Identification, Mapping and Relative Quantitation of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycopeptides by Mass-Retention Time Fingerprinting

Chalk, R.¹, Greenland, W.², Moreira, T.¹, Coker, J.¹, Mukhopadhyay S.M.M.¹, Williams, E.¹, Manning, C.¹, Bohstedt, T.¹, McCrorie, R.¹, Fernandez-Cid, A.¹, Burgess-Brown, N.A.¹

¹Centre for Medicines Discovery, ORCRB, Oxford University, OX3 7DQ, UK

²Agilent Technologies, Lakeside, Cheadle Royal Business Park, Cheadle, Cheshire, SK8 3GR, UK

Abstract

We describe a novel analytical method for rapid and robust identification, mapping and relative quantitation of glycopeptides from SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein. The method may be executed using any LC-TOF mass spectrometer, requires no specialised knowledge of glycan analysis and makes use of the differential resolving power of reversed phase HPLC. While this separation technique resolves peptides with high efficiency, glycans are resolved poorly, if at all. Consequently, glycopeptides consisting of the same peptide bearing different glycan structures will all possess very similar retention times and co-elute. While this has previously been viewed as a disadvantage, we show that shared retention time can be used to map multiple glycan species to the same peptide and location. In combination with MSMS and pseudo MS3, we have constructed a detailed mass-retention time database for Spike. This database allows any ESI-TOF equipped lab to reliably identify and quantify spike glycans from a single overnight elastase protein digest in less than 90 minutes.

Key words:

SARS-CoV-2, Spike, RBD, Glycoprotein, Glycopeptide, Glycan, Mass Spectrometry, HPLC, Database

Introduction

Glycosylation is known to play an important role in the efficacy and antigenicity of therapeutic proteins [1-3]. The current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has spurred urgent research, much of it devoted to preparing vaccines, therapeutic antibodies or antibody tests based on Spike protein, the virus's primary surface antigen [4]. This 145 kDa protein forms a trimer [5] with each subunit bearing twenty-two potential N-linked glycosylation sites and two O-linked sites of which approximately seventeen are occupied [5]. The unusually heavy and complex glycosylation observed in Spike protein is believed to play an important role in the pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 by mimicking host cell glycans and allowing the virus to evade the normal immune response [6]. Analysis of expressed Spike protein by mass spectrometry presents unique challenges in terms of its size and the number and complexity of its glycans. These challenges have been commendably met to date by laboratories with wide experience in glycan analysis and access to very sensitive, high-end nano-LC-MSMS mass spectrometers [1, 7-9]. However, in our laboratory and in others a rapid and more robust methodology is needed for routine analysis of different batches of expressed Spike protein. In addition, any method which is reliant on LC-MSMS of glycopeptides may not necessarily detect specific glycans which fail to fragment under the conditions selected. LC-MS, by contrast, generates a mass, retention time and relative abundance for all ionizable species. We have developed a simple Mass-Retention Time Fingerprinting (MRTF) method for rapid and robust identification, mapping and relative quantitation of Spike glycans. Overnight digestion using a single enzyme followed by a 65-minute LC-MS run using any accurate mass instrument are the only experimental requirements. The resulting LC-MS data contains accurate mass, retention time and relative abundance values for each glycopeptide component. This dataset needs only to be matched against the pre-existing Spike glycopeptide database reported here, as shown in Figure 1. We describe this method as "analytical mode", which is both conceptually simple to understand, and straightforward to implement in a typical mass spectrometry laboratory. For scientific completeness, we also describe the "discovery mode" which we have used to generate the data for our Mass-Retention Time Fingerprinting

Table 1a. Spike elastase glycopeptide mass retention time database (PCDL) containing data for 140 observed glycopeptides and data for a further 306 inferred glycopeptides (RT 2-32 min)

