#### 1 Ultra-deep sequencing differentiates patterns of skin clonal mutations associated with 2 sun-exposure status and skin cancer burden

- 3
  - Classification: Biological Sciences, Genetics; Cancer risk; Early detection;
- 5

4

Lei Wei<sup>1,\*,§</sup>, Sean R. Christensen<sup>2,\*</sup>, Megan Fitzgerald<sup>3</sup>, James Graham<sup>1</sup>, Nicholas Hutson<sup>1</sup>. Chi 6 7 Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Ziyun Huang<sup>5</sup>, Qiang Hu<sup>1</sup>, Fenglin Zhan<sup>1,6</sup>, Jun Xie<sup>7</sup>, Jianmin Zhang<sup>8</sup>, Song Liu<sup>1</sup>, Eva Remenyik<sup>9</sup>, Emese Gellen<sup>9</sup>, Oscar R. Colegio<sup>10,11</sup>, Michael Bax<sup>10</sup>, Jinhui Xu<sup>12</sup>, Haifan Lin<sup>13</sup>, Wendy 8

J. Huss<sup>14,\*</sup>, Barbara A. Foster<sup>14,\*</sup>, Gyorgy Paragh<sup>3,9,\*,§</sup> 9

#### 10 Author affiliations:

- 11 <sup>1</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center,
- 12 Buffalo, NY
- 13 <sup>2</sup>Department of Dermatology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT
- 14 <sup>3</sup>Department of Cell Stress Biology, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY
- 15 <sup>4</sup>School of Biological Sciences Center for Plant Science and Innovation, University of Nebraska,
- 16 Lincoln, NE
- 17 <sup>5</sup>Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering, Penn State Erie, The Behrend 18 College
- 19 <sup>6</sup>PET/CT center, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine,
- 20 University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230001, P.R. China
- 21 <sup>7</sup>Department of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN
- 22 <sup>8</sup>Department of Cancer Genetics and Genomics, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, 23 Buffalo, NY
- <sup>9</sup>Department of Dermatology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary 24
- 25 <sup>10</sup>Department of Dermatology, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY
- 26 <sup>11</sup>Department of Immunology, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY
- 27 <sup>12</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo
- 28 <sup>13</sup>Yale Stem Cell Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT
- 29 <sup>14</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center,
- 30 Buffalo, NY
- 31
- 32 \* These authors contributed equally
- <sup>§</sup>Corresponding authors: Lei.Wei@RoswellPark.org and Gyorgy.Paragh@RoswellPark.org 33
- 34

#### 35 **Email addresses:**

- 36 LW: Lei.Wei@RoswellPark.org
- 37 SC: Sean.Christensen@Yale.edu
- MF: Megan.Fitzgerald@RoswellPark.org 38
- 39 JG: james.graham@stonybrookmedicine.edu
- NH: ndhutso@gmail.com 40
- 41 CZ: czhanq5@unl.edu
- 42 ZH: zxh201@psu.edu
- QH: giang.hu@roswellpark.org 43
- FZ: zhan209@hotmail.com 44
- JX: junxie@purdue.edu 45
- 46 JZ: jianmin.zhang@roswellpark.org
- 47 SL: song.liu@roswellpark.org

- 48 ER: <u>remenyik@med.unideb.hu</u>
- 49 EG: <u>emesegellen@med.unideb.hu</u>
- 50 OC: <u>Oscar.Colegio@RoswellPark.org</u>
- 51 MB: Michael.Bax@RoswellPark.org
- 52 JX: jinhui@buffalo.edu
- 53 HL: haifan.lin@yale.edu
- 54 WJH: <u>Wendy.Huss@RoswellPark.org</u>
- 55 BAF: <u>Barbara.Foster@RoswellPark.org</u>
- 56 GP: <u>Gyorgy.Paragh@RoswellPark.org</u>
- 57
- 58 Keywords: ultraviolet light, clonal mutation, photocarcinogenesis, sun exposure, ultra-deep
- 59 sequencing, skin cancer risk
- 60

### 61 Abstract

62 Non-melanoma skin cancer is the most common human malignancy and is primarily caused by 63 exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation. The earliest detectable precursor of UV-mediated skin 64 cancer is the growth of cell groups harboring clonal mutation (CM) in clinically normal appearing 65 skin. Systematic evaluation of CMs is crucial to understand early photo-carcinogenesis. Previous 66 studies confirmed the presence of CMs in sun-exposed skin. However, the relationship between 67 UV-exposure and the accumulation of CMs, and the correlation of CMs with skin cancer risk remain poorly understood. To elucidate the exact molecular and clinical effects of long-term UV-68 69 exposure on skin, we performed targeted ultra-deep sequencing in 450 individual-matched sun-70 exposed (SE) and non-sun-exposed (NE) epidermal punch biopsies obtained from clinically 71 normal skin from 13 donors. A total of 638 CMs were identified, including 298 UV-signature 72 mutations (USMs). The numbers of USMs per sample were three times higher in the SE samples 73 and were associated with significantly higher variant allele frequencies (VAFs), compared with 74 the NE samples. We identified genomic regions in TP53, NOTCH1 and GRM3 where mutation 75 burden was significantly associated with UV-exposure. Six mutations were almost exclusively 76 present in SE epidermis and accounted for 42% of the overall difference between SE and NE 77 mutation burden. We defined Cumulative Relative Clonal Area (CRCA), a single metric of UV-78 damage calculated by the overall relative percentage of the sampled skin area affected by CMs. 79 The CRCA was dramatically elevated by a median of 11.2 fold in SE compared to NE samples. 80 In an extended cohort of SE normal skin samples from patients with a high- or low- burden of 81 cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC), the SE samples in high-cSCC patients contained 82 significantly more USMs than SE samples in low-cSCC patients, with the difference mostly 83 conferred by mutations from low-frequency clones (defined by VAF≤1%) but not expanded clones (VAF>1%). Our studies of differential mutational features in normal skin between paired SE/NE 84 85 body sites and high/low-cSCC patients provide novel insights into the carcinogenic effect of UV

86 exposure, and indicate that CMs might be used to develop novel biomarkers for predicting cancer87 risk.

# 88 Significance statement:

89 In UV radiation exposed skin, mutations fuel clonal cell growth. We established a sequencing-90 based method to objectively assess the mutational differences between sun-exposed (SE) and 91 non-sun-exposed (NE) areas of normal human skin. Striking differences, in both the numbers of 92 mutations and variant allele frequencies, were found between SE and NE areas. Furthermore, we 93 identified specific genomic regions where mutation burden is significantly associated with UV-94 exposure status. These findings revealed previously unknown mutational patterns associated with 95 UV-exposure, providing important insights into UV radiation's early carcinogenic effects. 96 Additionally, in an extended cohort, we identified preliminary association between normal skin 97 mutation burden and cancer risk. These findings pave the road for future development of 98 quantitative measurement of subclinical UV damage and skin cancer risk.

# 99 Background

100 Ultraviolet (UV) light is responsible for over 5 million cases of skin cancer annually in the US. 101 which is more human malignancies than all other environmental carcinogens combined<sup>1,2</sup>. In 102 mammals, nucleotide excision repair eliminates UV-mediated DNA lesions, but this mechanism 103 of repair is error prone resulting in frequent mutations<sup>3</sup>. The preferential location of UVB induced 104 DNA lesions results in a specific pattern of so-called UV signature mutations at dipyridine sites 105 (C>T, CC>TT)<sup>4</sup>. In most skin cancers, including cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC), the 106 burden of UV signature driver mutations is high<sup>4,5</sup>. While some cSCC arise from visible 107 precancerous lesions known as actinic keratoses (AKs), many cSCC arise in apparently "normal" 108 skin areas from precursors that are clinically invisible<sup>6</sup>. Therefore, clinically visible precursors are 109 an ominous sign but not a sensitive early measure of photocarcinogenesis.

