Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page 1|

1 Title: An accessible, efficient and global approach for the large-scale

2 sequencing of bacterial genomes

- 3 Blanca M. Perez-Sepulveda^{1*#}, Darren Heavens^{2*}, Caisey V. Pulford^{1*}, Alexander V. Predeus¹,
- 4 Ross Low², Hermione Webster¹, Christian Schudoma², Will Rowe^{1,6}, James Lipscombe², Chris
- 5 Watkins², Benjamin Kumwenda⁷, Neil Shearer², Karl Costigan¹, Kate S. Baker¹, Nicholas A.
- 6 Feasey^{3,4}, Jay C. D. Hinton^{1#}, Neil Hall^{2,5#} & The 10KSG consortium[‡]
- 7 ¹Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
- 8 ²Earlham Institute, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK
- 9 ³Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool, UK
- 10 ⁴Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Programme, Blantyre, Malawi
- ⁵School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
- 12 ⁶University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- 13 ⁷College of Medicine, University of Malawi, Blantyre, Malawi
- 14 [‡]The 10KSG consortium names and affiliations are listed at the end of this article
- 15 *These authors contributed equally
- 16 [#]For correspondence:
- 17 E-mail: <u>blanca.m.perez@gmail.com</u>
- 18 E-mail: neil.hall@earlham.ac.uk
- 19 E-mail: jay.hinton@liverpool.ac.uk

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page 2|

20 Abstract

21 We have developed an efficient and inexpensive pipeline for streamlining large-scale 22 collection and genome sequencing of bacterial isolates. Evaluation of this method involved a 23 worldwide research collaboration focused on the model organism Salmonella enterica, the 24 10KSG consortium. By optimising a logistics pipeline that collected isolates as thermolysates, 25 permitting shipment in ambient conditions, the project assembled a diverse collection of 26 10.419 clinical and environmental isolates from low- and middle-income countries in less than 27 one year. The bacteria were obtained from 51 countries/territories dating from 1949 to 2017, 28 with a focus on Africa and Latin-America. All isolates were collected in barcoded tubes and 29 genome sequenced using an optimised DNA extraction method and the LITE pipeline for 30 library construction. After Illumina sequencing, the total reagent cost was less than USD\$10 31 per genome. Our method can be applied to genome-sequence other large bacterial collections 32 at a relatively low cost, within a limited timeframe, to support global collaborations.

33 Introduction

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) is an important tool that has revolutionised our understanding of bacterial disease over the past decade^{1–4}. Recognising the immense advantages that WGS data provides for surveillance, functional genomics and population dynamics, both public health and research communities have adopted genome-based approaches.

Until recently, large-scale bacterial genome projects could only be performed in a handful of sequencing centres around the world. Here, we aimed to make this technology accessible to bacterial laboratories worldwide. The high demand for sequencing human genomes has driven down the costs of sequencing reagents to below USD\$1,000 per sample^{5–7}. However, the genome sequencing of thousands of microorganisms has remained expensive due to costs associated with sample transportation and library construction.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

45 The number of projects focused on sequencing the genomes of collections of key pathogens has increased markedly over recent years. Whilst the first Vibrio cholerae next-generation 46 WGS study was based on 23 genomes⁸, a recent study involved 1,070 isolates from 45 African 47 countries⁹ and identified the origin of the most recent cholera pandemic. Mycobacterium 48 49 tuberculosis, another major human pathogen, was originally sequenced on the 100-isolate scale in 2010¹⁰, whilst recent publications used 3,651¹¹ or 10,209¹² genomes to evaluate the 50 accuracy of antibiotic resistance prediction. Other successful large-scale next-generation 51 52 WGS projects for pathogens include Salmonella, Shigella, Staphylococcus, and pneumococcus (Streptococcus pneumoniae)^{13–16}. 53

54 One of the most significant challenges facing scientific researchers in low- and middle-income 55 (LMI) countries is the streamlining of surveillance with scientific collaborations. For a 56 combination of reasons, the regions associated with the greatest burden of severe bacterial disease have inadequate access to WGS technology and usually have to rely on expensive 57 and bureaucratic processes for sample transport and sequencing. This has prevented the 58 adoption of large-scale genome sequencing and analysis of bacterial pathogens for public 59 health and surveillance in LMI countries¹⁷. Here, we have established an efficient and relatively 60 61 inexpensive pipeline for the worldwide collection and sequencing of bacterial genomes. To 62 evaluate our pipeline, we used the model organism Salmonella enterica, a pathogen with a global significance¹⁸. 63

64 Non-typhoidal Salmonella (NTS) are widely associated with enterocolitis in humans, a 65 zoonotic disease that is linked to the industrialisation of food production. Because of the scale 66 of human cases of enterocolitis and concerns related to food safety, more genome sequences 67 have been generated for Salmonella than for any other genus. The number of publicaly 68 available sequenced Salmonella genomes will soon reach 300,000¹⁹, and are available from 69 public repositories the European Nucleotide Archive several such as (ENA, 70 https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena), Sequence Read Archive (SRA, the

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra), and Enterobase
(https://enterobase.warwick.ac.uk/species/index/senterica). However, there has been limited
genome-based surveillance of foodborne infections in LMI countries, and the available
genomic dataset does not accurately represent the *Salmonella* pathogens that are currently
causing disease across the world.

In recent years, new lineages of NTS serovars Typhimurium and Enteritidis have been recognised as common causes of invasive bloodstream infections (iNTS disease), responsible for about 77,000 deaths per year worldwide²⁰. Approximately 80% of deaths due to iNTS disease occurs in sub-Saharan Africa, where iNTS disease has become endemic²¹. The new *Salmonella* lineages responsible for bloodstream infections of immunocompromised individuals are characterised by genomic degradation, altered prophage repertoires and novel multidrug resistant plasmids^{22,23}.

83 We saw a need to simplify and expand genome-based surveillance of salmonellae from Africa 84 and other parts of the world, involving isolates associated with invasive disease and 85 gastroenteritis in humans, and extended to bacteria derived from animals and the environment. We optimised a pipeline for streamlining the large-scale collection and 86 87 sequencing of samples from LMI countries with the aim of facilitating access to WGS and 88 worldwide collaboration. Our pipeline represents a relatively inexpensive and robust tool for 89 the generation of bacterial genomic data from LMI countries, allowing investigation of the 90 epidemiology, drug resistance and virulence factors of isolates.

91 Results

92 **Development of an optimised logistics pipeline**

The "10,000 Salmonella genomes" (10KSG; <u>https://10k-salmonella-genomes.com/</u>) is a global
 consortium that includes collaborators from 25 institutions and a variety of settings, including
 research and reference laboratories across 16 countries. Limited funding resources prompted

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page 5|

- 96 us to design an approach that ensured accurate sample tracking and captured comprehensive
- 97 metadata for individual bacterial isolates whilst minimising costs for the consortium. A key
- 98 driver was to assemble a set of genomic data that would be as informative and robust as
- 99 possible.