Glycan posn.	RT (min)	Mass	Glycopeptide	Observed/ Inferred	Glycan posn.	RT (min)	Mass	Glycopeptide	Observed/ Inferred	Glycan posn.	RT (min)	Mass	Glycopeptide	Observed/ Inferred
N343	2.4	2151.9206	VFNATRF G0	Inf	N717	10.3	1876.746	PTNFT G0	Inf	N343	23.295	2438.9204	GEVFNAT Man8	Obs
	2.4	2297.9785	VFNATRF G0F	Inf		10.3	2022.8039	PTNFT G0F	Inf		23.399	2838.1073	GEVFNAT Complex NeuAc (F)2	Obs
	2.4	1421.6562	VFNATRF Man1	Inf		10.3	2038.7988	PTNFT G1	Inf		23.762	2276.8676	GEVFNAT Man7	Obs
	2.4	1583.709	VFNATRF Man2	Inf		10.3	1146.4816	PTNFT Man1	Inf		23.8	2600.9732	GEVFNAT Man9	Inf
	2.4	1907.8146	VFNATRF Man4	Inf		10.3	1308.5344	PTNFT Man2	Inf		23.94	2692.0536	GEVFNAT Complex NeuAc F	Obs
	2.4	2069.8674	VFNATRF Man5	Inf		10.3	1470.5872	PTNFT Man3	Inf		23.962	2114.8148	GEVFNAT Man6	Obs
	2.4	2231.9202	VFNATRF Man6	Inf		10.3	1632.64	PTNFT Man4	Inf		24.203	1628.6564	GEVFNAT Man3	Obs
	2.4	2393.973	VFNATRF Man7	Inf		10.3	1794.6928	PTNFT Man5	Inf		24.206	2488.9838	GEVFNAT G1(F)2	Obs
	2.4	2556.0258	VFNATRF Man8	Inf		10.3	1956.7456	PTNFT Man6	Inf		24.272	2504.9787	GEVFNAT G2F	Obs
	2.4	2718.0786	VFNATRF Man9	Inf		10.3	2118.7984	PTNFT Man7	Inf		24.3	1304.5508	GEVFNAT Man1	Inf
2.464	1745.7618	VFNATRF Man3	Obs	10.3	2280.8512	PTNFT Man8	Inf	24.3	1466.6036	GEVFNAT Man2	Inf			
N801	3.3	1792.6885	NFSQ G0	Inf	10.3	2442.904	PTNFT Man9	Inf	24.349	1790.7092	GEVFNAT Man4	Obs		
	3.3	1938.7464	NFSQ G0F	Inf	10.365	2184.8567	PTNFT G1F	Obs	24.352	1952.762	GEVFNAT Man5	Obs		
	3.3	1872.6881	NFSQ Man6	Inf	10.4	2200.8516	PTNFT G2	Inf	24.618	2383.9525	GEVFNAT G0F+GlcNAc	Obs		
	3.3	2034.7409	NFSQ Man7	Inf	10.448	2346.9095	PTNFT G2F	Obs	24.7	2196.868	GEVFNAT G1	Inf		
	3.3	2196.7937	NFSQ Man8	Inf	11.995	2533.9915	CLIGAEHVNS Man6	Obs	24.7	2358.9208	GEVFNAT G2	Inf		
	3.3	2358.8465	NFSQ Man9	Inf	12.1	2453.9919	CLIGAEHVNS G0	Inf	24.713	2342.9259	GEVFNAT G1F	Obs		
	3.364	1710.6353	NFSQ Man5	Obs	12.1	2600.0498	CLIGAEHVNS G0F	Inf	24.9	2034.8152	GEVFNAT G0	Inf		
	3.8	1062.4241	NFSQ Man1	Inf	12.105	2371.9387	CLIGAEHVNS Man5	Obs	24.967	2180.8731	GEVFNAT G0F	Obs		
	3.8	1224.4769	NFSQ Man2	Inf	12.204	2209.8859	CLIGAEHVNS Man4	Obs	25	2237.8946	GEVFNAT G0+GlcNAc	Inf		
	3.888	1548.5825	NFSQ Man4	Obs	13.161	2825.0954	EFRRVSSANNCT Man6	Obs	25.323	2383.9525	GEVFNAT G0F+GlcNAc	Obs		
3.898	1386.5297	NFSQ Man3	Obs	13.4	2445.0958	EFRRVSSANNCT G0	Inf	25.4	2650.0162	GEVFNAT A1	Inf			
N17/234/331 ambiguous assignment (isobaric peptides)	5.636	2210.8192	NI/IT Man9	Obs	13.4	2891.1537	EFRRVSSANNCT G0F	Inf	25.4	2941.1116	GEVFNAT A2	Inf		
	5.7	752.344	NI/IT (GlcNAc)2	Inf	13.4	2014.8314	EFRRVSSANNCT Man1	Inf	25.476	2983.1586	GEVFNAT A1(F)2-Gal+GlcNAc	Obs		
	5.7	549.2646	NI/IT GlcNAc stump	Inf	13.4	2176.8842	EFRRVSSANNCT Man2	Inf	25.492	2796.0741	GEVFNAT A1F	Obs		
	5.7	1644.6612	NI/IT G0	Inf	13.4	2338.937	EFRRVSSANNCT Man3	Inf	25.734	2837.1007	GEVFNAT A1F-Gal+GlcNAc	Obs		
	5.7	1790.7191	NI/IT G0F	Inf	13.4	2500.9898	EFRRVSSANNCT Man4	Inf	25.771	3087.1695	GEVFNAT A2F	Obs		
	5.7	914.3968	NI/IT Man1	Inf	13.4	2987.1482	EFRRVSSANNCT Man7	Inf	25.9	1142.49791	GEVFNAT (GlcNAc)2	Inf		
	5.7	1076.4496	NI/IT Man2	Inf	13.4	3149.201	EFRRVSSANNCT Man8	Inf	25.9	939.4186	GEVFNAT GlcNAc stump	Inf		
	5.7	1238.5024	NI/IT Man3	Inf	13.4	3311.2538	EFRRVSSANNCT Man9	Inf	25.9555	736.33917	GEVFNAT peptide	Obs		
	5.7	346.1852	NI/IT peptide	Inf	13.446	2663.0426	EFRRVSSANNCT Man5	Obs	26.023	2634.0213	GEVFNAT A1F-Gal	Obs		
	5.737	2048.7664	NI/IT Man8	Obs	19.8	3001.1428	YSSANNCTFEYVS G1	Inf	26.161	3109.1451	GEVFNAT Very Complex	Obs		
N801	5.751	1886.7136	NI/IT Man7	Obs	19.852	3147.2007	YSSANNCTFEYVS G1F	Obs	25.198	1545.693	GP2	Obs		
	5.761	1724.6608	NI/IT Man6	Obs	20.2	2839.09	YSSANNCTFEYVS G0	Inf	25.587	2173.9303	GP2+628.0	Inf		
	5.821	1562.608	NI/IT Man5	Obs	20.2	2108.8256	YSSANNCTFEYVS Man1	Inf	N343	25.2	2123.8516	[GEVFNAT G0F (GEVFNAT Man5)]	Inf	
	6.549	1400.5552	NI/IT Man4	Obs	20.2	2270.8784	YSSANNCTFEYVS Man2	Inf		25.2	1895.7405	[GEVFNAT Man5 (GEVFNAT G0)]	Inf	
	6.4	2187.8412	DFGGFNFS G0	Inf	20.2	2432.9312	YSSANNCTFEYVS Man3	Inf		25.203	1797.7937	[GEVFNAT G0 (GEVFNAT G0F)]	Obs	
	6.4	2333.8991	DFGGFNFS G0F	Inf	20.2	2594.984	YSSANNCTFEYVS Man4	Inf		26.5	1933.7675	GEVFNAT(G)2	Inf	
	6.4	1457.5722	DFGGFNFS Man1	Inf	20.2	2757.0368	YSSANNCTFEYVS Man5	Inf		26.5	2079.8254	GEVFNAT(G)2	Inf	
	6.4	1619.625	DFGGFNFS Man2	Inf	20.2	2919.0896	YSSANNCTFEYVS Man6	Inf		26.566	1851.7143	GEVFNAT(G)5	Obs	
	6.4	1781.6778	DFGGFNFS Man3	Inf	20.2	3081.1424	YSSANNCTFEYVS Man7	Inf		27.039	3295.3341	GGVSITPGTNSNQ Man9	Obs	
	6.4	1943.7352	DFGGFNFS Man4	Inf	20.2	3243.1952	YSSANNCTFEYVS Man8	Inf		27.6	2729.1761	GGVSITPGTNSNQ G0	Inf	
6.4	2267.8408	DFGGFNFS Man6	Inf	20.2	3405.248	YSSANNCTFEYVS Man9	Inf	27.6		2875.234	GGVSITPGTNSNQ G0F	Inf		
6.4	2429.889	DFGGFNFS Man7	Inf	20.29	2985.1479	YSSANNCTFEYVS G0F	Inf	27.6		1998.9117	GGVSITPGTNSNQ Man1	Inf		
