Title

Obesity impairs cognitive function via metabolic syndrome and cerebrovascular disease: an SEM analysis in 15,000 adults from the UK Biobank.

Running title

Influence of obesity on brain and cognition.

Authors

Filip Morys^{1*}, Mahsa Dadar^{1,2}; Alain Dagher¹

¹ Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montréal, Canada

² Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Laval University, Québec, Canada

***Correspondence**

Filip Morys

3801 rue University

H3A 2B4 Montréal, Québec, Canada

filip.morys@mail.mcgill.ca

Funding

This work was supported by a Foundation Scheme award to AD from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

Abstract

Chronic obesity is associated with several complications, including cognitive impairment and dementia. However, we have piecemeal knowledge of the mechanisms linking obesity to central nervous system damage. Adiposity leads to the metabolic syndrome, consisting of inflammation, hypertension, dyslipidemia and insulin resistance. In turn, these metabolic abnormalities cause cerebrovascular dysfunction, which may cause white and grey matter tissue loss and consequent cognitive impairment. While there have been several neuroimaging studies linking adiposity to changes in brain morphometry, a comprehensive investigation of the relationship has so far not been done. Here we use structural equation modelling applied to over 15,000 individuals from the UK Biobank to identify the causal chain that links adiposity to cognitive dysfunction. We found that body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio were positively related to higher plasma C-reactive protein, dyslipidemia, occurrence of hypertension and diabetes, all of which were in turn related to cerebrovascular disease as measured by volume of white matter hyperintensities on magnetic resonance imaging. White matter hyperintensities were associated with lower cortical thickness and volume and higher subcortical volumes, which were associated with cognitive deficits on tests of visuospatial memory, fluid intelligence, and working memory among others. In follow-up analyses we found that inflammation, hypertension and diabetes mediated 20% of the relationship between obesity and cerebrovascular disease and that cerebrovascular disease mediated a significant proportion of the relationship between obesity and cortical thickness and volume. We also showed that volume of white matter hyperintensities was related to decreased fractional anisotropy and increased mean diffusivity in the majority of white matter tracts, pointing to white matter dysconnectivity as a major cause of

impaired cognition. Our results have clinical implications, supporting a role for the management of adiposity in the prevention of late-life dementia and cognitive decline.

Keywords

Obesity, metabolic syndrome, cerebrovascular disease, white matter hyperintensities, cognition

Character count

Introduction + Results + Discussion + Figures/Tables captions: 26,309

Materials and methods: 13,472

1 Introduction

Obesity is a significant human and financial burden (Apovian, 2016; Hammond and Levine, 2010). Among its many adverse health outcomes, chronic obesity in midlife is associated with subsequent cognitive impairment, and is a risk factor for vascular dementia and Alzheimer's Disease (Whitmer et al., 2007).

Obesity is defined as the excessive accumulation of adipose tissue. Its link with cognitive dysfunction has been attributed to the metabolic syndrome, which consists of inflammation, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and insulin resistance (Yaffe et al., 2004). Adipose tissue releases proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), and inflammation-related proteins, such as C-reactive protein (CRP), which, in obesity, lead to low-grade systemic inflammation (Monteiro and Azevedo, 2010; Sproston and Ashworth, 2018; Visser et al., 2001). Endocrine activities of adipose tissue also increase the risk of diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidemia (Cusi, 2010; Hwang et al., 2016; Zhou and Qin, 2012). These comorbidities are causally related to cerebrovascular dysfunction (Bowman et al., 2012; Dufouil et al., 2001; Hsuehou et al., 2012a; Lampe et al., 2018; Tamura and Araki, 2015; Wardlaw et al., 2015, 2017). Vascular damage in white matter may lead to demyelination, loss of oligodendrocytes, and gliosis (Aribisala et al., 2013; Bailey et al., 2012; Gouw et al., 2011; Hsuehou et al., 2012a; Lambert et al., 2015; Pantoni, 2010; Wardlaw et al., 2017).

In magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), small vessel cerebrovascular disease manifests as white matter hyperintensities (WMH) on T2-weighted imaging and changes in white matter integrity measured by diffusion-weighted imaging (Hakim, 2019; Walker et al., 2017; Wardlaw et al., 2015). White matter disruption has been associated with grey matter atrophy in cross-sectional studies (Lambert et al., 2015;

Pareek et al., 2018; Tuladhar et al., 2015). There is evidence that WMH precede cortical thinning from a longitudinal study in Parkinson's disease (Dadar et al., 2018). Obesity was previously associated with cortical and subcortical functional and volumetric alterations (Beyer et al., 2019a; García-García et al., 2018, 2019; García-García et al., 2019; Horstmann et al., 2011, 2015; Neseliler et al., 2018; Pannacciulli et al., 2006; Rapuano et al., 2017; Vainik et al., 2018; Volkow et al., 2008). These changes, together with white matter microstructure alterations, lead to poorer cognitive performance (Beyer et al., 2019a; Kharabian Masouleh et al., 2016; Samara et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018). Cognitive domains affected are executive function, verbal memory, processing speed (Beyer et al., 2019a; Kharabian Masouleh et al., 2016; Samara et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018), fluid intelligence (Spyridaki et al., 2014), and working memory (Alarcón et al., 2016). In sum, adiposity seems to affect white and grey matter integrity, and hence cognitive function, possibly via low-grade systemic inflammation, hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia (Lampe et al., 2018; Miller and Spencer, 2014; Nguyen et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2018).

While separate links between obesity and white matter damage, obesity and grey matter atrophy, and obesity and poor cognitive performance are established, no study has investigated the proposed pathway in full. In this study, we set out to investigate this mechanism in one model with the following hypotheses: 1) obesity measures, such as body mass index (BMI) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), are positively related to inflammation, hypertension, diabetes, triglycerides levels, and negatively to high density lipoprotein levels (dyslipidemia), which in turn affect WMH volume; 2) WMH load affects grey matter morphometry; 3) all these variables may be independently associated with cognitive performance. To test this, we first utilised

structural equation modeling (SEM), creating a model in line with our hypotheses. Next, we followed up on all significant associations using mediation analyses to better understand precise relationships between single variables, and to test whether obesity is a causal factor for these associations. Finally, we investigated how WMH load is related to white matter microstructure measures – fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity – to pinpoint mechanisms in which white matter damage potentially contributes to cognitive deficits and grey matter changes.

We used both BMI and WHR as obesity measures as they differentially predict obesity-related outcomes (Debette et al., 2010; Dobbelsteyn et al., 2001; Noble, 2001).

Here, we used data from the UK Biobank cohort study and available imaging derived phenotypes (Alfaro-Almagro et al., 2018). The large sample size (~15 000 participants) enabled us to generate a comprehensive SEM with latent factors combining measures of adiposity, metabolic syndrome, brain abnormalities, and cognitive dysfunction.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sample characteristic

Here, we used the UK Biobank dataset – a large scale study with extensive phenotyping and brain imaging data (Miller et al., 2016; Sudlow et al., 2015). This study was performed under UK Biobank application ID 35605. The number of participants with available imaging data was 21,333. Prior to all analyses, we excluded individuals with underweight ($BMI < 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$), bipolar disorder, personality disorder, schizophrenia, mania, bulimia nervosa, anorexia nervosa, ADD/ADHD, panic attacks and neurological illnesses ($n=4784$, Table S1). UK Biobank field IDs used in this study can be found in Table S2. Presence of diabetes and hypertension

in participants was determined based on previous diagnoses. All participants signed informed consents prior to participating in the study, which was approved by the North-West Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee. All UK Biobank actions are overseen by the UK Biobank Ethics Advisory Committee.

2.2 Obesity measures

We investigated body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio as measures of adiposity. BMI data were provided directly by the UK Biobank and were calculated as the ratio of weight to height squared. We calculated WHR by dividing waist circumference by hip circumference. Obesity measures were collected at the time of brain imaging.

2.3 Imaging derived phenotypes

For brain analyses we used imaging derived phenotypes available from UK Biobank. Imaging pipeline details can be found in Alfaro-Almagro et al. 2018. For cortical grey matter morphometry we used cortical volume and thickness as derived by FreeSurfer (Fischl, 2012) for each parcel of the Desikan-Killiany-Tourville (DKT) atlas (Klein and Tourville, 2012). Subcortical volumes were derived using FMRIB's Integrated Registration and Segmentation Tool (FIRST; Patenaude et al. 2011; Jenkinson et al. 2012). Here, we investigated volumes of the following subcortical structures: the thalamus, caudate nucleus, putamen, pallidum, hippocampus, amygdala and nucleus accumbens. Volume of WMH was calculated using Brain Intensity AbNormality Classification Algorithm (BIANCA; Griffanti et al. 2016). FA and MD values for each of the white matter tracts were obtained using DTIFIT within FMRIB's Software Library (FSL; Jenkinson et al. 2012). Both are measures of tissue integrity. FA is a measure of directionality of diffusion of a water molecule within white matter tracts. Low FA is thought to indicate reduced integrity of white matter fibers and/or lower myelination (Alba-Ferrara and de Erausquin, 2013; Alexander et al., 2007; Basser,

1995; Sen and Bassar, 2005; Song et al., 2002). MD is a measure of the magnitude of diffusivity of a water molecule in all directions (Madden et al., 2012; Sen and Bassar, 2005; Soares et al., 2013). Increased values of MD indicate higher diffusivity of water molecules and might be related to edema, blood brain barrier damage and brain tissue microstructure disruptions (Alexander et al., 2007; Wardlaw et al., 2017).

2.4 Blood markers

We used three blood markers of metabolic syndrome: serum C-reactive protein (CRP), a marker of low-grade systemic inflammation (Sproston and Ashworth, 2018) and serum triglyceride and high density lipoprotein (HDL) levels as indicators of dyslipidemia. Detailed description of blood sampling and procedures can be found in Elliott et al. 2008. Blood samples were collected at the initial assessment visit, while other measures used in this study were collected during the first imaging visit, which took place on average 8 years later. However, since our question of interest is how obesity is related to CRP and dyslipidemia and since obesity measures between the two timepoints are highly correlated (BMI: $r=0.897$, $p<0.0001$; WHR: 0.791 , $p<0.0001$), we decided to use the CRP, triglycerides and HDL levels from the earlier timepoint. High correlation of obesity measures between the two timepoints indicates that inflammation and dyslipidemia marker values between the two timepoints would also be highly correlated, assuming that they are related to obesity.

2.5 Cognitive measures

In UK Biobank, cognitive tests were administered via a touchscreen interface. The tests lasted approximately 15 minutes (Cullen et al., 2015) and are described in detail online at <http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/label.cgi?id=100026>. Overall, despite the cognitive tests being non-standard, they correspond well with their standardized and well-validated counterparts and exhibit good test-retest reliability (Fawns-Ritchie and

Deary, 2019). We investigated the following 6 cognitive abilities: 1) working memory, assessed by digit span task; 2) fluid intelligence, assessed by a set of reasoning tasks; 3) executive functions, measured by the tower rearranging test; 4) prospective memory, assessed by a number of times an intention was forgotten on a prospective memory task; 5) visuospatial memory, assessed by a pairs matching task (here the measurement indicated the number of incorrect pair matchings); 6) reaction time, a measure of processing speed. Some of the cognitive measures were not administered to all participants, hence sample sizes might be smaller (see sections 2.6.1 and 2.6.2.1). Because tests of visuospatial memory and reaction time were administered more than once, we used an average value of all trials. Note that for prospective memory, visuospatial memory and reaction time, higher scores indicate worse performance.

2.6 Data analysis

2.6.1 Structural equation model

We used an SEM to test the relation between obesity, metabolic syndrome, and brain and cognitive dysfunction. We prepared the data for the analysis in the following ways: First, volumetric brain measures – volume of WMH and cortical and subcortical grey matter – were corrected for head size. For software consistency, the scaling factor for WMH and subcortical volumes was derived from FSL, while for cortical volumes we used the measure of estimated total intracranial volume from FreeSurfer. Second, BMI, WMH, CRP, HDL and triglycerides levels were log-transformed and all variables were z-scored prior to analysis. Further, we pairwise excluded outliers as defined by datapoints being 2.2 interquartile range below 1st or above 3rd quartile (Tukey 1977; Hoaglin et al. 1986; Hoaglin and Iglewicz 1987), which resulted in a dataset containing 16,438 participants (Table S3) with missing values (which are

allowed in the SEM strategy described below). Sample size for each variable used in the SEM can be found in Table S4.

We used the lavaan package in R (version 0.6-5; Rosseel 2012) to define an SEM consisting of 8 latent variables: obesity (consisting of BMI and WHR), the four metabolic syndrome markers, inflammation (serum CRP), dyslipidemia (serum triglyceride and serum HDL), hypertension and diabetes, cerebrovascular disease (WMH), cortical thickness (thickness for all DKT parcels), cortical volume (volume for all DKT parcels), subcortical volume (volume for all measured subcortical parcels), and cognition (consisting of 6 cognitive measures described in section 2.5). A representation of this model can be found in Figure 1. In brief, in our model obesity affected inflammation, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes, which in turn affected cerebrovascular disease. This led to changes in cortical volume, thickness and subcortical volume. Finally, all measures affected cognition. In each of the regressions we controlled for age and sex. Education level was used as a covariate in all regressions including cognition as an outcome. Because the model contained categorical variables, it was estimated using robust diagonally weighted least squares estimation with pairwise missing values exclusions. SEM p-values for each parameter were corrected for multiple comparisons using an adjusted Bonferroni correction (Smith and Cribbie, 2013).

2.6.2 Post-SEM analyses

Having established a relationship between obesity, inflammation, dyslipidemia, brain measures and cognition, we also analysed the variables separately, instead of pooling them into latent variables. This allowed us to investigate different aspects of obesity – BMI and WHR – as well as separate brain structures and parcels, and cognitive measures to investigate associations identified in the SEM in more depth.

We first investigated general associations between obesity measures and grey matter and cognition. We then used mediation analyses to test whether BMI and WHR exert effects on cerebrovascular disease, cognition and grey matter directly or via other mediators and what the patterns of these associations are. Here, we only investigated the relationships that were significant in the SEM.

From the sample described in section 2.1 we excluded BMI, WHR and WMH outliers listwise from analysis (2.2 interquartile range below/above 1st and 3rd quartile, respectively; Tukey 1977; Hoaglin et al. 1986; Hoaglin and Iglewicz 1987). The resulting sample consisted of 15,262 individuals (Table S3). Next, we excluded outliers from our measures of interest. This was done separately for measures of cortical thickness and cortical and subcortical grey matter volumes. However, for the sake of sample size consistency within each of those modalities, any outlier identified in one cortical parcel/subcortical structure was removed in all other parcels/structures. For cognitive measures, due to sample size differences, we decided to exclude outliers separately for each of the measures. Next, volumetric brain measures were corrected for total brain volume. Finally, we log-transformed WMH volume, BMI and CRP values to achieve a normal distribution of these variables. Prior to all analyses, all numerical variables were z-scored. In every analysis step we corrected for age and sex. Initially, we also included age², however it did not significantly alter the results and we decided to exclude it from analyses. All analyses were performed in R (v. 3.6.0). Figures were prepared in MATLAB R2019b and using python (v. 3.7.4) with the nilearn (v. 0.5.1) library (Abraham et al., 2014). Scripts used in the study can be found at <https://github.com/FilipMorys/WMHOB>.

2.6.2.1 Obesity associations with grey matter morphometry and cognition

We used a general linear model to assess the associations between obesity measures – BMI and WHR – and grey matter morphometry, but also obesity measures and cognition. P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using FDR Benjamini-Hochberg correction and were considered significant at $\alpha=0.05$ (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). The correction was applied separately for each grey matter morphometry modality, and collectively for all cognitive measures.

Sample sizes for these analyses and the following mediation analyses using the same measures of interest were: 1) cortical thickness: 14,457; 2) cortical volume: 14,117; 3) subcortical volume: 13,945; 4) working memory: 6,720; 5) fluid intelligence: 13,739; 6) executive function: 5,499; 7) prospective memory: 14,112; 8) visuospatial memory: 13,761; 9) reaction time: 13,880.

2.6.2.2 Mediation analyses

Based on our SEM we tested whether inflammation, hypertension, diabetes, and serum HDL and triglycerides levels mediated the relationship between obesity and WMH volume. Sample size for these analyses after outlier exclusion was 11,803. This parallel mediation model was calculated in lavaan package for R (v. 0.6-5). Next, we investigated whether volume of subcortical structures mediated the relationship between obesity measures and cognition. We focused on subcortical structures as they were significantly related to WMH volume in the SEM. Finally, we tested whether WMH volume mediated the relationship between obesity measures and grey matter morphometry, but also obesity measures and cognition. In all mediation analyses that included cognitive variables we used education as a covariate of no interest.

In each analysis, criteria for a significant mediation were: 1) significant total effect; 2) significant mediation effect; 3) total and mediation effects of the same sign (Baron and Kenny, 1986). Unless otherwise specified, mediation estimates and p-values were assessed using 1,000 quasi-Bayesian Monte Carlo simulations using 'mediation' package for R (Tingley et al., 2014). P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using FDR Benjamini-Hochberg correction and were considered significant at $\alpha=0.05$ (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). The correction was applied separately for each grey matter morphometry modality and collectively for all cognitive measures.

2.6.2.3 Follow-up analysis on white matter microstructure

As a follow-up analysis, we decided to investigate the relationship between WMH load and white matter microstructure measures (FA and MD) for each WM tract from the John Hopkins University atlas (Mori et al., 2005; Wakana et al., 2007) to pinpoint spatial locations where WMH influence white matter microstructure. To this end, we used general linear model analysis with each WM parcel's FA and MD values as outcome measures and WMH load as a predictor variable. P-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using FDR Benjamini-Hochberg correction and were considered significant at $\alpha=0.05$ (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Correction was applied separately for FA and MD analyses. Sample size for these analyses after outlier exclusion was 12,192.

3 Results

3.1 Structural equation model

With this model we tested overall associations between obesity, inflammation, dyslipidemia, hypertension, diabetes, cerebrovascular disease, grey matter

morphometry and cognition. In line with our hypotheses, we found that obesity was related to increased inflammation, as measured by serum CRP, but also hypertension, diabetes diagnosis, and dyslipidemia (serum triglycerides and serum HDL). Inflammation, hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia in turn, led to increased cerebrovascular disease, which further significantly affected cortical volume and thickness (negatively) and subcortical volumes (positively). Finally, we found that obesity, lower subcortical volumes and higher cerebrovascular disease were all directly related to impaired cognitive function (Table 1, Figure 1). Overall, 22% of the variance in inflammation, 13% in hypertension, 6% in diabetes, 54% in dyslipidemia, 26% in cerebrovascular disease, 33% in subcortical volumes, 10% in cortical thickness, 11% in cortical volume, and 25% of variance in cognition were explained by our model. Loadings of latent variables for this model can be found in Table S4. Note that increased scores on the latent variable 'cognition' means worse cognitive performance. The SEM estimation produced the following fit measures: $\chi^2(11721)=257,582$ $p<0.0001$; SRMR=0.066, RMSEA=0.058, CFI=0.912.