Fig. 1 Summary of the geographical origin, timeline and body site source of 10,419 bacterial isolates.

The 10,419 isolates were collected from 53 countries/territories spanning 5 continents (America, Africa, Asia, Europe, & Oceania), with most isolates originating from Africa (56%) and America (26%). The samples were mostly of human origin (86%), of which 52% were blood isolates, 41% were stool isolates, and 7% from other body compartments. About 5% samples originated from environmental sources, 6% were of animal origin, and 3% unknown. The bacterial pathogens were isolated over a 68-year time period, from 1949 to 2017. The majority of samples were isolated after 1990.

100 Members of the 10KSG provided access to 10,419 bacterial isolates from collections that 101 spanned 51 LMI countries and regions (such as Reunion Island, an overseas department and

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

region of the French Republic). We optimised the logistics of specimen collection and the
transport of materials to the sequencing centre in the UK. The standardised protocols for
metadata and sample submission were coordinated in three different languages (English,
French and Spanish), which facilitated collaboration across several countries (Fig. 1).

106 A crucial criterion for inclusion of Salmonella isolates in this study was the availability of 107 detailed metadata and phenotypic information, to maximise the insights that could be 108 generated from bacterial genomics. We created a standardised metadata table for input of 109 relevant parameters. The metadata template was divided into categories, including unique 110 sample identifier, date of isolation, geographical location, source niche (human, animal or 111 environmental isolate) & type (body compartment). We also collected data regarding the 112 antimicrobial susceptibility of isolates, and captured additional information related to individual 113 studies. We created a unified metadata master-form (Supplementary Table 1) by manual 114 concatenation and curation of individual metadata forms.

115 Development of thermolysates and sample collection

116 The main challenges for the global collection of bacterial samples are temperature-control and 117 biological safety during transport. As refrigerated logistic chains are expensive, shipments 118 should be at ambient temperature to minimise costs. To ensure biosafety, it was important to 119 avoid the accidental transport of hazard group three (HG3) isolates (e.g., S. Typhi and S. 120 Paratyphi A)²⁴. Accordingly, we optimised a protocol for production of "thermolysates" that 121 inactivated bacterial cells and permitted ambient temperature transport and adherence to 122 containment level two (CL2) laboratory regulations, coupled with effective genomic DNA 123 extraction for WGS (Supplementary Table 2). Inactivation of Salmonella can be achieved at temperatures between 55°C to 70°C for as little as 15 s at high temperature (\geq 95°C)²⁵. We 124 125 optimised the method for generation of "thermolysates" by inactivating bacterial cultures at 126 high temperature (95°C for 20 min). The optimisation involved testing under three different 127 temperatures (90°C, 95°C or 100°C) and different incubation times (10 and 20 min). We also

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

tested the effective inactivation of other non-Salmonella Gram-positive (Staphylococcus
 aureus) and Gram-negative (Escherichia coli) organisms (Supplementary Table 2).

Temperature is a key factor in the transportation of samples, especially in some LMI countries where dry ice is expensive and difficult to source, and access to international courier companies is limited or very costly. To allow transport without refrigeration, we tested the stability of the resulting thermolysates at room temperature for more than seven days by controlling the quality of extracted DNA (Supplementary Table 2). Minimising the steps required for sample collection allowed us to reach collaborators with limited access to facilities and personnel.

We collected samples using screwed-cap barcoded tubes (FluidX tri-coded jacket 0.7 mL, Brooks Life Sciences, 68-0702-11) costing USD\$0.23 each, which we distributed from the UK to collaborators worldwide. Individually barcoded tubes were organised in FluidX plates in a 96-well format, each with their own barcode. Both QR codes and human-readable barcodes were included on each tube to ensure that the correct samples were always sequenced, and to permit the replacement of individual tubes when required.

143 All isolates were obtained in compliance with the Nagoya protocol²⁶. The combination of 144 method optimisation, development and distribution of easy-to-follow protocols in English and 145 Spanish (French was used only for communication), generating thermolysates and using 146 barcoded tubes, the process of collecting the bacterial isolates was completed within one year. 147 Barcoded tubes were distributed to collaborators, including an extra ~20% to permit 148 replacements as required. In total, 11,823 tubes were used in the study, of which 10,419 were 149 returned to the sequencing centre containing bacterial thermolysates for DNA extraction and 150 genome sequencing. A comprehensive list of isolates is available in Supplementary Table 3.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

To validate this approach for bacteria other than *Salmonella*, ~25% (2,573, 24.7%) of the samples were isolates from a variety of genera, including Gram-negatives such as *Shigella* and *Klebsiella*, and Gram-positives such as *Staphylococcus*.

154 DNA extraction, library construction, quality control and genome sequencing

155 Our high-throughput DNA extraction and library construction pipeline was designed to be 156 versatile, scalable and robust, capable of processing thousands of samples in a time and cost-157 efficient manner. The procedure included DNA extraction, quality control (QC), normalisation, 158 sequencing library construction, pooling, size selection and sequencing. The time taken for 159 each step, and the associated consumable cost, is shown in Table 1. All the parts of the 160 pipeline are scalable and can be run simultaneously with robots, allowing hundreds of samples 161 to be processed each day, in a 96-well format. With dedicated pre- and post-PCR robots, up 162 to 768 bacterial samples were processed each day. The total consumable cost for extraction of DNA and genome sequence generation was less than USD\$10 per sample (excluding staff 163 164 time). Given the high-throughput nature of this project, and the difficulty in optimising the 165 processes to account for every possible variation in DNA/library quality and quantity, this cost 166 includes a 20% contingency.

Activity	Processing time (h) ^a	Hands-on time (h)ª	Consumable cost (USD\$) ^{a,b}
DNA extraction	1	0.5	93.88
DNA QC and normalisation	1	0.5	136.44
Library Construction, QC, pooling and size selection	6	1	277.86
Sequencing ^c	85	1	459.35
Total	93 h	3 h	USD\$ 967.53

Table 1. Processing time and consumable costs for DNA extraction and sequencing.

^a Per 96 well plate

^b Converted from GBP (1 GBP = 1.25 USD)

^cBased on Illumina HiSeq4000 runs

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

167 In designing the DNA extraction pipeline, we anticipated that samples would contain a wide 168 range of DNA concentrations due to the different approaches by collaborators, some of whome 169 sent thermolysates and others extracted DNA. The DNA was isolated in a volume of 20 μ L, 170 and the total yield ranged from 0 to 2,170 ng (average of 272 ng). Less than 6% samples 171 contained less than 2.5 ng (Supplementary Fig. S1).

