doi:10.7554/eLife.09343.001.

- 923 Seymour, D. K., Koenig, D., Hagmann, J., Becker, C., and Weigel, D. (2014). Evolution of DNA
924 Methylation Patterns in the Brassicaceae is Driven by Differences in Genome Organization.
925 *PLoS Genet.* 10, e1004785. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004785.
- 926 Silvertown, J. (2008). The Evolutionary Maintenance of Sexual Reproduction: Evidence from the
927 Ecological Distribution of Asexual Reproduction in Clonal Plants. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* 169, 157–
928 168. doi:10.1086/523357.
- 929 Slaughter, A., Daniel, X., Flors, V., Luna, E., Hohn, B., and Mauch-Mani, B. (2012). Descendants of
930 primed *Arabidopsis* plants exhibit resistance to biotic stress. *Plant Physiol.* 158, 835–843.
931 doi:10.1104/pp.111.191593.
- 932 Spielman, D., Brook, B. W., and Frankham, R. (2004). Most species are not driven to extinction
933 before genetic factors impact them. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 101, 15261–15264.
934 doi:10.1073/pnas.0403809101.
- 935 Stefanov, D., Petkova, V., and Denev, I. D. (2011). Screening for heat tolerance in common bean
936 (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) lines and cultivars using JIP-test. *Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam).* 128, 1–6.
937 doi:DOI: 10. 1016/j. scienta. 2010. 12. 003.
- 938 Sterck, L., Billiau, K., Abeel, T., Rouzé, P., and Van de Peer, Y. (2012). ORCAE: online resource for
939 community annotation of eukaryotes. *Nat. Methods* 9, 1041.
940 doi:http://www.nature.com/nmeth/journal/v9/n11/abs/nmeth.2242.html#supplementary-
941 information.
- 942 Strasser, R. J., Srivastava, A., and Tsimilli-Michael, M. (2000). “The fluorescence transient as a tool
943 to characterize and screen photosynthetic samples,” in *Probing Photosynthesis: Mechanism,*
944 *Regulation & Adaptation*, eds. M. Yunu, U. Pathre, and P. Mohanty (Taylor and Francis), 445–
945 483. Available at: [http://ww.hansatech-instruments.com/docs/the fluorescence transient.pdf](http://ww.hansatech-instruments.com/docs/the%20fluorescence%20transient.pdf).
- 946 Supek, F., Bošnjak, M., Škunca, N., and Šmuc, T. (2011). Revigo summarizes and visualizes long
947 lists of gene ontology terms. *PLoS One* 6, e21800. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021800.
- 948 Suykerbuyk, W., Govers, L. L., Bouma, T. J., Giesen, W. B. J. T., de Jong, D. J., van de Voort, R., et
949 al. (2016). Unpredictability in seagrass restoration: analysing the role of positive feedback and
950 environmental stress on *Zostera noltii* transplants. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 53, 774–784.
951 doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12614.

