Transcriptome profiling in Indian Cattle revealed novel insights into response to heat stress

- Raja Ishaq Nabi Khan a[†], Amit Ranjan Sahu a[†], Waseem Akram Malla a, Manas Ranjan Praharaj
 b, Neelima Hosamani b[†], Shakti Kumar b, Smita Gupta a, Shweta Sharma a, Archana Saxena a,
 Anshul Varshney a, Pragya Singh a, Vinay Verma c, Puneet Kumarc, Gyanendra Singh c,
 Aruna Pandey a, Shikha Saxena a, Ravi Kumar Gandham b#, Ashok Kumar Tiwari d#
 a Division of Veterinary Biotechnology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Bareilly, India
 b Computational Biology and Genomics, National Institute of Animal Biotechnology,
 Hyderabad, India
- ¹¹ _c Division of Physiology and Climatology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Bareilly, India Division of Physiology and Climatology, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Bareilly, India
- 12 d Division of Biological Standardization, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Bareilly, India
- 14 *†*Equal Contributors
- 16 #Corresponding Authors: Ravi Kumar Gandham, ravigandham@niab.org.in

Ashok Kumar Tiwari, aktiwari71d@gmail.com

18 Abstract

19

15

17

1

2

20 Heat stress induced by high environmental temperature and humidity affects livestock 21 production and health. With global warming on the uprise, indigenous cattle known for their heat 22 tolerance are gaining importance than the Crossbreds. However, systems biology behind this 23 phenotype in indigenous cattle is less known. This study, revealed novel insights in response to 24 heat stress in Indian cattle (Tharparkar breed - Indigenous breed vs Vrindhavani - Crossbred 25). The number of DEGs in Crossbred were found to be more, than in Tharparkar, suggesting a 26 greater dysregulation in systems biology in Crossbred. A contrast in gene expression was 27 observed with 18.5 % of upregulated genes in Crossbred (Vrindavani cattle) were downregulated 28 in Tharparkar and 17.5% upregulated genes in Tharparkar were downregulated in Crossbred. The 29 increased HSPs levels have been found positively correlated with tolerance in many species. 30 Upregulation of HSF, HSP70, HSP90, and activation of EIF2 signaling pathway in Tharparkar 31 and vice-versa in Crossbred delineates how Tharparkar, withstands heat stress. Unlike Crossbred, 32 Tharparkar is not only endowed with higher expression of the scavengers (UBE2G1, UBE2S, and 33 UBE2H) of misfolded proteins but also with protectors (VCP, Serp1, and CALR) of naïve 34 unfolded proteins. Further, the apoptotic genes that were dysregulated in both genetic groups indicated a relatively higher probability of apoptosis in Crossbred than in Tharparkar. Also, 35 36 higher expression of the antioxidants in Tharparkar enables it to cope up with higher levels of

37 free radicals generated as a result of heat stress. In this study we found relevant molecules/genes 38 dysregulated in Tharparkar in the direction that can counter heat stress. To best of our knowledge 39 this is a comprehensive comparison between Tharparkar and Crossbred under heat stress using 40 transcriptome analysis.

41

42 Introduction

43

44 Cattle being homoeothermic, modulate their internal body temperature in sync to 45 environmental temperature by equilibrating the amount of heat produced within the body and 46 dissipating it to the ambient environment. The stress that arises due to disproportionate 47 thermodynamic behavior between cattle and its surrounding environment is termed as heat stress ¹. Environmental induced hyperthermic stress lowers feed intake, which in turn reduces growth, 48 49 milk production and reproductive efficiency, thereby negatively affecting the economics of livestock keepers ²⁻⁴. Heat stress has been associated with reduced fertility through its deleterious 50 impact on oocyte maturation and early embryonic development ⁵. Increased morbidity and 51 mortality was observed in animals due to immune depressive effect of heat stress ⁶. 52

53 India has a wide variety of indigenous cattle breeds distributed throughout its agro-54 climatic zones. These are known for their natural tolerance to tropical heat ^{7,8}. To meet the growing demand for milk and to combine the heat tolerance and tick resistance of zebu with the 55 productivity of temperate dairy breeds⁹ several crossbreeding programs were taken up in India. 56 57 Every state had its own crossbreeding policy, which is agro-climatic and breed-specific. Though 58 the zebu crosses with European breeds produced more milk than zebu, they were found not withstanding heat/solar radiation ¹⁰. Crossbreds are susceptible to tropical diseases and require a 59 constant input of good management conditions⁸. Antagonism exists between heat tolerance and 60 milk productivity ¹¹. The adaptive capacity to heat stress varies between species and genetic 61 62 groups within species. Among various adaptive mechanisms, physiological adaptability seems to 63 be the primary step in cattle. Sahiwal cows better regulate body temperature in response to heat stress than Karan Fries⁸. It was observed that Ongole cattle rely on the respiration rate to 64 maintain thermal balance, while, Bali cattle rely on rectal temperature ¹². In Brazil, Sindhi and 65 Girolando breeds showed better physiological response to thermal stress than Gir cattle ¹³. 66 Increase in respiration rate was reported in Nellore breed when exposed to heat load ¹⁴. 67

68 In India, Tharparkar is one among the best dairy breeds. It is adapted to the Indian states of Punjab and Haryana.^{15,16}. It is considered to be the hardiest, disease resistant, heat tolerant 69 and tick resistant indigenous cattle breed of the country ¹⁷. This breed has also been used in 70 71 several crossbreeding programs. Currently, percentage of purebreds is exceptionally low in India 72 (Department of Animal Husbandry & Dairying, Govt. of India). Most of the farmer's in India 73 have Crossbreds and the percentage of exotic inheritance in these Crossbreds is unknown. 74 Vrindavani, a Crossbred (synthetic), with 27% of Indigenous blood and 73% of exotic inheritance ¹⁸ is a representation of the kind of admixture that prevails in Indian cattle. Therefore, 75 76 comparing Tharparkar with Vrindavani may establish the lost importance of Indigenous cattle 77 and would further emphasize the need of conserving our indigenous purebreds because of 78 advantageous traits like Heat tolerance.

79 Studies explaining the difference between the genetic groups (Crossbreds and Indigenous 80 cattle) have been done mainly to address the physiological responses vis -a - vis heat stress and very few studies at the genomic level have been taken up ^{19,20}. Also, there is an increasing need to 81 develop methods by combining the knowledge from -omics technologies to identify heat tolerant 82 animals ¹¹. Transcriptome profiling / RNA-Sequencing (RNA-seq) is a high throughput omics 83 approach to measure relative global changes in the transcripts under specific condition(s)²¹⁻²³ to 84 study the systems biology behind a phenotype ^{23,24}. RNA - seq allows for analysis of 85 transcriptome in an unbiased way, with, a tremendous dynamic detection range (>8,000 fold), 86 and low background signals ²⁵. It has been used as an investigating tool in understanding disease 87 ^{26,27} and differential physiological response to various biotic and abiotic factors 88 pathogenesis 28,29 89

In this study, Tharparkar and Crossbred - Vrindavani cattle were subjected to heat stress and blood samples were collected on 0^{th} day and 7^{th} day, as it is known that short term acclimation occurs around 5 - 6 days ^{30,31}. The transcriptome of 7^{th} day was compared and with 0^{th} day in both the genetic groups to understand their differential response to heat stress.

94 **Results**

95 **Physiological Parameters**

The overview of the analysis is given in Figure 1. Respiration rate (RR), rectal temperature (RT) and T3 level increased significantly (p<0.05) on 7th - day post heat stress in

both the genetic groups (n=5) (Figure 2). However, the increase in RR, RT and T3 level, was
found significantly (P<0.05) higher in Crossbred than in Tharparkar.