172 To facilitate large-scale low-cost whole-genome sequencing, we developed the LITE (Low Input, Transposase Enabled; Fig. 2) pipeline, a low-cost high-throughput library construction 173 174 protocol based on the Nextera kits (Illumina). Prior to LITE library construction, all DNA samples were normalised to 0.25 ng/µL unless the concentration was below that limit, in which 175 case samples remained undiluted. We calculated that given a bacterial genome size of 176 177 4.5 Mbp, 1 ng of DNA equated to over 200,000 bacterial genome copies. Hence the LITE 178 pipeline was optimised to work with inputs ranging from 0.25 to 2 ng DNA. As the ratio of DNA 179 to transposase enzyme determines the insert size of the libraries being constructed, this input 180 amount allowed us to minimise reagent use and reaction volumes. The LITE pipeline permitted 181 the construction of over 1,000 Illumina-compatible libraries from the 24-reaction Illumina kits, 182 Tagment DNA Enzyme (Illumina FC 15027865) and Illumina Tagment DNA Buffer (Illumina 183 FC 15027866).

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page **10**|



Fig. 2 LITE (Low Input, Transposase Enabled) pipeline for library construction.

The DNA was extracted using a protocol based on the MagAttract HMW DNA isolation kit (Qiagen). Library construction was performed by tagmentation using Nextera tagmentation kit, size selected on a BluePippin, and quantified using a High Sensitivity BioAnalyzer kit (Agilent) and Qubit dsDNA HS Assay (ThermoFisher). Genome sequencing of "super pools" was performed in a HiSeqTM 4000 (Illumina) system, and re-sequencing in NovaSeqTM 6000 (Illumina) when needed, both with a 2 x 150 bp paired ends read metric.

- To maximise the multiplexing capability for the LITE pipeline, we designed 438 bespoke 9-bp barcodes (Supplementary Table 4), each with a hamming distance of 4 bp, giving the option to pool over 190,000 samples or uniquely dual-index more than 200 samples. The 438 barcodes allowed multiplexing capability to be maximised, and a further reduction in costs as sequencer throughputs increase in the future.
- For this study we used 9-bp barcoded P7 PCR primers (Illumina) and employed twelve 6-bp
 barcoded P5 PCR primers (Illumina) when multiplexing 12 x 96-well plates on a HiSeq 4000

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

system (Illumina) and targeted a median 30x genome coverage. By using an input of only
0.5 ng DNA, combined with 14 PCR cycles consistently provided detectable amounts of library
across the majority of samples.

Quality control (QC) of the resulting LITE libraries involved a Perkin Elmer LabChip[®] GX Nucleic Acid Analyzer. The LITE libraries typically gave three different GX electropherogram profiles depending upon whether the DNA was high molecular weight, partially degraded or completely degraded (Supplementary Fig. S2). A wide range of electropherogram profiles and the resultant molarity of library molecules was expected at this point, due to the varied approaches used by collaborators to produce and transport samples.

Up to 12 of the 96 pooled and size-selected libraries were then combined and run on a single HiSeq 4000 system lane, with a 2 x 150 bp paired-end read metric. After the initial screen was completed, samples that failed to produce 30x genome coverage were re-sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 system, also with a 2 x 150 bp read metric. In total 1,525 (15.2%) of the 9,976 samples processed required re-sequenced, a proportion that was within the 20% contingency added to our unit cost.

206 Bioinformatic analysis and data provision

To complete our WGS approach, we developed and implemented a bespoke sequence analysis bioinformatic pipeline for the *Salmonella* samples included in the study. The full pipeline is available from <u>https://github.com/apredeus/10k_genomes</u>. Because the estimation of sequence identity and assembly quality is relatively species-independent, and annotation is strongly species-specific, the pipeline can be easily adapted to other bacterial species by changing quality control criteria and specifying relevant databases of known proteins.

Following DNA extraction, sequencing and re-sequencing, we generated sequence reads for
 9,976 (96.0%) samples, of which 7,236 were bioinformatically classified as *Salmonella enterica* using Kraken2 and Bracken^{27,28}. A small proportion of the samples (209 out of 9,976;

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

216 2.1%) had been wrongly identified as Salmonella prior to sequencing. The remaining samples 217 corresponded to 1,157 Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial isolates that were included 218 to validate the study. The 443 (4.3%, out of the 10.419 samples received) samples that did 219 not generate sequence reads reflected poor quality DNA extraction, due to either low biomass 220 input or partial cell lysis. Overall, the generation of sequence data from the vast majority of 221 samples demonstrated the robustness of the use of thermolysates coupled with the high-222 throughput LITE pipeline for processing thousands of samples from a variety of different 223 collaborating organisations.

To assess the quality of sequence data, we focused on the 7,236 (69.5%) genomes identified as *Salmonella enterica* (Fig. 3). To allow the bioinformatic analysis to be customisable for other datasets, we developed a robust quality control (QC) pipeline to do simple uniform processing of all samples, and to yield the maximum amount of reliable genomic information. Well-established software tools were used to assess species-level identity from raw reads, trim the reads, assess coverage and duplication rate, assemble genomes, and to make preliminary evaluation of antibiotic resistance and virulence potential.

Trimming abundant adapters from the reads produced by the LITE pipeline was critical for optimal genome assembly. Using Quast²⁹ and simple assembly metrics, we evaluated the performance of Trimmomatic³⁰ in palindrome mode with and without retention of singleton reads, compared with BBDuk (<u>https://igi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools</u>) in paired-end mode. BBDuk was selected for our analysis because this tool generated genomes with a higher N50, and a comparable number of mis-assemblies.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page **13**|



Fig. 3 The sequential quality control process used to select whole-genome sequences for detailed analysis.

Of the 10,419 isolates, 443 failed the DNA extraction or quality control prior to genome sequencing. We produced sequencing libraries of 9,975 samples, of which 1,366 were not bioinformatically-identified as *Salmonella enterica*. These 1,366 corresponded to 1,157 which were part of the 25% non-*Salmonella* component of the project, plus 209 isolates that had been mis-identified as *Salmonella* before sequencing. Of the 7,236 *Salmonella* genomes, 6,248 had sequence coverage over 10x, of which 5,833 passed the "stringent criteria". Of the 415 samples that failed the "stringent criteria", 284 samples were rescued based on a "clean up" (55) or a "relaxed criteria" (229). Overall, we generated 6,117 high-quality *Salmonella* genomes.

