1 Identification of differentially expressed genes in the longissimus dorsi muscle of
2 Luchuan and Duroc pigs by transcriptome sequencing

3 Pengcheng Pan ^a, Zhaoxian Qin ^{b *}, Wan Xie^c, Di Jiao ^a, Baojian Chen ^b, Zhihui
4 Guan ^b, Bingkun Xie ^{a,b *}

5 a. College of Animal Science and Technology, Guangxi University, Nanning 530001,
6 P. R. China

7 b. Guangxi Key Laboratory of Livestock Genetic Improvement, Guangxi Institute of
8 Animal Sciences, Nanning 530001, P. R. China

9 c. College of Veterinary Medicine, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095,
10 P.R. China

11 *Corresponding author:

12 Bingkun Xie,
13 Guangxi Key Laboratory of Livestock Genetic Improvement,
14 Guangxi Institute of Animal Sciences
15 Nanning, 530001, P. R. China;
16 Phone: +86 0771 2539259;
17 Email: bkxie@163.com

Abstract

The Duroc pig originated in the United States and is a typical lean meat pig. The breed grows fast and the body size is large, but the meat quality is poor. The Luchuan pig is one of the eight local excellent breeds in China; it has tender meat but a small size. To study the factors that determine growth, we selected the longissimus dorsi muscle of Luchuan and Duroc pigs for transcriptome sequencing. The results of transcriptome showed that 3682 genes were differentially expressed (DEGs) in the longissimus dorsi muscle of Duroc and Luchuan pigs. We screened out forty genes related to muscle development and selected the Myosin light chain-2 (MYL2) gene to perform preliminary research. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment of biological functions and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis showed that most of the forty gene were mainly involved in the Akt/FoxO signaling pathway, Fatty acid metabolism, Arachidonic acid metabolism and Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism. Such pathways contributed to skeletal muscle growth, fatty acid metabolism and intramuscular fat deposition. These results provide insight into the mechanisms underlying the formation of skeletal muscle and provide candidate genes to improve growth traits and meat quality traits, as well as contribute to improving the growth and development traits of pigs through molecular breeding.

Keywords: Luchuan pig; Duroc pig; transcriptomics; longissimus dorsi muscles; growth and development; Myosin light chain-2 (MYL2/MLC-2)

1. Introduction

China is the largest pig breeding and pork-consuming country worldwide. The yield and quality of pork are closely related to the process of muscle development, and the content of intramuscular fat is an important index to detect the quality of pork(ZHAO *et al.* 2011). In recent years, research on this aspect mainly concerned the complex physiological and genetic mechanisms related to intramuscular fat (IMF) deposition, gene expression pattern and its interaction in the development process. Muscle development includes the formation of muscle fibers in the embryonic stage, development of muscle fibers in the postnatal stage and regeneration of muscle in the adult stage. This process is regulated at the transcriptional, posttranscriptional and pathway levels(LIU *et al.* 2012).

The Duroc pig(D), originated in the United States, is a typical lean meat-type pig. It has the advantages of fast growth, high meat yield, high feed conversion efficiency and excellent performance; however, it still has the disadvantages of poor meat quality. The Duroc pig is the main terminal male breed in the pig industry worldwide(LONERGAN *et al.* 2001; EDEA *et al.* 2017). The Luchuan pig(L) is an excellent local pig in China. It is a typical fat pig with the advantages of coarse feeding resistance, high temperature tolerance, high reproductive performance and good meat quality; however, its growth speed is slow, its fat content is high, its meat yield is low(QIONG-HUA *et al.* 2010; YU *et al.* 2017). Therefore, transcriptome sequencing of the longissimus dorsi muscle of these two breeds is of great significance to study the growth traits and meat quality of pigs.

The growth and development of muscle is a complex process, including the formation of muscle fibers in the embryonic stage, the development of muscle fibers after birth and regeneration of muscle in the adult stage. The embryonic stage mainly controls the formation of the individual muscle skeleton(PM AND J 1983). After birth, changes in different types of muscle fibers occur, such as increases in the diameter and length; at the adult stage, damaged muscle fibers are repaired(ASHMORE *et al.* 1973). There are four types of muscle fibers in skeletal muscle(KIM *et al.* 2004). The proportion of the muscle fiber type will directly affect meat quality(GUO *et al.* 2012). Therefore, the study of its growth and development affect meat quality. Previous reports have shown that muscle fibers can be divided into red and white fibers(KARLSSON *et al.* 1999). These differences in fiber components determine their different metabolic types and physiological functions, as well as affect meat quality(QUIROZ-ROTHE AND RIVERO 2004; WIMMERS *et al.* 2007). The content of intramuscular fat is positively correlated with the content of type I muscle fiber(SERRA *et al.* 1998), thus, the higher is the proportion of type I muscle fiber in skeletal muscle, the smaller is the muscle shear force and the higher is the tenderness. For example, Yorkshire pigs have a higher percentage of type I muscle fibers than Hampshire pigs. Thus, Yorkshire pork is more tender(ESSÉN-GUSTAVSSON AND FJELKNER-MODIG 1985). The proportion of type I muscle fiber in the skeletal muscle of Chinese local breeds such as the Jinhua Pig and Beijing black pig is higher than that of foreign imported breeds, and the tenderness of these local breeds is also better than that of imported breeds(CHU-RUI

AND QING-MING 1996).

