Genetic factors contributing to extensive variability of sex-specific hepatic gene expression in Diversity Outbred mice

Tisha Melia and David J. Waxman*

Department of Biology and Bioinformatics Program

Boston University, Boston, MA 02215

PLoS Genetics section: Natural variation

Running title: Genetic diversity of sex-specific liver gene expression

Key words: hepatic sex differences, chromatin accessibility, SNP array, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Disclosure statement: TM and DJW have nothing to declare.

This work was supported in part by NIH grant DK121998 (to DJW)

* Correspondence:

Dr. David J. Waxman
Dept. of Biology
Boston University
5 Cummington Mall
Boston, MA 02215
Email: djw@bu.edu

Abstract

Sex-specific transcription characterizes hundreds of genes in mouse liver, many implicated in sex-differential drug and lipid metabolism and disease susceptibility. While the regulation of liver sex differences by growth hormone-activated STAT5 is well established, little is known about autosomal genetic factors regulating the sex-specific liver transcriptome. Here we show, using genotyping and expression data from a large population of Diversity Outbred mice, that genetic factors work in tandem with growth hormone to control the individual variability of hundreds of sex-biased genes, including many lncRNA genes. Significant associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms and sex-specific gene expression were identified as expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs), many of which showed strong sex-dependent associations. Remarkably, autosomal genetic modifiers of sex-specific genes were found to account for more than 200 instances of gain or loss of sex-specificity across eight Diversity Outbred mouse founder strains. Sex-biased STAT5 binding sites and open chromatin regions with strain-specific variants were significantly enriched at eQTL regions regulating correspondingly sex-specific genes, supporting the proposed functional regulatory nature of the eQTL regions identified. Binding of the male-biased, growth hormone-regulated repressor BCL6 was most highly enriched at *trans*-eQTL regions controlling female-specific genes. Co-regulated gene clusters defined by overlapping eQTLs included sets of highly correlated genes from different chromosomes, further supporting *trans*-eQTL action. These findings elucidate how an unexpectedly large number of autosomal factors work in tandem with growth hormone signaling pathways to regulate the individual variability associated with sex differences in liver metabolism and disease.

60 **Author summary**

61 Male-female differences in liver gene expression confer sex differences in many biological processes
 62 relevant to health and disease, including lipid and drug metabolism and liver disease susceptibility.
 63 While the role of hormonal factors, most notably growth hormone, in regulating hepatic sex
 64 differences is well established, little is known about how autosomal genetic factors impact sex
 65 differences on an individual basis. Here, we harness the power of mouse genetics provided by the
 66 Diversity Outbred mouse model to discover significant genome-wide associations between genetic
 67 variants and sex-specific liver gene expression. Remarkably, we found that autosomal expression
 68 quantitative trait loci with a strong sex-bias account for the loss or gain of sex-specific expression of
 69 more than 200 autosomal genes seen across eight founder mice strains. Genetic associations with
 70 sex-specific genes were enriched for sex-biased and growth hormone-dependent regulatory regions
 71 harboring strain-specific genetic variants. Co-regulated gene clusters identified by overlapping
 72 regulatory regions included highly correlated genes from different chromosomes. These findings
 73 reveal the extensive regulatory role played by autosomal genetic variants, working in tandem with
 74 growth hormone signaling pathways, in the transcriptional control of sex-biased genes, many of which
 75 have been implicated in sex differential outcomes in liver metabolism and disease susceptibility.

77 **Introduction**

78 Sex differences in mammalian gene expression are not limited to reproductive tissues, but also occur
 79 somatic tissues [1], most notably the liver, as seen in mouse [2-4], rat [5, 6] and human [7, 8]. Sex-
 80 differential gene expression impacts hundreds of genes that control sex differences in liver function,
 81 including metabolism of drugs [9-11], other xenobiotics [12, 13] and fatty acids [14], as well as sex
 82 differences in liver disease [7, 15-18]. Sex differences in the liver transcriptome characterize protein-
 83 coding transcripts [6, 19], long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) [20, 21] and miRNAs [22-24]. Growth
 84 hormone (GH) is a key regulator of sex-biased gene expression [25, 26] and acts via its sex-
 85 dependent pattern of pituitary secretion: pulsatile in males and nearly continuous in females [27-29].
 86 These sex differential plasma GH profiles, in turn, induce the sex-differential activation of the

JAK2/STAT5 signaling pathway in hepatocytes. STAT5 and downstream GH-dependent transcription factors bind to individual sites in liver chromatin in a sex-biased manner, resulting in sex-differences in liver transcription [30-32]. Sex differences are also evident in the liver epigenome, including extensive sex differences in open chromatin regions (DNase I hypersensitive sites; DHS) [33, 34], chromatin marks [4, 35, 36], and DNA methylation [37-39] associated with the transcription of sex-specific genes.

Genetic modifiers can contribute to the regulation of sex-biased genes. In one early example, male-biased expression of *Cyp2d9* is abolished by a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the 5' regulatory region [40]. In another example, the Regulator of Sex-Limitation (*Rsl*) gene represses certain sex-specific genes in a strain-specific manner [41, 42]. Genetic polymorphisms can impart individual differences in drug-metabolizing enzymes [43], many of which are encoded by sex-specific genes [11, 44]. Genome-wide association studies linking genetic variants and liver gene expression have identified many expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) for liver-expressed genes [45-49]. Little is known, however, about the extent to which such genetic factors impact individual variability in sex-biased gene expression. Understanding the source of variability in sex-biased gene expression in liver has far-reaching implications, as sex-biased genes can impact liver diseases with sex differences in susceptibility, severity and in some cases etiology [50], including non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [51] and hepatocellular carcinoma [52, 53], and polygenic dyslipidemia and coronary artery disease [7, 54, 55].

Here, we investigate the impact of genetic regulation on sex-specific gene expression using Diversity Outbred (DO) mice, an outbred population derived from eight inbred mouse strains [56, 57]. DO mice have high natural allelic variance [58, 59] and diverse phenotypes [60, 61], which facilitates fine genetic mapping of liver-specific traits. Full genomic sequences are available for all eight DO founder strains [62], allowing genotypes in individual DO mice to be mapped back to specific founder strains. Using this model, we find unexpectedly high rates of gain and loss of sex-specific gene expression across DO founder mouse strains. We identify novel autosomal eQTLs targeting thousands of liver-

expressed genes, including 1,500 eQTLs regulating liver-expressed lncRNA genes. Almost 1,000 of the eQTLs we identified target sex-specific genes, and many of these show sex-dependent genetic associations that explain the gain or loss of sex-specific gene expression in DO founder mice. Further, we link sex-specific binding of GH-regulated transcription factors and sex differences in chromatin accessibility to eQTL regions regulating sex-specific genes, and we identify co-regulated gene clusters defined by their overlapping eQTLs, a subset of which involve *trans* regulation. This elucidation of genetic factors controlling liver sex differences has important implications for our understanding of inter-individual variability in sex-biased liver function and disease.

Results

High variability of sex-specific gene expression across DO mouse founder strains. We identified 1,033 protein-coding genes that show sex-specific expression in mouse liver in one or more of nine mouse strains examined (418 male-biased genes and 615 female-biased genes). These strains include CD-1 mice, used in our earlier studies of sex-biased liver gene expression [34], and the eight founder strains used to establish the DO mouse population (A/J, C57BL/6J, 129S1/SvImJ, NOD/ShiLtJ, NZO/HILtJ, CAST/EiJ, PWK/PhJ, WSB/EiJ) [56, 59]. Sex-biased gene expression was highly variable across the eight DO founder strains (Fig. 1A), with sex bias lost in at least one strain for 96% of the genes examined, and only 20 genes showing sex-biased expression in all 8 strains (see full dataset in Table S1; examples are shown in Fig. S1). This strong strain dependence also characterized the most highly sex-specific genes, with sex-biased expression lost in at least one strain for 58 of 74 genes with a sex-specific expression ratio > 4 at $FDR < 0.05$. Finally, analysis of the 220 sex-specific genes with the highest inter-strain variability revealed expression patterns highly specific to each strain, further confirming the striking variability of sex-specific gene expression in DO founder mouse livers (Fig. 1B).

The number of sex-specific genes identified in each founder strain ranged 2.4-fold, from 77 genes (strain A/J) to 183 genes (strain PWK/PhJ; Fig. S2A, Table S1). A similar pattern was seen when only the most highly sex-specific genes were considered (Fig. S2B). Principal component analysis (PCA)

based on the expression levels of all sex-specific genes revealed that the largest variance (PC1) corresponds to the sex of each liver, as expected, while the second component (PC2) clustered the individual liver samples based on the evolutionary distance between strains (Fig. 1C). Thus, the six *Mus musculus domesticus* subspecies strains are closer to each other than to the other subspecies strains, i.e. *Mus musculus castaneus* (strain CAST/EiJ) and *Mus musculus musculus* (strain PWK/PhJ). Further, among the *Mus musculus domesticus* strains, the lone, wild-derived WSB/EiJ strain was separated from the other five strains, recapitulating the published phylogenetic tree shown in Fig. 1C [63].

We implemented DAVID analysis [64, 65] to determine whether the sex-specific genes identified in each strain showed differential enrichment for particular biological pathways. Sixteen KEGG pathways were enriched (adjusted $P < 0.05$) in at least one of the eight sets of sex-specific genes examined (Fig. 1D). Six pathways were significantly enriched in nearly all strains, including cytochrome P450-catalyzed drug and lipid metabolism, identifying this as a conserved sex-specific biological function, while ten pathways showed strain-specific enrichments (see y-axis dendrogram). Pyruvate metabolism was uniquely linked to sex-specific genes in WSB/EiJ livers, while biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids was most strongly enriched in PWK/PhJ livers.

Impact on GH regulatory mechanisms. Our finding that some mouse strains contain comparatively few sex-specific genes, e.g., A/J and CAST/EiJ mice (Fig. S2), raises the possibility that these strains might be deficient in one of the four major classes of GH-regulated sex-specific genes found in liver [30, 66]. These classes of sex-specific genes are defined based on their responses to surgical removal of the pituitary gland by hypophysectomy, which ablates pituitary secretion of GH, as well as secretion of all other pituitary-dependent hormones. Class 1 male-specific and female-specific genes are activated in liver by the pituitary GH secretion profile in livers of the sex where they are more highly expressed, and consequently, following hypophysectomy, class 1 genes are down regulated in the sex where they show higher expression. In contrast, class 2 male-specific and female-specific genes are repressed in liver by the GH secretion profile of the sex where they are less highly

expressed, and consequently, following hypophysectomy, class 2 genes are up regulated (de-repressed) in the sex where they show lower expression. We did not observe any major differences in the distribution of sex-specific genes across the four GH/hypophysectomy response classes in any of the DO founder strains, as compared to the distribution in CD-1 mouse liver (Fig. 1E). Thus, the major GH-dependent regulatory mechanisms for liver sex-biased gene expression captured by the hypophysectomy class 1/class 2 gene designations are apparently preserved across the DO founder strains, despite high variability in the expression seen for many individual sex-specific genes.

eQTLs for liver-expressed genes. We analyzed published genotyping and expression datasets from 438 individual DO mouse livers [45, 67, 68] (Table S2) to discover eQTLs representing significant associations between SNPs/indels and the expression of either protein coding genes or multi-exonic liver-expressed lncRNA genes [20, 21]. This analysis was performed using the full set of 438 livers, and separately, all male livers (n=219) or all female livers (n=219) (Fig. S3A). We identified 10,325 significant autosomal eQTLs when considering all livers, 7,414 autosomal eQTLs when considering male livers only, and 8,233 autosomal eQTLs when considering female livers only, giving a total of 12,886 autosomal eQTLs (Table 1, Fig. 2A). The total number of eQTLs increased to 17,278 were found when chrX eQTLs were included (Table S3, Table S4A). Half of the autosomal eQTLs identified (6,344 out of 12,886) were not identified in earlier studies [45, 47-49, 68] and are novel, and include a large majority of the 1,524 eQTLs associated with liver-expressed lncRNA genes [20]. More autosomal eQTLs were identified in female than in male liver (Table 1), similar to findings in BXD mouse liver [48], suggesting genetic regulation is more common for the female liver transcriptome. In striking contrast, male DO liver eQTLs on chrX were 8.4 times more frequent than female DO liver eQTLs (3,932 vs. 468 eQTLs; Table S3A). This latter finding is consistent with the enrichment of sex-interacting eQTLs on human chrX [69], and with the observation that X-linked genetic variation in humans is significantly greater in males than in females [70]. Finally, 66% of autosomal eQTLs are within the same TAD [71] as the genes they are associated with, consistent with genetic regulation in *cis* (Table 1). In contrast, all 4,392 eQTLs on chrX were *trans*-acting (Table S4A).

Table 1. Number of autosomal eQTLs found when using all, male only or female only DO mouse livers. The number of genes associated with each set of eQTLs is shown in parenthesis. Last column: number of Combined eQTLs found in the same TAD as the genes they are associated with. More significant eQTLs were found in female than male DO mouse liver, similar to BXD mouse liver, where 1,638 significant eQTLs were found in male liver vs. 2,076 significant eQTLs in female liver (Gatti DM et al, 2010). See Table S4A for full listing of eQTLs.