Genome assembly was performed using SPAdes³¹ via Unicycler³² in short-read mode. SPAdes is an established and widely-used tool for bacterial genome assembly, whilst Unicycler optimises SPAdes parameters and performs assembly polishing by mapping reads back to the assembled genomes. Genome assembly QC was done using the criteria established by the genome database EnteroBase³³. Specifically, these "stringent criteria" required: 1) total assembly length between 4 and 5.8 Mb, 2) N50 of 20 kb or more, 3) fewer than 600 contigs, and 4) more than 70% sequence reads assigned to the correct species.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Using this approach for *S. enterica*, 5,833 of the *Salmonella* genomes (80.6%) passed QC (Fig. 3).

246 To "rescue" all possible S. enterica in the remaining assemblies with coverage greater than 247 10x that failed the stringent QC, two approaches were used: "relaxed criteria" and "clean up". 248 The "relaxed criteria" accepted assemblies of 4 Mb to 5.8 Mb overall length, species-purity of 249 90% or more, N50 > 10kb, and fewer than 2,000 contigs. In contrast, the "clean up" approach 250 was used for assemblies that had < 70% Salmonella sequence reads using the "stringent 251 criteria". The raw reads of these samples were "cleaned" using Kraken2 & Bracken, with the 252 reads assigned to Salmonella being retained, and subjected to the "stringent criteria" for QC 253 detailed above. The assemblies rescued by these two approaches accounted for a further 254 3.9% (284) assemblies from our initial Salmonella collection. In total, we generated 6,117 high 255 quality S. enterica genomes, corresponding to 84.5% of the total Salmonella isolates 256 successfully sequenced through the LITE pipeline (Fig. 3 and 4).

Genome sequence data were shared with collaborators via downloadable packages hosted by the Centre of Genomic Research, University of Liverpool (UK). These packages included sequencing statistics, raw (untrimmed) fastq files of sequence reads, and the individual genome assemblies. We included the genome-derived *Salmonella* serovar and sequence type of each isolate (Fig. 4).

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG



Fig. 4 Genome-based summary of *Salmonella enterica* from African and American datasets, organised by continent, year of isolation, and serovar.

Of the 6,117 *Salmonella enterica* genomes that were successfully sequenced and that passed QC, 3,100 (50.7%) were from Africa and 2,313 (37.8%) were from America. Bubble size represents the number of genomes isolated between 1959 and 2017. The graphs represent the proportion of the main *Salmonella* serovars predicted based on genome analysis: 1,844 *S.* Typhimurium & 657 *S.* Enteritidis from Africa, and 474 *S.* Typhimurium & 676 *S.* Enteritidis from America.

262 Together with predicted sequence type and serovar, the genome-derived information was 263 provided to permit local surveillance laboratories and infectious disease clinicians to derive 264 important insights about the Salmonella variants circulating in their countries. The value of bacterial WGS data for generating epidemiological insights or understanding pathogen 265 evolution has been summarised recently¹⁹. All the processed sequence reads and assemblies 266 were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive under the project accession number 267 268 PRJEB35182 (ERP118197). Individual accession numbers are listed in Supplementary 269 Table 3.

270 Discussion

We have optimised an efficient and relatively inexpensive method for large-scale collection and sequencing of bacterial genomes, by streamlining the collection of isolates, and

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

developing a logistics pipeline that permitted ambient shipment of thermolysates. The global
focus of our study provided a diverse collection of 10,419 clinical and environmental bacterial
isolates for a single sequencing study within one year.

276 The effectiveness and accessibility of our approach allowed all samples to be collected in a 277 timely manner, and generated genomic data for LMI countries that lacked easy access to 278 sequencing technology. The novel optimised DNA extraction and sequencing LITE pipeline 279 allowed bacterial genomes to be generated at a consumables cost of USD\$10 per sample 280 (the full economic cost cannot be calculated because collaborator staff time was an in-kind 281 contribution). This optimised DNA extraction and sequencing pipeline, in conjunction with the 282 generation of thermolysates, provides a robust approach for global collaboration on the 283 genome-based mass surveillance of pathogens.

However, our approach did pose manual and logistical challenges. We propose that for future implementations of a similar approach for sequencing thousands of bacterial isolates, it is important to make an early investment in the development of a shared, protected and version controlled database to store epidemiological information, coupled with automated scripts to handle sequencing data, and a streamlined system for the sending and receiving of samples.

Our method is suitable for other large collections of Gram-negative or Gram-positive bacteria, and is designed to complete an academic genome sequencing project within a limited timeframe (one year). However, the LITE pipeline represents a compromise in terms of data quality to maximise economic value. It is important that all QC steps and the rigorous bioinformatic approach that we specify are followed to produce a reliable dataset, which in this case generated 84.5% high-quality genomes of the 7,236 successfully-sequenced *Salmonella* isolates (Fig. 3 and 4).

A key aspect of our methodology was the involvement of researchers fluent in multiple languages, to maximise clear communication and ensure access to countries across the

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

- world. The approach will be particularly relevant when rapid, low-cost, and collaborative
 genome sequencing of bacterial pathogens is required. Our concerted approach
 demonstrates the value of true global collaboration, offering potential for tackling international
- 301 epidemics or pandemics in the future.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page **18**|

302 References

- Loman, N. J. *et al.* High-throughput bacterial genome sequencing: an embarrassment of choice, a world of opportunity. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **10**, 599–606 (2012).
- 305 2. Goodwin, S., McPherson, J. D. & McCombie, W. R. Coming of age: ten years of next-306 generation sequencing technologies. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 333–351 (2016).
- Power, R. A., Parkhill, J. & De Oliveira, T. Microbial genome-wide association studies:
 lessons from human GWAS. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 18, 41–50 (2016).
- 309 4. Bentley, S. D. & Parkhill, J. Genomic perspectives on the evolution and spread of
 310 bacterial pathogens. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 282, 20150488 (2015).
- Loman, N. J. *et al.* Performance comparison of benchtop high-throughput sequencing
 platforms. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **30**, 434–439 (2012).
- Wetterstrand, K. A. DNA Sequencing Costs: Data from the NHGRI Genome
 Sequencing Program (GSP). Available at: https://www.genome.gov/about genomics/fact-sheets/DNA-Sequencing-Costs-Data. (Accessed: 27th November 2019)
- 316 7. Quainoo, S. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing of bacterial pathogens: The future of nosocomial outbreak analysis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **30**, 1015–1063 (2017).
- Chun, J. *et al.* Comparative genomics reveals mechanism for short-term and long-term clonal transitions in pandemic Vibrio cholerae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 15442–15447 (2009).
- Weill, F.X. *et al.* Genomic history of the seventh pandemic of cholera in Africa. *Science* (80-.). 358, 785–789 (2017).
- 10. Schürch, A. C. *et al.* High-resolution typing by integration of genome sequencing data in a large tuberculosis cluster. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **48**, 3403–3406 (2010).
- Walker, T. M. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing for prediction of Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug susceptibility and resistance: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **15**, 1193–1202 (2015).
- Allix-Béguec, C. *et al.* Prediction of susceptibility to first-line tuberculosis drugs by DNA sequencing. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **379**, 1403–1415 (2018).
- 13. Land, M. *et al.* Insights from 20 years of bacterial genome sequencing. *Funct. Integr.* 331 *Genomics* 15, 141–161 (2015).
- 14. Kwong, J. C., Mccallum, N., Sintchenko, V. & Howden, B. P. Whole genome sequencing
 in clinical and public health microbiology. *Pathology* 47, 199–210 (2015).
- Gladstone, R. A. *et al.* International genomic definition of pneumococcal lineages, to
 contextualise disease, antibiotic resistance and vaccine impact. *EBioMedicine* 43, 338–
 346 (2019).
- 33716.Bardsley, M. *et al.* Persistent transmission of shigellosis in England is associated with
a recently emerged multidrug-resistant strain of shigella sonnei. J. Clin. Microbiol. 58,
(2020).
- Black, A., MacCannell, D. R., Sibley, T. R. & Bedford, T. Ten recommendations for
 supporting open pathogen genomic analysis in public health. *Nat. Med.* (2020).
 doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0935-z
- 18. Kirk, M. D. *et al.* World Health Organization Estimates of the Global and Regional
 Disease Burden of 22 Foodborne Bacterial, Protozoal, and Viral Diseases, 2010: A
 Data Synthesis. *PLoS Med.* 12, 1–21 (2015).
- 346 19. Moustafa, A. M., Lal, A. & Planet, P. J. Comparative genomics in infectious disease.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

