Phylogeny of the species-rich *Pilea* Lindl. (Urticaceae) supports its revised delimitation and infrageneric classification, including the resurrection of *Achudemia* Blume
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ABSTRACT

*Pilea* Lindl., with 933 published names is the largest genus within the Urticaceae. *Pilea* was last monographed in 1869 and whilst the monophyly of the genus has been proposed by previous authors, this has been based on incomplete taxon sampling and the failure to resolve the position of key taxa. We aimed to generate a robust phylogeny for *Pilea* and allied genera that could provide a framework for testing the monophyly of *Pilea*, revising its delimitation and for answering broader scientific questions about this species-rich genus. To do so, we sought to sample taxa representative of previous infrageneric classifications and with anomalous inflorescences or flower configurations and to use the resulting phylogeny to evaluate the delimitation of *Pilea* and to establish an infrageneric classification. In addition, we included a representative of the Polynesian genus *Haroldiella* which, morphologically, is very similar to *Pilea*. Using Sanger sequence data from two plastid and one nuclear regions we constructed a phylogeny using Bayesian Inference, Maximum Likelihood and Maximum Parsimony approaches. We used our phylogeny to evaluate the informativeness of 19 morphological traits and applied both to delimit a monophyletic genus and infrageneric sections. Our results recovered *Pilea* as paraphyletic with respect to *Lecanthus*, a consequence of the recovery of a monophyletic clade comprising sections *Achudemia* and *Smithiella*, neither of which had been adequately sampled in previous studies. We also recovered *Pilea* as polyphyletic with respect to *Haroldiella*. We identified isomery between male and female flowers, flower part number and male sepal arrangement as being phylogenetically informative traits that can be used to delimit two genera, *Achudemia*, including section *Smithiella*, recovered as sister to *Lecanthus*, and *Pilea*, including *Haroldiella*, recovered as sister to both. On the basis of our evaluation of both morphological traits and phylogenetic relationships we propose a new infrageneric classification for the genus comprising seven sections, five of which we describe for the first time, § *Trimeris* Y.G.Wei & A.K.Monro, § *Lecanthoides* C.J.Chen, § *Angulata* L.F.Fu & Y.G.Wei, § *Tetrameris* C.J.Chen, § *Verrucosa* L.F.Fu & Y.G.Wei, § *Plataniflora* L.F.Fu & Y.G.Wei and § *Leiocarpa* L.F.Fu & Y.G.Wei. We also identify a trend of decreasing merism and fruit size, and increasing species-richness as *Pilea* diverges. In addition, we recover strong geographical structure within our phylogeny, sufficient to propose that *Pilea* originated in the IndoMalaya
biogeographic domain.
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1. Introduction

*Pilea* Lindl., with 933 published names (IPNI, 2020), 604 accepted names (WCVP, 2020) and likely 715 species worldwide (Monro, 2004) is the largest genus within the Urticaceae and has a pantropical and subtropical distribution. *Pilea* is characterized by succulent herbs, shrubs and epiphytes whose flowers are wind pollinated, opening explosively, and seed which is mechanically dispersed over short distances through the reflexing of the staminodes. It is most species-rich in forested rocky habitats, especially on limestone or ultramafic rocks at elevations between of 500 and 2,000 masl, in the Greater Antilles, Central America and the Andes. Members of the genus may be distinguished from other genera in the family by the combination of opposite (rarely alternate) leaves, intrapetiolar stipules, an absence of stinging hairs, male inflorescences not fused to form a receptacle-like structure, and free female sepals (Fig. 1 & Fig. 2). As is the case for many species-rich genera, *Pilea* has not been monographed since the 19thC (Weddell, 1869) at which time the genus comprised ca 150 spp.. Instead, its taxonomy has been revised piecemeal through flora treatments (Monro, 2006).

