The GET pathway safeguards against non-imported mitochondrial protein stress

Tianyao Xiao¹, Vipendra P.S. Shakya¹, and Adam L. Hughes^{1*}

¹Department of Biochemistry, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, 84112, USA

*Correspondence:
Department of Biochemistry
University of Utah School of Medicine
15 N. Medical Drive East
RM 4100
Salt Lake City, UT, 84112
Phone: 801-581-2481
Fax: 801-581-7959
Email: hughes@biochem.utah.edu

SUMMARY

Deficiencies in mitochondrial import cause the toxic accumulation of non-imported mitochondrial precursor proteins. Numerous fates for non-imported mitochondrial precursors have been identified, including proteasomal destruction, deposition into protein aggregates, and mis-targeting to other organelles. Amongst organelles, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) has emerged as a key destination for non-imported mitochondrial proteins, but how ER-targeting of these proteins is achieved remains unclear. Here, we show that the guided entry of tail-anchored proteins (GET) complex is required for ER-targeting of endogenous mitochondrial multi-transmembrane proteins. Without a functional GET pathway, non-imported mitochondrial proteins destined for the ER are alternatively sequestered into Hsp42-dependent protein foci. The ER targeting of non-imported mitochondrial proteins by the GET complex prevents cellular toxicity and facilitates re-import of mitochondrial proteins from the ER via the recently identified ER-SURF pathway. Overall, this study outlines an important and unconventional role for the GET complex in mitigating stress associated with non-imported mitochondrial proteins.

KEYWORDS

Mitochondria, ER, protein stress, protein quality control, the GET pathway, mitochondrial carrier proteins, ER-SURF

INTRODUCTION

Mitochondria play crucial roles in energy production, metabolite synthesis, cell immunity, and apoptosis (Friedman and Nunnari, 2014). Abnormal mitochondrial function disrupts cellular homeostasis and is tightly linked to aging and many metabolic diseases (Wallace, 2005). A major consequence of mitochondrial dysfunction is the impairment of mitochondrial protein import. The vast majority of the mitochondrial proteome, which contains over 1,000 proteins, is encoded in the nucleus and translated in the cytoplasm (Pagliarini et al., 2008). Mitochondrial precursor proteins are imported into mitochondria by translocase complexes located in the outer and inner mitochondrial membranes (OMM and IMM) through a process that depends on IMM potential (Wiedemann and Pfanner, 2017). In response to mitochondrial dysfunction, mitochondrial protein import is impaired and non-imported proteins accumulate outside of mitochondria (Boos et al., 2020; Hughes and Gottschling, 2012; Wang and Chen, 2015; Wrobel et al., 2015).

Previous studies found that non-imported mitochondrial proteins trigger proteotoxicity, termed mitochondrial precursor overaccumulation stress (mPOS) (Wang and Chen, 2015; Wrobel et al., 2015). To date, a collection of studies have shown that mPOS triggers a cascade of cellular responses that help to promote cellular survival. These responses include translational suppression and proteasomal destruction in the cytoplasm, nucleus and at the mitochondrial surface (Boos et al., 2020; Hansen et al., 2018; Itakura et al., 2016; Mårtensson et al., 2019; Shakya et al., 2020; Wang and Chen, 2015; Wrobel et al., 2015). In a recent screen to elucidate fates of non-imported mitochondrial proteins, we identified the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) as an organelle to which many non-imported mitochondrial membrane proteins were targeted (Shakya et

al., 2020). This observation is consistent with other studies that have also identified alternative targeting of mitochondrial proteins to the ER under a variety of conditions (Friedman et al., 2018; Hansen et al., 2018; Vitali et al., 2018). However, it remains unclear how the proteins identified in our previous screen are targeted to the ER, and what impact this has on the cell.

Here we sought to characterize the ER-targeting pathway of non-imported mitochondrial proteins and determine its role in mitigating mitochondrial protein stress during conditions of mitochondrial impairment. We found that the guided entry of tail-anchored proteins (GET) complex, a known post-translational ER-insertion pathway for C-terminal tail-anchored (TA) proteins (Schuldiner et al., 2008), is required for targeting non-imported mitochondrial carrier proteins to the ER. Specifically, we find that Get3, the cytosolic ATPase of the GET pathway (Schuldiner et al., 2008), physically interacts with polytopic non-imported mitochondrial membrane proteins. In the absence of a functional GET pathway, ER-destined non-imported mitochondrial proteins instead localize to Hsp42-dependent cytosolic foci that associate with both mitochondria and the ER. In addition, we show that by targeting non-imported mitochondrial proteins to the ER, the GET complex prevents cellular stress and provides substrates for the ER-SURF pathway (Hansen et al., 2018) to promote re-import of ER-localized mitochondrial proteins. Thus, it appears that the GET pathway plays an important role in maintaining cellular protein homeostasis in response to mitochondrial import failure.

RESULTS

Non-imported mitochondrial proteins are targeted to the ER

70 We previously conducted a microscopy-based screen using the budding yeast GFP clone
71 collection to study the localization and abundance of over 400 mitochondrial proteins
72 under conditions of mitochondrial membrane depolarization induced by the ionophore
73 trifluoromethoxy carbonyl cyanide phenylhydrazone (FCCP) (Shakya et al., 2020).
74 Through the screen, the ER was identified as a destination for approximately 3% of the
75 non-imported mitochondrial proteome, in agreement with prior observations of
76 mitochondrial proteins aberrantly localizing to the ER (Hansen et al., 2018; Vitali et al.,
77 2018). We verified the localization of eight ER-localized candidates using newly
78 generated yeast strains in which mitochondrial proteins of interest were endogenously
79 tagged with GFP at their C-termini, and the OMM protein Tom70 was fused to mCherry
80 to mark mitochondria (Hughes and Gottschling, 2012; Hughes et al., 2016). Using super-
81 resolution microscopy, we found that in untreated cells, all eight proteins localized to
82 mitochondria as expected. Upon FCCP treatment, these proteins localized to structures
83 characteristic of yeast ER, in addition to residual mitochondrial localization (Fig. 1A, 1B,
84 Fig. S1A-S1C). Most of these proteins were mitochondrial membrane proteins, including
85 both OMM proteins, e.g., Alo1 (Fig. 1A), and IMM proteins, e.g., Oac1 (Fig. 1B). ER
86 localization of these non-imported mitochondrial proteins was confirmed by their
87 colocalization with mCherry-tagged Sec61, a component of the ER-localized translocon
88 (Aviram and Schuldiner, 2017; Young et al., 2012) (Fig. 1C-1E, Fig. S1D-S1F). In the
89 presence of cycloheximide, which inhibits protein synthesis, ER localization of Alo1 and
90 Oac1 was undetectable upon FCCP treatment (Fig. S1G, S1H), indicating only newly
91 synthesized Alo1 and Oac1 were targeted to the ER. C-terminal FLAG-tagged Alo1 and
92 Oac1 were also targeted to the ER upon FCCP treatment as determined by indirect

immunofluorescence, similar with their GFP-tagged counterparts (Fig. S2A, S2B). Thus, ER localization of these mitochondrial proteins was not due to their C-terminal GFP fusion. In addition to FCCP, we also used genetic tools to specifically block mitochondrial import via deletion of *TOM70* and *TOM71*. Tom70 and Tom71 reside on the OMM and facilitate the import of both Alo1 and Oac1 (Wiedemann and Pfanner, 2017). In *tom70/tom71*Δ mutants but not in wild type cells, Alo1-GFP or Oac1-GFP colocalized with Sec61-mCherry (Fig. 1F-1H). These results confirm that several mitochondrial proteins are alternatively targeted to the ER in response to either acute or constitutive mitochondrial import blockade.

