Hingepoints and neural folds reveal conserved features of primary neurulation in the zebrafish forebrain

Jonathan M. Werner^{1*}, Maraki Y. Negesse^{1*}, Dominique L. Brooks¹, Allyson R. Caldwell¹,
Jafira M. Johnson¹ and Rachel M. Brewster¹

1. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Maryland Baltimore County,
Baltimore, MD 21250

* These authors contributed equally to the manuscript

ABSTRACT

Primary neurulation is the process by which the neural tube, the central nervous system precursor, is formed from the neural plate. Incomplete neural tube closure occurs frequently, yet underlying causes remain poorly understood. Developmental studies in amniotes and amphibians have identified hinge point and neural fold formation as key morphogenetic events and hallmarks of primary neurulation, the disruption of which causes neural tube defects. In contrast, the mode of neurulation in teleosts such as zebrafish has remained highly debated. Teleosts are thought to have evolved a unique pattern of neurulation, whereby the neural plate infolds in absence of hinge points and neural folds (NFs), at least in the hindbrain/trunk where it has been studied. We report here on zebrafish forebrain morphogenesis where we identify these morphological landmarks. Our findings reveal a deeper level of conservation of neurulation than previously recognized and establish the zebrafish as a model to understand human neural tube development.

37 INTRODUCTION

38 Primary neurulation is the process by which the neural tube, the precursor of the brain and
 39 spinal cord, is shaped from the neural plate. These morphogenetic events have mostly been
 40 studied in amniotes (mouse and chick) and amphibians (frogs), where conserved
 41 mechanisms were identified. Following neural induction, the neural plate (Supplemental
 42 Figure S1A) narrows and elongates by convergent extension movements^{1,2}. The morphology
 43 of the neural plate is further changed in a biphasic manner³. The first morphological event is
 44 the formation of the medial hinge point (MHP), which bends the flat neural plate into a V
 45 shape, forming the neural groove (Supplemental Figure S1B). Neural Folds (NFs) at the
 46 edges of the neural plate subsequently elevate, a process that is further driven by head
 47 mesenchyme expansion⁴. In the second phase, the neural plate folds at paired dorso-lateral
 48 hinge points (DLHPs), bringing the NFs closer together (Supplemental Figure S1C). The NFs
 49 eventually meet and fuse at the midline, completing neural tube formation. The dorsal midline
 50 is subsequently remodeled to separate the inner neuroectoderm from the outer non-neural
 51 ectoderm fated to become the epidermis (Supplemental Figure S1D). In the cranial region of
 52 amniotes, neural tube closure is initiated at several sites and extends via a zippering process
 53 from these closure points to seal the neural tube. Incomplete cranial neural tube closure
 54 occurs frequently, resulting in anencephaly and exencephaly³.

55 Several cellular mechanisms have been identified that contribute to the bending of the
 56 neural plate, among which apical constriction is the most studied⁵⁻⁸. A meshwork of F-actin
 57 accumulates at the apical cortex of neuroectodermal cells and contracts, thereby reducing
 58 the cell apex (Supplemental Figure S1b1). The contraction is driven by myosin that co-
 59 localizes with F-actin at the apical pole. Disruption of F-actin using drug inhibitors or genetic

tools causes severe cranial neural tube defects^{5,9–14}. Similarly, treatment with blebbistatin, an inhibitor of non-muscle myosin II activity, impairs apical constriction in the superficial layer of the *Xenopus* neural plate¹⁵.

In contrast to hinge point formation, the cell intrinsic mechanisms that shape NF cells are less understood. The NFs are bilaminar, consisting of a layer of neuroectoderm capped by a layer of non-neural ectoderm¹⁶, a topology that is acquired via epithelial ridging, kinking, delamination and apposition^{16,17}. NF fusion involves the formation of cellular protrusions that span the midline gap and establish the first contact and attachment points with NF cells from the contralateral side^{18–20} (Supplemental Figure S1c1). There is little consensus on the cell type (neuroectoderm or non-neural ectoderm) that generates the cellular protrusions as it varies depending on the species and the axial level. In the mouse forebrain, the initial contact is made by neuroectodermal cells^{18,21–24}. Disruption of F-actin blocks the formation of protrusions and prevents NF fusion²⁰, revealing the central role of these cellular processes.

While more anterior regions of the neural tube undergo primary neurulation as described above, most vertebrates also exhibit a distinct type of neural tube formation in more posterior regions, termed secondary neurulation. During this process, a mesenchymal cell population condenses into a solid neural rod that subsequently epithelializes and forms a central lumen^{25,26}. In zebrafish, the neural tube in the hindbrain and trunk region initially forms a solid rod that later develops a lumen, a process seemingly analogous to secondary neurulation²⁷. However, examination of the tissue architecture in zebrafish^{28–30} and other teleosts^{31,32} revealed that the neural rod is shaped by infolding of a neural plate (albeit incompletely epithelialized), which best fits the description of primary neurulation³³. Despite this evidence, differences in tissue architecture, the multi-layered architecture of the neural

83 plate and the apparent lack of hinge points, neural groove and NFs are difficult to reconcile
 84 with a mode of primary neurulation and have contributed to the persistent view that neural
 85 tube formation in teleosts is different than in other vertebrates^{34–36}.

86 We show here that, in contrast to the zebrafish hindbrain and trunk region, the process
 87 of neural tube formation in the forebrain exhibits many of the hallmarks of primary
 88 neurulation. We observe the presence of hinge points and NFs in the epithelialized anterior
 89 neural plate (ANP), demonstrate that formation of the MHP involves oscillatory apical
 90 contractions that progressively reduce the apical surface, and show that disruption of myosin
 91 function impairs apical constriction of these cells and NF convergence. We further show that
 92 neural tube closure is initiated at two separate sites in the forebrain and that fusion of the NFs
 93 is mediated by filopodial-like extensions of neuroectodermal cells that bridge the midline.
 94 These findings identify conserved mechanisms of primary neurulation that were previously
 95 overlooked in teleosts and support the suitability of zebrafish for understanding the etiology of
 96 human neural tube defects.

97 **RESULTS**

98 **Precocious epithelialization of the anterior neural plate is associated with bending**

99 The zebrafish ANP is quite distinct from the neural plate in more posterior regions, as it
100 undergoes precocious epithelialization³⁷. To assess whether epithelialization correlates with a
101 change in the mode of neurulation, we examined the morphology of the neuroectoderm in
102 optical cross sections at developmental stages ranging from 2 to 10 somites (som). We
103 observed that at 2-5 som the ANP has a V shape marked by a medial neural groove (black
104 arrowhead) flanked by the elevated lateral edges of the ANP (white arrowhead), which are
105 reminiscent of NFs (Figure 1A-B). By 7 som the groove is no longer visible and the elevated
106 edges of the ANP have fused medially (Figure 1C, white arrowhead) and form a dorsal bulge
107 (Figure 1C-D, white arrowhead). These observations suggest that the ANP bends at the
108 midline, which correlates with elevation of the lateral edges of the ANP.

109

110 **The anterior neural plate is multi-layered and gives rise to the eyes and forebrain**

111 The central part of the ANP is fated to become the eyes, while its lateral edges produce the
112 dorsally-located telencephalon and the ventral-most ANP region gives rise to the
113 hypothalamus/ventral diencephalon^{37,38}. To gain a better understanding of the morphogenetic
114 events that shape the ANP, we examined transverse views of transgenic Tg[*emx3:YFP*]
115 embryos at developmental stages 2, 5, 7 and 10 som, in which telencephalon precursors are
116 labeled³⁹. We observed, as previously described³⁷, that the ANP is a multi-layered tissue,
117 with a mesenchymal superficial core and a marginal or deep layer. These layers will
118 henceforth be referred to as the superficial and deep layers, respectively (s and d in Figure
119 1E-F). The YFP-positive lateral edges of the ANP elevate, migrate over the eye field and fuse

120 medially at 7 som to form the telencephalon (Figure 1G-H). By 7 som the multilayered ANP
121 resolves into a single-cell layered neuroectoderm (Figure 1G). While the morphological
122 changes and cellular dynamics that form the eyes are quite well understood^{37,38}, the
123 accompanying events that shape the forebrain are for the most part unknown and the focus
124 of the current study.

125

126 **Presence of hinge points and neural folds in the anterior neural plate**

127 To investigate whether the ANP bends and folds around hinge points to bring NFs in close
128 apposition, we examined the cytoarchitecture of this tissue in embryos at stages 2-10 som,
129 labeled with phalloidin (filamentous (F) actin), anti-Sox3 (neural cells) and anti-p63
130 (epidermal cells, nuclear label) (Figure 1I-L).