	Set of genes	All Livers	Male Livers	Female Livers	Combined	Cis eQTLs
		number of eQTLs (number of genes)				
All eQTLs	Protein-coding (n = 18,543)	9,088 (8,753 genes)	6,494 (6,202 genes)	7,219 (6,904 genes)	11,362 (10,097 genes)	7,492 (66 %)
	LncRNA (n = 2,016)	1,237 (1,177 genes)	920 (885 genes)	1,014 (957 genes)	1,524 (1,318 genes)	1,014 (67%)
	Total eQTLs:	10,325	7,414	8,233	12,886	8,506
eQTLs associated with 1,196 sex-specific genes	Protein-coding (n = 1,033)	708 (682 genes)	555 (523 genes)	602 (581 genes)	830 (743 genes)	631 (76%)
	LncRNA (n = 163)	131 (121 genes)	99 (95 genes)	105 (97 genes)	157 (130 genes)	108 (69%)
	Total eQTLs:	839	654	707	987	739

For each eQTL, we identified the founder strain whose SNP/indel is most likely responsible for the genetic association captured by the eQTL (the 'regulating strain'). This was indicated by the founder strain whose regression coefficient at the SNP marker where the strongest association occurs (i.e. the SNP marker with the highest LOD score) had the largest absolute value (Table S4A). 60% of all autosomal eQTLs discovered using all DO livers (6,158 of 10,325 eQTLs) were associated with genetic variants in either CAST/EiJ or PWK/PhJ mice (Fig. 2B). Those two strains are the most evolutionarily divergent (Fig. 1C) and have the largest number of SNPs and indels [59]. The WSB/EiJ strain follows (10% all of autosomal eQTLs), followed by the other five founder strains (~6% of autosomal eQTLs each), highlighting how liver gene expression patterns diverged as genetic variants accumulated during evolution. A majority (54-67%) of all autosomal eQTLs were associated with up regulation of gene expression in the regulating strain (Fig. S3B), and 36% (3,706 of 10,325 autosomal eQTLs) were associated with changes in expression in two or more regulating strains (Table S4A, column AC).

Genetic regulation of sex-specific genes. eQTLs regulate a large fraction of sex-specific genes, with 873 of 1,196 sex-specific genes (73%) being associated with a total of 987 autosomal liver

eQTLs (Table 1, Fig. 3A). 143 of these eQTLs were only significant when using male livers (66 eQTLs) or only when using female livers (77 eQTLs) (Table S4A). Further, many of the eQTLs discovered using both male and female DO livers showed a stronger genetic association in one sex (Table S4B, Fig. S4). eQTLs that are stronger in male DO livers were biased for association with strongly male-specific genes, and those that are stronger in female liver were more closely associated with strongly female-specific genes, as indicated by the distributions of their sex-differential LOD scores (Fig. 3B). eQTLs within the top 10% of LOD score differences between male and female livers (top 1,060 of all 10,597 such autosomal eQTLs; Table S4A) were enriched 5.1-fold for association with genes of matched-sex-specificity, as compared to genes with the opposite sex-specificity (P -value $< 6.5e-07$, Fisher's Exact test). Multiple eQTLs were identified for 199 sex-specific genes (Table S4C), indicating these genes are subject to multiple, distinct forms of regulation. Finally, 240 sex-specific genes were not associated with any eQTLs (Table S4D). These expression of these 240 genes across DO livers was less variable than that of sex-specific genes with eQTLs, as was seen in both male DO ($P < 0.01$, Student's t-test) and female DO livers ($P < 0.007$, Student's t-test); average expression levels were similar for both gene sets ($P > 0.28$, Student's t-test).

Next, we investigated whether eQTLs with a sex-bias in their association can explain the variability in sex-specific gene expression seen in DO founder strains. We examined 360 autosomal eQTLs for sex-specific genes that are significant in either male only or female only DO liver samples (or both) and are associated with either an increase or a decrease in sex-specificity in the regulating strain (Table S5, Table S6). These associations encompass 322 (68%) of the 471 sex-specific protein-coding genes for which expression data is available for all eight founder strains in both sexes. We identified four circumstances whereby an eQTL decreases the sex-specificity of expression in the regulating strain (Fig. 3C; 172 eQTLs in categories # 1-4), and four other circumstances whereby an eQTL increases sex-specificity in the regulating strain (Fig. 3D; 188 eQTLs in categories # 5-8) (Table S6, Fig. S5), where a decrease or an increase in expression is inferred from the regression coefficient in the regulating strain (Table S5). One example is the category # 2 eQTL on chr10 regulating the female-specific *Sult3a1* gene, which is highly significant in male DO livers but was not found in female

livers (Fig. 2C). This *cis* eQTL is associated with loss of sex-specificity due to the elevated expression of *Sult3a1* in male livers of CAST/EiJ mice, the regulating strain (Fig. 2D, *left*; Fig. 2E; *blue arrows*). *Sult3a1* is also associated with a distinct, category # 4 eQTL on chr9 that was uniquely identified in female livers (Fig. 2C). This *trans* eQTL abolishes sex-specificity due to the decreased expression of *Sult3a1* in female livers of NZO/HlLtJ mice, its regulating strain (Fig. 2D, *right*; Fig. 2E; *red arrows*). Thus, both eQTLs abolish the sex difference in *Sult3a1* expression in their respective regulating founder strains (Fig. 2E, *arrows*), but by different mechanisms.

Overall, 219 of the 360 eQTLs (61%), impacting 205 sex-biased genes, were associated with either an outright loss or gain of sex-specificity in the regulating strain (Table S6, column D). For 134 of the 172 (78%) eQTLs in categories # 1-4, sex-specific gene expression is lost in the regulating strain but it is retained in at least one other strain. Sex-specific expression is lost in the regulating strain for 81-92% of eQTLs in categories # 1, 3 and 4, but for only 53% of category # 2 eQTLs (Fig. 3C). This indicates that while all category # 2 eQTLs decrease sex-specificity in the regulating strain, almost half are not strong enough to cause a loss of sex-specificity. Of the 188 eQTLs in categories # 5-8, only 47% (85 eQTLs) showed a gain of sex-specificity in the regulating strain (Fig. 3D). This suggests there is another layer of gene regulation for many of those genes. These findings highlight the extensive impact and multiple mechanisms through which genetic factors regulate the variability of sex-specific gene expression in DO mice.

Sex-biased regulatory elements within eQTL regions. Genomic regions encompassed by an eQTL may impact sex-specific gene expression in the regulating founder strain through strain-specific genetic variants at sex-specific regulatory regions, e.g., enhancers. Such regulatory regions include DHS that are sex-biased in mouse liver, i.e., regions where chromatin is significantly more open (more accessible) in one sex, and that harbor sex-biased binding sites for key GH-regulated liver transcription factors [31, 32, 35]. Strain-specific genetic variants at such sites may alter or abolish GH-regulated transcription factor binding activity, and perhaps may alter chromatin accessibility and thereby dysregulate the expression of sex-biased genes. To investigate this hypothesis, we analyzed

sex-biased DHS, and separately, sex-biased transcription factor binding sites that contain SNPs/indels specific to the identified regulating strain within each eQTL region (Table S4A, last columns). Sex-biased DHS with strain-specific variants were enriched at eQTL regions associated with genes with matching sex-specificity, as compared to eQTLs associated with genes showing the opposite sex-bias or genes with no sex-specificity (Fig. 4A; *first two panels*; Fig. S6). The majority of DHS found in any eQTL region, both for sex-biased and sex-independent genes, are sex-independent DHS; these DHS showed a significant enrichment at eQTLs for sex-independent genes, as compared to sex-specific genes (Fig. 4A; *third panel*). A similar pattern of enrichment was found for sex-biased binding sites for the GH-regulated transcription factor STAT5 (Fig. 4B). In contrast, male-biased binding sites for the GH-regulated transcriptional repressor BCL6, which preferentially represses female-specific genes in male liver [32, 72], showed significant enrichment at *cis* eQTL regions for female-specific genes, when compared to eQTL regions for male-specific or sex-independent genes (Fig. 4C, *first panel*). Sex-independent BCL6 binding sites were significantly depleted at eQTL regions associated with female-specific genes (Fig. 4C, *third panel*). Male-biased BCL6 binding sites showed an even greater enrichment at *trans* eQTL regions (Fig. 4D). These enrichments of sex-biased DHS and transcription factor binding sites with strain-specific SNPs/indels provide strong support for the functional relevance of these genomic regions to the eQTL-based regulation.

Inference of gene co-regulation based on eQTLs. We hypothesized that genes with overlapping eQTLs are likely to be co-regulated, presumably via shared regulatory regions. Overlapping eQTLs with a common regulating strain were used to define co-regulated gene clusters. We identified 1,521 such co-regulated gene clusters, comprising 4,105 genes, based on eQTLs discovered in all DO liver samples (Table S7A). The vast majority of the co-regulated gene clusters are small in size; 95% of all clusters include five or fewer genes each, although some clusters contain as many as 25 genes (Table S7D). 28 of the gene clusters were significantly enriched for sex-specific genes (Table S7A). The absence of many large co-regulated gene clusters indicates that strain differences are primarily determined by many individual loci, each regulating a small number of genes, rather than by a small number of variants in master regulatory regions. The gene clusters we identified show evidence of co-

regulation, with many genes within clusters showing high pairwise gene expression correlation (see examples in Fig. 5). Half (762) of the co-regulated clusters contain sub-clusters, where the identified eQTL region was associated with up regulation of some genes and down regulation of other genes in the cluster. One example is a 21-gene cluster on chr2, which is predicted to occur in the PWK/PhJ strain (Fig. 5A; Table S7A, Cluster100_all). Pairwise gene expression correlation analysis separated these 21 eQTLs into two well-defined sub-clusters. Eleven overlapping eQTLs in the upper sub-cluster have positive regression coefficients (i.e. increased expression of the 11 genes; Fig. S7) and are all located on the same chromosome (chr2) as their associated genes, whereas 10 overlapping eQTLs in the lower sub-cluster have negative regression coefficients (i.e., decreased expression of the 10 genes; Fig. S7 and Fig. S8) and are each located on a different chromosome than the genes they are associated with. The pairwise gene correlations are less tight in the negatively correlated sub-cluster (e.g. *Zbtb7c*), suggesting the eQTL association may represent indirect regulation or partial regulation involving another factor. Notably, in the case of the eQTL involving *Zbtb7c*, an independent, stronger genetic association was identified in *cis* (chr18, Fig. S8). The co-regulated eQTL cluster in Fig. 5A shows that genes from different chromosomes may be grouped together (Fig. S7), highlighting the ability of this approach to identify complex *trans* regulation. Overall, 159 (10.4%) of the co-regulated clusters grouped genes from multiple chromosomes. Further, 344 (23%) of the co-regulated clusters contain both protein-coding and lncRNA genes, generating testable hypothesis for possible functions of the co-clustered lncRNAs. We also found examples of co-regulated clusters containing genes within the same chromosome, indicating local regulatory regions (Fig. 5B).

Co-regulated gene clusters based on overlapping eQTLs were also discovered using male only DO livers (Table S7B), and separately, female only DO livers (Table S7C), and clusters with a sex-dependent genetic association were identified. Some of these clusters showed a switch in gene members between clusters identified in male liver as compared to female liver samples. One example involves three female-specific genes from the sulfotransferase gene family: *Sult2a1*, *Sult2a3* and *Sult2a5* (Fig. 6A). In PWK/PhJ male mouse liver, but not in PWK/PhJ female mouse liver, *Sult2a1* and *Sult2a5*, but not *Sult2a3*, are predicted to be co-regulated, as their eQTLs overlap and are associated

with high expression of both genes (Fig. 6B, male samples, *top* and *bottom*). In contrast, in CAST/EiJ female liver, *Sult2a1* shows co-regulation with *Sult2a3*, and the high expression of both genes is associated with overlapping eQTL regions (Fig. 6B, female samples; *top* and *middle*). Even though all three eQTL regions overlap, their eQTL peaks do not overlap, indicating a need for higher resolution genetic mapping to pinpoint the precise regulatory regions and tease apart these two distinct regulations. *Sult2a3* and *Sult2a5* showed no significant eQTLs in male and female liver samples, respectively (Fig. S9). The pairwise gene correlations between these three genes are consistent with these patterns, as *Sult2a1* and *Sult2a3* show higher correlation in female liver samples, as compared to male liver samples (Pearson correlation 0.7 vs. 0.3), and *Sult2a1* and *Sult2a5* show higher correlation in the male samples, as compared to female samples (Pearson correlation 0.8 vs 0.6) (Fig. 6A). The relatively strong correlation in female livers between *Sult2a1* and *Sult2a5*, which was not seen in the CAST/EiJ co-regulated cluster, indicates that there is, nevertheless, an overall correlation in their expression across female DO mouse livers, once the complexity of other DO founder strains is factored in.

Discussion

Sex-specific gene expression is widespread in mammalian liver, where it impacts male-female differences in lipid and drug metabolism as well as disease susceptibility. While the role of hormonal factors, most notably GH, in regulating liver sex differences is well established, little is known about the effects of genetic factors on the observed differences between the sexes. Here, we used the DO mouse model, a genetically diverse population derived from eight inbred mouse strains [56], to dissect the genetic component of sex-biased transcriptional regulation. We discovered that an unexpectedly large number of distinct genetic factors work in tandem with the hormonal environment to regulate the transcription of sex-biased genes in the liver. DO mice showed high variability in sex-specific gene expression that reflects the high variability of expression seen in the eight founder strains, with 96% of sex-specific genes deficient in sex-biased expression in one or more strains. We identified 987 associations between autosomal genetic variants and expression of sex-biased genes (eQTLs for

sex-biased genes), including 157 eQTLs associated with 130 sex-biased multi-exonic lncRNA genes [20]. Many of these eQTLs showed large differences in genetic association (LOD score) between male and female liver populations and were closely linked to the loss or gain of sex-biased gene expression in the predicted regulating founder mouse strain. Genomic regions that contain genetic variants specific to the regulating founder strain and show sex differences in chromatin accessibility, or in the binding of the GH-regulated transcription factor STAT5, were significantly enriched for eQTLs associated with genes with matching sex-specificity, supporting the proposed functional, regulatory nature of the eQTL regions we identified. Gene clusters defined by overlapping eQTLs identified sets of co-regulated genes, in some cases from different chromosomes, consistent with the *trans*-acting nature of a subset of the eQTLs described here.