- 347 *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **53**, 61–70 (2020).
- 348 20. Stanaway, J. D. *et al.* The global burden of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* invasive disease:
 a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet Infect. Dis.*350 19, 1312–1324 (2019).
- Stanaway, J. D. *et al.* The global burden of typhoid and paratyphoid fevers: a systematic
 analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 19, 369–381
 (2019).
- 354 22. Okoro, C. K. *et al.* High-resolution single nucleotide polymorphism analysis
 355 distinguishes recrudescence and reinfection in recurrent invasive nontyphoidal
 356 salmonella typhimurium disease. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **54**, 955–963 (2012).
- Feasey, N. A. *et al.* Distinct *Salmonella* Enteritidis lineages associated with enterocolitis
 in high-income settings and invasive disease in low-income settings. *Nat. Genet.* 48,
 1211–7 (2016).
- Andrews, J. R. & Ryan, E. T. Diagnostics for invasive *Salmonella* infections: Current
 challenges and future directions. *Vaccine* 33, C8–C15 (2015).
- 362 25. Silva, F. V. M. & Gibbs, P. A. Thermal pasteurization requirements for the inactivation 363 of Salmonella in foods. *Food Res. Int.* **45**, 695–699 (2012).
- 364 26. Nagoya protocol on access to genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of
 365 benefits arising from their utilization to the convention on biological diversity.
 366 (Convention on Biological Diversity United Nations, United Nations Environmental
 367 Programme; www.cbd.int, 2011).
- Wood, D. E., Lu, J. & Langmead, B. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biol.* 20, 1–13 (2019).
- Lu, J., Breitwieser, F. P., Thielen, P. & Salzberg, S. L. Bracken: Estimating species abundance in metagenomics data. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* 2017, 1–17 (2017).
- 372 29. Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N. & Tesler, G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for
 373 genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 29, 1072–1075 (2013).
- 374 30. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
 375 sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
- 376 31. Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications
 377 to Single-Cell Sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19**, 455–477 (2012).
- Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Gorrie, C. L. & Holt, K. E. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 13, e1005595 (2017).
- 381 33. Alikhan, N.-F., Zhou, Z., Sergeant, M. J. & Achtman, M. A genomic overview of the 382 population structure of Salmonella. *PLoS Genet.* **14**, e1007261 (2018).

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page **20 |**

384 Acknowledgements

385 The project was supported by both a Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) data & 386 resources grant BBS/OS/GC/000009D and the BBSRC Core Capability Grant to the Earlham 387 Institute BB/CCG1720/1. Next-generation sequencing and library construction were delivered 388 via the BBSRC National Capability in Genomics and Single Cell (BB/CCG1720/1) at Earlham 389 Institute, by members of the Genomics Pipelines Group. Each of the following Earlham 390 Institute staff and alumni made an enormous contribution to the project: Dr Helen Chapman, 391 Mr. Jake Collins, and Miss Sophie Stephenson. This project was partly supported by the 392 Wellcome Trust Senior Investigator Award (106914/Z/15/Z) to Jay C. D. Hinton. We are 393 grateful to the Centre for Genomic Research, University of Liverpool (UK) for computing 394 support.

395 Author contributions

396 NH and JCDH conceived the idea and received funding. BPS wrote the manuscript. JCDH. 397 NH, CVP, AVP, DH, BK, KSB, WR and NAF contributed to manuscript writing and editing. The 398 10KSG consortium reviewed the manuscript. JCDH, NH, NAF, KSB, CVP and BPS designed 399 the study. BPS and KC curated the metadata. BPS was the main point of contact for the 400 10KSG consortium, designed and prepared protocols & other material for collaborators, and 401 distributed barcoded tubes. WR and BPS designed web page. RL uploaded generated data to ENA. CW and NS supervised logistics at the Earlham Institute. BPS, CVP and HW 402 403 optimised thermolysates generation. AVP, CVP, RL and CS developed bioinformatic pipelines 404 and analysis. DH and JL optimised LITE protocol. BPS, CVP and the 10KSG consortium 405 isolated and prepared bacterial samples.

406 **Competing interests**

407 The authors declare no competing interests.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page 21 |

The 10,000 Salmonella genomes (10KSG) Consortium (in alphabetical order)

Blanca M. Perez-Sepulveda¹, Darren Heavens², Caisey V. Pulford¹ & María Teresa Acuña¹¹, Dragan Antic¹, Martin Antonio⁵, Kate S. Baker¹, Johan Bernal⁸, Hilda Bolaños¹¹, Marie Chattaway⁹, Angeziwa Chirambo⁴, Karl Costigan¹, Saffiatou Darboe⁵, Paula Díaz¹⁰, Pilar Donado⁸, Carolina Duarte¹⁰, Francisco Duarte¹¹, Dean Everett⁴, Séamus Fanning¹², Nicholas A. Feasey^{3,4}, Patrick Feglo¹³, Adriano M. Ferreira¹⁵, Rachel Floyd¹, Ronnie G Gavilán^{13,26}, Melita A. Gordon^{1,4}, Neil Hall², Rodrigo T. Hernandes¹⁵, Gabriela Hernández-Mora¹⁶, Jay C. D. Hinton¹, Daniel Hurley¹², Irene N. Kasumba¹⁷, Benjamin Kumwenda⁷, Brenda Kwambana-Adams²⁴, James Lipscombe², Ross Low², Salim Mattar¹⁸, Lucy Angeline Montaño¹⁰, Cristiano Gallina Moreira¹⁵, Jaime Moreno¹⁰, Dechamma Mundanda Muthappa¹², Satheesh Nair⁹, Chris M. Parry³, Chikondi Peno⁴, Jasnehta Permala-Booth¹⁷, Jelena Petrović¹⁹, Alexander V. Predeus¹, José Luis Puente²⁰, Getenet Rebrie²¹, Martha Redway¹, Will Rowe^{1,6}, Terue Sadatsune¹⁵, Christian Schudoma², Neil Shearer², Claudia Silva²⁰, Anthony M. Smith^{22,25}, Sharon Tennant¹⁷, Alicia Tran-Dien²³, Chris Watkins², Hermione Webster¹, François-Xavier Weill²³, Magdalena Wiesner¹⁰, Catherine Wilson^{1,4}