131 We found that at 2 som, neural cells appear mesenchymal with no visible polarized
132 enrichment of F-actin (Figure 1I), consistent with previous observations³⁷. The epidermis at
133 this stage is in a far lateral position (white arrowhead in Figure 1I).

134 Between 2 and 5 som, clusters of cells in the superficial and deep layers of the eye
135 field have been reported to undergo early epithelialization³⁷, which we confirm here with foci
136 of F-actin enrichment in the medial/superficial region (M in Figure 1J, M) and in two dorso-
137 lateral clusters in the deep marginal layer (DL in Figure 1J, M). The apical surfaces of the
138 superficial cells appear to constrict and orient towards the midline, resulting in the formation
139 of a medial neural groove (asterisk in Figure 1M). Similarly, the paired dorso-lateral clusters
140 of cells in the deep layer are also apically constricted and the neuroectoderm folds sharply at
141 this level (red arrows in Figure 1M). These data suggest that the medial and dorso-lateral
142 cells enriched for apical F-actin may function as hinge points. Similarly to amphibians whose

neural plate is bilayered⁴⁰, the putative medial hinge point in the zebrafish ANP forms in the superficial layer and is therefore more dorsally positioned than its chick and mouse counterpart. However, these cells eventually sink inwards, as revealed by fate mapping using photoconvertible membrane-targeted Kaede (mKaede) to label this cell population (Supplemental Movie 1). These findings are consistent with a previous study showing that superficial cells radially intercalate between deep marginal cells³⁷, which contributes to the expansion of the optic vesicles (Figure 1G-H). Zebrafish dorso-lateral hinge points do not undergo these cellular rearrangements as they form in the deep layer.

The lateral edges of the ANP are bilaminar at 5 som, consisting of a layer of neuroectoderm cells capped by a layer of p63-positive non-neural ectoderm (and Sox3/p63-negative olfactory placodal cells bridging the two layers), indicative of a NF structure (Figure 1J, M). The YFP-positive ANP cells in Tg[*emx3:YFP*] embryos correspond to the neuroectoderm component of the NF (Figure 1F), revealing that the tip of the NF gives rise to the telencephalon. At 4 som, the YFP-positive region of Tg[*emx3:YFP*] embryos extends the length of the forebrain (Figure 1O), delineating the anterior-posterior range of the NFs.

The NF and putative hinge points are transient as they are no longer observed in 7 som embryos. By this stage, the tips of the NFs have converged medially and fused, forming the telencephalon (Figure 1K). These cells are enriched in apical F-actin at 10 som, suggesting that they epithelialize (Figure 1L). The non-neural ectoderm still occupies a lateral position at 7 som (Figure 1K, arrowheads), however by 10 som these cells migrate and fuse dorsally (single arrowhead in Figure 1L), indicating that, as observed in mice, the neuroectodermal component of the NFs meet first (Supplemental Figure S1)^{21,22}. Measurements of the distance between the medial-most p63-positive domain and the dorsal

midline at stages 2-7 som indicate that the non-neural ectoderm portion of the NFs converges steadily towards the midline and may provide a lateral force that contributes to the displacement of NFs (Figure 1N).

These observations reveal that transient medial and dorso-lateral epithelialized cell clusters may be the functional equivalents of the MHP and paired DLHPs of amniotes (and will be referred to henceforth as such), as they form at the right time and place to contribute to the formation of the neural groove (MHP), bending of the neuroectoderm and medial convergence of the NFs.

174

Cell shape changes underlying dorso-lateral hinge point and neural fold formation

To capture the dynamics of NF formation and image cells at higher resolution, we mosaically expressed membrane-targeted GFP (mGFP) and imaged embryos at the 2-10 som stages in transverse sections (Figure 2). 3D reconstructions of some of these images were generated to visualize the spatial relation between labeled cells (Supplemental Movie 2, 3).

At 2 som, cells in the deep layer have a columnar shape with one end in contact with the basal lamina and a future apical surface oriented towards the midline (Figure 2A). These cells extend membrane protrusions into the superficial layer, which may promote radial intercalation (inset in Figure 2A).

At 5 som, cells in the dorso-lateral deep layer have undergone apical constriction and basal expansion, forming DLHPs (yellow dotted circles and double arrowheads in Figure 2B,b1; Supplemental Movie 2). These cell shape changes may initiate the outpocketing of the optic vesicles (ov in Figure 2B,b1). The bilaminar organization of the NFs is clearly visible at this stage. Neuroectodermal cells within the NFs (arrows in Figure 2b1 and c1) are elongated

189 and their basal poles are constricted, giving them the appearance of fanning out from a focal
190 point (red circles in Figure 2b1 and c1). Furthermore, their plasma membrane is ruffled,
191 indicative of protrusive activity (Supplemental Movie 2).

192 By 7 som (Figure 2D, Supplemental Movie 3), neuroectodermal cells of the NFs have
193 reached the dorsal midline. They maintain their organization with basal poles constricted and
194 clustered at a focal point on the basement membrane (red circle in Figure 2d1). 3D
195 reconstructions reveal that these cells are finger-shaped as they extend across the dorsal
196 midline. DLHP cells maintain their apical constriction/basal expansion and further elongate,
197 contributing to the expansion of the optic vesicles (dotted double arrowhead in Figure 2d1).

198 At 10 som (Figure 2E, e1) deep cells in the eye field and NFs have a columnar,
199 epithelial organization. Consistent with previous findings, eye field cells shorten along their
200 apico-basal axis by contracting their apical processes and coincidentally transition to a more
201 dorso-ventral orientation³⁷ (dotted double arrow in Figure 2e1). Cuboidal non-neural
202 ectoderm cells cover the dorsal surface of the newly formed telencephalon (arrowheads in
203 Figure 2e1).

204 The dynamic cell shape changes in the deep layer of the neuroectoderm were
205 quantified by measuring cell length and the apico:basal ratio of mGFP-labeled cells located at
206 different positions along the medio-lateral axis of the ANP at 2, 5 and 7 som (Figure 3).
207 These data reveal that the average length of DLHP cells increases while their apical:basal
208 ratio decreases between 2 and 7 som, coincident with optic vesicle evagination. They also
209 confirm that neuroectodermal cells of the NFs adopt the opposite configuration (reverse
210 hinge-points), with basally constricted poles.

211 To capture the dynamics of NF formation, we performed transverse view time-lapse
212 imaging of WT embryos expressing mKaede or Tg[*emx3:YFP*] transgenic embryos
213 expressing membrane-targeted RFP (mRFP) (n=2 embryos, Figure 4; Supplemental Movies
214 1 and 4. We observed that as the NFs elevate (blue dotted line in Figure 4A-C), NF cells
215 basally constrict (red outline and arrow in Figure 4a1-c1). Interestingly, this cell behavior
216 appears restricted to cells within the *emx3* expression domain (Figure 4D-f1).

217 These findings indicate that zebrafish DLHP cells adopt a wedge shape similar to their
218 counterparts in amphibians and amniotes, which enables epithelial folding. They further
219 identify basal constriction of NF cells as a cell shape change that contributes to NF formation.

220

221 **Medial hinge point cells constrict apically and elongate**

222 While mosaic expression of mGFP enabled high resolution imaging of DLHPs and NFs, it
223 resulted in fewer cells labeled in the medial superficial layer, where the MHP forms.
224 Phalloidin labeling was therefore used to image these cells in 2 and 5 som embryos.

225 At the 2 som stage, some medial/superficial cells immediately below the enveloping
226 layer (EVL) are apically constricted, forming the MHP (Figure 5A-a2). By 5 som, MHP cells
227 appear more densely packed, the majority of them are apically constricted and oriented
228 towards the midline. Concomitant with these cell shape changes and the medial convergence
229 of NFs, MHP cells shift to a more ventral position (Figure 5B-b2). A small opening, the neural
230 groove, is observed immediately above the MHP at this stage (NG in Figure 5b1).

231 Measurements of the apico:basal surface ratio of MHP cells in 5 som embryos
232 confirmed that they are wedge-shaped (Figure 5c1). Similar to amphibians⁴¹, apical
233 constriction appears tightly coupled to cell elongation, as the length-to-width ratio (LWR) of

234 MHP cells increases significantly between 2 and 5 som (Figure 5c2). Thus, both apical
235 constriction and cell elongation appear to shape the MHP and contribute to tissue-level
236 morphogenesis.

237 Another contributing factor to hinge point formation in amniotes is the basal location of
238 nuclei in both the medial and lateral hinge points^{42,43}. However, in zebrafish embryos, the
239 relative position of the nucleus in medial superficial cells at 2 and 5 som does not change
240 significantly and is therefore unlikely to contribute to wedging of these cells.