Table 1 Statistics of the structural equation model

Outcome variable	Predictor	Estimate	Standard error	z-value	p-value	R ²
Hypertension	Obesity	0.1590	0.0100	16.0820	<0.0001	0.1300
	Age	0.0760	0.0080	9.7460	<0.0001	
	Sex	0.0840	0.0060	14.4750	<0.0001	
Diabetes	Obesity	0.0650	0.0040	17.4110	<0.0001	0.0567
	Age	0.0330	0.0040	8.6580	<0.0001	
	Sex	0.0140	0.0020	7.3750	<0.0001	
Dyslipidemia	Obesity	0.4290	0.0120	36.8490	<0.0001	0.5381
	Age	0.6630	0.0150	45.4940	<0.0001	
	Sex	-0.0090	0.0050	-1.8420	1.0000	

Inflammation	Obesity	0.6060	0.0220	27.4450	<0.0001	0.2171
	Sex	-0.0350	0.0150	-2.3170	0.1964	
	Age	0.1090	0.0070	14.6200	<0.0001	
Cerebrovascular	Inflammation	0.0320	0.0070	4.3770	<0.0001	0.2620
	Hypertension	0.2430	0.0180	13.4020	<0.0001	
	Diabetes	0.1950	0.0310	6.3560	<0.0001	
	Dyslipidemia	0.1170	0.0170	6.9280	<0.0001	
	Sex	-0.0930	0.0180	-5.2090	<0.0001	
	Age	0.4380	0.0070	64.8720	<0.0001	
Subcortical volume	Cerebrovascular disorder	0.1190	0.0070	16.2480	<0.0001	0.3346
	Sex	0.9210	0.0120	74.1170	<0.0001	
	Age	-0.1600	0.0070	-22.8470	<0.0001	
Cortical thickness	Cerebrovascular disorder	-0.0420	0.0060	-7.0190	<0.0001	0.1015
	Sex	-0.0260	0.0060	-4.1470	<0.0001	
	Age	-0.1000	0.0060	-17.8010	<0.0001	
Cortical volume	Cerebrovascular disorder	-0.0400	0.0040	-11.3360	<0.0001	0.1123
	Sex	-0.0800	0.0050	-14.6230	<0.0001	
	Age	-0.0710	0.0040	-19.8170	<0.0001	
Cognition	Education	0.0180	0.0010	13.3360	<0.0001	0.2531
	Obesity	0.0260	0.0050	4.8340	<0.0001	
	Inflammation	-0.0050	0.0020	-2.0820	0.3461	
	Dyslipidemia	-0.0110	0.0060	-1.8220	0.6360	
	Thickness	0.0120	0.0070	1.8500	0.5986	
	Volume	-0.0130	0.0080	-1.5290	0.1178	
	Subcortical volume	-0.0610	0.0040	-14.4970	<0.0001	
	Cerebrovascular disorder	0.0290	0.0030	9.6620	<0.0001	
	Hypertension	-0.0050	0.0060	-0.8110	1.0000	
	Diabetes	0.0180	0.0090	2.0830	0.3461	
	Sex	-0.0260	0.0060	-4.0910	<0.0001	
	Age	0.0560	0.0030	16.5300	<0.0001

Figure 1 Schematic representation of the structural equation model. Dashed lines represent non-significant associations. Note that not all measured variables contributing to cortical thickness, cortical volume and subcortical volume are depicted in this graph. Age and sex were added to each of the associations between variables as covariates of no interest and are not depicted here. Education was added in each regression with cognition as outcome variable and is not depicted here. DysL – dyslipidemia, TG – triglycerides, HDL – high density lipoprotein, HT – hypertension, CRP – C-reactive protein, CbVD – cerebrovascular disease, WMH – white matter hyperintensities, CT – cortical thickness, CV – cortical volume, SV – subcortical volume, WM – working memory, FI – fluid intelligence, PM – prospective memory, EF – executive function, VM – visuospatial memory, RT – reaction time.

3.2 Relationship between obesity and cerebrovascular disease is mediated by inflammation, hypertension and diabetes

We found that the relationship between WHR and WMH load was mediated by levels of CRP, however, CRP levels did not mediate the relationship between BMI and WMH (Table 2). The relationships between both BMI and WHR, and WMH load were mediated by hypertension and diabetes (Table 2), but not by serum HDL or triglyceride levels. Overall, inflammation, hypertension, and diabetes mediated approximately 20% of the relationships between BMI and WMH, and WHR and WMH.

Table 2 Mediation analysis – inflammation (blood C-reactive protein levels), hypertension and diabetes mediate the relationship between waist-to-hip ratio and white matter hyperintensities load.

Mediation	Total effect estimate	Total effect p-value	ACME estimate	ACME p-value	ADE estimate	ADE p-value	Proportion mediated	Proportion p-value
BMI – CRP – WMH			0.0050	0.1480			0.0450	0.1400
BMI – hypertension – WMH			0.0160	<0.0001			0.1441	<0.0001
BMI – diabetes – WMH	0.1110	<0.0001	0.0060	<0.0001	0.087	<0.0001	0.0541	<0.0001
BMI – HDL – WMH			0.0001	0.9720			0.0001	0.9720
BMI – TG – WMH			-0.0020	0.542			0.0180	0.542
WHR – CRP – WMH			0.0070	0.0100			0.0452	0.0100

WHR –								
hypertension –			0.0140	<0.0001			0.0903	<0.0001
WMH								
WHR –	0.1550	<0.0001			0.0132	<0.0001		
diabetes –			0.0070	<0.0001			0.0452	<0.0001
WMH								
WHR – HDL –								
WMH			0.0010	0.8110			0.0065	0.8110
WHR – TG –								
WMH			-0.0050	0.1630			0.0323	0.1630

BMI – body mass index, WHR – waist to hip ratio, ACME – average causal mediation effect, ADE – average direct effect, CRP – C-reactive protein.

3.3 Obesity is associated with grey matter alterations and cognitive impairment

We observed widespread associations between obesity measures, cortical thickness and grey matter volumes. Regarding cortical thickness, we found negative correlations with BMI and WHR in the bilateral temporal, entorhinal, orbitofrontal and cingulate cortices. Positive correlations were also observed with the frontal, parietal and occipital cortex, which were more pronounced for BMI than for WHR (Table S5, Figure 2). Similarly, BMI and WHR correlated negatively with grey matter volume in the bilateral temporal, temporoparietal and parietal cortices, with less pronounced positive correlations in the occipital and posterior cingulate cortex (Table S5, Figure 2). With regard to subcortical structures, BMI correlated negatively with volume of the left thalamus and bilateral caudate, putamen, pallidum, hippocampus, amygdala and nucleus accumbens (Table S6, Figure 2). WHR correlated negatively with volume of the left thalamus and hippocampus, and bilateral putamen, hippocampus, amygdala, and nucleus accumbens. WHR also correlated positively with the right thalamus volume (Table S6, Figure 2).

Regarding cognition, BMI was related to lower working memory and better executive functions and visuospatial memory (Table S7), while WHR was related to lower working memory, fluid intelligence, prospective memory, and higher reaction time (Table S7).

Figure 2 Associations between: **a** body mass index and cortical thickness; **b** body mass index and cortical volume; **c** waist-to-hip ratio and cortical thickness; **d** waist-to-hip ratio and cortical volume; **e** body mass index and subcortical volume; **f** waist-to-hip ratio and subcortical volume. Figures depict T-values of significant associations. RH – right hemisphere, LH – left hemisphere.

3.3.1 Volume of subcortical structures mediates the relationship between obesity and cognition

None of the associations between BMI and cognition were mediated by volume of subcortical structures. However, consistent with our SEM, some associations between high WHR, and poorer cognition were mediated by volumes of subcortical structures (Table S8). Here, the bilateral amygdala mediated the associations between WHR and prospective memory (6%), and WHR and reaction time (left: 13%, right: 10%). Finally, the bilateral nucleus accumbens and left hippocampus were significant mediators between WHR and reaction time (accumbens left: 8%, right: 9%, hippocampus: 3%). Proportions of the relationships mediated were small, suggesting that factors other than volumes of subcortical structures likely influence cognition.

3.3.2 Cerebrovascular disease mediates the relationship between obesity and cognition, and obesity and grey matter morphometry

The association between BMI and working memory was mediated in 19% by WMH load (Table 3). The relationships between WHR and working memory, fluid intelligence, prospective memory and reaction time were mediated by WMH load (Table 3). Proportions mediated ranged from 11% for working memory to 16% for fluid intelligence.

Further, WMH mediated the relationship between obesity measures (both BMI and WHR) and cortical thickness and volume in all DKT parcels that were negatively related to obesity measures (Figure 3, Table S9, Table S10, Table S11, Table S12). These parcels were predominantly located in the bilateral temporal lobes, entorhinal cortex, temporoparietal junction, cingulate cortex, and orbitofrontal cortex. The magnitude of mediation varied between 9% and 68%, with the largest mediating

effect in the paracentral lobule and insula. With regard to subcortical structures, WMH mediated the relationship between BMI and subcortical volumes in the bilateral amygdala and the left nucleus accumbens (Figure 3, Table S13). WMH also mediated the relationship between WHR and volume of the bilateral amygdala and nucleus accumbens, and the right thalamus (Figure 3, Table S13). The mediations were modest ranging from 4%-15%.

Table 3 Mediation effects of white matter hyperintensities on the associations between body mass index and cognitive abilities.

Measure of interest	Total effect estimate	Total effect p-value	ACME estimate	ACME p-value	ADE estimate	ADE p-value	Proportion mediated	Proportion p-value
Body mass index								
Working memory	-0.0405	0.0060	-0.0076	0.0060	-0.0328	0.0320	0.1879	0.0210
Executive functions	0.1133	0.0120	-0.0192	0.0060	0.1325	0.0090	-0.1657	0.0210
Visuospatial memory	-0.0511	<0.0001	0.0031	0.0640	-0.0542	<0.0001	-0.0603	0.0640
Waist-to-hip ratio								
Working memory	-0.0604	<0.0001	-0.0069	0.0040	-0.0535	<0.0001	0.1129	0.0040
Fluid intelligence	-0.0441	<0.0001	-0.0069	<0.0001	-0.0372	<0.0001	0.1550	<0.0001
Prospective memory	0.0541	<0.0001	0.0083	<0.0001	0.0458	<0.0001	0.1532	<0.0001
Reaction time	0.0367	<0.0001	0.0044	<0.0001	0.0323	0.0040	0.1212	<0.0001

ACME – average causal mediation effect, ADE – average direct effect.

Figure 3 Proportion of relationships mediated by white matter hyperintensities between **a** body mass index and cortical thickness; **b** body mass index and cortical volume; **c** waist-to-hip ratio and cortical thickness; **d** waist-to-hip ratio and cortical volume; **e** body mass index and subcortical volumes; **f** waist-to-hip ratio and subcortical volumes. Figures depict only significant consistent mediations. RH – right hemisphere, LH – left hemisphere.

3.4 Relationship between white matter hyperintensities and white matter microstructure

We found significant associations between WMH load and FA. WMH were related to lower FA, most notably in the posterior thalamic radiation and anterior corona radiata (Figure 4, Table S14). WMH were also associated with predominantly higher mean

diffusivity with the strongest associations in the entire corona radiata, posterior thalamic radiation and the superior frontooccipital fasciculus (Figure 4, Table S14). Relationships between MD and WMH were organised in a superior-to-inferior gradient, where superior white matter tracts showed more positive associations, while inferior tracts showed more negative associations.

Figure 4 Significant associations between white matter hyperintensities and: **a** fractional anisotropy; **b** mean diffusivity. R – right, L – left.

4 Discussion

We investigated the relationship between obesity, grey and white matter disruption, and cognition, and the possible mechanisms that link them. Using structural equation modelling, we find that obesity is related to systemic inflammation, hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia. In turn, inflammation, hypertension and diabetes lead to cerebrovascular disease, which is further related to grey matter alterations and impaired cognition. We describe specific spatial patterns of cortical atrophy that are associated with obesity and white matter hyperintensities. We also find that certain

cognitive domains are related to obesity, white matter hyperintensities and subcortical volumes. Finally, we show that white matter hyperintensities are related to lower fractional anisotropy and higher mean diffusivity in the majority of white matter tracts. Coupled with the fact that white matter hyperintensities affect cognition independently of cortical atrophy, this implies a role of structural connectivity disruptions in poor cognition in obesity.

The associations between obesity, especially visceral adiposity, and systemic inflammation, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes, are consistent with previous reports (Mathieu et al., 2009). The same factors lead to white matter lesions and changes in FA and MD within white matter tracts (Castellon and Bogdanova, 2016; Van Dijk et al., 2005; Kuo et al., 2010; Swardfager et al., 2017; Tamura and Araki, 2015; Zhao et al., 2019). Our mediation analyses are in line with those findings and show that inflammation, hypertension, and diabetes mediate the relationship between obesity and WMH independent of each other. Consequently, they might separately constitute potential targets for therapeutic interventions aimed at improving brain and cognitive outcomes in obesity.

Further, we showed that WMH are related to cortical grey matter loss. In our SEM, cerebrovascular disease was related to lower cortical thickness and volume. Our follow-up analyses show that WMH mediate the relationship between obesity and grey matter volume and thickness loss, but also that obesity is negatively related to grey matter morphometry measures independent of WMH. This suggests that the metabolic abnormalities due to adiposity may damage grey matter both directly and via white matter lesions. The spatial pattern of reductions in cortical volume is in line with previous reports. In their meta-analysis, Garcia-Garcia and colleagues showed that higher BMI was related to lower cortical volume in the medial prefrontal cortex,

precentral gyrus, temporal pole and cerebellum (García-García et al., 2018), which resembles our findings. With regard to cortical thickness, our results are also consistent with previous reports showing lower thickness in the temporal and occipital lobes in obesity (Beyer et al., 2019b; Medic et al., 2016; Shaw et al., 2018; Vainik et al., 2018; Veit et al., 2014). Contrary to our hypotheses, in the SEM, we showed that the volume of WMH was related to higher volume of subcortical structures. This may represent true hypertrophy of these structures, but it may also be explained by subcortical segmentation inaccuracies, where periventricular WMH (which appear hypointense on T1w MRI, with similar intensities to the neighboring subcortical grey matter structures) are classified as grey matter, leading to inflated estimated of subcortical volumes. This phenomenon, in fact, was demonstrated in a recent study (Dadar et al., 2020). Irrespective of this, our analyses of the direct relationship between obesity and subcortical volumes produced results consistent with the previous literature (Beyer et al., 2019b; Dekkers et al., 2019; García-García et al., 2019; Hamer and Batty, 2019), which shows that that obesity is related to lower volume of subcortical structures.

While some studies report associations between obesity and global cortical thickness/grey matter volume (Dekkers et al., 2019; Gustafson et al., 2004; Hamer and Batty, 2019; Ward et al., 2005), our analysis allowed a more fine-grained spatial differentiation. Thus, we also identified areas with greater cortical thickness and volume associated with higher BMI predominantly in the occipital and frontal lobes. Similar findings were present in some previous studies regarding cortical volumes in young adults and using smaller sample sizes (Herrmann et al., 2019; Pannacciulli et al., 2006; Taki et al., 2008), but we are aware of only one study that showed similar patterns in cortical thickness, in this case using the Human Connectome Project

dataset (Vainik et al., 2018). One explanation for higher cortical thickness and volume in obesity might be neuroinflammation-related astrogliosis and microgliosis which could result in higher volume and thickness of grey matter regions (DiSabato et al., 2016; Guillemot-Legris and Muccioli, 2017; Heneka et al., 2015; Hsueh et al., 2012b; Maldonado-Ruiz et al., 2017; Streit et al., 1999). Alternatively, it is possible that juxtacortical white matter damage in obesity might be incorrectly classified as grey matter and therefore grey matter would appear thicker (Chard et al., 2002, 2010; Dadar et al., 2020). Further, given the possible bidirectional relationship between brain and obesity (García-García et al., 2019), it is also possible that higher cortical thickness and volume in obesity in certain areas reflects a brain-based trait that leads to over-eating and obesity, while lower volumes in other areas may reflect an effect of obesity and metabolic syndrome, as suggested by our SEM. Higher cortical thickness in frontal and parietal regions is in line with findings showing obesity-related functional and anatomical alterations in the same regions that are ultimately linked to eating behaviour (Han et al., 2018; Kakoschke et al., 2019; Mehl et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2017). In keeping with the theory that certain cortical alterations represent an underlying phenotype related to over-eating, Vainik et al. showed that cortical thickness associations with BMI in young adults were largely heritable (Vainik et al., 2018). Nonetheless, the main direction of associations here are consistent with tissue damage, as demonstrated by the mediating effect of metabolic syndrome and cerebrovascular lesions.

Our findings relating obesity to grey matter atrophy in the temporoparietal regions support previous theories that obesity accelerates aging and is a risk factor for dementia (Alford et al., 2018; Ronan et al., 2016). Indeed, aging is associated with loss of cortical grey matter in the temporal lobes and temporoparietal regions first,

while other areas are affected at a later time (Fjell et al., 2009). Additionally, brain atrophy in Alzheimer's disease also seems to follow this pattern (Singh et al., 2006). Specifically, differences in cortical thickness between individuals with mild cognitive impairments and healthy individuals were found in the temporal, parietal and frontal cortices, while individuals with Alzheimer's disease showed further cortical thinning in the lateral temporal lobe. This resembles the obesity-related atrophy patterns found here in the temporal, parietal and frontal cortices, and provides a mechanism for the known association between adiposity in midlife and later Alzheimer's disease (Kivipelto et al., 2005; Whitmer et al., 2007).

Finally, we show that obesity affects cognition via white matter hyperintensities, volume of subcortical structures and other independent mechanisms. Consistent with the idea that it is visceral adipose tissue that is most related to metabolic syndrome (Mathieu et al., 2009), we find that WHR, rather than BMI, is a better correlate of poor cognition, as it was associated with worse outcomes in multiple cognitive domains while BMI was only related to lower working memory. According to our follow-up analysis, one mechanism in which obesity, and hence WMH, affects cognition is via white matter dysconnectivity. We show that WMH were associated with white matter microstructural changes (as measured by diffusion weighted imaging) in tracts related to cognition, such as the corona radiata or thalamic radiations (Bendlin et al., 2010; Benito-León et al., 2017; Hua et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2018). In this respect, our research replicates the study by Zhang and colleagues showing that white matter microstructure mediates the relationship between obesity and cognition (Zhang et al., 2018).