110 TP53 mutations are among the most common driver mutations in cSCC, and are also detected 111 by immunohistochemistry in aged normal skin<sup>7,8</sup>. These UV-induced TP53 mutations facilitate 112 clonal expansion of cells harboring them and therefore behave as early clonal mutations (CMs)<sup>9</sup>. 113 For two decades TP53 mutant keratinocyte cell clones were considered the earliest manifestations of skin carcinogenesis<sup>7,8,10</sup>. Because p53 clonal immunopositivity could not be 114 115 efficiently quantified in human skin, detection of mutant TP53 for assessment of 116 photocarcinogenesis in clinical dermatology practice has been unattainable. The low relative 117 abundance of clonal DNA previously limited efficient detection of early mutated cell groups. 118 However, with improved high throughput sequencing technology we have finally reached the 119 lower end of this threshold and efficient detection of rare mutations in normal tissue is becoming 120 feasible in recent studies by others and us using deep bulk sequencing or single cell DNA 121 sequencing <sup>11-16</sup>. In exploratory analyses, CMs were found to be abundant in clinically normal skin 122 from sun-exposed sites in NOTCH1, NOTCH2, FAT1 and several other genes besides TP53<sup>12</sup>. 123 Prior attempts to establish a quantitative method for assessing photodamage and skin cancer risk 124 had limited success<sup>17,18</sup>. A method that enables quantitative evaluation of early photodamage is 125 expected to help optimize personalized sun-protective measures and may also serve as a tool for 126 assessing the need and efficacy of early preventative treatment interventions.

127 In the current work we developed an ultra-deep sequencing-based method to identify CMs in 128 clinically normal epidermis and show differences in CMs between sun-exposed and non-sun-129 exposed skin areas. We then correlated CMs with skin cancer burden in another independent 130 cohort of cSCC patients and found mutational features in normal skin are significantly associated 131 with cancer risk burden.

132

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.10.902098; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

# 133 Methods

### 134 Samples:

135 A total of 464 normal human skin samples were collected from 13 Caucasian post-mortem donors 136 over the age of 55 years using Roswell Park's Rapid Tissue Acquisition Program under a Roswell 137 Park approved IRB protocol within 24 hours of death from frequently sun-exposed (SE) sites (left 138 dorsal forearm) and non-sun-exposed (NE) sites (left medial buttock). Exclusion criteria included 139 any visible skin abnormalities in the tissue areas. Eligible donors were identified and clinically 140 normal appearing skin was harvested. Skin samples were kept in tissue preservation medium, 141 Belzer UW cold storage solution (Bridge to Life, USA) at 4°C until processed. All samples that 142 could be processed within 36 hours or less after death were included in the study. The mean age 143 of the donors was 72.3 years (SD: ±8.2 years; range 60-80 years). The male to female gender 144 ratio was 7:6, and 12/13 donors had no history of skin cancer.

145 The adipose tissue was removed from each human skin sample using sterile scissors. The 146 samples were cut into strips wide enough to harvest 6 mm punches. The epidermis was separated 147 from the dermis by placing the strips in tubes containing 10 ml of 5U/ml Dispase II (Stem Cell 148 Technologies, USA) and incubated at 4°C overnight and at 37°C for 2-3 hours. After Dispase 149 digestion the specimens were placed in a petri dish containing a small amount of 1x DPBS 150 (Corning, USA) and using sterile tweezers, the epidermis was carefully removed from the dermis. 151 Using disposable biopsy punches, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 mm diameter epidermal pieces were taken 152 from the epidermal sheets and punched epidermal pieces were placed into a sterile 1.5 mL vials. 153 In addition to the epidermal punches, large bulk pieces of dermis were also removed from the 154 skin samples using a disposable #15 blade and placed into a sterile 1.5 mL vial for use as a 155 germline control.

156 For the extended cohort of the study, 20 human skin samples were obtained in a de-identified 157 manner from 8 undergoing surgery for cSCC. The mean age of the donors was 77.9 years (SD: 158 ±12.3 years; range 54-92 years). The male to female gender ratio was 1:1. The study was granted 159 exemption by the Yale University Human Investigation Committee (Protocol 1509016421). All 160 individuals had biopsy-confirmed cSCC that was completely excised by Mohs micrographic 161 surgery with intraoperative histologic verification of clear surgical margins. Immediately following 162 excision of cSCC, adjacent normal skin was excised to facilitate surgical repair and samples for 163 sequencing were immediately harvested. From each individual, two skin samples at a fixed linear 164 distance from the cSCC were obtained from the adjacent, sun-exposed, normal skin. One sample 165 was obtained at a distance of 1mm from the cSCC surgical margin, and one at a distance of 6mm 166 from the surgical margin. From four patients, a tumor sample from grossly visible cSCC was also 167 obtained at the time of surgery. All samples were obtained with a 2mm punch biopsy to a depth 168 of approximately 1mm, including epidermis and superficial dermis.

# 169 **DNA isolation**:

170 DNA samples from the primary cohort were extracted using Purelink<sup>™</sup> Genomic DNA mini kit 171 (Invitrogen, USA). Epidermal samples were digested using Proteinase K at 55°C heating block 172 overnight following the manufacturers recommendations. For the extended cohort of samples, 173 skin biopsies were similarly digested using Proteinase K and DNA was purified with phenol-174 chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. DNA was eluted with 28 µL of Molecular Biology 175 Grade Water (Corning, USA) for 1 and 2 mm punches or 36 µL of Molecular Biology Grade Water 176 for 3, 4, and 6 mm punches. The isolated genomic DNA was stored at -20°C and the DNA 177 concentration of each extraction was measured using a Qubit fluorometer or Quanti-iT PicoGreen 178 kit (Invitrogen, USA).

# 179 Ultra-deep Targeted Sequencing:

180 The sequencing libraries were generated using the TruSeq Custom Amplicon kit (Illumina, USA) 181 using 10-50 ng of gDNA. Amplicons of ~150bp (primary cohort) or ~250bp (extended cohort) in 182 length were designed using Illumina Design Studio Software. Custom oligo capture probes that 183 flank the regions of interest were hybridized to the gDNA. A combined extension/ligation reaction 184 completed the region of interest between these flanking custom oligo probes. PCR was then 185 performed to add indices and sequencing adapters. The amplified final libraries were cleaned up 186 using AmpureXP beads (Beckman Coulter). Purified libraries were run on a Tapestation 187 DNA1000 screentape chip to verify desired size distribution, guantified by KAPA gPCR (KAPA 188 Biosystems) and pooled equal molar in a final concentration of 2 nM. Pooled libraries were loaded 189 on an Illumina HiSeg Rapid Mode V2 flow cell following standard protocols for 2x100 cycle 190 sequencing (primary cohort), or Illumina NextSeq for 2x150 cycle sequencing (extended cohort).

### 191 **Bioinformatics analysis:**

192 High quality paired-end reads passing Illumina RTA filter were initially processed against the NCBI 193 human reference genome (GRCh37) using public available bioinformatics tools <sup>19,20</sup>, and Picard 194 (http://picard.sourceforge.net/). The coverage guality control required at least 80% of the targeted 195 region covered by a minimum of 1,000X coverage. Putative mutations, including single nucleotide 196 variants (SNVs) and small insertions/deletions (Indels), were initially identified by running variation 197 detection module of Strelka<sup>21</sup> on each SE or NE epidermis sample paired with the matched dermal 198 sample. From the detected SNVs, dinucleotide variants (DNV) or cluster of single nucleotide 199 variants (CSNV) were recognized by running Multi-Nucleotide Variant Annotation Corrector (MAC) 200 <sup>22</sup> on the original sequences. The putative mutations detected from all samples were consolidated 201 into a list of unique mutations. Every unique mutation was re-visited in all samples to calculate 202 the numbers of mutant/wildtype reads, as well as variant allele frequency (VAF) in each sample 203 as previously described <sup>13</sup>.