241

242 **Oscillatory constrictions reduce the apical surface of medial hinge point cells**

243 To gain a better understanding of the dynamics of apical constriction and cell internalization,
244 the ANP of embryos ubiquitously expressing mGFP was imaged from a dorsal view using
245 time-lapse microscopy between 2 som to 4 som (n = 2 embryos, Figure 5D and Supplemental
246 Movie 5). The focal plane was set immediately below the EVL, at the level of the MHP. These
247 movies revealed clusters of medially-located cells that undergo progressive cell surface
248 reduction (color-coded in Figure 5d1-d7), while the surface area of adjacent, more lateral
249 cells remained unchanged for the duration of imaging (yellow asterisks in Figure 5d1-d6).
250 EVL cells came into focus immediately posterior to the cells with reducing apices. Since the
251 EVL is drawn inward as a result of its close contact with apically constricting MHP cells
252 (Figure 5B-b2), we surmise that apical constriction proceeds in a posterior-to-anterior
253 direction. In later movie frames, EVL cells are no longer observed within the field of view,
254 coinciding with the proximity of the NFs to the midline (Supplemental Movie 5). This indicates
255 that medial EVL cells eventually lose contact with the MHP and return to their original
256 position, allowing the NFs to fuse at the dorsal midline (Figure 1J-K).

257 To further examine the dynamics of apical constriction, the surface area of superficial
 258 ANP cells was measured at shorter intervals. Midline cells presumed to be part of the MHP
 259 (20 μ m on either side of the midline, Figure 6A, E) or cells immediately adjacent to the MHP
 260 (greater than 20 μ m on either side of the midline, Figure 6B) were scored. This analysis
 261 revealed that individual cells in both populations undergo oscillatory constrictions. Between
 262 constrictions, the surface areas of cells re-expand with gradually decreasing amplitude
 263 (Figure 6A, E), which is most pronounced in MHP cells. The time of oscillation between two
 264 expanded states revealed no significant difference between both groups (Figure 6C, median
 265 oscillation time of 45 seconds). Likewise, there was no significant difference in the timing of
 266 individual constrictions. However, it appears that MHP cells spent less time expanding than
 267 MHP-adjacent cells (15 seconds median time for MHP vs 30 second for MHP-adjacent,
 268 Figure 6D).

269 Together these data indicate that MHP cells and their neighbors undergo progressive
 270 narrowing of their apical pole via oscillatory constrictions and that these cellular dynamics
 271 proceed in a posterior-to-anterior direction.

272

273 **Neural fold fusion is initiated at closure points and mediated by protrusive activity**

274 In mice, neurulation proceeds unevenly along the anterior-posterior axis with multiple closure
 275 initiation sites³, raising the question of whether NF fusion also occurs asynchronously in
 276 zebrafish. To address this, we performed time-lapse imaging of embryos mosaically
 277 expressing mGFP from a dorsal view around the time when opposing NFs approach the
 278 midline (n= 2 embryos, Figure 7A and Supplemental Movie 6). Neuroectodermal cells of the
 279 NF were identified based on their elongated shape and dorsal location.

280 These movies revealed that the NFs have an arc shape with the apex positioned
281 anteriorly (red asterisks in Figure 7A), similar to the expression domain of the telencephalon
282 marker *emx3* at the onset of NF convergence (inset in Figure 7A). NF fusion is initiated near
283 the apex of the arch and proceeds in an anterior-to-posterior direction for a distance of
284 approximately 35 μ m (red dotted line in Figure 7a3). At this level, defined as closure point
285 one (C1 in Figure 7a3), NF fusion proceeds asynchronously as a second closure initiation
286 site is formed more posteriorly (C2 in Figure 7A5). These closure points define an eye-
287 shaped opening (white dotted oval in Figure 7a4, a5) and a zippering process begins at the
288 anterior and posterior corners of this structure, progressing from both ends toward the middle
289 (Figure 7a6,a7; Supplemental Movie 6).

290 The events that complete NF fusion in amniotes involve the extension of dynamic
291 cellular projections towards the midline^{18–20}. Likewise, we observed that zebrafish
292 neuroectodermal cells extend filopodia to establish contact with cells from the contralateral
293 side. Furthermore, the cell bodies appear to hyper-extend beyond the midline (Figure 7b3, b4
294 and Supplemental Movie 7), suggesting that they interdigitate between cells of the opposing
295 NF. These cellular extensions are eventually retracted, as the midline becomes well defined
296 after epithelialization (Figure 1L).

297 The presence of closure points and the usage of filopodia to establish contact with NF
298 cells across the midline reveal additional aspects of forebrain neurulation that are conserved
299 in zebrafish.

300

301 **Temporal overlap in the timing of key cell shape changes in the anterior neural plate**

302 In amniotes, MHP formation precedes the shaping of paired DLHPs and initiates NF
 303 elevation. To reveal the relative timing of cell shape changes in zebrafish and their
 304 contributions to ANP morphogenesis, we plotted tissue dynamics using measurements from
 305 transverse time-lapse movies (n= 2 embryos, data shown for 1 embryo, Supplemental Movie
 306 1). We observed that changes in the neural groove angle (indirect measurement of MHP
 307 apical constriction), the optic vesicle angle (which reflects DLHP apical constriction) and the
 308 basal NF angle (a proxy for basal constriction) occur concurrently and temporally correlate
 309 with NF elevation and convergence (Figure 8). This analysis revealed that, in contrast to
 310 amniotes, key cell shape changes in the ANP do not occur in a clear chronological manner
 311 and that one or more of these events are likely to contribute to ANP morphogenesis.

312

313 **Molecular characteristics of medial and lateral hinge points**

314 Key features of cells that form hinge points include apico-basal polarization as well as an
 315 accumulation of an apical contractile machinery composed of actin filaments (F-actin) and
 316 non-muscle myosin II⁴⁴. To test whether the MHP and DLHPs in the zebrafish forebrain have
 317 some or all of these characteristics, the localization of apical markers Pard3-GFP (transiently
 318 expressed following mRNA injection), ZO-1 (anti-ZO-1) and N-cadherin (anti-N-cad) was
 319 examined along with F-actin (phalloidin) and phospho-Myosin Light Chain II (anti-P-MLC) in 5
 320 som embryos (Figure 9).

321 Apical co-localization of F-actin with Pard3-GFP (Figure 9A-c2), ZO-1 (Figure 9D-f2),
 322 and N-cad (Figure 9G-i2) was confirmed in both the MHP and DLHPs at 5 som. Thus, while
 323 the establishment of apico-basal polarity is generally delayed in the zebrafish neural plate
 324 relative to amniotes, the cell clusters that undergo apical constriction in the ANP epithelialize

precociously. P-MLC accumulation at the apical pole is also apparent by the 5 som stage in MHP cells where it overlaps with F-actin (Figure 9J-I2). In contrast, P-MLC apical enrichment is not observed in the DLHPs (arrowhead in Figure 9K,I2). P-MLC does however accumulate in the cell cortex of all neuroectodermal cells where it overlaps with F-actin, in addition to the basal surface of EVL cells (arrow in Figure 9K, I1) and at the interface between the neuroectoderm and non-neural ectoderm (dotted line in Figure 9K-L).

These findings confirm that both the MHP and DLHP undergo early epithelialization and accumulate apical markers. However, the MHP and DLHPs are molecularly distinct structures given that the latter is not enriched for P-MLC.

Myosin contractility is required for medial hinge point formation and neural fold convergence

During neural tube closure, the actomyosin cytoskeleton is thought to be a driving force for apical constriction⁴¹. To address whether this molecular motor mediates apical constriction in zebrafish, non-muscle myosin II (NMII) was blocked using blebbistatin and a translation-blocking morpholino (MO) targeting NMIIb⁴⁵ and the effect was analyzed in 5 som embryos that were labeled to reveal the localization of F-actin (phalloidin) and P-MLC (anti-P-MLC). Both treatments resulted in the absence of a clearly defined MHP (Figure 10a1,a1' vs 10b1, b1', c1 and c1'). LWR scores for these cells were close to 1 (Figure 10D), indicative of cell rounding, which prevented measurement of their apical:basal surface ratio. In contrast, while the length of DLHPs in treated embryos was reduced (Figure 10D), these cells retained their wedge shape (Figure 10a2,a2' vs b2, b2', c2, c2), with apical:basal surface ratios of less than 1 (Figure 10E). These observations are consistent with the lack of apical P-MLC enrichment

in DLHP cells and support a conserved function for actomyosin in driving apical constriction of MHP cells.