Our study is cross-sectional. A longitudinal design would allow for better causal inferences on the origin of brain changes and impaired cognitive performance in

obesity. Further, only CRP blood levels were used to quantify inflammation. Extending the methodology to include more inflammatory factors is warranted and recommended for future studies on the topic.

In sum, based on our results, a model of obesity-related brain and cognitive changes emerges. Greater adiposity causes low-grade systemic inflammation, hypertension and diabetes, which in turn lead to small vessel disease occurring as white matter hyperintensities. Together, they cause subcortical and cortical alterations and cognitive deficits in obesity, which can be partially ascribed to white matter dysconnectivity. Our results suggest that obesity can lead to accelerated brain aging and act as a risk factor for dementia. This has clinical implications for the management of obesity and prevention of dementia. In fact, studies show that lifestyle interventions including dietary changes and anti-hypertensive medication might be effective in decreasing the pace of cognitive deficits in older adults (Debette and Markus, 2010; Dufouil et al., 2005; Lam et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2014; de Leeuw et al., 2002; Ngandu et al., 2015).

5 Authors contributions

All authors contributed to each stage of the presented study

6 Declaration of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

7 References

- Abraham, A., Pedregosa, F., Eickenberg, M., Gervais, P., Mueller, A., Kossaifi, J., Gramfort, A., Thirion, B., and Varoquaux, G. (2014). Machine learning for neuroimaging with scikit-learn. *Front. Neuroinform.* 8, 14.
- Alarcón, G., Ray, S., and Nagel, B.J. (2016). Lower Working Memory Performance in Overweight and Obese Adolescents Is Mediated by White Matter Microstructure. *J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc.* 22, 281–292.
- Alba-Ferrara, L.M., and de Erausquin, G.A. (2013). What does anisotropy measure? Insights from increased and decreased anisotropy in selective fiber tracts in schizophrenia. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* 7, 9.
- Alexander, A.L., Lee, J.E., Lazar, M., and Field, A.S. (2007). Diffusion Tensor Imaging of the Brain. *Neurotherapeutics* 4, 316–329.
- Alfaro-Almagro, F., Jenkinson, M., Bangerter, N.K., Andersson, J.L.R., Griffanti, L., Douaud, G., Sotiropoulos, S.N., Jbabdi, S., Hernandez-Fernandez, M., Vallee, E., et al. (2018). Image processing and Quality Control for the first 10,000 brain imaging datasets from UK Biobank. *Neuroimage* 166, 400–424.
- Alford, S., Patel, D., Perakakis, N., and Mantzoros, C.S. (2018). Obesity as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease: weighing the evidence. *Obes. Rev.* 19, 269–280.
- Apovian, C.M. (2016). Obesity: definition, comorbidities, causes, and burden. *Am. J. Manag. Care* 22, s176-185.
- Aribisala, B.S., Valdés Hernández, M.C., Royle, N.A., Morris, Z., Muñoz Maniega, S., Bastin, M.E., Deary, I.J., and Wardlaw, J.M. (2013). Brain atrophy associations with white matter lesions in the ageing brain: The Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. *Eur. Radiol.* 23, 1084–1092.
- Bailey, E.L., Smith, C., Sudlow, C.L.M., and Wardlaw, J.M. (2012). Pathology of lacunar ischemic stroke in humans - A systematic review. *Brain Pathol.* 22, 583–591.
- Baron, R.M., and Kenny, D.A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J. Pers. Soc.*

Psychol. 51, 1173–1182.

Basser, P.J. (1995). Inferring microstructural features and the physiological state of tissues from diffusion-weighted images. *NMR Biomed.* 8, 333–344.

Bendlin, B.B., Fitzgerald, M.E., Ries, M.L., Xu, G., Kastman, E.K., Thiel, B.W., Rowley, H.A., Lazar, M., Alexander, A.L., and Johnson, S.C. (2010). White matter in aging and cognition: A cross-sectional study of microstructure in adults aged eighteen to eighty-three. *Dev. Neuropsychol.* 35, 257–277.

Benito-León, J., Mato-Abad, V., Louis, E.D., Hernández-Tamames, J.A., Álvarez-Linera, J., Bermejo-Pareja, F., Domingo-Santos, Á., Collado, L., and Romero, J.P. (2017). White matter microstructural changes are related to cognitive dysfunction in essential tremor. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1–11.

Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B* 57, 289–300.

Beyer, F., Masouleh, S.K., Kratzsch, J., Schroeter, M.L., Röhr, S., Riedel-Heller, S.G., Villringer, A., and Veronica Witte, A. (2019a). A metabolic obesity profile is associated with decreased gray matter volume in cognitively healthy older adults. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 10, 202.

Beyer, F., García-García, I., Heinrich, M., Schroeter, M.L., Sacher, J., Luck, T., Riedel-Heller, S.G., Stumvoll, M., Villringer, A., and Witte, A.V. (2019b). Neuroanatomical correlates of food addiction symptoms and body mass index in the general population. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 1–12.

Bowman, G.L., Kaye, J.A., and Quinn, J.F. (2012). Dyslipidemia and Blood-Brain Barrier Integrity in Alzheimer's Disease. *Curr. Gerontol. Geriatr. Res.* 2012, 184042.

Castellon, X., and Bogdanova, V. (2016). Chronic inflammatory diseases and endothelial dysfunction. *Aging Dis.* 7, 81.

Chard, D.T., Parker, G.J.M., Griffin, C.M.B., Thompson, A.J., and Miller, D.H. (2002). The reproducibility and sensitivity of brain tissue volume measurements derived from an SPM-based segmentation methodology. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 15, 259–267.

Chard, D.T., Jackson, J.S., Miller, D.H., and Wheeler-Kingshott, C.A.M. (2010). Reducing the

impact of white matter lesions on automated measures of brain gray and white matter volumes. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 32, 223–228.

Cullen, B., Nicholl, B.I., Mackay, D.F., Martin, D., Ul-Haq, Z., McIntosh, A., Gallacher, J., Deary, I.J., Pell, J.P., Evans, J.J., et al. (2015). Cognitive function and lifetime features of depression and bipolar disorder in a large population sample: Cross-sectional study of 143,828 UK Biobank participants. *Eur. Psychiatry* 30, 950–958.

Cusi, K. (2010). The role of adipose tissue and lipotoxicity in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. *Curr. Diab. Rep.* 10, 306–315.

Dadar, M., Zeighami, Y., Yau, Y., Fereshtehnejad, S.M., Maranzano, J., Postuma, R.B., Dagher, A., and Collins, D.L. (2018). White matter hyperintensities are linked to future cognitive decline in de novo Parkinson's disease patients. *NeuroImage Clin.* 20, 892–900.

Dadar, M., Potvin, O., Richard, C., and Duchesne, S. (2020). White Matter Hyperintensities Cause Systematic Errors in FreeSurfer Grey Matter Segmentations. *Manuscr. Prep.*

Debette, S., and Markus, H.S. (2010). The clinical importance of white matter hyperintensities on brain magnetic resonance imaging: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 341, 288.

Debette, S., Beiser, A., Hoffmann, U., DeCarli, C., O'Donnell, C.J., Massaro, J.M., Au, R., Himali, J.J., Wolf, P.A., Fox, C.S., et al. (2010). Visceral fat is associated with lower brain volume in healthy middle-aged adults. *Ann. Neurol.* 68, 136–144.

Dekkers, I.A., Jansen, P.R., and Lamb, H.J. (2019). Obesity, Brain Volume, and White Matter Microstructure at MRI: A Cross-sectional UK Biobank Study. *Radiology* 181012.

Van Dijk, E.J., Prins, N.D., Vermeer, S.E., Vrooman, H.A., Hofman, A., Koudstaal, P.J., and Breteler, M.M.B. (2005). C-reactive protein and cerebral small-vessel disease: The Rotterdam scan study. *Circulation* 112, 900–905.

DiSabato, D.J., Quan, N., and Godbout, J.P. (2016). Neuroinflammation: the devil is in the details. *J. Neurochem.* 139, 136–153.

Dobbelsteyn, C.J., Joffres, M.R., MacLean, D.R., Flowerdew, G., Balram, C., Blair, L., Butler-Jones, D., Cameron, R., Collins-Nakai, R., Connelly, P.W., et al. (2001). A comparative

evaluation of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and body mass index as indicators of cardiovascular risk factors. The Canadian heart health surveys. *Int. J. Obes.* 25, 652–661.

Dufouil, C., De Kersaint-Gilly, A., Besançon, V., Levy, C., Auffray, E., Brunnereau, L., Alperovitch, A., and Tzourio, C. (2001). Longitudinal study of blood pressure and white matter hyperintensities: The EVA MRI cohort. *Neurology* 56, 921–926.

Dufouil, C., Chalmers, J., Coskun, O., Besançon, V., Bousser, M.G., Guillon, P., MacMahon, S., Mazoyer, B., Neal, B., Woodward, M., et al. (2005). Effects of blood pressure lowering on cerebral white matter hyperintensities in patients with stroke: The PROGRESS (Perindopril Protection Against Recurrent Stroke Study) Magnetic Resonance Imaging Substudy. *Circulation* 112, 1644–1650.

Elliott, P., Peakman, T.C., and UK Biobank (2008). The UK Biobank sample handling and storage protocol for the collection, processing and archiving of human blood and urine. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 37, 234–244.

Fawns-Ritchie, C., and Deary, I.J. (2019). Reliability and validity of the UK Biobank cognitive tests. *MedRxiv* 19002204.

Fischl, B. (2012). FreeSurfer. *Neuroimage* 62, 774–781.

Fjell, A.M., Walhovd, K.B., Fennema-Notestine, C., McEvoy, L.K., Hagler, D.J., Holland, D., Brewer, J.B., and Dale, A.M. (2009). One-year brain atrophy evident in healthy aging. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 15223–15231.

García-García, I., Michaud, A., Dadar, M., Zeighami, Y., Neseliler, S., Collins, D.L., Evans, A.C., and Dagher, A. (2018). Neuroanatomical differences in obesity: meta-analytic findings and their validation in an independent dataset. *Int. J. Obes.* 1.

García-García, I., Morys, F., and Dagher, A. (2019). Nucleus accumbens volume is related to obesity measures in an age-dependent fashion. *J. Neuroendocrinol.*

García-García, I., Morys, F., and Dagher, A. (2019). Nucleus accumbens volume is related to obesity measures in an age-dependent fashion. *J. Neuroendocrinol.*

Gouw, A.A., Seewann, A., Van Der Flier, W.M., Barkhof, F., Rozemuller, A.M., Scheltens, P., and Geurts, J.J.G. (2011). Heterogeneity of small vessel disease: A systematic review of

- MRI and histopathology correlations. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 82, 126–135.
- Griffanti, L., Zamboni, G., Khan, A., Li, L., Bonifacio, G., Sundaresan, V., Schulz, U.G., Kuker, W., Battaglini, M., Rothwell, P.M., et al. (2016). BIANCA (Brain Intensity AbNormality Classification Algorithm): A new tool for automated segmentation of white matter hyperintensities. *Neuroimage* 141, 191–205.
- Guillemot-Legris, O., and Muccioli, G.G. (2017). Obesity-induced neuroinflammation: beyond the hypothalamus. *Trends Neurosci.*
- Gustafson, D., Lissner, L., Bengtsson, C., Björkelund, C., and Skoog, I. (2004). A 24-year follow-up of body mass index and cerebral atrophy. *Neurology* 63, 1876–1881.
- Hakim, A.M. (2019). Small vessel disease. *Front. Neurol.* 10.
- Hamer, M., and Batty, G.D. (2019). Association of body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio with brain structure. *Neurology* 92, e594–e600.
- Hammond, R.A., and Levine, R. (2010). The economic impact of obesity in the United States. *Diabetes. Metab. Syndr. Obes.* 3, 285–295.
- Han, J.E., Boachie, N., Garcia-Garcia, I., Michaud, A., and Dagher, A. (2018). Neural correlates of dietary self-control in healthy adults: A meta-analysis of functional brain imaging studies. *Physiol. Behav.* 192, 98–108.
- Heneka, M.T., Carson, M.J., Khoury, J. El, Landreth, G.E., Brosseon, F., Feinstein, D.L., Jacobs, A.H., Wyss-Coray, T., Vitorica, J., Ransohoff, R.M., et al. (2015). Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurol.* 14, 388–405.
- Herrmann, M.J., Tesar, A.K., Beier, J., Berg, M., and Warrings, B. (2019). Grey matter alterations in obesity: A meta-analysis of whole-brain studies. *Obes. Rev.* 20, 464–471.
- Hoaglin, D.C., and Iglewicz, B. (1987). Fine-Tuning Some Resistant Rules for Outlier Labeling. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 82, 1147–1149.
- Hoaglin, D.C., Iglewicz, B., and Tukey, J.W. (1986). Performance of Some Resistant Rules for Outlier Labeling. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 81, 991–999.
- Horstmann, A., Busse, F.P., Mathar, D., Muller, K., Lepsien, J., Schlogl, H., Kabisch, S., Kratzsch, J., Neumann, J., Stumvoll, M., et al. (2011). Obesity-Related Differences between

Women and Men in Brain Structure and Goal-Directed Behavior. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 5, 58.

Horstmann, A., Fenske, W.K., and Hankir, M.K. (2015). Argument for a non-linear relationship between severity of human obesity and dopaminergic tone. *Obes. Rev.* n/a-n/a.

Hsuchou, H., Kastin, A.J., Mishra, P.K., and Pan, W. (2012a). C-reactive protein increases BBB permeability: Implications for obesity and neuroinflammation. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 30, 1109–1119.

Hsuchou, H., Kastin, A.J., and Pan, W. (2012b). Blood-borne metabolic factors in obesity exacerbate injury-induced gliosis. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 47, 267–277.

Hua, P., Pan, X.P., Hu, R., Mo, X.E., Shang, X.Y., and Yang, S.R. (2014). Factors related to executive dysfunction after acute infarct. *PLoS One* 9.

Hwang, Y.C., Fujimoto, W.Y., Hayashi, T., Kahn, S.E., Leonetti, D.L., and Boyko, E.J. (2016). Increased visceral adipose tissue is an independent predictor for future development of atherogenic dyslipidemia. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 101, 678–685.

Jenkinson, M., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E.J., Woolrich, M.W., and Smith, S.M. (2012). FSL. *Neuroimage* 62, 782–790.

Kakoschke, N., Lorenzetti, V., Caeyenberghs, K., and Verdejo-García, A. (2019). Impulsivity and body fat accumulation are linked to cortical and subcortical brain volumes among adolescents and adults. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 1–11.

Kharabian Masouleh, S., Arélin, K., Horstmann, A., Lampe, L., Kipping, J.A., Luck, T., Riedel-Heller, S.G., Schroeter, M.L., Stumvoll, M., Villringer, A., et al. (2016). Higher body mass index in older adults is associated with lower gray matter volume: Implications for memory performance. *Neurobiol. Aging* 40, 1–10.

Kivipelto, M., Ngandu, T., Fratiglioni, L., Viitanen, M., Kåreholt, I., Winblad, B., Helkala, E.L., Tuomilehto, J., Soininen, H., and Nissinen, A. (2005). Obesity and vascular risk factors at midlife and the risk of dementia and Alzheimer disease. *Arch. Neurol.* 62, 1556–1560.

Klein, A., and Tourville, J. (2012). 101 labeled brain images and a consistent human cortical labeling protocol. *Front. Neurosci.* 6.

- Kuo, H.K., Chen, C.Y., Liu, H.M., Yen, C.J., Chang, K.J., Chang, C.C., Yu, Y.H., Lin, L.Y., and Hwang, J.J. (2010). Metabolic risks, white matter hyperintensities, and arterial stiffness in high-functioning healthy adults. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 143, 184–191.
- Lam, L.C.W., Chan, W.C., Leung, T., Fung, A.W.T., and Leung, E.M.F. (2015). Would older adults with mild cognitive impairment adhere to and benefit from a structured lifestyle activity intervention to enhance cognition?: A cluster randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One* 10.
- Lambert, C., Sam Narean, J., Benjamin, P., Zeestraten, E., Barrick, T.R., and Markus, H.S. (2015). Characterising the grey matter correlates of leukoaraiosis in cerebral small vessel disease. *NeuroImage Clin.* 9, 194–205.
- Lampe, L., Zhang, R., Beyer, F., Huhn, S., Kharabian-Masouleh, S., Preusser, S., Bazin, P., Schroeter, M.L., Villringer, A., and Witte, A.V. (2018). Visceral Obesity Relates to Deep White Matter Hyperintensities via Inflammation. *Ann. Neurol.* 85, ana.25396.
- Lee, K.S., Lee, Y., Back, J.H., Son, S.J., Choi, S.H., Chung, Y.K., Lim, K.Y., Noh, J.S., Koh, S.H., Oh, B.H., et al. (2014). Effects of a multidomain lifestyle modification on cognitive function in older adults: An eighteen-month community-based cluster randomized controlled trial. *Psychother. Psychosom.* 83, 270–278.
- de Leeuw, F.-E., de Groot, J.C., Oudkerk, M., Witteman, J.C.M., Hofman, A., van Gijn, J., and Breteler, M.M.B. (2002). Hypertension and cerebral white matter lesions in a prospective cohort study. *Brain* 125, 765–772.
- Madden, D.J., Bennett, I.J., Burzynska, A., Potter, G.G., Chen, N. kuei, and Song, A.W. (2012). Diffusion tensor imaging of cerebral white matter integrity in cognitive aging. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Basis Dis.* 1822, 386–400.
- Maldonado-Ruiz, R., Montalvo-Martínez, L., Fuentes-Mera, L., and Camacho, A. (2017). Microglia activation due to obesity programs metabolic failure leading to type two diabetes. *Nutr. Diabetes* 7, e254–e254.
- Mathieu, P., Poirier, P., Pibarot, P., Lemieux, I., and Després, J.-P. (2009). Visceral obesity: the link among inflammation, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease. *Hypertens. (Dallas, Tex. 1979)* 53, 577–584.