204 To distinguish mutations from background errors, we modelled each mutation's background 205 error rate distributions using VAFs from all control (dermal) samples. For each mutation, we 206 started by fitting a *Weibull* distribution to VAFs from all control samples following a previously 207 published method<sup>23</sup>, then every SE or NE epidermal sample's VAF was compared to the fitted 208 distribution. A positive sample was defined as the sample's VAF of a mutation was significantly 209 above background (p < 0.05, after Bonferroni correction). In the extended cohort where the control 210 samples were not available, we adapted a dynamic control strategy, based on the assumption 211 that any somatic mutation cannot be recurrent in more than 10% of all samples at the same site. 212 In the previous primary cohort, all recurrent mutations were within 5% of all samples. For each 213 potential mutation, we first cluster the VAFs of the mutation in all samples. Subsequently started 214 from the cluster with lowest VAF, we transferred all samples of each cluster to the control cohort 215 until at least 90% of all samples are in the control cohort. After mutation calling, all identified 216 mutations including SNVs, DNVs, CSNVs and Indels were annotated using a customized program 217 with NCBI RefSeq database.

218 Cumulative Relative Clonal Area (CRCA), defined as the overall percentage of biopsied skin 219 area covered by UV-signature mutations (USMs) in a patient skin punch, was calculated as 220 following:

221 
$$CRCA = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} (\pi r_i^2 * 2VAF_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi r_i^2}$$

with n = the total number of punches collected in the patient;  $r_i$  = the size (radius) of each punch; m<sub>i</sub> = the number of mutations in punch i; VAF<sub>j</sub> = the variant allele fraction of a specific mutation j. Here, the calculation of CRCA was based on the assumption that all mutations occur in one chromosome of regular diploid genomic regions. Additionally, although we did not consider the situation when multiple mutations occur in the same cell, we did identify mutations that occur on
 the same reads and combined them into one mutation using MAC <sup>22</sup>.

### 228 Statistics:

The overall mutation numbers and VAFs between two groups, including SE and NE in the primary cohort, and the high- and low- cSCC burden in the extended cohort, were evaluated using a Wilcoxon test. Group-specific markers, including mutations, genes, regions and signatures were identified using a Fisher's exact test where the two variables in the contingency table were the samples' sun-exposure status (SE vs NE, in cohort #1) or cSCC burden (high vs low, in cohort #2) and mutational status. Multiple testing correction was implemented using the FDR approach as indicated.

# 236 Results

## 237 Ultra-deep sequencing of epidermal samples using customized focused panels

238 To generate a focused sequencing panel targeting the most commonly mutated sequences in 239 normal human skin, we selected an area of focus based on a previous dataset<sup>12</sup>. All previous 240 mutations were assigned to 100-bp genomic segments. After sorting the segments by number of 241 mutations, we designed a panel to capture the top 55 most frequently mutated segments from 12 242 genes (5.5 kb in total, **Table S1**). The majority (65%) of the targeted segments came from the 243 following 3 genes; NOTCH1, NOTCH2, and TP53. When summarized by coding regions, 79% of 244 the targeted segments lie in protein-coding regions, and the remaining segments were mostly in introns. In the previous dataset<sup>12</sup>, 87% of the samples harbored at least one mutation within this 245 246 panel. Thus, as designed, this panel captured the most frequently mutated genomic regions in 247 sun-exposed skin, and was highly focused for efficient deep-sequencing to identify low-frequency 248 mutations.

249 The primary cohort was sequenced using the focused panel in two batches. We first 250 sequenced a discovery cohort of 374 human skin samples from 13 post-mortem donors: 360 251 epidermal samples, equally acquired from both sun-exposed (SE) and non-sun-exposed regions 252 (NE) using 1 mm, 2 mm, 3 mm, 4 mm or 6 mm punch sizes. From the same 13 donors, DNA 253 from bulk NE dermis (n=14, 1 donor contributed 2 samples) was isolated for germline controls. 254 After initial analysis to determine the optimal punch size, we then tested a separate validation 255 cohort of 90 epidermal samples from 9 of the 13 donors using the most effective punch size (2 256 mm, as detailed in results "Optimization of punch size for USM detection"). In total, the dataset 257 contains 464 samples: 225 SE, 225 NE, and 14 dermal samples as controls (Table 1) from 13 258 individuals. After sequencing, 85% of samples reached a minimum of 10,000X coverage in at 259 least 80% of the targeted region. The median of average coverage across all samples was 260 64,730X (Table S2a), with only one sample exclusion (NE sample) due to sequencing failure. 261 This unique design of ultra-deep sequencing from individual matched SE/NE samples enabled us 262 to discriminate between the mutational profiles of SE and NE skin samples.

263 To better define the clinical relevance of CMs, we sequenced an extended cohort of sun-264 exposed skin samples from human patients with cSCC. Twenty 2mm punch biopsy specimens 265 were obtained from surgically excised skin from 8 individuals, including 16 normal skin samples 266 and 4 samples of cSCC. For this extended cohort, a custom sequencing panel was designed to 267 encompass the complete protein-coding region of 12 genes with frequently reported mutations in 268 UV-exposed skin (NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, TP53, CDKN2A, BRAF, HRAS, KRAS, NRAS, 269 KNSTRN, FAT1, and FGFR3), and 1 control gene without expected functional significance in skin 270 (VHL). This sequencing panel encompassed 59.5 kb. After sequencing, all samples have at least 271 80% of the targeted region covered by a minimum of 10.000X coverage. The median value of 272 average coverages across all samples was 47,158X (Table S2b). This extended cohort from 273 cSCC patients would allow us to correlate the features of CMs to patient clinical outcomes.

# 274 Delineate the mutational patterns associated with UV exposure

275 To identify the mutations solely caused by UV exposure, we characterized the mutational profiles 276 of individual-matched SE/NE epidermal samples. Additionally, we compared the epidermal 277 samples to patient-matched dermal samples followed by an in silico error suppression to remove 278 germline polymorphisms and low-frequency technical artifacts. Dinucleotide and other complex 279 mutations were identified by re-visiting the raw reads using a program that we previously 280 developed <sup>22</sup>. Altogether, a total of 638 mutations were identified, predominantly single nucleotide 281 variants (SNVs, n = 614 or 96.2%) or dinucleotide variants (DNVs, n = 20 or 3.1%) (Table S3). 282 The median variant allele frequency (VAF) of all mutations was 2.1% (range 0.1% - 36.6%), and 283 only 3% mutations reached a VAF greater than 10%.

284 Among the 55 targeted genomic segments, mutations were detected in 50 segments with an 285 average of 7.1 and 4.7 mutations per segment in SE and NE samples, respectively (Figure 1a). 286 Two segments were significantly (FDR p<0.001) associated with UV-exposure status, 287 approximately corresponding to TP53 amino acids 227-261 ("TP53-3", mutations in SE/NE = 38/0) 288 and NOTCH1 p.449-481 ("NOTCH1-9", mutations in SE/NE = 30/4). Interestingly, mutations in 289 an adjacent region in NOTCH1 p.419-449 ("NOTCH1-10") were not associated with UV exposure (mutations in SE/NE=48/40), even though "NOTCH1-10" was the most frequently mutated 290 291 segment in the current study. Additionally, mutations were marginally enriched in SE samples 292 (FDR p<0.1) in three other segments: two in NOTCH1 ("NOTCH1-14" and "NOTCH1-19") and 293 one in *GRM3* ("*GRM3-2*"). On the gene level, mutations in SE samples were only significantly 294 enriched in TP53 (FDR p<0.001), and marginally significant in GRM3 (FDR p<0.1). Overall, the 295 numbers of mutations in SE samples were 6.3 times higher than NE samples in TP53, and 4.3 296 times in *GRM3* (Figure 1b). Mutations identified in nine other genes did not exhibit significant 297 association with sun-exposure status either on the gene- or segment- level: NOTCH2, ARID1A.

