Lipidome profiles of plasma microvesicles differ in experimental cerebral malaria, compared to malaria without neurological complications

Amani M Batarseh^{1,2*}, Fatemeh Vafaei³, Elham Hosseini-Beheshti⁴, Alex Chen⁵, Amy Cohen⁴, Annette Juillard⁴, Nicholas Henry Hunt⁶, Michael Mariani⁷, Todd Mitchell^{8,9}, and Georges Emile Raymond Grau⁴

1. BCAL Dx, The University of Sydney, Sydney Knowledge Hub, Merewether Building, Sydney, NSW, Australia
2. BCAL Dx, National Innovation Centre, Eveleigh, NSW, Australia
3. School of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia
4. The University of Sydney, Vascular Immunology Unit, Discipline of Pathology, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine & Health, Sydney, NSW, Australia
5. Thermo Fisher Scientific, Scoresby, VIC, Australia
6. The University of Sydney, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine & Health and Bosch Institute, Sydney, NSW, Australia
7. Thermo Fisher Scientific, North Ryde, NSW, Australia
8. School of Medicine, Faculty of Science Medicine and Health, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia
9. Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia

Correspondence*:

Dr Amani Batarseh

BCAL Dx, The University of Sydney, Sydney Knowledge Hub, Merewether Building, Sydney, NSW, Australia & National Innovation Centre, Eveleigh, NSW, Australia

Email: abatarseh@bcaldiagnostics.com

And

Dr Georges E. Grau

Vascular Immunology Unit, The University of Sydney

Email: ggrau@med.usyd.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Cerebral malaria (CM), a fatal complication of *Plasmodium* infection that affects children in sub-Saharan Africa and adults in South-East Asia, results from incompletely understood pathogenetic mechanisms, which include an excessive release of microvesicles (MV). Plasma MV levels have been found elevated in CM patients and in the experimental mouse model.

We compared lipid profiles in circulating MV purified from CBA mice infected with *P. berghei* ANKA (PbA), which causes CM, to those from *P. yoelii* (Py), which does not. Here we show that plasma MV produced at the time of CM differed dramatically from those from non-CM mice, in spite of identical levels of parasitaemia. Using high-resolution LCMS, we identified over 300 lipid species within 12 lipid classes. Total lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE) levels were significantly lower in PbA infection compared to uninfected mice, while they were unchanged in Py MV, and lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) was more significantly reduced in PbA mice compared to the other two groups. These results suggest, for the time, that experimental CM is characterised by specific changes in lipid composition of circulating MV, pointing towards triglycerides (TG) especially docosahexaenoic acid (DHA 22:6) containing species, phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), LPC, LPE, and diacylglycerol (DG) as potential important players in CM pathogenesis.

Introduction

Cerebral malaria (CM) is a major neurovascular pathology complicating *Plasmodium falciparum* infection, which still is a major public health issue worldwide. CM is characterised by unarousable coma, neurological deficits and neurological sequelae. This debilitating syndrome accounts for the majority of malaria-induced deaths annually¹⁻³, (WHO 2019: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/malaria>).

The pathogenetic mechanisms of CM are exceedingly complex and therefore incompletely understood. One approach to this problem is the use of mouse models of CM. Dynamic interactions between infected erythrocyte sequestration, host cell activation and inappropriate immuno-inflammatory responses have been extensively studied⁴⁻⁹. The murine CM model has limits¹⁰ but also numerous positive aspects¹¹⁻¹⁶, which makes it an invaluable tool¹⁷. A widely used model for CM is inbred CBA mice infected with *P. berghei* ANKA (PbA), which leads to fatal disease with cerebral pathology within 10 days¹⁸. Conversely, infection of CM-susceptible mice with *P. yoelii* (Py) leads to hyper-parasitaemia and anaemia but without neurological complications¹⁹. This syndrome following Py infection is referred to as non-cerebral malaria (NCM).

In addition to their established roles in cell-cell interactions, extracellular vesicles (EV) play an important role in CM pathogenesis^{9,20,21}. Microvesicles (MV), previously called microparticles, are one of the 4 families of EV. Now recognised as major elements in cell-cell communications²², notably in the central nervous system²³, they play essential roles in homeostasis and are active players in inflammatory and immunopathological conditions²⁴, including CM²¹.

Recently, lipid subspecies/ families have emerged as important regulators of pathophysiological conditions *in vitro*²⁵ and *in vivo*²⁶. The roles of lipids are increasingly known in inflammation, immunoregulation, metabolism and cancer²⁷, as well as in malaria parasite biology²⁸. Their involvement in EV biology has been reviewed recently²⁹.

The aims of this study were to determine whether MV produced during CM and NCM differed in terms of lipid composition, and to evaluate whether some lipid species could be correlated with pathogenesis and might be biomarkers of disease severity and/or targets for therapeutic intervention.

Materials and Methods

Mice and parasite inoculation

We confirm that all experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. All mice used in this study were handled according to protocols approved by the University of Sydney Animal Ethics Committee (approval numbers K20/7-2006/3/4434, 418 and 326). Female CBA mice, 7 weeks old, were purchased from the Animal Resources Centre (Canning Vale, Western Australia). Mice were fed a commercial rodent pellet diet and had access to water *ad libitum*. Experimental mice were studied under pathogen-free conditions and monitored daily. PbA was a personal gift from Prof Josef Bafort, Prinz Leopold Institute, Antwerpen, Belgium³⁰ and Py a personal gift from Prof John Playfair, London³¹ to GEG. Parasite stabilates were prepared as previously described³² and stored in liquid nitrogen.