- Medic, N., Ziauddeen, H., Ersche, K.D., Farooqi, I.S., Bullmore, E.T., Nathan, P.J., Ronan, L., and Fletcher, P.C. (2016). Increased body mass index is associated with specific regional alterations in brain structure. *Int. J. Obes.* *40*, 1177–1182.
- Mehl, N., Morys, F., Villringer, A., and Horstmann, A. (2019). Unhealthy yet avoidable — how cognitive bias modification alters behavioral and brain responses. *Nutrients* *11*.
- Miller, A.A., and Spencer, S.J. (2014). Obesity and neuroinflammation: A pathway to cognitive impairment. *Brain. Behav. Immun.* *42*, 10–21.
- Miller, K.L., Alfaro-Almagro, F., Bangerter, N.K., Thomas, D.L., Yacoub, E., Xu, J., Bartsch, A.J., Jbabdi, S., Sotiropoulos, S.N., Andersson, J.L.R., et al. (2016). Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK Biobank prospective epidemiological study. *Nat. Neurosci.* *19*, 1523–1536.
- Monteiro, R., and Azevedo, I. (2010). Chronic inflammation in obesity and the metabolic syndrome. *Mediators Inflamm.* *2010*.
- Mori, S., Wakana, S., Nagae-Poetscher, L., and van Zijl, P. (2005). MRI atlas of human white matter (Amsterdam: Elsevier B.V.).
- Neseliler, S., Vainik, U., Dadar, M., Yau, Y.H.C., Garcia-Garcia, I., Scala, S.G., Zeighami, Y., Collins, D.L., and Dagher, A. (2018). Neural and behavioral endophenotypes of obesity. *BioRxiv* 348821.
- Ngandu, T., Lehtisalo, J., Solomon, A., Levälähti, E., Ahtiluoto, S., Antikainen, R., Bäckman, L., Hänninen, T., Jula, A., Laatikainen, T., et al. (2015). A 2 year multidomain intervention of diet, exercise, cognitive training, and vascular risk monitoring versus control to prevent cognitive decline in at-risk elderly people (FINGER): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* *385*, 2255–2263.
- Nguyen, J.C.D., Killcross, A.S., and Jenkins, T.A. (2014). Obesity and cognitive decline: Role of inflammation and vascular changes. *Front. Neurosci.* *8*.
- Noble, R.E. (2001). Waist-to-hip ratio versus BMI as predictors of cardiac risk in obese adult women. *West. J. Med.* *174*, 240-a-241.
- Pannacciulli, N., Del Parigi, A., Chen, K., Le, D.S.N.T., Reiman, E.M., and Tataranni, P.A.

- (2006). Brain abnormalities in human obesity: A voxel-based morphometric study. *Neuroimage* 31, 1419–1425.
- Pantoni, L. (2010). Cerebral small vessel disease: from pathogenesis and clinical characteristics to therapeutic challenges. *Lancet Neurol.* 9, 689–701.
- Pareek, V., Rallabandi, V.S., and Roy, P.K. (2018). A Correlational Study between Microstructural White Matter Properties and Macrostructural Gray Matter Volume Across Normal Ageing: Conjoint DTI and VBM Analysis. *Magn. Reson. Insights* 11, 1178623X1879992.
- Patenaude, B., Smith, S.M., Kennedy, D.N., and Jenkinson, M. (2011). A Bayesian model of shape and appearance for subcortical brain segmentation. *Neuroimage* 56, 907–922.
- Rapuano, K.M., Zieselman, A.L., Kelley, W.M., Sargent, J.D., Heatherton, T.F., and Gilbert-Diamond, D. (2017). Genetic risk for obesity predicts nucleus accumbens size and responsivity to real-world food cues. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114, 160–165.
- Ronan, L., Alexander-Bloch, A.F., Wagstyl, K., Farooqi, S., Brayne, C., Tyler, L.K., and Fletcher, P.C. (2016). Obesity associated with increased brain age from midlife. *Neurobiol. Aging* 47, 63–70.
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modelinge human forearm during rythmic exercise. *J. Stat. Softw.* 48, 1–36.
- Samara, A., Murphy, T., Strain, J., Rutlin, J., Sun, P., Neyman, O., Sreevalsan, N., Shimony, J.S., Ances, B.M., Song, S.K., et al. (2020). Neuroinflammation and White Matter Alterations in Obesity Assessed by Diffusion Basis Spectrum Imaging. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 13, 464.
- Sen, P.N., and Basser, P.J. (2005). A model for diffusion in white matter in the brain. *Biophys. J.* 89, 2927–2938.
- Shaw, M.E., Sachdev, P.S., Abhayaratna, W., Anstey, K.J., and Cherbuin, N. (2018). Body mass index is associated with cortical thinning with different patterns in mid- and late-life. *Int. J. Obes.* 42, 455–461.
- Singh, V., Chertkow, H., Lerch, J.P., Evans, A.C., Dorr, A.E., and Kabani, N.J. (2006). Spatial patterns of cortical thinning in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease.

Brain 129, 2885–2893.

Smith, C.E., and Cribbie, R.A. (2013). Multiplicity Control in Structural Equation Modeling: Incorporating Parameter Dependencies. *Struct. Equ. Model. A Multidiscip. J.* 20, 79–85.

Soares, J.M., Marques, P., Alves, V., and Sousa, N. (2013). A hitchhiker's guide to diffusion tensor imaging. *Front. Neurosci.* 7.

Song, S.K., Sun, S.W., Ramsbottom, M.J., Chang, C., Russell, J., and Cross, A.H. (2002). Dysmyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water. *Neuroimage* 17, 1429–1436.

Sproston, N.R., and Ashworth, J.J. (2018). Role of C-reactive protein at sites of inflammation and infection. *Front. Immunol.* 9.

Spyridaki, E.C., Simos, P., Avgoustinaki, P.D., Dermitzaki, E., Venihaki, M., Bardos, A.N., and Margioris, A.N. (2014). The association between obesity and fluid intelligence impairment is mediated by chronic low-grade inflammation. *Br. J. Nutr.* 112, 1724–1734.

Streit, W.J., Walter, S.A., and Pennell, N.A. (1999). Reactive microgliosis. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 57, 563–581.

Sudlow, C., Gallacher, J., Allen, N., Beral, V., Burton, P., Danesh, J., Downey, P., Elliott, P., Green, J., Landray, M., et al. (2015). UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. *PLOS Med.* 12, e1001779.

Swardfager, W., Yu, D., Ramirez, J., Cogo-Moreira, H., Szilagyi, G., Holmes, M.F., Scott, C.J.M., Scola, G., Chan, P.C., Chen, J., et al. (2017). Peripheral inflammatory markers indicate microstructural damage within periventricular white matter hyperintensities in Alzheimer's disease: A preliminary report. *Alzheimer's Dement. Diagnosis, Assess. Dis. Monit.* 7, 56–60.

Taki, Y., Kinomura, S., Sato, K., Inoue, K., Goto, R., Okada, K., Uchida, S., Kawashima, R., and Fukuda, H. (2008). Relationship Between Body Mass Index and Gray Matter Volume in 1,428 Healthy Individuals. *Obesity* 16, 119–124.

Tamura, Y., and Araki, A. (2015). Diabetes mellitus and white matter hyperintensity. *Geriatr.*

Gerontol. Int. 15, 34–42.

Tingley, D., Yamamoto, T., Hirose, K., Keele, L., and Imai, K. (2014). Mediation: R package for causal mediation analysis. *J. Stat. Softw.* 59, 1–38.

Tukey, J.W. (1977). *Exploratory Data Analysis* (Reading, Mass: Pearson).

Tuladhar, A.M., Reid, A.T., Shumskaya, E., De Laat, K.F., Van Norden, A.G.W., Van Dijk, E.J., Norris, D.G., and De Leeuw, F.E. (2015). Relationship between white matter hyperintensities, cortical thickness, and cognition. *Stroke* 46, 425–432.

Vainik, U., Baker, T.E., Dadar, M., Zeighami, Y., Michaud, A., Zhang, Y., Alanis, J.C.G., Misic, B., Collins, D.L., and Dagher, A. (2018). Neurobehavioral correlates of obesity are largely heritable. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 201718206.

Veit, R., Kullmann, S., Heni, M., Machann, J., Häring, H.U., Fritsche, A., and Preissl, H. (2014). Reduced cortical thickness associated with visceral fat and BMI. *NeuroImage Clin.* 6, 307–311.

Visser, M., Bouter, L.M., McQuillan, G.M., Wener, M.H., and Harris, T.B. (2001). Low-grade systemic inflammation in overweight children. *Pediatrics* 107, E13.

Volkow, N.D., Wang, G.-J., Fowler, J.S., and Telang, F. (2008). Overlapping Neuronal Circuits in Addiction and Obesity: Evidence of Systems Pathology. *Philos. Trans. Biol. Sci.* 363, 3191–3200.

Wakana, S., Caprihan, A., Panzenboeck, M.M., Fallon, J.H., Perry, M., Gollub, R.L., Hua, K., Zhang, J., Jiang, H., Dubey, P., et al. (2007). Reproducibility of quantitative tractography methods applied to cerebral white matter. *Neuroimage* 36, 630–644.

Walker, K.A., Power, M.C., Hoogeveen, R.C., Folsom, A.R., Ballantyne, C.M., Knopman, D.S., Windham, B.G., Selvin, E., Jack, C.R., and Gottesman, R.F. (2017). Midlife systemic inflammation, late-life white matter integrity, and cerebral small vessel disease the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Stroke* 48, 3196–3202.

Wang, H., Wen, B., Cheng, J., and Li, H. (2017). Brain structural differences between normal and obese adults and their links with lack of perseverance, negative urgency, and sensation seeking. *Sci. Rep.* 7.

Ward, M.A., Carlsson, C.M., Trivedi, M.A., Sager, M.A., and Johnson, S.C. (2005). The effect of body mass index on global brain volume in middle-aged adults: A cross sectional study. *BMC Neurol.* 5, 23.

Wardlaw, J.M., Valdés Hernández, M.C., and Muñoz-Maniega, S. (2015). What are white matter hyperintensities made of? Relevance to vascular cognitive impairment. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 4, 001140.

Wardlaw, J.M., Makin, S.J., Valdés Hernández, M.C., Armitage, P.A., Heye, A.K., Chappell, F.M., Muñoz-Maniega, S., Sakka, E., Shuler, K., Dennis, M.S., et al. (2017). Blood-brain barrier failure as a core mechanism in cerebral small vessel disease and dementia: evidence from a cohort study. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 13, 634–643.

Whitmer, R., Gunderson, E., Quesenberry, C., Zhou, J., and Yaffe, K. (2007). Body Mass Index in Midlife and Risk of Alzheimer Disease and Vascular Dementia. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* 4, 103–109.

Yaffe, K., Kanaya, A., Lindquist, K., Simonsick, E.M., Harris, T., Shorr, R.I., Tylavsky, F.A., and Newman, A.B. (2004). The metabolic syndrome, inflammation, and risk of cognitive decline. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 292, 2237–2242.

Zhang, R., Beyer, F., Lampe, L., Luck, T., Riedel-Heller, S.G., Loeffler, M., Schroeter, M.L., Stumvoll, M., Villringer, A., and Witte, A.V. (2018). White matter microstructural variability mediates the relation between obesity and cognition in healthy adults. *Neuroimage* 172, 239–249.

Zhao, Y., Ke, Z., He, W., and Cai, Z. (2019). Volume of white matter hyperintensities increases with blood pressure in patients with hypertension. *J. Int. Med. Res.* 47, 3681–3689.

Zhou, J., and Qin, G. (2012). Adipocyte dysfunction and hypertension. *Am. J. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2, 143–149.

8 Supplementary Tables

Table S1 UK Biobank field IDs used for exclusion of participants

Health condition	Field number	Description	Code
Bipolar disorder	20122	Bipolar Type I (Mania)	-
		Bipolar Type II (Hypomania)	-
		A personality disorder	-
		Schizophrenia	-
		Depression	-
Mental health problems	20544	Mania, hypomania, bipolar or manic-depression	-
		Bulimia nervosa	-
		Anorexia nervosa	-
		Attention deficit or attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADD/ADHD)	-
		Panic attacks	-
		Dementia or Alzheimer's disease	1263
		Parkinson's disease	1262
		Chronic degenerative neurological	1258
		Guillain-Barré syndrome	1256
		Multiple Sclerosis	1261
		Other demyelinating disease	1397
		Stroke or ischaemic stroke	1081
Noncancer illness	20002	Brain cancer	1032
		Brain haemorrhage	1491
		Brain/intracranial abscess	1245
		Cerebral aneurysm	1425
		Cerebral palsy	1433
		Encephalitis	1246
		Epilepsy	1264
		Head injury	1266

Infections of the nervous system	1244
Ischaemic stroke	1583
Meningeal cancer	1031
Meningioma (benign)	1659
Meningitis	1247
Motor Neuron Disease	1259
Neurological injury/trauma	1240
Spina bifida	1524
Subdural haematoma	1083
Subarachnoid haemorrhage	1086
Transient ischaemic attack	1082

Table S2 UK Biobank field IDs used in this study.

Field name	Field number	Description
Body mass index	21001	
Waist circumference	48	
Hip circumference	49	
Age	21003	
Sex	31	
Qualifications/education	6138	
Total volume of white matter hyperintensities	25781	
C-reactive protein blood level	30710	
Triglycerides blood level	30870	
High density lipoprotein level	30760	
Cortical thickness	27174-27204; 27267-27297	
Cortical volume	27205-27235; 27298-27328	
Diabetes diagnosed by doctor	2443	
Vascular / heart problems diagnosed by doctor	6150	High blood pressure
Subcortical volumes	25011 - 25024	
Fractional anisotropy	25056 - 25103	
Mean diffusivity	25104 - 25151	
Working memory – maximum digits remembered correctly	4282	
Fluid intelligence score	20016	
Executive functions – numbers of puzzles correct	21004	
Prospective memory	20018	
Visuospatial memory – number of incorrect matches	399	
Reaction time	404	

Table S3 Sample characteristics

Characteristic	SEM sample		Post-SEM sample	
	Mean	Standard deviation	Mean	Standard deviation
Age [years]	63	8	63	7
Body mass index [kg/m ²]	26.32	3.87	26.44	4.09
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.87	0.084	0.87	0.084
	Distribution		Distribution	
Sex	8191 ♀ 8247 ♂		7609 ♀ 7653 ♂	
Hypertension	4047 (24.62%)		3708 (24.3%)	
Diabetes	832 (5.06%)		743 (4.9%)	

Table S4 Loadings, standard deviations and p-values of measured variables on latent variables.

Latent variable	Measured variable	Estimate	Standard error	z-value	p-value	R ²	Sample size
Cognition	Visuospatial memory	1.0000	-	-	-	0.0689	14,899
	Reaction time	1.4660	0.0870	16.8360	<0.0001	0.1341	15,029
	Prospective memory	1.9240	0.1490	12.9550	<0.0001	0.1457	15,279
	Fluid intelligence	-3.1940	0.1660	-19.2540	<0.0001	0.4277	14,875
	Working memory	-1.4970	0.0800	-18.7270	<0.0001	0.2766	7,192
	Executive functions	-1.5190	0.0810	-18.8210	<0.0001	0.2607	5,852
Obesity	Body mass index	1.0000	-	-	-	0.5717	16,042
	Waist-to-hip ratio	0.6470	0.0150	44.1490	<0.0001	0.4126	16,129
Inflammation	C-reactive protein	1.0000	-	1.0000	-	1.0000	15,213
Cerebrovascular disease	White matter hyperintensities	1.0000	-	1.0000	-	1.0000	15,564
Dyslipidemia	Triglycerides	1.0000	-	-	-	0.3996	15,246
	HDL cholesterol	-1.2150	0.0240	-50.4760	<0.0001	0.6763	13,929
Cortical thickness	Caudal anterior cingulate L	1.0000	-	-	-	0.1598	16,217
	Caudal middle frontal L	1.8220	0.0620	29.4290	<0.0001	0.5615	16,178
	Cuneus L	1.5880	0.0550	28.9960	<0.0001	0.3940	16,211
	Entorhinal L	0.7080	0.0280	25.3000	<0.0001	0.0897	16,110
	Fusiform L	1.8310	0.0610	29.9360	<0.0001	0.5423	16,190
	Inferior parietal L	1.9170	0.0640	29.9570	<0.0001	0.6418	16,134
	Inferior temporal L	1.6670	0.0570	29.2610	<0.0001	0.4407	16,199
	Isthmus cingulate L	1.0060	0.0450	22.2640	<0.0001	0.1663	16,198
	Lateral occipital L	1.7630	0.0580	30.2310	<0.0001	0.4907	16,200
	Lateral orbitofrontal L	1.7120	0.0580	29.3560	<0.0001	0.4596	16,202
	Lingual L	1.2970	0.0440	29.6740	<0.0001	0.2656	16,212
	Medial orbitofrontal L	1.4310	0.0510	27.9360	<0.0001	0.3267	16,200
	Middle temporal L	1.6850	0.0550	30.4730	<0.0001	0.4553	16,204

Parahippocampal L	0.8360	0.0300	27.7540	<0.0001	0.1136	16,212
Paracentral L	1.7870	0.0580	30.8310	<0.0001	0.5323	16,199
Pars opercularis L	1.7560	0.0610	28.7830	<0.0001	0.5167	16,173
Pars orbitalis L	1.4880	0.0530	27.8900	<0.0001	0.3670	16,177
Pars triangularis L	1.7740	0.0620	28.6880	<0.0001	0.5347	16,169
Pericalcarine L	1.3720	0.0520	26.6250	<0.0001	0.2925	16,215
Postcentral L	1.9090	0.0650	29.5230	<0.0001	0.5888	16,198
Posterior cingulate L	1.3210	0.0470	28.1630	<0.0001	0.2825	16,203
Precentral L	1.8680	0.0610	30.7120	<0.0001	0.5913	16,180
Precuneus L	1.9270	0.0650	29.5800	<0.0001	0.6186	16,188
Rostral anterior cingulate L	1.2790	0.0460	28.0500	<0.0001	0.2647	16,199
Rostral middle frontal L	1.8950	0.0640	29.6360	<0.0001	0.6153	16,173
Superior frontal L	1.9240	0.0630	30.3230	<0.0001	0.6567	16,177
Superior parietal L	1.8990	0.0650	29.3770	<0.0001	0.6008	16,159
Superior temporal L	1.8210	0.0590	30.9990	<0.0001	0.5468	16,209
Supramarginal L	1.9050	0.0630	30.2600	<0.0001	0.6346	16,143
Transverse temporal L	1.3970	0.0500	28.0200	<0.0001	0.3035	16,213
Insula L	1.5130	0.0520	29.3590	<0.0001	0.3667	16,203
Caudal anterior cingulate R	0.6750	0.0280	23.7920	<0.0001	0.0776	16,150
Caudal middle frontal R	1.8280	0.0620	29.2630	<0.0001	0.5583	16,183
Cuneus R	1.4080	0.0480	29.1310	<0.0001	0.3107	16,209
Entorhinal R	0.6700	0.0280	23.5630	<0.0001	0.0775	16,154
Fusiform R	1.7400	0.0590	29.6200	<0.0001	0.4962	16,199
Inferior parietal R	1.9020	0.0640	29.8820	<0.0001	0.6255	16,172
Inferior temporal R	1.6770	0.0580	29.1060	<0.0001	0.4526	16,196
Isthmus cingulate R	1.0090	0.0420	23.8830	<0.0001	0.1676	16,199
Lateral occipital R	1.6660	0.0560	29.8910	<0.0001	0.4385	16,207
Lateral orbitofrontal R	1.6950	0.0600	28.3290	<0.0001	0.4502	16,198