N149	6.4	2591.9418	DFGGFNFS Man8	Inf	20.61	2744.1259	GP1+Hex2	Inf	27.6	2160.9645	GGVSITPGTNSNQ Man2	Inf		
	6.4	2753.9946	DFGGFNFS Man9	Inf	20.926	2780.0707	GP1+360.1	Obs	27.6	2323.0173	GGVSITPGTNSNQ Man3	Inf		
	6.454	2105.7834	DFGGFNFS Man5	Obs	21.144	2420.0129	GP1	Obs	27.6	2485.0701	GGVSITPGTNSNQ Man4	Inf		
	6.537	1725.6561	NKS Man6	Obs	21.41	2456.9655	GP1+37.0	Obs	27.6	2647.1229	GGVSITPGTNSNQ Man5	Inf		
	6.554	1563.6033	NKS Man5	Obs	20.8	2676.0267	SSANNCTFEYVS G0	Inf	27.6	2809.1757	GGVSITPGTNSNQ Man6	Inf		
	7.2	1645.6565	NKS G0	Inf	20.8	1945.7623	SSANNCTFEYVS Man1	Inf	27.6	2971.2285	GGVSITPGTNSNQ Man7	Inf		
	7.2	1791.7144	NKS G0F	Inf	20.8	2107.8151	SSANNCTFEYVS Man2	Inf	27.627	3133.2813	GGVSITPGTNSNQ Man8	Obs		
	7.2	915.3921	NKS Man1	Inf	20.8	2269.8679	SSANNCTFEYVS Man3	Inf	27.7	3092.109	MESEFRVSSANNCT G0	Inf		
	7.2	1077.4449	NKS Man2	Inf	20.8	2431.9207	SSANNCTFEYVS Man4	Inf	27.7	3238.2688	MESEFRVSSANNCT G0F	Inf		
	7.2	1239.4977	NKS Man3	Inf	20.8	2593.9735	SSANNCTFEYVS Man5	Inf	27.7	2361.9645	MESEFRVSSANNCT Man1	Inf		
N282	7.2	1401.5505	NKS Man4	Inf	20.8	2756.0263	SSANNCTFEYVS Man6	Inf	27.7	2523.9993	MESEFRVSSANNCT Man2	Inf		
	7.2	1887.7089	NKS Man7	Inf	20.8	2918.0791	SSANNCTFEYVS Man7	Inf	27.7	2686.0521	MESEFRVSSANNCT Man3	Inf		
	7.2	2049.7617	NKS Man8	Inf	20.8	3080.1319	SSANNCTFEYVS Man8	Inf	27.7	2848.1049	MESEFRVSSANNCT Man4	Inf		
	7.2	2211.8145	NKS Man9	Inf	20.8	3242.1847	SSANNCTFEYVS Man9	Inf	27.7	3172.2105	MESEFRVSSANNCT Man6	Inf		
	7.271	1563.6033	NKS Man5	Obs	20.881	2822.0846	SSANNCTFEYVS G0F	Obs	27.7	3334.2633	MESEFRVSSANNCT Man7	Inf		
	7	2323.9062	YNE N GTITD G0	Inf	20.9	2457.9939	QDVNCTEVPV G0	Inf	27.7	3496.3161	MESEFRVSSANNCT Man8	Inf		
	7	2469.9641	YNE N GTITD G0F	Inf	20.9	1727.7295	QDVNCTEVPV Man1	Inf	27.7	3638.3689	MESEFRVSSANNCT Man9	Inf		
	7	2485.959	YNE N GTITD G1	Inf	20.9	1889.7823	QDVNCTEVPV Man2	Inf	27.778	3010.1577	MESEFRVSSANNCT Man5	Obs		
	7	2632.0169	YNE N GTITD G1F	Inf	20.9	2051.8351	QDVNCTEVPV Man3	Inf	GP3	27.785	2971.2343	GP3+GlcNAc	Obs	
	7	2794.0697	YNE N GTITD G2F	Inf	20.9	2213.8879	QDVNCTEVPV Man4	Inf		28.022	2768.1567	GP3	Obs	
7	1593.6418	YNE N GTITD Man1	Inf	20.9	2375.9407	QDVNCTEVPV Man5	Inf	N801		29.861	2703.1208	PPKIDFGGFNFS Man6	Obs	
7	1755.6946	YNE N GTITD Man2	Inf	20.9	2537.9935	QDVNCTEVPV Man6	Inf		30.5	2623.1212	PPKIDFGGFNFS G0	Inf		
7	1917.7474	YNE N GTITD Man3	Inf	20.9	2700.0463	QDVNCTEVPV Man7	Inf		30.5	2769.1791	PPKIDFGGFNFS G0F	Inf		
7	2079.8002	YNE N GTITD Man4	Inf	20.9	2862.0991	QDVNCTEVPV Man8	Inf		30.5	2054.9096	PPKIDFGGFNFS Man2	Inf		
7	2241.853	YNE N GTITD Man5	Inf	20.9	3024.1519	QDVNCTEVPV Man9	Inf		30.5	2216.9624	PPKIDFGGFNFS Man3	Inf		
7	2403.9058	YNE N GTITD Man6	Inf	20.982	2604.0518	QDVNCTEVPV G0F	Obs		30.5	2379.0152	PPKIDFGGFNFS Man4	Inf		
7	2565.9586	YNE N GTITD Man7	Inf	21.2	2677.0431	NENGTITDAVDCA G0	Inf		30.5	2541.068	PPKIDFGGFNFS Man5	Inf		
7	2728.0114	YNE N GTITD Man8	Inf	21.2	1946.7787	NENGTITDAVDCA Man1	Inf		30.5	2865.1736	PPKIDFGGFNFS Man7	Inf		
7	2890.0642	YNE N GTITD Man9	Inf	21.2	2108.8315	NENGTITDAVDCA Man2	Inf		30.5	3027.2264	PPKIDFGGFNFS Man8	Inf		
7.054	2648.0118	YNE N GTITD G2	Obs	21.2	2270.8843	NENGTITDAVDCA Man3	Inf		30.5	3189.2792	PPKIDFGGFNFS Man9	Inf		
N282	8.082	2120.8366	NGTITDAVD Man5	Obs	21.2	2432.9371	NENGTITDAVDCA Man4	Inf	31.037	2703.1208	PPKIDFGGFNFS Man6	Obs		
	8.144	2444.9422	NGTITDAVD Man7	Obs	21.2	2594.9899	NENGTITDAVDCA Man5	Inf	31.2	2206.9363	RFPNIT G1	Inf		
	8.2	2202.8898	NGTITDAVD G0	Inf	21.2	2757.0427	NENGTITDAVDCA Man6	Inf	31.204	2352.9942	RFPNIT G1F	Obs		
	8.2	2348.9477	NGTITDAVD G0F	Inf	21.2	2919.0955	NENGTITDAVDCA Man7	Inf	32	2044.8835	RFPNIT G0	Inf		
	8.2	1472.6254	NGTITDAVD Man1	Inf	21.2	3081.1483	NENGTITDAVDCA Man8	Inf	32	1314.6191	RFPNIT Man1	Inf		
	8.2	1634.6782	NGTITDAVD Man2	Inf	21.2	3243.2011	NENGTITDAVDCA Man9	Inf	32	1476.6719	RFPNIT Man2	Inf		
	8.2	1796.731	NGTITDAVD Man3	Inf	21.236	2823.101	NENGTITDAVDCA G0F	Obs	32	1638.7247	RFPNIT Man3	Inf		
	8.2	1958.7838	NGTITDAVD Man4	Inf	21.7	3255.2204	NCTFEYVSQPF Man9	Inf	N331	32	1800.7775	RFPNIT Man4	Inf	
	8.2	2606.995	NGTITDAVD Man8	Inf	21.758	3093.1676	NCTFEYVSQPF Man8	Obs		32	1962.8303	RFPNIT Man5	Inf	
	8.2	2769.0478	NGTITDAVD Man9	Inf	22	2689.0624	NCTFEYVSQPF G0	Inf		32	2124.8831	RFPNIT Man6	Inf	
8.239	2282.8894	NGTITDAVD Man6	Obs	22	2835.1203	NCTFEYVSQPF G0F	Inf	32		2286.9359	RFPNIT Man7	Inf		
N165	22	1958.798	NCTFEYVSQPF Man1	Inf	22	2120.8508	NCTFEYVSQPF Man2	Inf		32	2448.9887	RFPNIT Man8	Inf	
	22	2120.8508	NCTFEYVSQPF Man3	Inf	22	2282.9036	NCTFEYVSQPF Man3	Inf		32	2611.0415	RFPNIT Man9	Inf	
	22	2444.9564	NCTFEYVSQPF Man4	Inf	22	2607.0092	NCTFEYVSQPF Man5	Inf		32.044	2190.9414	RFPNIT G0F	Obs	
	22	2607.0092	NCTFEYVSQPF Man5	Inf	22	2769.062	NCTFEYVSQPF Man6	Inf						
	22.044	2931.1148	NCTFEYVSQPF Man7	Obs										