Variability of sex-specific genes across DO founder mice. We found exceptional high variability of sex-specific gene expression across DO founder mouse strains, with some strains expressing many more sex-specific genes than others, and with sex-specific expression absent in at least one founder strain for nearly all sex-specific genes. This suggests that, for the vast majority of sex-specific genes, conservation of the sex-specificity of a given pathway or function, such as cytochrome P450 (CYP)-mediated lipid and drug metabolism, may be more important than conservation of the sex specificity of individual genes. For example, although sex specificity was preserved across all eight DO founder strains for only 4 of 28 sex-specific *Cyp* genes (male-specific *Cyp2d9* and *Cyp4a12a*; female-specific *Cyp2a4* and *Cyp17a1*), six of the strains expressed at least 15 sex-specific *Cyps* each, and the other two strains expressed 9-11 sex-specific *Cyp* genes each (Table S1). However, strain-specific enrichment of certain KEGG pathways was also seen, e.g., pyruvate metabolism in WSB/EiJ mice and biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids in PWK/PhJ mice. Of the 20 genes that showed consistent sex-specific expression across all eight strains, eight genes were active in steroid and drug/foreign chemical metabolism (*Cyp*, *Gst*, *Fmo*, *Aox*, and *Hsd* family members), three were enzymes of fatty acid biosynthesis and metabolism (*Elovl3*, *Acot3*, *Cyp4a12a*), two were complement genes (*C8b*, *C9*), and two were involved in liver fibrosis (*Prom1*, *Rtn4*; [73, 74]). The conserved sex specificity of these 20 genes suggests they carry out essential, non-redundant sex-specific functions. The strain-

dependent expression seen for the much larger number of other sex-specific genes is consistent with the diverse hepatic phenotypes, including differences in sex-biased liver disease susceptibility, seen in various mouse strains and in outbred individuals [56, 75].

Genetic variants accounted for a large fraction of the variability of sex-biased gene expression seen in DO mouse liver. Thus, 68% of sex-specific genes examined (i.e., 322 of 471 sex-specific genes for which we have DO founder expression data) were regulated by a set of 360 eQTLs predicted to either decrease or increase sex-specificity in the regulating founder strain. The effects of these eQTLs act by a variety of mechanisms, e.g., by suppression of a male-specific gene or activation of a female-specific gene in male liver, which both decrease sex specificity; and by increasing activation of a male-specific gene or increasing suppression of a female-specific gene in male liver, both of which increase sex specificity. Remarkably, the genetic modifiers of sex-specific genes that we identified explain more than 200 instances of gain or loss of sex-specificity across the eight DO mouse founder strains, highlighting the widespread impact of genetic regulatory factors on the variability of sex-specific gene expression.

DO founder mice exhibit diverse liver phenotypes, including differences in susceptibility to NAFLD [76], liver fibrosis [77] and hepatotoxicity [78, 79], as well as differences in liver inflammatory responses [80], whose molecular mechanisms, involve, at least in part, sex-biased genes [17, 18, 81-84]. Notably, the sex-dependent eQTLs identified here include *cis*-acting eQTLs for several sex-specific genes implicated in NAFLD, such as *Cyp7b1*, a male-specific P450 that inactivates estrogenic and hepatotoxic sterols and is a key driver of NAFLD [85-90], *Gstp1*, a male-biased oxidative stress-protective glutathione transferase with high risk allelic variants for NAFLD in humans [91-93], and *Nox4*, a male-biased NADPH oxidase that increases hepatocyte oxidative stress, apoptosis and liver fibrosis [94-96]. We also identified both *cis* and *trans* sex-dependent eQTLs for *Sult3a1*, a female-specific hepatic sulfotransferase that protects from benzene genotoxicity [97]. The fact that a majority of genetic risk factors for complex diseases, including sex-biased NAFLD and insulin resistance [90, 98-100], are in non-coding regions, primarily at active DHS [101-104], supports the proposal that the underlying

SNPs/indels for many of the sex-dependent eQTLs that we identified are in DHS and other such regulatory regions.

Relationship between genetic variants and GH regulatory mechanisms. Many of the eQTLs for sex-specific genes described here were substantially stronger in one sex than the other, indicating the underlying SNPs/indels act in a sex-dependent manner. The underlying mechanisms for these effects likely involve transcriptional and epigenetic regulatory events controlled by GH [4, 34, 35], the key regulator of sex-specific gene expression in the liver. Global mechanisms of sex-specific hormonal regulation are apparently maintained in the DO founder strains, as indicated by their very similar distributions of sex-specific genes across four distinct sex-specific gene classes, defined by their responses to the loss of GH signaling following hypophysectomy [30, 66]. Moreover, sex-biased open chromatin regions (DHS) and sex-biased, GH-regulated STAT5 binding sites containing strain-specific variants were significantly enriched at eQTL regions regulating correspondingly sex-specific genes, while binding of the male-biased, GH-regulated repressor BCL6 [32, 72] was most highly enriched at *trans*-eQTL regions controlling female-specific genes. These findings support the proposal that SNPs/indels at these GH-dependent regulatory regions cause either a loss or a gain in sex-biased transcription factor binding, and thereby alter GH-regulated gene expression in a sex-dependent manner. In one model (Fig. 7A), a strain-specific SNP/indel at one of the sex-biased regulatory elements associated with an eQTL with a strong repressive effect on *Cyp2b9* expression in female 129S1/SvImJ liver (Fig. S5D) interferes with the binding of factors required for *Cyp2b9* transcription. In another model (Fig. 7B), an activating SNP/indel at one of the sex-biased regulatory regions is proposed to explain the de-repression of *Sult3a1* in CAST/EiJ male liver (Fig. 2C). Further studies, including mutation of individual strain-specific SNPs/indels found at each of the regulatory regions in these eQTLs will be required to fully evaluate these proposed mechanisms.

Properties of the genetic regulation of sex-biased genes. Our findings give insight into several important features that govern how genetic modifiers impact the expression of sex-specific genes in the liver. We found major differences in the sex-specific liver transcriptome between mouse strains,

determined by an unexpectedly large number of individual genetic loci, each regulating small numbers of genes in *cis* and/or in *trans*, and without affecting the overall patterns of GH regulation that dictate sex-specific gene transcription in the liver. Thus, the co-regulated gene clusters we identified from overlapping eQTLs in the same regulating strain are mostly small in size, containing five or fewer genes. However, this finding does not preclude the possibility that some of the clustered genes are themselves regulators of larger numbers of downstream genes, but whose effects are not sufficiently strong to be discovered as eQTLs in our analyses. Genetic modifiers of sex-specific gene expression may also operate via regulatory proteins that are not themselves expressed in a sex-dependent manner. One such example is *Rsl1*, which codes for a Krüppel-associated box (KRAB) zinc finger repressor whose expression varies across mouse strains [105, 106] and for which we found both *cis* and *trans* eQTLs (Table S4). *Rsl1* directly represses *Slp* and a few other male-specific genes in mouse liver, but can also regulate other sex-specific genes by indirect activation or repression, which enables genetic variants of *Rsl* to have a more widespread impact on sex-specific gene expression patterns [41, 42]. Another feature of genetic regulation seen here is the clustering of protein coding genes with co-regulated lncRNA genes, which may contribute to sex-specific gene regulation [20] through epigenetic or other regulatory mechanisms [81, 107, 108]. Finally, our finding of large numbers of sex-biased autosomal genetic associations in mouse liver differs from results in whole blood based on clinical samples, where a common autosomal genetic architecture for gene expression was found across the sexes [109].

Materials and methods

DO mouse liver datasets and analyses. Liver RNA sequencing expression data was downloaded from GEO (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) for 438 individual DO mice using accession numbers GSE45684 [67, 68] and GSE72759 [45]. The datasets used for our analyses were derived from 219 male and 219 female DO mice, 26 weeks of age, all with SNP array data available. Of these, 112 males and 110 females were fed a standard chow diet, and 107 males and 109 females were fed a high fat diet. Genotyping data based on SNP arrays were downloaded from the DO Database

(<https://www.jax.org/research-and-faculty/genetic-diversity-initiative/tools-data/diversity-outbred-database#>) for 264 DO samples genotyped at 7,854 SNPs using the Mouse Universal Genotyping Array (MUGA) [110] and for 174 DO samples genotyped using a higher density array, MegaMUGA [111], encompassing 77,725 SNP probes. **Haplotype reconstruction.** Quantile normalization, implemented in DOQTL [112], was used to normalize microarray intensity values across batches with default parameters (Fig. S3A). DOQTL was applied to the normalized microarray intensity values at each SNP probe to determine the founder strain that the SNP is most likely inherited from, i.e. the founder haplotype, as follows. Two founder haplotypes, referred to as founder diplotypes, are possible at each SNP locus, giving a total of 36 possible founder diplotypes for a population generated from 8 founder strains: 8 homozygous diplotypes and 28 heterozygous diplotypes. DOQTL uses the intensity of each SNP probe to generate a probabilistic estimate for each diplotype state at each SNP in each DO mouse using a hidden Markov model, where the hidden states are the diplotype states. DOQTL assigned each SNP locus to the diplotype state with the highest posterior probability. **Individual mouse genome reconstruction.** Seqnature [68] was used to construct an individual diploid genome for each DO mouse using founder haplotypes that were inferred at the previous step. Recombination boundaries were defined as the genomic midpoint between neighboring SNPs that were assigned to different founder diplotypes. For each recombination block, Seqnature was used to recapitulate the founder genome of interest by incorporating high quality SNPs and small indels (<100 bases) that were found in that particular genome, downloaded as a vcf file from the Sanger Mouse Genome project (release 1211, [62]). **RNA-seq read mapping.** Tophat2 [113, 114] was used with default parameters to map RNA-seq reads from each individual DO mouse liver sample to that mouse's diploid genome, which was comprised of both paternal and maternal allele sequences (Fig. S3A).

Other mouse liver RNA-seq samples and data analyses. Three publicly available liver gene expression datasets were downloaded for the eight DO mouse founder strains: 1) a microarray dataset comprised of 96 samples, six replicates per sex for each strain, assayed on the Illumina Sentrix Mouse-6 V1.1 platform, at <http://cgd.jax.org/gem/strainsurvey26/v1>; 2) an RNA-seq dataset comprised of 128 male liver samples, six livers per strain from each of two diets, standard chow and

high fat diet (GEO accession GSE45684) [67, 68]; and 3) an RNA-seq dataset comprised of 12 male and 12 female mouse livers for strain C57Bl/6J (GEO accession GSE59222) [3]. Tophat2 was used with default parameters to map each RNA-seq sample to its respective strain's genome, generated by Seqnature based on SNPs/indels retrieved from the Sanger Mouse Genome project (release 1211) [62]. RNA-seq data for livers from the CD-1 (ICR) mouse strain, consisting of three pools of male and three pools female liver samples, was downloaded from GEO accession GSE98586 [34] and then mapped to the reference mouse genome using Tophat2.

Expression quantification. Gene expression was quantified using featureCounts [115] to count sequence reads that overlap any exon by at least one bp. For RNA-seq datasets that were mapped to a haploid genome, e.g. the C57Bl/6J reference mouse genome and the eight DO mouse founder strains, only uniquely mapping reads were used. For DO mouse datasets, which were mapped to the reconstructed diploid genome of each individual mouse, the best mapped location for each read was used. The restriction of using only unique mapped reads was not imposed, as it would exclude reads that map to genomic regions where the paternal and maternal alleles are the same, which in many cases would substantially underestimate expression of a gene. Gene expression levels for DO mouse RNA-seq data were based on the total number of reads that overlap the counted regions in either the paternal or maternal allele. Read counts were then transformed to fragments per kilobase of exon per million reads mapped (FPKM) for downstream analysis. For FPKM calculation on diploid genomes, exon lengths were based on the average length of exons for the two alleles of each gene.

Sex-specificity of gene expression. Three liver expression datasets, described above, were used to establish the sex-specificity of protein-coding genes: 1) male and female CD1 mouse liver RNA-seq dataset; 2) male and female C57Bl/6J mouse liver RNA-seq dataset; and 3) male and female mouse liver Illumina Sentrix Mouse-6 V1.1 expression microarray dataset, encompassing each of the eight DO mouse founder strains. edgeR [116] was used to perform differential analysis for the two RNA-seq datasets; and limma [117] with default parameters was used for the microarray dataset. For genes with multiple microarray probes, we chose the probe with the lowest adjusted p-value. We considered

a total of 1,196 sex-specific genes, as follows. A total of 1,033 protein-coding genes were designated sex-specific (Table S1) based on the following criteria: male/female gene expression |fold-change| > 2 at FDR < 0.05 in at least one RNA-seq dataset, or |fold-change| > 1.5 at FDR < 0.05 in at least one of the microarray datasets. A set of 168 multi-exonic, intergenic liver-expressed lncRNAs designated sex-specific based on their significant sex-biased expression in one or more of the 8 RNA-seq datasets examined previously (male/female |fold-change| > 4 at FDR < 0.05; see listing in Supplemental Table 2A, column AB, of [20]), of which 163 were expressed in at least one DO liver sample. For each gene, the sex specificity was based on its sex bias in the strain with the largest |fold-change| for male/female expression ratio. Where indicated, our analyses were limited to 471 sex-specific protein-coding genes for which microarray expression data is available for all eight DO founder strains in both sexes.