Myosin light chain-2 (MYL2/MLC-2) is a small, 167-amino acid protein of the myosin light chain family, that is a regulatory light chain(KANG AND GRIGGS 2015). Basic light chains play an important role in the maintenance of heavy chain configuration, while regulatory light chains play a regulatory role in the activity of muscle fibers. Therefore, the proportion of four myosin light chains plays an important role in the type and growth of muscle fibers(GEEVES *et al.* 2005). Upstream of the start codon of the skeletal muscle MYL2 promoter, multiple binding sites (MEF2, MyoD, and MyoG) that can promote the proliferation and differentiation of skeletal muscle cells are predicted. The MYL2 gene may have certain effects on the growth and differentiation of skeletal muscle cells(NABESHIMA *et al.* 1993; EDMONDSON *et al.* 1994). The expression level of the MYL2 gene in the skeletal muscle of Duroc and white pig was significantly higher than that in the local breed Piau, indicating that the gene is related to the growth of mammalian skeletal muscle(VERARDO *et al.* 2013). In conclusion, the MYL2 gene may affect early skeletal muscle differentiation and participate in the growth and development of animal skeletal muscle, and the specific molecular regulatory mechanism needs further study.

In the present study, RNA-seq technology was employed to create expression profiles of the longissimus dorsi muscle tissue from young Luchuan pigs and Duroc pigs. Subsequently, DEGs were identified and subjected to Gene Ontology (GO) and pathway analyses to reveal the changes in gene expression and regulation that may

104 be related to the differences in muscular development and meat quality traits
105 between the breeds. This study mainly discusses the candidate genes that affect
106 muscle growth, providing important regulatory information for the molecular
107 mechanism of modern and local pork quality.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Ethics statement and collection of tissue samples

All the animal procedures used in this study were carried out according to the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (8th edition, released by the National Research Council, USA) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Guangxi University. All the pigs in this study were sacrificed after an esthesia, and tissue samples (heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, longissimus dorsi and subcutaneous fat) were obtained, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at - 80° C. Each variety in different developmental periods (two months and eight months) contained four pigs (two males and two females), which were provided by The Animal Husbandry Research Institute of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region.

2.2 RNA extraction, library construction and Illumina sequencing

RNA degradation and contamination were monitored on 1% agarose gels. RNA purity was checked using the NanoPhotometer® spectrophotometer (IMPLEN, CA, USA). The RNA concentration was measured using the Qubit® RNA Assay Kit in a Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, CA, USA). RNA integrity was assessed using the RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit of the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Three micrograms of RNA per sample was used as input material for the RNA sample preparations. Sequencing libraries were generated using the NEB Next® Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, USA)

following the manufacturer's recommendations and index codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample. The library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq platform, 125 bp/150 bp paired-end reads were generated, and the clean reads were aligned to the reference genome using Top Hat v2.0.12. HTSeq v0.6.1 was used to count the read numbers mapped to each gene, and then the FPKM of each gene was calculated based on the length of the gene and read counts mapped to this gene.

2.3 Gene Ontology and pathway enrichment analysis

Differential expression analysis of two conditions/groups (two biological replicates per condition) was performed using the DESeq R package (1.18.0). P values were adjusted using the Benjamini & Hochberg method. The corrected P-value of 0.005 and log2 (fold change) of 1 were set as the thresholds for significantly differential expression. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes was implemented using the GOrse R package. GO terms with a corrected P-value < 0.05 were considered significantly enriched by differentially expressed genes. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes was carried out using the KEGG se R package. KEGG pathways with corrected P-value < 0.01 were considered significantly enriched by differentially expressed genes.

2.4 Real-time reverse transcription–quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT–qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted from cells or tissues with TRIzol Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, America), followed by the synthesis of cDNA with reverse transcriptase and oligo-dT primers according to the manufacturer's instructions (TaKaRa, Japan). The $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method was used to evaluate the quantitative variation, and the GAPDH gene was used as an internal control. Diluted cDNA was amplified using gene-specific primers (Table 1) and the TB Green real-time PCR master mix (TaKaRa, Japan). RT-PCR was used to verify the accuracy of the RNA-Seq data and detect the mRNA expression of the MYL2 gene in tissues and C2C12 cells at least in triplicate with specific paired primers.

2.5 Vector construction and transient transfection

The expression vector for the MYL2 gene was generated by the nest PCR-based cloning method to improve the specificity of the PCR product. Briefly, the complete coding sequence of MYL2 was inserted into the pEGFP-N1 vector, and the recombinant vector was named pEGFP-N1-MYL2. First, the longer fragment containing the complete coding sequence of MYL2 was amplified from the longissimus dorsi muscle tissue with special paired-primers and Taq enzyme (Takara, Japan), and then the complete coding sequence of the target gene was amplified with special primers with restriction enzyme cutting sites and protecting bases. The specific primer pairs for gene cloning are listed in Table 1. Next, the pMD™18-T vector, E. coli DH5α competent cells, HindIII and BamHI restriction enzymes, and T4 DNA ligase (Takara, Japan) were applied for eukaryotic vector construction. C2C12 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Gibco,

Grand Island, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, America) in a 37°C incubator containing 5% CO₂. The cells were transiently transfected with ~1 µg of vector using Lipofectamine 3000 Reagent kit according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Thermo Fisher Scientific, America) when the density became 60%–70% in a 6-well cell culture plate. The fluorescence was observed in the cells after transfection for 48 hours. Subsequently, the cells were harvested for RNA extraction, and RT–qPCR was carried out to detect the mRNA expression of relative genes.

2.6 Statistical analysis

The SPSS statistical software package for Windows, Release 11.0.1 (15 November 2001, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Windows, (La Jolla, CA, USA) were used for data analyses. In each experiment, the data were presented as means ± SD. Similar results were obtained in independent experiments. A paired sample t-test was used to analyze the differences between samples. The values are denoted as significant at P< 0.05.

