1 Title: An antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) activity eliminated neutralizing 2 antibody with potent prophylactic and therapeutic efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus 3 monkeys 4 5 **Authors:** Shuang Wang<sup>1,7, 8</sup>, Yun Peng<sup>2, 8</sup>, Rongjuan Wang<sup>1,7, 8</sup>, Shasha Jiao<sup>1,7, 8</sup>, Min Wang<sup>1, 8</sup>, Weijin 6 Huang<sup>3, 8</sup>, Chao Shan<sup>4</sup>, Wen Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Zepeng Li<sup>1</sup>, Chunying Gu<sup>1</sup>, Ben Chen<sup>1</sup>, Xue Hu<sup>4</sup>, Yanfeng 7 Yao<sup>2</sup>, Juan Min<sup>5</sup>, Huajun Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Ying Chen<sup>2</sup>, Ge Gao<sup>2</sup>, Peipei Tang<sup>1</sup>, Gang Li<sup>1</sup>, An Wang<sup>1</sup>, Lan 8 Wang<sup>3  $\boxtimes$ </sup>, Shuo Chen<sup>6  $\boxtimes$ </sup>, Xun Gui<sup>1  $\boxtimes$ </sup>, Jinchao Zhang<sup>1  $\boxtimes$ </sup>, Zhiming Yuan<sup>2  $\boxtimes$ </sup>, Datao Liu<sup>1  $\boxtimes$ </sup> 9 10 **Affiliations:** 11 <sup>1</sup>Mabwell (Shanghai) Bioscience Co., Ltd., Shanghai, 201210, China. 12 <sup>2</sup>Center for Biosafety Mega-Science, Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of 13 14 Sciences, Wuhan, Hubei, 430071, China. <sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Health for Research on Quality and Standardization of 15 16 Biotech Products, National Institutes for Food and Drug Control, Beijing, 100050, China. <sup>4</sup>State Key Laboratory of Virology, Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 17 Wuhan, Hubei, 430071, China. 18 <sup>5</sup>Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, Hubei, 430071, China. 19 20 <sup>6</sup>Ludwig Cancer Research, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford 21 OX3 7DQ, United Kingdom. <sup>7</sup>Beijing Kohnoor Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, 102206, China. 22 23 <sup>8</sup>These authors contributed equally: Shuang Wang, Yun Peng, Rongjuan Wang, Shasha Jiao, 24 Min Wang, Weijin Huang. Email: wanglan@nifdc.org.cn; ericshuochen@gmail.com; xun.gui@mabwell.com; 25 jinchao.zhang@mabwell.com; yzm@wh.iov.cn; datao.liu@mabwell.com 26 27

### 29 Abstract:

30	Efficacious interventions are urgently needed for the treatment of COVID-19. Here, we report
31	a monoclonal antibody (mAb), MW05, showing high SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing activity by
32	disrupting the interaction of receptor binding domain (RBD) with angiotensin-converting
33	enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor. Crosslinking of Fc with FcyRIIB mediates antibody-dependent
34	enhancement (ADE) activity by MW05. This activity was eliminated by introducing the LALA
35	mutation to the Fc region (MW05/LALA). Most importantly, potent prophylactic and
36	therapeutic effects against SARS-CoV-2 were observed in rhesus monkeys. A single dose of
37	MW05/LALA completely blocked the infection of SARS-CoV-2 in a study of its prophylactic
38	effect and totally cleared SARS-CoV-2 in three days in a treatment setting. These results pave
39	the way for the development of MW05/LALA as an effective strategy for combating COVID-
40	19.

42	COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2, is currently spreading globally, threatening human
43	health and economic development <sup>1,2</sup> . As of July 27, 2020, COVID-19 has resulted in more than
44	16 million infections and 647,784 deaths. Although, multiple clinical trials are ongoing to
45	evaluate repurposing anti-viral and anti-inflammatory agents, no specific treatment against
46	SARS-CoV-2 has been approved since the worldwide outbreak began six months ago <sup>3</sup> .
47	Treatments using plasma from convalescent COVID-19 patients have shown clear clinical
48	improvement of both mild and severe cases of COVID-19, indicating that passive
49	administration of neutralizing mAbs could have a major impact on controlling the SARS-CoV-
50	2 pandemic by providing immediate protection <sup>4,5</sup> . During the SARS and Middle East
51	respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) outbreaks, a number of neutralizing mAbs
52	were developed and proved their potential therapeutic uses for the treatment of coronavirus
53	infections <sup>6,7</sup> . Neutralizing antibodies for Ebola virus, mAb114 and REGN-EB3, are other
54	encouraging examples that using antibody-based therapy can be effective during an infectious
55	disease outbreak <sup>8-10</sup> .