Variability of gene expression across DO founder strains. RNA-seq data for n = 8 male livers in each DO founder mouse strain was used to determine the variability across, and within, each founder strains, as follows: Inter-strain variability (Gene X) = [standard deviation of (mean expression value of Gene X in each of the 8 strains)] / [average of (set of mean expression values for Gene X in each of the 8 strains)]. Intra-strain variability (Gene X) = [standard deviation of Gene X expression across n = 8 male livers in strain s] / [mean of Gene X expression in n = 8 male livers in strain s].

eQTL analysis. eQTLs were identified using liver gene expression data 219 male and 219 female DO mouse livers (Fig. S3A, Table S2). We used the additive haplotype model in DOQTL [112] to perform QTL mapping by regressing each gene's expression level based on the estimates of each founder strain's contribution, i.e., the founder diplotype probability, at each SNP marker. Adjustments to account for relatedness amongst DO mice, as well as batch, sex, diet, and interaction between sex and diet were included in the regression analysis as additive covariates, written as the following in R: `model.matrix(~sex + diet + diet*sex + generation + batch, data = data)`. The strength of the association between gene expression and genotype is given as a likelihood ratio (LOD score, logarithm of the odds score), which is the -log₁₀(p-value) when comparing the full model to the null

552 model, where the null model excludes diplotype probabilities. QTL mapping also gives eight
553 regression coefficients, whose magnitudes reflect the effect of the founder alleles at each SNP
554 marker. A positive regression coefficient indicates the genetic variant is associated with high
555 expression of the gene of interest, and a negative regression coefficient indicates the genetic variant
556 is associated with low expression of the gene of interest. For each eQTL, the regulating strain (i.e.,
557 the strain with the largest alteration in gene expression due to genetic factors) was defined as the DO
558 founder strain whose regression coefficient had the largest absolute value. A Bayesian credible
559 interval, defined as 95% of the region under the LOD¹⁰ curve, as implemented in DOQTL [112], was
560 defined for each eQTL. This interval delineates the genomic location where the highest association
561 occurs, as defined by the uppermost 5% area under the peak of the LOD score curve. **Significance**
562 **level.** A genome-wide p-value for each association was determined by carrying out 1,000
563 permutations on the gene expression data. To assemble a list of associations between gene
564 expression and SNPs, the SNP with the highest LOD score for each gene was recorded; subsequent
565 high-scoring SNPs were retained if their p-value was < 0.05 and if they were located on a different
566 chromosome than the SNP with the highest LOD score. An FDR correction was then applied to the
567 genome-wide p-values. Any eQTL with FDR < 0.05 was deemed significant. To identify genetic
568 variants whose association with gene expression was only found in one sex, or was stronger in one
569 sex than in the other, we repeated the eQTL mapping analysis twice more, once using only the 219
570 male DO liver samples, and a second time using only the 219 female DO liver samples. **Gene**
571 **expression pre-processing.** Gene expression levels, in units of FPKM, were transformed into
572 normal scores using the inverse normal transformation in DOQTL [112] prior to their use for eQTL
573 mapping. eQTL mapping was carried out for protein-coding genes and for intergenic, multi-exonic
574 lncRNA genes that were expressed in at least one liver sample, namely: 20,559 genes (18,543
575 protein-coding genes and multi-exonic 2,016 lncRNA genes) when analyzing the 438 male and female
576 DO livers together as a single set; 20,268 genes (18,275 protein-coding and 1,993 lncRNA genes)
577 when analyzing only the 219 male DO livers; and 20,190 genes (18,207 protein-coding and 1,983
578 lncRNA genes) when analyzing only the 219 female DO livers. **Interpolating missing genotype**
579 **information.** To preserve the extra information that the higher density SNP array provides, QTL

mapping was performed on 64,713 SNPs markers (Table S2A), which corresponds to the union of SNP markers from the two genotyping arrays used after removal of SNP probes with no differentiating information across the eight strains [111]. For missing SNP probes, i.e. SNPs that were unique to one type of array, diplotype probabilities were assigned from the nearest measured SNP. All of the SNP probes that were analyzed are located on autosomes or on chrX. **Novelty of eQTLs.** An eQTL was considered novel if its 95% Bayesian credible interval did not overlap by at least one bp the associated variant region of a published eQTL for the same gene name or RefSeq accession number. **Cis and trans eQTLs.** An eQTL was designated *cis* if the eQTL's 95% Bayesian credible interval overlapped (by at least 1 bp) the TAD region that contains the transcription start site of the gene regulated by the eQTL; otherwise it was designated a *trans* eQTL. TAD coordinates were those listed for mouse liver in [71].

Categorization of eQTLs with a sex-bias in genetic association. Sex-biased genetic associations were defined for 360 autosomal eQTLs that are significant in either male liver or female liver samples (see Table S4A, columns D, F, N and O), based on LOD score calculated using male only DO liver samples *minus* LOD score calculated using female only DO liver samples. Each such sex-dependent eQTL was assigned to one of eight categories based on three criteria: the sex-specificity of the gene it is associated with, the sex-bias of its genetic association (LOD score in males minus LOD score in females), and its regression coefficient in the regulating strain and in the sex where the eQTL LOD score is higher (Table S5). A decrease (or an increase) in sex specificity was inferred from a negative (or a positive) regression coefficient, which indicates that the gene is predicted to be expressed at a lower (or higher) level in the regulating strain as compared to its expression in the other DO founder strains based on the regression model. For example: category #1 is comprised of eQTLs associated with male-specific genes that show stronger regulation in male liver (LOD score in males > LOD score in females) and a negative regression coefficient in the regulating strain, indicating a decrease in sex specificity compared to what it would have been without the eQTL; while category #8 is comprised of eQTLs associated with female-specific genes that show stronger regulation in female liver (LOD score in females > LOD score in males) and a positive regression coefficient in the regulating strain,

indicating an increase in sex specificity compared to what it would have been without the eQTL (Table S5).

Transcription factor binding sites and DHS (open chromatin regions) with strain-specific SNPs/indels at eQTLs. High quality SNPs/indels in the eight DO mouse founder strains were downloaded from the Sanger Mouse Genome project (release 1211) [62]. SNPs/indels that occur in only one founder strain were designated strain-specific variants, and were extracted from a variant call format (vcf) file downloaded from https://www.sanger.ac.uk/sanger/Mouse_SnpViewer/rel-1211 (release 1211) using a custom R-script provided in File S1. We selected for analysis DHS and transcription factor binding site ChIP-seq peaks that are within each eQTL region (95% Bayesian Credible interval, based on the liver sample set that gives the highest LOD score), and whose peak region contains a strain-specific SNP/indel for the matching regulating strain. For these analyses, we considered eQTL regions that are < 3 MB in width (8,254 of all 12,886 autosomal eQTLs). Further, eQTLs identified for genes with |male/female| expression > 4 were designated an eQTL for a sex-specific gene, and eQTLs identified for genes with |male/female| < 1.2 were designated an eQTL for a sex-independent gene, based on male/female expression ratios determined by edgeR. The number of eQTLs included in the analysis of DHS and transcription factor binding sites ranged from 17 and 2,793, and is specified for each analysis in the x-axis labels of Fig. 4. For each eQTL region, we counted the fraction of DHS or ChIP-seq binding sites for each transcription factor analyzed that are male-specific, female-specific or sex-independent, as defined in the published studies for STAT5 [32] and BCL6 (Table S11 of [21]), and for the set of ~72,000 liver DHS from [33], of which 4,644 DHS were designated male-biased DHS and 2,814 were designated female-biased DHS based on reanalysis of the published raw data performed by Gracia Bonila of this laboratory. For each eQTL considered, we computed the fraction of all male-specific, female-specific and sex-independent DHS, or transcription factor binding sites, within the eQTL region that contain a SNP/indel specific to the regulated strain for that eQTL (Fig. S6), and then compared the distributions of those fractions to those of the other sets of eQTLs being considered, as shown along the x-axis of Fig. 4. Significance was determined by Wilcoxon test.

636

637 **Co-regulated gene clusters.** Each eQTL region was centered at the SNP marker with the highest
638 LOD score, i.e. the eQTL peak. eQTL region boundaries were set at the second SNP marker from the
639 center; thus, each eQTL region contains five SNP markers. eQTL regions with the same regulating
640 strain whose boundaries overlap by at least one bp were assigned to the same co-regulated gene
641 cluster. The genomic region encompassing overlapping eQTLs was computed two ways: 1) based on
642 the intersection of the regions defined by the five SNP markers of each eQTL; and 2) based on the
643 union of the 95% percent Bayesian intervals of each of the overlapping eQTLs. Of note, the 95%
644 percent Bayesian interval may be smaller or larger than the five SNP region, and the union of 95%
645 Bayesian intervals may also be smaller than the intersection of the five SNP regions, depending on
646 how large and overlapping the 95% Bayesian intervals are. Co-regulated gene clusters were identified
647 based on eQTLs discovered in all DO liver samples, and separately, male only DO liver samples, and
648 female only DO liver samples. This analysis considered eQTLs for genes that are expressed (FPKM >
649 0) in at least 25% of each set of liver samples, i.e., all DO livers, male only DO livers, or female only
650 DO livers.

651

652 **Other analyses.** The var function in R was used to quantify liver expression variation for sex-specific
653 genes across livers from 112 chow diet-fed male DO mice, and separately across livers of 110 chow
654 diet-fed female DO mice. Sex-specific genes belonging to each of four classes, defined by their
655 responses to hypophysectomy, were from Table S3 of [30]. The ComBat function in the sva R
656 package [118] was used with default parameters to remove from the gene expression data (in units of
657 log₂(FPKM+1)) a batch effect that correlated with the liver sample's GEO accession number. Pearson
658 correlation was then used to calculate pairwise gene expression correlations. PCA was implemented
659 using the prcomp function in R using log₂, centered normalized microarray intensity values. DAVID
660 functional annotation (<https://david.ncifcrf.gov/>) [64, 65] was used to discover enriched KEGG
661 pathways by inputting the official gene symbols for the sex-specific protein-coding genes discovered
662 in each strain. Pathways with adjusted P (Benjamini) < 0.05 were deemed significant. liftOver [119]

was used to convert genomic regions into mouse mm9 coordinates using default parameters, and
 bioDBnet:db2db [120] was used to convert Ensembl gene identifiers to RefSeq accession numbers.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Sex-specificity across DO founder strains. (A) Heatmap of log2 male/female fold-change values across DO founder mouse livers for 471 protein-coding genes that show sex-biased expression (male/female |fold-change| > 1.5 at FDR < 0.05) in at least one DO founder strain based on microarray analysis. Solid black boxes, genes with strong sex-specificity in the indicated strains; dashed boxes, strong sex-specificity is limited to one strain. Arrows, strong sex-biased expression in all 8 strains. Sex-specific expression was lost in at least one of the 8 strains for 451 of these 471 genes. **(B)** Gene expression level for 220 sex-specific genes with the highest ratio of inter-strain variability to intra-strain variability (see Methods) across DO founder mouse livers. The map was clustered based on the summation of expression levels of each gene across the 8 indicated DO founder strain male livers, with the color intensity indicating the fraction of expression seen in each liver. Data shown are based on 8 male livers for each DO founder strain. **(C)** PCA of 96 microarray datasets (6 livers/sex/strain) based on the expression level of 471 sex-specific genes in each DO founder strain. Shown at the right is a phylogenetic tree [63] of the eight DO founder strains based on the SNP data for chr11. The three DO founder strains whose names are in colored boxes are the more distant wild-derived inbred strains, which matches the more distant patterns of sex-specific gene expression seen in the PCA plot. **(D)** KEGG pathways enriched (adjusted Benjamini P < 0.05) in the set of sex-specific protein-coding genes identified in each DO founder mouse strain. **(E)** Distribution of male-specific class 1 and class 2 genes, and of female-specific class 1 and class 2 genes across mouse strains. Each bar presents the distribution of sex-specific genes across four hypophysectomy response classes identified earlier [30].

Fig. 2. Autosomal eQTLs for liver-expressed genes. (A) Venn diagrams showing numbers of autosomal eQTLs discovered using all DO livers, or using male only or female only liver samples and

that are associated with protein-coding genes (*left*) or lncRNA genes (*right*). Overall, 10,597 autosomal eQTLs are significant in either male liver or female liver (Table 1). **(B)** Pie chart showing the relative frequency at which each of the indicated DO founder strains has the largest regression coefficient (i.e., is the major regulating strain) for the combined set of 12,886 autosomal eQTLs. **(C)** Genome-wide association of *Sult3a1* in all, male only, and female only DO livers. The horizontal red line marks the $P < 0.05$ significance cutoff based on the permutation test. **(D)** Regression coefficients (*top* of each panel) and LOD scores ($\log_{10}(\text{p-value})$; *bottom* of each panel) across the chromosome that has a significant eQTL peak in male (*left*) or female (*right*) mouse liver, as marked at bottom. Shaded area in the LOD score plot indicates the 95% Bayesian credible interval for each eQTL. **(E)** Expression of *Sult3a1* in male and female mouse livers for each DO founder strain (*left*). Also shown are calculated male/female expression ratios (*right*). The middle hinge of each boxplot indicates the median, and the lower and upper hinges indicate the first and third quartiles. Whiskers mark $1.5 \times \text{IQR}$ value. Red arrows indicate a decrease in female liver expression in NZO/HiLtJ mice and an increase in male liver expression in CAST/EiJ mice, both of which abolish the sex-specific expression seen in the respective mice strain.