The GET complex is required for ER-targeting of non-imported mitochondrial carrier proteins

To investigate the cellular machinery that targets non-imported mitochondrial proteins to the ER, we surveyed non-imported mitochondrial protein localization in a set of strains with deficiencies in known ER-import pathways, including the Sec61 translocon that translocates ER proteins through either the signal recognition particle (SRP)-dependent or SRP-independent pathways, the ER membrane protein complex (EMC), the SRP-independent targeting (SND) complex and the GET complex (Ast and Schuldiner, 2013; Aviram and Schuldiner, 2017; Aviram et al., 2016; Chitwood et al., 2018; Guna et al., 2018; Schuldiner et al., 2008; Shurtleff et al., 2018). Alo1 or Oac1 were endogenously tagged with GFP in mutants with deletion of either SRP-independent Sec61 translocon component *SEC72*, EMC component *EMC2*, SND complex components *SND2*, or GET pathway insertases *GET1/2* (Aviram et al., 2016; Schuldiner et al., 2008; Shurtleff et al.,

2018; Wang et al., 2014a). In response to FCCP, the ER localization of Alo1 was unaffected in any of these mutants (Fig S2C, S2D). Likewise, the ER localization of Oac1 in *sec72Δ*, *emc2Δ* and *snd2Δ* upon FCCP treatment was similar to wild type (Fig S2E). In contrast, blocking the GET pathway, which normally facilitates post-translational insertion of TA proteins to the ER (Schuldiner et al., 2008), prevented FCCP-induced ER-targeting of non-imported Oac1 (Fig. 2A, 2B). Interestingly, in *get1/2Δ* mutant cells, Oac1 was sequestered in bright protein foci (Fig. 2A, 2C), consistent with previous observations that TA proteins localize to protein aggregates in GET mutants (Powis et al., 2013; Schuldiner et al., 2008). We examined additional ER-targeted non-imported mitochondrial proteins in cells lacking Get1/2 and found that the FCCP-induced ER-targeting of Mir1 and Dic1, both members of the multi-pass mitochondrial carrier protein family like Oac1 (Palmieri et al., 2006), was also dependent on the GET pathway (Fig. S3A). Similarly, Om45, an OMM protein, localized to the vacuole instead of the ER in *get1/2Δ* mutants upon FCCP treatment (Fig. S3B). In contrast, other ER-destined non-imported mitochondrial proteins still localized to the ER in GET-deficient cells when treated with FCCP (Fig. S3C, S3D), suggesting that like Alo1, their targeting is independent of the GET machinery and that multiple mechanisms exist to target non-imported proteins to the ER.

To understand the involvement of other proteins of the GET complex in ER-targeting of non-imported mitochondrial proteins, we tested the requirement of upstream GET components in delivery of Oac1 to the ER, including the cytosolic ATPase Get3, which binds and recruits substrates to the ER insertases Get1/2, and the cytosolic chaperones Get4, Get5 and Sgt2, which bind and stabilize substrates to promote downstream ER-targeting by Get1/2/3 (Wang et al., 2010, 2014a). Like Get1/2, loss of

Get3 also impacted targeting of Oac1 to the ER (Fig. S4A), with reduced ER-localization upon FCCP treatment (Fig. S4B) and increased number of protein foci containing Oac1 (Fig. S4C). Deletion of *GET5* also blunted ER-targeting upon FCCP treatment, but did not lead to the production of Oac1-GFP foci (Fig. S4A-S4C). Knockout of *GET4* and *SGT2*, however, had little effect (Fig. S4A-S4C). Thus, core GET components, including Get1/2/3 and partially Get5, are required for targeting mitochondrial carrier proteins to the ER, but other components of the GET pathway are dispensable.

Cytosolic ATPase Get3 physically interacts with Oac1

To further investigate the interplay between the GET pathway components and non-imported mitochondrial carrier proteins such as Oac1, we created a strain expressing an mCherry-tagged version of Get3, the cytosolic ATPase that normally resides in the cytoplasm and recruits cytosolic GET substrates to ER-localized Get1/2 (Schuldiner et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2010). In *get1/2Δ* mutants, it has been shown that Get3 localizes to cytosolic foci containing GET substrates (Powis et al., 2013; Schuldiner et al., 2008). Like canonical TA substrates of the GET pathway (Powis et al., 2013), we found that in *get1/2Δ* mutant cells, half of the Oac1-GFP foci were colocalized or closely associated with Get3-mCherry foci, even in the absence of FCCP (Fig. 2D, 2E). Likewise, co-immunoprecipitation analysis indicated that a portion of FLAG-tagged Get3 constitutively co-purified with GFP-tagged Oac1 (Fig. 2F). This interaction persisted regardless of the nature of the epitope tags on the protein, or which of the proteins was used as the bait (Fig. S4D). In contrast, other non-ER targeted mitochondrial proteins, including Tom70, Tim50 and Por1, were not co-immunoprecipitated with Get3 (Fig. S4D). Additionally, no

interaction was detected between Get3 and Alo1, a mitochondrial protein that was targeted to the ER upon impaired mitochondrial import independently of the GET complex (Fig. S4E). This indicates that Get3 selectively interacts with non-imported mitochondrial carrier proteins and promotes their ER-targeting.

Oac1-GFP localizes to mitochondrial- and ER-associated Hsp42-dependent foci in the absence of a functional GET pathway

In cells with a non-functional GET pathway, mitochondrial carrier proteins were sequestered into protein foci (Fig 2A, 2C, Fig. S3A). To characterize these foci, we analyzed their localization in cells with fluorescently-tagged organelle markers using super-resolution microscopy. In *get1/2Δ* mutant cells, 97% of protein foci containing Oac1 were associated with mitochondria marked by Tom70 (Fig. 3A) or the ER marked by Sec61 (Fig. 3B), which is similar with previously characterized cytosolic protein aggregates (Zhou et al., 2014). To verify whether these foci corresponded to protein aggregates, we labelled Hsp42 and Hsp104, chaperones that commonly localize to cytosolic protein aggregates in yeast (Miller et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2014), with mCherry and examined localization with Oac1-GFP foci. Interestingly, nearly all Oac1-GFP foci contained Hsp42 and Hsp104 regardless of FCCP addition (Fig. 3C-3F). Deletion of *HSP42*, but not *HSP104*, diminished the formation of Oac1-foci in *get1/2Δ* mutants (Fig. 3G-3H). Thus, without a functional GET pathway, Hsp42 mediates the sequestration of non-imported mitochondrial proteins that are normally destined to the ER.

GET-dependent ER targeting prevents cellular toxicity

Because non-imported mitochondrial proteins have been shown to be harmful to cells (Wang and Chen, 2015; Wrobel et al., 2015), we investigated whether the ER-targeting of non-imported mitochondrial proteins by the GET pathway prevents their toxicity. To do this, we tested the growth of GET mutants under stress of mitochondrial import failure. In comparison to wild-type cells, *get1/2Δ* cells exhibited diminished growth in the presence of FCCP (Fig. 4A), and *get3Δ* cells showed slight growth defects (Fig. 4B), in alignment with their effect on ER-targeting of mitochondrial proteins. Similarly, deletion of *GET1* or *GET2* caused fitness defects in cells lacking the mitochondrial import receptors Tom70/71 (Fig. 4C, 4D), suggesting the GET pathway mitigates cellular stress under conditions of mitochondrial import impairment.

GET-dependent ER targeting provides substrates for the ER-SURF pathway

In agreement with our current findings, it was recently demonstrated that the J-protein, Djp1, shuttles ER-localized mitochondrial proteins from the ER membrane to mitochondria, promoting additional attempts of mitochondrial import (Hansen et al., 2018). A major question surrounding this pathway, termed ER-SURF, is the nature of the cellular machinery that initially targets mitochondrial proteins to the ER (Fig. 4E). With our discovery that the GET pathway facilitates ER-targeting of non-imported mitochondrial membrane proteins, we wondered whether the GET targeting system is an integral part of relaying non-imported mitochondrial precursors to the ER-SURF pathway. To test whether GET-dependent targeting of non-imported mitochondrial proteins acts upstream of Djp1, we tagged Oac1, which requires the GET complex to be localized to the ER, with GFP, in *djp1Δ* mutant cells. Interestingly, ER-localized Oac1 was observed in 55% of

djp1 Δ cells without FCCP treatment (Fig. 4F, 4G) and more than 60% with FCCP treatment (Fig. 4G). Both rates are higher than observed in wild-type cells (Fig. 4G). Importantly, the ER localization of Oac1 in *djp1* Δ cells was dramatically reduced in the absence of *GET1/2* (Fig. 4G), and protein foci containing Oac1 were present in *djp1* Δ *get1/2* Δ triple mutants (Fig. 4H). These data are consistent with a model in which the GET pathway acts upstream of the ER-SURF pathway and is required to deliver non-imported mitochondrial proteins to the ER for eventual mitochondrial re-import via Djp1.