The spike (S) protein on the surface of SARS-CoV-2 is the major molecular determinant for viral attachment, membrane fusion and entry into host cells. Therefore, this protein is the main target for development of neutralizing antibodies and vaccines. Previous studies revealed that a large number of antibodies targeting the receptor binding domain (RBD) of either SARS-CoV or MERS-CoV showed potent neutralizing activities by disrupting the interaction of spike protein with receptors on host cells <sup>11-13</sup>. Screening of RBD targeting antibodies is the most straightforward way to generate SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies.

63 To obtain fully human SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing mAbs, we first generated SARS-CoV-2

3 / 28

64	RBD recombinant protein. We used this protein as bait to isolate specific memory B cells from
65	peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of a COVID-19 convalescent patient. We then
66	used a single B cell cloning strategy to amplify the variable regions of IgG antibodies from
67	individual B cells and insert them into human IgG1 vectors for recombinant antibody
68	expression <sup>14</sup> . A large panel of SARS-CoV-2 RBD-binding mAbs were generated and
69	characterized. Two mAbs, MW05 and MW07, showed high RBD binding abilities and strong
70	RBD/ACE2 disrupting activities in ELISA. IC $_{50}$ was determined to be 0.054 $\mu$ g/mL for MW05
71	and 0.037 $\mu g/mL$ for MW07. (Fig. 1 A to C). FACS analysis showed that both mAbs could
72	specifically bind to SARS-CoV-2 S protein expressed on HEK293 cells (Fig. 1D). The
73	dissociation constants (K <sub>d</sub> ) of MW05 and MW07 binding to SARS-CoV-2 S1 recombinant
74	protein was measured by a surface plasmon resonance (SPR) assay. $K_{\rm d}$ was 0.403 nM for
75	MW05 and 0.462 nM for MW07 (Fig.1E). No cross reactivity with SARS-CoV or MERS-CoV
76	S1 recombinant proteins was detected for either mAb, as assessed using ELISA (Fig. 1 F).
77	SARS-CoV-2 raced around the world after its initial outbreak. Over the past few months it has
78	been mutating. We next expressed RBD recombinant proteins from eight SARS-CoV-2 strains
79	with reported high-frequency mutations. Binding assays showed that both MW05 and MW07
80	exhibited the same binding abilities to all RBD recombinant proteins. This result suggests that
81	MW05 and MW07 may neutralize all eight of these strains (Fig. 1G; Extended Data Fig. 1).
82	To investigate the neutralizing activities of MW05 and MW07, we used in vitro assays to
83	assess neutralization of first pseudovirus bearing the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 and then
84	authentic virus. Both MW05 and MW07 inhibited pseudovirus infection of Huh7 cells
85	effectively. $NT_{50}$ was measured as 0.030 µg/ml for MW05 and 0.063 µg/ml for MW07 (Fig. 2,

A and B). We further evaluated the neutralizing activities of these two mAbs with authentic 86 SARS-CoV-2 infection of Vero E6 cells. As expected, MW05 and MW07 blocked authentic 87 88 SARS-CoV-2 entry into Vero E6 cells, with 100% neutralization titer (NT<sub>100</sub>) around 1 µg/ml for MW05 and 5 µg/ml for MW07 (Fig. 2, C and D). In summary, MW05 and MW07 exhibited 89 90 substantial neutralization of both SARS-Cov-2 pseudovirus and authentic virus. 91 ADE has been observed for coronaviruses and several publications have shown that sera induced by SARS-CoV S protein enhanced viral entry into immune cells and inflammation <sup>15,16</sup>. 92 93 To evaluate ADE activities of MW05 and MW07, we assessed the infection of SARS-CoV-2 94 pseudovirus and mAbs complex in THP-1, K562 and Raji cells. These cells are resistant to SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus infection, as they do not express ACE2 receptor (Extended Data Fig. 95 2). Cells were incubated with the mixture of pseudovirus with serially diluted MW05. Enhanced 96 97 SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus infection of Raji cells, but not of THP-1 o K562 cells was observed (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, No ADE activity was detected for MW07 on all three cell lines (Fig. 98 99 3B). Next, we determined the  $Fc\gamma R$  expression profile of the three cell lines. FACS data 100 revealed that Raji cells, which showed ADE activity for MW05, only express a relatively high 101 level of FcyRIIB; THP-1 cells express high levels of FcyRIA and FcyRIIA; and K562 cells only 102 express high level of FcyRIIA (Fig. 3C). These results indicate that FcyRIIB is the major FcyR contributing to the enhancement of SARS-CoV-2 infection mediated by MW05. 103 104 To further assess the ADE activities of MW05, we pre-incubated Raji cells along with irrelevant hIgG1 or MW05 along with FcyRIA recombinant protein to disrupt the interaction of 105 106 MW05 Fc with FcyRIIB on Raji cells. Both pre-incubation strategies effectively inhibited the ADE activities of MW05 (Fig.3 D and E). FcyRIA has high affinity for the Fc of human IgG1. 107