Fig. 3. Autosomal eQTLs associated with sex-specific genes. **(A)** Venn diagrams showing overlaps for numbers of eQTLs discovered in all, male only or female only DO liver samples that are associated with sex-specific protein-coding or sex-specific, multi-exonic intergenic lncRNA genes. **(B)** Distribution of LOD score differences ($\log_{10}$ values) in male vs. female liver for autosomal eQTLs that are associated with genes that are sex-independent (*left*), strongly male-specific (male/female |fold-change| > 4 ; *middle*) or strongly female-specific (male/female |fold-change| > 4 ; *right*). **(C)** (*Left*) Distribution of 172 eQTLs in categories #1-4 (described at the right), which are associated with a loss of sex-specific gene expression in the regulating strains. (*Right*) Four scenarios, whereby an eQTL decreases the sex-specificity of gene expression. **(D)** (*Left*) Distribution of 188 eQTLs in categories #5-8 (described at the right), which are associated with a gain of sex-specific gene expression in the regulating strains. (*Right*) Four scenarios, whereby an eQTL increases the sex-specificity of gene expression.

719

720 **Fig. 4. Enrichment at different sets of eQTL regions of sex-biased DHS and transcription factor**
 721 **binding sites that contain regulating strain-specific SNPs/indels.** Y-axis, fraction of all DHS (A),
 722 or all binding sites for STAT5 (B) or BCL6 (C, for *cis*-eQTLs; and D, for *trans*-eQTLs) within the eQTL
 723 region that are male-specific, female-specific or sex-independent and that contain (within the DHS or
 724 within the binding site) SNPs/indels specific to the regulating strain. This analysis was carried out for
 725 the sets of eQTLs for genes expressed in a male-specific, female-specific, or sex-independent
 726 manner (sets of eQTLs specified along the x-axis), with the number of eQTLs analyzed in each
 727 boxplot indicated in parenthesis. Data shown in A and B are for *cis* plus *trans* eQTLs, whereas *cis* (C)
 728 and *trans* (D) eQTLs were analyzed separately in C and D. The number of DHS and STAT5 or BCL6
 729 binding sites in each eQTL is indicated in Table S4. For example, each of the three red boxplots in
 730 panel D shows the distribution of 17 values, where each value is the fraction of BCL6 binding sites
 731 that are male-biased, female-biased, or sex-independent (as indicated at top) in a set of 17 *trans* eQTL
 732 regions that are associated with female-specific genes; only BCL6 binding sites that contain
 733 SNPs/indels that are specific for the regulated strain are considered. For each factor, the distributions
 734 were compared across eQTL sets using the Wilcoxon test (***, $p < 0.001$, **, $p < 0.01$ and *, $p < 0.05$), as
 735 indicated in each subpanel. The middle hinge of each boxplot indicates the median value, while the
 736 lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles. Whiskers marks $1.5 \times$ IQR value.
 737

738 **Fig. 5. Co-regulated gene clusters based on overlapping eQTL regions discovered in all liver**
 739 **samples. (A)** A co-regulated cluster consisting of twenty-one overlapping eQTLs with the PWK/PhJ
 740 as the regulating strain. **(B)** Four co-regulated clusters containing sex-specific genes. The heatmaps
 741 show Pearson correlations of gene expression.

742

743 **Fig. 6. Co-regulated gene clusters that show different gene members in male vs. female liver.**
 744 **(A)** Pearson gene expression correlation of *Sult2a1*, *Sult2a3* and *Sult2a5* in male liver (*left*) and
 745 female liver (*right*). **(B)** Regression coefficients (top figure in each panel) and LOD scores (bottom

figure in each panel) across chr7 for *Sult2a1* in male liver (*top left*) and female liver (*top right*), for *Sult2a3* in male liver (*middle left*) and female liver (*middle right*) and for *Sult2a5* in male liver (*bottom left*) and female liver (*bottom right*). Shaded area in the LOD score figures indicate 95% Bayesian credible interval for each eQTL.

Fig. 7. Models for how eQTLs may act in a sex-dependent manner to regulate sex-specific genes. (A) Repressing SNP at *Cyp2b9* regulatory element. A *cis*-acting eQTL on chr7 with a strong genetic association in female but not male mouse liver represses expression of *Cyp2b9* in female 129S1/SvImJ liver (Fig. S5D; category #4 eQTL, Fig. 3C). This eQTL is proposed to involve a SNP ('X') that abolishes binding of a female-specific transcriptional activator (TF) to the regulatory element, and may lead to loss of female-biased chromatin accessibility and the loss of the sex-specific expression seen in 129S1/SvImJ liver. The *Cyp2b9* eQTL region includes two female-biased DHS, three female-biased STAT5 binding sites, and five female-biased HNF6 binding sites, all of which overlap with 129S1/SvImJ-specific SNPs/indels (Table S4, Fig. S10). **(B)** Activating SNP at *Sult3a1* regulatory element. A *cis*-acting eQTL on chr10 with a much stronger genetic association in male than female mouse liver enables elevated expression of *Sult3a1* in male CAST/EiJ liver (Fig. 2C-2D; category #2 eQTL, Fig. 3C). This eQTL is proposed to involve a SNP ('X') that abolishes binding of a male-specific repressor protein (R) to the regulatory element shown, but does not interfere with binding of the transcriptional activator (TF), thereby causing loss of the sex-specific expression of *Sult3a1* in CAST/EiJ liver (Fig. 2E). The chr10 *Sult3a1* eQTL region includes two female-biased DHS, one female-biased STAT5 binding site and seven female-biased HNF6 binding sites [31], all of which overlap with 129S1/SvImJ-specific SNPs/indels (Table S4).

Supporting information

Fig. S1. High variability of the sex-specificity of gene expression in individual livers of CD-1 mice and DO mouse founder strains for two examples of sex-specific genes. Gene expression in individual mouse livers across strains: male and female CD-1 mice (first row; *left*), male and female

773 C57BL/6J mice (first row, *right*), male and female DO mice fed a standard chow diet (*second row*), or
 774 fed a high fat diet (*third row*). The fourth row shows box plots of gene expression level (FPKM) based
 775 on 128 individual male livers for DO founder mice fed a standard chow diet (*left*), or fed a high fat diet
 776 (*right*), and for male and female DO founder strain mice (fifth row; *left*). The first four rows present
 777 gene expression values determined by RNA-seq (FPKM values), while the gene expression on the
 778 fifth row was determined by microarray analysis. Male/Female expression ratios across the DO
 779 founder strains based on the microarray dataset are also presented (fifth row; *right*). Examples shown
 780 are for *Cyp4a12b*, a male-specific gene (A), where male-biased expression is reduced or lost in
 781 PWK/PhJ mice, and for *Cyp2c39*, a female-specific gene (B), where female-biased expression is lost
 782 in C57BL/6J mice.

783

784 **Fig. S2. Numbers of sex-specific protein-coding genes in each DO founder strain.** Shown are
 785 the number of sex-specific protein-coding genes (A) or number of highly sex-specific protein-coding
 786 genes (B) (male/female |fold-change| > 4 at FDR < 0.05) in at least one DO mouse founder strain
 787 based on the microarray dataset) for each founder mouse strain.

788

789 **Fig. S3. Analysis of SNP array and RNA-seq data for each DO mouse liver sample: Schematic**
 790 **overview (A), and properties of autosomal eQTLs in DO mouse liver (B).** Shown in (B) are the
 791 percentages of autosomal eQTLs that are associated with increased expression (white bars) or
 792 decreased expression (colored bars) in the respective regulating founder mouse strain. These data
 793 are based on 625, 672, 574, 593, 616, 3,085, 3,074 and 1,086 autosomal eQTLs whose regulating
 794 strain is A/J, C57BL/6J, 129S1/SvImJ, NOD/ShiLtJ, NZO/HILtJ, CAST/EiJ, PWK/PhJ, or WSB/EiJ,
 795 respectively. Data are based on the 10,325 autosomal eQTLs (Table 1) discovered when the set of all
 796 DO liver samples were used for eQTL discovery.

797 **Fig. S4. Examples of sex-specific genes with stronger genetic association in male or female**
 798 **liver.** For each gene, the figure shows the gene expression patterns across strains (see Fig. S1 for
 799 details), and genome-wide eQTL scans using the three different DO liver datasets, where the

horizontal red line marks the $P < 0.05$ significance cutoff for eQTL significance based on the permutation test. Also shown are regression coefficients across the chromosome that contains the significant eQTL peak, as follows: Regression coefficients (top of each panel) and LOD scores ($\log_{10}(\text{p-value})$; bottom of each panel) across the chromosome that has a significant eQTL peak in male (left) or female (right) mouse liver, as marked at bottom. Shaded area in the LOD score plot indicates the 95% Bayesian credible interval for each eQTL. **(A)** *Cav1* shows strong male-specific expression in the PWK/PhJ founder strain and in a subset of male DO mouse livers. Its strong eQTL is seen in male livers only and is associated with the PWK/PhJ strain. **(B)** *Hsd3b5* shows male-biased expression in CD-1 mice and multiple DO founder strains; its expression is repressed in female C57BL/6J mice.

Fig. S5. Examples of sex-specific genes in categories # 1-8, described in Fig. 3. For each gene, the figure shows gene expression patterns across strains, genome-wide eQTL scan, and regression coefficients at the chromosome with a significant peak, as described in the legend to Fig. S1.

Fig. S6. Enrichment of sex-specific regulatory elements with strain-specific SNPs/indels at eQTLs for sex-specific genes. In the example shown, 5 of the 8 regulatory elements (i.e., ChIP-seq binding sites) within the eQTL region shown are male-specific binding sites, three of which contain either SNPs or Indels specific for the regulating strain. A fourth regulatory element with a strain-specific SNP is a sex-independent binding site. One strain-specific SNP is not in a regulatory element, and so is excluded from the analyses shown in Fig. 4, as are the four regulatory elements without any strain-specific SNPs/indels.

Fig. S7. Regression coefficients (top figure in each panel) and LOD scores (bottom figure in each panel) for genes shown in Fig. 5A.

Fig. S8. Genome-wide LOD score plots for genes that are located in different chromosomes in the co-regulated cluster depicted in Fig. 5A.

Fig. S9. Genome-wide LOD score plots the *Sult2a1*, *Sult2a3* and *Sult2a5* genes.

Fig. S10. Strain-specific SNPs/indels proposed to contribute to the loss of sex-specific gene expression for *Cyp2b9* in female 129S1/SvImJ liver. (A) distribution of gene expression level of *Cyp2b9* across individual DO mouse livers (Table S2) was used to discover eQTLs stratified by the genotype assigned at the the SNP marker with the highest LOD score. (B) A female-biased STAT5 binding sites (fourth red arrow from the top) at a female-biased DHS (third red arrow from the top), containing two 129S1/SvImJ-specific SNPs/indels (two top arrows), located within the eQTL region for *Cyp2b9*.

File S1. Files for custom R-script used to extract strain-specific SNPs/indels from variant call format file downloaded from https://www.sanger.ac.uk/sanger/Mouse_SnpViewer/rel-1211 (release 1211).

Acknowledgments

We thank Gracia Bonilla of this laboratory for providing lists of male-biased and female-biased DHS used in Fig. 4, and Dr. Daniel Gatti of The Jackson Laboratory for providing access to the DO mouse SNP array and liver expression datasets used in this study.

References

1. Rinn JL, Snyder M. Sexual dimorphism in mammalian gene expression. Trends in genetics : TIG. 2005;21(5):298-305. Epub 2005/04/27. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2005.03.005. PubMed PMID: 15851067.
2. Clodfelter KH, Holloway MG, Hodor P, Park SH, Ray WJ, Waxman DJ. Sex-dependent liver gene expression is extensive and largely dependent upon signal transducer and activator of transcription 5b (STAT5b): STAT5b-dependent activation of male genes and repression of female genes revealed by microarray analysis. Molecular endocrinology (Baltimore, Md). 2006;20(6):1333-51. Epub 2006/02/14. doi: 10.1210/me.2005-0489. PubMed PMID: 16469768.
3. Lowe R, Gemma C, Rakyan VK, Holland ML. Sexually dimorphic gene expression emerges with embryonic genome activation and is dynamic throughout development. BMC genomics. 2015;16:295. Epub 2015/04/19. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1506-4. PubMed PMID: 25888192; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4410000.