¹IVES, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

²Earlham Institute, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK

³Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

⁴Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Programme, Blantyre, Malawi

⁵Medical Research Council Unit The Gambia at LSHTM

⁶University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

⁷College of Medicine, University of Malawi, Blantyre, Malawi

⁸Corporación Colombiana de investigación Agropecuaria AGROSAVIA, Colombia

⁹Public Health England, UK

¹⁰Instituto Nacional de Salud (INS), Colombia

¹¹Instituto Costarricense de Investigación y Enseñanza en Nutrición y Salud (INCIENSA),

Costa Rica

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page **22 |**

¹²University College Dublin, Ireland

¹³Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana

¹⁴Instituto Nacional de Salud, Lima, Peru

¹⁵São Paulo State University (UNESP), Brazil

¹⁶Bacteriology Laboratory, Servicio Nacional de Salud Animal (SENASA), Costa Rica

¹⁷University of Maryland School of Medicine, USA

¹⁸Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas del Trópico, Universidad de Córdoba, Colombia

¹⁹Scientific Veterinary Institute Novi Sad, Serbia

²⁰Instituto de Biotecnología, UNAM, Mexico

²¹University of Jimma, Ethiopia

²²National Institute for Communicable Diseases (NICD), South Africa

²³Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

²⁴University College London, London, UK

²⁵University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa

²⁶Escuela Profesional de Medicina Humana, Universidad Privada San Juan Bautista, Lima,

Peru

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG

Page **23 |**

Supplementary material

Supplementary Table 1. Metadata template form

Supplementary Table 2. Optimisation of bacterial thermolysates generation and DNA extraction.

Supplementary Table 3. Metadata for sequenced isolates, including bioinformatic stats for *Salmonella* genomes and ENA accession numbers.

Supplementary Table 4. Bespoke 9 bp barcodes for library construction using the LITE pipeline



Supplementary figure S1: Average DNA concentration and molarity of libraries constructed using the LITE pipeline across individual 96-well plates.

Average DNA concentrations (grey) and library molarity between 400 and 600 bp (blue) are shown for the first fifty 96-well plates that were processed.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG



Supplementary figure S2: Assessment of DNA integrity amongst libraries constructed using the LITE pipeline.

Perkin Elmer GX electropherograms of exemplar LITE libraries: (A) high quality HMW DNA, (B) partially-degraded DNA, and (C) degraded DNA.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG Online Methods

Page **25**|

408 Methods

409 Study design and optimisation

410 We designed the project with the aim of validating an efficient method for large-scale assembly 411 and sequencing of bacterial genomes. We selected Salmonella as a model organism due to 412 its worldwide relevance and current burden of infection. We aimed to assemble a pool of 413 bacterial samples that would represent the different scenarios, including a 25% of non-414 Salmonella isolates, to allow the method to be extrapolated to other bacterial datasets. The 415 25% of non-Salmonella organisms were selected to cover Gram-negative (Shigella and 416 Klebsiella) and Gram-positive (Staphylococcus) bacteria. The targeted Salmonella isolates were predominantly S. Enteritidis and Typhimurium, and associated with human bloodstream 417 418 infection. However we expanded the sampling criteria to other serovars, body compartments 419 and source types to include some animal and environmental samples.

420 Method optimisation focused on standardising a safe protocol for sample transport and 421 processing. Briefly, the optimised method comprised bacterial isolates grown at 37°C 422 overnight directly in FluidX tubes (FluidX tri-coded jacket 0.7 mL, 68-0702-11, Brooks Life 423 Sciences) with 100 μ L rich media (LB or Buffered Peptone) from a frozen stock (one "scoop" 424 or bead (MicrobankTM, Pro Lab Diagnostics Inc.). Then, the samples were inactivated by 425 incubation at > 95°C for 20 min, followed by storage at 4°C until collection. Sample 426 transportation was carried out at ambient temperature.

We optimised this method using Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium D23580, Eschericchia coli K12, and Staphylococcus aureus Newman, selecting either a "scoop" with a 10 µL plastic loop taken from a bacterial glycerol (50% v/v) stock or 2 beads of bacteria stored at -80°C in a Microbank tube™ cryotubes (Pro-Lab Diagnostics). The samples were grown at 37°C and 220 rpm overnight in either 100 or 200 µL LB (1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl; pH 7.0). 100 µL of each sample was heated to either 90°C, 95°C or 100°C for 10 min

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG Online Methods

433 or 20 min, and then plated on nutrient agar (1.5% Agar-LB) for CFU determination434 (Supplementary Table 2).

To test the effect of transport, the samples were subjected to genomic DNA extraction using
a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) after incubation at room temperature for more than 7
days. The quality of extracted DNA was assessed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, and
fluorometric DNA quantification using Qubit[™] dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen[™])
(Supplementary Table 2).

440 Detailed protocols were sent to collaborators, along with a metadata template and barcoded tubes. The design of the metadata template and protocol booklet was tested several times for 441 442 clarity and to obtain unified information avoiding different interpretations by the user. The 443 metadata template (Supplementary Table 1) was a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet divided in five 444 main categories: 1) Unique identifiers, with information about pre-read barcodes, including plate & tube barcode, tube location, and replacement barcode, 2) Isolate details, 445 446 encompassing information about strain name, bacterial species & serovar (Salmonella only), 447 sender, date and location of isolation, and type of sample submitted (DNA, thermolysates or preserved culture), 3) Sample type, with detailed information about source of isolation, such 448 449 as human, animal or environmental origin, and 4) Antimicrobial resistance phenotype of tested 450 antimicrobials. We also added an extra column for relevant information that could not be 451 assigned to any other category, such as type of study and references. The metadata collected 452 were stored per collaborator and then combined into a metadata master form for curation. 453 Curation was done manually, standardising each category by column and keeping version 454 control. The final metadata master form was cross-referenced with the list of sent barcodes 455 for inconsistencies.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020 Methods of 10KSG Online Methods P a g e 27

456 **DNA extraction and normalisation**

DNA was extracted from bacterial thermolysates on a Biomek FX^P instrument using a protocol 457 458 based on the MagAttract HMW DNA isolation kit (Qiagen). Incomplete barcoded 96-tube 459 plates received were re-organised and FluidX barcodes re-read using the FluidX barcode 460 reader and software prior to DNA extraction, to determine plate layouts. The tubes were de-461 capped using a manual eight-tube decapper and the cellular material was re-suspended using 462 a multichannel pipette. Up to 100 µL of the suspension were transferred to a clean 96-well 463 plate. The plate was spun at 4,000 rpm in an Eppendorf 5810R centrifuge to pellet the cells 464 and discard the supernatant.