3. Results

3.1 Summary of RNA-seq data

Eight RNA samples were prepared from the longissimus dorsi of Luchuan and Duroc pigs. These samples were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq™ 2000 platform. After the unqualified low-quality reads, including adaptions or heights of unknown base N, were removed from the total raw reads, approximately 551 million total clean reads (Table 2), representing 90.33% of the total raw reads, were obtained. The average clean reads Q20 and Q30 were 98.35% and 93.86% respectively. When clean reads were mapped to the Sus scrofa genome, the total mapping ratios were above 90.56%, and the average unique mapping ratio was 66.58% (Table 2). Those indexes of the RNA-seq basic data confirmed that the data were high quality and could be used for further biological analysis.

3.2 Identification of differentially expressed genes

Genes with similar expression patterns often have similar functions, or are involved in the same metabolic processes (pathways). Thus, clustering of genes with a similar expression patterns is an analytical strategy that can contribute to the identification of the function of unknown genes or can help to characterize the unknown functions of known genes. To identify clusters with functional enrichment, hierarchical clustering was performed based on gene expression patterns (Fig.1A). The gene expression profile showed small differences between two different pig breeds. The gene expression levels were calculated using the fragments per kb per

million reads (FPKM) values. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between sample groups were defined using the fold change values of the normalized (FPKM) expression values. DEGs were filtered with $|\log_2(\text{Fold Change})| > 1$ and corrected q-value (padj) < 0.001 . Compared with the Duroc pig, 3,682 DEGs comprised 2,399 upregulated DEGs and 1,283 downregulated DEGs were identified in the Luchuan pig (Fig.1B).

3.3 GO enrichment and KEGG pathway analyses

To further determine the functions of the DEGs, functional categorization of all the DEGs was performed using GO annotation. The annotated results were classified into three parts: biological process, cellular component and molecular function (Fig.2A). The top 5 of each part were shown as follows: (1) biological process: (i) cellular process; (ii) metabolic process; (iii) biological regulation; (iv) regulation of biological process; (v) response to stimulus; (2) cellular component: (i) cell; (ii) cell part; (iii) organelle; (iv) membrane; (v) organelle part; (3) molecular function: (i) binding; (ii) catalytic activity; (iii) molecular function regulator; (iv) signal transducer activity; (v) molecular transducer activity.

KEGG analysis of DEGs was also performed. As shown in Fig.2B, the pathways were classified into six groups by function: cellular processes, environmental information processing, genetic information processing, human diseases, metabolism and organismal systems. At the same time, the pathways were also sorted by the correlativity of enrichment (Fig.2C). We obtained 63 pathways ($p < 0.05$), among which the top 30 pathways are shown in Table 3. Combined the

analysis results of GO enrichment and KEGG pathway and previous studies, we chose differentially expressed genes (Table 4) as candidate genes for further study that were associated with the biological processes of muscle tissue development, muscle cell differentiation, skeletal muscle tissue regeneration, fatty acid oxidation and lipid metabolism.

3.4 Verification of the accuracy of the RNA-Seq data using RT-qPCR

Eight candidate genes involved in muscle and fat were randomly selected to validate the accuracy of the RNA-Seq data by RT-qPCR (Fig.3). The expression patterns of these 7 genes were consistent with the RNA-Seq data. These results suggest that the RNA-Seq data are credible and can be used for subsequent experiments. This finding suggests that the identified DEGs play major roles in affecting the meat quality and growth rate of Duroc and Luchuan pigs.

3.5 Analysis of the MYL2 gene expression pattern

Most of the functional genes have tissue-specific expression, so we detected the mRNA expression of the MYL2 gene in different tissues of pigs. The expression of the MYL2 gene in different tissues of 2-month-old Luchuan pigs (Fig. 4A) and Duroc (Fig. 4B) pigs was similar. Functional genes are in a dynamic condition in the same tissue during different developmental and growing periods. The MYL2 gene expression level in the longissimus dorsi of Luchuan and Duroc pigs was significantly higher at 2 months than at 8 months ($p < 0.05$) (Fig.4C). The expression level of the MYL2 gene in the longissimus dorsi of Luchuan and Duroc pigs at 2 and

8 months of age was detected, respectively, by RT-qPCR. The results showed that the MYL2 gene expression level in the Luchuan pig was significantly higher than that in the Duroc pig in both periods ($p < 0.05$) (Fig.4D). The cause may be related to the difference of growth speed and muscle fiber type of different pigs in different growth stages.

3.6 Expression trend of the MYL2 gene and genes related to muscle development in C2C12 cells

The MYL2 gene may be related to muscle development. We screened some downstream genes (MYH1, MYH2, MYH4, MYH7, MYOD, MEF2c and MSTN) of MYL2 and detected their expression in C2C12 cells. Next, we tested whether MYL2 could change the gene expression in C2C12 cells by transfecting the overexpression vector MYL2. Fluorescence could be observed in C2C12 cells transfected with the pEGFP-N1-MYL2 recombined plasmid and empty vector pEGFP-N1 but not in the negative control group (Fig. 5A). We found that the expression of MYH1, MYH2, MYH4, MYH7, MyoD and MEF2c was increased significantly and the expression of MSTN was decreased significantly when the expression of the MYL2 gene was increased in C2C12 cells ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 5B).

4. Discussion

Differences exist in the muscle growth and meat quality between Chinese and Western pig breeds, but the molecular mechanism remains unclear. RNA-seq is an effective method to identify new genes and their potential functional characteristics. Differences in the gene expression profiles can unveil mechanisms underlying the biological activities of various genes. Previous studies have shown that some changes in muscle fiber type characteristics and metabolic potential can explain changes in meat quality(CHANG *et al.* 2003).