298 *SALL1, SCN1A, ERBB4, FAT4, FGFR3, ADGRB3 and PPP1R3A.* These findings indicate a 299 highly genomic-region-specific pattern of the accumulation of UV-induced somatic mutations.

300 We next investigated potential hotspots and mutations associated with UV-exposure. After 301 sorting all mutations by their genomic locations, one specific region in TP53 (p.217-280), 302 appeared to be "mutation exempt" in comparison to surrounding regions in NE samples. In 303 contrast, this region was highly mutated in SE samples (Figure 2a). We reanalyzed a recent study involving RNASeq of both SE and NE normal skin samples<sup>11</sup>, and found four mutations in this 304 305 region, all from SE samples (Table S4). To identify mutations associated with UV exposure, we 306 focused on highly recurrent mutations (present in  $\geq$  5 samples, n = 18). By comparing the 307 frequency in SE and NE skin samples, we identified six mutations significantly enriched in SE 308 samples: TP53 R248W, NOTCH1 P460L, NOTCH1 S385F, NOTCH1 E424K, TP53 G245D and 309 NOTCH1 P460S, and nearly all of them were exclusively found in SE samples (FDR p<0.05, 310 Figure 2b). No mutation was significantly enriched in NE samples. Five of the six SE-enriched 311 mutations were found in both discovery and validation cohorts, indicating they were unlikely to be 312 caused by batch-effect. Unexpectedly, one specific mutation (NOTCH1 E424K) was associated 313 with significantly elevated VAFs (median = 10%, p<0.001, Wilcoxon test), about five-fold higher 314 than other mutations (median VAF = 2.1%, Figure 2a, 2b). Through protein structure modelling 315 (Figure 2c), we found that the NOTCH1 E424K mutation is predicted to disrupt the binding of 316 NOTCH1 to delta-like canonical ligand 4 (DLL4), a negative regulator of the Notch signaling pathway<sup>11</sup>. By prohibiting formation of a salt bridge between NOTCH1 E424 and DLL4 K189/R191, 317 318 the mutation E424K creates a repulsive force that inhibits *DLL4* binding <sup>24</sup>. Based on the biological 319 role of DLL4 and NOTCH1, the NOTCH1 E424 mutation is expected promote epithelial 320 proliferation <sup>25,26</sup>. The overall prevalence of the NOTCH1 E424K mutation in our dataset is 2.7%. 321 For comparison, in GENIE cBioPortal<sup>27</sup>, NOTCH1 E424K is mutated in 1.3% of cutaneous SCCs, 322 0.04% in melanomas, and is rarer in other cutaneous or non-cutaneous malignancies (Table S5).

# 323 UV-signature mutations exclusively account for the elevated mutation burdens in SE skin

324 We next intercorrelated the identified mutations with previously known UV-signature mutations 325 (USMs), i.e., C>T transition at dipyrimidines <sup>4</sup>. Among all 638 mutations in SE and NE samples, 326 298 were USMs. Of these 298 USMs, 76% were present in SE samples. USMs were significantly 327 enriched in SE compared to NE samples (n = 226 and 72, respectively, p<0.001, Fisher's exact 328 test). Especially among the high-VAF mutations, 18 of 19 mutations with VAFs above 0.1 were 329 from SE samples, and most (13 of 18) were USMs. Conversely, non-UV-signature mutations 330 (NUSMs) were present approximately equally (n= 159 and 181, ns, Fisher's exact test) in SE and 331 NE skin types (Figure 3a), indicating that these mutations may not be directly associated with 332 UV-exposure.

333 To explore specific community enrichment patterns in different mutational function groups, we 334 classified all 638 mutations into four effect-groups: nonsense, missense, silent and noncoding. 335 Inside each effect-group, we correlated the mutational properties (USM vs NUSM) with the 336 matched samples' sun-exposure statuses (SE vs NE) (Figure 3b). Significant enrichment of 337 USMs were observed in two of four effect-groups by Fisher's exact test: nonsense (FDR p<0.05) 338 and missense (FDR p<0.001). Specifically, nonsense mutations were 9 times more frequently 339 occurring in SE skins than in NE skins, and similarly enriched by 4.2 times for missense mutations. 340 These findings indicate that the mutations initiated by UV radiation are further selected by the 341 host system or inter-clonal competition <sup>28</sup>, in which the mutations with functional impacts give the 342 host clone greater fitness.

# 343 Quantification of UV-induced DNA damage level by UV-signature mutations

We next investigated the feasibility of using CMs to quantify UV-induced DNA damage. This was based on the hypothesis that SE samples harbor more CMs and are associated with higher VAFs compared to NE samples. Since our analyses indicated NUSMs were not correlated with UV 347 exposure, only USMs were used for guantifying UV-induced DNA damage. To avoid the potential 348 bias introduced by different punch sizes, initially only the most abundant size of 2 mm (n = 90 and 349 89, SE and NE, respectively) (Figure 3c) was analyzed. A three-fold difference was observed in 350 the average USMs per sample between SE (mean = 1.2) and NE (mean = 0.4), which was 351 significantly higher (p < 0.001, Wilcoxon test). Multiple USMs were found in 33% of SE samples 352 but only 9% of NE samples (Table S6). Additionally, the identified USMs had significantly higher 353 VAFs in SE (mean = 3.7%) than NE (mean = 2.1%) samples, (p < 0.001, Wilcoxon test), indicating 354 the presence of larger clones in SE samples (Figure 3d). We further extended the analysis to 355 include all punch sizes, and found the pattern was consistent with 34% of SE and only 6% of NE 356 samples having multiple USMs and three-fold higher average USMs per sample in SE (1.0) than 357 NE (0.3) samples (p < 0.001, Wilcoxon test). These findings of increased USMs and elevated 358 VAFs in SE than NE skin would then serve as the cornerstones for the quantification of UV-359 induced DNA damage.

360 In order to overcome the heterogeneity between samples, we developed Cumulative Relative 361 Clonal Area (CRCA) as a single metric to assess the overall patient-level burden of CMs. The 362 CRCA was defined as the overall percentage of biopsied skin area covered by USMs in a patient 363 skin punch, which account for both the number of USMs and their VAFs (Figure 3e). It is worth 364 mentioning that our data did not allow us to distinguish whether mutations occurred independently 365 or were present in the same clone. Hence, CRCA does not provide an exact measure of the 366 mutated cell population, but rather serves as an index of the mutation burden in the sampled area. 367 To minimize the potential chance for repeated counting of co-occurring mutations in the same 368 cells, co-occurring mutations were identified, primarily dinucleotide CC>TT mutations, and 369 consolidated. When counted separately by sun-exposure status, the median CRCA across the 13 370 patients was 6.1% (range 1.4-14.2%) in SE and 1.4% (range 0.1-4.0%) in NE sites. On individual 371 patient level, the CRCAs were higher in SE than the matched NE skin in all patients, with the

average ratio of 11.2-fold higher (range = 1.4 - 55.0-fold). These CRCAs were calculated using
only USMs. If all CMs were included, the CRCA would be only 2.2-fold higher (range = 0.8 - 5.6fold) in SE than NE skin (data not shown). Based on these results, CRCA may have the potential
to be used as an objective measurement of the level of UV-induced DNA damage.

# 376 The effect of punch size on USM detection

377 In the discovery cohort, we sought to evaluate different punch sizes to determine the most efficient 378 one for detecting USMs. Theoretically, although larger punches likely contain more clones, they 379 tend to become less effective for detecting smaller clones due to a dilutional effect by other clones 380 harboring no or different mutations (Figure 4a). Overall across all five punch sizes, USMs were 381 detected in 54% of the SE, which was significantly higher than the 21% of the NE (p < 0.001, 382 Fisher's exact test). Between different punch sizes, 2 mm punches were found to have the highest 383 positive rate of 64%, and with the most significant difference between SE and NE samples (p < 1384 0.0001, Figure 4b). Thus, only 2mm punches were collected in the 90-sample validation cohort and the extended cohort from cSCC patients. In the validation cohort, similarly, we found the SE 385 386 samples had higher numbers of USMs and the positive rate of USMs (69%) was similar to the 387 discovery cohort (64%).