- 952 Takuno, S., and Gaut, B. S. (2012). Body-methylated genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* are functionally
953 important and evolve slowly. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29, 219–227. doi:10.1093/molbev/msr188.
- 954 Tiffney, B., and Niklas, K. (1985). “Clonal growth in land plants - a paleobotanical perspective,” in
955 *Population biology and evolution of clonal organisms*, ed. C. R. Jackson JBC, Buss LW (Yale
956 University Press, New Haven), 35–66.
- 957 van der Graaf, A., Wardenaar, R., Neumann, D. A., Taudt, A., Shaw, R. G., Jansen, R. C., et al.
958 (2015). Rate, spectrum, and evolutionary dynamics of spontaneous epimutations. *Proc. Natl.*
959 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 6676–81. doi:10.1073/pnas.1424254112.
- 960 van Katwijk, M. M., Thorhaug, A., Marbà, N., Orth, R. J., Duarte, C. M., Kendrick, G. A., et al.
961 (2016). Global analysis of seagrass restoration: The importance of large-scale planting. *J. Appl.*
962 *Ecol.* 53, 567–578. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12562.
- 963 Vanden Broeck, A., Cox, K., Brys, R., Castiglione, S., Cicatelli, A., Guarino, F., et al. (2018).
964 Variability in DNA Methylation and Generational Plasticity in the Lombardy Poplar, a Single
965 Genotype Worldwide Distributed Since the Eighteenth Century. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 1–11.
966 doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01635.
- 967 Varet, H., Brillet-Guéguen, L., Coppée, J. Y., and Dillies, M. A. (2016). SARTools: A DESeq2- and
968 edgeR-based R pipeline for comprehensive differential analysis of RNA-Seq data. *PLoS One* 11,
969 1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157022.
- 970 Verhoeven, K. J. F., Jansen, J. J., van Dijk, P. J., and Biere, A. (2010). Stress-induced DNA
971 methylation changes and their heritability in asexual dandelions. *New Phytol.* 185, 1108–1118.
972 doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03121.x.
- 973 Verhoeven, K. J. F., and Preite, V. (2014). Epigenetic variation in asexually reproducing organisms.
974 *Evolution (N. Y.)*. 68, 644–655. doi:10.1111/evo.12320.
- 975 Verhoeven, K. J. F., and van Gorp, T. P. (2012). Transgenerational effects of stress exposure on
976 offspring phenotypes in apomictic dandelion. *PLoS One* 7, e38605.
977 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038605.
- 978 Verhoeven, K. J. F., Verbon, E. H., van Gorp, T. P., Oplaat, C., Ferreira de Carvalho, J., Morse, A.
979 M., et al. (2018). Intergenerational environmental effects: functional signals in offspring
980 transcriptomes and metabolomes after parental jasmonic acid treatment in apomictic dandelion.
981 *New Phytol.* 217, 871–882. doi:10.1111/nph.14835.

982 Wang, M. Z., Li, H. L., Li, J. M., and Yu, F. H. (2020). Correlations between genetic, epigenetic and
983 phenotypic variation of an introduced clonal herb. *Heredity (Edinb)*. 124, 146–155. doi:10.1038/
984 s41437-019-0261-8.

985 Wang, S., Lv, J., Zhang, L., Dou, J., Sun, Y., Li, X., et al. (2015). MethylRAD: a simple and scalable
986 method for genome-wide DNA methylation profiling using methylation-dependent restriction
987 enzymes. *Open Biol.* 5, 150130. doi:10.1098/rsob.150130.

988 Waycott, M., Duarte, C. M., Carruthers, T. J. B., Orth, R. J., Dennison, W. C., Olyarnik, S., et al.
989 (2009). Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. *Proc.*
990 *Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 12377–12381. doi:10.1073/pnas.0905620106.

991 Whitham, T. G., and Slobodchikoff, C. N. (1981). Evolution by individuals, plant-herbivore
992 interactions, and mosaics of genetic variability: The adaptive significance of somatic mutations
993 in plants. *Oecologia* 49, 287–292. doi:10.1007/BF00347587.

994 Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2 Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer New York
995 doi:10.1007/978-0-387-98141-3.

996 Williams, B. P., and Gehring, M. (2017). Stable transgenerational epigenetic inheritance requires a
997 DNA methylation-sensing circuit. *Nat. Commun.* 8. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02219-3.

998 Wojtyła, Ł., Lechowska, K., Kubala, S., and Garnczarska, M. (2016). Molecular processes induced in
999 primed seeds—increasing the potential to stabilize crop yields under drought conditions. *J.*
1000 *Plant Physiol.* 203, 116–126. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2016.04.008.

1001 Xu, X., Tao, Y., Gao, X., Zhang, L., Li, X., Zou, W., et al. (2016). A CRISPR-based approach for
1002 targeted DNA demethylation. *Cell Discov.* 2. doi:10.1038/celldisc.2016.9.

1003 Yang, X., Han, H., DeCarvalho, D. D., Lay, F. D., Jones, P. A., and Liang, G. (2014). Gene body
1004 methylation can alter gene expression and is a therapeutic target in cancer. *Cancer Cell* 26, 577–
1005 590. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2014.07.028.