DISCUSSION

We previously identified the ER as a major destination of non-imported mitochondrial membrane proteins (Shakya et al., 2020). In this study, we further characterized the ER-targeting pathway of non-imported mitochondrial proteins. We show that the GET complex is required for ER-targeting of a specific group of proteins, the mitochondrial carrier proteins. With a dysfunctional GET pathway, mitochondrial membrane proteins cannot be delivered to the ER, and are instead sequestered into mitochondrion- and ER-associated cytosolic protein foci. Overall, our data support two roles for the GET pathway in quality control of ER-targeted mitochondrial proteins: mitigation of cellular stress by preventing cytosolic aggregation, and also delivery of substrates to the Djp1-dependent ER-SURF pathway for their re-import into mitochondria (Fig. 4I).

This study outlines an important and unconventional role for the GET complex in targeting multi-pass transmembrane proteins to the ER. Canonically, the GET pathway is known to insert C-terminal single-pass tail-anchored proteins to the ER (Aviram and Schuldiner, 2017; Schuldiner et al., 2008). However, our current results, along with a

recent study showing that the GET complex facilitates localization of over-expressed OMM proteins to the ER (Vitali et al., 2018), suggest that the GET system is capable of handling multi-pass membrane proteins in an unknown capacity. Moving forward, it will be interesting to understand how the GET machinery structurally interacts with mitochondrial carrier proteins, including whether this interaction is direct or requires additional machinery.

Finally, our results highlight the importance of the interplay between the multitude of quality control systems that coordinately prevent toxicity induced by non-imported mitochondrial precursors. While we found that cells protect against mitochondrial protein stress through alternative ER targeting via the GET pathway, several ER-destined mitochondrial proteins analyzed here did not appear to rely on the GET pathway for their ER targeting. Identifying the systems that target these proteins will be necessary to fully understand how alternative ER delivery prevents the toxicity of non-imported mitochondrial precursors. Moreover, the degree of coordination between the many systems that mitigate the stress associated with non-imported mitochondrial precursors remains unclear. For example, while our current results suggest that GET-dependent ER targeting plays a role upstream of the ER-SURF pathway, whether the GET machinery directly communicates with the ER-SURF components remains unknown. Addressing some of these questions is key to unlocking the full extent to which the non-imported mitochondrial proteome impairs cellular health during times of mitochondrial dysfunction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank members of the A.L.H. and J.M.S. laboratory for discussion and manuscript comments, and Dr. Nikolaus Pfanner for Tim50 and Tom70 antisera. We thank Janet Shaw (Utah) for contributing stipend support for T.X. Research was supported by NIH grants GM119694 (A.L.H.) and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (J.M.S.). A.L.H. was further supported by an American Federation for Aging Research Junior Research Grant, United Mitochondrial Disease Foundation Early Career Research Grant, Searle Scholars Award, and Glenn Foundation for Medical Research Award.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, T.X., V.P.S.S., A.L.H.; Methodology, T.X., V.P.S.S., A.L.H.; Formal Analysis, T.X.; Investigation, T.X.; Writing T.X. and A.L.H.; Visualization, T.X.; Supervision, A.L.H.; Funding Acquisition, A.L.H.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Non-imported mitochondrial proteins are targeted to the ER.

(A and B) Super-resolution images and line scan analysis of yeast expressing Alo1-GFP (A) or Oac1-GFP (B) and Tom70-mCherry +/- FCCP.

(C and D) Super-resolution images and line scan analysis of yeast expressing Alo1-GFP (C) or Oac1-GFP (D) and Sec61-mCherry +/- FCCP.

275 (E) Quantification of cells with ER localization of Alo1- or Oac1-GFP +/- FCCP. N > 100
 276 cells per replicate, error bars = SEM of three replicates.
 277 (F and G) Super-resolution images and line scan analysis of *wild type* or *tom70/71Δ*
 278 expressing Alo1-GFP (F) or Oac1-GFP (G) and Sec61-mCherry.
 279 (H) Quantification of cells with ER localization of Alo1- or Oac1- GFP in wild type cells
 280 or *tom70/71Δ* mutants. N > 100 cells per replicate, error bars = SEM of three replicates.
 281 For (A-D, F and G), white arrow marks perinuclear ER. White line marks fluorescence
 282 intensity profile position. Left and right Y axis (line scan graph) correspond to GFP and
 283 mCherry fluorescence intensity respectively. Black arrow (line scan graph) marks
 284 colocalization. Images show single focal plane. Scale bar = 2 μm.
 285 See also Figure S1.

286

287 **Figure 2. The GET complex is required for ER-targeting of non-imported**
 288 **mitochondrial carrier proteins.**

289 (A) Super-resolution images of wild-type or *get1/2Δ* mutant cells expressing Oac1-GFP
 290 and Tom70-mCherry +/- FCCP.

291 (B and C) Quantification of a showing the percentage of cells with Oac1-GFP localized
 292 to the ER (B) or protein foci (C). N > 100 cells per replicate, error bars = SEM of three
 293 replicates.

294 (D) Super-resolution images of wild-type or *get1/2Δ* cells expressing Oac1-GFP and
 295 Get3-mCherry +/- FCCP.

296 (E) Quantification of (D) showing the number of foci only containing Oac1-GFP (green),
 297 Get3-mCherry (magenta) or colocalized/associated Oac1-GFP and Get3-mCherry

(yellow) per 100 cells +/- FCCP. N > 100 cells per replicate of three replicates, values are normalized to number of foci per 100 cells.

(F) Western blot probing for GFP and FLAG in input, unbound or elution products of immunoprecipitated Oac1-GFP in the indicated yeast strains.

White arrow marks perinuclear ER. White arrowhead marks protein foci containing Oac1-GFP. Yellow arrowheads mark protein foci containing Get3-mCherry. Images show single focal plane. Scale bar = 2 μ m.

See also Figure S2-S4.

Figure 3. Oac1-GFP localizes to mitochondrial- and ER-associated Hsp42-dependent foci in the absence of a functional GET pathway.

(A-D) Super-resolution images and line scan analysis of *get1/2 Δ* mutant yeast expressing Oac1-GFP and Tom70-mCherry (A), Sec61-mCherry (B), Hsp42-mCherry (C) or Hsp104-mCherry (D). White arrowhead marks protein foci containing Oac1-GFP. White line marks fluorescence intensity profile position. Left and right Y axis (line scan graph) correspond to GFP and mCherry fluorescence intensity, respectively. Black arrow marks protein foci position and white arrow marks mitochondria (A) or ER (B) position that is associated with protein foci. For the quantification in A and B, N > 100 cells per replicate of three replicates.

(E and F) Quantification of (C) and (D) respectively showing the number of foci only containing Oac1-GFP (green), Hsp42-mCherry (E) or Hsp104-mCherry (F) (magenta) or both (yellow) per 100 cells +/- FCCP. N > 100 cells per replicate of three replicates, values are normalized to number of foci per 100 cells.

(G) Widefield images of wild-type cells and the indicated mutant yeast expressing Oac1-GFP and Tom70-mCherry +/- FCCP. White arrows mark perinuclear ER. White arrowheads mark protein foci containing Oac1-GFP.

(H) Quantification of (G). N > 100 cells per replicate, error bars = SEM of three replicates.

Images show single focal plane. Scale bar = 2 μ m.

Figure 4. GET-dependent ER targeting prevents toxicity and provides substrates for the ER-SURF pathway.

(A and B) Five-fold serial dilutions of wild-type cells and *get1/2* Δ (A) or *get3* Δ (B) mutant cells on YPD +/- FCCP agar plates.

(C and D) Five-fold serial dilutions of wild-type and the indicated mutant cells on YPD agar plates.

(E) Schematic graph of the ER-SURF pathway.

(F) Super-resolution images of wild-type and *djp1* Δ cells expressing Oac1-GFP and Tom70-mCherry. White arrows mark perinuclear ER. Images show single focal plane. Scale bar = 2 μ m.

(G and H) Quantification of the percentage of cells with Oac1-GFP localized to the ER

(G) or the cytosolic foci (H) in wild-type or the indicated mutant cells. N > 100 cells per replicate, error bars = SEM of three replicates.