In this study, the mRNA abundance of the longissimus dorsi of Luchuan and Duroc pigs was studied to explain the difference at the molecular level and preliminarily verified the selected MYL2 gene. The RT-qPCR results showed that MYL2 gene expression in the longissimus dorsi of Luchuan and Duroc pigs was significantly higher at 2 months than at 8 months. The MYL2 gene expression in the longissimus dorsi of two month old, six month old, eight month old and ten month old black cattle decreased gradually(LIU *et al.* 2018), a finding that is similar to the results of this study. The MYL2 gene may affect the early differentiation of skeletal muscle and participate in the growth and development of skeletal muscle. The number of muscle fibers is stable before birth, and muscle growth is only the result of fiber elongation and thickening. Studies have shown that MYL2 is involved in the production of prenatal muscle fibers and the expression of genes in the skeletal muscle of Duroc and Large White pigs was significantly higher than that of Piau(ZHANG *et al.* 2009; LL *et al.* 2013). Our results showed that the MYL2 gene

expression in Luchuan pigs was significantly higher than that in Duroc pigs at 2 and 8 months of age. The cause may be related to the different times at which the maximum growth speed of different pigs appears. Studies have shown that the maximum growth rate of Duroc pigs is 130–150 days (MAO-ZHONG AND XIAO-WEI 1996; LIU *et al.* 2012) while that of Luchuan pigs is 30–60 days (QIONG-HUA 1963). The composition of skeletal muscle fiber types directly affects muscle quality. The content of intramuscular fat is positively related to the content of type I muscle fiber (SERRA *et al.* 1998). The higher is the proportion of type I muscle fiber in skeletal muscle, the smaller is the shear force of muscle, and the higher is the tenderness. The proportion of type I muscle fiber in the skeletal muscle of the Jinhua Pig and Beijing black pig in China is higher than that of "Du × Chang × Da" and other introduced breeds, and the tenderness of these local breeds is also better than that of the introduced breeds (CHU-RUI AND QING-MING 1996). Studies have shown that the MYL2 gene regulates the activity of fast muscle fiber and transforms the type of muscle fiber (PARK *et al.* 2011). Additionally, the quality of the Luchuan pig is better than that of the Duroc pig, possibly explaining why MYL2 gene expression in the longissimus dorsi of 8-month-old Luchuan pigs is significantly higher than that of 8-month-old Duroc pigs. In conclusion, the MYL2 gene may be involved in the formation of skeletal muscle, early differentiation of skeletal muscle and transformation of muscle fiber types.

The MYL2 promoter is a skeletal muscle-specific promoter. Multiple binding sites (MEF2, MyoD and MyoG) that can promote the proliferation and

312 differentiation of skeletal muscle cells are predicted upstream of the initiation
313 codon(NABESHIMA *et al.* 1993; EDMONDSON *et al.* 1994). The MYL2 gene may be
314 involved in the regulation of the growth and differentiation of skeletal muscle cells.
315 MYL2,MYH1,MYH2,MYH4 and MYH7 could be grouped into networks of
316 functional relevance for muscularity(LL *et al.* 2013). These include myofibril,
317 muscle system process, structural constituent of muscle, motor activity and Wnt
318 signaling pathway. MyHCI, IIa, IIb and IIx are expressed in pig skeletal muscle, and
319 the pig muscle fibers are divided into four types according to the myosin heavy
320 chain (MyHC) subtype unique to muscle fibers: I, IIa, IIx and IIb (MYH7, MYH2,
321 MYH1 and MYH4 gene coding) type(CHANG AND FERNANDES 1997). MEF2
322 transcription factors are major regulators of muscle differentiation and have been
323 recently involved in activity-dependent muscle fiber type remodeling. There are four
324 MEF2 genes (MEF2a, -2b, -2c, and -2d), and further variants are generated by
325 alternative splicing(BLACK 1998). A role of MEF2 genes in the regulation of the
326 fiber type profile in vivo is supported by the finding that the proportion of type 1
327 fibers is decreased in mice with muscle-specific knockout of MEF2c or MEF2d, but
328 not MEF2a, and is increased by the overexpression of an activated MEF2c
329 (MEF2c-VP16)(POTTHOFF *et al.* 2007). Additionally, MEF2 can bind to the CIS
330 factors in the promoter region of the myofibrillar gene (MYH1, MYH2, and MYH4)
331 and affect its transcription efficiency to regulate the composition of myofibrillar
332 types(ALLEN *et al.* 2005). MyoD is a dominant regulatory gene in the embryonic
333 muscle development of vertebrates that plays an important role in the formation and

334 differentiation of skeletal muscle(LEE *et al.* 2004). MyoD can activate the
335 transcription of muscle genes through multiple channels, thus promoting the
336 differentiation of myoblasts. Compared with other myogenic regulators, MyoD
337 mainly plays a role in the process of myogenesis, and its expression plays an
338 important role in maintaining the differentiation of myocytes.The loss of MyoD can
339 cause the proliferation and differentiation of myoblasts to fail(ALVES *et al.* 2003;
340 FULCO *et al.* 2003). Myostatin (MSTN) is a secreted growth factor that is mainly
341 expressed in skeletal muscle and can inhibit muscle growth(LEE 2004). In recent
342 years, many candidate genes related to muscle growth and meat quality traits have
343 been found in domestic and foreign studies(KNAPP AND J. 2006; HOASHI *et al.* 2008).
344 MSTN and MyoG are considered the main candidate genes related to muscle
345 growth and development in animals(KOOHMARAIE 1996; KNAPP AND J. 2006). We
346 overexpressed the MYL2 gene in C2C12 cells and found that the expression levels
347 of MYH1, MYH2, MYH4, MYH7, MyoD and MEF2c genes increased significantly
348 with the significant increase in the MYL2 gene expression, and MSTN decreased
349 significantly. Therefore, the MYL2 gene may be involved in the regulation of
350 muscle formation and development, as well as the transformation of muscle fiber
351 types, affecting the growth and development of livestock.