100 **Comparison of DEGs of Crossbred and Tharparkar under heat stress**

101 The differentially expressed genes for each genetic group were obtained on comparing 102 the 0 day and 7th day RNA-seq data using EdgeR after obtaining the gene counts from RSEM. 103 Under heat stress, global expression profiles of Crossbred and Tharparkar were identified with 104 6042 and 4718 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), respectively (Supplementary Table 1). 105 Among these, 3481 DEGs were found common between the two genetic groups, while 2561 and 106 1238 DEGs were uniquely found in Crossbred and Tharparkar, respectively (Figure 3a). 107 Additionally, 3132 and 2924 genes were upregulated and downregulated in Crossbred, 108 respectively, while 2367 and 2358 genes were upregulated and downregulated in Tharparkar, 109 respectively (Figure 3b). On comparison of upregulated and downregulated genes, 724 and 1416 110 genes were found uniquely upregulated and 514 and 1145 genes were found uniquely 111 downregulated in Tharparkar and Crossbred, respectively. The comparison also revealed that 112 17.5% of upregulated genes (1278) in Tharparkar were downregulated in Crossbred and 18.5% 113 downregulated genes (1344) in Tharparkar were upregulated in Crossbred. However, the number 114 of common upregulated and downregulated genes in both the genetic groups were 357 (4.9%) 115 and 498 (6.8%), respectively (Figure 3c).

116 Analysis of knowledge-based genes

117 Under heat stress four major physiological processes are found to be usually associated 118 with heat stress viz. elicitation of unfolded protein response (UPR) in cells; Induction of 119 apoptosis; Ubiquitination and; Imbalance in production of ROS and antioxidants (refer to 120 materials and methods for details). Heat shock genes and its associated genes are involved in 121 elicitation of unfolded protein response (UPR) in cells. Heat shock genes have been found 122 dysregulated under heat stress in both the genetic groups. Most of the genes encoding Heat 123 shock proteins (HSPs) - Heat shock 70 kDa protein 4 (HSPA4), Heat shock cognate 71 kDa 124 protein (HSPB8), Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1A (HSPA1A), Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein 125 (HSPA8), Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta (HSP90AB1) and Heat shock protein HSP 90-alpha 126 (HSP90AA1) and heat shock protein regulating factors- Heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) and 127 Eukaryotic Translation Elongation Factor 1 Alpha 1 (EEF1A1) have been found to be

downregulated/not-differentially expressed in Crossbred but upregulated in Tharparkar.
However, Calcium/Calmodulin Dependent Protein Kinase II Delta (*CAMK2D*) that is involved
in the regulation of expression of heat shock genes was upregulated in Crossbred and
downregulated in Tharparkar.

132 Among the apoptotic genes, genes encoding Bcl-2-like protein 11 (BCL2L11), Tumor 133 necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 6 (FASLG), TIR domain-containing adapter 134 molecule 2 (TICAM2), Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), Adenomatous polyposis coli protein 135 (APC), Caspase-3 (CASP3), Mitogen-activated protein kinase 8 (MAPK8), Mixed lineage 136 kinase domain-like protein (MLKL), Late endosomal/lysosomal adaptor and MAPK and MTOR 137 activator 5 (XIP), Vimentin (VIM), and High mobility group protein B2 (HMGB2) were found to 138 be upregulated in Crossbred and downregulated in Tharparkar. The number of upregulated genes involved in achieving the balance of ROS production and antioxidants, were found to be more in 139 140 Tharparkar than in Crossbred. Among these, genes encoding Glutathione peroxidase 3 141 (GPX3), Nudix Hydrolase 2 (NUDT2), Catalase (CAT), Cytochrome c (CYCS), Copper 142 chaperone for superoxide dismutase (CCS), Peroxiredoxin-5 (PRDX5), Peroxiredoxin-6 143 (PRDX6), Peroxiredoxin-1 (PRDX1), Superoxide dismutase (SOD1), and Cytochrome b-245 144 heavy chain (CYBB) were found either downregulated/not-differentially expressed in Crossbred 145 and upregulated in Tharparkar. More number of genes involved in Ubiquitination were 146 differentially expressed in Crossbred than in the Tharparkar. Genes encoding Ubiquitin-147 conjugating enzyme E2 G1 (UBE2G1), Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 (UBE2S), Ubiquitin-148 conjugating enzyme E2 H (UBE2H), Ubiquitin A-52 residue ribosomal protein fusion product 1 149 (UBA52), and Ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1 (UBA1) have been found downregulated/not-150 differentially expressed in Crossbred and upregulated in Tharparkar. However, Valosin-151 containing protein (VCP), RING finger protein 40 (RNF40), and Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme 152 E2 L3 (UBE2L3) have been found downregulated in Crossbred but not-differentially expressed 153 in Tharparkar. Among the genes involved in Unfolded Protein folding response (UPR), genes 154 encoding Membrane-bound transcription factor site-1 protease (MBTPS1), Cyclic AMP-155 responsive element-binding protein 3-like protein 1 (CREB3L1), Stress-associated endoplasmic 156 reticulum protein 1 (SERP1), Glycogen synthase kinase-3 alpha (GSK3A), Eukaryotic 157 translation initiation factor 2 subunit 3 (EIF2S3), Calreticulin (CALR), and Stress-associated endoplasmic reticulum protein 1 (*SERP1*) have been found downregulated in Crossbred andupregulated in Tharparkar (Figure 4).

Protein - protein interaction (PPI) network revealed functional importance of HSP70 (HSPA8 and HSPA1A) and ubiquitin (UBB, UBA52), in coordinating genes involved in heat stress

163 A total of 246 knowledge-based genes were identified from the reactome database. Out of 164 these 177 and 194 genes were found to be differentially expressed in Tharparkar and Crossbred, 165 respectively. Among these 126 genes were found to be commonly differentially expressed in 166 Tharparkar and Crossbred. PPI network for these common knowledge-based genes between 167 Tharparkar and Crossbred was constructed (Supplementary Figure 1). In PPI networks, hubs 168 define the functional and structural importance of a network. The genes, which act as hubs in PPI 169 networks were found to be UBB, UBA52, HSPA8, and HSPA1A (Supplementary Figure 1). 170 Among the 4 hubs, UBB was downregulated in both genetic groups and the rest were 171 downregulated in Crossbred and upregulated in Tharparkar.

172 A change in the expression of the hub protein will have a larger effect than change in expression of non-hub proteins ³². Therefore, UBB, UBA52, HSPA8, and HSPA1A are taken to 173 174 be critical for coordinating the changes in systems biology under heat stress. The hubs HSPA8 175 and HSPA1A are connected to genes that are associated with regulation of stress viz. 176 nucleoporins genes - NUP188, NUP155, NUP210 & NUP214; BAG family molecular chaperone 177 regulators - BAG1, BAG3 & BAG4; Heat Shock Protein Family A - HSPA5, HSPA4, HSPA12B 178 & HSPA9; DnaJ Heat Shock Protein Family i.e. HSP40 - DNAJA1, DNAJC2 & DNAJB6; Heat 179 shock factor - HSF1; Ubiquitin - UBB & UBA52 and; Sirtuin - SIRT1. The hubs - UBB and 180 UBA52 are connected to molecules of different proteasome subunits viz. I type subunits -181 *PSMA1* & *PSMA2*; β type subunits - *PSMB4* & *PSMB8*; ATPase subunits - *PSMC2* & *PSMC5* 182 and non-ATPase subunits - *PSMD2 & PSMD13*. These hubs were also found connected to 183 ubiquitin specific peptidases - USP9X and USP7 and Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme - UBE2B, 184 UBE2G1, UBE2Z, UBE2H, UBE2J2, UBE2S & UBE2D2.

185 Canonical pathway analysis by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) revealed contrast in 186 signaling pathways in Crossbred and Tharparkar

187 Canonical pathways associated with Crossbred and Tharparkar are represented in Figure
188 5a and 5b. In Crossbred, Oncostatin M Signaling, Phospholipase C Signaling, EIF2 Signaling,

Integrin Signaling, IL-3 Signaling, and CXCR4 Signaling were found to be highly inactivated (Z - score > 2.0) and PTEN signaling was found to be highly activated (Z - score < 2.0). In Tharparkar, EIF2 Signaling, Androgen Signaling, Oncostatin M Signaling, α -Adrenergic Signaling, BMP signaling pathway, and UVC-Induced MAPK Signaling were found to be highly activated and PTEN signaling was found to be inactivated. The canonical pathway Oncostatin M Signaling and EIF2 Signaling were found to have the highest ratio of genes involved vis-a-vis the genes in the database in Crossbred and Tharparkar, respectively.