Three experimental groups of mice were studied: non-infected (n = 10), PbA-infected (n = 7) and Py-infected (n = 8). Infection was induced by intra peritoneal injection of 1×10^6 infected erythrocytes^{32,33}. Mice were euthanized seven days post inoculation. Parasitaemia was monitored by counting 500 erythrocytes in Diff-Quick-stained thin blood smears.

Blood sampling and MV preparation

Mouse venous blood was collected by retro-orbital venepuncture under anaesthesia into 0.129 mol/L sodium citrate (ratio of blood to anticoagulant 4:1). Samples were centrifuged at 1 500 g for 15 min at room temperature. Harvested supernatant was further centrifuged at 18 000 g for 4 min, twice, to achieve platelet-free plasma (PFP) and MV pellets. MV numbers were assessed as previously described⁹.

Lipid extraction

Lipids were extracted from 1 mL of PFP following the MTBE protocol of Matyash *et al.*³⁴. In brief, 300 μ L of methanol containing 5 μ L Splash Lipidomix deuterated standard (Avanti, USA – purchased from Sigma, Australia) was added to the MV pellets in Eppendorf tubes cooled on ice. Samples were vortexed briefly and incubated on ice for 10 min. MTBE (1 000 μ L) was added to the tubes, which then were vortexed and the contents allowed to mix on a rotating shaker at 4 °C for 1 hour. Optima level

H₂O (250 µL) was added before samples were vortexed briefly and kept on ice for 10 min to allow phase separation. Following this, samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 10 000 g in a tabletop centrifuge set to 4 °C and 900 µL of the MTBE/Methanol top phase was transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes. Lipid extracts were stored at -80 °C until analysed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS).

Liquid-chromatography mass spectrometry

For liquid chromatography, 900 µL aliquots of the lipid extracts were dried in a speed vacuum and reconstituted in 100 µL of isopropanol:methanol (2/1 v/v), vortexed for 20 sec twice and centrifuged at 10 000 g for 30 sec. Lipid extracts were transferred to glass vials with glass inserts and Teflon caps prior to analysis. Reversed-phase, ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (RP-UHPLC) was performed using a Vanquish liquid chromatography (LC) system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Scoresby, VIC, Australia) fitted with a C30 column (Acclaim 2.1 x 150 mm, 3 µm particle size, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Scoresby, VIC, Australia) held at 10 °C. Two mobile phases were used; A: acetonitrile/water (60/40 v/v), 10 mM ammonium formate + 0.1% (v/v) FA and B: isopropanol: acetonitrile (90/10 v/v), 10mM ammonium formate + 0.1% (v/v) FA. For LC-MS operation, 5 µL of sample was injected onto the column with a solvent flow rate of 400 µL min⁻¹. Mass spectrometry (MS) was performed on a Fusion Orbitrap mass spectrometer using targeted and untargeted lipidomics approaches (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Scoresby, VIC, Australia). LipidSearch software was used to annotate and quantify lipid species.

Nomenclature

The lipid nomenclature used here is guided by literature recommendations of Liebisch *et al.*³⁵ except for cholesteryl ester, which is abbreviated as ChE.

Statistical analysis

Preprocessing and differential analyses were performed in R using the '*limma*' package. Raw lipid profiles were log2 transformed and normalised to equalise median absolute values across samples (see Supplementary Fig. S1 for *pre-* vs *post-* normalisation profiles). Moderated *t*-test³⁶ was applied to normalised profiles to rank lipid species in order of evidence for differential expression; p-values were adjusted

for multiple hypothesis testing using false discovery rate (FDR) correction. For correlation analysis, invariant lipids—i.e., those with interquartile range, $IQR \leq 1$ —were removed (Supplementary Fig. S2); 121 lipid ions out of 302 were retained for subsequent analysis. Pair-wise Pearson correlation was performed and the correlation matrix was visualised using the ‘*corrplot*’ R package, where lipids were ordered using hierarchical clustering with ‘*complete*’ agglomeration method.

Results

Qualitative and quantitative changes in MV produced in CM versus NCM

MV were purified and lipids extracted, as described, from the three groups of control, PbA-infected (i.e., with CM) and Py-infected (i.e., NCM) mice. Compared to those from controls, MV from PbA-infected mice showed a doubling of their proportion of triglycerides (TG), a 25% reduction (47.6 *versus* 62.6%) in their cholesteryl ester (ChE) proportion, and a 50% reduction (2.8 *versus* 5.6%) in their lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) content (Fig. 1A). These MV also presented a threefold increase (2.2 *versus* 0.7%) in their diacylglycerol (DG) content. Conversely, MV from Py-infected mice did not show such differences when compared to those from uninfected control mice. Parasitaemia levels were not significantly different between PbA- and Py-infected animals (not shown)³³.

There have been reports suggesting that cholesteryl ester and TG are contaminants in MV preparations²⁵. The levels of TG and ChE in the preparations are higher than other lipid classes, therefore we also analysed the results without these two classes of lipid (Fig. 1B). Under these criteria, the reduction in LPC in MV from PbA-infected animals was confirmed and a 3-fold increase in Hex1Cer was disclosed as well as a 5-fold increase for Py-infected mice.