- 860 4. Lau-Corona D, Bae WK, Hennighausen L, Waxman DJ. Sex-biased genetic programs in liver
861 metabolism and liver fibrosis are controlled by EZH1 and EZH2. PLoS genetics.
862 2020;16(5):e1008796. Epub 2020/05/20. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008796. PubMed PMID:
863 32428001; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7263639.
- 864 5. Wauthier V, Waxman DJ. Sex-specific early growth hormone response genes in rat liver.
865 Molecular endocrinology (Baltimore, Md). 2008;22(8):1962-74. Epub 2008/05/17. doi:
866 10.1210/me.2007-0549. PubMed PMID: 18483176; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2725767.
- 867 6. Yu Y, Fuscoe JC, Zhao C, Guo C, Jia M, Qing T, et al. A rat RNA-Seq transcriptomic
868 BodyMap across 11 organs and 4 developmental stages. Nature communications. 2014;5:3230. Epub
869 2014/02/11. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4230. PubMed PMID: 24510058; PubMed Central PMCID:
870 PMCPMC3926002.
- 871 7. Zhang Y, Klein K, Sugathan A, Nassery N, Dombkowski A, Zanger UM, et al. Transcriptional
872 profiling of human liver identifies sex-biased genes associated with polygenic dyslipidemia and
873 coronary artery disease. PloS one. 2011;6(8):e23506. Epub 2011/08/23. doi:
874 10.1371/journal.pone.0023506. PubMed PMID: 21858147; PubMed Central PMCID:
875 PMCPMC3155567.
- 876 8. Lamba V, Jia B, Liang F. STAT5A and STAT5B have opposite correlations with drug response
877 gene expression. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2016;479(2):117-24. Epub
878 2016/06/07. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.06.011. PubMed PMID: 27264955; PubMed Central PMCID:
879 PMCPMC6250063.
- 880 9. Zanger UM, Schwab M. Cytochrome P450 enzymes in drug metabolism: regulation of gene
881 expression, enzyme activities, and impact of genetic variation. Pharmacol Ther. 2013;138(1):103-41.
882 doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2012.12.007. PubMed PMID: 23333322.
- 883 10. Lopes-Ramos CM, Kuijjer ML, Ogino S, Fuchs CS, DeMeo DL, Glass K, et al. Gene
884 Regulatory Network Analysis Identifies Sex-Linked Differences in Colon Cancer Drug Metabolism.
885 Cancer research. 2018;78(19):5538-47. Epub 2018/10/03. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-0454.
886 PubMed PMID: 30275053; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6169995.
- 887 11. Waxman DJ, Holloway MG. Sex differences in the expression of hepatic drug metabolizing
888 enzymes. Mol Pharmacol. 2009;76(2):215-28. Epub 2009/06/02. doi: 10.1124/mol.109.056705.
889 PubMed PMID: 19483103; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2713118.
- 890 12. Liu W, Kulkarni K, Hu M. Gender-dependent differences in uridine 5'-diphospho-
891 glucuronosyltransferase have implications in metabolism and clearance of xenobiotics. Expert Opin
892 Drug Metab Toxicol. 2013;9(12):1555-69. doi: 10.1517/17425255.2013.829040. PubMed PMID:
893 24011176.
- 894 13. Alnouti Y, Klaassen CD. Mechanisms of gender-specific regulation of mouse sulfotransferases
895 (Sults). Xenobiotica; the fate of foreign compounds in biological systems. 2011;41(3):187-97. Epub
896 2010/11/26. doi: 10.3109/00498254.2010.535923. PubMed PMID: 21091322; PubMed Central
897 PMCID: PMCPMC5506857.
- 898 14. Wang X, Magkos F, Mittendorfer B. Sex differences in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism: it's not
899 just about sex hormones. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(4):885-93. Epub 2011/04/09. doi:
900 10.1210/jc.2010-2061. PubMed PMID: 21474685; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3070248.
- 901 15. Grossmann M, Wierman ME, Angus P, Handelsman DJ. Reproductive Endocrinology of
902 Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Endocrine reviews. 2019;40(2):417-46. Epub 2018/12/01. doi:
903 10.1210/er.2018-00158. PubMed PMID: 30500887.

- 904 16. Biswas S, Ghose S. Divergent impact of gender in advancement of liver injuries, diseases, and
905 carcinogenesis. *Frontiers in bioscience (Scholar edition)*. 2018;10:65-100. Epub 2017/09/21. doi:
906 10.2741/s501. PubMed PMID: 28930519.
- 907 17. Tessari P, Coracina A, Cosma A, Tiengo A. Hepatic lipid metabolism and non-alcoholic fatty
908 liver disease. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2009;19(4):291-302. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2008.12.015.
909 PubMed PMID: 19359149.
- 910 18. Baik M, Yu JH, Hennighausen L. Growth hormone-STAT5 regulation of growth, hepatocellular
911 carcinoma, and liver metabolism. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2011;1229:29-37. Epub 2011/07/29. doi:
912 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06100.x. PubMed PMID: 21793836; PubMed Central PMCID:
913 PMCPMC3427656.
- 914 19. Conforto TL, Waxman DJ. Sex-specific mouse liver gene expression: genome-wide analysis of
915 developmental changes from pre-pubertal period to young adulthood. *Biology of sex differences*.
916 2012;3:9. Epub 2012/04/06. doi: 10.1186/2042-6410-3-9. PubMed PMID: 22475005; PubMed Central
917 PMCID: PMCPMC3350426.
- 918 20. Melia T, Waxman DJ. Sex-Biased lncRNAs Inversely Correlate With Sex-Opposite Gene
919 Coexpression Networks in Diversity Outbred Mouse Liver. *Endocrinology*. 2019;160(5):989-1007.
920 Epub 2019/03/07. doi: 10.1210/en.2018-00949. PubMed PMID: 30840070; PubMed Central PMCID:
921 PMCPMC6449536.
- 922 21. Melia T, Hao P, Yilmaz F, Waxman DJ. Hepatic Long Intergenic Noncoding RNAs: High
923 Promoter Conservation and Dynamic, Sex-Dependent Transcriptional Regulation by Growth
924 Hormone. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2016;36(1):50-69. Epub 2015/10/16. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00861-15. PubMed
925 PMID: 26459762; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4702595.
- 926 22. Hao P, Waxman DJ. Functional Roles of Sex-Biased, Growth Hormone-Regulated MicroRNAs
927 miR-1948 and miR-802 in Young Adult Mouse Liver. *Endocrinology*. 2018;159(3):1377-92. Epub
928 2018/01/19. doi: 10.1210/en.2017-03109. PubMed PMID: 29346554; PubMed Central PMCID:
929 PMCPMC5839735.
- 930 23. Kwekel JC, Vijay V, Han T, Moland CL, Desai VG, Fuscoe JC. Sex and age differences in the
931 expression of liver microRNAs during the life span of F344 rats. *Biology of sex differences*. 2017;8:6.
932 Epub 2017/02/09. doi: 10.1186/s13293-017-0127-9. PubMed PMID: 28174625; PubMed Central
933 PMCID: PMCPMC5291947.
- 934 24. Warnefors M, Mossinger K, Halbert J, Studer T, VandeBerg JL, Lindgren I, et al. Sex-biased
935 microRNA expression in mammals and birds reveals underlying regulatory mechanisms and a role in
936 dosage compensation. *Genome research*. 2017;27(12):1961-73. Epub 2017/10/29. doi:
937 10.1101/gr.225391.117. PubMed PMID: 29079676; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5741053.
- 938 25. Waxman DJ, O'Connor C. Growth hormone regulation of sex-dependent liver gene
939 expression. *Molecular endocrinology (Baltimore, Md)*. 2006;20(11):2613-29. Epub 2006/03/18. doi:
940 10.1210/me.2006-0007. PubMed PMID: 16543404.
- 941 26. Lichanska AM, Waters MJ. How growth hormone controls growth, obesity and sexual
942 dimorphism. *Trends in genetics : TIG*. 2008;24(1):41-7. Epub 2007/12/08. doi:
943 10.1016/j.tig.2007.10.006. PubMed PMID: 18063438.
- 944 27. Veldhuis JD, Anderson SM, Shah N, Bray M, Vick T, Gentili A, et al. Neurophysiological
945 regulation and target-tissue impact of the pulsatile mode of growth hormone secretion in the human.
946 *Growth Horm IGF Res*. 2001;11 Suppl A:S25-37. doi: 10.1016/s1096-6374(01)80005-8. PubMed
947 PMID: 11527085.

- 948 28. Veldhuis JD, Bowers CY. Human GH pulsatility: an ensemble property regulated by age and
949 gender. Journal of endocrinological investigation. 2003;26(9):799-813. doi: 10.1007/BF03345229.
950 PubMed PMID: 14964431.
- 951 29. Shapiro BH, Agrawal AK, Pampori NA. Gender differences in drug metabolism regulated by
952 growth hormone. The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology. 1995;27(1):9-20. Epub
953 1995/01/01. doi: 10.1016/1357-2725(94)00056-5. PubMed PMID: 7757886.
- 954 30. Connerney J, Lau-Corona D, Rampersaud A, Waxman DJ. Activation of Male Liver Chromatin
955 Accessibility and STAT5-Dependent Gene Transcription by Plasma Growth Hormone Pulses.
956 Endocrinology. 2017;158(5):1386-405. doi: 10.1210/en.2017-00060. PubMed PMID: 28323953;
957 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6283433.
- 958 31. Conforto TL, Steinhardt GfT, Waxman DJ. Cross Talk Between GH-Regulated Transcription
959 Factors HNF6 and CUX2 in Adult Mouse Liver. Molecular endocrinology (Baltimore, Md).
960 2015;29(9):1286-302. doi: 10.1210/me.2015-1028. PubMed PMID: 26218442; PubMed Central
961 PMCID: PMCPMC4552435.
- 962 32. Zhang Y, Laz EV, Waxman DJ. Dynamic, sex-differential STAT5 and BCL6 binding to sex-
963 biased, growth hormone-regulated genes in adult mouse liver. Mol Cell Biol. 2012;32(4):880-96. Epub
964 2011/12/14. doi: 10.1128/MCB.06312-11. PubMed PMID: 22158971; PubMed Central PMCID:
965 PMCPMC3272977.
- 966 33. Ling G, Sugathan A, Mazor T, Fraenkel E, Waxman DJ. Unbiased, genome-wide in vivo
967 mapping of transcriptional regulatory elements reveals sex differences in chromatin structure
968 associated with sex-specific liver gene expression. Mol Cell Biol. 2010;30(23):5531-44. Epub
969 2010/09/30. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00601-10. PubMed PMID: 20876297; PubMed Central PMCID:
970 PMCPMC2976433.
- 971 34. Lau-Corona D, Suvorov A, Waxman DJ. Feminization of Male Mouse Liver by Persistent
972 Growth Hormone Stimulation: Activation of Sex-Biased Transcriptional Networks and Dynamic
973 Changes in Chromatin States. Mol Cell Biol. 2017;37(19). Epub 2017/07/12. doi:
974 10.1128/MCB.00301-17. PubMed PMID: 28694329; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5599723.
- 975 35. Sugathan A, Waxman DJ. Genome-wide analysis of chromatin states reveals distinct
976 mechanisms of sex-dependent gene regulation in male and female mouse liver. Mol Cell Biol.
977 2013;33(18):3594-610. Epub 2013/07/10. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00280-13. PubMed PMID: 23836885;
978 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3753870.
- 979 36. Thomson JP, Ottaviano R, Buesen R, Moggs JG, Schwarz M, Meehan RR. Defining baseline
980 epigenetic landscapes in the rat liver. Epigenomics. 2017;9(12):1503-27. Epub 2017/11/14. doi:
981 10.2217/epi-2017-0029. PubMed PMID: 29130343; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5957268.
- 982 37. Reizel Y, Spiro A, Sabag O, Skversky Y, Hecht M, Keshet I, et al. Gender-specific postnatal
983 demethylation and establishment of epigenetic memory. Genes & development. 2015;29(9):923-33.
984 Epub 2015/05/03. doi: 10.1101/gad.259309.115. PubMed PMID: 25934504; PubMed Central PMCID:
985 PMCPMC4421981.
- 986 38. Garcia-Calzon S, Perfilyev A, de Mello VD, Pihlajamaki J, Ling C. Sex Differences in the
987 Methylome and Transcriptome of the Human Liver and Circulating HDL-Cholesterol Levels. J Clin
988 Endocrinol Metab. 2018;103(12):4395-408. Epub 2018/05/31. doi: 10.1210/jc.2018-00423. PubMed
989 PMID: 29846646; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6212806.
- 990 39. Takasugi M, Hayakawa K, Arai D, Shiota K. Age- and sex-dependent DNA hypomethylation
991 controlled by growth hormone in mouse liver. Mechanisms of ageing and development. 2013;134(7-
992 8):331-7. Epub 2013/05/28. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2013.05.003. PubMed PMID: 23707638.

- 993 40. Sueyoshi T, Kobayashi R, Nishio K, Aida K, Moore R, Wada T, et al. A nuclear factor (NF2d9)
994 that binds to the male-specific P450 (Cyp 2d-9) gene in mouse liver. Mol Cell Biol. 1995;15(8):4158-
995 66. Epub 1995/08/01. doi: 10.1128/mcb.15.8.4158. PubMed PMID: 7623810; PubMed Central
996 PMCID: PMCPMC230654.
- 997 41. Krebs CJ, Khan S, MacDonald JW, Sorenson M, Robins DM. Regulator of sex-limitation KRAB
998 zinc finger proteins modulate sex-dependent and -independent liver metabolism. Physiol Genomics.
999 2009;38(1):16-28. Epub 2009/04/09. doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.90391.2008. PubMed PMID:
1000 19351907; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2696148.
- 1001 42. Krebs CJ, Schultz DC, Robins DM. The KRAB zinc finger protein RSL1 regulates sex- and
1002 tissue-specific promoter methylation and dynamic hormone-responsive chromatin configuration. Mol
1003 Cell Biol. 2012;32(18):3732-42. Epub 2012/07/18. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00615-12. PubMed PMID:
1004 22801370; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3430191.
- 1005 43. Hines RN, Koukouritaki SB, Poch MT, Stephens MC. Regulatory polymorphisms and their
1006 contribution to interindividual differences in the expression of enzymes influencing drug and toxicant
1007 disposition. Drug metabolism reviews. 2008;40(2):263-301. Epub 2008/05/09. doi:
1008 10.1080/03602530801952682. PubMed PMID: 18464046.
- 1009 44. Mugford CA, Kedderis GL. Sex-dependent metabolism of xenobiotics. Drug metabolism
1010 reviews. 1998;30(3):441-98. doi: 10.3109/03602539808996322. PubMed PMID: 9710703.
- 1011 45. Chick JM, Munger SC, Simecek P, Huttlin EL, Choi K, Gatti DM, et al. Defining the
1012 consequences of genetic variation on a proteome-wide scale. Nature. 2016;534(7608):500-5. Epub
1013 2016/06/17. doi: 10.1038/nature18270. PubMed PMID: 27309819; PubMed Central PMCID:
1014 PMCPMC5292866.
- 1015 46. Gatti D, Maki A, Chesler EJ, Kirova R, Kosyk O, Lu L, et al. Genome-level analysis of genetic
1016 regulation of liver gene expression networks. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md). 2007;46(2):548-57. Epub
1017 2007/06/02. doi: 10.1002/hep.21682. PubMed PMID: 17542012; PubMed Central PMCID:
1018 PMCPMC3518845.
- 1019 47. Gatti DM, Simecek P, Somes L, Jeffery CT, Vincent MJ, Choi K, et al. The Effects of Sex and
1020 Diet on Physiology and Liver Gene Expression in Diversity Outbred Mice. bioRxiv. 2017.
- 1021 48. Gatti DM, Zhao N, Chesler EJ, Bradford BU, Shabalín AA, Yordanova R, et al. Sex-specific
1022 gene expression in the BXD mouse liver. Physiol Genomics. 2010;42(3):456-68. Epub 2010/06/17.
1023 doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.00110.2009. PubMed PMID: 20551147; PubMed Central PMCID:
1024 PMCPMC2929887.
- 1025 49. Tyler AL, Ji B, Gatti DM, Munger SC, Churchill GA, Svenson KL, et al. Epistatic Networks
1026 Jointly Influence Phenotypes Related to Metabolic Disease and Gene Expression in Diversity Outbred
1027 Mice. Genetics. 2017;206(2):621-39. Epub 2017/06/09. doi: 10.1534/genetics.116.198051. PubMed
1028 PMID: 28592500; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5499176.
- 1029 50. Kur P, Kolasa-Wołoskiuk A, Misiakiewicz-Has K, Wiszniewska B. Sex Hormone-Dependent
1030 Physiology and Diseases of Liver. International journal of environmental research and public health.
1031 2020;17(8). Epub 2020/04/16. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17082620. PubMed PMID: 32290381; PubMed
1032 Central PMCID: PMCPMC7216036.
- 1033 51. Kurt Z, Barrere-Cain R, LaGuardia J, Mehrabian M, Pan C, Hui ST, et al. Tissue-specific
1034 pathways and networks underlying sexual dimorphism in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Biology of
1035 sex differences. 2018;9(1):46. Epub 2018/10/23. doi: 10.1186/s13293-018-0205-7. PubMed PMID:
1036 30343673; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6196429.