(I) Schematic overview of the roles of GET-dependent ER targeting in preventing protein aggregation and toxicity and facilitating re-delivery of mitochondrial proteins.

Figure S1. Related to Fig. 1

(A-C) Super-resolution images of yeast expressing indicated OMM proteins (A), IMM proteins (B) or mitochondrial proteins with unknown sub-organelle localization (C) tagged with GFP and Tom70-mCherry +/- FCCP. Sub-organelle localizations of mitochondrial proteins were obtained from SGD.

(D-F) Super-resolution images of yeast expressing indicated OMM proteins (D), IMM proteins (E) or mitochondrial proteins with unknown sub-organelle localization (F) tagged with GFP and Sec61-mCherry +/- FCCP.

(G and H) Widefield images of yeast expressing Alo1-GFP (G) or Oac1-GFP (H) and Tom70-mCherry +/- FCCP +/- CHX (cycloheximide).

White arrows mark perinuclear ER. Images show single focal plane. Scale bar = 2 μm.

Figure S2. Related to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2

(A and B) Widefield images of indirect immunofluorescence staining against the FLAG epitope in yeast expressing Alo1- or Oac1-FLAG and Tom70-mCherry (A) or Sec61-mCherry (B) +/- FCCP. Nucleus stained with NucBlue.

(C) Widefield images of wild-type or the indicated mutant yeast expressing Alo1-GFP and Tom70-mCherry +/- FCCP.

(D) Super-resolution images of wild-type or *get1/2Δ* mutant yeast expressing Alo1-GFP and Tom70-mCherry +/- FCCP.

(E) Widefield images of wild-type or the indicated mutant yeast expressing Oac1-GFP and Tom70-mCherry +/- FCCP.

White arrow marks perinuclear ER. Images show single focal plane. Scale bar = 2 μm.

Figure S3. Related to Fig. 2

(A) Super-resolution images of wild-type or *get1/2Δ* mutant yeast expressing IMM carrier proteins Mir1- or Dic1- GFP and Tom70-mCherry -/+ FCCP. White arrowhead marks protein foci containing GFP-tagged mitochondrial proteins.

(B) Widefield images of wild-type or *get1/2Δ* yeast expressing OMM protein Om45-GFP and Tom70-mCherry -/+ FCCP. White arrow marks perinuclear ER. White arrowhead marks vacuole.

(C and D) Widefield images of wild-type or *get1/2Δ* mutant yeast expressing IMM protein Oxa1-GFP (C) or mitochondrial matrix protein Put1- or Tuf1-GFP (D) and Tom70-mCherry -/+ FCCP.

White arrow marks perinuclear ER. Images show single focal plane. Scale bar = 2 μm.

Figure S4. Related to Fig. 2

(A) Super-resolution images of the indicated mutant yeast expressing Oac1-GFP and Tom70-mCherry -/+ FCCP. White arrows mark perinuclear ER. White arrowhead marks protein foci containing Oac1-GFP. Images show single focal plane. Scale bar = 2 μm.

(B and C) Quantification of (A) showing the percentage of cells with Oac1-GFP localized to the ER (B) or cytosolic foci (C) in wild-type or the indicated mutant cells. N > 100 cells per replicate, error bars = SEM of three replicates.

(D) Western blot probing for GFP, FLAG-tag, Tom70, Tim50 and Por1 in input, unbound or elution products of immunoprecipitated Get3-GFP in the indicated yeast strains.

(E) Western blot probing for GFP and FLAG-tag in input, unbound or elution products of immunoprecipitated Get3-GFP in the indicated yeast.

STAR METHODS

LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Adam L. Hughes (hughes@biochem.utah.edu). All unique/stable reagents generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact without restriction.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Yeast Strains

All yeast strains are derivatives of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288c (BY)(Brachmann et al., 1998) and are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Strains expressing tagged proteins from their native loci were created by one step PCR-mediated C-terminal endogenous epitope tagging using standard techniques and the oligo pairs listed in Supplementary Table 2(Brachmann et al., 1998; Sheff and Thorn, 2004). Plasmid templates for GFP tagging were from the pKT series of vectors(Sheff and Thorn, 2004). Plasmid templates for mCherry tagging were from the pKT series of vectors(Sheff and Thorn, 2004) or pFA6a-mCherry-HphMX (Addgene 39295)(Wang et al., 2014b). Integrations were confirmed by correct localized expression of the fluorophore by microscopy. Plasmid template for FLAG tagging was the pFA6a-5FLAG-KanMX6 (Addgene 15983)(Noguchi et al., 2008). Integrations were confirmed by a combination of colony PCR across the

chromosomal insertion site and correct band size by western blot. Deletion strains were created by one step PCR-mediated gene replacement using the oligos pairs listed in Supplementary table 2 and plasmid templates from the pRS series vectors (Brachmann et al., 1998). Correct integrations were confirmed with colony PCR across the chromosomal insertion site.

Yeast Cell Culture and Media

Yeast cells were grown exponentially for 15-16 hours at 30°C to a final density of $2-7 \times 10^6$ cells/mL prior to starting any treatments. Cells were cultured in YPAD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 0.005% adenine, 2% glucose). For FCCP treatment, overnight log-phase cell cultures were grown in the presence of FCCP (final concentration of 10 μ M) or cycloheximide (100 μ g/mL) for 4-5 hours.

METHOD DETAILS

Microscopy

Optical z-sections of live yeast cells were acquired with a ZEISS Axio Imager M2 equipped with a ZEISS AxioCam 506 monochromatic camera, 100x oil-immersion objective (plan apochromat, NA 1.4), a AxioObserver 7 (Carl Zeiss) equipped with a PCO Edge 4.2LT Monochrome, Air Cooled, USB 3 CCD camera with a Solid-State Colibri 7 LED illuminator and 100X oil-immersion objective (Carl Zeiss, Plan Apochromat, NA 1.4), a ZEISS LSM800 equipped with an Airyscan detector, 63x oil-immersion objective (plan apochromat, NA 1.4) or a ZEISS LSM880 equipped with an Airyscan detector, 63x oil-immersion objective (plan apochromat, NA 1.4). Widefield images were acquired with

ZEN (Carl Zeiss) and processed with Fiji(Schindelin et al., 2012). Super-resolution images were acquired with ZEN (Carl Zeiss) and processed using the automated Airyscan processing algorithm in ZEN (Carl Zeiss) and Fiji. Individual channels of all images were minimally adjusted in Fiji to match the fluorescence intensities between channels for better visualization. Line scan analysis was performed on non-adjusted, single z-sections in Fiji. All images shown in Figures represent a single optical section.

Serial-Dilution Growth Assays

Five-fold serial dilutions of exponentially growing yeast cells were diluted in ddH₂O and 3 μ L of each dilution was spotted onto YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose). Final concentration of FCCP is 7 μ M. Total cells plated in each dilution spot were 5000, 1000, 20, 40, and 8. Plates were cultured at 30°C for 36 hours before obtaining images.

Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting

Immunoprecipitation and western blot were carried out as described previously(Hughes et al., 2016). Cells were grown as described above. 1.2×10^8 total cells were harvested, resuspended in 500 μ L of Lysis Buffer (50 mM Tris pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% Glycerol, 1% IGEPAL (NP-40 substitute), 100 μ M PMSF) and lysed with glass beads using an Omni Bead Ruptor 12 Homogenizer (8 cycles of 20 seconds each). Cells lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 2,000xg for 3 minutes to remove cell debris, followed by centrifugation at 11,000xg for 5 minutes. Supernatant was collected to a new tube. Pellets were resuspended in 50 μ L of SUME buffer (1% SDS, 8 M Urea, 10 mM MOPS, pH 6.8, 10 mM EDTA and 10 mM NEM) and heated at 42 °C for 5

minutes. After centrifugation at 11,000xg for 5 minutes, supernatant of cell pellet resuspension was combined with supernatant from lysate clearance centrifugation, and total volume was adjusted to 1 mL by adding lysis buffer. Lysates were incubated with 25 μ L of pre-balanced anti-GFP bead slurry (GTMA, GFP-Trap[®]_MA, chromotek) at 4 °C overnight and then washed 4 times for 10 minutes in lysis buffer. Immunoprecipitated proteins were eluted by incubating beads in 2x Laemmli Buffer (63 mM Tris pH 6.8, 2% (w/v) SDS, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mg/mL bromophenol blue, 1% (v/v) β -mercaptoethanol) at 90 °C for 10 minutes. Cells extracts and elution products were resolved on Bolt 4-12% Bis-Tris Plus Gels (NW04125BOX, Thermo Fisher) with NuPAGE MES SDS Running Buffer (NP0002-02, Thermo Fisher) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked and probed in blocking buffer (1x PBS, 0.05% Tween 20, 5% non-fat dry milk) using the primary antibodies for FLAG (ThermoFisher) and GFP (Sigma Millipore) and HRP conjugated secondary antibodies (715-035-150, Jackson ImmunoResearch). Blots were developed with SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate (34580, Thermo Fisher) and exposed with a Bio-Rad Chemidoc MP system.