5 / 28

108	Accordingly, pre-incubation of MW05 with FcyRIA recombinant protein showed higher ADE	
109	inhibition than did pre-incubation of irrelevant hIgG1with Raji cells by disruption of MW05 Fc	
110	with with FcyRIIB on Raji cells (Fig.3 D and E). To eliminate the risk of ADE and Fe	
111	mediated acute lung injury in vivo, we introduced the LALA mutation to the Fc region of MW05	
112	(MW05/LALA) to decrease the engagement of MW05 with Fc $\gamma$ Rs. This mutation completely	
113	eliminated ADE activity of MW05 without decreasing its neutralizing activity (Fig. 3 F and G).	
114	We evaluated the prophylactic and therapeutic effects of MW05/LALA in a rhesus monkey	
115	SARS-CoV-2 infection model. In the prophylactic (pre-challenge) group, three animals were	
116	injected intravenously with a single dose of MW05/LALA (20 mg/kg) one day before receiving	
117	a $1 \times 10^5$ 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID <sub>50</sub> ) SARS-CoV-2 challenge via intratracheal	
118	incubation (Fig. 4A). MW05/LALA antibody effectively protected animals from SARS-CoV-2	
119	infection; almost no virus was detected in the oropharyngeal swabs of the prophylactic group	
120	(Fig. 4B).	

In the treatment (post-challenge) group, three animals were first challenged with  $1 \times 10^5$ 121 122 TCID<sub>50</sub> SARS-CoV-2. Then, at day 1 post infection (dpi), a single dose of MW05/LALA (40 mg/kg) was administered intravenously to these animals (Fig. 4A). Animals in the control group 123 (n=3) were given a single dose of irrelevant hIgG1 (20 mg/kg) on 1 dpi. In the control group, 124 the viral loads in oropharyngeal swabs increased to a peak of about 10<sup>7.0</sup> RNA copies/mL on 4 125 dpi, then decreased to the limit of detection on 7 dpi (Fig. 4B). Virus was only detected in the 126 rectal swabs of two animals in the control group (Fig. 4C). Notably, virus titers decreased in 127 the MW05/LALA treatment group immediately after administration. No virus was detected in 128 the MW05/LALA treatment group even on 4 dpi, the time point at which viral titers in the 129

control group reached their peak. A single dose of MW05/LALA exhibited SARS-CoV-2
therapeutic efficacy in a rhesus monkey model, clearing virus in three days after antibody
administration (Fig. 4B). No significant weight loss or body temperature change was observed
in any of the animals during the study (Extended Data Fig. 3 and 4). No virus was detected in
nasal swabs or blood samples (Extended Data Fig. 5). Additionally, no significant abnormal
hematology changes were observed (Extended Data Fig. 6).

136 Rhesus monkeys challenged with SARS-CoV-2 were evaluated for tissue damage. One 137 monkey from each group was euthanized for necropsy on 6 and 7 dpi. Interstitial pneumonia 138 symptoms were observed in the control group, including thickened alveolar septa, intensive infiltration of monocytes and lymphocytes, and proliferation of fibroblasts (Fig. 4D). We also 139 observed cellulose exudation in some alveolar cavities, with the formation of hyaline membrane 140 141 and pulmonary hemorrhaging (Fig. 4D). Monkeys in treatment group displayed limited pathological lung changes, with overall alveolar structure intact and much lower levels of 142 143 fibroblasts proliferation and leukocyte infiltration than were observed in the control monkeys 144 (Fig. 4D). No lesions were observed in the lungs of the animal euthanized on 6 dpi and very 145 mild pulmonary hemorrhaging of the animal euthanized on 7 dpi in the prophylactic group (Fig. 4D). In summary, MW05/LALA effectively inhibited lung tissue damage in both prophylactic 146 and therapeutic ways in a rhesus monkey SARS-CoV-2 infection model. 147

Immunohistochemical analysis of virus in lung tissues showed that SARS-CoV-2 protein only been detected in the lung tissue of the control group on 5 dpi but not 6 dpi and 7 dpi. In comparison, viral proteins were undetectable in the lung tissues of animals in the prophylactic and therapeutic groups (Fig. 4E). In order to further understand the distribution of SARS-CoV-

152	2 in upper respiratory tract, trachea and bronchus tissue samples were collected on 6 dpi and 7
153	dpi. Viral titers were then determined by qRT-PCR. On 6 dpi and 7 dpi, high levels of SARS-
154	CoV-2 RNA copies were detected in trachea and bronchi tissues of control animals, while no
155	viral nucleic acid was detected from tissue samples of both prophylactic and therapeutic groups
156	(Fig. 4F).

The global COVID-19 pandemic is running rampant over the world. There are great unmet medical needs for COVID-19 therapy as no SARS-CoV-2 specific drugs or vaccines have yet been approved. Neutralizing mAbs are promising agents to combat emerging infectious diseases. Our results showed the prophylactic and therapeutic efficacy of MW05/LALA on SARS-CoV-2 *in vivo*. This work paves the way for further development of antibody-based therapies for prophylactic or therapeutic treatment of COVID-19.