*Pilea* belongs to the Elatostemaea tribe (Gaudichaud, 1830) which, including *Sarcopilea* Urb., has been recovered as monophyletic and sister to *Lecanthus* Wedd. (Monro, 2006; Jestrow et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013; Tseng et al. 2019). The Elatostemeae comprises mainly succulent, shade-loving, wind-pollinated species which, as is the case for each tribe in the family, show a great variation in female inflorescence arrangement, ranging from open panicles to spikes and fused receptacle-like structures. Despite several molecular studies, doubts remain over the status of *Achudemia* Blume. Different accessions of *A. japonica*, having been recovered within, or sister to, *Pilea* (Monro, 2006) and currently it is included within *Pilea* (Friis, 1989; Chen and Monro, 2003). In addition, neither the Polynesian endemic, *Haroldiella* J.Florence, whose morphological circumscription is congruent with *Pilea*, or section *Smithiella*, characterised by strongly asymmetrical spicate inflorescences, were sampled in previous studies, suggesting that the monophyly of the genus remains untested.
Fig. 1. Morphological diversity of *Pilea* and *Achudemia*. A, *P. longicaulis* (shrub); B, *P. fairchildiana* (shrub, with alternate, spirally arranged leaves); C, *A. boniana* (herb, epipetric); D, *P. paniculigera* (herb, epipetric); E, *P. matama* (unequal opposite leaves, epiphytic with capitate female inflorescences); F, *P. peploides* (herb, clumped); G, *P. sp aff. microphylla*; H, *P. rivularis* (female inflorescence enclosed by stipules); I, *P. aff. pittieri* (herb, male capitate inflorescence arising from stolons); J, *P. angustifolia* (herb, male capitate inflorescence); *P. notata* (male cyme inflorescence). A-B, E, G-J were photographed by Alexandre K. Monro; D was photographed by Yi-Gang Wei; C-D, F, K were photographed by Long-Fei Fu.
Fig. 2. Illustration of male and female flowers of *Pilea* and *Achudemia*. A, *A. javanica* (female flower); B, *A. javanica* (male flower); C, *P. tripartite* (female flower); D, *P. tripartite* (male flower); E, *P. plataniflora* (female flower); F, *P. plataniflora* (male flower); G, *P. microphylla* (female flower); H, *P. microphylla* (male flower). Illustration by Margaret Tebbs.
Achudemia, currently treated as a section of Pilea, comprises four species of herb that grow in deep forest shade, stream sides, gorges and caves in Indomalaya. Achudemia was established by Blume (1856: 57) to account for a Pilea-like collection from Java (Indonesia) which had bisexual (hermaphrodite) five-parted flowers. It appears that Blume described the flowers as bisexual in error as neither the holotype, type illustration, or any other collections have been observed to have bisexual flowers.

Pilea section Smithiella, comprises a single species of herb from Indomalaya, also growing in deep shade (Chen, 1995; Chen and Monro, 2003). Smithiella was generated by Dunn (1920) to account for Pilea-like material from the Eastern Himalayas characterised by strongly asymmetrical spicate inflorescences of five-parted flowers. Dunn had been unaware of an earlier homonym with priority, Smithiella H. Perag & Perag. and in 1981, Bennet (1981) created a replacement name, Aboriella.

Haroldiella comprises two species from Austral Polynesia growing on rocky outcrops or in rain forest. Haroldiella was described by Florence (1997) based on plants with alternate, spirally arranged pinnately nerved leaves. As with all Pilea from French Polynesia (Florence, 1997), they also share the trait of two-sepalate female flowers, a condition very rare elsewhere in the genus. With the recovery of Sarcopilea domingensis Urb., a taxon with spirally arranged, pinnately nerved alternate leaves, within a monophyletic Pilea (Monro, 2006, Jestrow et al., 2012), the characters used to delimit Haroldiella no longer support its separation as a distinct genus.

Both Achudemia and Aboriella differ from other Pilea species in having male and female flowers with five sepals (Fig. 2). Where free, the same number of perianth parts in male and female flowers is uncommon within the Urticaceae and within Pilea, it is a condition restricted to a basal, relatively species-poor clade (Monro, 2006) comprising Afrotropical, Indomalayan and neotropical species.

Previous phylogenetic studies have consistently recovered Lecanthus as the genus most closely related to Pilea (Monro, 2006; Wu et al., 2013, 2018), from which it be distinguished by its male inflorescences being fused to form a concave receptacle-like structure reminiscent of Elatostema J.R.Forst. & G.Forst. It also has an equal number of male and female perianth parts, either four or five (Chen and Monro, 2003).
Given the above, and with the limited sampling of *Pilea* species with anomalous inflorescence arrangements (spicate, receptacle-like) or flower-part number (five), together with the ambiguous position of *Achudemia*, generic delimitation is potentially unstable. Furthermore, the two main infrageneric classifications of *Pilea* (Weddell, 1856; Chen, 1982) have been demonstrated to be largely para- or polyphyletic.

For the above reasons, we aimed to generate a robust phylogeny for *Pilea* and allied genera that could provide a framework for revising the delimitation of the genus and the answering of broader scientific questions about this species-rich and poorly studied genus. To do so we sought to increase taxon sampling for the genus, encompassing all previous sections, and the full range of morphological variation and geographical occurrence, using an evaluation of the informativeness of morphological traits support the establishment of an infrageneric classification.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Taxon sampling