Table 1b. Spike elastase glycopeptide mass retention time database (PCDL) containing data for 140 observed glycopeptides and data for a further 306 inferred glycopeptides (RT 32-60 min and key)

Glycan posn.	RT (min)	Mass	Glycopeptide	Observed/Inferred
N149	32.4	3333.3262	YYYHKNKSWM Man9	Inf
	32.463	2847.1678	YYYHKNKSWM Man6	Obs
	32.463	3171.2734	YYYHKNKSWM Man8	Obs
	32.6	2767.1682	YYYHKNKSWM G0	Inf
	32.6	2913.2261	YYYHKNKSWM G0F	Inf
	32.6	2036.9038	YYYHKNKSWM Man1	Inf
	32.6	2198.9566	YYYHKNKSWM Man2	Inf
	32.6	2361.0094	YYYHKNKSWM Man3	Inf
	32.6	2523.0622	YYYHKNKSWM Man4	Inf
	32.6	2685.115	YYYHKNKSWM Man5	Inf
GP4	32.682	3009.2206	YYYHKNKSWM Man7	Obs
	33.611	2694.1185	GP4 Man6	Obs
	33.8	2760.1768	GP4 G0F	Inf
N17	33.819	2532.0657	GP4 Man5	Obs
	32.463	2828.1148	CVNLTTRT Man9	Obs
	33.8	2261.9568	CVNLTTRT G0	Inf
	33.8	2408.0147	CVNLTTRT G0F	Inf
	33.8	1531.6924	CVNLTTRT Man1	Inf
	33.8	1693.7452	CVNLTTRT Man2	Inf
	33.8	1855.798	CVNLTTRT Man3	Inf
	33.8	2017.8508	CVNLTTRT Man4	Inf
	33.8	2179.9036	CVNLTTRT Man5	Inf
	33.8	2341.9564	CVNLTTRT Man6	Inf
N343	33.8	2504.0092	CVNLTTRT Man7	Inf
	33.841	2666.062	CVNLTTRT Man8	Obs
	34.6	1848.7511	VFNAT G0	Inf
	34.6	1994.809	VFNAT G0F	Inf
	34.6	1118.4867	VFNAT Man1	Inf
	34.6	1280.5395	VFNAT Man2	Inf
	34.6	1442.5923	VFNAT Man3	Inf
	34.6	2252.8563	VFNAT Man8	Inf
	34.6	2414.9091	VFNAT Man9	Inf
	34.656	1928.7507	VFNAT Man6	Obs
N717	34.674	2090.8035	VFNAT Man7	Obs
	34.682	1766.6979	VFNAT Man5	Obs
	34.688	1604.6451	VFNAT Man4	Obs
	35.101	2195.8349	NFT1 Man8	Obs
	35.353	1709.6765	NFT1 Man5	Obs
	35.373	1385.5709	NFT1 Man3	Obs
	35.374	2033.7821	NFT1 Man7	Obs
	35.8	2357.8877	NFT1 Man9	Inf
	35.86	1871.7293	NFT1 Man6	Obs
	36.2	2406.9307	NFT1 A1	Inf
N801	36.2	2552.9886	NFT1 A1F	Inf
	36.2	2698.0261	NFT1 A2	Inf
	36.2	2844.084	NFT1 A2F	Inf
	36.2	1791.7297	NFT1 G0	Inf
	36.2	1994.8091	NFT1 G0 +GlcNAc	Obs
	36.2	2140.867	NFT1 G0F +GlcNAc	Obs
	36.2	2153.7825	NFT1 G1	Inf
	36.2	2115.8353	NFT1 G2	Inf
	36.2	2261.8932	NFT1 G2F	Inf
	36.2	1061.4653	NFT1 Man1	Inf
N61	36.2	1223.5181	NFT1 Man2	Inf
	36.295	1547.6237	NFT1 Man4	Obs
	36.599	2099.8404	NFT1 G1F	Obs
	36.77	2089.8308	NFT1 Man5+380.2	Obs
	36.896	1937.7876	NFT1 G0F	Obs
	35.4	2765.11	PFFSNVTW G2F	Inf
	35.4	1564.6821	PFFSNVTW Man1	Inf
	35.4	1726.7349	PFFSNVTW Man2	Inf
	35.4	1888.7877	PFFSNVTW Man3	Inf
	35.4	2050.8405	PFFSNVTW Man4	Inf
N343	35.4	2212.8933	PFFSNVTW Man5	Inf
	35.4	2536.9989	PFFSNVTW Man7	Inf
	35.4	2699.0517	PFFSNVTW Man8	Inf
	35.4	2861.1045	PFFSNVTW Man9	Inf
	35.437	2619.0521	PFFSNVTW G2	Obs
	35.601	2456.9993	PFFSNVTW G1	Obs
	36	2498.0259	PFFSNVTW G0 +GlcNAc	Inf
	36	2441.0044	PFFSNVTW G0F	Inf
	36	2644.0838	PFFSNVTW G0F +GlcNAc	Inf
	36	2603.0572	PFFSNVTW G1F	Inf
N343	36.025	2294.9465	PFFSNVTW G0	Obs
	36.854	2374.9461	PFFSNVTW Man6	Obs
	36.5	2181.8836	FGEVFNAT G0	Inf
	36.5	2327.9415	FGEVFNAT G0F	Inf
	36.5	1451.6192	FGEVFNAT Man1	Inf
	36.5	1613.672	FGEVFNAT Man2	Inf
	36.5	1775.7248	FGEVFNAT Man3	Inf
	36.5	1937.7776	FGEVFNAT Man4	Inf
	36.5	2261.8832	FGEVFNAT Man6	Inf
	36.5	2423.936	FGEVFNAT Man7	Inf
N343	36.5	2585.9888	FGEVFNAT Man8	Inf
	36.5	2748.0416	FGEVFNAT Man9	Inf
	36.528	2099.8304	FGEVFNAT Man5	Obs
N343	36.8	2552.0511	LCPFGEVFNAT G0	Inf
	36.8	2698.109	LCPFGEVFNAT G0F	Inf
	36.8	1821.7867	LCPFGEVFNAT Man1	Inf
	36.8	1983.8395	LCPFGEVFNAT Man2	Inf
	36.8	2145.8923	LCPFGEVFNAT Man3	Inf
	36.8	2307.9451	LCPFGEVFNAT Man4	Inf
	36.8	2469.9979	LCPFGEVFNAT Man5	Inf
	36.8	2794.1035	LCPFGEVFNAT Man7	Inf
	36.8	2956.1563	LCPFGEVFNAT Man8	Inf
	36.8	3118.2091	LCPFGEVFNAT Man9	Inf
GP6	36.864	2632.0507	LCPFGEVFNAT Man6	Obs
	40.948	1570.7208	GP6	Obs
	41.645	1881.7363	GP6 +311.0	Obs
	42.6	1855.7569	PNITN G0	Inf
	42.6	2001.8148	PNITN G0F	Inf
	42.6	1125.4925	PNITN Man1	Inf
	42.6	1287.5453	PNITN Man2	Inf
	42.6	1611.6509	PNITN Man4	Inf
	42.6	1773.7037	PNITN Man5	Inf
	42.6	1935.7565	PNITN Man6	Inf
N331	42.6	2097.8093	PNITN Man7	Inf
	42.6	2259.8621	PNITN Man8	Inf
	42.6	2421.9149	PNITN Man9	Inf
	42.631	1449.5981	PNITN Man3	Obs
	46.236	3854.7283	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS G2F	Obs
	46.369	3788.67	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS Man8	Obs
	46.424	3626.6226	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS G0F +96.0	Obs
	46.5	3546.6176	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS G1	Inf
	46.5	3692.6755	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS G1F	Inf
	46.5	3708.6704	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS G2	Inf
N801	46.5	3950.7228	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS Man9	Inf
	46.506	3464.5644	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS Man6	Obs
	46.506	3626.6172	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS Man7	Obs
	46.509	3530.6227	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS G0F	Obs
	46.509	3733.7021	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS G0F +GlcNAc	Obs
	46.538	3140.4588	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS Man4	Obs
	46.568	2978.406	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS Man3	Obs
	46.575	2654.3004	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS Man1	Obs
	46.581	2816.3532	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS Man2	Obs
	46.601	3302.5116	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS Man5	Obs
N801	46.668	3384.5648	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS G0	Obs
	46.966	3587.6442	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS G0 +GlcNAc	Obs
	47.5	4145.8237	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS A1F	Inf
	47.5	4290.8612	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS A2	Inf
	47.5	4436.9191	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS A2F	Inf
	48.492	3999.7658	KQIYKTPPKDGGFNFS A1	Obs
	48.1	3630.5282	YKTPPKDGGFNFS A1	Inf
	48.1	3776.5861	YKTPPKDGGFNFS A1F	Inf
	48.1	3921.6236	YKTPPKDGGFNFS A2	Inf
	48.1	4067.6815	YKTPPKDGGFNFS A2F	Inf
N801	48.121	3485.4907	YKTPPKDGGFNFS G2F	Obs
	48.27	4145.822	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Very complex	Obs
	48.332	3419.4324	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Man8	Obs
	48.339	3323.4379	YKTPPKDGGFNFS G1F	Obs
	48.408	3257.3796	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Man7	Obs
	48.453	3364.4645	YKTPPKDGGFNFS G0F +GlcNAc	Obs
	48.462	3161.3851	YKTPPKDGGFNFS G0F	Obs
	48.499	3983.7666	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Very complex	Obs
	48.518	3095.3268	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Man6	Obs
	48.6	1716.8512	YKTPPKDGGFNFS	Inf
N801	48.6	2123.01	YKTPPKDGGFNFS (GlcNAc)2	Inf
	48.6	3015.3272	YKTPPKDGGFNFS G0	Inf
	48.6	3177.38	YKTPPKDGGFNFS G1	Inf
	48.6	3339.4328	YKTPPKDGGFNFS G2	Inf
	48.6	1919.9306	YKTPPKDGGFNFS GlcNAc stump	Inf
	48.6	2285.0628	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Man1	Inf
	48.6	2447.1156	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Man2	Inf
	48.6	2609.1684	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Man3	Inf
	48.6	2771.2212	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Man4	Inf
	48.6	3581.4852	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Man9	Inf
N343	48.623	3218.4066	YKTPPKDGGFNFS G0 +GlcNAc	Obs
	48.647	2933.274	YKTPPKDGGFNFS Man5	Obs
	50.406	3614.5291	NLCPFGEVFNAT Complex	Obs
	51.783	2908.1464	NLCPFGEVFNAT Man7	Obs
	51.942	3136.2575	NLCPFGEVFNAT G2F	Obs
	52.073	2131.0262	NLCPFGEVFNAT glyco	Obs
	52.167	2421.988	NLCPFGEVFNAT Man4	Obs
	52.185	2259.9352	NLCPFGEVFNAT Man3	Obs
	52.229	2666.094	NLCPFGEVFNAT G0	Obs
	52.265	2746.0936	NLCPFGEVFNAT Man6	Obs
N343	52.3	3281.295	NLCPFGEVFNAT A1	Inf
	52.3	3572.3904	NLCPFGEVFNAT A2	Inf
	52.3	2828.1468	NLCPFGEVFNAT G1	Inf
	52.3	2990.1996	NLCPFGEVFNAT G2	Inf
	52.32	2974.2047	NLCPFGEVFNAT G1F	Obs
	52.445	3015.2313	NLCPFGEVFNAT G0F +GlcNAc	Obs
	52.5	1935.8296	NLCPFGEVFNAT Man1	Inf
	52.5	2097.8824	NLCPFGEVFNAT Man2	Inf
	52.5	3070.1992	NLCPFGEVFNAT Man8	Inf
	52.5	3232.252	NLCPFGEVFNAT Man9	Inf
GP5	52.575	2584.0408	NLCPFGEVFNAT Man5	Obs
	52.632	2812.1519	NLCPFGEVFNAT G0F	Obs
	53.304	3427.3529	NLCPFGEVFNAT A1F	Obs
	53.961	3718.4483	NLCPFGEVFNAT A2F	Obs
	60.483	1861.7463	GP5	Obs