388 When combining the discovery and validation cohorts, the SE samples had the highest positive 389 rate of 67% for USMs in 2 mm samples and were significantly higher than NE samples (p < 0.001), 390 followed by 60% in 4 mm (p < 0.05), and 54% in 3 mm (p < 0.05). Interestingly, the USM positive 391 rates were relatively lower in the largest punch size of 6 mm (53%) and the smallest 1 mm (36%). 392 In all NE samples, positive USM rates ranged from 17-30% (Figure 4c). Moreover, the punch 393 size also affected the detected VAFs of the mutations. Specifically, in SE samples, larger punches 394 were associated with smaller VAFs. The VAFs' standard deviation was the highest in 1 mm 395 punches (8.9%) and decreased with punch size: 2 mm (4.3%), 3 mm (2.8%), 4 mm (2.6%) and 6 396 mm (1.7%). This trend, between VAF range and punch size, was not present in NE samples 397 (Figure 4d). These results suggested that the most effective punch size in detecting USMs under398 the current sequencing condition was 2 mm.

# 399 Mutation nucleotide contexts enriched with UV-exposure

400 We next assessed the enrichment of different mutation nucleotide contexts in SE skin. The 401 mutation nucleotide contexts were defined by each SNV's trinucleotide and DNV's dinucleotide 402 contexts. A total of 83 contexts were identified from current mutations, including 13 contexts 403 matching to previously described USMs<sup>4</sup>. None of the remaining 70 non-USM contexts were 404 enriched in SE or NE samples (Figure 5a). The 13 previously defined USM contexts were not 405 equally enriched in SE samples. After multiple test correction, only 5 of the 13 contexts were 406 significantly enriched in SE samples (FDR p<0.05), including the dinucleotide CC>TT context, which was exclusively found in SE samples (Figure 5b). The most significant mutation context 407 408 enriched in SE samples was T[C>T]C (FDR p = 0.00013), which was in consonance with the 409 previously defined "Mutational Signature #7" in skin cancers <sup>29</sup>. The remaining eight UV-signature 410 contexts were not significantly enriched in SE samples. Of particular note, G[C>T]C, which was 411 the most abundant context by total number of mutations, appeared to be equally presented in SE 412 and NE skin samples and therefore not associated with sun-exposure.

# 413 Clonal mutations are correlated with cSCC burden

To define the clinical significance of CMs and investigate the potential association with skin cancer risk, we sequenced an extended cohort of 20 samples (16 SE normal skin and 4 cSCC) from eight patients with cSCC using a 59.5 kb customized panel as described above. Four individuals (including 8 normal skin samples and 2 cSCC samples from face, scalp, and arm) had a low burden of skin cancer with only a single diagnosis of cSCC and few AKs (low-cSCC). Four individuals (including 8 normal skin samples and 2 cSCC samples from face, hand, and lower leg) had a high burden of skin cancer with severe UV damage, multiple prior cSCC (range 3-10) and 421 many AKs (high-cSCC). Low-cSCC and high-cSCC patients were matched for age (mean age 422 76.5 and 79.3, respectively). Normal skin samples were all sun-exposed, and were obtained a 423 linear distance of either 1mm or 6mm from the clear surgical margin of the excised cSCC, allowing 424 for analysis of CMs arising in skin subjected to carcinogenic UV radiation. Visible AKs were not 425 present in normal skin samples. A total of 535 somatic mutations were identified (Table S7), with 426 a median VAF of 1.2%. Only 15 mutations had VAF greater than 10%, most of which (10 of 15) 427 were from the cSCC tumor samples (Figure 6a). The median numbers of mutations per sample 428 in each group were 22 and 17.5 for the high- and low- cSCC normal skin samples (marginally 429 significant, p=0.078, Wilcoxon), and 41.5 for the cSCC samples. The overall mutation rates in 430 normal skin were 0.45 and 0.29 mutations per MB, in high- and low-cSCC patients, respectively. 431 The latter was comparable to the rate of SE normal skin of non-cancer patients in the primary 432 cohort (0.31 mutations per MB), despite the technical differences between the two cohorts such 433 as sequencing depth, targeted regions and punch sizes.

434 The frequently mutated genes in normal skin (more than two mutations per gene on average) 435 included FAT1, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, FGFR3 and TP53 (Figure 6b). Two of the genes 436 were mutated at least twice as frequently in the normal skin of high-cSCC patients as that of low-437 cSCC patients: TP53 (ratio = 3.25) and FAT1 (ratio = 2.4). Additionally, two less frequently 438 mutated genes, KRAS and HRAS, were almost exclusively mutated in high-cSCC patients (9 of 439 10). None of these differences reached statistical significance after multiple test correction (data 440 not shown), indicating that larger cohorts will be needed to further explore these potential 441 associations.

Although the normal skin of high-cSCC patients contain more mutations per sample, unexpectedly, these mutations were associated with significantly lower VAFs (median=1.0%) than the normal skin of low-cSCC patients (median = 1.3%, p = 0.011, Wilcoxon). We found this overall reduction in VAF resulted from a higher number of low-frequency mutations in high-cSCC patients 446 (Figure 6c). For mutations with VAF greater than 1%, the mutations were equally present in high-447 and low-cSCC patients. However, for low-VAF mutations (defined as <1%), the numbers of 448 mutations per sample were significantly higher in high-cSCC (median = 9.5) than low-cSCC 449 patients (median = 6, p = 0.032, Wilcoxon, **Figure 6d**).

450 We next further refined the analysis by focusing on USMs. There were a total of 206 USMs, 451 including 8 CC>TT DNVs. We observed a significantly greater number of USMs in the high-cSCC 452 normal skin samples (median = 11) than the low-cSCC ones (median = 6.5, FDR p = 0.015) 453 (Figure 6e). The tumor samples were found to harbor even higher numbers of USMs (median = 454 15.5). The CRCA values, as defined in the primary cohort, were significantly higher in the tumor 455 than the normal skin samples (FDR p = 0.03) in the extended cohort. The normal skin samples 456 from high-cSCC patients had slightly higher CRCAs (median = 0.37) than low-cSCC patients 457 (median = 0.31), but the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.16). The CRCA is 458 essentially the sum of VAF values for all detected mutations, normalized for biopsy size. The lack 459 of a significant difference between CRCA values for high-cSCC and low-cSCC skin samples is 460 likely due to the observation that the increased mutations present in high-cSCC samples were 461 enriched for low-frequency mutations (VAF < 1%). We found no significant difference in overall 462 mutation burden, VAF, USMs, or CRCA between normal skin samples collected at 1mm versus 463 6mm from the surgical margin. Lastly, almost all mutations (>99%) were present only in one of 464 two skin samples from the same patient. The absence of shared recurrent mutations across 465 different samples from the same individual indicates that the identified mutations arose 466 independently.

# 467 Discussion

468 Most cancers are initiated by accumulation of somatic mutations<sup>30,31</sup>. However, early mutations in 469 normal tissues are difficult to detect due to the low abundance and random patterns. Several 470 recent studies demonstrated the feasibility of detecting clonal mutations (CMs) using highthroughput sequencing in various tissue types<sup>11,12,32</sup>. However, the contribution of these CMs to 471 cancer remains unclear in several ways: how they are generated, what types of mutations are 472 473 generated by which exogenous and endogenous carcinogens; how the CMs are accumulated and selected by the host microenvironment and inter-clonal competition <sup>28</sup>; and which mutations 474 475 contribute or lead to the development of cancer. Indeed, all types of tissues are under the 476 influence of multiple intrinsic and extrinsic factors that vary greatly by individual's lifestyle and 477 environment. Therefore, studying the CMs generated by one specific carcinogen requires 478 comparative studies of matched sample types.