We included 137 accessions representing 125 taxa (Table 1). These included 18 outgroup taxa from the Cannabaceae, Moraceae and representatives of all Urticaceae tribes except for Cecropieae (see Table 1) and 107 ingroup taxa (*Lecanthus* + *Pilea*). Within the Elatostemeae, all genera were sampled except for the monotypic *Metapilea* which is likely extinct (Wu et al., 2018), known only from the type and could not be sampled. This encompassed the following taxa, *Elatostema* (3 spp), *Elatostematoides* (1 sp), *Lecanthus* (2 spp), *Pilea* (105 spp), *Procris* (1 sp) and *Polychroa* (1 sp). We focussed on the ITS nuclear region and the *rbcL* and *trnL-F* plastid regions. We combined sequences generated by previous studies (Monro, 2006; Jestrow et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013, 2018; Kim et al., 2015) 141 sequences of which were generated by ourselves, excluding those accessions where we felt that the identifications were ambiguous, or where sequence data for only a single region could be obtained. An exception was made for the single sequence of *Haroldiella* that we were able to obtain. Our sampling of *Pilea* included representatives of all infrageneric sections proposed by Chen (1982, Chen and Monro, 2003). We did not structure our sampling to include representatives of Weddell’s sections as these were all demonstrated to be para- and polyphyletic by Monro (2006).
Sequence data were obtained for the four species of *P.* sect. *Achudemia*, the monotypic *P.* sect. *164 Smithiella*, ten from *P.* sect. *Tetrameris* (approximately 2/3 of the species), 85 from *P.* sect. *Urticella* (approximately ¼ of the species), seven from *P.* sect. *Pilea* (approximately 2/3 of the species), two from *P.* sect. *Dimeris* (1/2 of the species) and one from *P.* sect. *Lecanthoides* (1/2 of the species). Four species of Moraceae (*Fatoua villosa* Nakai, *Morus alba* L., *Sorocea affinis* Hemsl., *Trophis racemosa* (L.) Urb.) and two species of Cannabaceae (*Cannabis sabiva* L., *Humulus lupulus* L.) were chosen as outgroups based on the previous analyses (Zhang et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015). Species names, the accession numbers of sequences downloaded from GenBank, and newly generated sequences used in this study are listed in Supplementary Text 1.

Table 1. Statistics for the molecular datasets used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Number of sequences (ingroup/outgroup)</th>
<th>Aligned length (bp)</th>
<th>Length variation (bp)</th>
<th>Variable characters (bp)</th>
<th>Parsimony-informative characters (bp)</th>
<th>Model selected (AIC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>119/18</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>472-588</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trnL-trnF</td>
<td>117/18</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>402-1059</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rbcL</td>
<td>61/18</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>629-637</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined plastid</td>
<td>119/18</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>1038-1696</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined all</td>
<td>119/18</td>
<td>2412</td>
<td>1572-2231</td>
<td>1159</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. DNA isolation, PCR amplification and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh or dried materials using a modified CTAB protocol (Chen et al., 2014). The nrITS region was amplified using primers ITS 4 and ITS 5 (White et al., 1990) and *rbcL* using primers 1F and 724R (Fay et al., 1997). The *trnL-F* spacer was amplified using primers e and f (Taberlet et al., 1991) for most accessions while for few problematic cases, we employed primers c and d to separate *trnL-F* into two overlap regions then concatenated sequences (Taberlet et al., 1991). The PCR amplification were set at 94 °C for 5 min, 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 45 s and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were checked on 1% agarose gels before being purified using a QiaQuick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, California, USA) and directly sequenced in both directions using the amplification primers on an ABI 3730 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Forster City, California, U.S.A.).
2.3. Phylogenetic analyses

Raw sequences were edited and assembled using the software Lasergene Navigator (DNASTar, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) with subsequent manual adjustments. The output DNA sequences were then aligned using MAFFT version 7.0 (Katoh and Standley, 2013) with default settings, followed by manual adjustment. The three datasets (nrITS, rbcL, and trnL-F spacer) were aligned independently. Alignments were adjusted manually in MEGA 5.1 (Tamura et al., 2011). Phylogenies were reconstructed based on the nrITS dataset, the combined plastid datasets (rbcL, and trnL-F spacer), and all three datasets combined (nrITS, rbcL, and trnL-F spacer), respectively. All of these reconstructions were analysed using Bayesian inference (BI), maximum likelihood (ML), and maximum parsimony (MP) methods. A visual comparison of the two best tree topologies generated by ML analyses of cpDNA and nrITS datasets were performed to compare topological incongruence. A conflict in tree topologies of each tree was considered significant when incongruent topologies both received bootstrap values ≥ 80% (Monro, 2006; Tseng et al., 2019).

Best-fit DNA substitution models were selected using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) in Modeltest v 2.7 (Posada and Crandall, 1998) for each data partition. The substitution model of the sequences was set to GTR+G+I for each single dataset based on Modeltest. BI analyses were based on a Markov chain algorithm implemented in MRBAYES 3.2.6 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001).

ML analyses with 1000 bootstrap resampling (MLBS) were conducted using the online version of RAxML-HPC2 v8.2.9 (Stamatakis et al., 2008) available at the CIPRES Science Gateway version 3.3 (http://www.phylo.org/index.php/portal/) (Miller et al., 2010) with the gamma model of rate heterogeneity.

MP analyses were performed using PAUP* v4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002), in which all characters were unordered and equally weighted, and gaps were treated as missing data. Heuristic searches of MP were conducted with 100 random addition replicates with tree tree-bisection–reconnection (TBR) branch swapping and MulTrees in effect. Branch supports were assessed using 1000 bootstrap replicates (maximum parsimony bootstrap; MPBS) with the sample settings the same as those for heuristic searches.

2.4. Estimates of support
In Bayesian analyses, posterior probabilities (PP) below 0.9 were considered as providing no support, between 0.9 and 0.94 as providing weak support, between 0.95 and 0.99 as providing moderate support, and 1.0 as providing strong support (Tseng et al., 2019).

In bootstrap analyses of the ML (BSML) and MP (BSMP) analyses, values below 70% were considered as providing no support, between 70-79% as providing weak support, between 80-89% as providing moderate support, and 90-100% as providing strong support (Tseng et al., 2019).