196 While carrying out comparative analysis through IPA, Calcium-induced T Lymphocyte 197 Apoptosis, BMP signaling pathway, UVC-Induced MAPK Signaling, Regulation of Cellular 198 Mechanics by Calpain Protease, fMLP Signaling in Neutrophils, Melatonin Signaling, and 199 Leukocyte Extravasation Signaling, were found inactivated in Crossbred and activated in 200 Tharparkar (Supplementary Figure 2). Genes involved in Oncostatin M Signaling-Growth 201 factor receptor-bound protein 2 (GRB2), GTPase HRas (HRAS), Janus kinase 1 (JAK1), Janus 202 kinase 3 (JAK3), Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1 (MAP2K1), Mitogen-activated 203 protein kinase 1 (MAPK1), Oncostatin-M (OSM), Ras-related protein Rap-1b (RAP1B), Ras-204 related protein Rap-2a (RAP2A), Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1-alpha/beta 205 (STAT1), Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5B (STAT5B), Non-receptor tyrosine-206 protein kinase (TYK2), and Ras-related protein (RRAS) were found downregulated in Crossbred 207 and upregulated in Tharparkar (Figure 6a, b). While the key genes involved in PTEN Signaling 208 pathway – Fas Ligand (FASLG), member of RAS oncogene family (RAP2A), Bcl-2-like protein 209 11 (BIM), Caspase-3 (CASP3) and microspherule protein 1 (MSP58) were found upregulated in 210 Crossbred and downregulated in Tharparkar as well (Figure 7a, b).

211 Variation in microRNAs and Transcription factors

IPA, on evaluating the differentially expression genes predicts miRNAs and Transcription Factors (upstream regulators). In Crossbred, 111 miRNAs were found to be inactivated and 37 activated. In Tharparkar, 205 miRNAs were found to be inactivated and 272 activated. Among them, 52 microRNAs were found common between the two genetic groups. Most of the common miRNAs were found activated in Crossbred and inactivated in Tharparkar (Supplementary Figure 3). miR-4779, miR-4651, miR-1207-5p, miR-6967-5p and miR-504-3p are the top 5 miRNAs that were activated in Crossbred and inactivated in Tharparkar. 219 Various Transcription factors were found to regulate the expression of the identified 220 DEGs. Transcription factors, 19 in Tharparkar (11 activated and 8 inactivated) and 26 in 221 Crossbred (8 activated and 18 inactivated) were identified in IPA that regulate the expression of 222 DEGs. Among them, PAX5, MTA3, MYC, PROX1 and SMAD7 in Crossbred and, HMGA1, MAF, 223 MAX NOTCH22 and NCOR1 in Tharparkar are the top 5 upregulated and activated TFs. On 224 comparing the TFs of Tharparkar and Crossbred, it was found that BHLHE40, HMGA1, 225 HMGB1, IKZF1, and TCF7 were found to be common. BHLHE40, HMGA1, and TCF7 were 226 found to be activated in Tharparkar and inactivated in Crossbred and it was vice - versa with 227 *HMGB1* and *IKZF1* (Supplementary Figure 4)

228 **Real-time validation.**

229 Six genes (HSF1, SOD1, CALR, GSK3A, CAT & GPX3) that were upregulated in 230 Tharparkar but downregulated/not expressed in crossbred and four genes (CASP3, FASLG, 231 BCL2L11 & APC) that were upregulated in crossbred but downregulated in Tharparkar were 232 considered for Real time PCR based on their role in heat stress. Briefly, CALR is involved in 233 unfolded protein response upon Endoplasmic reticulum stress; HSF1 in trimer induces the 234 expression of HSPs; GSK3A, kinase inhibits trimerization of HSF1 regulating the expression of 235 HSPs; SOD1 converts free radical into hydrogen peroxide, which is further converted by GPX3A 236 and/or CAT into water and oxygen molecules in regulatory pathway of ROS scavenging and ; 237 BCL2L11, FASLG, APC and CASP3 induce apoptosis in stressed cell. The expression of genes 238 was in concordance with the RNA- Seq results (Supplementary Figure 5 and Supplementary 239 table 2).

240 **Discussion**

Heat stress is a natural phenomenon that affects domestic animals in tropical, sub-tropical and often in temperate regions of the world during summer months. Heat and humidity during the summer months combine to make an uncomfortable environment for dairy cattle. Heat stress negatively impacts a variety of dairy parameters resulting in economic losses ³³. Response to heat stress varies with species and genetic groups within species ^{5,34,35}. In this study, transcriptome of genetic groups – Crossbred and Tharparkar cattle under heat stress was evaluated to understand their differential response to heat stress.

Animals (n=5) of both the genetic groups were exposed to a temperature of 42 °C for 7 248 days. Around 5th- 6th day, short term heat acclimation occurs ^{30,31}. This time point was selected 249 250 to understand the differences in systems biology to heat stress in the two genetic groups. 251 Initially, heat stress indicators - RR, RT, and T3 level were evaluated. RR was found to increase 252 in both genetic groups under heat treatment and the increase in Crossbred was found to be 253 significantly (P < 0.05) different from that in Tharparkar. A positive correlation exists between RR and heat treatment $^{36-38}$. This increase is an attempt to dissipate excess body heat by 254 255 vaporizing more moisture in expired air or response to a greater requirement of oxygen by 256 tissues under heat stress. Also, the physiological response to heat stress includes reduced heat production, which is achieved by lowering feed intake and thyroid hormone secretion ³⁹. T3 level 257 increases under heat stress ^{40,41}. A significant increase in T3 level in Crossbred as compared to 258 259 Tharparkar indicates an effective regulatory mechanism in modulating T3 levels in Tharparkar in 260 response to heat stress. The T3 triggered metabolism may be one of the reasons that increases 261 heat production resulting in high rectal temperature in Crossbred in comparison to Tharparkar as 262 was found in our study. The significant increase in RR, RT and T3 level in Crossbreed than in 263 Tharparkar, suggests the inability of Crossbred to cope up with heat stress in comparison to 264 Tharparkar.

265 A phenotype is defined by the changes in systems biology. Transcriptome profiling by 266 RNA-seq is the most common methodology to study the changes in systems biology. RNA 267 profiling based on next-generation sequencing enables to measure and compare gene expression patterns²¹. The transcriptome of Tharparkar and Crossbred indicated differential response to heat 268 269 stress as evident from the DEGs, which were either distinct to both or have a difference in 270 expression. The number of DEGs were higher in Crossbred than in Tharparkar, suggesting a 271 greater dysregulation in systems biology in Crossbred. Among the dysregulated genes, the 272 number of upregulated genes were more than the downregulated genes in both genetic groups. 273 However, a contrast in expression was observed with 18.5 % of upregulated genes in Crossbred, 274 were downregulated in Tharparkar and 17.5% upregulated genes in Tharparkar 275 weredownregulated in Crossbred. Some of the genes were confirmed for their expression by 276 Realtime PCR. The genes selected for Realtime PCR were selected based on their role in the 277 major physiological processes usually associated with heat stress.

278 IPA revealed activation or inactivation of several pathways in both the genetic groups. It 279 is known that - EIF2 signalling, helps in initiation of global protein translation ⁴²; MAPKsignalling pathway, induces cell proliferation ⁴³; androgen signalling, enhances pro-survival and 280 anti-apoptotic activity in cell ⁴⁴; a-Adrenergic signalling, maintains immune defence mechanism 281 ⁴⁵ and, helps in tissue repair upon stress ⁴⁶ and increases angiogenesis ⁴⁷; integrin pathway, resists 282 283 the cell against apoptosis and other environmental insults ⁴⁸; IL-3 signalling, aids in cell survival and haematopoiesis ⁴⁹; CXCR4 signalling modulates cell survival and cell motility ⁵⁰ and : 284 Phospholipase C signalling aids in cell survival in stress through protein kinase C dependent 285 phosphorylation of BCL-2⁵¹. Inactivation of these pathways except MAPK-signalling pathway 286 287 in Crossbred and activation of α -Adrenergic signalling, Androgen signalling, EIF2 signalling 288 and MAPK signalling in Tharparkar indicates that the systems biology in Tharparkar is moving 289 towards countering the effects due to heat stress.