5. Conclusion

In this study, transcriptomic analyses of the longissimus dorsi muscle tissues of two month old Duroc pigs and Luchuan pigs were performed using RNA-seq. Presently, the DEGs study enabled the determination of the biological functions and pathways related to the observed variety specific differences in muscle development and some differentially expressed genes, particularly MYL2, MYH1, MYH2, MYH4, MYH7, MYOD, MEF2c and MSTN. These genes may play a role in the growth and differentiation of skeletal muscle cells and the transformation of muscle fiber types through some interaction networks. We have made a preliminary hypothesis about the role of the MYL2 gene, and its regulatory mechanism needs further study. This study will help to better understand muscle growth and structure and their effects on meat development.

364 **Conflict of Interest**

365 Author declare on conflict of interest

366 **Funding**

367 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

368 (Grant No. 31360544).

References

- Allen, D. L., J. N. Weber, L. K. Sycuro and L. A. Leinwand, 2005 Myocyte enhancer factor-2 and serum response factor binding elements regulate fast Myosin heavy chain transcription in vivo. *J Biol Chem* 280: 17126-17134.
- Alves, H. J., L. E. Alvares, J. E. Gabriel and L. L. Coutinho, 2003 Influence of the neural tube/notochord complex on MyoD expression and cellular proliferation in chicken embryos. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 36: 191-197.
- Ashmore, C. R., P. B. Addis and L. J. Doerr, 1973 Development of Muscle Fibers in the Fetal Pig. *Journal of Animal Science* 36: 1088-1093.
- Black, B. J., 1998 Transcriptional control of muscle development by myocyte enhancer factor-2 (MEF2) proteins. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 14: 167-196.
- Chang, K. C., N. D. Costa, R. Blackley, O. Southwood, G. Evans et al., 2003 Relationships of myosin heavy chain fibre types to meat quality traits in traditional and modern pigs. *Meat Science* 64: p.93-103.
- Chang, K. C., and K. Fernandes, 1997 Developmental expression and 5' end cDNA cloning of the porcine 2x and 2b myosin heavy chain genes. *DNA Cell Biol* 16: 1429-1437.
- Chu-Rui, W. A. N. G., and C. H. E. N. J. Qing-Ming, 1996 Study on muscle histological characteristics of Beijing black pig ,Min pig and Large White pig. *Chinese Journal of Animal Science*: 33-34.
- Edea, Z., J. K. Hong, J. H. Jung, D. W. Kim, Y. M. Kim et al., 2017 Detecting selection signatures between Duroc and Duroc synthetic pig populations using high-density SNP chip. *Animal Genetics* 48: 473-477.
- Edmondson, D. G., G. E. Lyons, J. F. Martin and E. N. Olson, 1994 Mef2 gene expression marks the cardiac and skeletal muscle lineages during mouse embryogenesis. *Development* 120: 1251-1263.
- Essén-Gustavsson, B., and S. Fjelkner-Modig, 1985 Skeletal muscle characteristics in different breeds of pigs in relation to sensory properties of meat. *Meat Science* 13: 33-47.

398 Fulco, M., R. L. Schiltz, S. Iezzi, M. T. King, P. Zhao et al., 2003 Sir2 Regulates
399 Skeletal Muscle Differentiation as a Potential Sensor of the Redox State. *Molecular*
400 *Cell* 12: 0-62.

401 Geeves, M. A., R. Fedorov and D. J. J. Manstein, 2005 Molecular mechanism of
402 actomyosin-based motility. *Cellular&Molecular Life Sciences* 62: 1462-1477.

403 Guo, Y. Y., J. Li, J. Shang and Y. Jin, 2012 Development of Muscle-related Genes and
404 Their Effects on Meat Quality. 2012 International Conference on Future Energy,
405 Environment, and Materials, Pt A 16: 229-233.

406 Hoashi, S., T. Hinenoya, A. Tanaka, H. Ohsaki, S. Sasazaki et al., 2008 Association
407 between fatty acid compositions and genotypes of FABP4 and LXR-alpha in
408 Japanese black cattle. *BMC Genet* 9: 84.

409 Kang, P. B., and R. C. Griggs, 2015 Advances in Muscular Dystrophies. *Jama Neurology*
410 72: 741-742.

411 Karlsson, A. H., R. E. Klont and X. Fernandez, 1999 Skeletal muscle fibres as factors
412 for pork quality. *Livestock Production Science* 60: 255-269.

413 Kim, N. K., J. H. Joh, H. R. Park, O. H. Kim, B. Y. Park et al., 2004 Differential
414 expression profiling of the proteomes and their mRNAs in porcine white and red
415 skeletal muscles. *Proteomics* 4: 3422-3428.

416 Knapp, and R. J. J., 2006 Loss of myogenin in postnatal life leads to normal skeletal
417 muscle but reduced body size. *Development* 133: 601-610.

418 Koohmaraie, M. J., 1996 Biochemical factors regulating the toughening and
419 tenderization processes of meat. *Meat Science* 43: 0-201.

420 Lee, H., R. Habas and C. J. Abate-Shen, 2004 Msx1 cooperates with histone H1b for
421 inhibition of transcription and myogenesis. *Science* 304: p.1675-1678.

422 Lee, S. J., 2004 Regulation of muscle mass by myostatin. *Annual Review of Cell and*
423 *Developmental Biology* 20: 61-86.