2.5. Morphological trait evolution

Based on existing phylogenetic studies we performed ancestral state reconstructions (ASR) in order to evaluate the phylogenetic informativeness of selected morphological traits and so apply these to the delimitation of *Pilea* and allied genera, and the establishment of an infrageneric classification of *Pilea*. Our aim was to establish a classification that was both phylogenetically congruent and morphologically diagnosable.

Nineteen morphological traits were coded for analysis (see Supplementary Text 2). Traits were selected on the basis that they had been used in previous classifications and revisions of *Pilea* (Weddell, 1856; Chen, 1982; Monro, 2006, 2015). Traits were scored based on the examination of herbarium specimens and description in the literatures (Chen, 1982; Friis, 1989; Monro, 1999, 2015; Chen and Monro, 2003; Monro et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2017a; Yang et al., 2018).

Likely transitions between trait states through evolution were reconstructed using ML methods in Mesquite v.3.51 (Maddison and Maddison, 2015). We sampled the last 1000 trees from the post burn-in set of the Bayesian analysis using combined dataset and an equal rate model (Mk1) was selected for all traits. To account for phylogenetic uncertainty, we used ‘Trace character over trees’. All reconstructions were integrated over the 1000 trees from the post burn-in set and summarized on one of these trees that most matched our hypothesized topology. The results were summarized as a percentage of changes of trait states using the option of ‘Average frequencies across trees’.

2.6. Delimitation of infrageneric groupings

Given the number of species in *Pilea*, an infrageneric classification can be a practical way to ease identification, as well as providing a framework for answering broader evolutionary
questions. With these aims our classification needed to reflect both phylogenetic relationships and be morphologically diagnosable. We decided to base our classification of *Pilea* on sections rather than subgenera as the distinction between the two is unclear (Brizicky, 1969) and in this way we maintain the terminology adopted by Weddell (1856, denoted by the symbol ‘§’) and Chen (1982). We have also aimed to establish sections in accordance with the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi and plants (Turland et al., 2018).

3. Results

3.1. Phylogenetic reconstruction

Characteristics and statistics of the datasets used in this study are summarized in Table 1. The comparison of trees for cpDNA (*trnL-F, rbcL*) and nrITS revealed an incongruence between the outgroup taxa (Figs. S1 & S2). Because this incongruence did not affect the topology of the ingroup taxa and the phylogeny of the combined dataset showed better resolved trees with higher support values, than individual trees, we used the combined dataset for subsequent analyses, including that of transitions between morphological trait states. The ingroup taxa were recovered as monophyletic (Fig. 3) with strong support (PP1.0/BSML100%/BSMP100%).

3.2. Phylogenetic relationships of *Pilea*

*Pilea*, including *Achudemia* and *Haroldiella* was recovered as paraphyletic with respect to *Lecanthus* (Fig. 3 & Fig. S3). Two strongly supported clades attributable to *Pilea sensu latu* were recovered. The first (Fig. 3, Clade B, labelled as *Achudemia*), was recovered sister to *Lecanthus* (Clade A) and included all accessions from *P. sect. Achudemia* and *P. sect. Smithiella* (*P. subpubera, P. boniana, P. hilliana, P. japonica, P. spicata*) with strong support (PP1.0/BSML100%/BSMP100%). The second clade (Fig. 3, Clade C) comprised all other accessions of *Pilea* with strong support (PP1.0/BSML100%/BSMP100%) and, in the analysis of the ITS sequence data (Fig. S3), *Haroldiella*, with strong support (1/100/100). Within Clade C, seven subclades were recovered with strong to weak support (C1 (1/100/99), C2 (0.96/74/92), C3 (1/100/100), C4 (1/100/100), C5 (0.94/74/74), C6 (1/100/100) and C7 (0.99/72/-)) (Fig. 3). *Haroldiella* was recovered within clade C7d (Fig. S3, 1/100/98). Clade C4 and C5 were no and weakly supported by all methods used to analyse the data, albeit they comprise
groups united by the morphological trait states of four-parted female flower and ornamented achenes, respectively. Clade C7 was recovered with strong to weak support but comprised four strongly supported subclades (C7a (1/100/99), C7b (1/100/100), C7c (1/100/100), C7d (1/100/100)).

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree of *Pilea* generated from Bayesian Inference (BI) of combined dataset (nrITS, *trnL-F* spacer and *rbcL*). Numbers on the branches indicate the posterior probability (≥0.8) of BI and bootstrap values (≥60%) of the maximum likelihood (ML) and the maximum parsimony (MP) analyses.
3.3. Geographical structure

Clade A (Figs. 4-5 & Fig. S23) comprises taxa with an Asia distribution, although *Lecanthus* also includes species (not sampled) from Africa. Clade B (Figs. 4-5 & Fig. S23) comprises taxa from East and Southeast Asia.