Yeast Indirect Immunofluorescence (IIF) Staining

For IIF staining, overnight log-phase cell cultures were grown with or without FCCP for 3.5 hours in YPAD to OD=0.4. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and fixed in 10 mL fixation medium (4% Polyformaldehyde in YPAD) for one hour. Fixed yeast cells were washed with Wash Buffer (0.1 M Tris, pH=8, 1.2 M Sorbitol) twice and incubated in 2 mL DTT Buffer (10 mM DTT in 0.1 M Tris, pH=9.4) at room temperature for 10 minutes.

Spheroplasts were generated by incubating cells in 2 mL Zymolyase Buffer (0.1 M KPi, pH=6.5, 1.2 M Sorbitol, 0.25 mg/mL Zymolyase) at 30°C for 30 minutes. Spheroplasts were gently diluted in 1:40 using Wash Buffer and attached to glass slides pre-coated with 0.1% poly-L-Lysine (2 mg/mL). Samples were permeabilized in cold 0.1% Triton-X100 in PBS for 10 minutes at 4 °C, briefly dried and blocked in Wash Buffer containing 1% BSA at room temperature for 30 minutes. After blocking, samples were incubated with primary antibody (Monoclonal ANTI-FLAG® M2 antibody produced in mouse, 1:200 diluted in Wash Buffer containing 1% BSA) for 1.5 hours at room temperature and secondary antibody (Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488, 1:300 diluted in Wash Buffer containing 1% BSA) for 45 minutes at room temperature. Samples were washed 10 times after each incubation with Wash Buffer containing 1% BSA and 0.1% Tween-20. Slides were washed twice with Wash Buffer before sealing, and mounted with hardset medium (ProLong™ Glass Antifade Mountant with NucBlue™ Stain (P36981), Invitrogen) overnight. Widefield images were acquired as described above.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The number of replicates, what n represents, and dispersion and precision measures are indicated in the figure legends. In general, quantifications show the mean $\pm$ standard error from three biological replicates with $n = 100$ cells per experiment. In experiments with data depicted from a single biological replicate, the experiment was repeated with the same results.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

This study did not generate datasets or code.

REFERENCES

- Ast, T., and Schuldiner, M. (2013). All roads lead to Rome (but some may be harder to travel): SRP-independent translocation into the endoplasmic reticulum. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* *48*, 273–288.
- Aviram, N., and Schuldiner, M. (2017). Targeting and translocation of proteins to the endoplasmic reticulum at a glance. *J. Cell Sci.* *130*, 4079–4085.
- Aviram, N., Ast, T., Costa, E.A., Arakel, E.C., Chuartzman, S.G., Jan, C.H., Haßdenteufel, S., Dudek, J., Jung, M., Schorr, S., et al. (2016). The SND proteins constitute an alternative targeting route to the endoplasmic reticulum. *Nature* *540*, 134–138.
- Boos, F., Labbadia, J., and Herrmann, J.M. (2020). How the Mitoprotein-Induced Stress Response Safeguards the Cytosol: A Unified View. *Trends Cell Biol.* *30*, 241–254.
- Brachmann, C.B., Davies, A., Cost, G.J., Caputo, E., Li, J., Hieter, P., and Boeke, J.D. (1998). Designer deletion strains derived from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C: A useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. *Yeast* *14*, 115–132.
- Chitwood, P.J., Juszkievicz, S., Guna, A., Shao, S., and Hegde, R.S. (2018). EMC Is Required to Initiate Accurate Membrane Protein Topogenesis. *Cell* *175*, 1507–1519.
- Friedman, J.R., and Nunnari, J. (2014). Mitochondrial form and function. *Nature* *505*, 335–343.

527 Friedman, J.R., Kannan, M., Toulmay, A., Jan, C.H., Weissman, J.S., Prinz, W.A., and
528 Nunnari, J. (2018). Lipid Homeostasis Is Maintained by Dual Targeting of the
529 Mitochondrial PE Biosynthesis Enzyme to the ER. *Dev. Cell* 44, 261–270.

530 Guna, A., Volkmar, N., Christianson, J.C., and Hegde, R.S. (2018). The ER membrane
531 protein complex is a transmembrane domain insertase. *Science*. 359, 470–473.

532 Hansen, K.G., Aviram, N., Laborenz, J., Bibi, C., Meyer, M., Spang, A., Schuldiner, M.,
533 and Herrmann, J.M. (2018). An ER surface retrieval pathway safeguards the import of
534 mitochondrial membrane proteins in yeast. *Science*. 361, 1118–1122.

535 Hughes, A.L., and Gottschling, D.E. (2012). An early age increase in vacuolar pH limits
536 mitochondrial function and lifespan in yeast. *Nature* 492, 261–265.

537 Hughes, A.L., Hughes, C.E., Henderson, K.A., Yazvenko, N., and Gottschling, D.E.
538 (2016). Selective sorting and destruction of mitochondrial membrane proteins in aged
539 yeast. *Elife* 5, e13943.

540 Itakura, E., Zavodszky, E., Shao, S., Wohlever, M.L., Keenan, R.J., and Hegde, R.S.
541 (2016). Ubiquilins Chaperone and Triage Mitochondrial Membrane Proteins for
542 Degradation. *Mol. Cell* 7, 21–33.

543 Mårtensson, C.U., Priesnitz, C., Song, J., Ellenrieder, L., Doan, K.N., Boos, F.,
544 Floerchinger, A., Zufall, N., Oeljeklaus, S., Warscheid, B., et al. (2019). Mitochondrial
545 protein translocation-associated degradation. *Nature* 569, 679–683.

546 Miller, S.B., Ho, C., Winkler, J., Khokhrina, M., Neuner, A., Mohamed, M.Y., Guilbride,
547 D.L., Richter, K., Lisby, M., Schiebel, E., et al. (2015). Compartment-specific
548 aggregates direct distinct nuclear and cytoplasmic aggregate deposition. *EMBO J.* 34,
549 778–797.

550 Noguchi, C., Garabedian, M. V., Malik, M., and Noguchi, E. (2008). A vector system for
551 genomic FLAG epitope-tagging in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Biotechnol. J.* 3,
552 1280–1285.

553 Pagliarini, D.J., Calvo, S.E., Chang, B., Sheth, S.A., Vafai, S.B., Ong, S.E., Walford,
554 G.A., Sugiana, C., Boneh, A., Chen, W.K., et al. (2008). A Mitochondrial Protein
555 Compendium Elucidates Complex I Disease Biology. *Cell* 134, 112–123.

556 Palmieri, F., Agrimi, G., Blanco, E., Castegna, A., Di Noia, M.A., Iacobazzi, V., Lasorsa,
557 F.M., Marobbio, C.M.T., Palmieri, L., Scarcia, P., et al. (2006). Identification of
558 mitochondrial carriers in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by transport assay of reconstituted
559 recombinant proteins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Bioenerg.* 1757, 1249–1262.

560 Powis, K., Schrul, B., Tienson, H., Gostimskaya, I., Breker, M., High, S., Schuldiner, M.,
561 Jakob, U., and Schwappach, B. (2013). Get3 is a holdase chaperone and moves to
562 deposition sites for aggregated proteins when membrane targeting is blocked. *J. Cell*
563 *Sci.* 126, 473–483.

564 Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,
565 Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: An open-source
566 platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676–682.

567 Schuldiner, M., Metz, J., Schmid, V., Denic, V., Rakwalska, M., Schmitt, H.D.,
568 Schwappach, B., and Weissman, J.S. (2008). The GET Complex Mediates Insertion of
569 Tail-Anchored Proteins into the ER Membrane. *Cell* 134, 634–645.