290 While exploring the DEGs at a functional level we considered a knowledge-based 291 approach. Under this, four major physiological processes are found to be usually associated with 292 heat stress viz. elicitation of unfolded protein response (UPR) in cells; Ubiquitination; Induction 293 of apoptosis and; Imbalance in production of ROS and antioxidants (Figure 13). Heat shock and 294 its associated genes are involved in elicitation of unfolded protein response (UPR) in cells. Most 295 of the heat shock genes were found upregulated in Tharparkar and downregulated in Crossbred. The increased HSP levels have been found positively correlated with tolerance in many 296 297 species^{52,53}. HSF1, that positively regulates the transcription of HSP70 and HSP90^{54,55} was 298 found upregulated in Tharparkar and downregulated in Crossbred. Upregulation of HSF1, 299 HSP70 and HSP90 in Tharparkar and vice-versa in Crossbred corroborates to state that 300 Tharparkar is better equipped to counter heat stress than Crossbred. Further, to ensure that the 301 HSP70 in Tharparkar is maintained at an optimum level, dysregulation of CAMK2D and GSK3A 302 seems to act as negative feedback. CAMK2D that induces the transcription of HSP70 via HSF1 303 ⁵⁶ was found downregulated in Tharparkar. GSK3A that inhibits the trimerization of HSF1 that is needed for the induction of HSP70⁵⁷ was found upregulated in Tharparkar. The decreased level 304 305 of HSP70 in Crossbred makes it inevitable that such negative feedbacks would further reduce its 306 level and hence, GSK3A was found downregulated and CAMK, upregulated (Figure.13).

Ubiquitination is positively correlated with heat tolerance ^{58,59}. Ubiquitin-Proteasome 307 308 System (UPS) regulates the levels of proteins and acts by removing the misfolded or damaged 309 proteins that may accumulate as a result of exposure to abiotic stress. Malfunctioning of 310 ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) could have negative consequences for protein regulation, including loss of function⁶⁰. In Tharparkar after heat acclimation, HSP70 tends to activate the 311 312 ubiquitination pathway to minimize the accumulation of the unfolded proteins that can't be refolded by it ⁶¹. This pathway activation is supported by upregulation of E2 ligases - UBE2G1, 313 UBE2S, and UBE2H that catalyze covalent attachment of E2 to E3⁶²⁻⁶⁵ in Tharparkar. USP7 314 that deubiquitinates target proteins ^{66,67} was found upregulated in Crossbred and downregulated 315 316 in Tharparkar. Further, a group of molecules - VCP, SERP1, and CALR that ensure the protection of naïve proteins during their transport within the cell ⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰ were found upregulated in 317 318 Tharparkar and downregulated in Crossbred. Unlike Crossbred, Tharparkar is not only endowed 319 with higher expression of the scavengers of misfolded proteins but also with protectors of naïve 320 unfolded proteins.

321 Activation of apoptosis pathway is one of the major physiological processes linked with 322 heat stress. Among the apoptotic genes, BCL2L11, FASLG, MLKL, CASP3, MAPK8, and VIM 323 have been found upregulated in Crossbred and downregulated in Tharparkar under heat stress. 324 BCL2L11 induces apoptosis by neutralizing key molecules of pro-survival BCL2 sub-family ^{71,72}, FASLG transduces the apoptotic signal into cells^{73,74}, CASP3 activates caspases and 325 executes apoptosis ⁷⁵, and MAPK8, MLKL, and VIM also induce apoptosis ^{76,77}. PTEN 326 signaling pathway that drives apoptosis ^{78,79} was found inactivated in Tharparkar and activated in 327 328 Crossbred. This indicates a relatively higher probability of apoptosis in Crossbred than in 329 Tharparkar.

The ability to balance the ROS and antioxidant level, is one of the key factors that would determine the tolerance of an individual to heat stress. The antioxidant triad of GPX, SOD, and CAT that forms the first line of defense against reactive oxygen species ⁸⁰⁻⁸², was found upregulated in Tharparkar and downregulated in Crossbred. Additionally, genes belonging to Peroxiredoxins - *PRDX3*, *PRDX5* and *PRDX6* that catalyze the reduction of hydrogen peroxide and organic hydroperoxides ⁸³⁻⁸⁷, were also found upregulated in Tharparkar and were either downregulated or not-differentially expressed in Crossbred. Higher expression of the antioxidants in Tharparkar enables it to cope up with higher levels of free radicals generated as aresult of heat stress while Crossbred is unable to do so.

339 Conclusion

340 A contrast in expression was observed with 18.5 % of upregulated genes in Crossbred 341 were downregulated in Tharparkar and 17.5% upregulated genes in Tharparkar were 342 downregulated in Crossbred. Transcripts of molecules that stimulate heat shock response, 343 Ubiquitination, unfolded protein response and antioxidant level were found upregulated in 344 Tharparkar and downregulated in Crossbred. EIF2 Signaling that promotes protein translation 345 and PTEN signaling that drives apoptosis were found activated and inactivated in Tharparkar, 346 respectively and vice-versa in Crossbred. We found relevant molecules/genes dysregulated in 347 Tharparkar in the direction that counters heat stress. A proposed contrasting interplay of 348 molecules in both the two groups is shown in Figure 8. To the best of our knowledge this is a 349 comprehensive comparison between Tharparkar and Crossbred at a global level using 350 transcriptome analysis.

351 Methods

352 Experimental condition and Ethical Statement

353 The animals used for the study were from the Indian Veterinary Research Institute. The 354 permission to conduct the study was granted by Indian Veterinary Research Institutional Animal 355 Ethics Committee (IVRI-IAEC) under the Committee for Control and Supervision of 356 Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA), India, vide letter no 387/CPSCEA. Genetic groups -357 Tharparkar (Indigenous breeds) and Vrindavani (synthetic Crossbred) were considered in this 358 study. Prior to experiment, the animals – 05 Tharparkar and 05 Crossbred (Vrindavani) cattle, 359 were acclimatized for 15 days outside the Psychometric chamber. The experiment was conducted 360 during October when the environmental Temperature Humidity Index (THI) was 73.0242. These 361 animals were exposed in Psychometric chamber at 42 °C for six hours for 7 days (THI 362 =78.5489). All the animals were fed with wheat straw and concentrate mixture in 60:40 ratios. 363 Respiration rate (RR) and rectal temperature (RT) of animals from each genetic group were measured on 0 day (Control, n=5) before exposure to Psychometric chamber and on 7th day of 364 365 heat exposure (Treated, n=5). Blood samples were collected from the animals at the mentioned

time points and serum concentration of Triiodothyronine (T3) was estimated by RIA technique using $T_3^{125}I$ (Immunotech) as per the manufacturer's instructions.

368 **RNA sequencing** (RNA-seq)

369 PBMCs were collected from the blood samples using Ficol histopaque gradient method. 370 Total RNA from each of the collected samples (PBMCs) was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit 371 (Qiagen GmbH, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The integrity and quantity 372 of isolated RNA were assessed on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Inc). The library 373 was prepared using NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NewEngland Biolabs 374 Inc.) following the manufacturer's protocol. Approximately, 100ng of RNA from each sample 375 was used for RNA library preparation. The quality of the libraries was assessed on Bioanalyzer. 376 Libraries were quantified using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life technologies) and by qPCR. 377 Library (1.3ml, 1.8pM) was denatured, diluted and loaded onto a flow cell for sequencing. 378 cDNA library preparation and Illumina Sequencing was performed at Sandor Life Sciences Pvt. 379 (Hyderabad, India). Finally, the RNA-seq data were provided in FASTQ format.

