Table 1. Correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) from Spearman's correlation analyses between ROls GMW (left, right putamen and pallidum) and Perception Action Cycle latency, Actions Per Minute, Hotkey Selects usage.

|  | Putamen L | Putamen R | Pallidum L | Pallidum R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAC latency Q1 | $r=-0.58, p=0.02$ | $r=-0.43, p=0.10$ | $r=-0.57, p=0.02$ | $r=0.54, p=0.03$ |
| PAC latency Q2 | $r=-0.34, p=0.20$ | $r=-0.12, p=0.66$ | $r=-0.33, p=0.21$ | $r=-0.25, p=0.35$ |
| PAC latency Q3 | $r=-0.20, p=0.46$ | $r=-0.10, p=0.72$ | $r=-0.26, p=0.34$ | $r=-0.22, p=0.41$ |
| PAC latency Q4 | $r=-0.21, p=0.43$ | $r=-0.19, p=0.49$ | $r=-0.30, p=0.26$ | $\mathrm{r}=-0.29, p=0.27$ |
| APM Q1 | $r=0.22, p=0.41$ | $r=0.12, p=0.65$ | $r=0.03, p=0.91$ | $r=-0.04, p=0.88$ |
| APM Q2 | $r=0.16, p=0.56$ | $r=-0.02, p=0.94$ | $r=-0.03, p=0.91$ | $r=-0.06, p=0.83$ |
| APM Q3 | $r=0.23, p=0.39$ | $r=0.09, p=0.75$ | $r=0.06, p=0.82$ | $r=-0.03, p=0.91$ |
| APM Q4 | $r=0.25, p=0.36$ | $r=0.09, p=0.74$ | $r=0.10, p=0.71$ | $r=0.06, p=0.82$ |
| HS Q1 | $r=-0.36, p=0.18$ | $r=-0.40, p=0.13$ | $r=-0.29, p=0.28$ | $r=-0.39, p=0.13$ |
| HS Q2 | $r=-0.27, p=0.31$ | $r=-0.24, p=0.38$ | $r=-0.14, p=0.59$ | $r=-0.27, p=0.32$ |
| HS Q3 | $r=-0.28, p=0.28$ | $r=-0.31, p=0.25$ | $r=-0.20, p=0.45$ | $r=-0.33, p=0.21$ |
| HS Q4 | $r=-0.18, p=0.51$ | $r=-0.18, p=0.51$ | $r=-0.07, p=0.79$ | $r=-0.21, p=0.44$ |

[^0]
[^0]:    *Significant results are bolded, uncorrected for multiple comparisons (false discovery rate). PAC - Perception Action Cycle, APM - Action Per Minute, HS - Hotkey Selects, Q - quartile, L - left, R - right