- 1037 52. Natri HM, Wilson MA, Buetow KH. Distinct molecular etiologies of male and female
1038 hepatocellular carcinoma. BMC cancer. 2019;19(1):951. Epub 2019/10/17. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-
1039 6167-2. PubMed PMID: 31615477; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6794913.
- 1040 53. Wu Y, Yao N, Feng Y, Tian Z, Yang Y, Zhao Y. Identification and characterization of sexual
1041 dimorphism-linked gene expression profile in hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncology reports.
1042 2019;42(3):937-52. Epub 2019/07/20. doi: 10.3892/or.2019.7217. PubMed PMID: 31322260; PubMed
1043 Central PMCID: PMC6667920.
- 1044 54. Meda C, Barone M, Mitro N, Lolli F, Pedretti S, Caruso D, et al. Hepatic ER α accounts for sex
1045 differences in the ability to cope with an excess of dietary lipids. Molecular metabolism. 2020;32:97-
1046 108. Epub 2020/02/08. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2019.12.009. PubMed PMID: 32029233; PubMed
1047 Central PMCID: PMC6957843.
- 1048 55. Rankinen T, Sarzynski MA, Ghosh S, Bouchard C. Are there genetic paths common to
1049 obesity, cardiovascular disease outcomes, and cardiovascular risk factors? Circulation research.
1050 2015;116(5):909-22. Epub 2015/02/28. doi: 10.1161/circresaha.116.302888. PubMed PMID:
1051 25722444; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4416656.
- 1052 56. Churchill GA, Gatti DM, Munger SC, Svenson KL. The Diversity Outbred mouse population.
1053 Mammalian genome : official journal of the International Mammalian Genome Society. 2012;23(9-
1054 10):713-8. Epub 2012/08/16. doi: 10.1007/s00335-012-9414-2. PubMed PMID: 22892839; PubMed
1055 Central PMCID: PMC3524832.
- 1056 57. Schmidt CW. Diversity outbred: a new generation of mouse model. Environ Health Perspect.
1057 2015;123(3):A64-7. doi: 10.1289/ehp.123-A64. PubMed PMID: 25730842; PubMed Central PMCID:
1058 PMC4348734.
- 1059 58. Chesler EJ, Gatti DM, Morgan AP, Strobel M, Trepanier L, Oberbeck D, et al. Diversity
1060 Outbred Mice at 21: Maintaining Allelic Variation in the Face of Selection. G3 (Bethesda).
1061 2016;6(12):3893-902. doi: 10.1534/g3.116.035527. PubMed PMID: 27694113; PubMed Central
1062 PMCID: PMC4514960.
- 1063 59. Svenson KL, Gatti DM, Valdar W, Welsh CE, Cheng R, Chesler EJ, et al. High-resolution
1064 genetic mapping using the Mouse Diversity outbred population. Genetics. 2012;190(2):437-47. Epub
1065 2012/02/22. doi: 10.1534/genetics.111.132597. PubMed PMID: 22345611; PubMed Central PMCID:
1066 PMC3276626.
- 1067 60. Odet F, Pan W, Bell TA, Goodson SG, Stevans AM, Yun Z, et al. The Founder Strains of the
1068 Collaborative Cross Express a Complex Combination of Advantageous and Deleterious Traits for
1069 Male Reproduction. G3 (Bethesda). 2015;5(12):2671-83. doi: 10.1534/g3.115.020172. PubMed PMID:
1070 26483008; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4683640.
- 1071 61. Bogue MA, Churchill GA, Chesler EJ. Collaborative Cross and Diversity Outbred data
1072 resources in the Mouse Phenome Database. Mammalian genome : official journal of the International
1073 Mammalian Genome Society. 2015;26(9-10):511-20. doi: 10.1007/s00335-015-9595-6. PubMed
1074 PMID: 26286858; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4602074.
- 1075 62. Keane TM, Goodstadt L, Danecek P, White MA, Wong K, Yalcin B, et al. Mouse genomic
1076 variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation. Nature. 2011;477(7364):289-94. Epub
1077 2011/09/17. doi: 10.1038/nature10413. PubMed PMID: 21921910; PubMed Central PMCID:
1078 PMC3276836.
- 1079 63. Threadgill DW, Miller DR, Churchill GA, de Villena FP. The collaborative cross: a recombinant
1080 inbred mouse population for the systems genetic era. ILAR journal. 2011;52(1):24-31. Epub
1081 2011/03/18. doi: 10.1093/ilar.52.1.24. PubMed PMID: 21411855.

- 1082 64. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene
1083 lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nature protocols. 2009;4(1):44-57. Epub 2009/01/10. doi:
1084 10.1038/nprot.2008.211. PubMed PMID: 19131956.
- 1085 65. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the
1086 comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic acids research. 2009;37(1):1-13. Epub
1087 2008/11/27. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn923. PubMed PMID: 19033363; PubMed Central PMCID:
1088 PMCPMC2615629.
- 1089 66. Wauthier V, Sugathan A, Meyer RD, Dombkowski AA, Waxman DJ. Intrinsic sex differences in
1090 the early growth hormone responsiveness of sex-specific genes in mouse liver. Molecular
1091 endocrinology (Baltimore, Md). 2010;24(3):667-78. Epub 2010/02/13. doi: 10.1210/me.2009-0454.
1092 PubMed PMID: 20150183; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2840812.
- 1093 67. Gu T, Gatti DM, Srivastava A, Snyder EM, Raghupathy N, Simecek P, et al. Genetic
1094 Architectures of Quantitative Variation in RNA Editing Pathways. Genetics. 2016;202(2):787-98. Epub
1095 2015/11/29. doi: 10.1534/genetics.115.179481. PubMed PMID: 26614740; PubMed Central PMCID:
1096 PMCPMC4788250.
- 1097 68. Munger SC, Raghupathy N, Choi K, Simons AK, Gatti DM, Hinerfeld DA, et al. RNA-Seq
1098 alignment to individualized genomes improves transcript abundance estimates in multiparent
1099 populations. Genetics. 2014;198(1):59-73. Epub 2014/09/23. doi: 10.1534/genetics.114.165886.
1100 PubMed PMID: 25236449; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4174954.
- 1101 69. Kukurba KR, Parsana P, Balliu B, Smith KS, Zappala Z, Knowles DA, et al. Impact of the X
1102 Chromosome and sex on regulatory variation. Genome research. 2016;26(6):768-77. Epub
1103 2016/05/20. doi: 10.1101/gr.197897.115. PubMed PMID: 27197214; PubMed Central PMCID:
1104 PMCPMC4889977.
- 1105 70. Sidorenko J, Kassam I, Kemper KE, Zeng J, Lloyd-Jones LR, Montgomery GW, et al. The
1106 effect of X-linked dosage compensation on complex trait variation. Nature communications.
1107 2019;10(1):3009. Epub 2019/07/10. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10598-y. PubMed PMID: 31285442;
1108 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6614401.
- 1109 71. Matthews BJ, Waxman DJ. Computational prediction of CTCF/cohesin-based intra-TAD loops
1110 that insulate chromatin contacts and gene expression in mouse liver. eLife. 2018;7. Epub 2018/05/15.
1111 doi: 10.7554/eLife.34077. PubMed PMID: 29757144; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5986275.
- 1112 72. Meyer RD, Laz EV, Su T, Waxman DJ. Male-specific hepatic Bcl6: growth hormone-induced
1113 block of transcription elongation in females and binding to target genes inversely coordinated with
1114 STAT5. Molecular endocrinology (Baltimore, Md). 2009;23(11):1914-26. Epub 2009/10/03. doi:
1115 10.1210/me.2009-0242. PubMed PMID: 19797429; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2775936.
- 1116 73. Zhang D, Utsumi T, Huang HC, Gao L, Sangwung P, Chung C, et al. Reticulon 4B (Nogo-B) is
1117 a novel regulator of hepatic fibrosis. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md). 2011;53(4):1306-15. Epub
1118 2011/04/12. doi: 10.1002/hep.24200. PubMed PMID: 21480333; PubMed Central PMCID:
1119 PMCPMC3667398.
- 1120 74. Zagory JA, Fenlon M, Dietz W, Zhao M, Nguyen MV, Trinh P, et al. Prominin-1 Promotes
1121 Biliary Fibrosis Associated With Biliary Atresia. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md). 2019;69(6):2586-97.
1122 Epub 2019/02/07. doi: 10.1002/hep.30550. PubMed PMID: 30723921; PubMed Central PMCID:
1123 PMCPMC6541523.
- 1124 75. Svenson KL, Von Smith R, Magnani PA, Suetin HR, Paigen B, Naggert JK, et al. Multiple trait
1125 measurements in 43 inbred mouse strains capture the phenotypic diversity characteristic of human

- 1126 populations. Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md : 1985). 2007;102(6):2369-78. Epub
1127 2007/02/24. doi: 10.1152/jappphysiol.01077.2006. PubMed PMID: 17317875.
- 1128 76. Fengler VH, Macheiner T, Kessler SM, Czepukojc B, Gemperlein K, Muller R, et al.
1129 Susceptibility of Different Mouse Wild Type Strains to Develop Diet-Induced NAFLD/AFLD-Associated
1130 Liver Disease. PloS one. 2016;11(5):e0155163. Epub 2016/05/12. doi:
1131 10.1371/journal.pone.0155163. PubMed PMID: 27167736; PubMed Central PMCID:
1132 PMCPMC4863973.
- 1133 77. Hillebrandt S, Wasmuth HE, Weiskirchen R, Hellerbrand C, Keppeler H, Werth A, et al.
1134 Complement factor 5 is a quantitative trait gene that modifies liver fibrogenesis in mice and humans.
1135 Nature genetics. 2005;37(8):835-43. Epub 2005/07/05. doi: 10.1038/ng1599. PubMed PMID:
1136 15995705.
- 1137 78. Church RJ, Gatti DM, Urban TJ, Long N, Yang X, Shi Q, et al. Sensitivity to hepatotoxicity due
1138 to epigallocatechin gallate is affected by genetic background in diversity outbred mice. Food Chem
1139 Toxicol. 2015;76:19-26. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2014.11.008. PubMed PMID: 25446466; PubMed Central
1140 PMCID: PMCPMC4324012.
- 1141 79. Tryndyak V, de Conti A, Kobets T, Kutanzi K, Koturbash I, Han T, et al. Interstrain differences
1142 in the severity of liver injury induced by a choline- and folate-deficient diet in mice are associated with
1143 dysregulation of genes involved in lipid metabolism. FASEB J. 2012;26(11):4592-602. doi:
1144 10.1096/fj.12-209569. PubMed PMID: 22872676; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3475259.
- 1145 80. Bavia L, de Castro IA, Isaac L. C57BL/6 and A/J Mice Have Different Inflammatory Response
1146 and Liver Lipid Profile in Experimental Alcoholic Liver Disease. Mediators of inflammation.
1147 2015;2015:491641. Epub 2015/10/09. doi: 10.1155/2015/491641. PubMed PMID: 26448681; PubMed
1148 Central PMCID: PMCPMC4584053.
- 1149 81. Takahashi K, Yan I, Haga H, Patel T. Long noncoding RNA in liver diseases. Hepatology
1150 (Baltimore, Md). 2014;60(2):744-53. Epub 2014/02/05. doi: 10.1002/hep.27043. PubMed PMID:
1151 24493213; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4110118.
- 1152 82. Shimizu I, Kohno N, Tamaki K, Shono M, Huang HW, He JH, et al. Female hepatology:
1153 favorable role of estrogen in chronic liver disease with hepatitis B virus infection. World J
1154 Gastroenterol. 2007;13(32):4295-305. Epub 2007/08/22. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v13.i32.4295. PubMed
1155 PMID: 17708600; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4250853.
- 1156 83. Ayonrinde OT, Olynyk JK, Beilin LJ, Mori TA, Pennell CE, de Klerk N, et al. Gender-specific
1157 differences in adipose distribution and adipocytokines influence adolescent nonalcoholic fatty liver
1158 disease. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md). 2011;53(3):800-9. Epub 2011/03/05. doi: 10.1002/hep.24097.
1159 PubMed PMID: 21374659.
- 1160 84. Gonzalez FJ. Role of cytochromes P450 in chemical toxicity and oxidative stress: studies with
1161 CYP2E1. Mutation research. 2005;569(1-2):101-10. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2004.04.021. PubMed
1162 PMID: 15603755.
- 1163 85. Oyola MG, Zuloaga DG, Carbone D, Malysz AM, Acevedo-Rodriguez A, Handa RJ, et al.
1164 CYP7B1 Enzyme Deletion Impairs Reproductive Behaviors in Male Mice. Endocrinology.
1165 2015;156(6):2150-61. doi: 10.1210/en.2014-1786. PubMed PMID: 25849728; PubMed Central
1166 PMCID: PMCPMC4430609.
- 1167 86. Dai D, Mills PB, Footitt E, Gissen P, McClean P, Stahlschmidt J, et al. Liver disease in infancy
1168 caused by oxysterol 7 alpha-hydroxylase deficiency: successful treatment with chenodeoxycholic
1169 acid. J Inherit Metab Dis. 2014;37(5):851-61. doi: 10.1007/s10545-014-9695-6. PubMed PMID:
1170 24658845.