	Lingual R	1.2040	0.0440	27.5810	<0.0001	0.2277	16,213
	Medial orbitofrontal R	1.3740	0.0500	27.5820	<0.0001	0.2982	16,206
	Middle temporal R	1.7600	0.0600	29.3640	<0.0001	0.5005	16,204
	Parahippocampal R	0.8910	0.0330	26.6390	<0.0001	0.1323	16,194
	Paracentral R	1.7480	0.0600	29.3540	<0.0001	0.5046	16,205
	Pars opercularis R	1.7690	0.0610	29.0350	<0.0001	0.5323	16,155
	Pars orbitalis R	1.4810	0.0520	28.3870	<0.0001	0.3651	16,192
	Pars triangularis R	1.7400	0.0600	28.7570	<0.0001	0.5209	16,149
	Pericalcarine R	1.3130	0.0490	26.8210	<0.0001	0.2689	16,213
	Postcentral R	1.8090	0.0640	28.4530	<0.0001	0.5305	16,204
	Posterior cingulate R	1.2900	0.0470	27.2510	<0.0001	0.2737	16,196
	Precentral R	1.8230	0.0640	28.6260	<0.0001	0.5719	16,174
	Precuneus R	1.9510	0.0660	29.6750	<0.0001	0.6315	16,191
	Rostral anterior cingulate R	1.1430	0.0450	25.4680	<0.0001	0.2102	16,198
	Rostral middle frontal R	1.8710	0.0630	29.9220	<0.0001	0.6129	16,124
	Superior frontal R	1.9390	0.0640	30.0620	<0.0001	0.6632	16,167
	Superior parietal R	1.9000	0.0650	29.3230	<0.0001	0.5968	16,189
	Superior temporal R	1.8610	0.0630	29.6850	<0.0001	0.5819	16,201
	Supramarginal R	1.9500	0.0650	29.9290	<0.0001	0.6437	16,176
	Transverse temporal R	1.4090	0.0500	27.9440	<0.0001	0.3081	16,215
	Insula R	1.5260	0.0530	28.8510	<0.0001	0.3777	16,217
Cortical volume	Caudal anterior cingulate L	1.0000	-	-	-	0.1071	16,200
	Caudal middle frontal L	1.1500	0.0370	31.1790	<0.0001	0.1427	16,195
	Cuneus L	1.2630	0.0460	27.6610	<0.0001	0.1690	16,204
	Entorhinal L	0.6280	0.0370	17.0720	<0.0001	0.0453	16,183
	Fusiform L	1.4290	0.0470	30.5860	<0.0001	0.2196	16,117
	Inferior parietal L	1.2880	0.0420	30.6890	<0.0001	0.1785	16,197
	Inferior temporal L	1.3450	0.0440	30.8100	<0.0001	0.1938	16,204

Isthmus cingulate L	0.9760	0.0380	25.6490	<0.0001	0.1025	16,194
Lateral occipital L	1.4540	0.0470	30.8810	<0.0001	0.2259	16,182
Lateral orbitofrontal L	1.9560	0.0550	35.5090	<0.0001	0.4220	16,190
Lingual L	1.0470	0.0410	25.6090	<0.0001	0.1148	16,196
Medial orbitofrontal L	1.8490	0.0540	34.0710	<0.0001	0.3666	16,204
Middle temporal L	1.3560	0.0420	32.1220	<0.0001	0.2008	16,200
Parahippocampal L	1.0490	0.0420	25.0140	<0.0001	0.1237	16,199
Paracentral L	1.7360	0.0520	33.4260	<0.0001	0.3302	16,200
Pars opercularis L	1.2930	0.0490	26.3740	<0.0001	0.1862	16,192
Pars orbitalis L	1.4820	0.0480	30.7060	<0.0001	0.2381	16,171
Pars triangularis L	1.2180	0.0440	27.4620	<0.0001	0.1610	16,191
Pericalcarine L	0.9550	0.0380	25.0950	<0.0001	0.0950	16,194
Postcentral L	1.7930	0.0590	30.6520	<0.0001	0.3446	16,194
Posterior cingulate L	1.3240	0.0360	37.0700	<0.0001	0.1896	16,197
Precentral L	2.0480	0.0580	35.4740	<0.0001	0.4517	16,185
Precuneus L	1.7130	0.0530	32.2440	<0.0001	0.3213	16,201
Rostral anterior cingulate L	1.1540	0.0310	37.4420	<0.0001	0.1408	16,194
Rostral middle frontal L	1.4280	0.0470	30.2640	<0.0001	0.2278	16,198
Superior frontal L	1.9680	0.0560	35.3030	<0.0001	0.4372	16,188
Superior parietal L	1.3930	0.0450	30.8690	<0.0001	0.2147	16,195
Superior temporal L	1.9300	0.0540	35.6210	<0.0001	0.3998	16,190
Supramarginal L	1.2670	0.0450	28.4120	<0.0001	0.1731	16,195
Transverse temporal L	1.2890	0.0470	27.2130	<0.0001	0.1797	16,185
Insula L	1.6890	0.0530	31.9380	<0.0001	0.3070	16,168
Caudal anterior cingulate R	0.7650	0.0310	24.2970	<0.0001	0.0621	16,183
Caudal middle frontal R	1.0860	0.0360	29.7590	<0.0001	0.1297	16,197
Cuneus R	1.1030	0.0440	25.2370	<0.0001	0.1311	16,176
Entorhinal R	0.6050	0.0360	16.7970	<0.0001	0.0407	16,180

	Fusiform R	1.3630	0.0450	30.1820	<0.0001	0.2009	16,149
	Inferior parietal R	1.3340	0.0440	30.4060	<0.0001	0.1917	16,201
	Inferior temporal R	1.2720	0.0420	30.1100	<0.0001	0.1747	16,198
	Isthmus cingulate R	1.0550	0.0420	25.3120	<0.0001	0.1194	16,194
	Lateral occipital R	1.3210	0.0460	28.6270	<0.0001	0.1872	16,177
	Lateral orbitofrontal R	1.9710	0.0580	34.0750	<0.0001	0.4298	16,197
	Lingual R	0.9440	0.0420	22.4540	<0.0001	0.0937	16,195
	Medial orbitofrontal R	1.8470	0.0570	32.6490	<0.0001	0.3668	16,203
	Middle temporal R	1.4860	0.0470	31.7970	<0.0001	0.2416	16,194
	Parahippocampal R	1.0300	0.0410	25.0540	<0.0001	0.1228	16,200
	Paracentral R	1.5850	0.0480	33.1600	<0.0001	0.2730	16,173
	Pars opercularis R	1.2770	0.0500	25.3920	<0.0001	0.1836	16,189
	Pars orbitalis R	1.3590	0.0470	29.1320	<0.0001	0.2011	16,156
	Pars triangularis R	1.1740	0.0440	26.6260	<0.0001	0.1510	16,191
	Pericalcarine R	0.9470	0.0390	24.3840	<0.0001	0.0938	16,184
	Postcentral R	1.7580	0.0550	31.9700	<0.0001	0.3324	16,188
	Posterior cingulate R	1.3290	0.0400	33.6330	<0.0001	0.1908	16,192
	Precentral R	1.9890	0.0570	34.5970	<0.0001	0.4339	16,183
	Precuneus R	1.7830	0.0540	33.0350	<0.0001	0.3490	16,183
	Rostral anterior cingulate R	0.9330	0.0330	28.2140	<0.0001	0.0911	16,193
	Rostral middle frontal R	1.3290	0.0470	28.1560	<0.0001	0.2027	16,198
	Superior frontal R	1.8740	0.0540	34.9190	<0.0001	0.3957	16,163
	Superior parietal R	1.4850	0.0470	31.8840	<0.0001	0.2431	16,194
	Superior temporal R	2.0180	0.0590	34.4410	<0.0001	0.4497	16,195
	Supramarginal R	1.4700	0.0470	31.4800	<0.0001	0.2326	16,194
	Transverse temporal R	1.5110	0.0580	26.0090	<0.0001	0.2416	16,187
	Insula R	1.6960	0.0530	31.8930	<0.0001	0.3066	16,187
Subcortical volume	Thalamus L	1.0000	-	-	-	0.7635	16,191
	Thalamus R	0.9890	0.0060	176.4380	<0.0001	0.7794	16,392

Caudate L	0.8640	0.0170	52.1900	<0.0001	0.6548	16,387
Caudate R	0.8830	0.0160	54.8570	<0.0001	0.6849	16,163
Putamen L	0.8630	0.0110	79.8240	<0.0001	0.5440	16,182
Putamen R	0.9100	0.0110	83.6810	<0.0001	0.5837	16,345
Pallidum L	0.2010	0.0090	22.3920	<0.0001	0.1448	16,367
Pallidum R	0.2620	0.0110	23.1400	<0.0001	0.1596	15,705
Hippocampus L	1.0310	0.0090	113.4850	<0.0001	0.8014	15,805
Hippocampus R	1.0360	0.0090	115.2060	<0.0001	0.8007	16,403
Amygdala L	1.0480	0.0100	109.3870	<0.0001	0.7985	16,408
Amygdala R	1.0490	0.0090	111.0510	<0.0001	0.7935	16,408
Nucleus accumbens L	0.9830	0.0100	97.5760	<0.0001	0.6969	16,405
Nucleus accumbens R	1.0120	0.0100	100.0030	<0.0001	0.7221	16,384

Table S5 Associations between body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio for each DKT parcel for cortical thickness and volume measures.

Parcel	BMI				WHR			
	Cortical thickness		Cortical volume		Cortical thickness		Cortical volume	
	p-value	T-value	p-value	T-value	p-value	T-value	p-value	T-value
Caudal anterior cingulate L	<0.0001	-4.3258	0.9429	0.0907	0.0001	-4.3086	0.6707	-0.6613
Caudal middle frontal L	0.1981	1.4504	0.4481	-1.0289	0.1949	-1.4476	0.1955	-1.8589
Cuneus L	<0.0001	10.9913	0.3978	1.1192	<0.0001	5.9231	0.7013	0.5914
Entorhinal L	<0.0001	-6.7839	0.7048	-0.5677	<0.0001	-6.1648	0.7309	-0.5058
Fusiform L	0.0020	-3.2798	0.0002	-4.2356	<0.0001	-5.6736	0.1079	-2.1998
Inferior parietal L	0.0807	1.9219	<0.0001	-7.6794	0.6371	0.5271	0.0004	-4.2760
Inferior temporal L	<0.0001	-5.3125	<0.0001	-5.9987	<0.0001	-6.5717	0.0012	-3.8578
Isthmus cingulate L	0.6819	-0.4707	0.1815	1.6472	0.2661	-1.2528	0.4835	1.1144
Lateral occipital L	<0.0001	11.8931	0.3543	1.2342	<0.0001	6.3532	0.3424	1.4831
Lateral orbitofrontal L	0.2998	-1.1828	<0.0001	-5.5587	0.0888	-1.8798	0.4245	-1.2858
Lingual L	<0.0001	8.6943	0.1502	1.7560	<0.0001	5.3852	0.5347	1.0143
Medial orbitofrontal L	0.0397	-2.2520	<0.0001	-5.8404	0.0004	-3.8093	0.0004	-4.2356
Middle temporal L	0.0001	-4.2302	<0.0001	-8.1136	<0.0001	-5.1246	<0.0001	-5.5761
Parahippocampal L	0.0013	-3.4283	0.0289	-2.5375	0.0130	-2.7544	0.3642	-1.4300
Paracentral L	0.0011	-3.4852	0.1254	-1.8908	0.0001	-4.0646	0.3271	-1.5277
Pars opercularis L	0.4354	-0.8935	0.0039	-3.2728	0.0209	-2.5505	0.7365	-0.4762
Pars orbitalis L	0.0117	-2.7272	0.0424	-2.3850	0.0860	-1.9154	0.8219	-0.2766
Pars triangularis L	0.0510	2.1438	0.1502	-1.7512	0.6066	-0.5957	0.7247	-0.5469
Pericalcarine L	<0.0001	10.7098	0.7048	0.5694	<0.0001	6.9566	0.5669	0.9395
Postcentral L	<0.0001	6.5604	0.2126	-1.5405	0.0138	2.7246	0.5769	0.9100
Posterior cingulate L	0.2746	-1.2465	0.9782	0.0273	0.0463	-2.2248	0.8543	0.2189
Precentral L	0.3221	-1.1149	0.0005	-3.8836	0.0013	-3.4840	0.1216	-2.1283
Precuneus L	0.0011	3.5026	0.9365	-0.1369	0.5722	0.6771	0.9439	0.0895
Rostral anterior cingulate L	0.0001	-4.0846	0.5480	-0.8457	<0.0001	-4.6055	0.1440	-2.0357
Rostral middle frontal L	<0.0001	7.1726	0.9365	-0.1521	0.1873	1.4797	0.8219	-0.2849

Superior frontal L	0.0612	2.0555	0.8110	0.3768	0.1241	-1.7058	0.6484	-0.7252
Superior parietal L	<0.0001	8.6311	0.1345	-1.8447	0.0002	4.0183	0.6677	-0.6817
Superior temporal L	<0.0001	-6.6343	0.0002	-4.1579	<0.0001	-7.7182	0.0026	-3.6258
Supramarginal L	0.3197	-1.1316	0.0022	-3.4449	0.0056	-3.0441	0.0055	-3.3886
Transverse temporal L	0.5886	0.6547	0.7048	0.5977	0.5817	-0.6496	0.4737	1.1638
Insula L	<0.0001	-8.6445	0.0073	-3.0556	<0.0001	-9.3928	0.4140	-1.3399
Caudal anterior cingulate R	0.8940	0.1332	0.4915	0.9525	0.1437	-1.6244	0.6006	-0.8328
Caudal middle frontal R	0.0302	2.3660	0.7048	-0.6120	0.8987	-0.1273	0.6998	-0.6102
Cuneus R	<0.0001	10.6454	0.0431	2.3644	<0.0001	7.9264	0.0371	2.7177
Entorhinal R	0.0152	-2.6292	0.7206	-0.5127	0.0770	-1.9738	0.5816	0.8868
Fusiform R	0.0169	-2.5823	0.0002	-4.1116	0.0200	-2.5881	0.4166	-1.3162
Inferior parietal R	<0.0001	5.5525	0.0007	-3.7461	0.2693	1.2341	0.2548	-1.6722
Inferior temporal R	0.5948	-0.6316	0.0158	-2.7857	0.3525	-1.0459	0.5347	-1.0169
Isthmus cingulate R	0.6785	-0.4906	0.0057	3.1474	0.0953	-1.8381	0.0490	2.5665
Lateral occipital R	<0.0001	11.7354	0.0158	2.7893	<0.0001	7.7040	0.0004	4.1874
Lateral orbitofrontal R	0.1520	1.6080	<0.0001	-5.7160	0.4246	0.9098	0.1624	-1.9621
Lingual R	<0.0001	9.1977	0.1917	1.6063	<0.0001	6.6915	0.2276	1.7670
Medial orbitofrontal R	0.2014	-1.4301	<0.0001	-4.5495	0.0749	-1.9964	0.0073	-3.2759
Middle temporal R	0.6408	0.5552	0.0001	-4.4098	0.0883	-1.8928	0.0008	-4.0097
Parahippocampal R	0.0024	-3.2269	0.0217	-2.6499	0.0303	-2.3955	0.5669	-0.9415
Paracentral R	0.0013	-3.4282	0.3641	-1.1879	0.0060	-3.0124	0.6484	0.7215
Pars opercularis R	0.4354	0.8923	0.2507	-1.4410	0.0463	-2.2027	0.7309	-0.5182
Pars orbitalis R	0.7733	0.3044	0.0203	-2.6875	0.6066	0.5862	0.7365	0.4656
Pars triangularis R	0.0013	3.4073	0.7048	-0.5538	0.6371	0.5155	0.4835	1.1262
Pericalcarine R	<0.0001	11.9597	0.1502	1.7557	<0.0001	9.2168	0.0353	2.7650
Postcentral R	<0.0001	7.9700	0.9429	0.1014	0.0042	3.1368	0.7748	0.3855
Posterior cingulate R	0.1970	-1.4649	0.0829	-2.0813	0.0463	-2.2136	0.0746	-2.3651
Precentral R	0.7239	0.3845	0.0007	-3.7488	0.0209	-2.5583	0.2276	-1.7464
Precuneus R	0.0001	4.0746	0.6862	-0.6602	0.3291	1.1014	0.6006	0.8294
Rostral anterior cingulate R	0.7235	-0.4009	0.7206	-0.5015	0.0292	-2.4194	0.6045	-0.8078

Rostral middle frontal R	<0.0001	8.8073	0.9310	-0.2199	0.0004	3.7667	0.9631	0.0463
Superior frontal R	0.0013	3.4203	0.9336	-0.1977	0.8987	0.1278	0.7748	-0.4001
Superior parietal R	<0.0001	11.7109	0.5364	0.8767	<0.0001	5.4733	0.4684	1.2054
Superior temporal R	<0.0001	-4.4820	0.0003	-3.9860	<0.0001	-5.3003	0.0475	-2.6053
Supramarginal R	0.1181	1.7400	0.0694	-2.1677	0.2406	-1.3217	0.0589	-2.4761
Transverse temporal R	0.0612	2.0490	0.9365	0.1497	0.8987	0.1495	0.8166	0.3177
Insula R	<0.0001	-4.9462	0.3593	-1.2108	<0.0001	-7.1431	0.4684	-1.1897

BMI – body mass index, WHR – waist-to-hip ratio.