Clade C (Figs. 4-5 & Fig. S23) comprises species with a pantropical distribution except for Australia and New Zealand. Within clade C, subclade C1 comprises taxa from Africa, Asia and Latin America; subclades C2, C3, C4, C5 and C6 comprise taxa from East Asia; and subclade C7 taxa from the pantropics. *Haroldiella*, restricted to Polynesia was recovered within a polytomy within clade C7d meaning that it is more closely related to neotropical species, than to African or Asian ones. Within clade C7, three subclades show a strong geographical association. Subclade C7d, which harbours the greatest number of species, is strongly associated with the neotropics, the Greater Antilles, Andes and Central America in particular. Subclades C7b and C7c comprise predominantly palearctic taxa.

3.4. Morphological trait evolution

The 19 morphological traits were mapped onto the Bayesian Inference (BI) tree based on the Maximum Likelihood analyses (Fig. 4 & Figs. S4-S22). This recovered five-sepalate male and female flowers, and imbricate male flower sepals as synapomorphies for Clade A + B (*Achudemia*), with a reversal in *L. petelotii* var. *corniculata* which has four-sepalate male and female flowers. Achene bearing a crescent-shaped protuberance and unbranched male inflorescences were recovered as autoapomorphies for Clade A (*Lecanthus*). Five-sepalate female and male flowers were recovered as a plesiomorphy, and branched male inflorescences as a synapomorphy, for Clade B (*Pilea* section *Achudemia* + *P. section Smithiella*). The presence or absence of a crescent-shaped protuberance on the achene and branching, or not, of the male inflorescence enable clades A and B to be readily distinguished from each other.

Four plesiomorphies were recovered for Clade C (all remaining *Pilea* species), stipules ≤ 10 mm, male flowers 3-sepalate, achene > 0.8 mm, achene ornamented. These were manifested in the basal subclades, transforming to other states through the tree. All other traits were recovered as homoplastic for the clade.
Fig. 4. Reconstruction of the evolution of twenty morphological traits in *Pilea* based on our Bayesian Inference analysis of the combined dataset. The trait states at the *Pilea* node indicate the ancestral states of the genus. Transitions are indicated as filled boxes on the branches. Traits are shown above boxes and state transitions below. Descriptions of traits and their states are provided in the legend. The three clades (A–C) and seven subclades (C1–C7) correspond to those in Fig. 2. Reconstructions for each trait can be seen in Supplementary Figures S4 to S23.
Within subclades of Clade C, however, several plesiomorphic and synapomorphic trait states were recovered. For clade C1, we recovered male flowers 3-sepalate (with a reduction to two-sepalate for *Pilea tetraphylla*) as a synapomorphy and male sepals valvate as a plesiomorphy. For C2, we recovered branches of the male inflorescence fused to form a receptacle-like structure as a synapomorphy. For C3, we recovered stipules > 10 mm as a synapomorphy. For C5 we recovered achene surface ornamented as a plesiomorphy. For C6 we recovered achene surface ornamented and leaf margin entire as plesiomorphies. For C7 we recovered achene surface smooth as a plesiomorphy. For C4 we recovered no synapomorphies or plesiomorphies. It could, however, be morphologically diagnosed based on unique combinations of morphological traits (male and female flowers 4-sepalate), as could several other monophyletic groupings within clade C.

4. Discussion

4.1. Resurrection and expansion of Achudemia

Previous studies (Monro, 2006; Jestrow et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013, 2015, 2018) did not attempt to establish formal infrageneric classifications because of limited morphological trait and taxon sampling, especially of the more basal taxa. Monro (2006) did, however, suggest a preliminary and informal classification of *Pilea* into six ‘units’ based on geography and isomery of flower part number between male and female flowers, cystolith distribution on the leaves and the presence of multicellular hairs. In this study we sought to propose a formal classification based increased taxon, morphological and geographical sampling. Our approach resolved the positions of all members of section *Achudemia*, including *Pilea subpubera*, synonym of *Achudemia javanica*, genus type for *Achudemia*, and *Pilea* (*Achudemia*) japonica, ambiguously recovered within and outside of *Pilea* by Monro (2006). In doing so recovered a paraphyletic *Pilea*. The paraphyly can be resolved through the exclusion of 5-sepalate male flowered taxa, formally assigned to Chen’s (Chen, 1982; Chen and Monro, 2003) sections *Achudemia* and *Smithiella*, into a resurrected and expanded genus, *Achudemia*, which can be distinguished from other *Pilea* species and *Lecanthis*, based on flower, male inflorescence and achene morphology.

*Haroldiella* was recovered within *Pilea*. This result was not surprising given that the
justification for treating the taxa within a genus distinct to *Pilea* (Florence, 1997) was based on the presence of alternate, spirally arranged leaves, a trait state expressed elsewhere within *Pilea* (e.g. *P. peperomiodes, P. fairchildiana*).

Whilst almost all of the morphological trait states assessed were found to be homoplastic, our expanded sampling did enable us to recover seven morphologically diagnosable clades within *Pilea* and to use these to establish seven sections, partly congruent with those proposed by Chen (1982) on morphology alone.