Key to Glycan Structures

GlcNAc stump

(GlcNAc)2

Man1

Man2

Man3

Man4

Man5

Man6

Man7

Man8

Man9

G0

G0+GlcNAc

G0F

G0F+GlcNAc

G1

G1F

G1(F)2

G2

G2F

A1

A1F

A1F-Gal

A1F-Gal+GlcNAc

A1(F)2-Gal+GlcNAc

A2

A2F

Monosaccharide symbol

Galactose

Mannose

Fucose

N-Acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc)

N-Acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac)

The complete Spike PCDL database is available to download in .cdb or .xlsx format here:

https://zenodo.org/record/3958218#.Xxn_BChKhoY

Figure 3. Combined Extracted Ion Chromatogram (EIC) for 27 isoforms of glycopeptide GEVFNAT (N343) within +/- 2 min retention time window from RBD. Only three glycans are labelled, the remainder are listed in the accompanying table 2, below.

Table 2. GEVFNAT glycopeptide (N343) isoforms from RBD shown in Figure 3

Name	Mass	RT	Volume	ppm error	Name	Mass	RT	Volume	ppm error
GEVFNAT Complex NeuAc (F)2	2838.1078	23.26	733674	-0.2	GEVFNAT G1F	2342.9180	24.62	1090359	3.4
GEVFNAT Man8	2438.9113	23.30	744169	3.7	GEVFNAT G0	2034.8089	24.80	950868	3.1
GEVFNAT Man7	2276.8615	23.61	1867525	2.7	GEVFNAT G0F	2180.8688	24.82	12980259	2.0
GEVFNAT Complex NeuAc F	2692.0523	23.77	1266219	0.5	GEVFNAT A1(F)2-Gal+GlcNAc	2983.1427	24.91	732781	5.3
GEVFNAT Man6	2114.8091	23.80	2432950	2.7	(G)EVFNAT G0	1977.7887	25.05	2947447	2.5
GEVFNAT G1(F)2	2488.9768	24.09	1292274	2.8	GEVFNAT G0F+GlcNAc	2383.9464	25.16	3836871	2.6
GEVFNAT G2F	2504.9755	24.14	1007345	1.3	GEVFNAT A1F	2796.0641	25.33	1512501	3.6
GEVFNAT Man4	1790.7051	24.20	3040952	2.3	GEVFNAT A1(F)2-Gal+GlcNAc	2983.1444	25.34	1320994	4.8
GEVFNAT Man5	1952.7583	24.20	12492713	1.9	GEVFNAT A1F-Gal	2634.0105	25.51	608358	4.1
GEVFNAT Man3	1628.6504	24.20	666739	3.7	GEVFNAT A2F	3087.1629	25.77	805142	2.2
GEVFNAT G1F	2342.9183	24.37	1333546	3.3	GEVFNAT A1F	2796.0644	25.80	541989	3.5
GEVFNAT G0F	2180.8638	24.45	953034	4.3	GEVFNAT A1F-Gal	2634.0125	25.86	3692097	3.4
GEVFNAT G0F+GlcNAc	2383.9436	24.47	9038631	3.7					

Figure 4 illustrates a complete glycan fragmentation series for RBD glycopeptide GEVFNAT-Man5 showing the peptide stump (GEVFNAT-GlcNAc) and mannose ladders. Calculated mass errors are shown in table 3.