At a morphological level, disruption of the actomyosin network causes neural tube defects that trace back to impaired apical constriction and convergent extension in *Xenopus* embryos¹⁵. To test whether myosin is similarly required for forebrain neural tube closure in zebrafish, control (untreated and DMSO-treated) and blebbistatin-treated embryos were labeled at the 2, 5 and 7 som stage via *in situ* hybridization using the telencephalon marker *emx3* (Figure 10F) and the width of the posterior-most *emx3* domain was measured (Figure 10G). In contrast to control embryos, NF convergence was impaired in blebbistatin-treated embryos, beginning at the 5 som stage. It is possible that earlier convergent extension defects in the ANP contribute to this phenotype. However, failure of MHP cells to undergo apical constriction is likely to be a contributing cause given that disruption of several other proteins implicated in this process, including Shroom3¹³ and GEF-H1, a RhoA-specific GEF¹⁴ results in severe neural tube closure defects.

DISCUSSION

We report here on mechanisms of forebrain morphogenesis in the zebrafish embryo and reveal that this region of the brain is formed by primary neurulation, involving the use of hinge points and NFs.

The zebrafish MHP and paired DLHPs form in the superficial and deep layers of the eye field, respectively. The timing of MHP and DLHP formation overlap in zebrafish, in contrast to the biphasic nature of these events in mouse³. The zebrafish MHP is cup-shaped and more transient than its mammalian counterpart since these cells eventually intercalate

371 between deep layer cells, contributing to the expansion of the eye vesicle³⁷. Hingepoints are
 372 restricted to the ANP in zebrafish, however they are also present in more posterior regions in
 373 amniotes. Despite this difference, individual cells in the medial zone of the hindbrain neural
 374 plate were recently shown to internalize via a myosin-dependent mechanism⁴⁶. Such
 375 variation from the organized cell clusters forming hingepoints in the zebrafish forebrain could
 376 be explained by precocious epithelialization of the ANP³⁷. It thus appears that there is a
 377 transition from clustered internalization in the forebrain to individual cell internalization in the
 378 hindbrain region.

379 A key feature of hingepoint cells is their reduced apical surface, which is in part due to
 380 actomyosin contractility^{13,41,47,48}. Apical constriction is thought to function as a purse string to
 381 generate the force required to bring the NFs together during cranial neurulation⁴⁹. We provide
 382 evidence that the zebrafish MHP also utilizes an actomyosin-based contractile system.
 383 Assembly of this actomyosin network occurs via oscillatory constrictions with gradually
 384 decreasing amplitude, akin to the ratchet model initially proposed in invertebrates^{50–52} and
 385 later reported during neural tube closure in *Xenopus*⁵³. We further show that disruption of
 386 myosin impairs NF convergence, possibly by contributing a “pulling force” on the NFs or by
 387 clearing the dorsal midline of eye field cells. These observations suggest that the actomyosin
 388 machinery is used across vertebrate to drive cranial neural tube closure and it will be
 389 interesting in the future to test whether upstream regulators of apical constriction such as
 390 Shroom3¹³ are also conserved.

391 In contrast to the MHP, the paired DLHPs do not require myosin to apically constrict,
 392 suggesting that DLHP formation is regulated by a distinct mechanism. Consistent with this
 393 observation, cell packing at a dorso-ventral boundary in the mouse neural tube causes

394 buckling of the neuroectoderm at the DLHPs⁵⁴. It is possible that such a mechanism also
 395 operates during zebrafish neurulation. Actomyosin may however generate cortical tension¹⁵,
 396 enabling both MHP and DLHP cells to maintain their elongated shape.

397 NFs in chick embryos form via epithelial ridging, kinking, delamination and
 398 apposition¹⁶, although the cellular basis of these morphogenetic events is not well
 399 understood. Elevation of the NFs in the mouse cranial neural plate is dependent on MHP
 400 constriction and expansion of the head mesenchyme⁵⁵. NFs in the zebrafish are restricted to
 401 the ANP and head mesenchyme is unlikely to play a significant mechanical role in NF
 402 elevation, as the mesoderm layer underlying the ANP is thin. We instead identify basal
 403 constriction as a NF-intrinsic cell behavior that functions as a “reverse hinge point”. Basal
 404 constriction may contribute to the early stages of NF elevation or ridging/kinking (implicated in
 405 the acquisition of the bilaminar topology of the NFs). Based on scanning EM images of chick
 406 embryos, it appears that this cell behavior may also be conserved in amniotes^{16,17}.

407 The final step of primary neurulation involves the convergence and fusion of the NFs
 408 at the dorsal midline. NF convergence in zebrafish is likely to be mediated by cell intrinsic
 409 forces, including MHP and DLHP formation, although it is difficult to parse out their relative
 410 contribution, in addition to extrinsic forces derived from the non-neural ectoderm¹⁷. NF fusion
 411 is initiated at closure points in mammals and birds⁵⁶. We observe two closure points in the
 412 zebrafish forebrain that form an eye-shaped opening that narrows from the corners in a
 413 bidirectional manner. Fusion of the NFs is mediated by the formation of cell protrusions that
 414 span the midline and establish the first points of contact with NF cells from the contralateral
 415 side. Akin to mice^{21,22}, the cells that initiate contact between apposing NFs in zebrafish derive
 416 from the neuroectodermal portion of the NFs. However, rather than establishing contact and

417 adhering via their lateral surfaces, the protrusive ends of zebrafish neuroectoderm cells
 418 appear to transiently interdigitate between their contralateral counterparts, forming a rod-like
 419 structure, the precursor of the telencephalon. These hyperextensions are later retracted as
 420 the telencephalon eventually epithelializes, forming a clearly defined midline. Once the
 421 neuroectoderm cells have met and fused, non-neural ectoderm cells complete their migration
 422 and fuse at the dorsal midline, forming a continuous epidermal layer.

423 In addition to the epidermis, frog and fish embryos are surrounded by an outer
 424 protective epithelial monolayer, called EVL in zebrafish. Given that the EVL is in direct
 425 contact with the NFs, it is possible that this epithelial layer contributes to forebrain
 426 morphogenesis by providing a stable substrate for NF convergence. Conversely, the EVL is
 427 transiently tugged inward as the MHP cells undergo apical constriction. It is therefore likely
 428 that the interactions between the neural ectoderm and the EVL are reciprocal.

429 Taken together, these findings reveal striking similarities and some unique features of
 430 forebrain neurulation in fish that have significant implications for our understanding of the
 431 evolution of neurulation and the relevance of zebrafish to understand human neural tube
 432 development.

433

METHODS

Zebrafish strains/husbandry

Studies were performed using wildtype (AB) strains or *Tg(emx3:YFP)^{b1200}*³⁹ and embryos were raised at 28.5°C. All experiments were approved by the University of Maryland, Baltimore County's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and were performed according to national regulatory standards.

Nucleic acid and morpholino injections

Plasmids encoding membrane-targeted Green Fluorescent Protein (mGFP) (Richard Harland, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA), membrane-targeted Red Fluorescent Protein (mRFP), membrane-targeted Kaede (mKaede) (a gift from Ajay Chitnis, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD) and *pard3:egfp*⁵⁷ were linearized with NotI and transcribed using the SP6 mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit (Ambion, AM1340). For ubiquitous expression of *mGFP*, *mRFP*, *mkaede* or *par3d:eGFP*, 50 pg of RNA was injected into 1-cell stage embryos. For mosaic expression of mGFP, 50 pg of RNA was injected into 1 or 2 of the four central blastomeres at the 16-cell stage. These blastomeres have a high probability for neural fate⁵⁸ and are easy to identify for reproducible injections.

MOs were designed and synthesized by GeneTools (Philomath, Oregon, USA) and injected into 1-cell stage embryos. *mhy10*(Non-muscle Myosin IIB, EXON2-intron2) was delivered at 3ng per injection.

mhy10: 5'-CTTCACAAATGTGGTCTTACCTTGA-3'⁴⁵

Microinjections were performed using a PCI-100 microinjector (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA).

459 **Blebbistatin treatment**

460 90% epiboly embryos were manually dechorionated in an agarose-covered petri dish with E3
461 medium. Once embryos reached the tailbud stage, they were placed into 50 μ M blebbistatin
462 (B0560 Sigma-Aldrich) diluted with E3 and then incubated at 28.5°C. Stock solution of
463 blebbistatin was prepared with DMSO as per manufacturer's instructions. Accordingly, a
464 control group of embryos were treated with 1% DMSO diluted with E3 alongside every
465 blebbistatin trial. Once the desired developmental stage was reached (2-, 5-, or 7-som),
466 embryos were immediately fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). As blebbistatin is light
467 sensitive, embryos were kept in the dark as much as possible until fixation.

468

469 **Fixed tissue preparations and immunolabeling**

470 Embryos were fixed in 4% PFA for 16 hours at 4°C overnight. Immunolabeling was performed
471 on whole mount embryos, which were then sectioned with a Vibratome (Vibratome 1500
472 sectioning system), with the exception of N-cadherin, which was done on sections. Primary
473 antibody incubation was performed for 24-48 hours at 4°C and secondary antibody incubation
474 for 2.5 hours at room temperature.