424 Liu, N., R. U. A. N. Jin-Xue and Y. A. N. G. Shu-Lin, 2012 Regulation of Porcine
425 Muscle Development and Research Progress of Improved Pigs With High Lean
426 Meat Percentage. *Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology*.

427 Liu, R., W. U. Lei, W. Yuan and Y. Dong, 2018 The study on the function of MYL2 gene
428 in muscle growth. Heilongjiang Animal Science Veterinary Medicine.

429 LL, V., N. CS, S. FF, G. E, M. MF et al., 2013 Identification and validation of
430 differentially expressed genes from pig skeletal muscle. Animal breeding genetics
431 130: 372-381.

432 Lonergan, S. M., E. Huff-Lonergan, L. J. Rowe, D. L. Kuhlert and S. B. Jungst, 2001
433 Selection for lean growth efficiency in Duroc pigs influences pork quality. J Anim
434 Sci 79: 2075-2085.

435 Mao-Zhong, F. U., and L. I. N. J. Xiao-Wei, 1996 Lean Hogs, Specialized in h-fertilized
436 male duroc pigs growth and development performance test. Sichuan Animal &
437 Veterinary Sciences 000: 16-17.

438 Nabeshima, Y., K. Hanaoka, M. Hayasaka, E. Esumi, S. Li et al., 1993 Myogenin gene
439 disruption results in perinatal lethality because of severe muscle defect. Nature 364:
440 532-535.

441 Park, I., C. Han, S. Jin, B. Lee, H. Choi et al., 2011 Myosin regulatory light chains are
442 required to maintain the stability of myosin II and cellular integrity. The
443 Biochemical Journal 434: 171-180.

444 PM, W., and S. N. J., 1983 Muscle development in large and small pig fetuses. Journal of
445 anatomy: 235-245.

446 Potthoff, M. J., H. Wu, M. A. Arnold, J. M. Shelton, J. Backs et al., 2007 Histone
447 deacetylase degradation and MEF2 activation promote the formation of
448 slow-twitch myofibers. Journal of Clinical Investigation 117: 2459-2467.

449 Qiong-Hua, L. I., Q. I. N. Shu-Hua and L. I. A. N. G. Wen-Quan, 2010 Conservation and
450 hybridization of luchuan pigs in Guangxi. Guangxi Journal of Animal Husbandry
451 26: 96-97.

452 Qiong-Hua, L. I. J., 1963 Guangxi Luchuan pig. Chinese Journal of Veterinary
453 Medicine.

454 Quiroz-Rothe, E., and J. L. L. Rivero, 2004 Coordinated expression of myosin heavy
455 chains, metabolic enzymes, and morphological features of porcine skeletal muscle
456 fiber types. *Microscopy Research and Technique* 65: 43-61.

457 Serra, X., F. Gil, M. Pérez-Enciso, M. A. Oliver, J. M. Vázquez et al., 1998 A
458 comparison of carcass, meat quality and histochemical characteristics of Iberian
459 (Guadyerbas line) and Landrace pigs. *Livestock Production Science* 56: 0-223.

460 Verardo, L. L., C. S. Nascimento, F. F. Silva, E. Gasparino, M. F. Martins et al., 2013
461 Identification and validation of differentially expressed genes from pig skeletal
462 muscle. *J Anim Breed Genet* 130: 372-381.

463 Wimmers, K., E. Murani, N. T. Ngu, K. Schellander and S. Ponsuksili, 2007 Structural
464 and functional genomics to elucidate the genetic background of microstructural and
465 biophysical muscle properties in the pig. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*
466 124: 27-34.

467 Yu, L., L. Tai, L. Zhang, Y. Chu, Y. Li et al., 2017 Comparative analyses of long
468 non-coding RNA in lean and obese pig. *Oncotarget* 8: 41440-41450.

469 Zhang, S. Z., Y. Xu, H. Q. Xie, X. Q. Li, Y. Q. Wei et al., 2009 The possible role of
470 myosin light chain in myoblast proliferation. *Biol Res* 42: 121-132.

471 Zhao, X., M. O. De-Lin, Y. Zhang, W. Gong, L. I. An-Ning et al., 2011 Progress in
472 research on skeletal muscle growth and development in swine. *Chinese Bulletin of*
473 *Life Sciences* 23: 37-44.

Figures

Figure 1. Transcriptome analysis of genes between the Duroc pig and Luchuan pig. (A) Heatmap analysis of gene expression based on the log10 ratio fold-change data. The horizontal axis represents samples, and the vertical axis represents differentially expressed genes that are upregulation and downregulation in red color and blue color, respectively. The color scale indicates gene expression based on log10 ratio fold-change data. (B) Volcano plot of significant differences in gene expression between the Duroc pig and Luchuan pig. Red spots represent the upregulation of genes, and blue dots are downregulated genes. Log2 FC indicates the fold change between the Duroc pig and Luchuan pig. The longitudinal coordinates indicate the natural logarithm of the magnitude of the control groups. The longitudinal coordinates indicate the magnitude of differences at the transcriptional level.

Figure 2. A: Column diagrams for Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of DEGs. The X-axis represents the functions of GO analysis. The Y-axis represents the numbers of DEGs. Red represents upregulate DEGs. Blue represents downregulate DEGs. B: Column diagrams for Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis of DEGs. The X-axis represents the numbers of DEGs. The Y-axis represents the functions of pathways. Each color represents the appropriate biological process. C: Diagrams for the enrichment degree of pathways. The X-axis represents the value of rich factors (the ratio of annotated DEGs to all genes of the enriched pathway). The Y-axis represents the names of pathways. The color depth of each point represents the q value. The size of each point represents the number of DEGs.