CM caused significant alterations in MV-derived lipid class composition

Significant changes were observed in the levels of DG, LPE, PE, LPC, PS and PI lipid classes in MV from PbA-infected vs control mice (Fig. 2). A quantitative analysis of these differences identified that, of these classes, LPC and LPE were decreased. In MV from Py-infected vs control mice, and DG, Hex1Cer, PE, LPC and PS lipid class levels were modified, while only LPC decreased. When comparing MV from PbA-infected to those from Py-infected mice, only DG, Hex1Cer, LPC and LPE amounts were significantly different. Interestingly, the amounts of both LPC and LPE lipid classes were more markedly reduced in MV from PbA-infected mice.

A principal component analysis (PCA) of MV lipidomes in the three groups of mice illustrates that MV in PbA were the most different from MV in control conditions (Fig. 3A). The numbers of *differentially expressed* lipids (i.e., adjusted p-value < 0.01 and

$|\log_2 \text{ fold-change}| > 1$) in MV from the three categories of mice were further visualised using volcano plots and Venn Diagrams (Fig. 3B-D). MV from the PbA group differed from MVs from the Py group, with both increased and decreased lipid species (Fig. 3B and 3C). Volcano plots demonstrated that the lipidome of PbA-infected mouse MVs was dramatically different compared to controls, while that of Py-infected mice was not (Fig. 3B). When compared to controls, the abundance of 29 lipid species was increased in PbA-infected mice MV and 52 lipid species were decreased. In contrast, MVs from Py-infected mice showed only 9 lipid species increased and 2 lipid species decreased. Interestingly, when compared to those from Py-infected MVs, PbA had 20 increased lipid species and 30 decreased lipid species (Fig. 3C). The Venn diagram demonstrates that the lipidome of PbA-infected MVs was the most strikingly modulated (Fig. 3D). It also shows substantial overlap among differentially modulated lipids in 'PbA vs Py-infected animals' and 'PbA-infected vs uninfected control mice', which suggests similarity of the lipidomic profiles in Py-infected and uninfected mice when compared with PbA.

Quantitative analysis of lipid species among measured lipid classes

Comprehensive analysis of the lipid species was performed within each detected lipid class of the isolated MV-derived lipid extracts, and the composition of the lipid species was characterised. Tables I-III show the differentially expressed lipid ions in MV from three groups of comparisons, PbA-infected vs control mice (Table I), Py-infected vs control mice (Table II), and PbA- vs Py-infected mice (Table III). Lipid species with a positive fold change are shown in blue, and negative fold change in red.

In PbA-MV, all PE species were higher than control except PE(O-16:1_18:2) and PE(O-18:1_18:2) (Table I). Compared to MV from control mice, Py-MV had only three PE lipid species that were significantly increased (Table II), in contrast to the twelve that were increased in PbA-MV. When comparing MV from PbA-infected to those from Py-infected mice, we found 7 PE lipid species increased and two decreased (Table III). No LPE species were significantly modulated in Py-MV (Table II). In contrast, LPE(18:1) and LPE(18:2) were reduced in both PbA-MV vs control (Table I) and vs Py-MV (Table III).

A striking number of identified LPC lipid species were significantly reduced in PbA-MV vs control-MV and vs Py-MV, but there was no difference in the Py-MV vs control-MV. Twelve PC species were significantly modulated in PbA-MV vs control-MV (Table I) and Py-MV showed only two PC species in lower amounts than in controls (Table II), despite no significant difference in total PC amounts (Fig. 2). Interestingly, PC(18:0_22:6) was higher in PbA-MV compared to both control-MV and Py-MV.

PS(18:0_22:6) lipid amounts were higher in both PbA- and Py-MV vs controls (Table I & II), while two additional PS lipid species were significantly modulated in Py-MV vs control (Table II). With regard to Hex1Cer lipid species, both Hex1Cer(d18:1_16:0) and Hex1Cer(d42:1) species were higher in PbA- and in Py-MV than in controls (Table I & II). However, Hex1Cer(d41:1) was significantly lower than control in PbA-MV only (Table I). SM(d36:0) was significantly increased, and PI(O-34:3) was significantly decreased compared to control only in PbA-MV (Table I). In the DG class, six species were found to be significantly higher in PbA-MV than in controls (Table I), and three were significantly higher in PbA-MV than in Py-MV (Table III). No DG lipids were significantly modulated between Py and control (Table II). No changes were observed in ChE and Cer lipids in our study.

Finally, we detected TG lipids in the MV preparations, and we comprehensively characterised the fatty acyl chain composition of the lipid species. Despite the lack of difference between the three groups at the TG class level (Fig. 2), numerous TG species were differentially expressed (Table I and Fig. 4). Interestingly, the five TG species containing docosahexaenoic acid (DHA FA 22:6) in their composition were the most strikingly different: all of them were higher, while all other identified TGs were lower, in PbA-MV than in both Py- and control-MV, as highlighted in yellow boxes (Fig. 4). Remarkably, there was no difference in any identified TG species between Py-MV and controls.