380 Data processing

The sequenced reads were paired-end and 150bp in length. Quality control checks on raw sequence data from each sample were performed using FastQC (Babraham Bioinformatics). Processing of the data was performed using prinseq-lite software ⁸⁸ to remove reads of low quality (mean phred score 25) and short length (< 50) for downstream analysis. The data was submitted to the GEO database with accession number GSE136652.

386 Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs)

387 Bos taurus reference genome (release 94) and its associated gene transfer file (GTF) were downloaded from Ensembl FTP genome browser⁸⁹. The reference genome was prepared and 388 indexed by RNA-Seq by expectation maximization (RSEM) ⁹⁰ by rsem-prepare-reference 389 command. Further, the clean reads obtained from filtering of raw data were aligned to the 390 indexed reference genome by Bowtie2⁹¹ to estimate gene abundance in counts by rsem-391 calculate-expression command. To compare the gene expression levels among different samples, 392 393 the aligned reads were used to generate a data matrix by rsem-generate-data-matrix command. 394 In each genetic group, all the samples of day 7 (treated) were compared with the day 0 (Control) for the calculation of differential gene expression by edgeR 92 package. The Ensemble IDs of the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were converted to the respective gene ID by g: Convert of g: Profiler 93,94 .

398 Functional Analysis of DEGs

399 Under heat stress four major physiological processes are found to be usually associated -Induction of apoptosis ^{95,96}; Ubiquitination ^{97,98}; elicitation of unfolded protein response (UPR) 400 in cells ⁹⁹ and ; Imbalance in production of ROS and antioxidants ^{100,101}. The genes involved in 401 these processes were retrieved from Reactome database ¹⁰². From these genes that are involved 402 403 in the four major physiological processes, the genes that were differentially expressed in both the 404 genetic groups were extracted to compare and contrast their expression between genetic groups. 405 These genes were referred to as knowledge-based genes. Their protein-protein interaction 406 network was also studied in both the genetic groups.

407 Predicted protein-protein interaction of the knowledge-based genes

408 Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network among the knowledge-based DEGs that were 409 found common to both Tharparkar and Crossbred, was retrieved using interactions available in 410 the String database ¹⁰³. The degree was calculated using igraph package (https://cran.r-411 project.org/web/packages/igraph/ index.html). The PPI network was then visualized using 412 Cytoscape software V. 3.7 ¹⁰⁴

413 Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) Analysis

QIAGEN's IPA (QIAGEN, Redwood City, USA) ¹⁰⁵ is used to quickly visualize and 414 415 understand complex omics data and perform insightful data analysis and interpretation by 416 placing experimental results within the context of biological systems. Here, IPA was used to 417 analyze the identified DEGs of Crossbred and Tharparkar. The list of DEGs from each genetic 418 group was used to identify the canonical pathways and the most significant biological processes 419 against Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge Base (IKB). Core analysis for each dataset was 420 performed to know activated (Z score > 2) or inactivated (Z score < -2) canonical pathways. 421 Upstream regulators - Transcription factors and microRNAs were also identified.

422 Validation of reference genes identified

423 Genes - *BCL2L11, FASLG, CASP3, CAT, SOD1, GSK3A, CALR, HSF1, APC,* and *GPX3* 424 were selected based on their role in heat stress and qRT-PCR was performed on Applied 425 Biosystems 7500 Fast system. *GAPDH* was taken as the internal control. Each of the samples 426 was run in triplicates and relative expression of each gene was calculated using the 427 $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method with control as the calibrator ¹⁰⁶.

428 Statistical Analysis

429 Respiration rate, Rectal temperature and T3 level were compared using student's *t*-test in 430 JMP9 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, USA) to test the significance of the difference between the 431 control (0 day) and treated (7th day). This comparison was done within and between genetic 432 groups. Differences within/between groups were considered significant at $P \le 0.05$.

433 **Declarations**

434 Ethics approval and consent to participate

- 435 The permission to conduct the study was granted by Indian Veterinary Research Institutional
- 436 Animal Ethics Committee (IVRI-IAEC) under the Committee for Control and Supervision of
- 437 Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA), India, vide letter no 387/CPSCEA.

438 **Consent for publication**

439 Not applicable.

440 Availability of data and materials

441 The data was submitted to the GEO database with accession number GSE136652

442 **Competing interests**

443 None of the authors had a conflict of interest to declare

444 Funding

- 445 This study was supported by "National Innovations in Climate Resilient Agriculture (NICRA) -
- 446 Identification of unique factors in indigenous livestock making them resilient to climate change

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.09.031153; this version posted July 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

in relation to diseases" for the funds to carryout Sampling, Wet Lab experiments and for theprocurement of License of IPA.

449 Authors' contributions

- 450 AKT and RG conceived and designed the research. SG, SmS, AS, AV, VV, PK, ShS and GS
- 451 conducted the wet lab work. RINK, ARS, NH, WAM, MRP, SK, AP and RG analyzed the data.

452 RINK, ARS, MRP, RG, AS and GS helped in manuscript drafting and editing. AKT and RG

453 proofread the manuscript

454 Acknowledgements

- 455 We also thank Department of Biotechnology, Govt of India for providing fellowship and
- 456 contingency for RK (DBT Fellow No. DBT/2017/IVRI/768), ARS (DBT Fellow No.
- 457 DBT/2014/IVRI/170) and WAM (DBT Fellow No. DBT/2017/IVRI/769).

458 **References**

- 4591Mehla, K. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of the heat stress response in Zebu (Sahiwal) cattle. *Gene*460**533**, 500-507 (2014).
- 461
 2
 Armstrong, D. V. Heat stress interaction with shade and cooling. J Dairy Sci 77, 2044-2050,
 462
 doi:10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(94)77149-6 (1994).
- 4633Rojas-Downing, M. M., Nejadhashemi, A. P., Harrigan, T. & Woznicki, S. A. Climate change and464livestock: Impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. Climate Risk Management 16, 145-163 (2017).
- 4654Hahn, G. Dynamic responses of cattle to thermal heat loads. Journal of animal science 77, 10-20466(1999).
- 4675Dash, S. *et al.* Effect of heat stress on reproductive performances of dairy cattle and buffaloes: A468review. Veterinary world 9, 235 (2016).
- 4696Renaudeau, D. et al. Adaptation to hot climate and strategies to alleviate heat stress in livestock470production. Animal 6, 707-728 (2012).
- 4717Berman, A. Invited review: Are adaptations present to support dairy cattle productivity in warm472climates? Journal of Dairy Science 94, 2147-2158 (2011).
- 4738Rashamol, V. P. et al. Physiological adaptability of livestock to heat stress: an updated review. J474Anim Behav Biometeorol 6, 62-71 (2018).
- 475 9 Davis, S. R., Spelman, R. J. & Littlejohn, M. D. BREEDING AND GENETICS SYMPOSIUM:Breeding
 476 heat tolerant dairy cattle: the case for introgression of the "slick" prolactin receptor variant into
 477 dairy breeds. J Anim Sci 95, 1788-1800, doi:10.2527/jas.2016.0956 (2017).
- 47810Mcmanus, C. M., Louvandini, H., Paim, T. P., Silva, F. C. P. e. & Bernal, F. E. M. Factors affecting479heat tolerance in crossbred cattle in central Brazil. *Ciência Animal Brasileira* **15**, 152-158 (2014).
- 48011Carabano, M. J., Ramon, M., Menendez-Buxadera, A., Molina, A. & Diaz, C. Selecting for heat
tolerance. Anim Front **9**, 62-68, doi:10.1093/af/vfy033 (2019).
- 48212Aritonang, S., Yuniati, R., Abinawanto, Imron, M. & Bowolaksono, A. in AIP Conference483Proceedings. 030098 (AIP Publishing).

48413Cardoso, C. et al. Physiological and thermographic response to heat stress in zebu cattle.485Livestock Science 182, 83-92 (2015).