479 To the best of our knowledge, the current study of paired SE and NE skin areas is the first 480 analysis of individual-matched normal human skin to specifically characterize UV radiation's 481 mutational effects. We optimized our detection of UV-induced CMs by: 1) acquiring matched 482 SE/NE skin samples from the same individual to control for aging and other environmental factors 483 unrelated to UV; 2) separating epidermal from dermal layers to decrease non-epidermal 484 background DNA quantity; and 3) ultra-deep DNA sequencing for maximized sensitivity followed 485 by error-suppression to exclude sequencing and alignment errors. Consistent with previous 486 studies <sup>11,12</sup>, CMs were widespread in epidermal samples. As expected, mutation burden and 487 VAFs were significantly elevated in SE samples. The mutational signatures of the current CMs 488 are consistent with those previously found in skin cancers <sup>29</sup>, supporting the contribution of the 489 CMs to potential ongoing tumorigenesis. Markedly, our unique approach allowed us to gain 490 several important new insights about epidermal CMs. First, we identified the existence of 491 "mutation-exempt" regions in human genomes. Although mutations frequently occur across most 492 of the sequenced regions in NE skin, presumably due to metabolism and aging related factors, 493 no detectable mutations were found in these mutation-exempt regions. It is unclear whether the 494 absence of mutations in these genomic regions is caused by an active protection or a passive

495 selection mechanism involving altered clone fitness. Interestingly, the "mutation-exempt" property 496 of these regions appears to be altered upon exposure to UV radiation, and these regions become 497 highly mutable. Further studies are warranted to explore how this mechanism is abrogated by UV 498 radiation. Second, USMs were significantly enriched in Glutamate Metabotropic Receptor 3 499 (GRM3) in SE skin, which was previously identified as a potential therapeutic target in melanoma 500 <sup>33</sup>, but not reported as a cancer driver in cutaneous SCC. Third, we identified six mutations that 501 were almost exclusively mutated in SE skin. All six mutations had been previously reported in 502 human cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas in the cBioPortal <sup>27</sup>. Among these mutations, TP53 503 R248W and G245D were highly recurrent with hundreds of occurrences reported in COSMIC<sup>34</sup>, 504 indicating that the presence of these mutations may be representative of an early phase of 505 carcinogenesis.

506 Consistent with the current finding that UV-exposure results in higher USM burden, and the known knowledge that UV-exposure directly correlates with the risk of cSCC <sup>35</sup>, the results of our 507 508 extended cohort of cSCC patients provided direct evidence that elevated USM burdens are 509 associated with increased burden of cSCC. Presumably, this burden correlates with risk of future 510 cSCC as well. Unexpectedly, we further discovered that most mutational difference between 511 normal skin of high- and low-cSCC patients derived from low-frequency clones (VAF<1%) but not 512 the "expanded" clones (VAF≥1%). It remains unclear why such difference was not seen in the 513 expanded clones. One potential explanation is that the expanded clones might be under more aggressive immune surveillance, as it has been previously reported that the immune system 514 preferably targets larger clones than smaller ones <sup>36</sup>. The low-frequency clones, on the other hand, 515 516 are less actively monitored by the immune system and may more truthfully represent the level of 517 ongoing mutational activity or genomic instability. In any case, the total USM burden in sun-518 exposed skin of patients with cSCC may be a more accurate measure of skin cancer risk than 519 VAF or clonal area.

520 Our approach was directed by future clinical utilities, focusing on quantitative measurement of 521 UV-induced DNA damage for sun-protection, and cSCC patient risk stratification. These results 522 demonstrate the feasibility of using a small panel of genomic regions (5.5 kb) to quantitatively 523 measure UV-induced CMs. We established Relative Cumulative Clonal Area (CRCA) as a 524 combined measure of mutation burden and relative abundance, which was strongly correlated 525 with sun exposure status, but not with cSCC burden in sun-exposed skin. In the current study, we 526 found the most effective punch size for capturing CMs was 2 mm, which is also clinically favorable 527 as it leaves relatively smaller scars due to the small diameter punch. In future, a non-invasive skin 528 sampling method may provide even wider accessibility to epidermal sampling. In addition, the 529 efficiency of this panel is related to the performance of sequencing method and mutation calling 530 algorithm, which will likely be improved with adoption of more sensitive future methods focusing 531 on the genomic hotspots that are sensitive to UV exposure.

532 The current study focused on the most frequently mutated regions in sun-exposed skin 533 samples defined by the mutations in a previous study <sup>12</sup>. However, we note that many of these 534 regions are mutated in both sun-exposed and non-sun-exposed skin samples, indicating that 535 many mutations in these regions were unrelated to UV exposure. In fact, only 6 of 55 original 536 regions were found to harbor significantly enriched mutations in SE samples. Future studies, 537 including much larger targeted regions, are needed to systematically identify UV-sensitive 538 genomic regions. The skin samples were collected at the same time; therefore, they do not 539 provide longitudinal information about clone initiation and progression. While our analyses of the 540 extended cohort indicate that the burdens of CMs in normal skin are correlated with cancer risk 541 in cSCC patients, this finding needs to be validated in a larger cohort of patients. Future studies 542 including biopsies of both SCC and adjacent normal skin acquired at multiple time points are 543 warranted to unveil the complete role of these CMs in cancer.

544

# 545 **Conclusions**

In summary, this study revealed previously unknown mutational patterns associated with UVexposure, providing important insights into the early carcinogenic effects of UV radiation. The quantification of CMs has the potential to become a cornerstone for future development of quantitative measures of UV-induced DNA damage, as measured by CRCA, in the clinical setting to monitor early carcinogenesis and highlight the importance of sun protection. The identified association between cSCC burden and mutation status, especially low-frequency CMs, if validated in an expanded cohort, may become a novel biomarker for risk stratification of cSCCs.

## 553 Ethics Statements

All specimens in the primary cohort were collected from post-mortem donors collected in collaboration with Buffalo's local organ procurement organization (ConnectLife, formerly Unyts) the Roswell Park's Rapid Tissue Acquisition Program under a Roswell Park approved IRB protocol. Specimens in the expanded cohort were collected from discarded surgical tissue under a Yale University Human Investigation Committee approved protocol.

# 559 Availability of data and materials

560 The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the 561 corresponding authors upon request.

# 562 Competing interests

563 None.

# 564 Funding

565 This work was mainly supported by the Roswell Park Alliance Foundation. LW and SL were 566 supported in part by NIH grant U24CA232979. The utilized Genomics and Bioinformatics Shared 567 Resources and Rapid Tissue Acquisition Program at Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer 568 Center was supported by NCI grant P30CA016056. LW and JX were supported in part by a travel 569 grant from NIH 5U24ES026465. SC was supported by a Career Development Award from the 570 Dermatology Foundation.

# 571 Acknowledgments

572 The authors thank the excellent technical help provided by Paula Pera, MS, assistance with 573 design of the 13-gene custom sequencing panel for the extended cohort provided by Yuemei 574 Zhang, MD (Yale University School of Medicine), and assistance with library generation and 575 sequencing of the extended cohort provided by Mei Zhong, PhD (Yale Stem Cell Center).

We dedicate our work to Dr. Oscar Colegio, who passed away suddenly on June 14th, 2020. Oscar was not just a colleague and co-author, he was a passionate and exceptionally empathetic physician, a brilliant researcher, a thoughtful friend. Oscar was among the leading transplant dermatologists in the world. He had a captivating personality and a unique ability to connect ideas and people. This manuscript is a testament to Oscar's ability to bring people together. As we will continue our collaboration Dr. Colegio's insight, mentorship, wit and hard work will be greatly missed.