465 Cell pellets were re-suspended in a mixture of 12 µL of Qiagen ATL buffer and 2 µL Proteinase K, and incubated at 56°C for 30 min in an Eppendorf Thermomixer C. The samples 466 were cooled to room temperature, and 1 µL of MagAttract Suspension G was added. The 467 samples were mixed, and 18.67 µL of Qiagen MB buffer were added, followed by mixing. The 468 469 samples were incubated for 3 min and placed on a 96-well magnetic particle concentrator 470 (MPC) to pellet the beads. The supernatant was discarded, and whilst remaining on the MPC 471 the beads were washed once with 45 µL Qiagen MW1 buffer and once with 45 µL Qiagen PE 472 buffer. The recommended water washes were omitted to help increase yield.

The plate was then removed from the MPC and, using a new set of filter tips, $20 \ \mu$ L of Qiagen AE buffer was added and the samples mixed to re-suspend the beads. The samples were incubated at room temperature for 3 min to elute the DNA. The plate was placed back on the MPC and the DNA was transferred to a new 96-well plate.

The concentration of each sample was determined using the Quant-iT[™] dsDNA Assay, high
sensitivity kit (ThermoFisher). A standard curve was generated by mixing 10 µL of the eight
DNA standards provided (0 to 10 ng/µL) with 189 µL of 1x Quant-iTTM dsDNA HS buffer, 1 µL

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020 Me

Methods of 10KSG Online Methods

480 of Quant-iTTM dsDNA HS reagent and 1 µL of DNA in a 96-well black Greiner plate. The
481 fluorescence was detected on a Tecan Infinite F200 Pro plate reader (Tecan).

For samples received as DNA, 198 μ L of 1x Quant-iTTM dsDNA HS buffer, 1 μ L of QuantiTTM dsDNA HS reagent and 1 μ L of DNA were combined in a 96-well black Greiner plate, and the fluorescence detected using the Tecan plate reader. Concentrations were calculated using the standard curve, and the DNA was normalised to 0.25 ng/ μ L in elution buffer using the Biomek FX^P instrument.

487 Library construction and sequencing

488 A master mix containing 0.9 µL of Nextera buffer, 0.1 µL Nextera enzyme and 2 µL of DNAse 489 free water was combined with 2 µL of normalised DNA. This reaction was incubated at 56°C 490 for 10 min on an Eppendorf MasterCycle Pro PCR instrument. 2 µL of an appropriately 491 barcoded 2.5 µM P7 adapter were added, and then 18 µL of a master mix containing 2 µL of 492 an appropriately barcoded 2.5 µM P5, 5 µL Kapa Robust 2G 5x reaction buffer, 0.5 µL 10 mM 493 dNTPs, 0.1 µL Kapa Robust 2G polymerase and 10.4 µL DNase free water were added to the 494 tube. This reaction was then subjected to PCR amplification as follows: 72°C x 3 min, 98°C 495 for 2 min, then 14 cycles of 98°C x 10 s, 62°C x 30 s and 72°C x 3 min, followed by a final 496 incubation at 72°C for 5 min on an Eppendorf MasterCycle Pro.

497 The amplified library was then subjected to a magnetic bead-based purification step on a Biomek NX^P instrument. 25 µL of Kapa Pure beads (Roche, UK) were added to 25 µL of 498 499 amplified library, and mixed. This library was incubated at room temperature for 5 min, briefly 500 spun in an Eppendorf 5810R centrifuge and placed on a 96-well magnetic particle 501 concentrator. Once the beads had pelleted, the supernatant was removed and discarded, and 502 the beads washed twice with 40 µL of freshly prepared 70% ethanol. After the second ethanol 503 wash, the beads were left to air dry for 5 min. The 96-well plate was removed from the MPC 504 and the beads were re-suspended in 25 µL of 10 mM TRIS-HCI, pH 8 (Elution Buffer). The

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020

Methods of 10KSG Online Methods

Page **29**|

505 DNA was eluted by incubating the beads for 5 min at room temperature. The plate was 506 replaced on the MPC, the beads allowed to pellet, and the supernatant containing the DNA 507 was transferred to a new 96-well plate.

508 To assess the concentrations of individual libraries, 20 µL of elution buffer was added to 2 µL 509 of purified library, and run on a LabChip GX (Perking Elmer) using the High throughput, High 510 Sense reagent kit and HT DNA Extended Range Chip according to manufacturers' 511 instructions. To determine the amount of material present in each library between 400 and 512 600 bp, a smear analysis was performed using the GX analysis software. The resulting value 513 was used to calculate the amount of each library to pool. Pooling of each 96-libraries was 514 performed using a Biomek Nx instrument. 100 µL of the pooled libraries were added to 100 µL 515 of Kapa Pure beads in a 1.5 mL LoBind tube. The sample was vortexed and incubated at room 516 temperature for 5 min to precipitate the DNA onto the beads. The tube was then placed on an 517 MPC to pellet the beads, the supernatant discarded, and the beads were washed twice with 518 200 µL of freshly prepared 70% ethanol. The beads were left to air dry for 5 min and then re-519 suspended in 30 µL Elution Buffer. The samples were incubated at room temperature for 5 min 520 to elute the DNA. The plate was placed back on the MPC and the DNA was transferred to a 521 new 1.5 mL tube.

522 The concentrated sample containing a pool of 96 libraries was subjected to size selection on 523 a BluePippin (Sage Science, Beverly, USA). The 40 μ L in each collection well of a 1.5% 524 BluePippin cassette were replaced with fresh running buffer, and the separation and elution 525 current checked prior to loading the sample. 10 μ L of R2 marker solution were added to 30 μ L 526 of the pooled library, and then the combined mixture was loaded into the appropriate well.