### 4.2. *Pilea* originated in IndoMalaya

Mapped onto our phylogeny, geographical occurrence suggests IndoMalaya as the centre of origin for *Pilea* (Fig. 4 & Fig. S23), *Lecanthus, Achudemia* and the basal clades of *Pilea* (C2 to C6) predominantly comprising Indomalayan species. This confirms the findings of Monro (2006) and Wu et al. (2018). Given the relationships between geographical areas suggested by our results, the most plausible scenario for the dispersal of *Pilea* is of two independent events. The first, early in the divergence of the genus (Fig. 4 & Fig. S23, clade C1) from IndoMalaya to Africa and the northern Neotropics which has resulted in a lineage with a modest number of species. The second, later in the divergence of the genus, involving dispersal to the Palearctic (Fig. 4 & Fig. S23, clade C7) and later from the Palearctic to the Neotropics (clade C7) resulting in species radiations in the Andes and Greater Antilles. According to the dated Urticaceae phylogeny of Wu et al. (2018, Fig. 1), this first dispersal event would have occurred ca 35 MYA (late Eocene) and the second ca 25 MYA (late Oligocene). A plausible mechanism and route for second dispersal to the Neotropics would be the Bering land bridge at some point between the late Cretaceous and late Neogene (Wen et al., 2016). Whilst long distance dispersal, invoked by Wu et al. (2018) may have played a role in the first.

### 4.3. Diversification accompanied by reductions in merism, achene size and ornamentation and an increase in species number

Chen (1982) proposed a reduction in female flower part number as an evolutionary trend in *Pilea* and our results support this, five-parted flowers occurring amongst the basal clades of our phylogeny (*Lecanthus, Achudemia*), followed by three or four-parted flowers (clades C1-C4) and three or two-parted flowers (clades C5-C7). This includes a clade comprising seven South Pacific species (sampled here as *Haroldiella*) characterised by two-parted female
flowers (Florence, 1997). Our analysis of morphological traits recovered three-parted female flowers as plesiomorphy for the node comprising clades C5-C7 (Fig. S19). The trend in reduced female part number was matched by a reduction in male flower part number from an ancestral number of five to a derived condition of four, or three in the case of clade C1 (Fig. S15) a transition from imbricate to valvate sepals (Fig. S16). The transition from imbricate to valvate arrangement may suggest a transition from non-explosive to explosive anthesis whereby imbricate sepals open in a controlled fashion whilst valvate sepals are torn apart by the flexing filaments. The trend of reduced female and male flower part number parallels a decrease in achene size and ornamentation, *Lecanthus*, *Achudemia* and clades C1-C4 having achenes > 0.8 mm and ornamented as the ancestral state, whilst clades C5-C7 have achenes ≤ 0.8 mm and clade C7 has smooth surfaces as their ancestral states. It also parallels a change from imbricate to valvate sepals in the male flowers, suggesting a transition from gradual to explosive flower opening (Pedersoli et al., 2019).

Whilst we did not seek to test hypotheses about key innovations, we are able to use the results of our ASR analysis to propose hypotheses for future testing. Reduction in merism has been associated with key innovations in floral evolution (De Craene, 2016; Kümpers et al., 2016; Simões et al., 2017). Our study supports this, a reduction in merism coinciding with an evolutionary radiation, basal five-parted flowers of *Lecanthus* and *Achudemia* being relatively species-poor (3 spp, and 5 spp respectively). *Pilea*, in contrast, which has four-, three-, or two-parted flowers comprises ca 715 spp (Monro, 2004). According to De Craene (2016) a reduction in merism may be driven by an advantage in reducing flower size. Whilst we have not directly measured flower size, achene size is an effective surrogate for the size of the female flower and fruit, both of which are dominated by the single ovary, and later achene. As discussed above, there has been a decrease in achene size with the diversification of *Pilea*, and specifically the species-rich C7 clade. The decrease in achene size coincides with a loss of achene surface ornamentation. Based on an assumption that achene ornamentation indicates animal dispersal, our results suggest a shift in both pollen and fruit dispersal, pollen dispersal becoming explosive and more kinetic and fruit dispersal less reliant on animals.

Whilst the above discussion may provide the basis for future research into a reduction in merism and achene surface ornamentation as key innovations, the increase in species number
with which they are associated may be unrelated. Increasing species number, focussed in the
Greater Antilles and Andes could also be the result of increased reproductive isolation and
subsequent speciation through random drift in steeply dissected shaded habitats devoid of
strong air-currents. It could, therefore be, an example of an intrinsic driver of speciation rather
than a response to the colonisation of novel habitats or development of novel pollination
syndromes (Tilston Smith et al., 2014).

4.4. Proposal for an infrageneric classification

To date, there have been only two main infrageneric classifications both of which were
based on morphological traits (Weddell, 1856, 1869; Chen, 1982; Chen and Monro, 2003).
Whilst these classifications facilitate the identification of taxa, they are not good indicators of
evolutionary relationships (Monro, 2006).

Chen (1982) proposed a classification for the Chinese taxa that focuses on the traits of
female flower merism, leaf nervation, male inflorescence arrangement and male sepal
arrangement. This was predated by Weddell’s classifications (Weddell, 1856, 1869) based on
geographical distribution, leaf incision and heteromorphy. With the exceptions of male sepal
arrangement and male inflorescence becoming receptacle-like, the traits used in both
classifications were recovered as homoplastic, confirming the results of previous studies
(Monro, 2006; Wu et al., 2013, 2015).