Table S6 Associations between body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio with subcortical volume measures

Structure	BMI		WHR	
	p-value	T-value	p-value	T-value
Thalamus L	0.0001	-3.9238	0.0245	-2.4152
Thalamus R	0.2218	1.2217	0.0041	3.0827
Caudate L	0.0002	-3.7756	0.5533	-0.5928
Caudate R	0.0156	-2.4455	0.2110	1.2933
Putamen L	<0.0001	-8.0976	0.0114	-2.7217
Putamen R	<0.0001	-13.5912	<0.0001	-6.3340
Pallidum L	<0.0001	-5.8545	0.1298	-1.5926
Pallidum R	<0.0001	-6.2337	0.1298	-1.6051
Hippocampus L	<0.0001	-5.3418	0.0026	-3.2628
Hippocampus R	0.0138	-2.5163	0.0517	-2.0868
Amygdala L	<0.0001	-13.7718	<0.0001	-8.3091
Amygdala R	<0.0001	-13.9574	<0.0001	-8.5601
Nucleus accumbens L	<0.0001	-12.0100	<0.0001	-6.8709
Nucleus accumbens R	<0.0001	-13.9870	<0.0001	-7.6203

BMI – body mass index, WHR – waist-to-hip ratio.

Table S7 Associations between body mass index, waist-to-hip ratio and cognitive abilities.

Cognitive ability	BMI		WHR	
	p-value	estimate	p-value	estimate
Working memory	0.0184	-0.0315	0.0005	-0.0594
Fluid intelligence	0.1167	-0.0142	0.0001	-0.0442
Executive functions	0.0184	0.0345	0.6496	-0.0108
Prospective memory	0.2750	0.0102	<0.0001	0.0540
Visuospatial memory	0.0005	-0.0333	0.9346	0.0009
Reaction time	0.7972	0.0021	0.0011	0.0371

BMI – body mass index, WHR – waist-to-hip ratio

Table S8 Mediation effects of subcortical grey matter volumes on the associations between body mass index and cognition, and waist-to-hip ratio and cognition.

Parcel	Total effect estimate	Total effect p-value	ACME estimate	ACME p-value	ADE estimate	ADE p-value	Proportion mediated	Proportion p-value
Body mass index								
Working memory								
Thalamus L	-0.0389	0.0258	0.0008	0.3267	-0.0397	0.0233	-0.0182	0.3484
Thalamus R	-0.0374	0.0258	0.0000	0.8940	-0.0374	0.0240	-0.0006	0.9020
Caudate L	-0.0382	0.0252	0.0015	0.0980	-0.0397	0.0218	-0.0367	0.1330
Caudate R	-0.0389	0.0252	0.0008	0.3267	-0.0397	0.0229	-0.0186	0.3484
Putamen L	-0.0381	0.0260	0.0010	0.3523	-0.0391	0.0240	-0.0258	0.3617
Putamen R	-0.0390	0.0252	0.0023	0.3267	-0.0413	0.0218	-0.0550	0.3484
Pallidum L	-0.0386	0.0252	0.0007	0.3267	-0.0393	0.0218	-0.0153	0.3484
Pallidum R	-0.0383	0.0255	0.0018	0.0467	-0.0401	0.0224	-0.0445	0.1330
Hippocampus L	-0.0389	0.0252	0.0021	0.0467	-0.0410	0.0218	-0.0500	0.1330
Hippocampus R	-0.0384	0.0252	0.0021	<0.0001	-0.0405	0.0218	-0.0544	0.1330
Amygdala L	-0.0376	0.0252	0.0011	0.6160	-0.0387	0.0218	-0.0276	0.6182
Amygdala R	-0.0382	0.0252	0.0021	0.3523	-0.0403	0.0218	-0.0535	0.3617
Nucleus accumbens L	-0.0381	0.0252	0.0023	0.3267	-0.0404	0.0218	-0.0583	0.3484
Nucleus accumbens R	-0.0390	0.0252	0.0020	0.3523	-0.0410	0.0218	-0.0502	0.3617
Executive functions								
Thalamus L	0.0994	0.0280	0.0018	0.5676	0.0975	0.0300	0.0161	0.5829
Thalamus R	0.0976	0.0280	0.0013	0.4445	0.0963	0.0300	0.0108	0.4760
Caudate L	0.0963	0.0280	0.0032	0.2080	0.0930	0.0300	0.0326	0.2640
Caudate R	0.0951	0.0280	0.0029	0.2080	0.0923	0.0300	0.0274	0.2640
Putamen L	0.0996	0.0280	0.0013	0.8237	0.0983	0.0300	0.0119	0.8400
Putamen R	0.0980	0.0280	-0.0003	0.9540	0.0984	0.0300	-0.0037	0.9540
Pallidum L	0.0972	0.0280	0.0017	0.5196	0.0955	0.0300	0.0159	0.5476
Pallidum R	0.0983	0.0280	0.0002	0.9540	0.0982	0.0300	0.0013	0.9540
Hippocampus L	0.0979	0.0280	0.0045	0.1867	0.0934	0.0300	0.0422	0.2640

Hippocampus R	0.0982	0.0280	0.0030	0.2080	0.0953	0.0300	0.0271	0.2640
Amygdala L	0.0955	0.0280	-0.0132	0.1867	0.1087	0.0300	-0.1374	0.2640
Amygdala R	0.0969	0.0280	-0.0109	0.1867	0.1078	0.0300	-0.1096	0.2640
Nucleus accumbens L	0.0992	0.0280	-0.0036	0.5676	0.1028	0.0300	-0.0358	0.5829
Nucleus accumbens R	0.0963	0.0360	-0.0092	0.2080	0.1055	0.0300	-0.0920	0.2640

Visuospatial memory

Thalamus L	-0.0537	<0.0001	-0.0001	0.9560	-0.0536	<0.0001	0.0011	0.9560
Thalamus R	-0.0536	<0.0001	0.0000	0.9560	-0.0536	<0.0001	0.0000	0.9560
Caudate L	-0.0536	<0.0001	-0.0011	0.1820	-0.0525	<0.0001	0.0196	0.1820
Caudate R	-0.0535	<0.0001	-0.0004	0.4916	-0.0531	<0.0001	0.0079	0.4916
Putamen L	-0.0539	<0.0001	-0.0021	0.1820	-0.0517	<0.0001	0.0395	0.1820
Putamen R	-0.0540	<0.0001	-0.0020	0.4916	-0.0520	<0.0001	0.0362	0.4916
Pallidum L	-0.0537	<0.0001	-0.0006	0.4916	-0.0531	<0.0001	0.0098	0.4916
Pallidum R	-0.0541	<0.0001	-0.0010	0.4916	-0.0531	<0.0001	0.0187	0.4916
Hippocampus L	-0.0544	<0.0001	-0.0007	0.4916	-0.0537	<0.0001	0.0127	0.4916
Hippocampus R	-0.0547	<0.0001	-0.0004	0.4916	-0.0543	<0.0001	0.0072	0.4916
Amygdala L	-0.0540	<0.0001	0.0008	0.7420	-0.0549	<0.0001	-0.0145	0.7420
Amygdala R	-0.0536	<0.0001	0.0016	0.4916	-0.0553	<0.0001	-0.0300	0.4916
Nucleus accumbens L	-0.0540	<0.0001	-0.0007	0.7331	-0.0533	<0.0001	0.0131	0.7331
Nucleus accumbens R	-0.0535	<0.0001	-0.0013	0.6636	-0.0522	<0.0001	0.0235	0.6636

Waist-to-hip ratio

Working memory

Thalamus L	-0.0535	0.0043	0.0004	0.5787	-0.0540	0.0043	-0.0066	0.5787
Thalamus R	-0.0540	0.0031	-0.0005	0.5787	-0.0535	0.0028	0.0077	0.5787
Caudate L	-0.0537	0.0031	-0.0003	0.7687	-0.0534	0.0028	0.0053	0.7713
Caudate R	-0.0534	<0.0001	-0.0010	0.5787	-0.0524	<0.0001	0.0169	0.5787
Putamen L	-0.0536	<0.0001	0.0003	0.6244	-0.0539	<0.0001	-0.0037	0.6244
Putamen R	-0.0525	0.0031	0.0010	0.5787	-0.0536	0.0028	-0.0180	0.5787
Pallidum L	-0.0537	0.0043	-0.0001	0.9025	-0.0537	0.0028	0.0006	0.9025
Pallidum R	-0.0529	0.0043	0.0000	0.9520	-0.0530	0.0043	-0.0003	0.9520

Hippocampus L	-0.0528	<0.0001	0.0009	0.5787	-0.0536	<0.0001	-0.0155	0.5787
Hippocampus R	-0.0528	0.0043	0.0007	0.5787	-0.0535	0.0043	-0.0123	0.5787
Amygdala L	-0.0536	<0.0001	0.0005	0.7957	-0.0541	<0.0001	-0.0086	0.7957
Amygdala R	-0.0529	0.0031	0.0014	0.5787	-0.0543	0.0028	-0.0258	0.5787
Nucleus accumbens L	-0.0530	0.0080	0.0012	0.5787	-0.0542	0.0060	-0.0217	0.5787
Nucleus accumbens R	-0.0530	<0.0001	0.0008	0.5787	-0.0538	<0.0001	-0.0142	0.5787

Fluid intelligence

Thalamus L	-0.0410	<0.0001	0.0013	0.1260	-0.0423	<0.0001	-0.0301	0.1260
Thalamus R	-0.0420	<0.0001	-0.0012	0.1493	-0.0408	<0.0001	0.0273	0.1493
Caudate L	-0.0416	<0.0001	0.0003	0.6360	-0.0419	<0.0001	-0.0059	0.6360
Caudate R	-0.0422	<0.0001	-0.0001	0.7249	-0.0421	<0.0001	0.0025	0.7249
Putamen L	-0.0423	<0.0001	0.0004	0.2427	-0.0427	<0.0001	-0.0089	0.2427
Putamen R	-0.0419	<0.0001	0.0011	0.2427	-0.0430	<0.0001	-0.0249	0.2427
Pallidum L	-0.0423	<0.0001	0.0001	0.7980	-0.0424	<0.0001	-0.0014	0.7980
Pallidum R	-0.0420	<0.0001	0.0000	0.8658	-0.0420	<0.0001	-0.0007	0.8658
Hippocampus L	-0.0421	<0.0001	0.0008	0.1260	-0.0429	<0.0001	-0.0188	0.1260
Hippocampus R	-0.0422	<0.0001	0.0008	0.1890	-0.0430	<0.0001	-0.0183	0.1890
Amygdala L	-0.0414	<0.0001	-0.0001	0.9060	-0.0413	<0.0001	0.0025	0.9060
Amygdala R	-0.0425	<0.0001	-0.0003	0.7980	-0.0422	<0.0001	0.0076	0.7980
Nucleus accumbens L	-0.0421	<0.0001	0.0003	0.7980	-0.0423	<0.0001	-0.0064	0.7980
Nucleus accumbens R	-0.0422	<0.0001	-0.0005	0.7249	-0.0418	<0.0001	0.0106	0.7249

Prospective memory

Thalamus L	0.0499	<0.0001	-0.0004	0.3864	0.0504	<0.0001	-0.0077	0.3864
Thalamus R	0.0502	0.0020	0.0005	0.3547	0.0497	0.0020	0.0082	0.3640
Caudate L	0.0493	<0.0001	-0.0002	0.6526	0.0495	<0.0001	-0.0040	0.6526
Caudate R	0.0503	<0.0001	0.0003	0.6200	0.0500	<0.0001	0.0049	0.6200
Putamen L	0.0501	<0.0001	-0.0003	0.5180	0.0505	<0.0001	-0.0062	0.5180
Putamen R	0.0500	0.0020	-0.0005	0.6526	0.0505	0.0020	-0.0094	0.6526
Pallidum L	0.0490	<0.0001	-0.0002	0.6526	0.0492	<0.0001	-0.0029	0.6526
Pallidum R	0.0502	<0.0001	0.0001	0.6526	0.0501	<0.0001	0.0018	0.6526

Hippocampus L	0.0493	<0.0001	0.0001	0.7640	0.0492	<0.0001	0.0017	0.7640
Hippocampus R	0.0496	<0.0001	-0.0002	0.6526	0.0498	<0.0001	-0.0025	0.6526
Amygdala L	0.0491	<0.0001	0.0035	<0.0001	0.0456	0.0020	0.0695	<0.0001
Amygdala R	0.0489	<0.0001	0.0033	<0.0001	0.0457	<0.0001	0.0665	<0.0001
Nucleus accumbens L	0.0498	<0.0001	-0.0010	0.3864	0.0508	<0.0001	-0.0191	0.3864
Nucleus accumbens R	0.0502	<0.0001	-0.0004	0.6526	0.0506	<0.0001	-0.0077	0.6526
Reaction time								
Thalamus L	0.0363	0.0040	0.0003	0.4262	0.0360	0.0040	0.0077	0.4262
Thalamus R	0.0369	<0.0001	-0.0001	0.7280	0.0370	<0.0001	-0.0021	0.7280
Caudate L	0.0363	0.0025	0.0000	0.8960	0.0363	0.0031	0.0002	0.8940
Caudate R	0.0371	0.0025	-0.0001	0.7187	0.0371	0.0031	-0.0013	0.7210
Putamen L	0.0370	0.0040	0.0005	0.1470	0.0366	0.0040	0.0113	0.1540
Putamen R	0.0369	<0.0001	0.0013	0.0880	0.0356	<0.0001	0.0330	0.0920
Pallidum L	0.0367	0.0025	-0.0002	0.5936	0.0368	0.0031	-0.0032	0.5964
Pallidum R	0.0359	<0.0001	-0.0001	0.7178	0.0360	<0.0001	-0.0023	0.7178
Hippocampus L	0.0368	<0.0001	0.0010	0.0056	0.0358	<0.0001	0.0278	0.0070
Hippocampus R	0.0377	0.0025	0.0007	0.0880	0.0371	0.0031	0.0159	0.0920
Amygdala L	0.0367	0.0025	0.0050	<0.0001	0.0317	0.0040	0.1348	0.0070
Amygdala R	0.0369	<0.0001	0.0036	<0.0001	0.0333	0.0040	0.0984	<0.0001
Nucleus accumbens L	0.0365	0.0040	0.0029	<0.0001	0.0335	0.0040	0.0800	0.0112
Nucleus accumbens R	0.0368	0.0025	0.0034	<0.0001	0.0334	0.0031	0.0929	0.0070

ACME – average causal mediation effect, ADE – average direct effect.

Table S9 Mediation effects of white matter hyperintensities on the associations between body mass index and cortical thickness for each DKT atlas parcel.

Parcel	Total effect estimate	Total effect p-value	ACME estimate	ACME value	p- ADE estimate	ADE value	p- Proportion mediated	Proportion p-value
Caudal anterior cingulate L	-0.0357	<0.0001	-0.0064	<0.0001	-0.0293	0.0048	0.1786	<0.0001
Caudal middle frontal L	0.0119	0.2237	-0.0025	0.0267	0.0144	0.1153	-0.1689	0.2843
Cuneus L	0.0914	<0.0001	-0.0009	0.4639	0.0923	<0.0001	-0.0101	0.5382
Entorhinal L	-0.0563	<0.0001	-0.0052	<0.0001	-0.0511	<0.0001	0.0927	<0.0001
Fusiform L	-0.0269	0.0038	-0.0085	<0.0001	-0.0184	0.0541	0.3160	0.0056
Inferior parietal L	0.0152	0.0847	-0.0069	<0.0001	0.0221	0.0086	-0.4346	0.1052
Inferior temporal L	-0.0438	<0.0001	-0.0076	<0.0001	-0.0362	<0.0001	0.1717	<0.0001
Isthmus cingulate L	-0.0037	0.6894	-0.0003	0.7812	-0.0034	0.7353	0.0175	0.9120
Lateral occipital L	0.0984	<0.0001	-0.0001	0.9120	0.0985	<0.0001	-0.0011	0.9120
Lateral orbitofrontal L	-0.0098	0.3050	-0.0097	<0.0001	-0.0001	0.9960	0.7828	0.3389
Lingual L	0.0724	<0.0001	0.0049	<0.0001	0.0675	<0.0001	0.0677	<0.0001
Medial orbitofrontal L	-0.0181	0.0682	-0.0061	<0.0001	-0.0119	0.2248	0.3183	0.0880
Middle temporal L	-0.0348	<0.0001	-0.0069	<0.0001	-0.0279	<0.0001	0.1982	<0.0001
Parahippocampal L	-0.0281	<0.0001	-0.0082	<0.0001	-0.0199	0.0086	0.2909	<0.0001
Paracentral L	-0.0279	0.0038	-0.0154	<0.0001	-0.0126	0.1779	0.5437	0.0056
Pars opercularis L	-0.0072	0.4492	-0.0096	<0.0001	0.0025	0.8017	0.8618	0.4859
Pars orbitalis L	-0.0229	0.0073	-0.0092	<0.0001	-0.0137	0.1522	0.4031	0.0108
Pars triangularis L	0.0171	0.0555	-0.0078	<0.0001	0.0249	0.0116	-0.4434	0.0727
Pericalcarine L	0.0888	<0.0001	0.0012	0.2591	0.0875	<0.0001	0.0137	0.3297
Postcentral L	0.0531	<0.0001	-0.0051	<0.0001	0.0581	<0.0001	-0.0952	<0.0001
Posterior cingulate L	-0.0106	0.2609	-0.0034	0.0027	-0.0072	0.4668	0.2601	0.3011
Precentral L	-0.0091	0.3185	-0.0125	<0.0001	0.0034	0.7353	1.0473	0.3531
Precuneus L	0.0283	<0.0001	-0.0022	0.0548	0.0304	<0.0001	-0.0782	0.0891
Rostral anterior cingulate L	-0.0340	<0.0001	-0.0114	<0.0001	-0.0226	0.0219	0.3387	<0.0001
Rostral middle frontal L	0.0568	<0.0001	-0.0032	0.0027	0.0600	<0.0001	-0.0561	0.0056
Superior frontal L	0.0161	0.0856	-0.0032	0.0027	0.0194	0.0413	-0.1894	0.1094