Figure 4. Complete glycan fragmentation series for RBD glycopeptide GEVFNAT-Man5 (N343)

Table 3. Glycan assignment and mass errors (parts per million) for RDB glycopeptide GEVFNAT-Man5

Deconvoluted Mass Obs	Formula	Mass Calc	ppm	Assignment
203.0782	C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅	203.0794	-5.9	GlcNAc
365.1314	C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₁	365.1322	-2.2	GlcNAc(Man) ₁
527.1845	C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₂	527.1850	-0.9	GlcNAc(Man) ₂
689.2364	C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₃	689.2364	0.0	GlcNAc(Man) ₃
851.2887	C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₄	851.2907	-2.3	GlcNAc(Man) ₄
1013.3367	C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₅	1013.3435	-6.7	GlcNAc(Man) ₅
939.4143	C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₈ O ₁₂ (C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅)	939.4185	-4.5	GEVFNAT (GlcNAc)
1142.4954	C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₈ O ₁₂ (C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅) ₂	1142.4979	-2.2	GEVFNAT (GlcNAc) ₂
1304.5498	C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₈ O ₁₂ (C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₁	1304.5507	-0.7	GEVFNAT (GlcNAc) ₂ (Man) ₁
1466.6024	C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₈ O ₁₂ (C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₂	1466.6036	-0.8	GEVFNAT (GlcNAc) ₂ (Man) ₂
1628.6516	C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₈ O ₁₂ (C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₃	1628.6564	-2.9	GEVFNAT (GlcNAc) ₂ (Man) ₃
1790.7051	C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₈ O ₁₂ (C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₄	1790.7092	-2.3	GEVFNAT (GlcNAc) ₂ (Man) ₄
1952.7573	C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₈ O ₁₂ (C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅) ₂ (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅) ₅	1952.7620	-2.4	GEVFNAT (GlcNAc) ₂ (Man) ₅

In the pseudo MS3 experiment glycans were lost by in-source decay. GEVFNAT-GlcNAc was isolated in the quadrupole and fragmented in the collision cell. Sequence confirmation for the peptide stump GEVFNAT-GlcNAc is shown in Figure 5 with mass errors calculated in Table 4.

Figure 5. Pseudo MS3 fragmentation analysis of RBD glycopeptide stump GEVFNAT-GlcNAc (N343)

Table 4. Pseudo MS3 fragment ion assignment and mass errors (parts per million) for RBD glycopeptide stump GEVFNAT-GlcNAc (N343)

Deconvoluted Observed Mass	Formula	Calculated Mass	Error (ppm)	Fragment ion assignment	Peptide Sequence
186.0645	C ₇ H ₁₀ N ₂ O ₄	186.0641	2.1	b2	GE
285.1305	C ₁₂ H ₁₉ N ₃ O ₅	285.1325	-7.0	b3	GEV
546.2410	C ₂₅ H ₃₄ N ₆ O ₈	546.2438	-5.1	b5	GEVFN
617.2799	C ₂₈ H ₃₉ N ₇ O ₉	617.2809	-1.6	b6	GEVFNA
718.3257	C ₃₂ H ₄₆ N ₈ O ₁₁	718.3286	-4.0	b7	GEVFNAT
736.3362	C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₈ O ₁₂	736.3392	-4.1	y7	GEVFNAT
939.4174	C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₈ O ₁₂ C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅	939.4185	-1.2	M GlcNAc	GEVFNAT GlcNAc
921.4064	C ₃₂ H ₄₆ N ₈ O ₁₁ C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅	921.408	-1.7	M GlcNAc - H ₂ O	GEVFNAT GlcNAc
820.3605	C ₂₈ H ₃₉ N ₇ O ₉ C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅	820.3603	0.2	b6 GlcNAc - H ₂ O	GEVFNA GlcNAc
749.3213	C ₂₅ H ₃₄ N ₆ O ₈ C ₈ H ₁₃ N O ₅	749.3232	-2.5	b5 GlcNAc - H ₂ O	GEVFN GlcNAc
701.3021	C ₃₂ H ₄₃ N ₇ O ₁₁	701.3021	0.0	b7 - NH ₃	GEVFNAT
600.2529	C ₂₈ H ₃₆ N ₆ O ₉	600.2544	-2.5	b6 - NH ₃	GEVFNA
529.2178	C ₂₅ H ₃₁ N ₅ O ₈	529.2173	0.9	b5 - NH ₃	GEVFN

Intact mass measurement of fully glycosylated Spike was unsuccessful due to the polydispersity of its innumerable glycoforms and the resulting dilution of ion signal. However, the smaller receptor binding domain, bearing only two glycosylation sites did prove amenable to intact mass analysis. Figure 6 shows twenty-one glycoforms for intact RBD, of which ten major glycoforms could be assigned. This showed that the principal glycan species were Man5, G0F and G0F+GlcNAc which was in agreement with the glycopeptide analysis.

Figure 6. Intact mass analysis of RBD showing the principal glycan species Man5, G0F and G0F+GlcNAc in agreement with glycopeptide analysis. (This method cannot differentiate individual glycosylation sites, hence when two structures are possible, both are shown)

Elastase was chosen as a single digestion enzyme because it was judged to give the best chance of generating glycopeptides with a single NXS/T motif, essential for unambiguous glycan mapping. For non-glycosylated Spike peptides, elastase generated 63 high quality MSMS hits and 26% coverage allowing for five missed cleavages. The same data searched for non-specific cleavage gave 135 high quality MSMS hits and 48% coverage allowing for twenty missed cleavages. Elastase itself contains 2 NXS/T motifs. We therefore prepared elastase only, at x10 the usual concentration, searched the resulting LC-MS data using the PCDL as a control, and no hits were found. The Spike protein LC-MS data did contain a small number of elastase autodigestion peptides.

Methods

Cloning, expression and purification of Spike

The gene encoding amino acids 1-1208 of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike glycoprotein ectodomain (S), with mutations of RRAR > GSAS at residues 682-685 (to remove the furin cleavage site) and KV > PP at residues 986-987 (to stabilise the protein), was synthesised with a C-terminal T4 fibrin trimerization domain, HRV 3C cleavage site, 8xHis tag, and Twin-Strep-tag [5]. The construct was sub-cloned into pHL-sec [10] using the AgeI and XhoI restriction sites and the sequence was confirmed by sequencing. Recombinant Spike was produced in *Expi293F*TM cells by transient transfection with purified DNA (0.5 mg/L cells) using a 1:6 DNA:L-PEI ratio, mixed in minimal medium, and sodium butyrate as an additive. Cells were grown in suspension in *FreeStyle293*TM medium with shaking at 150 rpm in 2 L smooth roller bottles, filled with 0.5 L cells at 2 e⁶/mL per bottle at 30°C with 8% CO₂ and 75% humidity. Supernatants from transfected cells were harvested 3-days post-transfection by centrifugation. Clarified supernatant was mixed with Ni²⁺ IMAC *Sepharose*[®] 6 Fast Flow (GE; 2 mL bed volume per L of supernatant) at room temperature for 2 h. Using a gravity flow column, resin

was collected and washed stringently with 50 CV each of base buffer (1X PBS), WB25 (BB + 25 mM imidazole), and WB40 (BB+ 40 mM imidazole), followed by elution with EB (0.30 M imidazole in 1X PBS). Protein was dialyzed into 1X PBS using *SnakeSkin*[™] 3,500 MWCO dialysis tubing, concentrated to 1 mg/mL using a 100,000 MWCO *VivaSpin* centrifugal concentrator (GE), and centrifuged at 21,000 x g for 30 min to remove aggregates. The trimeric Spike protein was flash frozen in LN₂ and stored at -80°C until use. Final purified yield was 1 mg of Spike protein per L of transfected cells.