The correlation heatmap (Fig. 5) shows pairwise correlations among 121 lipids retained after removing invariant lipids ($IQR \leq 1$) across all the samples. Changes are either in concordance (positive correlation shown in blue) or inverse concordance (negative correlation shown in red). Hierarchical clustering of the

complete lipid-lipid correlation matrix describing 14 520 unique pairs of lipids (excluding self) revealed eight distinct clusters of positively correlated lipids (Pearson correlation > 0.7), organised along the diagonal of the matrix. Clusters 1 and 3 are highly expressed in PbA vs control or vs Py and essentially are composed of TGs and DGs. In contrast, cluster 2 is composed of lipids that are increased in PbA- and Py-MV vs control, but not between PbA- and Py-MV (Fig. 5A). All other clusters, excluding cluster 8, are lowly expressed in PbA vs control/ Py. Interestingly, cluster 8 is increased, is composed mostly of Hex1Cer, PE and PS and has a similar change in both PbA- and Py- vs control-MV. Cluster 3 shows TG and DG lipids that are composed of 22:6 in their structure and have positive fold change in PbA- vs control-MV, which is potentially related to CM pathogenesis. Cluster 3 shows negative correlation with cluster 4, which is mainly composed of phospholipids, which are lowly expressed in PbA- vs control- and Py-MV (Fig. 5A). Clusters 6 and 7 are the largest, with cluster 6 composed mainly of TGs and cluster 7 composed mostly of PC and LPC, both with negative fold change in PbA- vs control-MV and vs Py-MV and could play a role in CM phenotype progression that is opposite to that of TG containing 22:6 in cluster 3.

Discussion

In this paper, we identified unique profiles of MV lipid species/classes in relation to CM. In particular, total LPC levels were significantly lower in PbA- and Py-MV compared to uninfected mice and in PbA- compared to Py-MVs. Increased concentrations of circulating LPC is associated with inflammatory disorders³⁷, and more recently has been found in increased amounts in platelet MV in myocardial infarction and atherosclerosis³⁸. Total LPE levels were also lower in PbA compared to uninfected mice and Py-infected mice. Based on current knowledge, the reduction in both LPE and LPC in CM MVs suggests a lack of inflammation. However, these differences observed in plasma MV between PbA and Py infection are consistent with the strong immunopathological response underpinning CM, as opposed to non-CM, as detailed in several works^{4,21,39-41} and warrant additional investigation. Activation of phospholipase A 2 (PLA2) is required to cleave the fatty acid on sn2 position in phospholipids; this most commonly releases arachidonic acid, which is then converted to inflammatory eicosanoids. The other product is lysophospholipids such as LPC and LPE. Pappa *et al.* showed a positive correlation between PLA2 activity and neural inflammation in children with CM⁴². Investigating PLA2 levels and activity in CM MVs is of interest to elucidate if it plays a role in modulating the levels of MV lysophospholipids and consequently the development of CM.

PE levels were significantly higher in MV from PbA mice compared to those from uninfected control and Py. On the other hand, total PS levels were significantly higher in both PbA and Py compared to uninfected control, suggesting a role for PS in malarial infection but not CM pathogenesis specifically.

DHA 22:6 have a known role in inflammation as they belong to the ω -3 pathway⁴³ and thereby are anti-inflammatory. In our study, 13 out of 16 DHA (22:6) containing lipids were found to be increased, while they were decreased in 2 out of 16 lipids in PbA-MV vs control-MV, suggesting an anti-inflammatory potential in MV circulating at the time of CM. Conversely, in MV from mice with non-CM, 2 of these were up-regulated and 2 were down-regulated, when compared to MV from control mice. Although DHA plays a protective role in inflammation, deleterious effects have also

been reported, where an excess of ω -3 membrane lipids can increase the susceptibility to infection⁴⁴.

It has been suggested that high levels of TG lipids in MV preparations are considered as an indication of contamination from other vesicle types present in plasma⁴⁵. Surprisingly, despite the total level of detected TGs in our preparations being comparable between the three types of MV populations, TG lipids containing DHA 22:6 were exclusively increased in MV preparations from PbA infected mice, indicating the potential utility of these lipids to predict CM development in mice.

Given that MVs are taken up by macrophages, it is tempting to speculate that the changed lipidome of MVs during CM plays an anti-inflammatory role or is a mechanism used by the parasite to modulate the host immune response⁴¹. More specifically, it is possible to hypothesise that MVs utilise TGs to supply DHA 22:6 to recipient cells that incorporate it into membrane phospholipids. Whether the role of DHA is deleterious (by increasing membrane fluidity or affect the MV ability to fuse with target cells) or protective (by anti-inflammatory properties) remains to be elucidated.

Correlation maps showed clusters of lipid species which changed together in a related fashion; for instance, the changes in TG and DG correlated, which is not surprising since they are biologically linked sharing similar biosynthetic pathways. The possibility that TG is being used as a storage site for fatty acids that can later be hydrolysed and employed for synthesis of additional lipids remains of interest for future investigation.

In summary, these results suggest that experimental CM is characterised by specific changes in lipid composition of circulating MV. More specifically, the key differences between CM and non-CM MVs characterised by the reduction in LPC and LPE and a specific increase in TGs containing DHA containing TGs. Microvesicles carry a large array of active molecules including lipid mediators, phospholipases, proteins and RNA that can be used to modulate the phenotype of recipient cells⁴⁶. Future studies investigating differences in lipid packaging and phospholipase activity in

microvesicles from CM vs NCM, may shed some light on the role these lipids play in malaria complications.