570 Shakya, V.P.S., Barbeau, W.A., Xiao, T., Knutson, C.S., and Hughes, A.L. (2020). The
571 nucleus is a quality control center for non-imported mitochondrial proteins. *BioRxiv*.

572 Sheff, M.A., and Thorn, K.S. (2004). Optimized cassettes for fluorescent protein tagging

573 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 21, 661–670.

574 Shurtleff, M.J., Itzhak, D.N., Hussmann, J.A., Schirle Oakdale, N.T., Costa, E.A.,
575 Jonikas, M., Weibezahn, J., Popova, K.D., Jan, C.H., Sinitcyn, P., et al. (2018). The ER
576 membrane protein complex interacts cotranslationally to enable biogenesis of multipass
577 membrane proteins. *Elife* 7, e37018.

578 Vitali, D.G., Sinzel, M., Bulthuis, E.P., Kolb, A., Zabel, S., Mehlhorn, D.G., Figueiredo
579 Costa, B., Farkas, Á., Clancy, A., Schuldiner, M., et al. (2018). The GET pathway can
580 increase the risk of mitochondrial outer membrane proteins to be mistargeted to the ER.
581 *J. Cell Sci.* 131, jcs211110.

582 Wallace, D.C. (2005). A Mitochondrial Paradigm of Metabolic and Degenerative
583 Diseases, Aging, and Cancer: A Dawn for Evolutionary Medicine. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 39,
584 359–407.

585 Wang, X., and Chen, X.J. (2015). A cytosolic network suppressing mitochondria-
586 mediated proteostatic stress and cell death. *Nature* 524, 481–484.

587 Wang, F., Brown, E.C., Mak, G., Zhuang, J., and Denic, V. (2010). A chaperone
588 cascade sorts proteins for posttranslational membrane insertion into the endoplasmic
589 reticulum. *Mol. Cell* 40, 159–171.

590 Wang, F., Chan, C., Weir, N.R., and Denic, V. (2014a). The Get1/2 transmembrane
591 complex is an endoplasmic-reticulum membrane protein insertase. *Nature* 512, 441–
592 444.

593 Wang, N., Presti, L. Lo, Zhu, Y.H., Kang, M., Wu, Z., Martin, S.G., and Wu, J.Q.
594 (2014b). The novel proteins Rng8 and Rng9 regulate the myosin-V Myo51 during fission
595 yeast cytokinesis. *J. Cell Biol.* 205, 357–375.

596 Wiedemann, N., and Pfanner, N. (2017). Mitochondrial Machineries for Protein Import
597 and Assembly. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 86, 685–714.

598 Wrobel, L., Topf, U., Bragoszewski, P., Wiese, S., Sztolsztener, M.E., Oeljeklaus, S.,
599 Varabyova, A., Lirski, M., Chroscicki, P., Mroczek, S., et al. (2015). Mistargeted
600 mitochondrial proteins activate a proteostatic response in the cytosol. *Nature* 524, 485–
601 488.

602 Young, C.L., Raden, D.L., Caplan, J.L., Czymmek, K.J., and Robinson, A.S. (2012).
603 Cassette series designed for live-cell imaging of proteins and high-resolution techniques
604 in yeast. *Yeast* 29, 119–136.

605 Zhou, C., Slaughter, B.D., Unruh, J.R., Guo, F., Yu, Z., Mickey, K., Narkar, A., Ross,
606 R.T., McClain, M., and Li, R. (2014). Organelle-based aggregation and retention of
607 damaged proteins in asymmetrically dividing cells. *Cell* 159, 530–542.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S3

Figure S4

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
DYKDDDDK Tag Polyclonal Antibody	Invitrogen	Cat # PA1-984B RRID: AB_347227
Anti-GFP from mouse IgG1κ	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # 11814460001 RRID: AB_390913
Monoclonal ANTI-FLAG® M2 antibody produced in mouse	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # F1804 RRID: AB_262044
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Tom70	Dr. Nikolaus Pfanner	N/A
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Tim50	Dr. Nikolaus Pfanner	N/A
Porin Monoclonal Antibody (16G9E6BC4)	Invitrogen	Cat # 459500 RRID: AB_2532239
Peroxidase AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L)	Jackson Immunoresearch	Cat # 715-035-150 RRID: AB_2340770
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488	Invitrogen	Cat # A-11001 RRID: AB_2534069
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Carbonyl cyanide 4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenylhydrazone (FCCP)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # C2920; CAS # 370-86-5
Cycloheximide	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # C1988; CAS # 66-81-9
cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # 11697498001
Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # P7626; CAS # 329-98-6
IGEPAL®	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # CA-630; CAS # 9002-93-1
Zymolyase 100T	US Biological Life Sciences	Cat # Z1004; CAS # 37340-57-1
Triton X-100	Bio-Rad	Cat # 1610407; CAS # 9002-93-1
Formaldehyde 16% in aqueous solution, EM Grade	VWR	Cat # 100503-914; CAS # 50-00-0
DTT (Dithiothreitol) (> 99% pure) Protease free	GOLDBIO	Cat # DTT10; CAS # 27565-41-9 / 3483-12-3
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
BY4741 MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0 met15Δ0	Brachman <i>et al.</i> , 1998; ATCC	Cat # 201388
BY4743 MATa/MATα his3Δ1/his3Δ1 leu2Δ0/leu2Δ0 ura3Δ0/ura3Δ0 met15Δ0/+ lys2Δ0/+	Brachman <i>et al.</i> , 1998; ATCC	Cat # 201390
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX ALO1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY3352
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OM45-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY3933
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX TUF1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY6859
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX TUF1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY6860
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX PUT1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY6861
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX ALO1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY6950
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX ALO1-yeGFP:HisMX sec72Δ::URA3	This study	AHY7061
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX MIR1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY7110

BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX ALO1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY7112
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX OM45-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY7143
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY7147
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX PUT1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY7149
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX TUF1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY7151
BY4743 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX/+ MIR1-yeGFP:HisMX/+	This study	AHY7219
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX TUF1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY7238
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OM45-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY7244
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY7296
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY7297
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX PUT1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY7389
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY7391
BY4743 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX/+ MIR1-yeGFP:HisMX/+	This study	AHY7552
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX tom70Δ::URA3 tom71Δ::LEU2	This study	AHY7612
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX ALO1-yeGFP:HisMX tom70Δ::URA3 tom71Δ::LEU2	This study	AHY7616
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX ALO1-yeGFP:HisMX snd2Δ::URA3	This study	AHY7776
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX ALO1-yeGFP:HisMX emc2Δ::URA3	This study	AHY7781
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX djp1Δ::URA3	This study	AHY8186
BY4741 GET3-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY8389
BY4741 Δ HSP104-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY8391
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get4Δ::URA3	This study	AHY8418
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get5Δ::URA3	This study	AHY8420
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get3Δ::URA3	This study	AHY8426
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX sgt2Δ::URA3	This study	AHY8428
BY4741 GET3-5FLAG:KanMX	This study	AHY8541
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX hsp104Δ::NatMX	This study	AHY8629
BY4741 GET3-5FLAG:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY8754
BY4741 OAC1-5FLAG:KanMX	This study	AHY8756
BY4741 OAC1-5FLAG:KanMX GET3-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY8867
BY4741 GET3-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY8941
BY4741 OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY8943
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OXA1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY9139
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX DIC1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY9141

BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX snd2Δ::URA3	This study	AHY9149
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OXA1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY9234
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX DIC1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY9236
BY4741 HSP42-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY9378
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX djp1Δ::NatMX	This study	AHY9411
BY4741 get3Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY9417
BY4741 TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX hsp42Δ::NatMX	This study	AHY9595
MATa his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ met15Δ tom70Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY9732
MATα his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ lys2Δ tom70Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY9733
MATa his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ met15Δ tom71Δ::NatMX	This study	AHY9734
MATα his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ lys2Δ tom71Δ::NatMX	This study	AHY9735
MATa his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ met15Δ tom70Δ::HygMX tom71Δ::NatMX	This study	AHY9736
MATα his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ lys2Δ tom70Δ::HygMX tom71Δ::NatMX	This study	AHY9737
MATα his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ lys2Δ get1Δ::KanMX	This study	AHY9754
MATa his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ met15Δ get2Δ::KanMX	This study	AHY9755
MATα his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ lys2Δ tom70Δ::HygMX get1Δ::KanMX	This study	AHY9759
MATa his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ tom70Δ::HygMX get2Δ::KanMX	This study	AHY9760
MATα his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ lys2Δ tom71Δ::NatMX get1Δ::KanMX	This study	AHY9765
MATa his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ met15Δ tom71Δ::NatMX get2Δ::KanMX	This study	AHY9766
MATα his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ tom71Δ::NatMX tom71Δ::NatMX get1Δ::KanMX	This study	AHY9771
MATa his3Δ leu2Δ ura3Δ lys2Δ tom71Δ::NatMX tom71Δ::NatMX get2Δ::KanMX	This study	AHY9772
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX get1Δ::URA3 get2Δ::HygMX	This study	AHY10109
BY4741 ALO1-5FLAG:KanMX	This study	AHY10168
BY4741 ALO1-5FLAG:KanMX GET3-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY10170
BY4741 GET3-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY10236
BY4741 Δ TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX emc2Δ::URA3	This study	AHY10327
BY4741 Δ TOM70-mCherry:KanMX OAC1-yeGFP:HisMX sec72Δ::URA3	This study	AHY10329
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX OXA1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY10331
BY4741 SEC61-mCherry:KanMX DIC1-yeGFP:HisMX	This study	AHY10333
BY4741 OAC1-5FLAG:KanMX TOM70-mCherry:HygMX	This study	AHY10410
BY4741 OAC1-5FLAG:KanMX SEC61-mCherry:HygMX	This study	AHY10412
BY4741 ALO1-5FLAG:KanMX TOM70-mCherry:HygMX	This study	AHY10414
BY4741 ALO1-5FLAG:KanMX SEC61-mCherry:HygMX	This study	AHY10416
Oligonucleotides		
See Table S1		
Recombinant DNA		
Plasmid: pRS40Hyg	Daniel Gottschling	N/A

Plasmid: pRS40Nat	Daniel Gottschling	N/A
Plasmid: pRS400	Daniel Gottschling	N/A
Plasmid: pRS306	Sikorski and Hieter, 1989	N/A
Plasmid: pKT128	Sheff and Thorn, 2004; Addgene	Plasmid # 8729
Plasmid: pKT127-mCherry	Daniel Gottschling	N/A
Plasmid: pFA6a-mCherry-HphMX	Wang, 2014; Addgene	Plasmid # 39295
Plasmid: pFA6a-5FLAG-KanMX6	Noguchi and Garabedian, 2008; Addgene	Plasmid # 15983
Software and Algorithms		
FIJI	Schindelin et al., 2012	Version 1
Prism	GraphPad Software, Inc.	Version 8
SnapGene	GSL Biotech	Version 4.2
ZEN Black Edition	Carl Zeiss Microscopy	Version 2.3
ZEN Blue Edition	Carl Zeiss Microscopy	Version 2.6
Photoshop CC	Adobe	Version 19
Image Lab	Bio-Rad	Version 6

Table S1. Oligos used in this study

Name	Number	Sequence
Tagging Primers		
ALO1 pKT Tag F	556	TATCATAAATGGTATTATAGATCCTAGTGAGTTGTCCGACGGT GACGGTGCTGGTTTA
ALO1 pKT Tag R	557	TTTTTTAGTAAAATATAGAGATTATTGAGACAAAAAGAGATC GATGAATTCGAGCTCG
TOM70 pKT Tag F	797	TCAAGAAACTTTAGCTAAATTACGCGAACAGGGTTTAATGGG TGACGGTGCTGGTTTA
TOM70 pKT Tag R	798	TTTGTCTTCTCCTAAAAGTTTTTAAGTTTATGTTTACTGTTCGA TGAATTCGAGCTCG
TOM70 Longtime Tag F	1077	TCAAGAAACTTTAGCTAAATTACGCGAACAGGGTTTAATGCG GATCCCCGGGTAAATTA
TOM70 Longtime Tag R	1078	TTTGTCTTCTCCTAAAAGTTTTTAAGTTTATGTTTACTGTGAAT TCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC
MIR1 pKT Tag F	1156	GGGTTGCCACCAACCATTGAAATTGGTGGTGGTGGTCATGG TGACGGTGCTGGTTTA
MIR1 pKT Tag R	1157	GAGGAGAGAATATATATGCATGTATCAATCAAGACCATTTC GATGAATTCGAGCTCG
OM45 pKT Tag F	1858	TGATAAGGGTGATGGTAAATTCTGGAGCTCGAAAAAGGACGG TGACGGTGCTGGTTTA
OM45 pKT Tag R	1859	ATGTTATGCGGGAACCAACCCTTTACAATTAGCTATCTAATCG ATGAATTCGAGCTCG
OAC1 pKT Tag F	1861	ACTAGTTTATTCGATAGAGTCGAGAGTTTTAGGCCATAATGGT GACGGTGCTGGTTTA
OAC1 pKT Tag R	1862	CAATGAATGAACTTCAAACCTCGGAGTTTGTTATGGGAATC GATGAATTCGAGCTCG
OAC1 Longtime Tag F	2523	ACTAGTTTATTCGATAGAGTCGAGAGTTTTAGGCCATAATCGG ATCCCCGGGTAAATTA
OAC1 Longtime Tag R	2524	CAATGAATGAACTTCAAACCTCGGAGTTTGTTATGGGAAGA ATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC
ALO11 Longtime Tag F	2525	TATCATAAATGGTATTATAGATCCTAGTGAGTTGTCCGACCGG ATCCCCGGGTAAATTA
ALO1 Longtime Tag R	2526	TTTTTTAGTAAAATATAGAGATTATTGAGACAAAAAGAGAGA ATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC
SEC61 pKT Tag F	2836	GTTTACTAAGAACCTCGTTCCAGGATTTTCTGATTTGATGGGT GACGGTGCTGGTTTA
SEC61 pKT Tag R	2837	GCGATTTTTTTTTCTTTGGATATTATTTTCATTTTATATTCGAT GAATTCGAGCTCG
TUF1 pKT Tag F	2838	AAGAACTGTTGGTACCGGTCTAATCACACGTATTATTGAAGGT GACGGTGCTGGTTTA
TUF1 pKT Tag R	2839	ACAGAATATATAGAAATATACTCCAGTTGCATCAATAAGTTC GATGAATTCGAGCTCG
PUT1 pKT Tag F	2840	CAAGGCCATAGCAAAGTCGATTCCAAAAAGAGTAGGCCTAGG TGACGGTGCTGGTTTA
PUT1 pKT Tag R	2841	TTGGTTTGTCTTTGAAATTGGAGTATATATTATAGTCCTCTCG ATGAATTCGAGCTCG
OXA1 pKT Tag F	3110	CAAAATTGTTCACAAATCAAACCTCATTAAATAACAAAAAAGG TGACGGTGCTGGTTTA