## 583 List of abbreviations

- 584 UV Ultraviolet
- 585 CM Clonal mutation
- 586 NMSC Nonmelanoma skin cancer

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.10.902098; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- 587 SE Sun-exposed
- 588 NE Non-sun-exposed
- 589 USM UV-signature mutation
- 590 NUSM Non-UV-signature mutation
- 591 CRCA Cumulative Relative Clonal Area
- 592 cSCC Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma
- 593 AK Actinic keratosis
- 594 SNV Single nucleotide variant
- 595 Indels Insertions/deletions
- 596 DNV Dinucleotide variant
- 597 CSNV Cluster of single nucleotide variant
- 598 MAC Multi-Nucleotide Variant Annotation Corrector
- 599 VAF Variant allele frequency

# 601 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Region-specific enrichment of somatic mutations in sun-exposed skin. a). Graph shows the number of mutations identified within each 100-bp genomic target window grouped by SE and NE skin types. b). The overall gene-level number of mutations from SE and NE samples. Stars indicate the segments or genes where mutations are significantly enriched in the SE samples (FDR p values: <sup>\*\*\*</sup> p<0.001; <sup>+</sup> p<0.1).

607 Figure 2. Hotspots and mutations associated with UV-exposure. a). All mutations are ordered 608 by their genomic locations. X-axis: the order of the mutation's genomic location. Y-axis: variant 609 allele fraction (VAF) of individual mutations. Color depicts the gene harboring the mutations. The 610 three genes demonstrating significant difference between SE and NE, either on the gene level or 611 segment level, were labeled on top (TP53, GRM3, NOTCH1). One specific mutation with elevated 612 VAFs (NOTCH1 E424K) is indicated with a red arrow. b). The VAF of the six individual mutations 613 that are significantly enriched in SE vs NE epidermis in the primary discovery (green) and 614 validation (brown) data sets. The dotted red line represents median VAF of all mutations and 615 black lines indicate the median of each group. c). The predicted protein complex structure of 616 NOTCH1 and DLL4 to show the position of the mutant E424K and the interacting partners, DLL4 617 K189/R191, in wild type.

Figure 3. UV-induced DNA damage assessed by USMs. a). Only UV-signature mutations are associated with sun-exposure status. Left: higher numbers of USMs are found in SE than NE skin. Right: NUSMs are almost equally presented in SE and NE samples. Red dotted line indicates high-VAF (>0.1). Black dotted circle indicates extra USMs in SE skin compared with NE skin. b). The numbers of mutations by each amino-acid-change type found in SE and NE skin, grouped by USMs and NUSMs. Overall distribution c.) of the numbers of USMs per sample and d.) the VAFs of the mutations using the 2 mm punch size. Inside the violin plots: black dots - original

625 data points from individual samples; yellow dot with bar - averaged value with standard deviation. 626 SE samples are associated with higher numbers of USMs, as well as higher VAFs indicating 627 potential larger clones. e). Cumulative Relative Clonal Area (CRCA) was developed to represent 628 the overall percentage of the biopsied skin areas that are covered by clonal mutations. In all 13 629 current individuals, CRCAs were higher in SE than in the matched NE group, with the ratios of 630 SE/NE ranged from 1.4 to 55.0 (mean = 11.2). Statistical tests used: figure 3b, Fisher's exact test 631 with multiple test correction implemented using the FDR method; figure 3c, 3d: Wilcoxon test; 632 \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001.

633 Figure 4. Optimization of punch size for detecting USMs. a). A representative figure showing 634 one representative punch of each collection size. We selected the sample with the highest number 635 of mutations under each size for easy illustration. Every mutation is plotted as a dot with its size 636 calculated to match the clonal area harboring the mutation. One punch size, 3 mm was not shown 637 as it was obtained by cutting a 6 mm punch into guarters. b). In the discovery cohort, 2 mm was 638 found to be the most efficient size in differentiating CRCA from SE and NE skin samples by p 639 value. c). Distribution of numbers of USMs per sample at each punch size, after combining both 640 the discovery and validation cohorts. d). VAF of USM detected in different size punches. The size 641 of the dot indicates the approximate relative area of cells containing the mutation. In SE samples, 642 VAFs of USMs detected from larger punches are associated with smaller variations.

**Figure 5. Mutational contexts associated with UV-exposure.** a). Each dot represents a specific mutation context of SNVs and DNVs. X-axis: the total numbers of mutations of each context; yaxis: p value of the context for differentiating SE and NE skin, shown as -log(p). The dotted line indicate p<0.05 (the above area). None of the NUSM contexts was significant. b). Further refinement of USM contexts by depicting the numbers of mutations in SE and NE skin for all current USM contexts. Mutation contexts are ordered by the p value of SE vs NE in an increasing

order from left to right. Multiple test correction was implemented using the FDR method. Thedotted line indicates FDR p<0.05 (the left side).</li>

651

652 **Figure 6.** 

653 Clonal mutations are correlated with cSCC burden. a). Violin plots depicting the overall 654 distribution of somatic mutations in each sample, ordered by sample type. b). Mutation numbers 655 by genes in the normal skin. NS (high) - normal skin from high-cSCC patients; NS(low) - normal 656 skin from low-cSCC patients. c). High-cSCC patients are associated with increased low-VAF 657 (<1%) mutations. Histogram depicting the distribution of VAFs of the detected mutations in normal 658 skin separated by cSCC burden. The dotted oval highlights the increased low-VAF mutations in 659 the normal skin of high-cSCC patients compared with low-cSCC patients. d) Number of mutations 660 per sample in normal skin, separated by high- (≥1%) and low- (<1%) VAFs; e) Number of USMs 661 per sample in high- and low- cSCC normal skin (NS), and cSCC tumors. Shape indicates the two 662 normal skin samples from each patient, taken either 1mm (circle) or 6mm (triangle) from the 663 surgical margin.

# 664 Tables

# 665 Table 1. Patient and sample cohort

| Patient | Control<br>(dermis) | Epidermis SE/NE pairs |      |       |      |      |                   |                   |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|------|-------|------|------|-------------------|-------------------|
|         |                     | 1 mm                  | 2 mm | 3 mm* | 4 mm | 6 mm | 2 mm <sup>#</sup> | Total SE/NE pairs |
| Pt1     | 1                   |                       | 5    | 4     | 1    | 1    |                   | 11                |
| Pt2     | 1                   | 3                     | 5    | 4     | 1    | 1    |                   | 14                |
| Pt3     | 1                   | 3                     | 5    | 4     | 1    | 1    |                   | 14                |
| Pt4     | 1                   | 3                     | 3    |       |      |      |                   | 6                 |
| Pt5     | 1                   | 3                     | 3    | 3     | 3    | 3    | 5                 | 20                |
| Pt6     | 1                   | 3                     | 3    | 3     | 3    | 3    | 5                 | 20                |
| Pt7     | 1                   | 3                     | 3    | 3     | 3    | 3    | 5                 | 20                |
| Pt8     | 1                   | 3                     | 3    | 3     | 3    | 3    | 5                 | 20                |
| Pt9     | 1                   | 3                     | 3    | 3     | 3    | 3    | 5                 | 20                |
| Pt10    | 1                   | 3                     | 3    | 3     | 3    | 3    | 5                 | 20                |
| Pt11    | 1                   | 3                     | 3    | 3     | 3    | 3    | 5                 | 20                |
| Pt12    | 1                   | 3                     | 3    | 3     | 3    | 3    | 5                 | 20                |
| Pt13    | 2                   | 3                     | 3    | 3     | 3    | 3    | 5                 | 20                |
| Total   | 14                  | 36                    | 45   | 39    | 30   | 30   | 45                | 225               |