References

1. Idro R, Jenkins NE, Newton CR. Pathogenesis, clinical features, and neurological outcome of cerebral malaria. *Lancet Neurol* 2005;4:827-40.
2. Idro R, Kakooza-Mwesige A, Balyejjussa S, *et al.* Severe neurological sequelae and behaviour problems after cerebral malaria in Ugandan children. *BMC Res Notes* 2010;3:104.
3. Idro R, Ndiritu M, Ogutu B, *et al.* Burden, features, and outcome of neurological involvement in acute falciparum malaria in Kenyan children. *JAMA* 2007;297:2232-40.
4. Hunt NH, Grau GE. Cytokines: accelerators and brakes in the pathogenesis of cerebral malaria. *Trends Immunol* 2003;24:491-9.
5. Schofield L, Grau GE. Immunological processes in malaria pathogenesis. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2005;5:722-35.
6. Hunt NH, Golenser J, Chan-Ling T, *et al.* Immunopathogenesis of cerebral malaria. *Int J Parasitol* 2006;36:569-82.
7. van der Heyde HC, Nolan J, Combes V, Gramaglia I, Grau GE. A unified hypothesis for the genesis of cerebral malaria: sequestration, inflammation and hemostasis leading to microcirculatory dysfunction. *Trends Parasitol* 2006;22:503-8.
8. Amante FH, Haque A, Stanley AC, *et al.* Immune-mediated mechanisms of parasite tissue sequestration during experimental cerebral malaria. *J Immunol* 2010;185:3632-42.
9. El-Assaad F, Wheway J, Hunt NH, Grau GE, Combes V. Production, fate and pathogenicity of plasma microparticles in murine cerebral malaria. *PLoS Pathog* 2014;10:e1003839.
10. White NJ, Turner GD, Medana IM, Dondorp AM, Day NP. The murine cerebral malaria phenomenon. *Trends Parasitol* 2010;26:11-5.
11. Hunt NH, Grau GE, Engwerda C, *et al.* Murine cerebral malaria: the whole story. *Trends Parasitol* 2010;26:272-4.
12. Riley EM, Couper KN, Helmby H, *et al.* Neuropathogenesis of human and murine malaria. *Trends Parasitol* 2010;26:277-8.
13. Carvalho LJ. Murine cerebral malaria: how far from human cerebral malaria? *Trends Parasitol* 2010;26:271-2.
14. Langhorne J, Buffet P, Galinski M, *et al.* The relevance of non-human primate and rodent malaria models for humans. *Malar J* 2011;10:23.
15. Renia L, Gruner AC, Snounou G. Cerebral malaria: in praise of epistemes. *Trends Parasitol* 2010;26:275-7.
16. Morrell CN, Aggrey AA, Chapman LM, Modjeski KL. Emerging roles for platelets as immune and inflammatory cells. *Blood* 2014;123:2759-67.
17. Combes V, De Souza JB, Renia L, Hunt NH, Grau GE. Cerebral malaria: which parasite? which model? *Drug Discovery Today: Disease Models* 2005;2:141-8.
18. Grau GE, Fajardo LF, Piguet PF, Allet B, Lambert PH, Vassalli P. Tumor necrosis factor (cachectin) as an essential mediator in murine cerebral malaria. *Science* 1987;237:1210-2.
19. Cottrell BJ, Playfair JH, De Souza BJ. Cell-mediated immunity in mice vaccinated against malaria. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1978;34:147-58.
20. Combes V, El-Assaad F, Faille D, Jambou R, Hunt NH, Grau GE. Microvesiculation and cell interactions at the brain-endothelial interface in cerebral malaria pathogenesis. *Prog Neurobiol* 2010;91:140-51.
21. Debs S, Cohen A, Hosseini-Beheshti E, Chimini G, Hunt NH, Grau GER. Interplay of extracellular vesicles and other players in cerebral malaria pathogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* 2019;1863:325-31.
22. Raposo G, Stahl PD. Extracellular vesicles: a new communication paradigm? *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2019.
23. Rajendran L, Bali J, Barr MM, *et al.* Emerging roles of extracellular vesicles in the nervous system. *J Neurosci* 2014;34:15482-9.
24. Hosseini-Beheshti E, Grau GER. Extracellular vesicles as mediators of immunopathology in infectious diseases. *Immunol Cell Biol* 2018.