Superior parietal L	0.0696	<0.0001	0.0019	0.0992	0.0677	<0.0001	0.0271	0.1516
Superior temporal L	-0.0537	<0.0001	-0.0123	<0.0001	-0.0414	<0.0001	0.2305	<0.0001
Supramarginal L	-0.0094	0.2834	-0.0114	<0.0001	0.0020	0.8638	0.9696	0.3230
Transverse temporal L	0.0051	0.6290	-0.0019	0.0842	0.0070	0.4866	-0.1366	0.6889
Insula L	-0.0715	<0.0001	-0.0220	<0.0001	-0.0494	<0.0001	0.3074	<0.0001
Caudal anterior cingulate R	0.0014	0.8960	-0.0059	<0.0001	0.0073	0.4698	-0.3615	0.9120
Caudal middle frontal R	0.0192	0.0207	-0.0010	0.3851	0.0202	0.0116	-0.0504	0.4702
Cuneus R	0.0891	<0.0001	-0.0005	0.6999	0.0896	<0.0001	-0.0055	0.7374
Entorhinal R	-0.0223	0.0142	-0.0068	<0.0001	-0.0155	0.1063	0.3010	0.0198
Fusiform R	-0.0205	0.0302	-0.0028	0.0127	-0.0177	0.0469	0.1363	0.0620
Inferior parietal R	0.0445	<0.0001	-0.0078	<0.0001	0.0523	<0.0001	-0.1754	<0.0001
Inferior temporal R	-0.0050	0.6085	-0.0039	0.0027	-0.0012	0.9197	0.3346	0.6467
Isthmus cingulate R	-0.0042	0.6526	-0.0042	<0.0001	0.0000	0.9960	0.3641	0.6889
Lateral occipital R	0.0971	<0.0001	0.0020	0.0873	0.0951	<0.0001	0.0205	0.1346
Lateral orbitofrontal R	0.0133	0.1775	-0.0087	<0.0001	0.0219	0.0150	-0.5820	0.2056
Lingual R	0.0771	<0.0001	0.0067	<0.0001	0.0704	<0.0001	0.0871	<0.0001
Medial orbitofrontal R	-0.0117	0.2322	-0.0057	<0.0001	-0.0060	0.5144	0.4181	0.2728
Middle temporal R	0.0045	0.6311	-0.0049	<0.0001	0.0094	0.3062	-0.4303	0.6796
Parahippocampal R	-0.0262	0.0038	-0.0059	<0.0001	-0.0204	0.0413	0.2238	0.0056
Paracentral R	-0.0276	<0.0001	-0.0155	<0.0001	-0.0122	0.1779	0.5629	<0.0001
Pars opercularis R	0.0074	0.4340	-0.0096	<0.0001	0.0170	0.0522	-0.8550	0.4702
Pars orbitalis R	0.0020	0.8416	-0.0100	<0.0001	0.0121	0.1952	-0.7145	0.8851
Pars triangularis R	0.0273	<0.0001	-0.0072	<0.0001	0.0345	<0.0001	-0.2656	<0.0001
Pericalcarine R	0.0993	<0.0001	0.0029	0.0127	0.0964	<0.0001	0.0296	0.0238
Postcentral R	0.0650	<0.0001	-0.0056	<0.0001	0.0706	<0.0001	-0.0861	<0.0001
Posterior cingulate R	-0.0119	0.2149	-0.0043	<0.0001	-0.0075	0.4340	0.3222	0.2480
Precentral R	0.0033	0.6894	-0.0108	<0.0001	0.0141	0.0817	-0.9968	0.7374
Precuneus R	0.0328	<0.0001	-0.0038	<0.0001	0.0366	<0.0001	-0.1153	<0.0001
Rostral anterior cingulate R	-0.0034	0.7213	-0.0083	<0.0001	0.0049	0.6290	0.6876	0.7592
Rostral middle frontal R	0.0708	<0.0001	-0.0028	0.0174	0.0736	<0.0001	-0.0391	0.0321

Superior frontal R	0.0270	<0.0001	-0.0031	0.0079	0.0302	<0.0001	-0.1154	0.0155
Superior parietal R	0.0940	<0.0001	-0.0001	0.9120	0.0941	<0.0001	-0.0012	0.9120
Superior temporal R	-0.0356	<0.0001	-0.0124	<0.0001	-0.0231	0.0086	0.3510	<0.0001
Supramarginal R	0.0138	0.1413	-0.0120	<0.0001	0.0258	<0.0001	-0.8240	0.1642
Transverse temporal R	0.0170	0.0682	-0.0064	<0.0001	0.0234	0.0116	-0.3582	0.0880
Insula R	-0.0410	<0.0001	-0.0222	<0.0001	-0.0188	0.0558	0.5396	<0.0001

ACME – average causal mediation effect, ADE – average direct effect.

Table S10 Mediation effects of white matter hyperintensities on the associations between body mass index and cortical volume for each DKT atlas parcel.

Parcel	Total effect estimate	Total effect p-value	ACME estimate	ACME value	p- ADE estimate	ADE value	p- Proportion mediated	Proportion p-value
Caudal anterior cingulate L	0.0010	0.9493	-0.0056	<0.0001	0.0067	0.6464	-0.3205	0.9620
Caudal middle frontal L	-0.0081	0.4842	-0.0024	0.0310	-0.0057	0.6484	0.1875	0.5167
Cuneus L	0.0097	0.3599	-0.0039	<0.0001	0.0135	0.1899	-0.3103	0.3689
Entorhinal L	-0.0049	0.6735	0.0009	0.4113	-0.0058	0.6464	-0.0573	0.8899
Fusiform L	-0.0353	<0.0001	-0.0079	<0.0001	-0.0274	0.0089	0.2240	<0.0001
Inferior parietal L	-0.0639	<0.0001	-0.0091	<0.0001	-0.0548	<0.0001	0.1415	<0.0001
Inferior temporal L	-0.0506	<0.0001	-0.0086	<0.0001	-0.0419	<0.0001	0.1697	<0.0001
Isthmus cingulate L	0.0141	0.1356	-0.0030	0.0097	0.0171	0.0809	-0.2011	0.1529
Lateral occipital L	0.0100	0.3599	-0.0045	<0.0001	0.0145	0.1653	-0.3457	0.3689
Lateral orbitofrontal L	-0.0464	<0.0001	-0.0082	<0.0001	-0.0382	<0.0001	0.1748	<0.0001
Lingual L	0.0152	0.1356	-0.0022	0.0513	0.0174	0.1042	-0.1355	0.2090
Medial orbitofrontal L	-0.0487	<0.0001	-0.0074	<0.0001	-0.0413	<0.0001	0.1519	<0.0001
Middle temporal L	-0.0674	<0.0001	-0.0104	<0.0001	-0.0570	<0.0001	0.1534	<0.0001
Parahippocampal L	-0.0207	0.0362	-0.0058	<0.0001	-0.0149	0.1240	0.2764	0.0362
Paracentral L	-0.0152	0.0855	-0.0094	<0.0001	-0.0058	0.6464	0.6101	0.0855
Pars opercularis L	-0.0272	<0.0001	-0.0065	<0.0001	-0.0206	0.0372	0.2403	<0.0001
Pars orbitalis L	-0.0203	0.0382	-0.0058	<0.0001	-0.0146	0.1653	0.2834	0.0382
Pars triangularis L	-0.0143	0.1422	-0.0066	<0.0001	-0.0077	0.4627	0.4431	0.1560
Pericalcarine L	0.0049	0.6868	-0.0040	<0.0001	0.0089	0.4340	-0.3124	0.7288
Postcentral L	-0.0133	0.2032	-0.0081	<0.0001	-0.0052	0.7027	0.5505	0.2090
Posterior cingulate L	0.0000	0.9620	-0.0062	<0.0001	0.0062	0.6464	-0.3413	0.9620
Precentral L	-0.0327	0.0065	-0.0121	<0.0001	-0.0206	0.0219	0.3676	0.0065
Precuneus L	-0.0011	0.9493	-0.0027	0.0184	0.0015	0.9127	0.1407	0.9620
Rostral anterior cingulate L	-0.0069	0.5676	-0.0057	<0.0001	-0.0012	0.9127	0.4857	0.5805
Rostral middle frontal L	-0.0009	0.9493	-0.0028	0.0097	0.0020	0.8636	0.1470	0.9620
Superior frontal L	0.0021	0.8956	-0.0059	<0.0001	0.0080	0.4871	-0.4644	0.9300

Superior parietal L	-0.0151	0.1356	-0.0026	0.0184	-0.0125	0.2152	0.1660	0.1666
Superior temporal L	-0.0344	<0.0001	-0.0098	<0.0001	-0.0245	<0.0001	0.2861	<0.0001
Supramarginal L	-0.0292	0.0065	-0.0066	<0.0001	-0.0226	0.0276	0.2277	0.0065
Transverse temporal L	0.0053	0.6735	-0.0042	<0.0001	0.0095	0.4079	-0.3417	0.7156
Insula L	-0.0257	0.0113	-0.0056	<0.0001	-0.0201	0.0326	0.2163	0.0118
Caudal anterior cingulate R	0.0076	0.5047	-0.0059	<0.0001	0.0134	0.2029	-0.5048	0.5167
Caudal middle frontal R	-0.0053	0.6728	-0.0032	0.0076	-0.0021	0.8614	0.2732	0.6999
Cuneus R	0.0203	0.0382	-0.0041	<0.0001	0.0244	0.0089	-0.2040	0.0382
Entorhinal R	-0.0046	0.6735	-0.0002	0.8820	-0.0044	0.7027	0.0106	0.9620
Fusiform R	-0.0346	<0.0001	-0.0061	<0.0001	-0.0285	<0.0001	0.1767	<0.0001
Inferior parietal R	-0.0310	<0.0001	-0.0077	<0.0001	-0.0233	0.0165	0.2493	<0.0001
Inferior temporal R	-0.0235	<0.0001	-0.0054	<0.0001	-0.0181	0.0650	0.2300	<0.0001
Isthmus cingulate R	0.0267	0.0113	-0.0035	0.0052	0.0303	0.0089	-0.1366	0.0216
Lateral occipital R	0.0231	0.0162	-0.0046	<0.0001	0.0277	0.0089	-0.1995	0.0169
Lateral orbitofrontal R	-0.0474	<0.0001	-0.0085	<0.0001	-0.0390	<0.0001	0.1786	<0.0001
Lingual R	0.0139	0.1771	-0.0002	0.8820	0.0141	0.1680	-0.0102	0.9620
Medial orbitofrontal R	-0.0377	<0.0001	-0.0094	<0.0001	-0.0283	<0.0001	0.2478	<0.0001
Middle temporal R	-0.0366	<0.0001	-0.0085	<0.0001	-0.0281	<0.0001	0.2348	<0.0001
Parahippocampal R	-0.0216	0.0113	-0.0049	<0.0001	-0.0166	0.0982	0.2326	0.0118
Paracentral R	-0.0103	0.3402	-0.0091	<0.0001	-0.0012	0.9127	0.7272	0.3492
Pars opercularis R	-0.0119	0.2514	-0.0073	<0.0001	-0.0046	0.7027	0.5331	0.2583
Pars orbitalis R	-0.0222	0.0065	-0.0072	<0.0001	-0.0151	0.1506	0.3235	0.0065
Pars triangularis R	-0.0048	0.6735	-0.0058	<0.0001	0.0011	0.9127	0.5255	0.7156
Pericalcarine R	0.0148	0.1422	-0.0023	0.0348	0.0171	0.1240	-0.1454	0.2029
Postcentral R	0.0010	0.9493	-0.0080	<0.0001	0.0090	0.4340	-0.5988	0.9620
Posterior cingulate R	-0.0176	0.0753	-0.0060	<0.0001	-0.0116	0.3189	0.3331	0.0753
Precentral R	-0.0311	0.0065	-0.0117	<0.0001	-0.0193	0.0676	0.3767	0.0065
Precuneus R	-0.0056	0.6728	-0.0026	0.0167	-0.0030	0.8496	0.2050	0.7009
Rostral anterior cingulate R	-0.0040	0.7510	-0.0062	<0.0001	0.0022	0.8614	0.5356	0.7961
Rostral middle frontal R	-0.0017	0.9493	-0.0019	0.0694	0.0002	0.9320	0.0861	0.9620

Superior frontal R	-0.0015	0.9493	-0.0050	<0.0001	0.0035	0.7885	0.3467	0.9620
Superior parietal R	0.0074	0.5495	-0.0027	0.0271	0.0101	0.3548	-0.2125	0.5805
Superior temporal R	-0.0326	<0.0001	-0.0095	<0.0001	-0.0231	0.0219	0.2961	<0.0001
Supramarginal R	-0.0181	0.0505	-0.0082	<0.0001	-0.0098	0.4079	0.4505	0.0505
Transverse temporal R	0.0008	0.9493	-0.0059	<0.0001	0.0067	0.5912	-0.3463	0.9620
Insula R	-0.0107	0.3231	-0.0065	<0.0001	-0.0041	0.7535	0.5122	0.3318

ACME – average causal mediation effect, ADE – average direct effect.

Table S11 Mediation effects of white matter hyperintensities on the associations between waist-to-hip ratio and cortical thickness for each DKT atlas parcel.

Parcel	Total effect estimate	Total effect p-value	ACME estimate	ACME value	p- ADE estimate	ADE value	p- Proportion mediated	Proportion p-value
Caudal anterior cingulate L	-0.0479	<0.0001	-0.0091	<0.0001	-0.0388	0.0054	0.1876	<0.0001
Caudal middle frontal L	-0.0153	0.2111	-0.0030	0.0668	-0.0124	0.3467	0.1634	0.2875
Cuneus L	0.0665	<0.0001	-0.0003	0.8619	0.0668	<0.0001	-0.0053	0.9129
Entorhinal L	-0.0686	<0.0001	-0.0074	<0.0001	-0.0612	<0.0001	0.1086	<0.0001
Fusiform L	-0.0624	<0.0001	-0.0114	<0.0001	-0.0510	<0.0001	0.1850	<0.0001
Inferior parietal L	0.0056	0.6264	-0.0094	<0.0001	0.0150	0.2450	-0.6403	0.6606
Inferior temporal L	-0.0728	<0.0001	-0.0104	<0.0001	-0.0624	<0.0001	0.1417	<0.0001
Isthmus cingulate L	-0.0138	0.2951	-0.0003	0.8619	-0.0135	0.3245	0.0182	0.9129
Lateral occipital L	0.0708	<0.0001	0.0009	0.6011	0.0699	<0.0001	0.0123	0.6606
Lateral orbitofrontal L	-0.0208	0.1096	-0.0136	<0.0001	-0.0073	0.5558	0.6210	0.1240
Lingual L	0.0601	<0.0001	0.0076	<0.0001	0.0525	<0.0001	0.1259	<0.0001
Medial orbitofrontal L	-0.0416	<0.0001	-0.0083	<0.0001	-0.0334	0.0103	0.1972	<0.0001
Middle temporal L	-0.0564	<0.0001	-0.0095	<0.0001	-0.0469	<0.0001	0.1687	<0.0001
Parahippocampal L	-0.0303	<0.0001	-0.0117	<0.0001	-0.0186	0.1616	0.3883	<0.0001
Paracentral L	-0.0438	<0.0001	-0.0216	<0.0001	-0.0222	0.0964	0.4880	<0.0001
Pars opercularis L	-0.0276	0.0165	-0.0133	<0.0001	-0.0144	0.2624	0.4812	0.0225
Pars orbitalis L	-0.0218	0.0858	-0.0132	<0.0001	-0.0086	0.5231	0.5885	0.1015
Pars triangularis L	-0.0068	0.6156	-0.0105	<0.0001	0.0037	0.7419	0.6795	0.6606
Pericalcarine L	0.0773	<0.0001	0.0025	0.1174	0.0748	<0.0001	0.0316	0.1643
Postcentral L	0.0292	0.0225	-0.0064	<0.0001	0.0357	0.0054	-0.2188	0.0298
Posterior cingulate L	-0.0250	0.0496	-0.0046	0.0081	-0.0205	0.1447	0.1784	0.0680
Precentral L	-0.0378	<0.0001	-0.0171	<0.0001	-0.0207	0.1326	0.4524	<0.0001
Precuneus L	0.0074	0.5614	-0.0025	0.1171	0.0099	0.4547	-0.1438	0.6606
Rostral anterior cingulate L	-0.0516	<0.0001	-0.0160	<0.0001	-0.0356	<0.0001	0.3135	<0.0001
Rostral middle frontal L	0.0157	0.2111	-0.0034	0.0267	0.0191	0.2019	-0.1865	0.2567
Superior frontal L	-0.0178	0.1127	-0.0038	0.0081	-0.0140	0.2818	0.2020	0.1367

Superior parietal L	0.0433	<0.0001	0.0036	0.0267	0.0397	<0.0001	0.0818	0.0487
Superior temporal L	-0.0839	<0.0001	-0.0171	<0.0001	-0.0668	<0.0001	0.2036	<0.0001
Supramarginal L	-0.0330	<0.0001	-0.0157	<0.0001	-0.0173	0.1787	0.4724	<0.0001
Transverse temporal L	-0.0080	0.5614	-0.0024	0.1174	-0.0055	0.6620	0.1377	0.6606
Insula L	-0.1042	<0.0001	-0.0309	<0.0001	-0.0733	<0.0001	0.2949	<0.0001
Caudal anterior cingulate R	-0.0177	0.1598	-0.0079	<0.0001	-0.0098	0.4547	0.4060	0.1754
Caudal middle frontal R	-0.0013	0.9220	-0.0009	0.5837	-0.0004	0.9800	0.0174	0.9160
Cuneus R	0.0892	<0.0001	-0.0003	0.8960	0.0895	<0.0001	-0.0023	0.9148
Entorhinal R	-0.0227	0.0816	-0.0097	<0.0001	-0.0131	0.3436	0.4111	0.0969
Fusiform R	-0.0277	0.0225	-0.0040	0.0129	-0.0237	0.0858	0.1448	0.0487
Inferior parietal R	0.0134	0.2784	-0.0102	<0.0001	0.0236	0.0645	-0.6039	0.3031
Inferior temporal R	-0.0115	0.3529	-0.0054	0.0029	-0.0062	0.6331	0.3282	0.3931
Isthmus cingulate R	-0.0205	0.0938	-0.0056	<0.0001	-0.0149	0.2624	0.2626	0.1098
Lateral occipital R	0.0856	<0.0001	0.0036	0.0129	0.0820	<0.0001	0.0424	0.0270
Lateral orbitofrontal R	0.0100	0.4141	-0.0121	<0.0001	0.0221	0.1151	-0.7895	0.4573
Lingual R	0.0756	<0.0001	0.0099	<0.0001	0.0657	<0.0001	0.1302	<0.0001
Medial orbitofrontal R	-0.0221	0.0974	-0.0079	<0.0001	-0.0142	0.2948	0.3315	0.1106
Middle temporal R	-0.0209	0.0899	-0.0065	<0.0001	-0.0145	0.2450	0.3032	0.1058
Parahippocampal R	-0.0260	0.0401	-0.0085	<0.0001	-0.0175	0.2044	0.3195	0.0487
Paracentral R	-0.0324	<0.0001	-0.0219	<0.0001	-0.0105	0.4304	0.6846	<0.0001
Pars opercularis R	-0.0237	0.0503	-0.0130	<0.0001	-0.0108	0.4216	0.5324	0.0620
Pars orbitalis R	0.0058	0.6264	-0.0143	<0.0001	0.0201	0.1616	-0.9576	0.6606
Pars triangularis R	0.0056	0.6473	-0.0097	<0.0001	0.0152	0.2447	-0.6161	0.6820
Pericalcarine R	0.1027	<0.0001	0.0046	0.0081	0.0981	<0.0001	0.0449	0.0177
Postcentral R	0.0343	0.0043	-0.0070	<0.0001	0.0413	<0.0001	-0.2008	0.0062
Posterior cingulate R	-0.0241	0.0503	-0.0060	<0.0001	-0.0181	0.1616	0.2464	0.0620
Precentral R	-0.0271	<0.0001	-0.0147	<0.0001	-0.0124	0.3181	0.5437	<0.0001
Precuneus R	0.0120	0.3307	-0.0048	0.0029	0.0168	0.2044	-0.2936	0.3693
Rostral anterior cingulate R	-0.0271	0.0225	-0.0113	<0.0001	-0.0158	0.2448	0.4164	0.0298
Rostral middle frontal R	0.0411	<0.0001	-0.0030	0.0749	0.0441	<0.0001	-0.0726	0.1102

Superior frontal R	0.0017	0.9114	-0.0038	0.0177	0.0055	0.6521	-0.1611	0.9148
Superior parietal R	0.0589	<0.0001	0.0011	0.5308	0.0578	<0.0001	0.0175	0.6175
Superior temporal R	-0.0565	<0.0001	-0.0174	<0.0001	-0.0391	<0.0001	0.3100	<0.0001
Supramarginal R	-0.0143	0.2273	-0.0164	<0.0001	0.0021	0.8761	0.9732	0.2567
Transverse temporal R	0.0013	0.9148	-0.0087	<0.0001	0.0100	0.4547	-0.4093	0.9148
Insula R	-0.0794	<0.0001	-0.0309	<0.0001	-0.0485	<0.0001	0.3867	<0.0001

ACME – average causal mediation effect, ADE – average direct effect.