We did, however, recover achene morphology as a plesiomorphy useful in the distinction
of taxa in the species-rich clade C7 from clades C1-C6 (Fig. S21). Where traits were
recovered as homoplastic, they could still be used in combination, and or, with the addition of
geographical distribution to delimit monophyletic infrageneric groupings. For example, clade
C6, which we propose as section (§) Plataniflora, can be delimited by the combination of
entire leaf margins, four-parted valvate male flowers and ornamented achene surface. In this
way we were able to delimit seven infrageneric groupings.

The sections (§) that we propose for Pilea are, § Trimeris, § Lecanthoides, § Angulata, §
Tetrameris, § Verrucosa, § Plataniflora and § Leiocarpa (Fig. 3 & Fig. 5).
Fig. 5. Proposed infrageneric classification of *Pilea* and their geographical distribution. Filled boxes illustrate all states for each section. *Derived* states in italics, ancestral in regular font (based on ancestral state reconstructions summarised in Fig. 3). Images illustrate the ancestral states (replaced by common state when ancestral one is not available) for each infrageneric section.

5. Conclusions

We demonstrate that the species-rich genus *Pilea* is paraphyletic with respect to *Achuemia* and polyphyletic with respect to *Haroldiella*. We identify sepal number, flower isomery, male...
sepal arrangement and achene surface ornamentation as phylogenetically informative traits useful in both the delimitation of generic and infrageneric groupings and the generation of hypotheses about the evolution of the genus and the richness of its neotropical clade.

Translating these findings into taxonomic actions resulted in the resurrection of *Achudemia* and the revised delimitation and infrageneric classification of *Pilea*. Our findings provide a stable framework for future research aimed at answering broader questions in evolutionary biology, such as whether intrinsic factors can drive species radiations.

### 6. Taxonomy

#### 6.1. Revised delimitation of *Achudemia* and *Pilea*


Herbs, perennial or annual. Stems without stinging hairs, not releasing watery latex when cut. Leaves opposite, frequently subequal at each node, the margins toothed; cystoliths fusiform; stipules borne in axils of the leaves, persistent or caducous. Inflorescences unisexual or bisexual, paniculate, capitate or racemose cymes; pedicels subtended by inconspicuous bracteoles. Male flowers 5-merous; sepals imbricate in bud, equal, each bearing a subapical appendage. Female flowers 5-merous; the sepals 5, equal or subequal, not dimorphic. Achenes compressed ovoid, ornamented.

Five species, restricted to the Palearctic and Indo-Malayan biogeographic regions. Associated with forested rocky habitats. *Achudemia japonica* is used as a medicine to treat fever and as a diuretic (Chen and Monro, 2003)

Note:— Blume (1856) in his description of the genus refers to polygamous, hermaphrodite flowers. We believe this to have been an editorial error as neither, material collected by Blume at L, or the illustration which serves as type, include polygamous or hermaphrodite flowers.
New combinations and typifications


Syst. Verz. Ind. Archip. 2: 102, 1854. Type: [Indonesia], Bandong Province, *H. Zollinger 870* (holotype, U (U0226171*); isotypes P (P 02428341*), (P 02428342*))


We have selected an epitype as the type material comprises an illustration ([https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/200679#page/274/mode/1up](https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/200679#page/274/mode/1up)) which is not adequate for making observations of anatomy of the leaf or stem. We selected material collected by Blume in Java, which may have served as the subject of the type illustration.


*P. morseana* Hand.-Mazz., Symb. Sin. 7: 140. 1929. Type: China, Guangxi, Longzhou, *Morse 495* (holotype K (K000708579*))

*P. pentasepala* Hand.-Mazz., Symb. Sin. 7: 128. 1929. Type: China: Yunnan, mountains of Mengzi, 1800 m, *Henry 9771* (holotype K (K000708578*)

We have selected an epitype for *Achudemia boniana* as the holotype comprises leafless material and leaves include several traits useful for species delimitation in *Achudemia*.

*Achudemia hilliana* (Hand.-Mazz.) L.F.Fu & Y.G.Wei. **comb. nov.** ≡ *Pilea hilliana* Hand.-Mazz., Symb. Sin. 7: 129. 1929. Type: China, Yunnan, Möngdse [Mengzi], *Henry 10295* (lectotype (selected here) K (K000708583*)).


*Adicea* Raf. ex Britton & A. Br., Ill. Fl. N. U.S. 1: 533. 1896. nom. illeg. superfl.,


Herbs, rarely shrubs, occasionally epiphytic, perennial, rarely annual. Stems without stinging hairs, not releasing watery latex when cut. Leaves opposite, frequently unequal at each node, the margins toothed or entire; cystoliths fusiform; stipules borne in axils of the leaves, persistent, rarely caducous. Inflorescences unisexual, rarely bisexual, paniculate, capitate or rarely fused cymes; pedicels subtended by inconspicuous bracteoles. Male flowers 4- or rarely 2- or 3-merous; sepals valvate, equal, each bearing a subapical appendage. Female flowers 3-, or rarely 2- or 4-merous, unequal, dimorphic, the adaxial sepal of the larger sepal frequently bearing a dorsal thickening. Achenes weakly to strongly compressed ovoid to sub-ellipsoid, smooth or ornamented. Approx. 710 spp. Cosmopolitan, except for Australia and New Zealand. A number of species cultivated as ornamentals.