- 48614Valente, É. E. L. *et al.* Intake, physiological parameters and behavior of Angus and Nellore bulls487subjected to heat stress. Semina: Ciências Agrárias **36**, 4565-4574 (2015).
- Sodhi, M., Mukesh, M., Prakash, B., Ahlawat, S. & Sobti, R. Microsatellite DNA typing for
 assessment of genetic variability in Tharparkar breed of Indian zebu (Bos indicus) cattle, a major
 breed of Rajasthan. *Journal of genetics* 85, 165-170 (2006).
- Rachagani, S., Gupta, I. D., Gupta, N. & Gupta, S. Genotyping of β-Lactoglobulin gene by PCR RFLP in Sahiwal and Tharparkar cattle breeds. *BMC genetics* 7, 31 (2006).
- 493 17 Choudhary, S. *et al.* Tharparkar: The pride of desert. *Journal of entomology and zoology studies*494 6, 1915-1919 (2018).
- 49518Ahmad, S. F. *et al.* Revelation of genomic breed composition in a crossbred cattle of India with496the help of Bovine50K BeadChip. *Genomics* **112**, 1531-1535, doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.08.025497(2020).
- 49819Salleh, M. et al. RNA-Seq transcriptomics and pathway analyses reveal potential regulatory499genes and molecular mechanisms in high-and low-residual feed intake in Nordic dairy cattle.500BMC genomics 18, 258 (2017).
- 50120Cánovas, A., Rincon, G., Islas-Trejo, A., Wickramasinghe, S. & Medrano, J. F. SNP discovery in the502bovine milk transcriptome using RNA-Seq technology. Mammalian genome **21**, 592-598 (2010).
- 50321Mortazavi, A., Williams, B. A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L. & Wold, B. Mapping and quantifying504mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nature methods 5, 621 (2008).
- 50522Wang, Z., Gerstein, M. & Snyder, M. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nature506reviews genetics 10, 57 (2009).
- 50723Wani, S. A. *et al.* Contrasting gene expression profiles of monocytes and lymphocytes from508Peste-des-petits-ruminants virus infected goats. *Frontiers in Immunology* **10** (2019).
- 50924Manjunath, S. *et al.* Comparative and temporal transcriptome analysis of peste des petits510ruminants virus infected goat peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Virus research 229, 28-40511(2017).
- 51225Li, P., Piao, Y., Shon, H. S. & Ryu, K. H. Comparing the normalization methods for the differential513analysis of Illumina high-throughput RNA-Seq data. BMC bioinformatics 16, 347 (2015).
- 51426Khanduri, A. *et al.* Dysregulated miRNAome and proteome of PPRV infected goat PBMCs reveal a515coordinated immune response. *Frontiers in immunology* **9**, 2631 (2018).
- 51627Pandey, A. et al. Modulation of host miRNAs transcriptome in lung and spleen of Peste des517Petits ruminants virus infected sheep and goats. Frontiers in microbiology 8, 1146 (2017).
- 51828O'Loughlin, A. et al. Transcriptomic analysis of the stress response to weaning at housing in519bovine leukocytes using RNA-seq technology. BMC genomics 13, 250 (2012).
- 52029Salama, A. et al. Different levels of response to heat stress in dairy goats. Small Ruminant521Research 121, 73-79 (2014).
- 52230Dangi, S. S. et al. Modulatory effect of betaine on expression dynamics of HSPs during heat523stress acclimation in goat (Capra hircus). Gene 575, 543-550 (2016).
- 524 31 Garrett, A. *et al.* Short-term heat acclimation is effective and may be enhanced rather than 525 impaired by dehydration. *American journal of human biology* **26**, 311-320 (2014).
- 52632He, X. & Zhang, J. Why do hubs tend to be essential in protein networks? *PLoS Genet* 2, e88,527doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020088 (2006).
- 52833Polsky, L. & von Keyserlingk, M. A. Invited review: Effects of heat stress on dairy cattle welfare.529Journal of dairy science 100, 8645-8657 (2017).

- 53034Ross, J. et al. Physiological consequences of heat stress in pigs. Animal Production Science 55,5311381-1390 (2015).
- 53235Mayorga, E. J., Renaudeau, D., Ramirez, B. C., Ross, J. W. & Baumgard, L. H. Heat stress533adaptations in pigs. Animal Frontiers 9, 54-61 (2018).
- 53436Blackshaw, J. K. & Blackshaw, A. Heat stress in cattle and the effect of shade on production and535behaviour: a review. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture **34**, 285-295 (1994).
- 53637Beede, D. & Collier, R. Potential nutritional strategies for intensively managed cattle during537thermal stress. Journal of Animal Science 62, 543-554 (1986).
- 538 38 Ganaie, A. *et al.* Biochemical and physiological changes during thermal stress in bovines: A 539 review. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science* **3**, 423-430 (2013).
- 54039Hansen, P. Physiological and cellular adaptations of zebu cattle to thermal stress. Animal541reproduction science 82, 349-360 (2004).
- 54240Magdub, A., Johnson, H. & Belyea, R. Effect of environmental heat and dietary fiber on thyroid543physiology of lactating cows. Journal of Dairy Science 65, 2323-2331 (1982).
- 54441Williamson, R., Misson, B. & Davison, T. The effect of exposure to 40 on the heat production and
the serum concentrations of triiodothyronine, thyroxine, and corticosterone in immature
domestic fowl. *General and comparative endocrinology* **60**, 178-186 (1985).
- 54742Rajesh, K. et al. Phosphorylation of the translation initiation factor elF2alpha at serine 51548determines the cell fate decisions of Akt in response to oxidative stress. Cell Death Dis 6, e1591,549doi:10.1038/cddis.2014.554 (2015).
- 55043Zhang, W. & Liu, H. T. MAPK signal pathways in the regulation of cell proliferation in mammalian551cells. Cell Res 12, 9-18, doi:10.1038/sj.cr.7290105 (2002).
- 55244Shiota, M., Yokomizo, A. & Naito, S. Pro-survival and anti-apoptotic properties of androgen553receptor signaling by oxidative stress promote treatment resistance in prostate cancer. Endocr554Relat Cancer 19, R243-253, doi:10.1530/ERC-12-0232 (2012).
- 55545Lacoste, A., De Cian, M. C., Cueff, A. & Poulet, S. A. Noradrenaline and alpha-adrenergic signaling556induce the hsp70 gene promoter in mollusc immune cells. J Cell Sci 114, 3557-3564 (2001).
- 55746Xian, D. et al. Emerging Roles of Redox-Mediated Angiogenesis and Oxidative Stress in558Dermatoses. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2019, 2304018, doi:10.1155/2019/2304018 (2019).
- 55947Zhao, X. et al. Overexpression of Cardiomyocyte alpha1A-Adrenergic Receptors Attenuates560Postinfarct Remodeling by Inducing Angiogenesis Through Heterocellular Signaling. Arterioscler561Thromb Vasc Biol **35**, 2451-2459, doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.115.305919 (2015).
- 56248Stupack, D. G. & Cheresh, D. A. Get a ligand, get a life: integrins, signaling and cell survival. J Cell563Sci 115, 3729-3738, doi:10.1242/jcs.00071 (2002).
- 56449Reddy, E. P., Korapati, A., Chaturvedi, P. & Rane, S. IL-3 signaling and the role of Src kinases, JAKs565and STATs: a covert liaison unveiled. Oncogene 19, 2532-2547, doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1203594566(2000).
- 56750Young, B., Purcell, C., Kuang, Y. Q., Charette, N. & Dupre, D. J. Superoxide Dismutase 1568Regulation of CXCR4-Mediated Signaling in Prostate Cancer Cells is Dependent on Cellular569Oxidative State. Cell Physiol Biochem 37, 2071-2084, doi:10.1159/000438566 (2015).
- 57051Bai, X. C. et al. Phospholipase C-gamma1 is required for survival in heat stress: involvement of571protein kinase C-dependent Bcl-2 phosphorylation. J Biochem 131, 207-212,572doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a003089 (2002).
- 57352Parsell, D. & Lindquist, S. The function of heat-shock proteins in stress tolerance: degradation574and reactivation of damaged proteins. Annual review of genetics 27, 437-496 (1993).
- 57553Rout, P., Kaushik, R. & Ramachandran, N. Differential expression pattern of heat shock protein57670 gene in tissues and heat stress phenotypes in goats during peak heat stress period. *Cell Stress*577and Chaperones **21**, 645-651 (2016).