25. Skotland T, Hessvik NP, Sandvig K, Llorente A. Exosomal lipid composition and the role of ether lipids and phosphoinositides in exosome biology. *J Lipid Res* 2019;60:9-18.
26. Casares D, Escriba PV, Rossello CA. Membrane Lipid Composition: Effect on Membrane and Organelle Structure, Function and Compartmentalization and Therapeutic Avenues. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019;20.
27. Lazar I, Clement E, Attane C, Muller C, Nieto L. A new role for extracellular vesicles: how small vesicles can feed tumors' big appetite. *J Lipid Res* 2018;59:1793-804.
28. Brancucci NMB, Gerdt JP, Wang C, *et al.* Lysophosphatidylcholine Regulates Sexual Stage Differentiation in the Human Malaria Parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Cell* 2017;171:1532-44 e15.
29. Boilard E. Extracellular vesicles and their content in bioactive lipid mediators: more than a sack of microRNA. *J Lipid Res* 2018;59:2037-46.
30. Vincke LH, Bafort J. [Results of 2 years of observation of the cyclical transmission of *Plasmodium berghei*]. *Ann Soc Belges Med Trop Parasitol Mycol* 1968;48:439-54.
31. Playfair JH, De Souza JB, Cottrell BJ. Protection of mice against malaria by a killed vaccine: differences in effectiveness against *P. yoelii* and *P. berghei*. *Immunology* 1977;33:507-15.
32. Grau GE, Piguet PF, Engers HD, Louis JA, Vassalli P, Lambert PH. L3T4+ T lymphocytes play a major role in the pathogenesis of murine cerebral malaria. *J Immunol* 1986;137:2348-54.
33. Grau GE, Tacchini-Cottier F, Vesin C, *et al.* TNF-induced microvascular pathology: active role for platelets and importance of the LFA-1/ICAM-1 interaction. *Eur Cytokine Netw* 1993;4:415-9.
34. Matyash V, Liebisch G, Kurzchalia TV, Shevchenko A, Schwudke D. Lipid extraction by methyl-tert-butyl ether for high-throughput lipidomics. *J Lipid Res* 2008;49:1137-46.
35. Liebisch G, Vizcaino JA, Kofeler H, *et al.* Shorthand notation for lipid structures derived from mass spectrometry. *J Lipid Res* 2013;54:1523-30.
36. Phipson B, Lee S, Majewski IJ, Alexander WS, Smyth GK. Robust Hyperparameter Estimation Protects against Hypervariable Genes and Improves Power to Detect Differential Expression. *Ann Appl Stat* 2016;10:946-63.
37. Bansal P, Gaur SN, Arora N. Lysophosphatidylcholine plays critical role in allergic airway disease manifestation. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:27430.
38. Diehl P, Nienaber F, Zaldivia MTK, *et al.* Lysophosphatidylcholine is a Major Component of Platelet Microvesicles Promoting Platelet Activation and Reporting Atherosclerotic Plaque Instability. *Thromb Haemost* 2019;119:1295-310.
39. Hunt NH, Too LK, Khaw LT, *et al.* The kynurenine pathway and parasitic infections that affect CNS function. *Neuropharmacology* 2017;112:389-98.
40. Hunt NH, Ball HJ, Hansen AM, *et al.* Cerebral malaria: gamma-interferon redux. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2014;4:113.
41. Sierro F, Grau GER. The ins and outs of cerebral malaria pathogenesis: Immunopathology, extracellular vesicles, immunometabolism, and trained immunity. *Frontiers in Immunology* 2019;10.
42. Pappa V, Seydel K, Gupta S, *et al.* Lipid metabolites of the phospholipase A2 pathway and inflammatory cytokines are associated with brain volume in paediatric cerebral malaria. *Malar J* 2015;14:513.
43. Simopoulos AP. An Increase in the Omega-6/Omega-3 Fatty Acid Ratio Increases the Risk for Obesity. *Nutrients* 2016;8:128.
44. Fenton JI, Hord NG, Ghosh S, Gursell EA. Immunomodulation by dietary long chain omega-3 fatty acids and the potential for adverse health outcomes. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 2013;89:379-90.
45. Skotland T, Sagini K, Sandvig K, Llorente A. An emerging focus on lipids in extracellular vesicles. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2020.
46. Record M, Silvente-Poirot S, Poirot M, Wakelam MJO. Extracellular vesicles: lipids as key components of their biogenesis and functions. *J Lipid Res* 2018;59:1316-2

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the National Health & Medical Research Council of Australia (grant #1099920 to GEG and NHH). BCAL Dx provided financial support for mass spectrometry analysis and AB salary. We thank Dr David Peake and MKI for providing us LipidSearch support. We thank Kerry Heffernan for technical support and review of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

GEG, AB and NHH designed the study. AJ, AC and EHB performed the in vivo and MV preparation experiments. AB conducted lipidomics experiments including LCMS method development and analysis. AB carried out the data analysis. AB, FV and GEG carried out the statistical analysis. AB and FV carried out data visualization. AB and GEG wrote the manuscript. TM critically reviewed the manuscript, provided support on presenting the data and assisted with writing the manuscript. AC and MM provided technical and software support, LCMS method assistance, instrument support critical for conducting this work. All authors revised the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure and Table legends

Fig. 1. Lipid classes in plasma microvesicles (MV) from uninfected controls, PbA-infected and Py-infected mice. (A) All lipid classes. (B) levels without triglycerides (TG) and cholesteryl esters (ChE).

Fig. 2. Altered lipid class levels in plasma MV from the three groups of mice. Boxplots showing comparisons of total lipid classes concentrations (pmol/ ul) between the groups of Control (green), PbA (red) and Py (blue). Data were log transformed and significance calculated using Students' *t*-test. Significance annotation: $p < 0.05$ +, $p < 10e-2$ *, $p < 10e-3$ **, $p < 10e-4$ ***. Abbreviations as in Fig 1.

Fig. 3. Differentially expressed lipids among MVs from the three categories. (A) Principal component analysis (PCA) of identified lipids (pmol/ μ L). (B) Volcano plots showing differentially expressed lipids in the various pairwise comparisons. (C). Bar charts representing the proportion of differentially expressed (DE) ions across each lipid graph. Blue, Grey and Red bars show the percentage of elevated, not significant, and decreased level of lipid molecules in each type. Numbers on top show the actual number of DE ions in each type. (D) Venn diagram of the distribution of differentially expressed lipids in the various comparisons. Significance is based on two-fold increase or decrease in lipid amounts plus an adjusted p-value <0.01 based on moderated t-test and false discovery rate (FDR) correction.