163

### 164 Methods

Ethics statement. All neutralizing assays using SARS-CoV-2 authentic virus were performed in biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) facility. Monkey studies were carried out in an animal biosafety level 4 (ABSL-4) facility with protocols approved by the Laboratory Animal Welfare and Ethics Committee of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. The blood was taken from a convalescent COVID-19 patient after got his signature for the informed consent form.

170

171 Cells and viruses. HEK293 (ATCC, CRL-3216) cells, Huh7 (Institute of Basic Medical Sciences

172 CAMS, 3111C0001CCC000679) cells and Vero E6 (ATCC, CRL-1586) cells were cultured at 37  $^{\circ}$ C

173 in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS).

Raji (ATCC, CCL-86) cells, THP-1 (ATCC, TIB-202) cells and K562 (ATCC, CCL-243) cells were
cultured at 37 °C in RPMI 1640 Medium with 10% FBS. SARS-CoV-2 was isolated by the Center
for Disease Control and Prevention of Zhejiang province. Vero E6 cells were applied to the
reproduction of SARS-CoV-2 stocks.

178

Recombinant protein generation. The SARS-CoV-2 RBD (319-533aa, accession number: 179 QHD43416.1), SARS-CoV-2 S1 (1-685aa, accession number: QHD43416.1) and SARS-CoV-2 180 RBD mutants recombinant proteins tagged with C-terminal  $6 \times$  His were cloned into the pKN293E 181 182 expression vector. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with plasmids using 293fectinTM 183 Transfection Reagent (Cat: 12347019, Life Technologies) when the cell density reached  $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells/mL. Four days after transfection, the conditioned media was collected by centrifugation 184 185 followed by purification using HisTrapTM HP (Cat: 17-5248-01, GE Healthcare). The purified 186 protein was buffer exchanged into PBS using a Vivacon 500 concentrator (Cat: VS0122, Sartorius Stedim). For the generation of human ACE2-hFc and SARS-CoV-2 RBD-mFc recombinant 187 188 proteins, RBD or ACE2 sequence (1-615aa, accession number: NP 068576.1) was cloned into 189 mouse IgG1 or human IgG1 Fc backbone in pKN293E expression vectors and transiently 190 transfected into HEK293 cells followed by media collection and purification using MabSelect SuRe 191 antibody purification resin (Cat: 29-0491-04, GE Healthcare). SEC-HPLC and SDS-PAGE were 192 used to check the size and purity of these recombinant proteins.

193 SARS-CoV-2 RBD mutant information:

Mutants	Virus Strain Name	Accession ID	Data Source
111111111			2 20

RBD/NE39K	hCoV-19/Scotland/EDB162/2020	EPI_ISL_425924	GISAID
V367F	HCoV-19/England/20134027504/2020	EPI_ISL_423136	GISAID
G476S	hCoV-19/USA/WA-S28/2020	EPI_ISL_417081	GISAID
V483A	hCoV-19/USA/WA-S529/2020	EPI_ISL_434289	GISAID
0414E	hCoV-19/USA/AZ-TGEN-	EDI ISI 426500	CISAID
Q414L	TG268099/2020	EFI_ISL_420300	UISAID
G446V	hCoV-19/Australia/VIC329/2020	EPI_ISL_426639	GISAID
A 47537	hCoV-19/USA/AZ-TGEN-		
A4/3V	TG268282/2020	Er1_ISL_420304	GISAID
A520S	hCoV-19/USA/WA_0432/2020	EPI_ISL_426441	GISAID

194

195 Antibody discovery and expression. mAbs were generated from SARS-CoV-2 RBD specific 196 memory B cells using single B cell isolation and cloning strategy <sup>17</sup>. For preparation of MW05 and MW07 recombinant antibodies, heavy chain and light chain plasmids were transiently co-197 transfected into HEK293 cells or stably expressed in CHO cells followed by purification with 198 Protein A resin. Antibodies MW05/LALA and MW07/LALA were generated by introducing the 199 LALA mutation (L234A and L235A) in the Fc region of IgG1 to abolish binding with FcyRs and 200 201 prepared using the same protocol used for generation of wild-type mAbs. 202 203 ELISA. To access the binding of mAbs to recombinant proteins (SARS-CoV-2 RBD, SARS-CoV-204 2 RBD mutants, SARS-CoV-2 S1, SARS-CoV S1 (Cat: 40150-V08B1, Sino Biological), MERS-