Table S1. Oligos used in this study

OXA1 pKT Tag R	3111	TTTATATTTTTATATTTACAGAGAGATATAGAGCCTTTATTTCG ATGAATTCGAGCTCG
HSP104 pKT Tag F	3207	CGATAATGAGGACAGTATGGAAATTGATGATGACCTAGATGG TGACGGTGCTGGTTTA
HSP104 pKT Tag R	3208	ATTCTTGTTTCGAAAGTTTTTAAAAATCACACTATATTAAATCG ATGAATTCGAGCTCG
GET3 Longtine Tag F	3392	TACTGATGGCAAAGTCATTTATGAGTTAGAAGATAAGGAACG GATCCCCGGGTAAATTAA
GET3 Longtine Tag R	3393	TTATATGTTCGTATGTATCTATTTATGGTATTCAGGGGCTTTCAT CGATGAATTCGAGCTC
GET3 Longtine Tag Chk F	3394	TTATGGGCGCAGGTAATGTTCGATATCTCTG
GET3 Longtine Tag Chk R	3395	TTGGTCGTCATTTTGCTGAG
GET3 pKT Tag F	3396	TACTGATGGCAAAGTCATTTATGAGTTAGAAGATAAGGAAGG TGACGGTGCTGGTTTA
GET3 pKT Tag R	3397	TTATATGTTCGTATGTATCTATTTATGGTATTCAGGGGCTTTCG ATGAATTCGAGCTCG
DIC1 pKT Tag F	3598	TTGAAAAACATAGGGTTGGCATGCCAAAGGAAGACAAGGG TGACGGTGCTGGTTTA
DIC1 pKT Tag R	3599	TGCTATGTATCTTTATGTTTATATGTATATAAATCTGCATCGAT GAATTCGAGCTCG
HSP42 pKT Tag F	3709	ATTGGAGTTTGAAGAAAATCCCAACCCTACGGTAGAAAATGG TGACGGTGCTGGTTTA
HSP42 pKT Tag R	3710	AATATAAATGTATGTATGTGTGTATAAACAGATACGATATTCG ATGAATTCGAGCTCG
SEC61 Longtine Tag F	4145	GTTTACTAAGAACCCTCGTTCCAGGATTTTCTGATTTGATGCGG ATCCCCGGGTAAATTAA
SEC61 Longtine Tag R	4146	GCGATTTTTTTTTTCTTTGGATATTATTTTCATTTTATATGAATT CGAGCTCGTTTAAAC
KO Primers		
TOM71 KO F	1185	ATCTCTACATACTTGTATATACCGAACATAAGAAGCTCTTAGA TTGTACTGAGAGTGCAC
TOM71 KO R	1186	TAACATAAAAGTATATATTTGACCAATACCTGACATATCTTCTG TGCGGTATTTACACACCG
TOM71 KO Chk F	1187	ACGACCCGGAGAACCCTGCAATAAGTATA
GET1 KO F	2842	GCAATCCTTGAACCTACGTCTAGTTGATTGAAATAGGAGAAAG ATTGTACTGAGAGTGCAC
GET1 KO R	2843	TACATAAACATATTATATATACGTACATAATGTAATAACACTG TGCGGTATTTACACACCG
GET1 KO Chk F	2844	GTAGTGGGGTACCTCTTGGGATAACGAGGT
GET2 KO F	2845	CTTCCATGTTTGTAGCATCAGCAACGTAGCTCTAGGAAATAGA TTGTACTGAGAGTGCAC
GET2 KO R	2846	TTATGAGAACAATGTATTATATTACTGAACTATCTAGAATCTG TGCGGTATTTACACACCG
GET2 KO Chk F	2847	AAGATGTGGGCTGCAGAATTGGACGATATG
GET3 KO F	2848	AAACGTACGACAAGAACAAGAAGATCATCACATTGTAATTAG ATTGTACTGAGAGTGCAC

Table S1. Oligos used in this study

GET3 KO R	2849	TTATATGTCGTATGTATCTATTTATGGTATTCAGGGGCTTCTGT GCGGTATTTACACCG
GET3 KO Chk F	2850	TCAGATCCTATAGAGCCTGTGGCACTGCTA
GET4 KO F	2851	AGTAAACATCATAAAGGGACATAAATAATAACAAGCTAG ATTGTAAGTACGAGAGTGCAC
GET4 KO R	2852	CGCAAACATATTTATCTATTCCTTCGCAAATATGCTCTTTCTGT GCGGTATTTACACCG
GET4 KO Chk F	2853	TTGTCTTCTTGCAACAACCTTATGAGCTAGC
GET5 KO F	2854	ATAAACTAGCGAAGAATAAACTTTATACAAAATTAATCAG ATTGTAAGTACGAGAGTGCAC
GET5 KO R	2855	GTGTAAAATAACAAGTATGTACGTAATACTATACTAATCCTG TGCGGTATTTACACCG
GET5 KO Chk F	2856	GTCTTCGGAACCGAGGTCTTCGTAGAATGA
SEC72 KO F	2857	AACTTGCGTTAAAGGCATACCAAAGCAAGCTTATTCAACCAG ATTGTAAGTACGAGAGTGCAC
SEC72 KO R	2858	ACATATCAAGAAAAGGCTAAAATATCTTCGGTTATGCACCT GTGCGGTATTTACACCG
SEC72 KO Chk F	2859	AGAAGTCCAAGTCGATGAACAATTGATGCA
SGT2 KO F	2860	CTGACCAAGTGATATCTTATTAATAACAATCTACTGTACGAGA TTGTAAGTACGAGAGTGCAC
SGT2 KO R	2861	CTACATAACATGTATTGCATTAAAGGCTTATTCAGTCCACTG TGCGGTATTTACACCG
SGT2 KO Chk F	2862	GTCTGGCTACGAACCAGAAGATTCCAGGTT
GET1 KO Chk R	2863	GGAGGACATTAAGAATACTGGAG
GET2 KO Chk R	2864	GTAAGTACATCCACACTCG
GET3 KO Chk R	2865	GAGTCAGTTACATCACCAGAG
GET4 KO Chk R	2866	GATTACTTCTTCTGCACAC
GET5 KO Chk R	2867	ATCGCGGTAGAGAGTATGTG
SEC72 KO Chk R	2868	CACGAGTAATGAATGGTCTG
SGT2 KO Chk R	2869	CTGCTTACTCAACTGTCTTACGC
SND2 KO F	2939	GAAGACACCAAAGGCAGTGGTCTAAGTTTGTGTTGGTAGAAG ATTGTAAGTACGAGAGTGCAC
SND2 KO R	2940	TAGAAAGCAAAAAATTTCAAAAACGTTTGAAAAGCTTTGTCT GTGCGGTATTTACACCG
SND2 KO Chk F	2941	AGTATGAGGATGCCATGATGAATACAACCA
SND2 KO Chk R	2942	GGTACAATGTTGGCAGGAC
EMC2 KO F	2951	ATTGGAACGGAGAAAATTATAGAAAGCAGTAGATAAAACAA GATTGTAAGTACGAGAGTGCAC
EMC2 KO R	2952	TCTCACCTATCTTTTTTTTCTTCTAATTTGGCTCCGTCTGTG CGGTATTTACACCG
EMC2 KO Chk F	2953	CAAGCTTGGCCATTGCCTGATACGGAATAA
EMC2 KO Chk R	2954	CACGCTTACAACAACCTCAG
DJP1 KO F	3112	AAGTGTCTTCTGCGGCCAGAAGGGGCATTATACAAAAGATAG ATTGTAAGTACGAGAGTGCAC

Table S1. Oligos used in this study

DJP1 KO R	3113	AATTTTGAATTTTAAATATACAAGAGATGATTGCTAACTCTG TGCGGTATTTACACACCG
DJP1 KO Chk F	3114	AAGCCATAAGTGAGGAATCTCTGTCCCCAT
DJP1 KO Chk R	3115	CTACAGGGACTCCATATTCAG
HSP104 KO F	3210	AAAGAAATCAACTACACGTACCATAAAATATACAGAATATAG ATTGTACTGAGAGTGCAC
HSP104 KO R	3211	ATTCTTGTTTCGAAAGTTTTTAAAAATCACACTATATTAAGT TGCGGTATTTACACACCG
HSP104 KO Chk F	3212	ACATATTAACATTGAACCCTCCATCGTGGT
HSP104 KO Chk R	3213	GTTATCAACGCCATATGTCC
TOM70 KO F	3318	GAAGTGAAATTACAGCTCACATCTAGGTTCTCAATTGCCAAG ATTGTACTGAGAGTGCAC
TOM70 KO R	3319	TTTGTCTTCTCCTAAAAGTTTTTAAGTTTATGTTTACTGTCTGT GCGGTATTTACACACCG
TOM70 KO Chk F	3320	TTATGCTCGTCTCACTCATCTCATCGGTAC
TOM70 KO Chk R	3321	TAATGATCTATGGGACCAGCC
HSP42 KO F	3554	CCATATCCCACACAAATTAAGATCATACCAAGCCGAAGCAAG ATTGTACTGAGAGTGCAC
HSP42 KO R	3555	AATATAAATGTATGTATGTGTGTATAAACAGATACGATATCTG TGCGGTATTTACACACCG
HSP42 KO Chk F	3556	ATAGCAAGAGATGGAATGGTAATGCTTGGC
HSP42 KO Chk R	3557	CTCTTCAGAAGCAATGGGAGC
TOM71 KO Chk R	3558	GAGGAAGAGCCATTAGGTGTGC