Table S12 Mediation effects of white matter hyperintensities on the associations between waist-to-hip ratio and cortical volume for each DKT atlas parcel.

Parcel	Total effect estimate	Total effect p-value	ACME estimate	ACME value	p- ADE estimate	ADE value	p- Proportion mediated	Proportion p-value
Caudal anterior cingulate L	-0.0072	0.6770	-0.0080	<0.0001	0.0008	0.9798	0.4813	0.7053
Caudal middle frontal L	-0.0204	0.2170	-0.0033	0.0392	-0.0171	0.3617	0.1463	0.2875
Cuneus L	0.0070	0.6770	-0.0055	<0.0001	0.0125	0.4766	-0.3673	0.7053
Entorhinal L	-0.0059	0.7197	0.0013	0.4092	-0.0072	0.8171	-0.0529	0.8658
Fusiform L	-0.0245	0.1167	-0.0118	<0.0001	-0.0126	0.4766	0.4769	0.1240
Inferior parietal L	-0.0478	<0.0001	-0.0138	<0.0001	-0.0340	0.0207	0.2903	<0.0001
Inferior temporal L	-0.0438	<0.0001	-0.0129	<0.0001	-0.0309	0.0207	0.2968	<0.0001
Isthmus cingulate L	0.0128	0.4810	-0.0043	0.0097	0.0171	0.3617	-0.2536	0.4960
Lateral occipital L	0.0163	0.3422	-0.0066	<0.0001	0.0229	0.1653	-0.3643	0.3565
Lateral orbitofrontal L	-0.0144	0.3986	-0.0128	<0.0001	-0.0016	0.9437	0.7687	0.4133
Lingual L	0.0121	0.5031	-0.0030	0.0663	0.0152	0.4317	-0.1733	0.5614
Medial orbitofrontal L	-0.0475	<0.0001	-0.0109	<0.0001	-0.0366	0.0354	0.2291	<0.0001
Middle temporal L	-0.0624	<0.0001	-0.0155	<0.0001	-0.0468	<0.0001	0.2484	<0.0001
Parahippocampal L	-0.0157	0.3536	-0.0086	<0.0001	-0.0071	0.8171	0.4836	0.3672
Paracentral L	-0.0164	0.3422	-0.0137	<0.0001	-0.0027	0.9244	0.7392	0.3565
Pars opercularis L	-0.0054	0.7371	-0.0100	<0.0001	0.0047	0.8970	0.6626	0.7805
Pars orbitalis L	-0.0035	0.8323	-0.0088	<0.0001	0.0053	0.8970	0.4697	0.8658
Pars triangularis L	-0.0059	0.6770	-0.0098	<0.0001	0.0039	0.8970	0.7306	0.7053
Pericalcarine L	0.0108	0.5228	-0.0059	<0.0001	0.0166	0.3617	-0.3841	0.5527
Postcentral L	0.0097	0.5669	-0.0123	<0.0001	0.0220	0.2261	-0.8211	0.5850
Posterior cingulate L	0.0020	0.8845	-0.0090	<0.0001	0.0110	0.6448	-0.4624	0.8995
Precentral L	-0.0244	0.0853	-0.0179	<0.0001	-0.0065	0.8556	0.7290	0.0974
Precuneus L	0.0010	0.9412	-0.0039	0.0184	0.0049	0.8970	-0.1086	0.9371
Rostral anterior cingulate L	-0.0228	0.1566	-0.0080	<0.0001	-0.0148	0.4490	0.3447	0.1566
Rostral middle frontal L	-0.0027	0.8323	-0.0041	0.0097	0.0013	0.9437	0.1985	0.8658
Superior frontal L	-0.0092	0.5834	-0.0082	<0.0001	-0.0009	0.9798	0.5362	0.6111

Superior parietal L	-0.0074	0.6739	-0.0040	0.0164	-0.0034	0.9157	0.2543	0.7053
Superior temporal L	-0.0403	<0.0001	-0.0143	<0.0001	-0.0260	0.1240	0.3580	<0.0001
Supramarginal L	-0.0387	<0.0001	-0.0096	<0.0001	-0.0291	0.1116	0.2462	<0.0001
Transverse temporal L	0.0136	0.4185	-0.0063	<0.0001	0.0198	0.2261	-0.3737	0.4464
Insula L	-0.0148	0.4185	-0.0084	<0.0001	-0.0063	0.8589	0.4685	0.4464
Caudal anterior cingulate R	-0.0099	0.5659	-0.0081	<0.0001	-0.0018	0.9437	0.5562	0.5808
Caudal middle frontal R	-0.0070	0.6770	-0.0046	0.0076	-0.0024	0.9244	0.2906	0.7053
Cuneus R	0.0313	0.0338	-0.0061	<0.0001	0.0374	<0.0001	-0.1985	0.0338
Entorhinal R	0.0098	0.5669	-0.0006	0.7623	0.0104	0.6448	-0.0323	0.8787
Fusiform R	-0.0152	0.3536	-0.0094	<0.0001	-0.0057	0.8970	0.5484	0.3672
Inferior parietal R	-0.0185	0.2426	-0.0116	<0.0001	-0.0069	0.8171	0.5775	0.2657
Inferior temporal R	-0.0115	0.5098	-0.0082	<0.0001	-0.0033	0.9157	0.5226	0.5398
Isthmus cingulate R	0.0293	0.0853	-0.0050	0.0052	0.0343	0.0551	-0.1729	0.1075
Lateral occipital R	0.0466	<0.0001	-0.0070	<0.0001	0.0536	<0.0001	-0.1522	<0.0001
Lateral orbitofrontal R	-0.0219	0.1566	-0.0130	<0.0001	-0.0088	0.7130	0.5775	0.1566
Lingual R	0.0205	0.2303	-0.0003	0.8540	0.0208	0.2342	-0.0138	0.9217
Medial orbitofrontal R	-0.0363	<0.0001	-0.0138	<0.0001	-0.0225	0.1949	0.3844	<0.0001
Middle temporal R	-0.0448	<0.0001	-0.0124	<0.0001	-0.0324	<0.0001	0.2785	<0.0001
Parahippocampal R	-0.0103	0.5580	-0.0075	<0.0001	-0.0028	0.9157	0.4980	0.5731
Paracentral R	0.0075	0.6586	-0.0137	<0.0001	0.0212	0.2232	-0.9253	0.6892
Pars opercularis R	-0.0057	0.7371	-0.0107	<0.0001	0.0050	0.8970	0.6537	0.7805
Pars orbitalis R	0.0055	0.7371	-0.0111	<0.0001	0.0165	0.3617	-0.6775	0.7805
Pars triangularis R	0.0123	0.4814	-0.0088	<0.0001	0.0211	0.1949	-0.5433	0.4960
Pericalcarine R	0.0315	0.0413	-0.0036	0.0288	0.0351	0.0551	-0.1140	0.1240
Postcentral R	0.0044	0.7553	-0.0116	<0.0001	0.0161	0.4317	-0.7526	0.7988
Posterior cingulate R	-0.0269	0.0572	-0.0087	<0.0001	-0.0182	0.2806	0.3185	0.0620
Precentral R	-0.0194	0.2311	-0.0174	<0.0001	-0.0021	0.9256	0.8474	0.2542
Precuneus R	0.0091	0.5834	-0.0041	0.0119	0.0132	0.4766	-0.2504	0.6111
Rostral anterior cingulate R	-0.0090	0.5669	-0.0089	<0.0001	-0.0001	0.9800	0.6213	0.5952
Rostral middle frontal R	0.0005	0.9460	-0.0028	0.0715	0.0033	0.9157	-0.0499	0.9540

Superior frontal R	-0.0042	0.7883	-0.0072	<0.0001	0.0030	0.9244	0.4248	0.8329
Superior parietal R	0.0134	0.4185	-0.0040	0.0271	0.0174	0.3472	-0.2393	0.4560
Superior temporal R	-0.0284	0.0797	-0.0141	<0.0001	-0.0144	0.4384	0.4915	0.0858
Supramarginal R	-0.0277	0.0338	-0.0118	<0.0001	-0.0159	0.3918	0.4266	0.0338
Transverse temporal R	0.0031	0.8323	-0.0086	<0.0001	0.0117	0.5185	-0.4984	0.8658
Insula R	-0.0140	0.4185	-0.0095	<0.0001	-0.0045	0.9157	0.5708	0.4464

ACME – average causal mediation effect, ADE – average direct effect.

Table S13 Mediation effects of white matter hyperintensities on the associations between body mass index and subcortical volumes, and waist-to-hip ratio and subcortical volumes.

Parcel	Total effect estimate	Total effect p-value	ACME estimate	ACME value	p- ADE estimate	ADE value	p- Proportion mediated	Proportion p-value
Body mass index								
Thalamus L	-0.0316	0.0025	0.0021	0.0789	-0.0336	<0.0001	-0.0645	0.0896
Thalamus R	0.0098	0.2100	0.0060	<0.0001	0.0038	0.6360	0.4949	0.2262
Caudate L	-0.0322	0.0025	0.0584	<0.0001	-0.0906	<0.0001	-1.8181	0.0040
Caudate R	-0.0210	0.0194	0.0583	<0.0001	-0.0794	<0.0001	-2.7101	0.0315
Putamen L	-0.0681	<0.0001	0.0052	<0.0001	-0.0733	<0.0001	-0.0760	<0.0001
Putamen R	-0.1140	<0.0001	0.0025	0.0420	-0.1165	<0.0001	-0.0219	0.0467
Pallidum L	-0.0494	<0.0001	0.0076	<0.0001	-0.0570	<0.0001	-0.1522	<0.0001
Pallidum R	-0.0522	<0.0001	0.0127	<0.0001	-0.0650	<0.0001	-0.2452	<0.0001
Hippocampus L	-0.0419	<0.0001	0.0003	0.7960	-0.0422	<0.0001	-0.0075	0.7960
Hippocampus R	-0.0201	0.0140	0.0017	0.1400	-0.0218	<0.0001	-0.0846	0.1587
Amygdala L	-0.1081	<0.0001	-0.0086	<0.0001	-0.0995	<0.0001	0.0794	<0.0001
Amygdala R	-0.1065	<0.0001	-0.0081	<0.0001	-0.0984	<0.0001	0.0758	<0.0001
Nucleus accumbens L	-0.0927	<0.0001	-0.0037	<0.0001	-0.0890	<0.0001	0.0392	<0.0001
Nucleus accumbens R	-0.1100	<0.0001	-0.0017	0.1400	-0.1083	<0.0001	0.0154	0.1587
Waist-to-hip ratio								
Thalamus L	-0.0260	0.0249	0.0026	0.1171	-0.0286	0.0153	-0.0993	0.2080
Thalamus R	0.0338	0.0160	0.0080	<0.0001	0.0258	0.0260	0.2376	0.0280
Caudate L	-0.0073	0.5200	0.0817	<0.0001	-0.0890	<0.0001	-5.3111	0.5600
Caudate R	0.0139	0.2218	0.0814	<0.0001	-0.0675	<0.0001	4.9106	0.2403
Putamen L	-0.0303	0.0210	0.0062	<0.0001	-0.0365	0.0028	-0.2028	0.0336
Putamen R	-0.0720	<0.0001	0.0021	0.1895	-0.0740	<0.0001	-0.0288	0.2240
Pallidum L	-0.0181	0.1578	0.0098	<0.0001	-0.0279	0.0187	-0.4810	0.2147
Pallidum R	-0.0179	0.1610	0.0170	<0.0001	-0.0349	0.0028	-0.8436	0.2147
Hippocampus L	-0.0340	0.0093	0.0000	0.9780	-0.0340	0.0028	-0.0009	0.9820
Hippocampus R	-0.0224	0.0448	0.0023	0.1470	-0.0247	0.0194	-0.1028	0.2212

Amygdala L	-0.0879	<0.0001	-0.0131	<0.0001	-0.0747	<0.0001	0.1490	<0.0001
Amygdala R	-0.0877	<0.0001	-0.0124	<0.0001	-0.0753	<0.0001	0.1404	<0.0001
Nucleus accumbens L	-0.0711	<0.0001	-0.0061	<0.0001	-0.0650	<0.0001	0.0856	<0.0001
Nucleus accumbens R	-0.0807	<0.0001	-0.0036	0.0280	-0.0772	<0.0001	0.0445	0.0467

ACME – average causal mediation effect, ADE – average direct effect.

Table S14 Associations between white matter hyperintensities, fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity.

White matter tract	FA		MD	
	p-value	estimate	p-value	estimate
Middle cerebral peduncle	<0.0001	-0.1871	<0.0001	-0.0578
Pontine crossing tract	<0.0001	-0.0989	0.0078	-0.0190
Genu of corpus callosum	<0.0001	-0.1530	<0.0001	0.1624
Body of corpus callosum	<0.0001	-0.1304	<0.0001	0.1799
Splenium of corpus callosum	<0.0001	-0.0438	<0.0001	0.0584
Fornix	<0.0001	-0.1160	<0.0001	0.0759
Corticospinal tract L	<0.0001	-0.0931	0.0044	-0.0202
Corticospinal tract R	<0.0001	-0.1048	0.1121	-0.0112
Medial lemniscus R	<0.0001	-0.1212	<0.0001	0.0412
Medial lemniscus L	<0.0001	-0.1157	<0.0001	0.0711
Inferior cerebellar peduncle R	<0.0001	-0.1750	<0.0001	-0.0304
Inferior cerebellar peduncle L	<0.0001	-0.1814	<0.0001	0.0385
Superior cerebellar peduncle R	<0.0001	-0.1295	<0.0001	-0.0836
Superior cerebellar peduncle L	<0.0001	-0.0976	<0.0001	-0.0759
Cerebral peduncle R	<0.0001	-0.0545	<0.0001	-0.0931
Cerebral peduncle L	<0.0001	-0.0814	<0.0001	-0.0402
Anterior limb of internal capsule R	<0.0001	-0.1302	<0.0001	0.1685
Anterior limb of internal capsule L	<0.0001	-0.1030	<0.0001	0.1159
Posterior limb of internal capsule R	<0.0001	-0.0419	0.0004	0.0258
Posterior limb of internal capsule L	0.0007	-0.0241	0.3987	-0.0060
Retrolenticular part of internal capsule R	<0.0001	-0.1086	<0.0001	0.1000
Retrolenticular part of internal capsule L	<0.0001	-0.1314	<0.0001	0.1308
Anterior corona radiata R	<0.0001	-0.2094	<0.0001	0.2909
Anterior corona radiata L	<0.0001	-0.2141	<0.0001	0.2787
Superior corona radiata R	<0.0001	-0.1231	<0.0001	0.2919
Superior corona radiata L	<0.0001	-0.1178	<0.0001	0.2968

Posterior corona radiata R	<0.0001	-0.1676	<0.0001	0.3186
Posterior corona radiata L	<0.0001	-0.1602	<0.0001	0.3098
Posterior thalamic radiation R	<0.0001	-0.2614	<0.0001	0.2267
Posterior thalamic radiation L	<0.0001	-0.2512	<0.0001	0.2436
Sagittal stratum R	<0.0001	-0.1838	<0.0001	0.0798
Sagittal stratum L	<0.0001	-0.1684	<0.0001	0.0862
External capsule R	<0.0001	-0.0990	<0.0001	0.0742
External capsule L	<0.0001	-0.0341	0.0001	0.0289
Cingulum cingulate gyrus R	<0.0001	-0.0430	<0.0001	0.1440
Cingulum cingulare gyrus L	<0.0001	-0.0436	<0.0001	0.1430
Cingulum hippocampus R	<0.0001	-0.0295	<0.0001	-0.0608
Cingulum hippocampus L	<0.0001	-0.0577	0.0006	-0.0243
Fornix cresstria terminalis R	<0.0001	-0.1342	<0.0001	0.0457
Fornix cresstria terminalis L	<0.0001	-0.1720	<0.0001	0.0527
Superior longitudinal fasciculus R	<0.0001	-0.1349	<0.0001	0.2229
Superior longitudinal fasciculus L	<0.0001	-0.1333	<0.0001	0.2183
Superior frontooccipital fasciculus R	<0.0001	-0.1573	<0.0001	0.2874
Superior frontooccipital fasciculus L	<0.0001	-0.1662	<0.0001	0.2715
Uncinate fasciculus R	<0.0001	-0.0302	<0.0001	-0.0466
Uncinate fasciculus L	0.0053	-0.0197	<0.0001	-0.0745
Tapetum R	<0.0001	-0.1470	<0.0001	0.1510
Tapetum L	<0.0001	-0.0931	<0.0001	0.0801

FA – fractional anisotropy, MD – mean diffusivity.