205 CoV S1 (Cat: 40069-V08B1, Sino Biological)), the proteins were first coated on 96 well ELISA

206	plates at 1 $\mu$ g/ml in 100 $\mu$ L at 4°C overnight. After blocking with 5% BSA in PBS, serially diluted
207	mAbs were added to the plates and incubated for 60 min at 37°C. Plates were washed and secondary
208	Ab Goat Anti-Human IgG Fc-HRP (Cat: 109-035-098, Jackson ImmunoResearch) was added. TMB
209	was used for color development and absorbance at 450 nm was measured using a microplate reader.
210	For the RBD/ACE2-hFc blocking assay, ACE2-hFc recombinant protein was coated on a 96 well
211	ELISA plate at 0.75 $\mu$ g/ml in 100 $\mu$ L at 4°C overnight. Equal volumes (100 $\mu$ L + 100 $\mu$ L) of pre-
212	incubated RBD-mFc/mAb complex (RBD-mFc concentration: 100 ng/ml, mAb concentrations
213	between 40 to 0.00023 $\mu$ g/ml) were added to the plates and incubated for 60 min at 37°C. Plates
214	were washed and secondary Ab Goat Anti-Mouse IgG Fc-HRP (Cat:115-035-071, Jackson
215	ImmunoResearch) was added. TMB was used for color development and absorbance at 450 nm was
216	measured using a microplate reader.

217

218 Flow cytometry assay. The binding of MW05 and MW07 to S protein expression on cell surface was assessed by FACS. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected by SARS-CoV-2 Spike 219 220 expression plasmid (Cat: VG40589-UT, Sino Biological) for 24 to 48 hours. Cells were then collected and blocked with 5% BSA for 30 min at RT. 3-fold serially diluted MW05, MW07, ACE2-221 hFc and isotype control antibody were added into cells (2  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> cells/sample in 100  $\mu L)$  and 222 incubated for 60 min on ice. After washing twice with 1 × PBS, cells were stained with 1/200 diluted 223 Goat Anti human IgG Fc-FITC antibody (Cat: F9512, Sigma) for 45 min and analyzed using flow 224 225 cytometry (CytoFLEX, Beckman Coulter). The FcyR expression profiles of Raji, THP-1 and K562 226 were determined by FACS. Cells were collected and washed twice with 1×PBS, then blocked with 227 Fc receptor blocking solution buffer (Cat: MX1505, Maokang Biological) for 30 min at RT. Then

228	10 μL anti-FcγRI antibody-FITC (Cat: 10256-R401-F, Sino Biological), anti-FcγRIIa antibody-
229	FITC (Cat: 10374-MM02-F, Sino Biological), anti-FcyRIIIa antibody-FITC (Cat: 10389-MM41-F,
230	Sino Biological) and FITC-labeled anti-FcyRIIb antibody (Cat: NBP2-14905, Biotechne; Cat:
231	MX488AS100-1KT, Sigma-Aldrich) were added into cells (1×106 cells/sample in 100 $\mu L)$ and
232	incubated for 60 min at 2-6°C and analyzed using flow cytometry (CytoFLEX, Beckman Coulter).
233	
234	Surface plasmon resonance (SPR). SPR measurements were performed at room temperature using
235	a BIAcore S200 system with CM4 biosensor chips (GE Healthcare). For all measurements, a buffer
236	consisting of 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, 3 mM EDTA, pH 7.4 and 0.005% (v/v) Tween-20 was
237	used as running buffer. All proteins were exchanged into this buffer in advance. The blank channel
238	of the chip served as the negative control. SARS-CoV-2 S1 recombinant protein was captured on
239	the chip at 175 response units. Gradient concentrations of MW05 Fab or MW07 Fab (from 200 nM
240	to 6.25 nM with 2-fold dilution) were then flowed over the chip surface. After each cycle, the sensor
241	was regenerated with Gly-HCl (pH 1.5). The affinity was calculated using a 1:1 (Rmax Local fit)
242	binding fit model with BIAevaluation software.

243

Neutralization assay. SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus was prepared and provided by the Institute for Biological Product Control, National Institutes for Food and Drug Control (NIFDC) <sup>18</sup>. The TCID<sub>50</sub> was determined by the transduction of pseudovirus into Huh7 cells. For pseudovirus neutralization assay, 100  $\mu$ L of mAbs at different concentrations were mixed with 50  $\mu$ L supernatant containing 500 TCID<sub>50</sub> pseudovirus. The mixture was incubated for 60 min at 37 °C, supplied with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. All mAbs were tested in concentrations ranging from 0.55 ng/mL to 28  $\mu$ g/mL in the context of

250	Huh7 cells. 100 $\mu$ L of Huh7 cell suspension (2 × 10 <sup>5</sup> cells/mL) was then added to the mixtures of
251	pseudoviruses and mAbs for an additional 24 h incubation at 37 °C. Then, 150 $\mu L$ of supernatant
252	was removed, and 100 $\mu L$ luciferase detecting regents (Promega) was added to each well. After 2
253	mins incubation, each well was mixed 10 times by pipetting, and 150 $\mu L$ of the mixture was
254	transferred to a new microplate. Luciferase activity was measured using a microplate luminometer
255	(ThermoFisher). The 50% neutralization titer ( $NT_{50}$ ) was calculated using GraphPad Prism 7.0. For
256	SARS-CoV-2 authentic virus neutralization assay, Vero E6 cells were diluted and seeded into a 96-
257	well plate with $1 \times 10^4$ cells/well in 100 µL volume at 37 °C. 16 h later, cells were washed by $1 \times$ PBS
258	for 3 times and added diluted antibodies in equal volume with the concentration ranging from 0.1
259	$\mu$ g/mL to 100 $\mu$ g/mL. 100 TCID <sub>50</sub> SARS-CoV-2 authentic virus was used for each well. Meanwhile,
260	a control group without antibody was set up. A virus back-titration was performed to assess the
261	correct virus titer used in each experiment. Cytopathic effect of each well was monitored every day
262	and photographed at day 3 or day 4 after virus infection. All experiments were conducted following
263	the standard operating procedures of the approved BSL-3 facility.

Antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) assay. The ADE assays were performed using Raji, THP-1 and K562 cell lines. 25  $\mu$ L of 2-fold serially diluted mAbs were mixed with 25  $\mu$ L supernatant containing 250 TCID<sub>50</sub> pseudovirus. The mixture was incubated for 60 min at 37 °C, supplied with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. All mAbs were tested in the concentrations ranging from 6000 to 23.4 ng/mL. 100  $\mu$ L of THP-1, Raji and K562 cells at the density of 2 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL were added to the mixtures of pseudoviruses and mAbs for an additional 24 h incubation. Then, same volume of luciferase detecting regents (Promega) was added to each well. After 2 mins incubation, the luciferase activity

272 was measured using a microplate luminometer (Thermo Fisher).

273

274 Animal experiments. All animal experiments were performed according to the procedures 275 approved by the Chinese Academy of Sciences and complied with all relevant ethical regulations 276 regarding animal research. Nine 6 or 7 year-old rhesus monkeys (3 females and 6 males) were 277 divided into 3 groups: a control group (one female and two males), a pre-exposure group (one female 278 and two males) and a post-exposure group (one female and two males). Rhesus monkeys in the 279 control group were injected with 20 mg/kg negative control antibody. For the prophylactic study, 280 monkeys in the pre-exposure group were given a single dose of 20 mg/kg MW05/LALA antibody intravenously one day before being challenged with  $1 \times 10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub> SARS-CoV-2 via intratracheal 281 282 routes. For the therapeutic study, monkeys in the post-exposure group were administrated with a 283 single dose of 40 mg/kg MW05/LALA antibody intravenously one day after challenged with 1×10<sup>5</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub> SARS-CoV-2 via intratracheal routes. Body weight and body temperature were monitored 284 285 every day. Oropharyngeal, nasal and rectal swabs were collected for 7 days. Blood samples were 286 collected. White blood cells (WBC), neutrophils (NEUT), lymphocytes (LYMPH) and monocytes 287 (MONO) were assessed for all monkeys. Swabs were placed into 1 mL of DMEM after collection. 288 Viral RNA was extracted by the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was eluted in 50 µL of elution buffer and used as the template for 289 290 RT-PCR. The pairs of primers were used targeting S gene: RBD-qF1: 5'-291 CAATGGTTTAACAGGCACAGG-3'; RBD-qR1: 5'-CTCAAGTGTCTGTGGATCACG-3'. 2 µL 292 of RNA were used to verify the RNA quantity by HiScript® II One Step qRT-PCR SYBR® Green 293 Kit (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The amplification was

294	performed as follows: 50°C for 3 min, 95°C for 30 s followed by 40 cycles consisting of 95°C for
295	10 s, 60°C for 30 s, and a default melting curve step in an ABI step-one machine $(14)$ .

296

```
297 Histopathology and Immunohistochemistry. Animal necropsies were performed according to a
```

- 298 standard protocol. Samples for histological examination were stored in 10% neutral-buffered
- 299 formalin for 7 days, embedded in paraffin, sectioned and stained with hematoxylin and eosin or
- 300 Masson's trichrome prior to examination by light microscopy.
- 301
- 302 Data availability. Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed
- to and will be fulfilled by the corresponding author Xun Gui (xun.gui@mabwell.com).
- 304
- 305

## 306 **References**

- 307
   1
   Zhou, P. *et al.* A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of

   308
   probable bat origin. *Nature* **579**, 270-273, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7

   309
   (2020).
- Wang, C., Horby, P. W., Hayden, F. G. & Gao, G. F. A novel coronavirus outbreak
  of global health concern. *Lancet* **395**, 470-473, doi:10.1016/S01406736(20)30185-9 (2020).
- 313 3 Galluccio, F. *et al.* Treatment algorithm for COVID-19: a multidisciplinary point of
  314 view. *Clin Rheumatol*, doi:10.1007/s10067-020-05179-0 (2020).
- Chen, L., Xiong, J., Bao, L. & Shi, Y. J. T. L. I. D. Convalescent plasma as a potential
  therapy for COVID-19. 20, 398-400 (2020).
- 3175Shen, C. *et al.* Treatment of 5 critically ill patients with COVID-19 with318convalescent plasma.**323**, 1582-1589 (2020).
- Sui, J. *et al.* Potent neutralization of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)
  coronavirus by a human mAb to S1 protein that blocks receptor association. **101**,
  2536-2541 (2004).
- 322 7 ter Meulen, J. *et al.* Human monoclonal antibody as prophylaxis for SARS
  323 coronavirus infection in ferrets. **363**, 2139-2141 (2004).
- 324 8 Corti, D. *et al.* Protective monotherapy against lethal Ebola virus infection by a