Cloning, expression and purification of Receptor Binding Domain

The receptor binding domain (RBD; aa 330-532) of SARS-CoV-2 Spike (Genbank MN908947) was inserted into the pOPINTTNeo expression vector fused to an N-terminal signal peptide and a C-terminal 6xHis tag [11]. RBD was produced by transient transfection in *Expi293F*[™] cells (*ThermoFisher Scientific*, UK) using purified DNA (1.0 mg/L cells), a 1:3 DNA:L-PEI ratio, and sodium butyrate as an additive. Cells were grown in suspension in *FreeStyle293*[™] expression medium at 37°C with 8% CO₂ and 75% humidity. Supernatants from transfected cells were harvested 3-days post-transfection and the supernatant was collected by centrifugation. Clarified supernatant was incubated with 5 mL of Ni²⁺ IMAC *Sepharose*[®] 6 Fast Flow (GE) at room temperature for 2 h. Using gravity flow, resin was washed with 50 CV of base buffer (1X PBS) and 50 CV of WB (1X PBS + 25 mM imidazole) before elution with EB (0.5 M imidazole in 1X PBS). Protein was concentrated using a 10,000 MWCO *Amicon Ultra-15* before application to a *Superdex 75 16/600* column pre-equilibrated with 1X PBS pH 7.4. Peak monomeric fractions were pooled and concentrated to 2 mg/mL, flash frozen in LN₂ and stored at -80°C until use. Final purified yield was >15 mg RBD per L of transfected cells.

Sample preparation

SARS-CoV-2 Spike or RBD-6H at 1 mg/mL in PBS were prepared in aliquots of either 20 µL or 80 µL and diluted 1 in 3 in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.0, followed by reduction by addition of 1, 4 Dithiothreitol (DTT) to 5 mM and incubation 37°C for 1 h. Next, the protein was alkylated by addition of iodoacetamide (IAA) to 15 mM and incubation in the dark for 30 min. This was followed by overnight digestion using elastase (*Promega*) at a ratio of 1:20 (w/w). The following day, the supernatant was dried using a rotary evaporator, and re-suspended in 60 µL of 0.1% formic acid for injection into the LC-MS.

'Analytical mode' LC-MS glycopeptide data acquisition

LC-MS 'analytical mode' was performed using a 1290 *Infinity* UHPLC coupled to a G6530A ESI QTOF mass spectrometer (*Agilent Technologies*). TOF and quadrupole were calibrated prior to analysis and the reference ion 922.0098 m/z was used for continuous mass correction. Sample was introduced using a 50 µL full-loop injection. Reversed phase chromatographic separation was achieved using an *AdvancedBio Peptide* reversed phase 2.7 µm particle, 2.1 mm x 100 mm column 655750-902 (*Agilent Technologies*). Mobile phase A was 0.1% formic acid in water and mobile phase B 0.1% formic acid in methanol (*Optima* LC-MS grade, *Fisher*). Initial conditions were 5% B and 0.200 mL/min flow rate. A linear gradient from 5% B - 60% B was applied over 60 min, followed by isocratic elution at 100% B for 2 min returning to initial conditions for a further 2 min. Post time was 10 min. MS source parameters were drying gas temperature 350°C, drying gas 8 L/min, nebulizer 30 psi, capillary 4000 V, fragmentor 150 V. MS spectrum range was 100 – 3200 m/z (centroid only), 2 GHz Extended Dynamic range, with the instrument in positive ion mode.

LC-MSMS glycopeptide data acquisition 'discovery mode'

LC-MSMS 'discovery mode' was performed as described above, with the following changes: Soft CID collision energy parameters for MSMS were slope 1.0, intercept 0 using argon as the collision gas (if using nitrogen slope 2.0, intercept 0) were used to favour glycan fragmentation over peptide

and charge states +2 to +5. The results were filtered to remove compounds <1000 Da (too small to be glycopeptides). Compound MSMS spectra were screened manually for the following oxonium reporter ions: Hex m/z 163.0601, HexNAc m/z 204.0866, HexHexNAc m/z 366.1395, Neu5Ac m/z 274.0921/ m/z 291.0949 and/or a Hexose ladder m/z 162.0528 Da. High quality m/z spectra were deconvoluted to neutral mass spectra with glycan *de novo* interpretation performed manually. Once a glycopeptide had been identified, it was entered into a personal compound data library database (PCDL, *Agilent Technologies*) as a mass and retention time. In addition, the database made use of known mammalian N-linked glycan processing. After the initial glycopeptide identification, other processed glycopeptides, which were considered likely to also be present, were added to the database at the same retention time and with a calculated mass. For example, if a glycopeptide with Man5 was identified by MSMS, Man1-9 and G0/F were added at the same retention time. If these glycans were subsequently found in the data, their actual retention times were updated, and the next round of processing to more complex glycans was added, in order to produce the most comprehensive PCDL possible, while still being manageable. Processing order:

Man(n) → G0/F → G1/F → G2/F → A1/F → A2/F → Very Complex

Valid glycan identifications resulted in a calculated peptide mass that could be matched to the sequence. Where high quality spectra were present, a peptide-GlcNAc stump was observed (Figure 4). This was used in a pseudo MS3 experiment with manual peptide *de novo* interpretation to confirm the peptide sequence (Figure 5). Mass data adjacent to the glycopeptide retention time was then searched for neutral differences corresponding to glycans, for example, Man5 → G0F or Man7 → G2F has a neutral delta mass of 228.1111 Da.

As expected, not all species could be matched to the sequence, presumably due to unexpected modifications. In this case, they were added to the database as 'GP' with an identifying number and as much information as could be extracted. Data for the most likely glycan was added to the PCDL, including a deconvoluted mass MSMS spectra were available, using nomenclature generating the most easily readable format.

A second round of glycopeptide discovery used *Bioconfirm* v10.0 data analysis software (*Agilent Technologies*). Sequences were matched by peptide accurate mass using the following parameters: peptide cleavage nonspecific, number of missed cleavages 20, N-linked modifications Man3, Man5-9, G0, G0F, G0F GlcNAc, G1, G1F, G2, G2F. Any peptide bearing the glycosylation motif NXS/T with two or more glycan hits within a retention time window +/-2 min was added to the PCDL, excepting missed cysteine alkylations.

In-source fragmentation due to glycopeptide ions absorbing excess energy could be identified in the MS by searching extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of the oxonium reporter ions and also by related glycopeptides appearing with exactly the same retention times. Both were observed infrequently and at manageable levels.

Intact mass analysis

Concentrated protein samples were diluted to 0.02 mg/mL in 0.1% formic acid and 50 μ L was injected on to a 2.1 mm x 12.5 mm *Zorbax* 5 μ m 300SB-C3 guard column (*Agilent Technologies*) housed in a column oven set at 40°C. The solvent system used consisted of 0.1% formic acid (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in methanol (solvent B). Chromatography was performed as follows: Initial conditions were 90% A and 10% B and a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. A linear gradient from 10% B to 80% B was applied over 35 seconds. Elution then proceeded isocratically at 95% B for 40 seconds followed by equilibration at initial conditions for a further 15 seconds. The mass spectrometer was configured with the standard ESI source and operated in positive ion mode. The ion source was operated with the capillary voltage at 4000 V, nebulizer pressure at 60 psig, drying gas at 350°C and

drying gas flow rate at 12 L/min. The instrument ion optic voltages were as follows: fragmentor 250 V, skimmer 60 V and octopole RF 250 V.