1006 Yu, L., Boström, C., Franzenburg, S., Bayer, T., Dagan, T., and Reusch, T. B. H. (2020). Somatic
1007 genetic drift and multilevel selection in a clonal seagrass. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 3, 833335.
1008 doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1196-4.

1009 Zhang, H., Lang, Z., and Zhu, J. K. (2018). Dynamics and function of DNA methylation in plants.
1010 *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 19, 489–506. doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0016-z.

1011 Zhang, X., Yazaki, J., Sundaresan, A., Cokus, S., Chan, S. W. L., Chen, H., et al. (2006). Genome-
1012 wide High-Resolution Mapping and Functional Analysis of DNA Methylation in *Arabidopsis*.
1013 *Cell* 126, 1189–1201. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.08.003.

1014 Zhang, Y.-Y. Y., Fischer, M., Colot, V., and Bossdorf, O. (2013). Epigenetic variation creates
1015 potential for evolution of plant phenotypic plasticity. *New Phytol.* 197, 314–322.
1016 doi:10.1111/nph.12010.

1017 Živčák, M., Brestič, M., Olšovská, K., and Slamka, P. (2008). Performance index as a sensitive
1018 indicator of water stress in *Triticum aestivum* L. *Plant, Soil Environ.* 54, 133–139.

1019 9 Figure captions

1020 **Figure 1:** Baltic Sea sampling site of a > 1,000 years old clonal meadow where 42 *Zostera marina*
1021 shoots were collected along a 250 m transect.

1022 **Figure 2:** Methylation variation of field transect samples of *Zostera marina* exceeded the shift in
1023 methylation patterns along the first two principal components in response to heat stress. The samples
1024 are plotted along the first two principle components (Dim) based on methylation profiles across all
1025 sequence contexts. Circles represent 95% confidence intervals around group means. Bracketed
1026 numbers represent the percentage of explained variation.

1027 **Figure 3:** Genetic relatedness did not restrict epigenetic differentiation. Bivariate methylation
1028 differences in (A) CG and (B) CHG regions were comparable within ramets of the same genet
1029 (intraclone) and between ramets of different genets (interclone) of *Zostera marina* across all
1030 sequence contexts.

1031 **Figure 4:** Correlation matrix of photosynthetic performance (PiABS) difference (first three columns)
1032 or of genetic distance (last column) among clonal *Zostera marina* shoots with epigenetic distance
1033 (first 24 rows) or with performance difference at control, stress, or recovery conditions (last three
1034 rows). All correlations between performance difference and epigenetic distance were controlled for
1035 genetic distance. Black squares represent untested correlations that were considered biologically not
1036 meaningful. Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients R are encoded by the color gradient
1037 explained in the bar on the right end, and by the shape of the ellipses. Narrow ellipses represent

1038 stronger correlations as compared with wide ones. Asterisks highlight strong ($R>0.65$) and significant
 1039 (adjusted $p<0.05$) correlations. CG and CHG: sequence contexts of the methylated cytosine; TE:
 1040 Transposable element.

1041 **Figure 5:** Methylation patterns of all sequence contexts in shoots of a *Zostera marina* clone changed
 1042 in response to heat stress and did not return to pre-stress patterns after a >5-week recovery period.
 1043 See Supplementary Figure S5 for methylation shifts separated by sequence context. The samples are
 1044 plotted along the first two principle components (Dim) based on methylation profiles across all
 1045 sequence contexts. Circles represent 95% confidence intervals around group means. Bracketed
 1046 numbers represent the percentage of explained variation.

1047 **Figure 6:** Hyper-methylation dominates the stress response of *Zostera marina*. Hyper- and
 1048 hypomethylated sites in CG (A-C) and CHG (D-F) regions in stressed vs. control samples (A,D),
 1049 recovered vs. control samples (B,E), and in recovered vs. stressed samples (C,F). Stress-responsive
 1050 methylation changes were memorized over the >5-week recovery period. TE: transposable element.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 6