A

Figure 3. Log2FC (LD/DL) indicates the fold change between the Duroc pig and Luchuan pig. The mRNA expression of different genes in the transcriptome is shown by the value of log2 ratio (LD/DL) to conveniently compare with the results of RT-qPCR. The Duroc pig represents the control group.

Figure 4. Expression pattern of the MYL2 gene. (A),(B): MYL2 mRNA expression pattern in different tissues of two-month-old Duroc and Luchuan pigs. (C),(D): MYL2 mRNA expression pattern in different pig breeds and developmental periods. Different letters indicate a significant difference ($p < 0.05$). All the results are expressed as means $\pm$ SD.

Figure 5. (A) Plasmid was transfected into C2C12 cells. a1-c1, cells were observed under a fluorescence microscope at 10×; a2-c2, cells observed under an optical microscope at 10×. a1 and a2, cells transfected with pEGFP-N1; b1 and b2, cells transfected with pEGFP-N1-MYL2; c1 and c2, blank control group. (B) mRNA expression change of MYL2, MYH1, MYH2, MYH4, MYH7, MYOD, MEF2c and MSTN after transfecting an overexpression vector of MYL2 into C2C12 cells. Different letters indicate a significant difference ($p < 0.05$). All of the results are expressed as means $\pm$ SD.

530 Tables

531 **Table 1.** Primer sequences for reverse transcription–quantitative PCR (RT–
532 qPCR) and PCR

Gene	Gene ID in NCBI	Primer type	Primer sequence (5'→3')	Product size(bp)
MYL2-sus	NM_213791.2	RT-qPCR	Forward: ACAGGGATGGCTTCATAGACAA Reward: TAAGTTTCTCCCAAACATCGT	156
IGF1-sus	NM_214256.1	RT-qPCR	Forward: GGACGCTCTTCAGTTCGTGT Reward: CGGAAGCAGCACTCATCCAC	117
IGF2-sus	NM_213883.2	RT-qPCR	Forward: ACACCCTCCAGTTTGTCT Reward: GTCATAGCGGAAGAACTTGCC	236
MYH1-sus	NM_001104951.2	RT-qPCR	Forward: GTGCCGTACTCTAGAAGATCAAC Reward: GCTGAGAACTAACGTGTCCT	158
MYH4-sus	NM_001123141.1	RT-qPCR	Forward: TACTTAGGAAGAAGCACGCAGAC Reward: ATTGATTAGGCGCTGATGCTC	242
PKD3-sus	XM_021080538.1	RT-qPCR	Forward: CACTTCTCTTGGCGGTGACA Reward: CACAAAGCATCTTGGCGGTTT	120
MYLPF-sus	NM_001006592.1	RT-qPCR	Forward: CAGAAGGAAGCTCCAACGTCT Reward: GAGCTTCTCCCAAACATGGTC	239
TXNIP-sus	NM_001044614.2	RT-qPCR	Forward: CTAGTGGATGTCAATACCCCT Reward: TTGCCTCTGACCGATGACAAC	278
GAPDH-sus	NM_001206359.1	RT-qPCR	Forward: AACATCATCCCTGCTTCTACCG Reward: CAGGTGAGATCCACAACCGACA	158
MYH1-mus	NM_030679.2	RT-qPCR	Forward: GCAGCTCCAAGTTCAGTCT Reward: TGCCATCTCTCTGTGAGGT	289
MYH2-mus	NM_001039545.2	RT-qPCR	Forward: AAGCAGAGGCAAGTAGTGGT Reward: GTGCTCCTGAGATTGGTCAT	124
MYH4-mus	NM_010855.3	RT-qPCR	Forward: GTTCTTCTTCCAGACCGT Reward: ATGGCACCAGGAGTCTTAG	130
MYH7-mus	NM_080728.3	RT-qPCR	Forward: GACAAAGGCAAAGGCAAGG Reward: GATGATGCAGCGTACAAAG	129
MYOD-mus	NM_010866.2	RT-qPCR	Forward: TGGCATGATGGATTACAGC Reward: TTCCCTGTTCTGTGTCGCTT	260
MEF2c-mus	NM_001347567.1	RT-qPCR	Forward: CTCTGTCTGGCTTCAACACT Reward: CTGACTTGATGCTGAGGCTTT	183
MSTN-mus	NM_010834.3	RT-qPCR	Forward: ATGACGATTATCACGCTACCAC Reward: ACCTTGATCCGTCTTTCAT	234
MYL2-clone	NM_213791.2	PCR	Forward: CCATGTCACCTAAGAAAGCCAAG Reward: CCACTCACCCAAAGGCAAG	549
MYL2-vc ^a	NM_213791.2	PCR	Forward: <u>CCCAAGCTT</u> ATGTCACCTAAGAAAGCCAAGAAG Reward: <u>CGCGGATCC</u> CTTAGTCCTTCTCTCTCCGTGG	503

533 Note:^athis primer pair is used in the amplification of the complete coding
534 sequence of the MYL2 gene for vector construction, the letters with single
535 underline represent restriction enzyme cutting sites, and the letters with
536 double underline represent protecting bases. The primers marked ‘Sus’ and

‘Mus’ were used for mRNA expression in pig tissues and C2C12 cells by
real-time quantitative RT–PCR (RT–qPCR)

Table 2. Summary of the sequencing data quality and statistics of the
transcriptome

Sample	D1F	D1M	D2F	D2M	L1F	L1M	L2F	L2M
Total Raw Reads(M)	75.32	73.68	77.02	77.01	77.75	80.23	75.32	73.69
Total Clean Reads(M)	72.65	71.8	66.57	66.44	64.23	65.15	72.85	71.35
Total Clean Bases (Gb)	7.27	7.18	6.66	6.64	6.42	6.52	7.29	7.14
Clean Reads Q20(%)	98.75	98.87	97.97	98.07	98.04	97.83	98.66	98.64
Clean Reads Q30(%)	96.05	96.34	91.89	92.11	91.77	91.13	95.83	95.78
Clean Reads Ratio (%)	96.45	97.44	86.44	86.27	82.61	81.12	96.72	96.84
Total Mapping Ratio	91.79%	92.36%	95.39%	95.22%	93.05%	93.56%	91.10%	90.56%
Uniquely Mapping Ratio	68.94%	70.28%	67.05%	68.74%	63.74%	58.74%	67.56%	67.57%

Note: D indicates Duroc pig; L indicates Luchuan pig; F indicates female;
M indicates male.