Fig. 4. Docosahexanoic acid (DHA 22:6) containing TG lipids are higher in plasma MVs during cerebral malaria (CM). Comparison of levels of characterised TG species from plasma MVs between PbA (red) vs control (green) and Py (blue). Yellow boxes highlight DHA 22:6 containing TG lipids that are exclusively elevated in PbA vs control and Py. To display the statistical significance of differences, symbols (-) represents no statistical difference, (#) for PbA vs control, and (*) for PbA vs Py based on adjusted p-value <0.01. There are no differences in TGs between Py and control.

Fig. 5. Correlation plot of the 121 retained lipids across all the samples. (A) fold changes (FC) of lipid amounts in the three categories of comparisons. Green denotes an increase and red a decrease. (B) Hierarchical clustering of the lipid-lipid correlation matrix. Rows and columns correspond to the 121 retained lipid species. Dark blue triangles indicate clusters (1-8) of strongly positively correlated lipids. Cluster numbers and corresponding lipid names are shown on the right.

Table I. Individual lipid molecules found at significantly different levels in PbA MV versus control. Ions elevated (decreased) in PbA are coloured in blue (red). Log2 fold-change and adjusted p-values are listed (i.e., moderated t-test and FDR correction). Ions are grouped into neutral lipids, sphingolipids and phospholipids and sorted alphabetically within each group.

Table II. Individual lipid molecules found at significantly different levels in Py MV versus control. Ions elevated (dropped) in Py are coloured in blue (red). Log2 fold-change and adjusted p-values are listed (i.e., moderated t-test and FDR correction). Ions are grouped into sphingolipids and phospholipids and sorted alphabetically within each group.

Table III. Individual lipid molecules found at significantly different levels in PbA MV versus Py. Ions elevated (dropped) in PbA are coloured in blue (red). Log2 fold-change and adjusted p-values are listed (i.e., moderated *t*-test and FDR correction). Ions are grouped into *neutral lipids* and *phospholipids* (located in the tables in a vertical fashion near each group of lipids) and sorted alphabetically within each group.

Table I

Lipid Ion	Log FC	Adjusted p-value	Lipid Ion	Log FC	Adjusted p-value	Lipid Ion	Log FC	Adjusted p-value
DG(16:0_22:6)	3.15	3.45E-07	Hex1Cer(d18:1_16:0)	3.06	9.36E-10	PC(16:0_20:5)	-1.01	0.00189
DG(18:1_20:4)	2.19	2.22E-05	Hex1Cer(d41:1)	-2.36	0.007303	PC(O-16:0_20:4)	-1.34	0.00013
DG(18:1_20:5)	2.37	0.000461	Hex1Cer(d42:1)	2.67	0.00836	PC(O-16:0_22:4)	-1.23	0.002657
DG(18:1_22:6)	1.72	0.002531				PC(16:1_20:4)	-1.3	4.54E-05
DG(18:2_20:4)	2.41	1.90E-05	LPC(14:0)	-1.69	0.000114	PC(17:0_18:2)	-1.1	6.07E-05
DG(18:2_22:6)	1.95	0.000892	LPC(15:0)	-1.83	3.83E-05	PC(18:0_22:6)	1.28	0.001628
TG(16:0_16:0_22:6)	1.94	0.000949	LPC(O-16:0)	-1.19	0.003254	PC(O-18:1_20:4)	-1.57	1.04E-05
TG(16:0_18:1_23:0)	-1.19	0.001628	LPC(O-16:1)	-1.54	0.004291	PC(18:2_18:2)	-1.95	3.99E-05
TG(16:0_18:1_24:0)	-1.54	0.003227	LPC(16:1)	-1.84	1.04E-05	PC(19:0_18:2)	-1.69	3.45E-07
TG(16:0_20:4_22:6)	2.56	0.000112	LPC(17:0)	-1.34	0.000123	PC(20:4_22:6)	-1.17	0.0055
TG(16:0_22:6_22:6)	2.64	0.001803	LPC(O-18:1)	-1.68	0.000668	PC(22:0_18:2)	-1.57	0.000624
TG(O-16:0_16:0_18:2)	-1.2	0.005488	LPC(18:1)	-1.58	0.000144	PE(16:0_18:1)	2.03	9.88E-07
TG(O-16:0_18:1_18:2)	-1.36	0.006879	LPC(18:2)	-2.11	4.64E-05	PE(16:0_18:2)	1.4	2.35E-06
TG(18:0_18:1_22:0)	-1.62	0.004668	LPC(18:4)	-2.28	2.35E-06	PE(16:0_20:4)	1.53	2.35E-06
TG(18:1_20:4_22:6)	1.88	0.001628	LPC(19:0)	-1.75	1.94E-05	PE(16:0_20:5)	1.44	0.002587
TG(18:1_22:0_18:1)	-1.71	0.009094	LPC(20:0)	-1.81	2.24E-05	PE(16:0_22:6)	1.84	2.33E-06
TG(18:1_22:0_22:0)	-1.9	0.000559	LPC(20:1)	-1.84	9.10E-06	PE(O-16:1_18:2)	-1.42	0.009094
TG(18:2_20:4_22:6)	1.59	0.003492	LPC(20:2)	-2.3	1.06E-05	PE(O-16:1_22:4)	1.26	0.001092
TG(24:1_18:2_18:1)	-1.77	0.006916	LPC(20:4)	-1.54	0.000123	PE(18:0_20:4)	1.45	2.22E-05
TG(24:1_18:2_18:2)	-1.48	0.005911	LPC(20:5)	-2.37	2.04E-05	PE(18:0_20:5)	1.44	6.91E-05
TG(25:0_18:1_16:0)	-1.26	0.001152	LPC(22:1)	-1.23	0.007528	PE(18:0_22:6)	2.66	7.25E-08
TG(25:0_18:1_18:1)	-1.63	0.000827	LPC(22:4)	-2.41	5.44E-05	PE(O-18:1_18:2)	-1.36	0.002351
TG(26:0_18:1_16:0)	-1.52	0.001061	LPC(22:5)	-2.18	4.64E-05	PE(18:1_18:1)	1.3	0.001572
TG(26:0_18:1_18:1)	-1.67	0.000815	LPC(22:6)	-1.33	0.000892	PE(18:1_20:4)	1.25	2.22E-05