475 Antibodies used: Rabbit anti-GFP at 1:1000 (Invitrogen, A11122), Rabbit anti-Sox3c at
476 1:2000 (Gift from Michael Klymkowsky), Rabbit anti-P-myosin light chain at 1:50 (Cell
477 Signaling Technology, #3671S), Mouse anti-p63 at 1:200 (Santa Cruz BioTechnology, SC-
478 8431 no longer in production), Rabbit anti-N-cadherin at 1:50 (Abcam, ab211116) and Mouse
479 anti-ZO-1 antibody at 1:100 (Invitrogen, 33-9100). Alexa Fluorophore secondary antibodies
480 were all used at a 1:1000 concentration: Goat anti-Rabbit -488, -568, -594 and Goat anti-
481 Mouse -488, -594. Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated or 594-conjugated Phalloidin (Invitrogen,

482 A12379 and A12381) at 1:250 and DAPI (Invitrogen, D1306) were used according to
483 manufacturer's instructions. Sections were mounted on glass slides using ProLong Diamond
484 Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen, P36961). *Tg(emx3:YFP)^{b1200}* embryos were immunolabeled
485 with anti-GFP to amplify the signal. mGFP and *pard3:eGFP*-injected embryos were not
486 immunolabeled with anti-GFP.

487

488 **Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization and imaging**

489 *In situ* hybridization was performed as described⁵⁹. *emx3* riboprobe template was generated
490 by PCR amplification using cDNA from 24hpf embryos.

491 T7 promoter: **TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG**

492

493 *emx3* antisense:

494 FWD: TCCATCCATCCTTCCCCCTT

495 RVS: **TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG**GTGCTGACTGCCTTTCCTCT

496

497 DIG-labeled riboprobes were generated using 2ul of PCR template with the Roche DIG RNA

498 Labeling Kit (T7) (Sigma aldrich, SKU 11277073910)

499 Whole-mount imaging was carried out using a Zeiss Axioscope2 microscope. Embryos were
500 imaged in a 2.5% glycerol solution.

501

502 **Confocal microscopy**

503 Dorsal view time-lapse microscopy: performed as previously described⁶⁰. Embryos were

504 imaged using a Leica confocal microscope (Leica SP5 TCS 4D) at 15 sec/frame capturing

505 <.5µm of tissue. All fluorescently labeled sections were imaged using a Leica confocal

506 microscope (Leica SP5 TCS 4D).

507 Transverse view time-lapse microscopy: performed as described previously⁶⁰, with the
508 exception that the embryos were oriented anterior side against the glass. Embryos were
509 imaged using a Zeiss confocal microscope (Zeiss 900 LSM with Airyscan 2), at 20X.

510

511 **Data Quantification**

512 Medial-most-p63-positive-domain migration, Figure 1N: For each hemisphere of a tissue
513 section, the distance between the medial-most p63 positive nucleus and the midline was
514 manually scored. For each embryo, measurements were taken from tissue sections ranging
515 along the anterior-posterior axis of the forebrain.

516

517 Deep layer cell morphology measurements, Figure 3: Cells were manually scored from non-
518 projected z-stack images, where cellular outlines were visually determined from the mGFP
519 signal. Cells were labeled as neuroectoderm NF cells based on the fan-like pattern of their
520 basal projections in contact with the non-neural ectoderm. All other cells scored were within
521 the morphologically distinct eye vesicle and were labeled as eye field cells. Neural ectoderm
522 NF cells and eye field cells are not morphologically distinguishable at the 2 somitic stage and
523 thus were not given cell type identities at that developmental stage.

524

525 Medial hinge cell morphology measurements, Figure 5C: Cells were manually scored from
526 non-projected z-stack images of the overlay between the labeled actin cytoskeleton
527 (phalloidin) and nucleus (DAPI, not shown in Figure 5) channels. Cellular outlines were
528 visually determined from the phalloidin signal. For the nuclear position measurement (Figure
529 5c3), the distance from the dorsal edge of the nucleus to the basal membrane of the cell was
530 scored and divided by the total cell length.

531 Cell ratcheting, Figure 6 a1,a2,b1,b2: Live movie z-stacks for the first 35 minutes (~2-4
532 somites, n=2) of each movie were max projected and cropped so the midline of the tissue
533 horizontally bisected the image frame. The mGFP signal was then inverted and thresholded
534 to produce a binary image. Measurements for individual cell surface areas were captured
535 using the magic wand tool in ImageJ for every frame (15 seconds) until the cell left the field of
536 view. Plotted on the x-axis is the order of frame measurements (frame 0, frame 1, frame 2,...)
537 divided by the total number of frames for which that cell was scored to standardize between 0
538 and 1. Plotted on the y-axis, the initial value of each cell's surface area was subtracted from
539 all measurements for that cell to initialize surface area's to zero. The data was binned
540 (bins=50) to obtain median measurements and confidence intervals. Cells that underwent
541 mitosis anytime during the movie were excluded. Cells were labeled as MHP if their surface
542 area centroid from the first frame (t=0) was $\pm 20 \mu\text{m}$ from the midline with MHP-adjacent
543 cells being labeled as such if their centroid was $> \pm 20 \mu\text{m}$ from the midline.

544

545 Dorsal view movies of apical surface area oscillations: An oscillation was defined as a cycle
546 of constriction and then expansion or vice versa. For a given cell, constriction or expansion
547 was determined by the difference between surface areas for two sequential time frames,
548 where $\text{frame_1_surface_area} - \text{frame_2_surface_area} > 0$ was scored as constricting and < 0
549 was scored as expanding.

550

551 Transverse view movies of cell shape changes: Movies were manually scored at each time
552 frame to measure the following parameters (n=2, data shown for Supplemental movie 1 only).
553 Neural groove formation was calculated as the angle formed by the dorsal-most medial tissue

(Figure 8G); optic vesicle angle was measured as the angle formed by the outline of the optic vesicle as it forms (Figure 8H); NF basal angle was calculated as the angle formed by the basal surface of NF cells (Figure 8I); NF elevation was measured as the distance between the basal side of NF cells and the apical side of MHP cells (Figure 8J). As the NFs elevate, that distance decreases and eventually becomes negative as the NFs elevate above the MHP. Finally, the distance between the NFs was measured as the distance between the basal side of NF cells, as they migrate towards the midline (Figure 8K).

emx3 in situ hybridization measurements, Figure 10C: The distance between the lateral edges of the posterior-most extent of the *emx3* domain was manually scored for each embryo.

Statistical Analysis

The Mann-Whitney U test was used for all significance testing. The python function `scipy.stats.mannwhitneyu(alternative='two-sided')` was used to calculate the test statistic and P-value for each significance test. Graphs were generated using the python Seaborn package with the following functions: `seaborn.boxplot()`, `seaborn.lmplot()`, `seaborn.violinplot()`, `seaborn.lineplot()`.

Data availability

The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary information files or from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