Table 3. Significantly enriched KEGG pathways in RNA-Seq analysis

	Pathway	DEGs genes with pathway annotation (2884)	Pvalue	Pathway ID
1	Proteasome	32	2.74E-12	ko03050
2	Amoebiasis	80	1.09E-05	ko05146
3	Complement and coagulation cascades	41	1.68E-05	ko04610
4	Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism	19	5.83E-05	ko00260
5	Arachidonic acid metabolism	34	0.000107	ko00590
6	ECM-receptor interaction	60	0.000279	ko04512
7	Legionellosis	30	0.000316	ko05134
8	Epstein-Barr virus infection	72	0.000551	ko05169
9	Human papillomavirus infection	121	0.000915	ko05165
10	Staphylococcus aureus infection	27	0.001579	ko05150
11	p53 signaling pathway	31	0.00158	ko04115
12	PI3K-Akt signaling pathway	117	0.001723	ko04151
13	Phagosome	57	0.002097	ko04145
14	Fatty acid metabolism	19	0.003029	ko01212
15	Toxoplasmosis	37	0.003322	ko05145
16	Mitophagy - animal	21	0.003851	ko04137
17	Small cell lung cancer	40	0.004097	ko05222
18	Cellular senescence	50	0.004594	ko04218
19	Focal adhesion	87	0.004764	ko04510
20	FoxO signaling pathway	37	0.00515	ko04068
21	MAPK signaling pathway	79	0.005275	ko04010
22	Hematopoietic cell lineage	35	0.00558	ko04640
23	DNA replication	19	0.006139	ko03030
24	PPAR signaling pathway	28	0.00717	ko03320
25	Transcriptional misregulation in cancer	64	0.007257	ko05202
26	Fc epsilon RI signaling pathway	26	0.008615	ko04664
27	Platinum drug resistance	25	0.008867	ko01524
28	Pathways in cancer	150	0.009306	ko05200
29	Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)	23	0.009324	ko05014
30	Gastric cancer	56	0.009609	ko05226

544

545

546

547

548

549 **Table 4.** Candidate genes related to growth and development in D-vs-L

GeneID	log2Ratio (L/D)	q-value	Up-Down-Regulation (L/D)	p-value	Symbol
100144306	-2.585066	0	Down	0	<i>MYH4</i>
100125538	-1.110562	0	Down	0	<i>MYH1</i>
474162	-1.163615	0	Down	0	<i>MYLPF</i>
396725	1.20822	0	Up	0	<i>DES</i>
396718	-1.080459	0	Down	0	<i>RYR1</i>
100286778	7.811893	0	Up	0	<i>PDK4</i>
397667	1.096899	0	Up	0	<i>FHL1</i>
733657	5.046722	0	Up	0	<i>FBXO32</i>
396916	-2.672299	0	Down	0	<i>IGF2</i>
733688	2.369902	0	Up	0	<i>TXNIP</i>
100152001	-1.666755	0	Down	0	<i>COL3A1</i>
414388	1.804317	0	Up	0	<i>TPM3</i>
100517321	3.11986	0	Up	0	<i>TSC22D3</i>
396690	1.081174	0	Up	0	<i>MYL2</i>
100525195	2.038505	0	Up	0	<i>LMOD2</i>
100271745	1.007136	0	Up	0	<i>TCAP</i>
396947	1.090353	0	Up	0	<i>TNNI1</i>
397583	4.714497	0	Up	0	<i>LIPE</i>
397077	2.081716	0	Up	0	<i>FOXO1</i>
780431	1.834607	0	Up	0	<i>NDRG2</i>
396959	1.35284	0	Up	0	<i>ANKRD1</i>
100156435	1.058298	0	Up	0	<i>TNNC1</i>
100048933	1.669136	0	Up	0	<i>ZFAND5</i>
397116	3.909268	0	Up	0	<i>UCP3</i>
100294702	-1.503496	0	Down	0	<i>SMYD1</i>
100157318	3.569646	0	Up	0	<i>APOD</i>
100624868	1.763672	0	Up	0	<i>BNIP3</i>
100519789	1.258786	0	Up	0	<i>CRYAB</i>
100152767	-1.115181	0	Down	0	<i>KLHL31</i>
100627008	-1.382584	0	Down	0	<i>DMPK</i>
100520636	1.633977	0	Up	0	<i>ACADVL</i>
733702	1.161209	0	Up	0	<i>CD36</i>
100134962	1.63106	0	Up	0	<i>PHYH</i>
100337687	1.69399	0	Up	0	<i>CSRP3</i>
100240723	1.662207	0	Up	0	<i>SGCA</i>
100620966	4.171741	0	Up	0	<i>SESN2</i>
100157793	-1.276461	0	Down	0	<i>PRKAB2</i>
100152267	-2.014984	0	Down	0	<i>ACTC1</i>
100152836	1.60654	0	Up	0	<i>GPAT4</i>

397012	1.111651	0	Up	0	<i>HADHA</i>
--------	----------	---	----	---	--------------

550