TG(30:1_18:2_20:1)	-3.51	3.19E-06	LPE(18:1)	-1.79	3.58E-06	PE(18:1_22:6)	1.48	3.26E-06
SM(d36:0)	1.65	0.003903	LPE(18:2)	-1.92	1.94E-05	PI(O-34:3)	-2.89	0.001838
			PC(15:0_18:2)	-1.33	5.73E-06	PS(18:0_22:6)	2.4	3.45E-07

Table II

	Lipid Ion	Log FC	Adjusted p-value
Sphingolipids	Hex1Cer(d18:1_16:0)	2.97	3.76E-10
	Hex1Cer(d42:1)	3.38	0.004698
Phospholipids	PC(18:2_22:6)	-1.01	0.005038
	PC(20:4_22:6)	-1.3	0.006469
	PE(16:0_18:1)	1.06	0.005038
	PE(O-16:1_22:4)	1.3	0.003583
	PE(18:0_22:6)	1.09	0.006469
	PS(18:0_20:3)	1.14	0.006469
	PS(18:0_22:6)	2.46	1.05E-07
	PS(40:4)	1.06	0.004093

Table III

Lipid Ion	Log FC	Adjusted p-value	Lipid Ion	Log FC	Adjusted p-value
DG(16:0_22:6)	2.41	0.00016	TG(25:0_18:1_16:0)	-1.12	0.006236
DG(18:1_20:4)	1.37	0.006795	TG(25:0_18:1_18:1)	-1.56	0.003502
DG(18:2_20:4)	1.46	0.006804	TG(26:0_18:1_16:0)	-1.47	0.003682
TG(16:0_16:0_22:6)	1.86	0.003682	TG(26:0_18:1_18:1)	-1.66	0.002776
TG(16:0_18:1_23:0)	-1.16	0.004729	TG(30:1_18:2_20:1)	-3.36	0.000114
TG(16:0_18:1_24:0)	-1.63	0.004729	LPC(16:1)	-1.16	0.00438
TG(16:0_20:4_22:6)	2.3	0.001677	LPC(18:1)	-1.21	0.005347
TG(16:0_22:6_22:6)	2.77	0.003539	LPC(18:2)	-1.62	0.003162
TG(O-16:0_16:0_18:1)	-1.32	0.002115	LPC(18:4)	-1.43	0.002132
TG(O-16:0_16:0_18:2)	-1.8	0.000701	LPC(20:1)	-1.05	0.006804
TG(O-16:0_18:1_18:2)	-2.02	0.000832	LPC(20:2)	-1.5	0.003682
TG(O-16:0_18:1_20:1)	-1.35	0.006804	LPC(20:4)	-1.1	0.006804
TG(18:0_18:1_22:0)	-1.72	0.006201	LPC(22:4)	-1.83	0.003564
TG(O-16:0_16:0_18:1)	-1.6	0.001852	LPC(22:5)	-1.82	0.001677
TG(O-16:0_18:1_18:2)	-1.72	0.003162	LPE(18:1)	-1.36	0.000701
TG(O-18:1_16:0_18:1)	-1.65	0.001677	LPE(18:2)	-1.68	0.000701
TG(18:1_20:4_22:6)	1.74	0.006201	PC(18:0_22:6)	1.27	0.004289
TG(18:1_21:0_16:0)	-1.05	0.006201	PE(16:0_18:2)	1.11	0.000449
TG(18:1_22:0_18:1)	-1.97	0.006618	PE(16:0_20:4)	1.08	0.000832
TG(18:1_22:0_22:0)	-1.8	0.002948	PE(16:0_20:5)	1.44	0.005871
TG(O-18:2_18:1_18:1)	-1.48	0.005676	PE(16:0_22:6)	1.27	0.000832
TG(18:2_20:4_22:6)	1.7	0.004729	PE(18:0_20:5)	1.06	0.004658
TG(18:2_22:6_22:6)	2.06	0.004839	PE(18:0_22:6)	1.56	0.000608
TG(O-20:0_18:1_18:2)	-1.19	0.008578	PE(18:1_22:6)	1.2	0.000455

TG(24:1_18:2_18:1)	-1.87	0.008578
--------------------	-------	----------

Neutral lipids

A.

B.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5