578 54 Westerheide, S. D., Anckar, J., Stevens, S. M., Sistonen, L. & Morimoto, R. I. Stress-inducible 579 regulation of heat shock factor 1 by the deacetylase SIRT1. *Science* **323**, 1063-1066 (2009).

580 55 Prodromou, C. Mechanisms of Hsp90 regulation. *Biochemical Journal* **473**, 2439-2452 (2016).

58156Holmberg, C. I. *et al.* Phosphorylation of serine 230 promotes inducible transcriptional activity of582heat shock factor 1. *The EMBO journal* **20**, 3800-3810 (2001).

- 583 57 Wang, X., Grammatikakis, N., Siganou, A. & Calderwood, S. K. Regulation of molecular
 584 chaperone gene transcription involves the serine phosphorylation, 14-3-3ε binding, and
 585 cytoplasmic sequestration of heat shock factor 1. *Molecular and cellular biology* 23, 6013-6026
 586 (2003).
- 587 58 Ryu, K. Y. *et al.* The mouse polyubiquitin gene UbC is essential for fetal liver development, cell-588 cycle progression and stress tolerance. *The EMBO journal* **26**, 2693-2706 (2007).
- 58959Lyzenga, W. J. & Stone, S. L. Abiotic stress tolerance mediated by protein ubiquitination. Journal590of experimental botany 63, 599-616 (2011).

59160Sulistio, Y. A. & Heese, K. The ubiquitin-proteasome system and molecular chaperone592deregulation in Alzheimer's disease. Molecular neurobiology 53, 905-931 (2016).

593 61 Fernández-Fernández, M. R., Gragera, M., Ochoa-Ibarrola, L., Quintana-Gallardo, L. & Valpuesta,

- 594 J. M. Hsp70–a master regulator in protein degradation. *FEBS letters* **591**, 2648-2660 (2017).
- 59562Pabarcus, M. K. *et al.* CYP3A4 ubiquitination by gp78 (the tumor autocrine motility factor596receptor, AMFR) and CHIP E3 ligases. Archives of biochemistry and biophysics 483, 66-74 (2009).
- 59763David, Y., Ziv, T., Admon, A. & Navon, A. The E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes direct598polyubiquitination to preferred lysines. Journal of Biological Chemistry 285, 8595-8604 (2010).
- 59964Sheng, Y. et al. A human ubiquitin conjugating enzyme (E2)-HECT E3 ligase structure-function600screen. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics **11**, 329-341 (2012).
- 60165Kaiser, P. et al. A human ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme homologous to yeast UBC8. Journal of602Biological Chemistry 269, 8797-8802 (1994).
- 60366Li, M. et al. Deubiquitination of p53 by HAUSP is an important pathway for p53 stabilization.604Nature **416**, 648 (2002).
- 60567Zhao, Y. et al. Noncanonical regulation of alkylation damage resistance by the OTUD4606deubiquitinase. The EMBO journal 34, 1687-1703 (2015).
- 60768Kadowaki, H. *et al.* Pre-emptive quality control protects the ER from protein overload via the608proximity of ERAD components and SRP. *Cell reports* **13**, 944-956 (2015).
- 60969Hori, O. *et al.* Deletion of SERP1/RAMP4, a component of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)610translocation sites, leads to ER stress. *Molecular and cellular biology* **26**, 4257-4267 (2006).
- 61170Nauseef, W. M., McCormick, S. J. & Clark, R. A. Calreticulin functions as a molecular chaperone612in the biosynthesis of myeloperoxidase. Journal of Biological Chemistry 270, 4741-4747 (1995).
- 613 71 O'Connor, L. *et al.* Bim: a novel member of the Bcl-2 family that promotes apoptosis. *The EMBO* 614 *journal* **17**, 384-395 (1998).
- 61572Marani, M., Tenev, T., Hancock, D., Downward, J. & Lemoine, N. R. Identification of novel616isoforms of the BH3 domain protein Bim which directly activate Bax to trigger apoptosis.617Molecular and cellular biology 22, 3577-3589 (2002).
- 61873Muneta, Y., Shimoji, Y., Inumaru, S. & Mori, Y. Molecular cloning, characterization, and619expression of porcine Fas ligand (CD95 Ligand). Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research 21,620305-312 (2001).
- Ruiz-García, R. *et al.* Decreased activation-induced cell death by EBV-transformed B-cells from a
 patient with autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome caused by a novel FASLG mutation.
 Pediatric research 78, 603 (2015).

62475Nicholson, D. W. *et al.* Identification and inhibition of the ICE/CED-3 protease necessary for625mammalian apoptosis. *Nature* **376**, 37 (1995).

- 62676Dai, R., Frejtag, W., He, B., Zhang, Y. & Mivechi, N. F. c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase targeting and
phosphorylation of heat shock factor-1 suppress its transcriptional activity. *Journal of Biological*
628628*Chemistry* 275, 18210-18218 (2000).
- 629 77 Cao, W.-X. *et al.* MLKL mediates apoptosis via a mutual regulation with PERK/elF2α pathway in
 630 response to reactive oxygen species generation. *Apoptosis* 23, 521-531 (2018).
- Ku, X.-X. *et al.* PTEN inhibits cell proliferation, promotes cell apoptosis, and induces cell cycle
 arrest via downregulating the PI3K/AKT/hTERT pathway in lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells. *BioMed research international* **2016** (2016).
- 634 79 Chen, C.-Y., Chen, J., He, L. & Stiles, B. L. PTEN: tumor suppressor and metabolic regulator. 635 *Frontiers in endocrinology* **9** (2018).
- 63680Lee, Y. S. et al. Dysregulation of adipose glutathione peroxidase 3 in obesity contributes to local637and systemic oxidative stress. Molecular Endocrinology 22, 2176-2189 (2008).
- 63881Bierl, C., Voetsch, B., Jin, R. C., Handy, D. E. & Loscalzo, J. Determinants of human plasma639glutathione peroxidase (GPx-3) expression. Journal of Biological Chemistry 279, 26839-26845640(2004).
- 64182Ighodaro, O. & Akinloye, O. First line defence antioxidants-superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase642(CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX): Their fundamental role in the entire antioxidant643defence grid. Alexandria Journal of Medicine 54, 287-293 (2018).
- 64483Tsuji, K., Copeland, N., Jenkins, N. & Obinata, M. Mammalian antioxidant protein complements645alkylhydroperoxide reductase (ahpC) mutation in Escherichia coli. *Biochemical Journal* **307**, 377-646381 (1995).
- 64784Yamashita, H. et al. Characterization of human and murine PMP20 peroxisomal proteins that648exhibit antioxidant activity in vitro. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274, 29897-29904 (1999).
- 64985Knoops, B. et al. Cloning and characterization of AOEB166, a novel mammalian antioxidant650enzyme of the peroxiredoxin family. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274, 30451-30458 (1999).
- 65186Kang, S. W., Baines, I. C. & Rhee, S. G. Characterization of a mammalian peroxiredoxin that652contains one conserved cysteine. Journal of Biological Chemistry 273, 6303-6311 (1998).
- 65387Chen, J.-W., Dodia, C., Feinstein, S. I., Jain, M. K. & Fisher, A. B. 1-Cys peroxiredoxin, a654bifunctional enzyme with glutathione peroxidase and phospholipase A2 activities. Journal of655Biological Chemistry 275, 28421-28427 (2000).
- 65688Schmieder, R. & Edwards, R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets.657Bioinformatics 27, 863-864 (2011).
- 658 89 Cunningham, F. et al. Ensembl 2015. Nucleic acids research 43, D662-D669 (2014).
- 659 90 Li, B. & Dewey, C. N. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or 660 without a reference genome. *BMC bioinformatics* **12**, 323 (2011).
- 66191Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods 9,662357 (2012).
- 66392Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential664expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139-140 (2010).
- Reimand, J., Kull, M., Peterson, H., Hansen, J. & Vilo, J. g: Profiler—a web-based toolset for
 functional profiling of gene lists from large-scale experiments. *Nucleic acids research* 35, W193W200 (2007).
- 668 94 Reimand, J. *et al.* g: Profiler—a web server for functional interpretation of gene lists (2016 update). *Nucleic acids research* **44**, W83-W89 (2016).