577 REFERENCES

- 578 1. Copp, A. J., Greene, N. D. E. & Murdoch, J. N. Dishevelled: linking convergent extension
579 with neural tube closure. *Trends Neurosci.* **26**, 453–455 (2003).
- 580 2. Keller, R. Shaping the vertebrate body plan by polarized embryonic cell movements.
581 *Science* **298**, 1950–1954 (2002).
- 582 3. Copp, A. J., Greene, N. D. E. & Murdoch, J. N. The genetic basis of mammalian
583 neurulation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **4**, 784–793 (2003).
- 584 4. Morriss-Kay, G. M. Growth and development of pattern in the cranial neural epithelium of
585 rat embryos during neurulation. *J Embryol Exp Morphol* **65 Suppl**, 225–241 (1981).
- 586 5. Baker, P. C. & Schroeder, T. E. Cytoplasmic filaments and morphogenetic movement in
587 the amphibian neural tube. *Dev. Biol.* **15**, 432–450 (1967).
- 588 6. Sadler, T. W., Greenberg, D., Coughlin, P. & Lessard, J. L. Actin distribution patterns in
589 the mouse neural tube during neurulation. *Science* **215**, 172–174 (1982).
- 590 7. Morriss-Kay, G. & Tuckett, F. The role of microfilaments in cranial neurulation in rat
591 embryos: effects of short-term exposure to cytochalasin D. *J Embryol Exp Morphol* **88**,
592 333–348 (1985).
- 593 8. Schoenwolf, G. C., Folsom, D. & Moe, A. A reexamination of the role of microfilaments in
594 neurulation in the chick embryo. *The Anatomical Record* **220**, 87–102 (1988).
- 595 9. Ybot-Gonzalez, P. & Copp, A. J. Bending of the neural plate during mouse spinal
596 neurulation is independent of actin microfilaments. *Dev. Dyn.* **215**, 273–283 (1999).
- 597 10. Kinoshita, N., Sasai, N., Misaki, K. & Yonemura, S. Apical accumulation of Rho in the
598 neural plate is important for neural plate cell shape change and neural tube formation.
599 *Mol. Biol. Cell* **19**, 2289–2299 (2008).
- 600 11. Hildebrand, J. D. & Soriano, P. Shroom, a PDZ domain-containing actin-binding protein, is
601 required for neural tube morphogenesis in mice. *Cell* **99**, 485–497 (1999).
- 602 12. Xu, W., Baribault, H. & Adamson, E. D. Vinculin knockout results in heart and brain
603 defects during embryonic development. *Development* **125**, 327–337 (1998).
- 604 13. Haigo, S. L., Hildebrand, J. D., Harland, R. M. & Wallingford, J. B. Shroom induces apical
605 constriction and is required for hingepoint formation during neural tube closure. *Curr. Biol.*
606 **13**, 2125–2137 (2003).
- 607 14. Itoh, K., Ossipova, O. & Sokol, S. Y. GEF-H1 functions in apical constriction and cell
608 intercalations and is essential for vertebrate neural tube closure. *J. Cell. Sci.* **127**, 2542–
609 2553 (2014).
- 610 15. Rolo, A., Skoglund, P. & Keller, R. Morphogenetic movements driving neural tube closure
611 in *Xenopus* require myosin IIB. *Developmental Biology* **327**, 327–338 (2009).
- 612 16. Lawson, A., Anderson, H. & Schoenwolf, G. C. Cellular mechanisms of neural fold
613 formation and morphogenesis in the chick embryo. *Anat. Rec.* **262**, 153–168 (2001).
- 614 17. Colas, J. F. & Schoenwolf, G. C. Towards a cellular and molecular understanding of
615 neurulation. *Dev. Dyn.* **221**, 117–145 (2001).
- 616 18. Pyrgaki, C., Trainor, P., Hadjantonakis, A.-K. & Niswander, L. Dynamic imaging of
617 mammalian neural tube closure. *Dev. Biol.* **344**, 941–947 (2010).
- 618 19. Ray, H. J. & Niswander, L. A. Dynamic behaviors of the non-neural ectoderm during
619 mammalian cranial neural tube closure. *Dev. Biol.* **416**, 279–285 (2016).
- 620 20. Rolo, A. *et al.* Regulation of cell protrusions by small GTPases during fusion of the neural
621 folds. *Elife* **5**, e13273 (2016).

21. Geelen, J. A. & Langman, J. Closure of the neural tube in the cephalic region of the mouse embryo. *Anat. Rec.* **189**, 625–640 (1977).
22. Geelen, J. A. & Langman, J. Ultrastructural observations on closure of the neural tube in the mouse. *Anat. Embryol.* **156**, 73–88 (1979).
23. Massarwa, R., Ray, H. J. & Niswander, L. Morphogenetic movements in the neural plate and neural tube: mouse. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol* **3**, 59–68 (2014).
24. Waterman, R. E. Topographical changes along the neural fold associated with neurulation in the hamster and mouse. *Am. J. Anat.* **146**, 151–171 (1976).
25. Criley, B. B. Analysis of embryonic sources and mechanisms of development of posterior levels of chick neural tubes. *J. Morphol.* **128**, 465–501 (1969).
26. Griffith, C. M., Wiley, M. J. & Sanders, E. J. The vertebrate tail bud: three germ layers from one tissue. *Anat. Embryol.* **185**, 101–113 (1992).
27. Kimmel, C. B., Ballard, W. W., Kimmel, S. R., Ullmann, B. & Schilling, T. F. Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Dev. Dyn.* **203**, 253–310 (1995).
28. Papan, C. & Campos-Ortega, J. A. On the formation of the neural keel and neural tube in the zebrafish *Danio* (Brachydanio) rerio. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **203**, 178–186 (1994).
29. Hong, E. & Brewster, R. N-cadherin is required for the polarized cell behaviors that drive neurulation in the zebrafish. *Development* **133**, 3895–3905 (2006).
30. Strähle, U. & Blader, P. Early neurogenesis in the zebrafish embryo. *FASEB J.* **8**, 692–698 (1994).
31. Reichenbach, A., Schaaf, P. & Schneider, H. Primary neurulation in teleosts--evidence for epithelial genesis of central nervous tissue as in other vertebrates. *J. Hirnforsch* **31**, 153–158 (1990).
32. Miyayama, Y. & Fujimoto, T. Fine morphological study of neural tube formation in the teleost, *Oryzias latipes*. *Okajimas Folia Anat Jpn* **54**, 97–120 (1977).
33. Lowery, L. A. & Sive, H. Strategies of vertebrate neurulation and a re-evaluation of teleost neural tube formation. *Mech. Dev.* **121**, 1189–1197 (2004).
34. Schmidt, R., Strähle, U. & Scholpp, S. Neurogenesis in zebrafish - from embryo to adult. *Neural Dev* **8**, 3 (2013).
35. Cearns, M. D., Escuin, S., Alexandre, P., Greene, N. D. E. & Copp, A. J. Microtubules, polarity and vertebrate neural tube morphogenesis. *J. Anat.* **229**, 63–74 (2016).
36. Yamaguchi, Y. & Miura, M. How to form and close the brain: insight into the mechanism of cranial neural tube closure in mammals. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **70**, 3171–3186 (2013).
37. Ivanovitch, K., Cavodeassi, F. & Wilson, S. W. Precocious acquisition of neuroepithelial character in the eye field underlies the onset of eye morphogenesis. *Dev. Cell* **27**, 293–305 (2013).
38. England, S. J., Blanchard, G. B., Mahadevan, L. & Adams, R. J. A dynamic fate map of the forebrain shows how vertebrate eyes form and explains two causes of cyclopia. *Development* **133**, 4613–4617 (2006).
39. Viktorin, G., Chiuchitu, C., Rissler, M., Varga, Z. M. & Westerfield, M. *Emx3* is required for the differentiation of dorsal telencephalic neurons. *Dev. Dyn.* **238**, 1984–1998 (2009).
40. Schroeder, T. E. Neurulation in *Xenopus laevis*. An analysis and model based upon light and electron microscopy. *J Embryol Exp Morphol* **23**, 427–462 (1970).
41. Suzuki, M., Morita, H. & Ueno, N. Molecular mechanisms of cell shape changes that contribute to vertebrate neural tube closure. *Dev. Growth Differ.* **54**, 266–276 (2012).

- 667 42. Smith, J. L. & Schoenwolf, G. C. Cell cycle and neuroepithelial cell shape during bending
668 of the chick neural plate. *Anat. Rec.* **218**, 196–206 (1987).
- 669 43. Smith, J. L. & Schoenwolf, G. C. Role of cell-cycle in regulating neuroepithelial cell shape
670 during bending of the chick neural plate. *Cell Tissue Res.* **252**, 491–500 (1988).
- 671 44. Lee, J.-Y. & Harland, R. M. Endocytosis is required for efficient apical constriction during
672 *Xenopus* gastrulation. *Curr. Biol.* **20**, 253–258 (2010).
- 673 45. Gutzman, J. H., Sahu, S. U. & Kwas, C. Non-muscle myosin IIA and IIB differentially
674 regulate cell shape changes during zebrafish brain morphogenesis. *Dev. Biol.* **397**, 103–
675 115 (2015).
- 676 46. Araya, C. *et al.* Cdh2 coordinates Myosin-II dependent internalisation of the zebrafish
677 neural plate. *Sci Rep* **9**, 1835 (2019).
- 678 47. Karfunkel, P. The activity of microtubules and microfilaments in neurulation in the chick. *J.*
679 *Exp. Zool.* **181**, 289–301 (1972).
- 680 48. Lee, C., Scherr, H. M. & Wallingford, J. B. Shroom family proteins regulate gamma-tubulin
681 distribution and microtubule architecture during epithelial cell shape change.
682 *Development* **134**, 1431–1441 (2007).
- 683 49. Sawyer, J. M. *et al.* Apical constriction: a cell shape change that can drive
684 morphogenesis. *Dev. Biol.* **341**, 5–19 (2010).
- 685 50. Martin, A. C., Kaschube, M. & Wieschaus, E. F. Pulsed contractions of an actin-myosin
686 network drive apical constriction. *Nature* **457**, 495–499 (2009).
- 687 51. Martin, A. C. & Goldstein, B. Apical constriction: themes and variations on a cellular
688 mechanism driving morphogenesis. *Development* **141**, 1987–1998 (2014).
- 689 52. Solon, J., Kaya-Copur, A., Colombelli, J. & Brunner, D. Pulsed forces timed by a ratchet-
690 like mechanism drive directed tissue movement during dorsal closure. *Cell* **137**, 1331–
691 1342 (2009).
- 692 53. Suzuki, M. *et al.* Distinct intracellular Ca²⁺ dynamics regulate apical constriction and
693 differentially contribute to neural tube closure. *Development* **144**, 1307–1316 (2017).
- 694 54. McShane, S. G. *et al.* Cellular basis of neuroepithelial bending during mouse spinal
695 neural tube closure. *Dev. Biol.* **404**, 113–124 (2015).
- 696 55. Zohn, I. E., Anderson, K. V. & Niswander, L. The Hectd1 ubiquitin ligase is required for
697 development of the head mesenchyme and neural tube closure. *Dev. Biol.* **306**, 208–221
698 (2007).
- 699 56. Nikolopoulou, E., Galea, G. L., Rolo, A., Greene, N. D. E. & Copp, A. J. Neural tube
700 closure: cellular, molecular and biomechanical mechanisms. *Development* **144**, 552–566
701 (2017).
- 702 57. von Trotha, J. W., Campos-Ortega, J. A. & Reugels, A. M. Apical localization of
703 ASIP/PAR-3:EGFP in zebrafish neuroepithelial cells involves the oligomerization domain
704 CR1, the PDZ domains, and the C-terminal portion of the protein. *Dev. Dyn.* **235**, 967–
705 977 (2006).
- 706 58. Strehlow, D., Heinrich, G. & Gilbert, W. The fates of the blastomeres of the 16-cell
707 zebrafish embryo. *Development* **120**, 1791–1798 (1994).
- 708 59. Thisse, C., Thisse, B., Schilling, T. F. & Postlethwait, J. H. Structure of the zebrafish
709 snail1 gene and its expression in wild-type, spadetail and no tail mutant embryos.
710 *Development* **119**, 1203–1215 (1993).
- 711 60. Jayachandran, P., Hong, E. & Brewster, R. Labeling and imaging cells in the zebrafish
712 hindbrain. *J Vis Exp* (2010) doi:10.3791/1976.