- 1171 87. Yetti H, Naito H, Yuan Y, Jia X, Hayashi Y, Tamada H, et al. Bile acid detoxifying enzymes
1172 limit susceptibility to liver fibrosis in female SHRSP5/Dmcr rats fed with a high-fat-cholesterol diet.
1173 PloS one. 2018;13(2):e0192863. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192863. PubMed PMID: 29438418.
- 1174 88. Stiles AR, McDonald JG, Bauman DR, Russell DW. CYP7B1: one cytochrome P450, two
1175 human genetic diseases, and multiple physiological functions. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(42):28485-9.
1176 doi: 10.1074/jbc.R109.042168. PubMed PMID: 19687010; PubMed Central PMCID:
1177 PMCPMC2781391.
- 1178 89. Lake AD, Novak P, Shipkova P, Aranibar N, Robertson D, Reilly MD, et al. Decreased
1179 hepatotoxic bile acid composition and altered synthesis in progressive human nonalcoholic fatty liver
1180 disease. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2013;268(2):132-40. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2013.01.022. PubMed
1181 PMID: 23391614; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3627549.
- 1182 90. Chella Krishnan K, Kurt Z, Barrere-Cain R, Sabir S, Das A, Floyd R, et al. Integration of Multi-
1183 omics Data from Mouse Diversity Panel Highlights Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Non-alcoholic Fatty
1184 Liver Disease. Cell Syst. 2018;6(1):103-15 e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2017.12.006. PubMed PMID:
1185 29361464; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5799036.
- 1186 91. Hashemi M, Eskandari-Nasab E, Fazaeli A, Bahari A, Hashemzahi NA, Shafieipour S, et al.
1187 Association of genetic polymorphisms of glutathione-S-transferase genes (GSTT1, GSTM1, and
1188 GSTP1) and susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Zahedan, Southeast Iran. DNA and
1189 cell biology. 2012;31(5):672-7. Epub 2011/10/21. doi: 10.1089/dna.2011.1343. PubMed PMID:
1190 22011249.
- 1191 92. Hori M, Oniki K, Nakagawa T, Takata K, Mihara S, Marubayashi T, et al. Association between
1192 combinations of glutathione-S-transferase M1, T1 and P1 genotypes and non-alcoholic fatty liver
1193 disease. Liver international : official journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver.
1194 2009;29(2):164-8. Epub 2008/05/22. doi: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2008.01794.x. PubMed PMID:
1195 18492019.
- 1196 93. Dragovic S, Venkataraman H, Begheijn S, Vermeulen NP, Commandeur JN. Effect of human
1197 glutathione S-transferase hGSTP1-1 polymorphism on the detoxification of reactive metabolites of
1198 clozapine, diclofenac and acetaminophen. Toxicology letters. 2014;224(2):272-81. Epub 2013/11/05.
1199 doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2013.10.023. PubMed PMID: 24185126.
- 1200 94. Liang S, Kisseleva T, Brenner DA. The Role of NADPH Oxidases (NOXs) in Liver Fibrosis and
1201 the Activation of Myofibroblasts. Front Physiol. 2016;7:17. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00017. PubMed
1202 PMID: 26869935; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4735448.
- 1203 95. Jiang JX, Torok NJ. NADPH Oxidases in Chronic Liver Diseases. Adv Hepatol. 2014;2014.
1204 doi: 10.1155/2014/742931. PubMed PMID: 26436133; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4591547.
- 1205 96. Bettaieb A, Jiang JX, Sasaki Y, Chao TI, Kiss Z, Chen X, et al. Hepatocyte Nicotinamide
1206 Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate Reduced Oxidase 4 Regulates Stress Signaling, Fibrosis, and
1207 Insulin Sensitivity During Development of Steatohepatitis in Mice. Gastroenterology. 2015;149(2):468-
1208 80 e10. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.04.009. PubMed PMID: 25888330; PubMed Central PMCID:
1209 PMCPMC4516583.
- 1210 97. French JE, Gatti DM, Morgan DL, Kissling GE, Shockley KR, Knudsen GA, et al. Diversity
1211 Outbred Mice Identify Population-Based Exposure Thresholds and Genetic Factors that Influence
1212 Benzene-Induced Genotoxicity. Environ Health Perspect. 2015;123(3):237-45. doi:
1213 10.1289/ehp.1408202. PubMed PMID: 25376053; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4348743.

- 1214 98. Dechassa ML, Tryndyak V, de Conti A, Xiao W, Beland FA, Pogribny IP. Identification of
1215 chromatin-accessible domains in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis-derived hepatocellular carcinoma. Mol
1216 Carcinog. 2018;57(8):978-87. doi: 10.1002/mc.22818. PubMed PMID: 29603380.
- 1217 99. Kahali B, Halligan B, Speliotes EK. Insights from Genome-Wide Association Analyses of
1218 Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Semin Liver Dis. 2015;35(4):375-91. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1567870.
1219 PubMed PMID: 26676813; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4941959.
- 1220 100. Parks BW, Sallam T, Mehrabian M, Psychogios N, Hui ST, Norheim F, et al. Genetic
1221 architecture of insulin resistance in the mouse. Cell Metab. 2015;21(2):334-47. doi:
1222 10.1016/j.cmet.2015.01.002. PubMed PMID: 25651185; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4349439.
- 1223 101. Farh KK, Marson A, Zhu J, Kleinewietfeld M, Housley WJ, Beik S, et al. Genetic and
1224 epigenetic fine mapping of causal autoimmune disease variants. Nature. 2015;518(7539):337-43. doi:
1225 10.1038/nature13835. PubMed PMID: 25363779; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4336207.
- 1226 102. Maurano MT, Humbert R, Rynes E, Thurman RE, Haugen E, Wang H, et al. Systematic
1227 localization of common disease-associated variation in regulatory DNA. Science.
1228 2012;337(6099):1190-5. doi: 10.1126/science.1222794. PubMed PMID: 22955828; PubMed Central
1229 PMCID: PMC3771521.
- 1230 103. Degner JF, Pai AA, Pique-Regi R, Veyrieras JB, Gaffney DJ, Pickrell JK, et al. DNase I
1231 sensitivity QTLs are a major determinant of human expression variation. Nature. 2012;482(7385):390-
1232 4. doi: 10.1038/nature10808. PubMed PMID: 22307276; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3501342.
- 1233 104. Gusev A, Lee SH, Trynka G, Finucane H, Vilhjalmsdottir BJ, Xu H, et al. Partitioning heritability
1234 of regulatory and cell-type-specific variants across 11 common diseases. Am J Hum Genet.
1235 2014;95(5):535-52. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.10.004. PubMed PMID: 25439723; PubMed Central
1236 PMCID: PMC4225595.
- 1237 105. Krebs CJ, Larkins LK, Khan SM, Robins DM. Expansion and diversification of KRAB zinc-
1238 finger genes within a cluster including Regulator of sex-limitation 1 and 2. Genomics. 2005;85(6):752-
1239 61. doi: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2005.03.004. PubMed PMID: 15885501.
- 1240 106. Krebs CJ, Larkins LK, Price R, Tullis KM, Miller RD, Robins DM. Regulator of sex-limitation
1241 (Rsl) encodes a pair of KRAB zinc-finger genes that control sexually dimorphic liver gene expression.
1242 Genes & development. 2003;17(21):2664-74. doi: 10.1101/gad.1135703. PubMed PMID: 14563677;
1243 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC280616.
- 1244 107. Rinn JL, Chang HY. Long Noncoding RNAs: Molecular Modalities to Organismal Functions.
1245 Annual review of biochemistry. 2020;89:283-308. Epub 2020/06/23. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-
1246 062917-012708. PubMed PMID: 32569523.
- 1247 108. Long Y, Wang X, Youmans DT, Cech TR. How do lncRNAs regulate transcription? Science
1248 advances. 2017;3(9):eaao2110. Epub 2017/09/30. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aao2110. PubMed PMID:
1249 28959731; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5617379.
- 1250 109. Kassam I, Lloyd-Jones L, Holloway A, Small KS, Zeng B, Bakshi A, et al. Autosomal genetic
1251 control of human gene expression does not differ across the sexes. Genome biology. 2016;17(1):248.
1252 Epub 2016/12/03. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-1111-0. PubMed PMID: 27908293; PubMed Central
1253 PMCID: PMC45134098.
- 1254 110. Collaborative Cross C. The genome architecture of the Collaborative Cross mouse genetic
1255 reference population. Genetics. 2012;190(2):389-401. Epub 2012/02/22. doi:
1256 10.1534/genetics.111.132639. PubMed PMID: 22345608; PubMed Central PMCID:
1257 PMC3276630.

1258 111. Morgan AP, Fu CP, Kao CY, Welsh CE, Didion JP, Yadgary L, et al. The Mouse Universal
1259 Genotyping Array: From Substrains to Subspecies. *G3 (Bethesda)*. 2015;6(2):263-79. Epub
1260 2015/12/20. doi: 10.1534/g3.115.022087. PubMed PMID: 26684931; PubMed Central PMCID:
1261 PMCPMC4751547.

1262 112. Gatti DM, Svenson KL, Shabalin A, Wu LY, Valdar W, Simecek P, et al. Quantitative trait locus
1263 mapping methods for diversity outbred mice. *G3 (Bethesda)*. 2014;4(9):1623-33. doi:
1264 10.1534/g3.114.013748. PubMed PMID: 25237114; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4169154.

1265 113. Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate alignment
1266 of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome biology*.
1267 2013;14(4):R36. Epub 2013/04/27. doi: 10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36. PubMed PMID: 23618408;
1268 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4053844.

1269 114. Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of
1270 short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome biology*. 2009;10(3):R25. Epub 2009/03/06.
1271 doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25. PubMed PMID: 19261174; PubMed Central PMCID:
1272 PMCPMC2690996.

1273 115. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
1274 sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 2014;30(7):923-30. Epub 2013/11/15. doi:
1275 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656. PubMed PMID: 24227677.

1276 116. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
1277 expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(1):139-40. Epub
1278 2009/11/17. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616. PubMed PMID: 19910308; PubMed Central PMCID:
1279 PMCPMC2796818.

1280 117. Smyth GK. Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression
1281 in microarray experiments. *Statistical applications in genetics and molecular biology*. 2004;3:Article3.
1282 Epub 2006/05/02. doi: 10.2202/1544-6115.1027. PubMed PMID: 16646809.

1283 118. Leek JT, Johnson WE, Parker HS, Jaffe AE, Storey JD. The sva package for removing batch
1284 effects and other unwanted variation in high-throughput experiments. *Bioinformatics*. 2012;28(6):882-
1285 3. Epub 2012/01/20. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts034. PubMed PMID: 22257669; PubMed Central
1286 PMCID: PMCPMC3307112.

1287 119. Rosenbloom KR, Armstrong J, Barber GP, Casper J, Clawson H, Diekhans M, et al. The
1288 UCSC Genome Browser database: 2015 update. *Nucleic acids research*. 2015;43(Database
1289 issue):D670-81. Epub 2014/11/28. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1177. PubMed PMID: 25428374; PubMed
1290 Central PMCID: PMCPMC4383971.

1291 120. Mudunuri U, Che A, Yi M, Stephens RM. bioDBnet: the biological database network.
1292 *Bioinformatics*. 2009;25(4):555-6. Epub 2009/01/09. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn654. PubMed
1293 PMID: 19129209; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2642638.

1294

Fig. 1

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.29.177311>; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

A Sex-specific autosome eQTLs **B**

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.29.177311>; this version posted June 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Male Liver		Female Liver	
Stronger eQTL in Male liver	Repression of male-specific gene ①	Stronger eQTL in Female liver	Up regulation of male-specific gene ③
	Up regulation of female-specific gene ②		Repression of female-specific gene ④

Male Liver		Female Liver	
Stronger eQTL in Male liver	Up regulation of male-specific gene ⑤	Stronger eQTL in Female liver	Repression of male-specific gene ⑦
	Repression of female-specific gene ⑥		Up regulation of female-specific gene ⑧

Fig. 4

A **B**

A. Male liver (strain PWK/PhJ)**Female liver** (strain CAST/EiJ) **B.**

Sult2a1, Male samples

Sult2a1, Female samples

Sult2a3, Male samples

Sult2a3, Female samples

Sult2a5, Male samples

Sult2a5, Female samples

Figure 7