67095Gu, Z. et al. Heat stress induced apoptosis is triggered by transcription-independent p53, Ca 2+671dyshomeostasis and the subsequent Bax mitochondrial translocation. Scientific reports 5, 11497672(2015).

- 673 96 Li, L. *et al.* Heat stress induces apoptosis through a Ca2+-mediated mitochondrial apoptotic 674 pathway in human umbilical vein endothelial cells. *PloS one* **9**, e111083 (2014).
- 67597Kelly, S. M., VanSlyke, J. K. & Musil, L. S. Regulation of Ubiquitin-Proteasome System-mediated676Degradation by Cytosolic Stress. *Molecular biology of the cell* **18**, 4279-4291 (2007).
- 677 98 Flick, K. & Kaiser, P. in Seminars in cell & developmental biology. 515-522 (Elsevier).
- 678 99 Homma, T. & Fujii, J. Heat stress promotes the down-regulation of IRE1α in cells: An atypical 679 modulation of the UPR pathway. *Experimental cell research* **349**, 128-138 (2016).
- 680100Belhadj Slimen, I. et al. Reactive oxygen species, heat stress and oxidative-induced681mitochondrial damage. A review. International journal of hyperthermia **30**, 513-523 (2014).
- 101 Yang, L., Tan, G.-Y., Fu, Y.-Q., Feng, J.-H. & Zhang, M.-H. Effects of acute heat stress and subsequent stress removal on function of hepatic mitochondrial respiration, ROS production and lipid peroxidation in broiler chickens. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C:*101 Yang, L., Tan, G.-Y., Fu, Y.-Q., Feng, J.-H. & Zhang, M.-H. Effects of acute heat stress and subsequent stress removal on function of hepatic mitochondrial respiration, ROS production and lipid peroxidation in broiler chickens. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C:*105 Toxicology & Pharmacology 151, 204-208 (2010).
- 686102Fabregat, A. et al. The reactome pathway knowledgebase. Nucleic acids research 46, D649-D655687(2017).
- 528 103 Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* STRING v11: protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic acids research*690 47, D607-D613 (2018).
- 691104Shannon, P. et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular692interaction networks. Genome research 13, 2498-2504 (2003).
- 693105Krämer, A., Green, J., Pollard Jr, J. & Tugendreich, S. Causal analysis approaches in ingenuity694pathway analysis. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 523-530 (2013).
- 695106Schmittgen, T. D. & Livak, K. J. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C T method.696Nature protocols 3, 1101 (2008).
- 697
- 698

699

700 Legends

701 Figure 1: Overview of the work done : Two genetic groups (Tharparkar and Crossbred) of cattle 702 were exposed to a temperature of 42 °C for 7 days. Heat stress indicators - Respiration rate (RR), 703 Rectal temperature and T3 level before exposure to heat (0day - control group) and at 7th day of 704 exposure (treated) were measured to evaluate heat stress. At these time points, RNA was isolated 705 from PBMCs for high throughput sequencing. Transcriptome analysis was done to identify 706 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) under heat treatment in both genetic groups. Genes 707 involved in physiological processes (heat stress response, apoptosis, ubiquitination, unfolded 708 protein response and antioxidant level) that are commonly associated with heat stress were

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.09.031153; this version posted July 15, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

compared between the two genetic groups. Further, functional annotation of DEGs was doneusing IPA.

711 Figure 2: Respiration rate, Rectal Temperature and T3 level measured at 0 day (control) and 7

712 day post-heat exposure (treated) in Crossbred and Tharparkar (n=5) Levels sharing the same

superscript are not significantly (P > 0.05) different from each other.

714 **Figure 3**: Expression of DEGs in Crossbred and Tharparkar under heat stress: (a) Venn diagrams

showing unique/common DEGs between Crossbred and Tharparkar (b) Number of upregulated

and downregulated in both genetic groups (c) Contrast in the expression of common DEGs

Figure 4: Contrast in the expression of genes involved in heat stress response, apoptosis,
ubiquitination, unfolded protein response and balance in the production of ROS and antioxidants
between two genetic groups.

Figure 5 : Canonical pathways activated/inactivated in (a) Crossbred (b) Tharparkar under heat stress generated in the core analysis of Ingenuity pathway analysis tool. Orange color pathways are activated (Z > 2) and blue color pathways are inactivated (Z < -2). Height of the bar graphs indicates -log (p-value) and line graph showing the ratio of list genes found in each pathway over the total number of genes in that pathway.

Figure 6: Canonical pathways generated in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis of Oncostatin M signaling pathway of DEGs in (A) Crossbred, (B) Tharparkar. Genes that were upregulated are shown in red and downregulated in green. The intensity of red and green corresponds to an increase and decrease, respectively, in Log2 fold change. Genes in grey were not significantly dysregulated and those in white are not present in the dataset but have been incorporated in the network through the relationship with other molecules by IPA.

Figure 7: Canonical pathways generated in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis of PTEN signaling pathway of DEGs in (A) Crossbred, (B) Tharparkar. Genes that were upregulated are shown in red and downregulated in green. The intensity of red and green corresponds to an increase and decrease, respectively, in Log2 fold change. Genes in grey were not significantly dysregulated and those in white are not present in the dataset but have been incorporated in the network through the relationship with other molecules by IPA. 737 Figure 8: Predicted interplay of molecules that is underway during heat stress in both 738 groups : Heat stress causes unfolding of native proteins. HSP70 acts as a chaperone to facilitate 739 refolding to restore the structure of unfolded proteins. Under normal condition, HSP70 is bound 740 to HSF1 thereby preventing HSF1 to promote transcription of HSP70. Under heat stress ATP 741 binds to the HSP70 and HSF1 complex to release HSF1, promoting the binding of the unfolded 742 protein to HSP70 and ATP. CAMK2D that induces the transcription of HSP70 via HSF1 was 743 found downregulated in Tharparkar. GSK3A that inhibits the trimerization of HSF1 that is 744 needed for the induction of HSP70 expression was found upregulated in Tharparkar. The 745 decreased level of HSP70 in Crossbred makes it inevitable that such negative feedbacks would 746 further reduce its level and GSK3A was found downregulated and CAMK2D, upregulated. 747 Further, in Tharparkar, HSP70 tends to activate ubiquitination pathway to decrease the 748 accumulation of unfolded proteins that can't be refolded by it. This pathway activation is 749 supported by upregulation of E3 ligases (UBE2G1, UBE2S, and UBE2H) in Tharparkar. 750 However, the E3 ligase in Crossbred was found downregulated. With HSP70 being upregulated 751 and having cytoprotection activity, Tharparkar shows the decline in apoptosis as compared to 752 Crossbred. This is supported by downregulation of BCL2L11, FASLG, MLKL, CASP3, 753 MAPK8 and VIM in Tharparkar and vice-versa. Besides, higher expression of the antioxidants 754 (SOD, CAT, GPX) in Tharparkar enables it to cope up with higher levels of free radicals 755 generated as a result of heat stress while Crossbred is unable to do so. Green arrow indicates 756 downregulation and Maroon arrow indicates upregulation.

757

758

- 759
- 760
- 761
- 762











ic	oxi	dan	nts)	Apoptosis												Heat Shock G						
	CCS	CYCS	CAT	NUDT2	GPX3		VIM	XIAP	HMGB2	MAPK8	MLKL	CASP3	APC	TLR4	TICAM2	FASLG	BCL2L11		CAMK2D	HSPA8	HSPA4	HSPA1A	HSP90AB1	HSP90AA1











(a)

(b)





(a)

(b)