713 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

714 Funds from Howard Hughes Medical Institute through the UMBC Precollege and
 715 Undergraduate Science Education Program supported J. Werner and D. Brooks. Funds from
 716 NIH/NIGMS grants # T32-GM055036 and # R25-GM066706 and NSF LSAMP BD grant #
 717 1500511 to UMBC supported M. Negesse. Funds from NSF LSAMP grant # 1619676 to
 718 UMBC supported J. Johnson. Funds from NIH/NIGMS MARCU*STAR T34 grant # HHS
 719 00026 to UMBC supported D. Brooks and A. Caldwell. We thank the following people for their
 720 contributions: Tagide deCarvalho for her help with confocal imaging and image processing;
 721 Corinne Houart for the *Tg(emx3:YFP)^{b1200}* transgenic line; Jennifer Gutzman for the gift of
 722 *myh10* morpholino and Mark Van Doren for his comments on the manuscript.

723 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

724 J.W. and M.N. contributed equally to this study.
 725 J.W. designed and performed experiments and carried out data analysis. M.N. carried out
 726 experiments and data analysis, annotated movie files and generated illustrations. D.B. and
 727 A.C. contributed to the experiments and analysis of cell polarity and myosin function. J.J.
 728 contributed to the analysis of myosin function. R.B. oversaw experimental design and
 729 analysis and wrote the manuscript.

730 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

731 The authors declare no competing interests

732 **MATERIALS AND CORRESPONDENCE**

733 Rachel Brewster

FIGURES

Figure 1. Hinge points and neural folds contribute to forebrain morphogenesis. (A-D) Optical sections at the level of the forebrain of WT embryos at the 2-3 som (A), 5 som (B), 7 som (C) and 10 som (D) stages. (E-L) Transverse sections through the ANP of 2-3 som (E, I), 5 som (F, J), 7 som (G, K) and 10 som (H, L) embryos. (E-H) Tg[emx3:YFP] embryos labeled with anti-GFP (green), phalloidin (F-actin, magenta) and DAPI (nuclei, blue). (I-L) WT embryos labeled with phalloidin (F-actin, green), anti-Sox3 (magenta) and anti-p63 (nuclear label, green). (M) Higher magnification image of panel J, grey scaled to reveal F-actin and p63 and pseudo-colored - color code: light blue: superficial eye field cells, dark blue: deep layer cells that apically constrict to form the optic vesicles, green: neural component of the neural fold, orange: olfactory placode (Sox3/p63-negative cells), yellow: non-neural component of the neural fold. (N) Measurements of neural fold convergence, scored as the distance between the medial-most p63-positive cells on either side of the midline at different developmental stages. Notches depict the 95% confidence interval around the median and the green triangle depicts the distribution mean. 2 som: 48 measurements from 14 embryos, mean= 136; 5 som: 144 measurements from 16 embryos, mean=73.0; 7 som: 87 measurements from 10 embryos, mean=36.0; 10 som: p63 domain is fused, no measurements. Statistical analysis: Mann-Whitney U tests, two-sided; 2 som vs 5 som : $P = 1.18 \times 10^{-21}$; 2 som vs 7 som : $P = 8.35 \times 10^{-22}$; 5 som vs 7 som : $P = 2.14 \times 10^{-31}$. (O) Side view of a 4 som

754 Tg[*emx3:YFP*] embryo. Abbreviations: s = superficial layer; d = deep layer; NF = neural fold; NG = neural
755 groove; hyp = hypothalamus; tel = telencephalon; ov = optic vesicle; DL = Dorso-lateral hinge points; M = Medial
756 hinge point; OP = olfactory placode; NE = neural ectoderm; NNE = non-neural ectoderm. Annotations: black
757 arrowhead = median groove, white open arrowhead = elevated neural fold-like structure, dashed line =
758 separation of the deep and superficial layers; brackets = hinge points; dotted line = A-P range of the neural folds;
759 white arrowhead = medial-most epidermis; red asterisk = neuropore. Scale bars: A and O= 100 μ m, E= 25 μ m.

Figure 2. Cell shape changes in the deep layer of the ANP that contribute to DLHP and neural fold formation. (A-E) Transverse section, at the level of the forebrain, of embryos at the 2-3 (A), 5 (B, b1, C, c1), 7 (D, d1) and 10 (E, e1) somite stages mosaically-expressing mGFP (green) and labeled with the nuclear marker DAPI (blue). The inset in A is a higher magnification of dashed area in A. (b1-e1) Higher magnifications of regions delineated by dotted lines in (A-E). Annotations: red dashed circle = basal constriction of NE component of neural fold; yellow circle = apical constriction of DLHP cells; arrows = NE component of neural fold; arrowheads = NNE component of neural folds; double dashed arrow = elongated deep cells of the optic vesicle, asterisks = dividing cells in the prospective telencephalon. Scale bars: 25 μ m in A and b1.

Figure 3. Measurements of cell shape changes in the deep neuroectodermal layer and neural folds. (A-C) Measurements of cell lengths (μm, Y axis) of m-GFP-labeled cells at different positions relative to the ANP midline (μm, X axis). Measurements begin in the region fated to become the optic vesicles. (D-F) Measurements of the apico:basal surface ratio of m-GFP-labeled cells at different positions relative to the ANP midline (μm, X axis). Measurements begin in the region fated to form the optic vesicles. The original scatter plot was fitted to a 3rd degree polynomial. Confidence intervals for the polynomial are 95% and were calculated with bootstrap sampling (n=1000). Color code: blue = neuroectodermal cells of the deep layer of 2 som stage embryos that are not yet identifiable based on cellular morphology; red = cells that form the optic vesicles; blue = cells that form the neuroectodermal component of the neural folds.

Figure 4. Dynamics of neural fold formation. A-F. Time lapse movie frames of the ANP, from a transverse view. A-C. Still frames of an embryo expressing membrane Kaede (mKaede). D-F. Still frames of a Tg[emx3:YFP] embryo expressing membrane RFP (mRFP, pseudo labeled magenta) and YFP (green). Yellow boxes in A, C, D and F identify magnified areas in a1, c1, d1 and f1. Annotations: blue dotted line: outlines the basal side of the neural folds; red and white lines identify individual neural fold cells; arrows: indicate narrowing surface in neural folds cells. Scale bars: 50 μm in A and D; 25 μm in a1 and d1.