New combinations

*Pilea australis* L.F.Fu & A.K.Monro, nom. nov. Replaced name: *Haroldiella rapaensis*

Note:— *Pilea australis* was created as a replacement name because a homonym, *Pilea rapensis*, has been published by Forest Brown (Brown, 1935).


6.2. Key to the sections of *Pilea*

1. Male and female flowers with the same merism, 3-parted or 4-parted 2

1. Male and female flowers with different merisms, 2-, 3- or 4-parted 3

2. Merism of 3, rarely 4 (*P. tetraphylla*), stipules ≤ 10 mm in length, tropical Africa, neotropics. **§ Trimeris**

2. Merism of 4, stipules > 10 mm in length, Indomalaya. **§ Tetrameris**

3. Male inflorescence an unbranched and fused receptacle-like capitulum, involucrate. **§ Lecanthoides**

3. Male inflorescence branched or unbranched, where unbranched capitulum globose or subglobose, not involucrate 4

4. Achenes ornamented 5

4. Achenes not ornamented or rarely so, where ornamented Indomalayan and either *P. melastomatoides*, or *P. peploides*. **§ Leiocarpa**

5. Stipules > 10 mm in length. **§ Angulata**

5. Stipules ≤ 10 mm in length 6
6. Leaf margins incised. § **Verrucosa**
6. Leaf margins entire. § **Plataniflora**

6.3. Infrageneric classification of *Pilea*


Herbs. Stipules ≤ 10 mm in length. Leaf margin incised. Male inflorescence a capitate cyme, involucrate; male and female flowers with the same merism, three- rarely four- parted; the achene > 0.8 mm in length, not ornamented. Ca three spp. Tropical Africa, Neotropics.


Herbs. Stipules ≤ 10 mm in length. Leaf margin incised. Male inflorescence an unbranched and fused capitulum, involucrate; male and female flowers with different merism, male flowers four-parted, female flowers three-parted; the achene > 0.8 mm in length, ornamented. Two spp. Indomalaya.

*Pilea* § *Angulata* L.F.Fu & Y.G.Wei, sect. nov. — Section type: *P. angulata* (Blume) Blume

Herbs. Stipules > 10 mm in length. Leaf margin incised. Male inflorescence a branched cyme; male and female flowers with different merism, male flowers four-parted, female flowers three-parted; achene > or ≤ 0.8 mm in length, ornamented. Ca two spp. Indomalaya.


Herbs. Stipules > 10 or rarely ≤ 10 mm in length. Leaf margin incised. Male inflorescence a branched cyme; male and female flowers with the same merism, flowers four-parted; achene > 0.8 mm in length, ornamented. Ca 15 spp. Indomalaya.

*Pilea* § *Verrucosa* L.F.Fu & Y.G.Wei, sect. nov. Type: *P. gracilis* Hand.-Mazz.
Herbs. Stipules \( \leq 10 \) mm in length. Leaf margin incised. Male inflorescence a branched cyme; male and female flowers with different merism, male flowers four- or occasionally two-parted, female flowers three-parted, rarely two-parted; achene \( > \) or \( \leq 0.8 \) mm in length, ornamented. Ca 80 spp. Indomalaya.

*Pilea \$ Plataniflora* L.F.Fu & Y.G.Wei, sect. nov. Type: *P. plataniflora* C.H.Wright.

Herbs. Stipules \( \leq 10 \) mm in length. Leaf margins entire. Male inflorescence a branched cyme; male and female flowers with different merism, male flowers four-parted, female flowers three-parted; achene \( > \) or \( \leq 0.8 \) mm in length, ornamented. Ca 34 spp. Indomalaya.

*Pilea \$ Leiocarpa* L.F.Fu & Y.G.Wei, sect. nov. Type: *P. micropylla* (L.) Liebm.

Herbs. Stipules \( > \) or \( \leq 10 \) mm in length. Leaf margins entire or incised. Male inflorescence a branched or capitate cyme; male and female flowers with different merism, male flowers four- or rarely two-parted, female flowers four- or rarely two-parted, achene \( > \) or \( \leq 0.8 \) mm in length, not ornamented. Ca 570 spp. Indomalaya, Neotropics, Australasia, Palearctic, Nearctic.

6.4. Excluded names


We have some doubt over the position of this taxon based on the poor quality of the material upon which the description and illustration are based (a single sheet, very few immature flowers). One individual of this taxon was collected once in a relatively common habitat in Guangxi Province, China, in 1973 (Wang s.n.) and it has not been collected since. It is possible that the original material may be an immature collection of *Pilea*, to which it is vegetatively identical, or a distinct genus, which, based on the illustrations, could be allied to *Elatostema* or *Procris*. Due to the sampling policy of the herbarium where the type collection is stored it is not possible to sample this material for DNA and so its status and position remains uncertain.
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