666 \* 3 mm punches were obtained by cutting 6 mm punches into quarters

667 <sup>#</sup> Validation cohort containing only 2 mm punches

# 669 References

- Rogers, H. W., Weinstock, M. A., Feldman, S. R. & Coldiron, B. M. Incidence Estimate of
  Nonmelanoma Skin Cancer (Keratinocyte Carcinomas) in the U.S. Population, 2012. *JAMA Dermatol* 151, 1081-1086, doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2015.1187 (2015).
- Koh, H. K., Geller, A. C., Miller, D. R., Grossbart, T. A. & Lew, R. A. Prevention and early
  detection strategies for melanoma and skin cancer. Current status. *Arch Dermatol* 132,
  436-443 (1996).
- Marteijn, J. A., Lans, H., Vermeulen, W. & Hoeijmakers, J. H. Understanding nucleotide
  excision repair and its roles in cancer and ageing. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* **15**, 465-481, doi:10.1038/nrm3822 (2014).
- 679 4 Brash, D. E. UV signature mutations. *Photochem Photobiol* **91**, 15-26, doi:10.1111/php.12377 (2015).
- 681 5 Wikonkal, N. M. & Brash, D. E. Ultraviolet radiation induced signature mutations in 682 photocarcinogenesis. *J Investig Dermatol Symp Proc* **4**, 6-10 (1999).
- 683 6 Marks, R., Rennie, G. & Selwood, T. S. Malignant transformation of solar keratoses to
   684 squamous cell carcinoma. *Lancet* 1, 795-797, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(88)91658-3
   685 (1988).
- 686 7 Ling, G. *et al.* Persistent p53 mutations in single cells from normal human skin. *Am J* 687 *Pathol* 159, 1247-1253, doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)62511-4 (2001).
- 688
   8
   Brash, D. E. Cancer. Preprocancer. Science 348, 867-868, doi:10.1126/science.aac4435

   689
   (2015).
- 690 9 Urano, Y. *et al.* Frequent p53 accumulation in the chronically sun-exposed epidermis and
   691 clonal expansion of p53 mutant cells in the epidermis adjacent to basal cell carcinoma. J
   692 Invest Dermatol 104, 928-932 (1995).
- Williams, C. *et al.* Clones of normal keratinocytes and a variety of simultaneously present
   epidermal neoplastic lesions contain a multitude of p53 gene mutations in a xeroderma
   pigmentosum patient. *Cancer Res* 58, 2449-2455 (1998).
- Yizhak, K. *et al.* RNA sequence analysis reveals macroscopic somatic clonal expansion
   across normal tissues. *Science* 364, doi:10.1126/science.aaw0726 (2019).
- 69812Martincorena, I. et al. Tumor evolution. High burden and pervasive positive selection of699somatic mutations in normal human skin. Science 348, 880-886,700doi:10.1126/science.aaa6806 (2015).
- Wei, L. *et al.* Accurate Quantification of Residual Cancer Cells in Pelvic Washing Reveals
   Association with Cancer Recurrence Following Robot-Assisted Radical Cystectomy. J
   Urol 201, 1105-1114, doi:10.1097/JU.0000000000142 (2019).
- Wei, L. *et al.* Pitfalls of improperly procured adjacent non-neoplastic tissue for somatic mutation analysis using next-generation sequencing. *BMC medical genomics* 9, 64, doi:10.1186/s12920-016-0226-1 (2016).
- 70715Tang, J. et al. The genomic landscapes of individual melanocytes from human skin.708bioRxiv, 2020.2003.2001.971820, doi:10.1101/2020.03.01.971820 (2020).

- 70916Huss, W. J. et al. Comparison of SureSelect and Nextera Exome Capture Performance in710Single-Cell Sequencing. Human heredity 83, 153-162, doi:10.1159/000490506 (2018).
- 711 17 Gamble, R. G. *et al.* Sun damage in ultraviolet photographs correlates with phenotypic
  712 melanoma risk factors in 12-year-old children. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 67, 587-597,
  713 doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2011.11.922 (2012).
- 71418Creidi, P. et al. Profilometric evaluation of photodamage after topical retinaldehyde and715retinoic acid treatment. J Am Acad Dermatol **39**, 960-965 (1998).
- 71619Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler717transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754-1760, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324 (2009).
- Liu, Q. *et al.* SeqSQC: A Bioconductor Package for Evaluating the Sample Quality of Nextgeneration Sequencing Data. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* **17**, 211-218, doi:10.1016/j.gpb.2018.07.006 (2019).
- 72121Saunders, C. T. *et al.* Strelka: accurate somatic small-variant calling from sequenced722tumor-normal sample pairs.*Bioinformatics*28,723doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts271 (2012).
- Wei, L. *et al.* MAC: identifying and correcting annotation for multi-nucleotide variations.
   *BMC genomics* 16, 569, doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1779-7 (2015).
- 72623Newman, A. M. et al. Integrated digital error suppression for improved detection of727circulating tumor DNA. Nat Biotechnol 34, 547-555, doi:10.1038/nbt.3520 (2016).
- Luca, V. C. *et al.* Structural biology. Structural basis for Notch1 engagement of Delta-like *Science* 347, 847-853, doi:10.1126/science.1261093 (2015).
- Blanpain, C., Lowry, W. E., Pasolli, H. A. & Fuchs, E. Canonical notch signaling functions
  as a commitment switch in the epidermal lineage. *Genes Dev* 20, 3022-3035,
  doi:10.1101/gad.1477606 (2006).
- 73326Lefort, K. & Dotto, G. P. Notch signaling in the integrated control of keratinocyte734growth/differentiation and tumor suppression. Semin Cancer Biol 14, 374-386,735doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2004.047 (2004).
- 73627Gao, J. et al. Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using<br/>the cBioPortal. Science signaling 6, pl1, doi:10.1126/scisignal.2004088 (2013).
- 73828Colom, B. et al. Spatial competition shapes the dynamic mutational landscape of normal739esophageal epithelium. Nat Genet, doi:10.1038/s41588-020-0624-3 (2020).
- Alexandrov, L. B. *et al.* Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. *Nature* 500, 415-421, doi:10.1038/nature12477 (2013).
- 74230Wu, S., Powers, S., Zhu, W. & Hannun, Y. A. Substantial contribution of extrinsic risk743factors to cancer development. Nature **529**, 43-47, doi:10.1038/nature16166 (2016).
- Tomasetti, C. & Vogelstein, B. Cancer etiology. Variation in cancer risk among tissues can
  be explained by the number of stem cell divisions. *Science* 347, 78-81,
  doi:10.1126/science.1260825 (2015).
- Martincorena, I. *et al.* Somatic mutant clones colonize the human esophagus with age. *Science*, doi:10.1126/science.aau3879 (2018).
- 749 33 Kunz, M. The genetic basis of new treatment modalities in melanoma. *Curr Drug Targets* 750 16, 233-248, doi:10.2174/1389450116666150204112138 (2015).

- Forbes, S. A. *et al.* The Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC). *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* Chapter 10, Unit 10 11, doi:10.1002/0471142905.hg1011s57 (2008).
- Johnson, T. M., Rowe, D. E., Nelson, B. R. & Swanson, N. A. Squamous cell carcinoma
  of the skin (excluding lip and oral mucosa). J Am Acad Dermatol 26, 467-484,
  doi:10.1016/0190-9622(92)70074-p (1992).
- Gejman, R. S. *et al.* Rejection of immunogenic tumor clones is limited by clonal fraction.
   *Elife* 7, doi:10.7554/eLife.41090 (2018).

Figure 1. Region-specific enrichment of somatic mutations in sun-exposed skin



Figure 2. Hotspots and mutations associated with UV-exposure.



# Figure 3. UV-induced DNA damage assessed by USMs



# Figure 4. Optimization of punch size for detecting USMs



# Figure 5. Mutational contexts associated with UV-exposure



# Figure 6. Clonal mutation burden correlates with skin cancer risk