Discussion

Glycoprotein analysis is difficult. It is either performed in biopharmaceutical laboratories with proprietary expertise of glycan analysis on simple glycoproteins, such as immunoglobulins, or performed by a handful of academic labs with experience of glycan discovery from complex glycoproteins. Many protein researchers choose to ignore it, manipulating cell lines such that they cannot process beyond Man5, or to remove glycans entirely by mutation at the glycosylation motif or enzymatically [12]. While this approach has its merits, it has exposed a serious weakness in analytical capability when faced with a pathogen such as SARS-CoV-2 whose ability to evade the immune system is dependent upon heavy and complex glycosylation.

We have chosen an approach relying on elastase digestion to generate glycopeptides bearing a single glycan but with a sufficient number of amino acid residues to enable chromatographic separation by reversed-phase HPLC, as well as confident identification by accurate mass or *de novo* sequencing. Our choice of reversed phase HPLC has excellent discrimination for short elastase peptides, whereas glycans show little or no interaction with the column. Thus, species originating from a single glycosylation site with the same peptide sequence but several different glycans, eluted with the same retention time and could be discriminated by mass spectrometry. We used reversed phase HPLC and MSMS to characterise as many glycopeptides as possible. Although this required complex and time-consuming data analysis, it needed only be performed once, with the goal of building an accurate mass-retention time database for all observed Spike glycopeptides. Provided the same HPLC column and mobile phase conditions are used, retention times should not vary significantly. Thus, working in the analytical mode we describe, glycan structure and peptide sequence is assigned confidently, by accurate mass and retention time alone. LC-MS data need only to be searched against the mass-retention time database, and peak areas recorded, to generate a complete characterisation of Spike glycans.

We believe the MRTF method described here has advantages over other approaches to Spike glycan analysis. Previous studies relied upon very expensive equipment and software unavailable in most analytical laboratories. Working in 'analytical' mode, all that is necessary is to reproduce the chromatography, hence our method is a generic one, which can be run using any HPLC coupled to any accurate mass instrument and is not restricted to specific proprietary data analysis software. We used PCDL and *Masshunter*, but MRTF analysis can be performed on any vendor software or manually. Moreover, it demands no specialised expertise in glycobiology, and is thus accessible to many more researchers. Some published methods require multiple specific endoproteases, some of which cannot be readily sourced. Our method uses a single enzyme, elastase, which is inexpensive and widely available. Nor does it rely on glycosidases, which may not work efficiently and do not cleave O-linked glycans.

Our data contains an excess of glycopeptides with the motif (y)nNxS/T. This appears to be a very convenient function of elastase on glycopeptides, because the presence of the motif at the C-terminus facilitates *de novo* sequencing. We would be interested to know if this cleavage bias towards the C-terminus of the glycan motif is reproducible in other labs and whether it indicates steric hindrance within the elastase enzyme structure. If such bias is real, then these peptides are less likely to be a false positive result.

Receptor binding domain (RBD) from Spike protein is of interest in many labs for development of serological tests or neutralising antibodies. Because the yield of RBD was five times higher than Spike and more was initially available, we used it for method optimisation, and since it bears only two glycosylation sites which are also present on Spike, it functioned as a useful model. Consequently, N343 on glycopeptide GEVFNAT is over-represented in our demonstration PCDL. We consistently

observed the same three major glycans (Man5, G0F and G0F+GluNAc) on this peptide and these were also in agreement with intact mass analysis of RBD protein as shown in Figure 6. On closer inspection, glycans up to A2F could also be observed at lower levels. We suspect that sufficiently detailed analysis may reveal all possible glycan structures with low abundance at all available sites. The most important would therefore be the top three to five glycans. If the complete complement of Spike protein glycoforms proves too challenging for a single analysis, this site, which is the most complete, would make a good proxy for total glycosylation.

We acknowledge that the mass-retention time fingerprinting method described, like all database searching methods, is dependent on the reproducibility of the enzyme digestion and both the quality and the completeness of database being searched. The example PCDL database reported here is provided as a demonstration. Due to glycan complexity and the likely absence of specific glycans within the Spike batches prepared by us, it will always be incomplete. Moreover, individual glycopeptides were identified with variable degrees of certainty, and we recommend that they should be validated by the user. As with all glycan analysis methods, there is a bias towards glycopeptides that are easiest to identify by the techniques used, and such bias will also be reflected within the database. Once the PDCL has been created, it must be refined and extended over time to improve data quality, and it is our intention to do so.

References

1. Zhang, Y., et al., *Site-specific N-glycosylation Characterization of Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Spike Proteins using High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry*. bioRxiv, 2020.
2. Solá, R.J. and K. Griebenow, *Glycosylation of therapeutic proteins*. BioDrugs, 2010. **24**(1): p. 9-21.
3. Vugmeyster, Y., et al., *Pharmacokinetics and toxicology of therapeutic proteins: advances and challenges*. World journal of biological chemistry, 2012. **3**(4): p. 73.
4. Tortorici, M.A. and D. Veasler, *Structural insights into coronavirus entry*. Advances in virus research, 2019. **105**: p. 93-116.
5. Wrapp, D., et al., *Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation*. Science, 2020. **367**(6483): p. 1260-1263.
6. Yang, T.-J., et al., *Cryo-EM analysis of a feline coronavirus spike protein reveals a unique structure and camouflaging glycans*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2020.
7. Shajahan, A., et al., *Deducing the N-and O-glycosylation profile of the spike protein of novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2*. bioRxiv, 2020.
8. Watanabe, Y., et al., *Site-specific analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 glycan shield*. BioRxiv, 2020.
9. Yao, H., et al., *Molecular architecture of the SARS-CoV-2 virus*. bioRxiv, 2020: p. 2020.07.08.192104.
10. Aricescu, A.R., W. Lu, and E.Y. Jones, *A time-and cost-efficient system for high-level protein production in mammalian cells*. Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography, 2006. **62**(10): p. 1243-1250.
11. Nettleship, J.E., et al., *Transient expression in HEK 293 cells: an alternative to E. coli for the production of secreted and intracellular mammalian proteins*, in *Insoluble proteins*. 2015, Springer. p. 209-222.
12. Chang, V.T., et al., *Glycoprotein structural genomics: solving the glycosylation problem*. Structure, 2007. **15**(3): p. 267-273.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Professor David Harvey for critical reading of the manuscript and for helpful comments. We thank Professor Ray Owens for kindly providing the RBD-6H construct and Professor Gavin Screaton and Dr. Juthathip Mongkolsapaya for kindly providing the Spike construct. AdvancedBio Peptide HPLC column was a gift from Agilent Technologies. This project has received

funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 875510. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and EFPIA and Ontario Institute for Cancer Research, Royal Institution for the Advancement of Learning McGill University, Kungliga Tekniska Hogskolan, Diamond Light Source Limited. The SGC is a registered charity (number 1097737) that receives funds from AbbVie, Bayer Pharma AG, Boehringer Ingelheim, Canada Foundation for Innovation, Eshelman Institute for Innovation, Genentech, Janssen, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, MSD, Ontario Ministry of Research, Innovation and Science (MRIS), Pfizer, São Paulo Research Foundation-FAPESP, Takeda, and Wellcome [106169/ZZ14/Z].