527 Using the smear analysis feature of Perkin Elmer GX software, we calculated the amount of 528 material between 400 and 600 bp for each library. We targeted this region based on the 529 electropherograms in Supplementary Fig. S2, to minimise the overlap between 150 bp paired

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020 Methods of 10KSG Online Methods P a g e **30**

end reads and maximise the number of libraries that would generate data. We determined the
detection limit for the molarity within this size range to be 0.007 nM, meaning that libraries with
lower concentrations were reported as 0.007 nM. The amount of library material between 400
to 600 bp ranged from 0.0 to 2.4 nM (average of 0.3 nM), with less than 6% having less than
0.007 nM (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Post size selection, the 40 µL from the collection well were recovered, and the library size was determined using a High Sensitivity BioAnalyzer kit (Agilent) and DNA concentration calculated using a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay (ThermoFisher). "Super pools" were created by equimolar pooling of up to 12 size-selected 96-sample pools, each with a different P5 barcode. Using these molarity figures, 96 libraries were equimolarly-pooled, concentrated and then size-selected using a 1.5% cassette on the Sage Science Blue Pippin.

To determine the number of viable library molecules, the super pools were quantified using the Kapa qPCR Illumina quantification kit (Kapa Biosystems) prior to sequencing. For the initial screen, sequencing was performed on the HiSeqTM 4000 (Illumina). For re-sequencing of samples, the sequencing was carried out in a lane of an S1 flowcell on the NovaSeqTM 6000 (Illumina), both with a 2x150 bp read metric.

546 Bioinformatic analysis and data distribution

547 Raw sequencing reads (paired-end, 2x150 bp) were examined using FastQC v0.11.8 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc), 548 confirming 0-20% Nextera 549 adapter sequence presence in all examined reads. Quick coverage estimation was done raw 550 unaligned reads, assuming genome length of 4.8 Mb for Salmonella enterica. Taxonomic 551 classification of raw reads was performed using Kraken v2.0.8-beta¹ with Minikraken 8GB 201904 UPDATE database, followed by species-level abundance estimation using Bracken 552 553 $v1.0.0^2$ with distribution for 150 bp k-mer. Sequence duplication level was estimated by alignment of reads using Bowtie v2.3.5³ to genome assembly of LT2 strain (NCBI accession 554

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020 Methods of 10KSG Online Methods P a g e **31**

555 number GCA_000006945.2), followed by MarkDuplicates utility from Picard tools
556 v2.21.1(http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard).

557 Raw sequence reads were then trimmed and assembled using Uncycler v0.4.7⁴ in short-read mode. Several trimming strategies were tested including quality trimming with seqtk 558 559 (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) followed by Trimmomatic v0.39⁵ in palindromic mode with and without retaining the single reads, and BBDuk v38.07 (https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-560 561 tools/bbtools). We evaluated the resulting assemblies using overall length, N50, and number 562 of contigs. Genome assembly quality was done using a the criteria established on 563 EnteroBase⁶ (https://enterobase.readthedocs.io/en/latest) for S. enterica: 1) total assembly 564 length between 4 and 5.8 Mb; 2) N50 of 20 kb or more; 3) fewer than 600 contigs; 4) more 565 than 70% correct species assigned by Kraken (in our case, the latter was replaced with 566 Kraken2+Bracken assessment of the raw reads). Samples that failed the stringent criteria were divided into two groups. Group 1 were subjected to "relaxed criteria", which included 567 assemblies of 4 Mb - 5.8 Mb overall length, species purity of 90% or more, N50 >10.000, and 568 569 fewer than 2,000 contigs. Group 2 included samples that had less than 70% Salmonella by original assessment, but produced assemblies passing the stringent criteria from "cleaned up" 570 571 reads obtained by keeping only raw reads assigned S. enterica by Kraken2 + Bracken.

Assembled Salmonella genomes were annotated using Prokka v1.13.7⁷ using a a custom 572 573 protein database generated from S. enterica pan-genome analysis. Additionally, Salmonella 574 assemblies were in silico serotyped using command line SISTR v1.0.2⁸ and assigned sequence type using mlst v2.11⁹ (https://github.com/tseemann/mlst). We have used cgMLST 575 576 serovar assignment provided by SISTR for all further classification and comparison with 577 metadata. Preliminary resistance and virulence gene profiling was done using Abricate v0.9.8 578 (https://github.com/tseemann/abricate). All processing scripts detailing command settings and 579 custom datasets are available at https://github.com/apredeus/10k genomes.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020 Methods of 10KSG Online Methods P a g e 32

580 Data distribution was carried out by sharing packages through links created at the Centre for 581 Genomic Research, University of Liverpool (UK). The packages contained sequencing stats, raw (untrimmed) fastq read files, assemblies, and a text files with information about serovar 582 and sequence type details. All the processed reads and assemblies were deposited in the 583 584 European Nucleotide Archive using the online portal Collaborative Open Plant Omics (COPO; 585 https://copo-project.org/copo) under the project accession number PRJEB35182 586 (ERP118197). COPO is an online portal for the description, storage and submission of 587 publication data. The COPO wizards allow users to describe their data using ontologies to link 588 and suggest metadata to include based on past submissions and similar projects. This enables 589 meaningful description and therefore easy retrieval of the data in addition to standardising the 590 format, thereby removing most of the hassle from data submission. Individual accession 591 numbers are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

592 Code availability

- 593 Our code is available as open source (GPL v3 license) at
- 594 <u>https://github.com/apredeus/10k_genomes</u>

595 Data availability

- All sequencing datasets used in this study are publicly available in the European Nucleotide
- 597 Archive under the project accession number PRJEB35182 (ERP118197). Individual accession
- 598 numbers are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

Perez-Sepulveda et al. 2020 Methods of 10KSG Online Methods P a g e 33 |

599 References

- Wood, D. E., Lu, J. & Langmead, B. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biol.* 20, 1–13 (2019).
- Lu, J., Breitwieser, F. P., Thielen, P. & Salzberg, S. L. Bracken: Estimating species
 abundance in metagenomics data. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* 2017, 1–17 (2017).
- 604 3. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat.*605 *Methods* 9, 357–359 (2012).
- Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Gorrie, C. L. & Holt, K. E. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial
 genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 13,
 e1005595 (2017).
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
 sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
- 6. Alikhan, N.-F., Zhou, Z., Sergeant, M. J. & Achtman, M. A genomic overview of the 612 population structure of Salmonella. *PLoS Genet.* **14**, e1007261 (2018).
- 613 7. Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068–
 614 2069 (2014).
- 8. Yoshida, C. E. *et al.* The *Salmonella In Silico* Typing Resource (SISTR): An Open WebAccessible Tool for Rapidly Typing and Subtyping Draft *Salmonella* Genome
 Assemblies. *PLoS One* **11**, e0147101 (2016).
- Jolley, K. A. & Maiden, M. C. J. BIGSdb: Scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation
 at the population level. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11, (2010).