Figure 6. Oscillatory constriction with decreasing amplitude reduces the apical surface of MHP cells. (A) Measurements of medial, MHP cells. (a1) Relative apical surface areas over time for individual MHP cells. (a2) Median values of MHP relative apical surface areas over time, 95% confidence interval, n=71. (a3) Representative trace of relative apical surface area over time for an individual MHP cell. (B) Measurements of MHP-adjacent cells. (b1) Relative apical surface areas over time for individual MHP-adjacent cells. (b2) Median values of MHP-adjacent relative apical surface areas over time, 95% confidence interval. (b3) Representative trace of relative apical surface area over time for an individual MHP-adjacent cell. (C) Distributions of the duration of oscillation between two expanded states for MHP cells (red, median of 45 seconds per oscillation) and MHP-adjacent cells (blue, median of 45 seconds per oscillation). No significant difference (two-sided Mann Whitney U test, $P=0.293$, $n=758$ MHP cell oscillations, $n=1,113$ MHP-adjacent oscillations). (D) Distributions of the timing of apical constrictions or expansions for MHP cells (red) and MHP-adjacent cells (blue). There is no significant difference between the two groups for constriction time (two-sided Mann Whitney U test: constrictions, $P=0.541$, $n= 458$ MHP cell constrictions, $n=640$ MHP-adjacent constrictions) but there is a statistically significant difference for expansion time ($P = 0.000832$, $n= 367$ MHP-cell expansions, $n=596$ MHP-adjacent expansions). The median time for individual expansions of MHP and MHP-adjacent cells is 15 and 30 seconds respectively. All boxplot elements depict quartiles with the centerline depicting the median. (E) Still frames of time-lapse movie of m-GFP labeled cells shown in grey-scale. The oscillatory behavior of one cell, outlined in red, is shown over time. Scale bar: 10 μm in c1.

Figure 7. Dynamics of neural fold fusion. (A, a1-a7) Time lapse movie frames of an embryo expressing mosaic GFP, imaged from a dorsal view, showing the initiation of neural tube closure. Images are overlays of the green and brightfield channels. Inset in a1 shows a dorsal view of an *emx3*-labeled embryo. Insets in a4-a6 outline the eye-shaped opening that forms between closure sites one (CP1) and two (CP2) (B) Grey-scale time-lapse movie frames of an mGFP-labeled embryo imaged from a dorsal view, revealing the final stages of neural fold fusion. Insets in the lower right corner of panels b1-b4 are higher magnification views of boxed areas. Abbreviations: C1, C2: closure sites one and two. Annotations: red asterisk: apex of the neural fold arc; red dotted line: synchronous and posteriorly-directed neural fold fusion anterior to closure point one; white double arrows: distance between the neural folds; white dotted oval: eye shaped opening, the corners of which are defined by closure points one and two; white dotted line: embryonic midline; red arrows: filopodia extending across the midline; time-elapse is shown at the bottom of each panel. Scale bars: 50 μ m in a1, 100 μ m in a1 inset, and 25 μ m in b1.

Figure 8. Dynamics of anterior neurulation. A-F. Graphs illustrating the dynamics of neural groove formation (left Y axis, blue line in A and D), optic vesicle angle (left Y axis, blue line in B and E) and neural fold basal angle (left Y axis, blue line in C and F) as compared to neural fold elevation (right Y axis, black line in A-C) and distance between the neural folds (right Y axis, black line in D-F) over time (X axis). G-K. Still frames of an embryo expressing mKaede (green), in which the MHP cells were photoconverted (magenta), showing how the measurements in graphs A-F were acquired, at two discrete time points. G. The neural groove was measured as the angle formed by the dorsal most tissue. H. The optic vesicle angle was measured as the angle formed by the outline of the optic vesicle as it forms. I. The neural fold basal angle was calculated as the angle formed by the basal side of neural fold cells. J. Neural fold elevation was measured as the distance between the basal side of neural fold cells and the apical side of MHP cells. As the neural folds elevate, that distance decreases and eventually becomes negative as the neural folds elevate above the MHP. K. Distance between the neural folds was measured as the distance between the basal side of neural fold cells. Scale bar: 50 μm.

Figure 9. Molecular characterization of the MHP. (A-L). Transverse sections through the ANP at the 5som stage. Embryos double-labeled with Pard3-GFP (green) and phalloidin (F-actin, magenta) (A-c2); ZO-1 (magenta) and F-actin (green) (D-f2); N-cadherin (magenta) and F-actin (green) (G-i2); and with anti-P-MLC (magenta) and F-actin (green) (J-l2). (C, F, I, L) Magenta and green channel overlay. Insets show higher magnification images of the MHP (yellow box) and DLHP (blue box). Annotations: arrow = MHP; arrowhead = DLHP; dotted line (in J-L) = interface between the NE and NNE layers of the neural folds. Scale bars: 25 μm.

885 n=23, mean=217.472. Two-sided Mann Whitney U test: 5 som - untreated vs DMSO: P=0.332; untreated
886 vs blebbistatin-treated: P=1.30e⁻⁷; DMSO-treated vs blebbistatin-treated: P = 3.50e⁻⁶. 7 som: untreated:
887 n=28, mean=90.444; DMSO-treated: n=27, mean 84.855; blebbistatin-treated: n=24, mean= 169.779. Two-
888 sided Mann Whitney U test: 7 som - untreated vs DMSO: P=0.170; untreated vs blebbistatin-treated: P=2.06e⁻
889 9; DMSO-treated vs blebbistatin-treated: P = 1.46e⁻⁹. Annotations: double white arrows = cell length in deep
890 layer; open arrowhead = DLHP; asterisks = rounded neuroectodermal cells; red double arrow = posterior-most
891 telencephalon width. Scale bars: 25 µm in A and a1; 100 µm in B.

SUPPLEMENTARY MOVIE LEGENDS

Supplemental Movie 1. MHP cells sink inwards as neural folds elevate. Time lapse imaging of embryo ubiquitously expressing mKaede (green) that was photo-converted in medial superficial cells that form the MHP (magenta). Annotations: Cyan arrowhead: basal surface of neural fold cells; white arrows: sinking of MHP cells.

Supplemental Movie 2. 3-Dimensional rotation revealing organization of neural fold cells in a 5 som embryo. Transverse section, at the level of the forebrain of a 5 somite embryo mosaically-expressing mGFP.

Supplemental Movie 3. 3-Dimensional rotation revealing organization of neural fold cells in a 7 som embryo. Transverse section, at the level of the forebrain of a 7 somite embryo mosaically-expressing mGFP.

Supplemental Movie 4. Neural fold cells constrict basally as neural folds elevate. Time lapse imaging of Tg[*emx3:YFP*] transgenic embryo ubiquitously expressing mRFP. YFP-positive neural fold cells (green) constrict basally as neural folds elevate. Annotations: Cyan arrow: basal surface of neural fold cells; white lines: telencephalon cells expressing YFP.

Supplemental Movie 5. MHP cells undergo oscillatory constriction with decreasing amplitude. Time lapse imaging of embryo ubiquitously expressing mGFP. Clusters of medial (MHP) constrict apically in an oscillatory manner, in contrast to their lateral neighbors that do not. Annotations: cyan dots = MHP cells; yellow asterisks = MHP-adjacent cells that do not undergo apical constriction, yellow dashed line: midline, red asterisks = EVL cells.

Supplemental Movie 6. Neural tube closure is initiated at two closure points in the forebrain. Time lapse imaging of embryo mosaically-expressing mGFP. The Green and brightfield channels are overlaid to reveal the shape of the neural folds and neural groove. Annotations: red asterisk: apex of the arch shaped neural folds; white dotted line: contour of the eye-shaped opening whose corners are defined by closure points 1 and 2, double headed arrow: width of the neural plate, which decreases over time, white arrows: closure points 1 and 2, respectively.

Supplemental Movie 7. Neural fold cells extend filopodial protrusions across the midline. Time lapse imaging of embryo ubiquitously expressing mGFP. Cells (cyan and magenta) originating from contralateral sides of the ANP extend medially oriented filopodia and transiently interdigitate across the midline (yellow dashed line).

929 **SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE**

930
931
932 **Supplemental Figure S1. Neurulation in amniotes. Cross sectional illustration of stages of neurulation in**
933 **amniotes.** (A) The neural plate and adjacent non neural ectoderm. (B) Medial hinge point formation shapes the
934 neural groove and elevates the neural folds. (b1) Illustration of medial hinge point cells that are apically
935 constricted and enriched for actomyosin at their apex. (C) Dorso-lateral hinge point formation brings the neural
936 folds in close apposition. (c1) Filopodial extensions establish contact between neural fold cells across the
937 midline. In the mouse forebrain the first contact is established between neuroectodermal cells. (D) The neural
938 folds fuse medially, separating the epidermis from the neural tube. Abbreviations: DLHP = dorso-lateral
939 hinge point; MHP = medial hinge point; NF = neural fold.

2 somites

5 somites

7 somites

2 somites

5 somites

C

c1

A/B Ratio

5som

c2

LWR

2som

5som

Nuclear position / Length

c3

2som

5som

D

MHP cells

Apical surface area oscillations

MHP-adjacent

— Angle ($^{\circ}$)
— Distance (μm)