325		potently neutralizing antibody. <b>351</b> , 1339-1342 (2016).
326	9	Moekotte, A. <i>et al.</i> Monoclonal antibodies for the treatment of Ebola virus disease.
327		<b>25</b> , 1325-1335 (2016).
328	10	Saphire, E. O., Schendel, S. L., Gunn, B. M., Milligan, J. C. & Alter, G. J. N. i.
329		Antibody-mediated protection against Ebola virus. <b>19</b> , 1169-1178 (2018).
330	11	Li, Y. et al. A humanized neutralizing antibody against MERS-CoV targeting the
331		receptor-binding domain of the spike protein. <b>25</b> , 1237-1249 (2015).
332	12	Du, L. et al. The spike protein of SARS-CoV—a target for vaccine and therapeutic
333		development. <b>7</b> , 226-236 (2009).
334	13	Wang, Q., Wong, G., Lu, G., Yan, J. & Gao, G. F. J. A. r. MERS-CoV spike protein:
335		Targets for vaccines and therapeutics. 133, 165-177 (2016).
336	14	Shi, R. et al. A human neutralizing antibody targets the receptor binding site of
337		SARS-CoV-2. 1-8 (2020).
338	15	Liu, L. et al. Anti-spike IgG causes severe acute lung injury by skewing
339		macrophage responses during acute SARS-CoV infection. <b>4</b> (2019).
340	16	Wan, Y. et al. Molecular mechanism for antibody-dependent enhancement of
341		coronavirus entry. <b>94</b> (2020).
342	17	Ju, B. <i>et al.</i> Human neutralizing antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 infection. 1-8
343	10	(2020).
344	18	Nie, J. <i>et al.</i> Establishment and validation of a pseudovirus neutralization assay for
345		SARS-COV-2. 9, 680-686 (2020).
346		
347		
348		
349	Ackno	wledgements: We thank all colleagues from National Biosafety Laboratory (Wuhan), CAS
350	for the	eir support during the study. We thank Dr. Zhou Yi-Wu for his assistance in the
351	histopa	thological analysis. We are grateful to Dr. Dayong Tian and Dr. Qi An from Shanghai King-

352 cell Biotechnology Co. Ltd. for helping us performing the SARS-CoV-2 authentic virus neutralizing

- assays in the P3 laboratory. We thank Ms. Hongyuan Ren and Mr. Pan Gao for their helping in
- 354 measuring the affinities in SPR assay. We thank Dr. Yu Mao and Ms. Wenlu Liang for the stimulating

355 discussions.

**Funding**: This work was supported by National Key R&D Program (2020YFC0848600).

357 Author contributions: D.L, J.Z, X.G. and S.W. initiated and coordinated the project. R.W. and S.J.

358	analyzed antigen sequences, expressed and purified recombinant proteins. Z.L., M.W., and P.T.
359	expressed and purified antibodies. R.W. and S.J. performed the binding and blocking assays. B.C.
360	and W.J. performed the pseudovirus neutralization assays. C.G. and W.J. checked the expression
361	profile of FcyRs. W.H. and L.W. designed and supervised the SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus tests. X.G.,
362	B.C., W.J. and C.G. designed and performed the ADE experiments. G.L, A.W. and B.C supervised
363	the protein quality control work. Z.Y., Y.P., C.S., X.H., Y.Y. carried out the monkey studies with the
364	help from H.Z., Y.C. and G.G. J.M. and Y.C. performed histopathology and immunohistochemistry
365	assays. S.C performed the Sars-CoV-2 mutants sequence data analysis and design work. X.G., S.W.,
366	S.C. and J.Z. analyzed and prepared the manuscript with input from all authors.
367	Competing interests: X.G., S.W., R.W., S.J., W.J. and C.G. are listed as inventors on the licensed
368	patents for MW05 and MW07. S.W., R.W., S.J., M.W., W.J., Z.L., C.G., B.C., P.T., J.Z., X.G. and

- 369 D.L. are employees of Mabwell (Shanghai) Bioscience Co., Ltd. and may hold shares in Mabwell
- 370 (Shanghai) Bioscience Co., Ltd. The other authors declare no competing interests.



Fig. 1. MW05 and MW07 disrupting the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 RBD with hACE2 371 receptor. (A) The binding abilities of MW05 and MW07 to SARS-CoV-2 RBD recombinant 372 protein were assessed by ELISA. (B and C) The ability of MW05 and MW07 to block SARS-373 374 CoV-2 RBD interaction with ACE2 was evaluated by competition ELISA. (D) The binding of MW05 and MW07 to SARS-CoV-2 S protein expressed on HEK293 cells was measured by 375 376 FACS. (E) The dissociation constants (K<sub>d</sub>) of MW05 and MW07 to SARS-CoV-2 S1 recombinant protein were measured using a BIAcore S200 system. (F) The cross-reactivities 377 of MW05 and MW07 to SARS-CoV-CoV-2, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV recombinant S1 378 subunit of spike proteins (S1) were tested by ELISA. (G) The binding of MW05 and MW07 to 379 380 RBD recombinant proteins of SARS-CoV-2 mutated strains.





**Fig. 2. Neutralizing activities of MW05 and MW07.** (**A** and **B**) SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus neutralizing activities of MW05 and MW07 were evaluated on Huh7 cells. 50% neutralization titer (NT<sub>50</sub>) was calculated by fitting the luciferase activities from serially diluted antibodies to a sigmoidal dose-response curve. (**C** and **D**) SARS-CoV-2 authentic virus neutralizing activities of MW05 and MW07 were evaluated using Vero E6 cells. 100% neutralization titer (NT<sub>100</sub>) was labeled accordingly.



Fig. 3. Crosslinking of Fc and FcyR contributing to ADE activities of MW05. (A and B) 389 390 ADE activities of MW05 and MW07 were assessed using SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus. Pseudoviruses pre-incubated with serially diluted mAb mixture were added to Raji, THP-1 and 391 K562 cells to evaluate their ability to enhance infection. RPMI 1640 media containing 10% 392 FBS was used as negative control. (C) ADE activities of MW05 on Raji cells pre-treated with 393 394 media or 400 µg/mL irrelevant hIgG1 were assessed using SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus. (**D**) ADE 395 activities of MW05 pre-incubated with media or 80 µg/mL FcyRIA were assessed on Raji cells using SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus. (E) The ADE activities of MW05 and MW05/LALA on Raji 396 cells were compared using SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus. (F) The pseudovirus neutralizing 397 activities of MW05 and MW05/LALA on Huh7 cells were measured. 398

399



401 Fig. 4. Prophylactic and therapeutic effects of MW05/LALA. (A) A schematic of the 402 experimental in vivo set up. Nine rhesus monkeys were divided into pre-challenge (prophylactic), post-challenge (therapeutic) and control groups with 3 animals in each group. 403 404 Before virus challenge, the monkeys in the pre-challenge group were injected intravenously with a single dose of 20 mg/kg MW05/LALA . One day later, all monkeys were challenged 405 with  $1 \times 10^5$  TCID<sub>50</sub> SARS-CoV-2 via intratracheal intubation. A single dose of 40 mg/kg 406 MW05/LALA was administered to each animal in the post-challenge group on day 1 post 407 408 challenge. Monkeys in the control group were given 20 mg/kg irrelevant hIgG1 one day before virus challenge. (B) Viral titer of oropharyngeal swabs at the indicated time points were 409 410 evaluated using qRT-PCR. Data are average values from three monkeys (n=3) for the first 5 411 days, from two monkeys (n=2) for 6 dpi, and from one monkey (n=1) for 7 dpi. The line for limit of detection is labeled. (C) Viral titer of rectal swabs at the indicated time point were 412 evaluated by qRT-PCR. "C" indicates the control group, "PA" indicates the pre-challenge 413

414	group and "AC" indicates the post-challenge group. (D) Histopathology and
415	immunohistochemical examination of lung tissues from pre-challenge, post-challenge and
416	control monkeys. (E) Immunohistochemical analysis of SARS-CoV-2 protein expression in
417	lung tissues from pre-challenge, post-challenge and control monkeys. (F) Viral load analysis
418	of trachea, bronchus and lung tissues of experimental animals. L-Bronchus means left bronchus;
419	R-Bronchus means right bronchus.



## 421 Extended Data Fig. 1 Binding of ACE2 to different SARS-CoV-2 RBD mutants by

422 ELISA. RBD recombinant proteins were coated on 96-well plates. Human ACE2-mFc was

423 then added into plates to check the binding.



- 425 Extended Data Fig. 2 The infection of SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus in Raji, THP-1, K562 and
- 426 Huh7 cells.



- 427 Extended Data Fig. 3 The body weight of each monkey was checked every day. "C"
- 428 indicates the control group, "PA" indicates the pre-challenge group and "AC" indicates the
- 429 post-challenge group.



## 431 Extended Data Fig. 4 The body temperature of each monkey was checked every day. "C"

- 432 indicates the control group, "PA" indicates the pre-challenge group and "AC" indicates the
- 433 post-challenge group.



435 Extended Data Fig. 5 Viral titer of nasal swabs (A) or blood samples (B) of all monkeys

436 were evaluated by qRT-PCR.



438 Extended Data Fig. 6 White blood cells (A), neutrophils (B), lymphocytes (C) and

439 monocytes (D) in each monkey were monitored every day. "C" indicates the control group,

440 "PA" indicates the pre-challenge group and "AC" indicates the post-challenge group.